FYS3150 - Project 3

Vegard Falmår and Sigurd Sørlie Rustad

University of Oslo
Norway
October 30, 2020

CONTENTS

Abstract om du vil

I. INTRODUCTION

In this report we will study the solar system in three dimensions.

For our studies we have used c++ for heavy computation, python for visualization and bash for automation. All the code along with instructions on how to run it, can be cloned from our GitHub repository here[1].

II. THEORY

A. Newton's laws and the motion of planets

The well-known Newtons second law reads

$$\sum \mathbf{F} = m\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \mathbf{r}}{dt^2}, \tag{1}$$

where $\sum \mathbf{F}$ is the sum of forces acting on an object, m is its mass and $\mathbf{r}$ its position.

Newton's law of gravity says that the total gravitational force acting on an object i from all the other objects in a system is

$$F_{G,i} = -Gm_i \sum_{j\neq i} m_j \frac{\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|^3} \tag{2}$$

where $\mathbf{r}_i$ are the positions and m_i are the masses of the objects. G is the gravitational constant.

For the motions of planets, on which the gravitational force is the only force acting, the two equations 1 and 2 combined give us the differential equation that describes the motion of an object:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \mathbf{r}_i}{dt^2} = \frac{1}{m_i} F_{G,i} = -G \sum_{j\neq i} m_j \frac{\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|^3} \tag{3}$$

B. Velocity Verlet

Classical problem of Newtonian mechanics often involve solvinga set of two coupled first order differential equations, namely

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{dt} = v$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}v}{dt} = a$$

where x is position, v is velocity and a is acceleration. Doing a Taylor expansion of x around a point in time

---

[1] github.com/sigurdru/FYS3150/tree/master/Project2

$t = t_0$ gives

$$x(t) = x(t_0) + (t - t_0)\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{dt}(t_0) + \frac{1}{2}(t-t_0)^2 \frac{\mathrm{d}^2x}{dt^2}(t_0) + O(h^3)$$
$$= x(t_0) + (t-t_0)v(t_0) + \frac{1}{2}(t-t_0)^2 a + O(h^3)$$

An expression can then be found for position at a time $t = t_0 \pm h$:

$$x(t_0 \pm h) = x(t_0) \pm hv(t_0) + \frac{1}{2}h^2 a \pm O(h^3) \tag{4}$$

Discretizing equation 4 and letting $x_i = x(t)$, $x_{i+1} = x(t+h)$, $v_i = v(t)$ and $v_{i+1} = v(t+h)$, we get

$$x_{i+1} \approx x_i + hv_i + \frac{h^2}{2}a_i$$
$$v_{i+1} \approx v_i + ha_i + \frac{h^2}{2}\dot{a}_i,$$

where $\dot{a}_i$ is the derivative of the acceleration with respect to time. Similarly to the case of Forward Euler, doing a Taylor expansion of a gives after some manipulation

$$\dot{a}_i \approx \frac{a_{i+1} - a_i}{h} \tag{5}$$

Insering this into the expressions we have for x_{i+1} and v_{i+1} gives us the equations that describe the Velocity Verlet method:

$$x_{i+1} \approx x_i + hv_i + \frac{h^2}{2}a_i$$
$$v_{i+1} \approx v_i + \frac{h}{2}(a_{i+1} + a_i)$$

From equation 4 we see that the mathematical error in this approximation goes like $O(h^3)$.

C. Forward Euler

From equation 4 we see that by including only the two first terms in the Taylor expansion of $x(t)$ and $v(t)$ we get

$$x_{i+1} \approx x_i + hv_i$$
$$v_{i+1} \approx v_i + ha_i$$

This is referred to as the Forward Euler method of solving differential equations. The mathematical error in this approximation goes like $O(h^2)$.

D. Conservation of angular momentum and energy

Kepler's second law states that if you draw a line from the Sun to a planet orbiting it, then that line would sweep

out the same area in equal periods of time. We will use this law to derive the conservation of angular momentum.

For short periods of time dt the area swept out by the line from the Sun to the planet is approximately a triangle with area

$$A = \frac{1}{2}rv_\theta dt$$

where r is the distance from the planet to the sun and v_θ is the tangential velocity of the planet. Kepler's second law states that this area is constant for all intervalls of time of the same length. For this to be true, we must require

$$rv_\theta = \text{constant}$$

The angular momentum $\mathbf{L}$ of the planet around the Sun is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{L} &= \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p} \\
&= r\hat{\mathbf{i}}_r \times m(v_\theta\hat{\mathbf{i}}_\theta + v_r\hat{\mathbf{i}}_r) \\
&= mrv_\theta\hat{\mathbf{k}}, \quad \text{as } \hat{\mathbf{i}}_r \times \hat{\mathbf{i}}_\theta = \hat{\mathbf{k}} \text{ and } \hat{\mathbf{i}}_r \times \hat{\mathbf{i}}_r = 0 \\
&= \text{constant}
\end{aligned}$$

Newton's gravitational force is conservative, therefore the energy of our system should be conserved. Kinetic energy is given by

$$K = \frac{1}{2}mv^2, \tag{6}$$

where K is the kinetic energy, m is the mass and v is the velocity. The potential energy of an object (with reference point set to infinity) in a gravitational field is given by

$$U = G\sum_i \frac{Mm_i}{r_i} \tag{7}$$

Where U is the potential energy, G the gravitational constant, r_i the relative distance between the objects, m_i mass of an object creating the gravitational field, and M mass of the object we want to find the energy of.

E. Escape velocity

The inverse square law of gravity (Newton's law of gravity) is a conservative force with a potential

$$U_G(r) = -G\frac{m_1 m_2}{r} \tag{8}$$

The sum of this potential energy and the kinetic energy will be conserved for celestial objects. In order to escape the gravitational pull of the Sun, a planet in orbit must have a total energy $E = U_G + K \geq 0$ as the potential energy goes to zero infinitely far away:

$$\begin{aligned}
E = U_G + K &\geq 0 \\
K &\geq -U_G \\
\frac{1}{2}Mv^2 &\geq G\frac{MM_\odot}{r} \\
v &\geq \sqrt{G\frac{2M_\odot}{r}}
\end{aligned}$$

A planet which begins at a distance 1 AU from the Sun, will therefore need an initial velocity of

$$\begin{aligned}
v_0 &= \sqrt{G\frac{2M_\odot}{1 \text{ AU}}} \\
&= \sqrt{8\pi^2\frac{\text{AU}^2}{\text{yr}^2}} \\
&= 2\sqrt{2}\pi\frac{\text{AU}}{\text{yr}} \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

in order to be able to escape the gravitational pull of the Sun.

F. Adjusting speed and position of the center of mass

When you have a planetary system, all the planets orbit a common center of mass. This point can have a velocity, meaning that if we don't account for it, the system will drift when we simulate. There are many ways to account for this drift, however we choose to adjust the velocity of all the objects, such that there are none. In order to do this you first have to find the velocity. This is done by first finding the momentum

$$\mathbf{p}_{\text{CM}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i\mathbf{v_i}$$

and then dividing by the total mass of the system

$$\mathbf{v}_{\text{CM}} = \frac{1}{M}\mathbf{p}_{\text{CM}} = \frac{1}{M}\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i\mathbf{v_i}. \tag{10}$$

Where $\mathbf{p}_{\text{CM}}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{\text{CM}}$ is the momentum and velocity of the center of mass. M the total mass of the system, n the number of planets and, m_i and $\mathbf{v_i}$ the mass and velocity of the individual planets. By subtracting $\mathbf{v}_{\text{CM}}$ from the velocity of every planet, the center of mass should not drift. Similarly, we can place the origin in the center of mass. This is done by first finding the position:

$$\mathbf{r}_{\text{CM}} = \frac{1}{M}\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i\mathbf{r_i}, \tag{11}$$

where $\mathbf{r}_{\text{CM}}$ is the center of mass position and r_i the individual planets positions. Then we can do the same as above, subtract $\mathbf{r}_{\text{CM}}$ from the positions of all the planets. Then with the center of mass placed in the origin with zero velocity, it should not move.

G. The perihelion precession of Mercury

We know, because of general relativity, that Newtons law of gravitation is not entirely correct. An example of where we see this effect is in the precession of Mercury's perihelion. Even when taking into account the gravitational force from all the other planets in the solar-system, Newtons law of gravitation cannot explain how the perihelion moves around the sun. Therefore, when we have relativistic effects, we add a correction. For binary systems this becomes (see [1])

$$F_{1\to 2} = \frac{GM_1 M_2}{r^2}\left[1 + \frac{3l^2}{r^2 c^2}\right]. \tag{12}$$

Where $F_{1\to 2}$ is the force acting from object one on two, G the gravitational constant, M_1 and M_2 are the masses of object one and two, r their relative position, l the magnitude of object two's orbital angular momentum and c the speed of light.

III. METHODS

A. Discretization of the equations of motion

Equation 3 is the equation of motion that describes the system of planets and stars in motion. We want to solve this equation numerically for all the planets in our solar system. In order to do this we must discretize the equations. We can rewrite the equation as a set of first order differential equations using the velocity:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} = \mathbf{v}$$
$$\frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} = -G\sum_{j\neq i} m_j \frac{\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|^3}$$

The Verlet is not a self-starting algorithm. Mainly applicable when we are not interested in the velocity Velocity Verlet is energy conserving, Forward Euler is not

B. Units of measurement

Measuring distance in meters and time in seconds leads to very large numbers when doing calculations on the solar system. In order to do our calculations with numbers of magnitudes closer to 10^0, we will measure time in years and distance in astronomical units AU, which is the mean distance between the Sun and the Earth. For an object in circular motion with radius R and period T, the acceleration is given by the sentripetal acceleration

$$a = \frac{v^2}{r} = \frac{(2\pi R/T)^2}{R} = \frac{4\pi^2 R}{T^2}$$

With a small error, we can model the orbit of the Earth around the Sun as circular. To further simplify the expressions, we will measure masses in solar masses $M_\odot$.

For the Earth's orbit we have $R = 1$ AU and $T = 1$ yr. This means that the force acting on the Earth from the Sun is

$$F_{G,\text{Earth}} = G\frac{M_{\text{Earth}} M_\odot}{\text{AU}^2}$$
$$= M_{\text{Earth}} a_{\text{Earth}}$$
$$\approx M_{\text{Earth}}\frac{4\pi^2 \text{AU}}{\text{yr}^2}$$
$$G \approx 4\pi^2 \frac{\text{AU}^3}{M_\odot \text{yr}^2}$$

In units of AU, $M_\odot$ and yr the gravitational constant has a value of approximately 4π.

C. The data from NASA

When we study orbits in our solar-system, we want them to be realistic. Therefore we use actual data from NASA (see [2]), that provides us the real positions, velocities and masses of planets and moons in our solar system. The data shows positions and velocities from the perspective of the barycenter, which is the center of mass. In our solvers, we still adjust for , because it allows us to test for data which does not have this property.

D. Testing the stability of the algorithms

In order to make sure our algorithms runs correctly, we want to test it. Our first test will be to simulate the simplest possible system, namely earth orbiting the sun. We place the sun in origin and with zero velocity. The earth orbits with a distance of $r = 1$AU away from the sun, and makes a full orbit every year. This gives it an initial velocity of $v = 2\pi$ AU/yr. This system however will have a center of mass that drifts. In order to counteract this we will move the origin to where the center of mass is, and zero its velocity. See the theory section on how this is done.

Testing for different time steps Δt, we can look at the stability of velocity Verlet and Euler's forward method. Now we have to decide on what constitutes a stable orbit. Even though we adjust the barycenters drift, this effect is neglishable and we should still expect the earths orbit to have a distance of 1AU away from the barycenter. Therefore we will test for different Δt and see how the distance varies from our expected value. We do each test over 10 years, and calculate the difference every $0.01/\Delta t$ steps (100 times).

Another test will be conservation of kinetic and potential energy. As mentioned above, the earth should have a constant distance away from the barycenter, meaning potential energy is conserved. Earths speed should also be the same, meaning kinetic energy stays the same. Therefore, we will test for this as well.

The last test of our algorithm will be conservation of angular momentum. In the theory section, from Kepler's second law, we showed that angular momentum is conserved. Therefore we will also test whether that is the case for our Earth-Sun system. Adding some complexity, as well as testing it for the near ideal orbit over, we tested conservation of angular momentum with the initial conditions collected from NASA (see [2]).

E. Unit tests

We are going to test several widely different systems. In order to reassure ourselves everything runs correct, we are going to have unit tests during simulations. We will test for conserved quantities, namely energy and angular momentum. More tests and smaller tolerances, gives us more reassurance that our results are correct. This however, will be at the cost of computing time. We decide to test every $0.01/\Delta t$ step, giving us a total of 100 tests every simulation. The tolerance for energy and angular momentum have to depend on the simulation. For longer simulations we expect to see larger errors than for short ones.

F. Escape velocity

An interesting test to do, is to see if we can find the escape velocity. We found the analytical term in the theory section (see equation (9)), for an object 1AU away from our sun. We can test for different initial velocities, se for what velocity the planet escapes, then compare to the analytical result. There are two things we have to decide, how we test for different velocities, and how do we know whether or not we have the right velocity.
From the analytical term we know that a speed of 8AU/yr should be bound. Therefore that will be the first velocity, and increase with 0.01AU/yr, until we have escaped. The initial velocity will always point radially away from the sun, to make the simulation easier. Because the initial velocity is pointing radially away from the sun, we know it is not bound, if the velocity ever points back towards the sun in our simulation.
Now how do we know if a planet has escaped? We know that to be the case analytically, when the potential energy is zero. However we cannot be that precise in our simulations. Therefore we decide on a tolerance, such that if the planet has a lower potential energy than the tolerance, we say the planet has escaped.

G. Many-body problem

H. General relativity

Like we discussed in the theory section, newtons law of gravitation is not entirely correct. For example it does not explain the precession of Mercury's orbit. Therefore we try to implement an relativistic correction into our model (see equation (12)). From [1] we know that the observed value for Mercury's perihelion precession is around $43''$. This is with all interactions from other planets accounted for. Therefore, we are going to see if we can reproduce this, with only Mercury and the sun. We neglect the gravitational interactions on the sun, because it is around seven orders of magnitude larger than Mercury. With this in mind we can place the stationary sun in origin, and from [1] we have the initial conditions for Mercury. Starting velocity is 12.44AU/yr in the y-direction, and an initial position in its perihelion, an x-position of 0.3075AU.
We do the simulation for 100 years, and then find the new perihelion. We cannot guarantee that Mercury is in the perihelion after 100 years, therefore we let the simulation go on for around 90 more days (reassuring us that Mercury completes another orbit), then finding the closest position to the sun (which is the perihelion). With the new position of the perihelion, we can easily calculate its precession with

$$\tan(\theta_P) = \frac{y_P}{x_P}.$$

Where θ_P is precession angle, x_P and y_P is the x- and y-position of the new perihelion.
Because we are running the simulation for such a long time, we use Velocity Verlet. Choosing a low enough time step is the biggest challenge. Our solution to this is testing for different time steps and comparing the results. Lowering it by a factor of 10^{-1} until we are satisfied, or until the computation time takes over an hour.

IV. RESULTS

A. Testing the algorithm

blah

B. Different forms of gravitational force

blah

C. Escape velocity

The theoretical escape velocity is known to be $V_{\text{teo}} = 2\pi\sqrt{2} \approx 8.89$AU/yr (this is from equation (9)). When finding the escape velocity we had to test for different tolerances. Lower gave more precise results, however at the cost of computation time. We found that with a tolerance of $\epsilon = 0.001 \text{M}_\odot \text{AU}^2/\text{yr}^2$ we got a escape velocity of $v_{\text{esc}} = 8.89$AU/yr, which corresponds to the theoretical value. Time step during simulation was set to 10^{-4}yr.

D.   Many-body problem

3-body, alle planeter

E.   General relativity

We ended up doing the tests for three different time steps (see I). Notice that with a time step of around 3.16 seconds, we got a precession of $43.2592''$ which is quite close to the theoretical value of $43''$. Time step of 31.56 seconds was also quite close, with a value of $40.4317''$. Our first result however, showed precession in the opposite direction.

Timestep [s]	Integration points N	Result [arcseconds]
315.56	10^7	-0.792203
31.56	10^8	40.4317
3.16	10^9	43.2592

Table I. In this table you have the different time steps we tested for, in units of seconds. The second column shows the number of integration points needed, and the last column is our results in arcseconds.

We wanted to test for smaller time steps, however we exceeded the maximum array-length. Also, because our last result were quite close to the theoretical, we opted against it.

V.   DISCUSSION

discuss eventual differences between the verlet algorithm and the euler algorithm. Consider also the numver of flops involved and perform a timing of the two algorithm for equal final times.

VI.   CONCLUSION

[1] Department of Physics, Univeristy of Oslo, Fall semester 2020, Computational Physics I FYS3150/FYS4150, Project 3.

[2] Ryan S. Park, Alan B. Chamberlin, NASA, 27. October 2020, https://ssd.jpl.nasa.gov/horizons.cgi#top.