

Amrutvahini Polytechnic, Sangamner
Department of Information Technology
Annexure –III & IV (Rubrics & Project Evaluation Sheet)

Name of Student: Ghodekar Suraj Gorakh.

Enrollment No: 2200800629

Name of Program: IF5I

Semester: 5th

Course Title: Information Technology (IF5I)

Code: 22519

Title of Project: Music Player Using HTML CSS & JavaScript

Course Outcomes: Use 3 different program flow control structure for design interactive web pages.

Criteria	Indicators for different levels of performance evaluation scale (1 to 2)					
	A) Process & Product Assessment (Performance in Group Activity Out of 6)					
	Poor (1)	Marks	Average (1.5)	Marks	Good (2)	Marks
Literature review / Information collection	No reference is used only taken material from Google and copy pasted		At least one reference website or book is referred to collect literature or information		At least two references website or book are referred to collect literature or information	
a) Quality of prototype/ model / chart / drawing b) Quality of project report (for study project)	a) Incomplete fabrication / assembly / survey b) Most of the content is non-relevant . Report / drawing is not formatted as per instructions.		a) Just assembled / fabricated , not in proper shape, dimensions / average survey b) Most of the portion in project report irrelevant and is formatted but some of the portion is remaining to format.		a) Well assembled / fabricated with proper shape dimensions / well defined survey b) Everything in the project report is formatted as per the instructions given.	
Timely submission.	No previous review and submission after the given date .		No previous review but submission on or before given date .		Reviewed & submission on or before given date .	
Criteria	Indicators for different levels of performance evaluation scale (1 to 2)					
	B) Individual Presentation/Viva (Out of 4)					
	Poor (1)	Marks	Average (1.5)	Marks	Good (2)	Marks
Presentation of the micro project	Major information is not included, information is not well organized		Included major information but not well organized and not presented well		Well organized , included major information, well presented	
Answer to sample questions (Oral)	Could not reply to considerable number of question.		Replied to considerable number of questions but not very properly .		Replied most of the questions properly .	

(A) Process & Product Assessment (06)	(B) Individual Presentation/Viva(04)	Total Marks (10)

Comments/ Suggestions about team work/leadership/Inter-personal communication (if Any)

Name & Designation of the Teacher:

Dated Signature:

Amrutvahini Polytechnic, Sangamner
Department of Information Technology
Annexure –III & IV (Rubrics & Project Evaluation Sheet)

Name of Student: Gunjal Prathmesh Suresh.

Enrollment No: 2200800638

Name of Program: IF5I

Semester: 5th

Course Title: Information Technology (IF5I)

Code: 22519

Title of Project: Music Player Using HTML CSS & JavaScript

Course Outcomes: Use3 different program flow control structure for design interactive web pages.

Criteria	Indicators for different levels of performance evaluation scale (1 to 2)					
	A) Process & Product Assessment (Performance in Group Activity Out of 6)					
	Poor (1)	Marks	Average (1.5)	Marks	Good (2)	Marks
Literature review / Information collection	No reference is used only taken material from Google and copy pasted		At least one reference website or book is referred to collect literature or information		At least two references website or book are referred to collect literature or information	
c) Quality of prototype/ model / chart / drawing d) Quality of project report (for study project)	c) Incomplete fabrication / assembly / survey d) Most of the content is non-relevant . Report / drawing is not formatted as per instructions.		c) Just assembled / fabricated , not in proper shape, dimensions / average survey d) Most of the portion in project report irrelevant and is formatted but some of the portion is remaining to format.		c) Well assembled / fabricated with proper shape dimensions / well defined survey d) Everything in the project report is formatted as per the instructions given.	
Timely submission.	No previous review and submission after the given date .		No previous review but submission on or before given date .		Reviewed & submission on or before given date .	
Criteria	Indicators for different levels of performance evaluation scale (1 to 2)					
	B) Individual Presentation/Viva (Out of 4)					
	Poor (1)	Marks	Average (1.5)	Marks	Good (2)	Marks
Presentation of the micro project	Major information is not included, information is not well organized		Included major information but not well organized and not presented well		Well organized , included major information, well presented	
Answer to sample questions (Oral)	Could not reply to considerable number of question.		Replied to considerable number of questions but not very properly .		Replied most of the questions properly .	

(A) Process & Product Assessment (06)	(B) Individual Presentation/Viva(04)	Total Marks (10)

Comments/ Suggestions about team work/leadership/Inter-personal communication (if Any)

Name & Designation of the Teacher:

Dated Signature:

Amrutvahini Polytechnic, Sangamner
Department of Information Technology
Annexure –III & IV (Rubrics & Project Evaluation Sheet)

Name of Student: Hande Vedant Machhindra.

Enrollment No: 2200800802

Name of Program: IF5I

Semester: 5th

Course Title: Information Technology (IF5I)

Code: 22519

Title of Project: Music Player Using HTML CSS & JavaScript

Course Outcomes: Use3 different program flow control structure for design interactive web pages.

Criteria	Indicators for different levels of performance evaluation scale (1 to 2)					
	A) Process & Product Assessment (Performance in Group Activity Out of 6)					
	Poor (1)	Marks	Average (1.5)	Marks	Good (2)	Marks
Literature review / Information collection	No reference is used only taken material from Google and copy pasted		At least one reference website or book is referred to collect literature or information		At least two references website or book are referred to collect literature or information	
e) Quality of prototype/ model / chart / drawing f) Quality of project report (for study project)	e) Incomplete fabrication / assembly / survey f) Most of the content is non-relevant . Report / drawing is not formatted as per instructions.		e) Just assembled / fabricated , not in proper shape, dimensions / average survey f) Most of the portion in project report irrelevant and is formatted but some of the portion is remaining to format.		e) Well assembled / fabricated with proper shape dimensions / well defined survey f) Everything in the project report is formatted as per the instructions given.	
Timely submission.	No previous review and submission after the given date .		No previous review but submission on or before given date .		Reviewed & submission on or before given date .	
Criteria	Indicators for different levels of performance evaluation scale (1 to 2)					
	B) Individual Presentation/Viva (Out of 4)					
	Poor (1)	Marks	Average (1.5)	Marks	Good (2)	Marks
Presentation of the micro project	Major information is not included, information is not well organized		Included major information but not well organized and not presented well		Well organized , included major information, well presented	
Answer to sample questions (Oral)	Could not reply to considerable number of question.		Replied to considerable number of questions but not very properly .		Replied most of the questions properly .	

(A) Process & Product Assessment (06)	(B) Individual Presentation/Viva(04)	Total Marks (10)

Comments/ Suggestions about team work/leadership/Inter-personal communication (if Any)

Name & Designation of the Teacher:

Dated Signature: