


PPEA


EDYCJA 2023



IPMA[®]
Polish
Project
Excellence
Award

Zaloguj

Zaloguj się by wejść do serwisu




PPEA


EDYCJA 2023

Jan Kowalski


Zgłoszenia projektów




Kryteria PEM



Oceny Indywidualne




Oceny wstępne



Oceny końcowe



Wizyty studyjne



Zgłoszenie 1

Zgłoszenie 3 > Oceny wstępne

Zgłoszenie 2

Kryterium 1

Ocena wstępna

70



Zgłoszenie 3

Kryterium 2

Zgłoszenie 4

Asesor Jan Nowak

Ocena indywidualna 65



Asesor Krzysztof Kowal

Ocena indywidualna 55




Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Pellentesque erat tellus, tincidunt sed dui id, blandit lacinia risus. Sed malesuada blandit ante vitae congue. Etiam in est vel odio tempor convallis vitae eros. Cras eu quam sed urna porta bibendum in vel lacus. Cras eget commodo sapien. Aliquam nec massa eget urna dapibus tempus. Nullam eget pharetra nisi. Aliquam ultrices commodo turpis a pulvinar. Nulla non quam orci. Nam vitae erat nibh. Vestibulum ante ipsum primis in faucibus orci luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia curae; Maecenas turpis tellus, molestie quis eros quis, lacinia viverra neque. Vestibulum at varius risus.

Ocena wstępna

60




Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Pellentesque erat tellus, tincidunt sed dui id, blandit lacinia risus. Sed malesuada blandit ante vitae congue. Etiam in est vel odio tempor convallis vitae eros. Cras eu quam sed urna porta bibendum in vel lacus. Cras eget commodo sapien. Aliquam nec massa eget urna dapibus tempus. Nullam eget pharetra nisi.



PPEA

EDYCJA 2023



Jan Kowalski

Oceny wstępne > Zgłoszenie 1

Średnia: 62,50

Odchylenie standardowe: 5,60

Kryterium 1

Ocena wstępna 70



Kryterium 2

Asesor Jan Nowak

Ocena indywidualna 65



Asesor Krzysztof Kowal

Ocena indywidualna 55




Ocena wstępna

60




Lore ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Pellentesque erat tellus, tincidunt sed dui id, blandit lacinia risus. Sed malesuada blandit ante vitae congue. Etiam in est vel odio tempor convallis vitae eros. Cras eu quam sed urna porta bibendum in vel lacus. Cras eget commodo sapien. Aliquam nec massa eget urna dapibus tempus. Nullam eget pharetra nisi. Aliquam ultrices commodo turpis a pulvinar. Nulla non quam orci. Nam vitae erat nibh. Vestibulum ante ipsum primis in faucibus orci luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia curae; Maecenas turpis tellus, molestie quis eros quis, lacinia viverra neque. Vestibulum at varius risus.

Wystaw ocenę



PPEA

EDYCJA 2023



Jan Kowalski

Oceny wstępne > Zgłoszenie 1

Zgłoszenie projektu:

USOS_v2

ID: PR_001

Zespół: 4 osoby

Termin: 1 miesiąc

Kryterium 3

Typ oceny

Ocena indywidualna

Ocena


60

Uzasadnienie

np. Dokumentacja kompletna albo brak kluczowego elementu itp.


Anuluj

Zatwierdź



PPEA

EDYCJA 2023



Jan Kowalski

Oceny wstępne > Zgłoszenie 1

Zgłoszenie projektu:

USOS_v2

ID: PR_001

Zespół: 4 osoby

Termin: 1 miesiąc


Średnia: 62,00

**Odchylenie
standardowe: 5,10**



Pomyślnie dodano ocenę.

Powrót



PPEA

EDYCJA 2023



Zgłoszenie nowego projektu

Dane projektu

Nazwa:

np. USOS_v2

Edycja konkursu:

2023

Przewodniczący Jury:

Marian Kowalski

Liczba członów zespołu:

0



Termin:

np. 15.10.2023



Budżet:

np. 5 000 zł

Opis:

np. Aplikacja służąca do zarządzania uczelnią.

Pliki

Raport aplikacyjny



List referencyjny



Zdjęcia, logotyp



Film




Oświadczenie

- Inicjatora
- Aplikanta



Anuluj

Podsumowanie



PPEA

EDYCJA 2023



Jan Nowak

Zgłoszenie nowego projektu

Dane projektu

Nazwa:

np. USOS_v2

Edycja konkursu:

2023

Przewodniczący Jury:

Marian Kowalski

Liczba członów zespołu:

4



Termin:

np. 15.10.2023



Budżet:

5 000,50 zł

Opis:

Aplikacja służąca do zarządzania uczelnią.

Pole Termin nie może być puste

Pliki

Raport aplikacyjny



raport.pdf

List referencyjny



list.pdf

Zdjęcia, logotyp



zdjecie1.pdf zdjecie2.pdf
logo.pdf

Film




Oświadczenie

- Inicjatora
- Aplikanta



Anuluj

Podsumowanie



PPEA

EDYCJA 2023



Jan Nowak

Zgłoszenie nowego projektu

Dane projektu

Nazwa:

np. USOS_v2

Edycja konkursu:

2023

Przewodniczący Jury:

Marian Kowalski

Liczba członów zespołu:

4

Budżet:

5 000,50 zł

Opis:

Aplikacja służąca do zarządzania uczelnią.

Podsumowanie

Raport aplikacyjny



raport.pdf

List referencyjny



list.pdf

Zdjęcia, logotyp



zdjecie1.pdf zdjecie2.pdf
logo.pdf

Film



BRAK

Oświadczenie

- Inicjatora
- Aplikanta



BRAK




BRAK

Anuluj


Podany termin: 25.11.2023

Zatwierdź



PPEA

EDYCJA 2023




Jan Kowalski

Zgłoszenie nowego projektu



Pomyślnie zgłoszono projekt do konkursu. Na podany przy rejestracji adres email zostało wysłane potwierdzenie razem z wszystkimi potrzebnymi danymi.

[Powrót](#)



Zgłoszenia

Aktualne

Edycja 2023

Zgłoszenie 98



Archiwum

Edycja 2022

Zgłoszenie 53




Edycja 2021


Zgłoszenie 64



Edycja 2020

Zgłoszenie 12





Zgłoszenia

Aktualne

Edycja 2023

Zgłoszenie 98 

Projekt USOS_v2

(ocena jeszcze może się zmienić)

Szczegóły

Ocena wstępna:

60


Wystawiona przez:


- Jan Nowak
- Marian Nowak
- Jan Kowalski

Archiwum

Edycja 2022

Zgłoszenie 53 





Zgłoszenia

Aktualne

Edycja 2023

Zgłoszenie 98



Archiwum

Edycja 2022

Zgłoszenie 53



Projekt JSOS_v2

(Wystawiona ocena nie podlega zmianie)


Szczegóły

Ocena końcowa:

60

Wystawiona przez:

- Jan Nowak
- Marian Nowak
- Jan Kowalski



Zgłoszenie 98

Zgłoszenie projektu:

USOS_v2

ID: PR_001

Zespół: 4 osoby

Termin: 1 miesiąc

Kryterium 1

Ocena wstępna

70



Kryterium 2

Asesor Krzysztof Kowal

Ocena wstępna

55



Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Pellentesque erat tellus, tincidunt sed dui id, blandit lacinia risus. Sed malesuada blandit ante vitae congue. Etiam in est vel odio tempor convallis vitae eros. Cras eu quam sed urna porta bibendum in vel lacus. Cras eget commodo sapien. Aliquam nec massa eget urna dapibus tempus. Nullam eget pharetra nisi.

Edytuj

Kryterium 3

Ocena wstępna

65




Kryterium 4

Ocena wstępna

55





Zgłoszenie 98

Zgłoszenie projektu:

USOS_v2

ID: PR_001

Zespół: 4 osoby

Termin: 1 miesiąc

Kryterium 1


Ocena wstępna

70



Kryterium 2

Asesor Jan Kowalski



OK

Ocena wstępna

60



Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Pellentesque erat tellus, tincidunt sed dui id, blandit lacinia risus. Sed malesuada blandit ante vitae congue. Etiam in est vel odio tempor convallis vitae eros. Cras eu quam sed urna porta bibendum in vel lacus. Cras eget commodo sapien. Aliquam nec massa eget urna dapibus tempus. Nullam eget pharetra nisi.

Kryterium 3

Ocena wstępna

65




Kryterium 4

Ocena wstępna

55





Zgłoszenie 98

Zgłoszenie projektu:

USOS_v2

ID: PR_001

Zespół: 4 osoby

Termin: 1 miesiąc

Kryterium 1


Ocena wstępna

70



Kryterium 2

Asesor Jan Kowalski



OK

Ocena wstępna

60



Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Pellentesque erat tellus, tincidunt sed dui id, blandit lacinia risus. Sed malesuada blandit ante vitae congue. Etiam in est vel odio tempor convallis vitae eros. Cras eu quam sed urna porta bibendum in vel lacus. Cras eget commodo sapien. Aliquam nec massa eget urna dapibus tempus. Nullam eget pharetra nisi.

Kryterium 3

Ocena wstępna

65



Kryterium 4

Ocena wstępna

55



Ktoś właśnie dokonał zmian, zapisz swoją wersję i odśwież stronę!



IPMA®
Polish
Project
Excellence
Award

EDITION 2023

Select Language ▾

Sign In



IPMA®
Polish
Project
Excellence
Award

SIGN IN

EMAIL

TEST@EMAIL.COM


PASSWORD

PASSWORD


SIGN IN




Zgłoszenia projektów



Oceny wstępne




Kryteria PEM




Oceny końcowe



Oceny Indywidualne



Wizyty studyjne





IPMA®
Polish
Project
Excellence
Award

EDITION 2023

Select Language ▾

[test@example.com](#) [Logout](#)

ACTIVE SUBMISSION

Contest 2023

EcoGlobe



ARCHIVE SUBMISSION

Contest 2022

SpcaeVoyage





ACTIVE SUBMISSION

Contest 2023

EcoGlobe 

EcoGlobe

(this rating might still change)

[DETAILS](#)

Final rating given by Orlando Palladino (Draft version)

Assessors:

- Orlando Palladino
- Palmina Perugio

ARCHIVE SUBMISSION

Contest 2022

SpcaeVoyage 



ACTIVE SUBMISSION

Contest 2023

EcoGlobe



ARCHIVE SUBMISSION

Contest 2022

SpcaeVoyage




SpaceVoyage

Final rating given by Orlando Palladino (Draft version)

Assesors:

- Orlando Palladino
- Palmina Perugio

[DETAILS](#)



[BACK TO THE LIST](#)

[RATINGS](#)

Project Submission EcoGlobe

Current rating:

10

Size of the team

7

Finish date

12.10.2023


Project budget

\$ 20,000.00


Description

Innowacyjny projekt ochrony środowiska.

Application Report




Reference letter



Photos, logo



Movie



Statements

- Initiator
- Apprentice





[BACK TO SUBMISSION DETAILS](#)

Final Rating



Initial Rating



Individual Ratings

[CONFIRM](#)





Initial Rating



Individual Ratings



Role models for excellence




Care for project stakeholders



Orientation towards project objective





Individual Ratings



Role models for excellence



No ratings for this criterion from assessor Orlando Palladino


No ratings for this criterion from assessor Palmina Perugino

Care for project stakeholders



Orientation towards project objective





Individual Ratings



Role models for excellence



No ratings for this criterion from assessor Orlando Palladino

ADD

Assessor Palmina Perugino

INDIVIDUAL

5


Key idea is ok, but there are a lot of things that are not correct.

Care for project stakeholders



Orientation towards project objective





Individual Ratings

Role models for excellence

Assessor Orlando Palladino

INDIVIDUAL

Description:

Explain your choice.

Rating:

10

CANCEL

SAVE


Assessor Palmina Perugino

INDIVIDUAL

5

Key idea is ok, but there are a lot of things that are not correct.

Care for project stakeholders



Individual Ratings

Role models for excellence

Assessor Orlando Palladino

INDIVIDUAL

Description:

You must write description!

Rating:

10

CANCEL

SAVE


Assessor Palmina Perugino

INDIVIDUAL

5

Key idea is ok, but there are a lot of things that are not correct.

Care for project stakeholders



Individual Ratings



Role models for excellence



Assessor Orlando Palladino

INDIVIDUAL

10

EDIT

I didn't see bigger errors. Very good work for this criteria.

Assessor Palmina Perugino

INDIVIDUAL

5


Key idea is ok, but there are a lot of things that are not correct.

Care for project stakeholders



Orientation towards project objective





Initial Rating



Fill every partial rating to submit rating!

Individual Ratings

CONFIRM



Role models for excellence



Care for project stakeholders



Orientation towards project objective





IPMA®
Polish
Project
Excellence
Award

EDITION 2023

Select Language ▾

orlando@email.com Logout

[BACK TO SUBMISSION DETAILS](#)

Final Rating




Initial Rating



Individual Ratings





[BACK TO SUBMISSION DETAILS](#)


Final Rating



Initial Rating



Role models for excellence



Care for project stakeholders



Orientation towards project objective





Initial Rating



Role models for excellence



No ratings for this criterion from assessor Orlando Palladino


No ratings for this criterion from assessor Palmina Perugino

Care for project stakeholders



Orientation towards project objective





Initial Rating



Role models for excellence



No ratings for this criterion from assessor Orlando Palladino

ADD

Assessor Palmina Perugino

INITIAL

5

Key idea is ok, but there are a lot of things that are not correct.

Care for project stakeholders



Orientation towards project objective





Initial Rating



Role models for excellence



Assessor Orlando Palladino

INITIAL

Description:

Explain your choice.

Rating:

10

CANCEL

SAVE

Assessor Palmina Perugino


INITIAL

5

Key idea is ok, but there are a lot of things that are not correct.

Care for project stakeholders





Initial Rating



Role models for excellence



Assessor Orlando Palladino

INITIAL

Description:

You must write description!

Rating:

10

CANCEL

SAVE

Assessor Palmina Perugino


INITIAL

5

Key idea is ok, but there are a lot of things that are not correct.

Care for project stakeholders





Initial Rating

Someone changed the rating while you were editing, please save your work and refresh the page!

Role models for excellence

Assessor Orlando Palladino

INITIAL

Description:

I didn't see bigger errors. Very good work for this criteria.

Rating:

10 ▲▼

CANCEL

SAVE


Assessor Palmina Perugino

INITIAL

5

Key idea is ok, but there are a lot of things that are not correct.

Care for project stakeholders



Initial Ratings



Role models for excellence



Assessor Orlando Palladino

INITIAL

10

EDIT

I didn't see bigger errors. Very good work for this criteria.

Assessor Palmina Perugino

INITIAL

5

Key idea is ok, but there are a lot of things that are not correct.

Care for project stakeholders



Orientation towards project objective





IPMA®
Polish
Project
Excellence
Award


EDITION 2023

Select Language ▾

orlando@email.com Logout

[BACK TO SUBMISSION DETAILS](#)

Final Rating



Initial Rating



Individual Ratings





Final Rating



Study visits




Care for project stakeholders



Orientation towards project objective





Final Rating



Study visits



Questions

Answers

Files

Were quality requirements approved?

Yes, see attachements.



No files

Was project well documented?

No, there are some missing components,
see attachment.




No files

No, activity diagram is missing.

The activity diagram isn't necessary for this use
case, so I don't see a problem

No files



Final Rating



Role models for excellence



No ratings for this criterion from assessor Orlando Palladino


No ratings for this criterion from assessor Palmina Perugino

Care for project stakeholders



Orientation towards project objective





Final Rating



Role models for excellence



No ratings for this criterion from assessor Orlando Palladino

ADD

Assessor Palmina Perugino

FINAL

5


Key idea is ok, but there are a lot of things that are not correct.

Care for project stakeholders



Orientation towards project objective





Final Rating



Role models for excellence



Assessor Orlando Palladino

FINAL

Description:

Explain your choice.

Rating:

10

CANCEL

SAVE

Assessor Palmina Perugino


FINAL

5

Key idea is ok, but there are a lot of things that are not correct.

Care for project stakeholders





Final Rating



Role models for excellence



Assessor Orlando Palladino

FINAL

Description:

You must write description!

Rating:

10

CANCEL

SAVE

Assessor Palmina Perugino


FINAL

5

Key idea is ok, but there are a lot of things that are not correct.

Care for project stakeholders





Final Rating

Someone changed the rating while you were editing, please save your work and refresh the page!

Role models for excellence

Assessor Orlando Palladino

FINAL

Description:

I didn't see bigger errors. Very good work for this criteria.

Rating:

10 ▲▼

CANCEL

SAVE

Assessor Palmina Perugino

FINAL

5

Key idea is ok, but there are a lot of things that are not correct.

Care for project stakeholders



Final Ratings



Role models for excellence



Assessor Orlando Palladino

FINAL

10

EDIT

I didn't see bigger errors. Very good work for this criteria.

Assessor Palmina Perugino

FINAL

5

Key idea is ok, but there are a lot of things that are not correct.

Care for project stakeholders



Orientation towards project objective

