A Life Engineering Perspective on *Algorithms*, *AI*, *Social Media*, and *Quantitative Metrics*

A Review of Three of the Most Thought-Provoking Books on the Impact of Tech on Human Life

Introduction

Life engineering**[1]** is the intentional and systematic practice of designing and shaping one's life to achieve specific goals, enhance well-being, and optimize personal growth. It involves understanding oneself, setting clear goals, and implementing deliberate actions to create a life aligned with values and aspirations. By cultivating habits and behaviors that support desired outcomes, leveraging scientific research and practical wisdom, and adapting to challenges, individuals can lead more intentional and fulfilling lives. While the effectiveness of life engineering may vary, engaging in this process empowers individuals to take an active role in shaping their lives and finding greater purpose and satisfaction.

First Subchapter title: Life Engineering in Algorithms, a review of the book “Weapons of Math Destruction"**[2]** by Cathy o'Nil

Defination:

Cathy O'Neil presents life engineering as a wake-up call, unveiling the hidden dangers of algorithmic decision-making. This thought-provoking book exposes how algorithms can perpetuate discrimination, and biases, and undermine fairness and accountability. With society increasingly relying on algorithms in various domains, understanding the harm caused by these WMDs is essential, as O’Neil reveals through captivating examples the profound societal implications of flawed algorithmic systems.

The main idea of the book is the Negative impact of algorithms and datadriven decision-making on society. The book’s strength lies in its ability to shed light on the hidden dangers of algorithmic decision-making and its impact on society. Cathy O’Neil effectively presents compelling arguments and supports them with rigorous research and real-life examples. Specifically, the book addresses the issue of college ranking systems **[3][4]**, which heavily rely on biased standardized tests like the SAT and ACT. This preference for wealthier students creates unfair advantages and places immense pressure on students, leading to stress, inequality, and a devaluation of the love of learning. Additionally, the book delves into online advertising algorithms **[5]** that collect personal data and create personalized ads. This raises

concerns about privacy and manipulation, especially in political campaigns targeting vulnerable audiences. The importance of critical thinking in resisting the influence of these algorithms is emphasized.

The author also examines mathematical models used in parole decisions and sentencing **[6][7]**, highlighting their lack of transparency and potential for bias. These models reinforce existing biases and disproportionately affect marginalized communities. The book emphasizes the need for ethical considerations and human judgment in the criminal justice system. Furthermore, the book explores how automated hiring systems **[8]** perpetuate biases, limiting job opportunities, while flawed performance evaluation algorithms contribute to high-pressure work environments **[9]**. Transparency and human involvement are essential for ensuring fairness and accountability in these processes. Lastly, the book addresses the negative consequences of flawed credit assessment algorithms **[10],** which harm financial opportunities and well-being. It also highlights the threat posed by micro-targeting algorithms in civic life **[11]** to democratic integrity.

However, the book's heavy focus on the negative aspects may overshadow potential benefits and positive applications. While advocating for ethical considerations and human oversight, the book significantly contributes by raising awareness of ethical concerns in algorithmic decision-making. It encourages critical evaluation of fairness, transparency, and accountability.

a) Social

The use of WMDs has significant societal implications. It exacerbates social inequality, widens the gap between privileged and disadvantaged groups, and unfairlyallocates resources, limiting opportunities for certain communities. These algorithms also reinforce power imbalances, concentrating decision-making authority in the hands of those who control them. Additionally, they threaten privacy and autonomy through the collection and use of personal data, undermine transparency, accountability, and the ability to challenge algorithmic decisions and diminish trust in institutions and democratic processes. To address these issues, potential solutions to mitigate the negative impact of WMDs include increasing and diminish trust in institutions and democratic processes. To address these issues, potential solutions to mitigate the negative impact of WMDs include increasing accountability and transparency, promoting algorithmic transparency, and addressing the consequences of these systems. By implementing measures that enhance accountability and transparency, it is possible to reduce the harmful effects of WMDs and promote fairness, ethical decision-making, and trust in algorithmic systems.

b) Economic

Algorithmic systems have both positive and negative effects on the economy. On the positive side, algorithms can improve efficiency by automating tasks, reducing costs, and increasing productivity. They can also facilitate personalized recommendations, enhancing customer experiences and driving sales. However, algorithms can also contribute to economic

inequalities by favoring certain businesses or individuals, limiting competition, and consolidating market power. Additionally, algorithmic decision-making may lead to unintended consequences, such as biased outcomes or job displacement, which can negatively impact certain sectors of the economy and worsen income disparities. It is essential to carefully consider the ethical and societal implications of algorithmic systems to ensure a fair and inclusive economic landscape.

c) Environmental

These algorithms can help optimize resource allocation and aid in sustainable planning. However, the energy consumption associated with algorithmic processing and the generation of electronic waste are negative consequences. Mitigating these concerns requires prioritizing energy efficiency, responsible data management, and the adoption of sustainable practices in algorithm development and decision-making processes.

Conclusion - Own thoughts

Cathy O'Neil's book WMDs had a profound impact on me, challenging my assumptions about algorithmic decision-making. The book's exploration of how algorithms shape our lives, often without our awareness, was both eye-opening and unsettling. What struck me most was the book's emphasis on the hidden biases and inequalities perpetuated by algorithmic systems, alarming me with the far-reaching implications of seemingly neutral algorithms. The concept of WMDs itself provoked thought about the power and potential harm of unchecked algorithms, raising critical questions about ethics, human oversight, and regulations. I now approach technology and data-driven processes with a more critical mindset, questioning the fairness and potential harms they may perpetuate.

FINAL CONCLUSION ABOUT BOOK:

The book explores various domains such as education, employment, criminal justice, and advertising, revealing the harmful impacts of algorithms. O'Neil highlights how algorithmic systems reinforce inequality, perpetuate biases, and hinder social mobility. The key themes include the need for fairness, accountability, and human oversight in algorithmic decision-making. The book emphasizes the importance of ethical considerations and calls for a proactive approach to address the challenges posed by algorithmic systems. Through compelling examples and rigorous research, O'Neil prompts readers to question the fairness and transparency of these systems, advocating for responsible and ethical algorithmic decision-making.

References:

1. https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Life_Engineering

2. chrome extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/ https://www.ams.org/publications/journals/notices/201708/rnoti-p933.pdf

3. Julie Rawe, "A Better Way to Rank Colleges?" Time, June 20, 2007, http://content.time.com/time/nation/article/0,8599,1635326,00.html.

4. Sara Rimer, "Baylor Rewards Freshmen Who Retake SAT," New York Times, October 14, 2008, www.nytimes.com/2008/10/15/education/15baylor.html.

5. Meghan Kelly, "96 Percent of Google's Revenue Is Advertising, Who Buys It?," Venture Beat, January 29, 2012, http://venturebeat.com/2012/01/29/google-advertising/.

6. Julia Bowling, "Do Private Prison Contracts Fuel Mass Incarceration?," Brennan Center for Justice Blog, September 20, 2013, https://www.brennancenter.org/our-work/analysis-opinion/do-private-prison-contracts-fuel-mass-incarceration

7. Peter Kerwin, "Study Finds Private Prisons Keep Inmates Longer, Without Reducing Future Crime," University of Wisconsin-Madison News, June 10, 2015, https://news.wisc.edu/study-finds-private-prisons-keep-inmates-longer-without-reducing-future-crime/

8. Mona Abdel-Halim, "12 Ways to Optimize Your Resume for Applicant Tracking Systems," Mashable, May 27, 2012, http://mashable.com/2012/05/27/resume-tracking-systems/.

9. Justine Hofherr, "Starbucks Employees Still Face 'Clopening,' Understaffing, and Irregular Workweeks," Boston.com, September 24, 2015, www.boston.com/jobs/news/2015/09/24/starbucks-employees-still-faceclopening-understaffing-and-irregular-workweeks/FgdhbalfQqC2p1WLaQm2SK/story.html.

10. Gary Rivlin, "The Long Shadow of Bad Credit in a Job Search," New York Times, May 11, 2013, www.nytimes.com/2013/05/12/business/employers-pull-applicants-credit-reports.html.

11. Victor Luckerson, "Here's How Facebook's News Feed Actually Works," Time, July 9, 2015, http://time.com/3950525/facebook-news-feed-algorithm/.