

Project Proposal Presentation

Upload your presentation in video format. Each presentation has to be at most five minutes long. Each group member must present for at least 45 seconds. The rubric and details are provided below.

(24 points) - Total

(2 points) - Title slide

+1: Project title. Course number and name. Quarter.

+1: Group information including group name and member names sorted in the same order of presentation.

0: No title slide.

(3 points) - Presentation timing

3: Within 10% of the allotted time

2: 10-20% above or below the allotted time

1: 20-40% above or below the allotted time

0: More than 40% above or below the allotted time.

(2 points): Ethics, Diversity & Inclusion

2: Follows ethical, diversity, and inclusion guidelines.

1: Has one or two issues including, using language or figures that might be inappropriate to people of a certain race, gender, ethnicity, religion, disability, or other protected characteristics. Use of stereotypical gender, ethnic, or racial examples or words.

0: Use significant material, e.g., an illustrative animation or a detailed diagram, without an appropriate reference or credit.

(4 points): Balance of text and figures

4: Excellent balance of figures and text. Includes both attractive figures to keep the audience interested and descriptive figures to let the audience understand the content.

3: Many slides with figures. Most figures might not be descriptive or helpful.

1..2: A few slides with figures but not very descriptive

0: All text. No figures.

(4 points): Background & Motivation

4: A clear background and motivation in lay-person terms. Was easy to understand what the project is doing and why it is important without having to pause, think, and repeat some parts.

3: The motivation was not very clear. Builds on some prior knowledge or background that I did not have.

1..2: The motivation was very brief and was not put in a reasonable context.

0: No motivation or background was given at all and could not understand what the project is doing.

(6 points) Content

+1: Described the data that will be used in the project and how it will be obtained.

+2: Provided a clear description of the problem that will be solved.

+2: It is clear in the presentation what the final outcome will look like.

+2: The presentation gave a reasonable plan of how the project will be done.

(3 points) Evaluation Plan

2: Clear evaluation that is doable within the project time frame.

1: Explained how the evaluation is done but it might be unrelated to big data or might not be doable within the timeframe.

0: No evaluation at all or something that is not a proper evaluation plan.

After the deadline, each student will be assigned one presentation to review. To get a full score on the peer review, you'll have to provide at least three positive points and three suggestions for improvements on each presentation.