Lab 3

Hanjing Wang(516030910015)

**Database System** 

Prof. Feifei Li

ACM Class, Zhiyuan College, SJTU

Due Date: May 23, 2019

**Design decisions** 

simpledb.Join

This class is a implementation of **Block Nested-Loops Join**. The mothed optimized the plain

Nested-Loops Join by caching the outer relation block, which could significantly reduce the IO

costs by a constant factor, ideally the IO costs of loading the cached block. The cache size is

controlled by the argument Join.BLOCKSIZE.

simpledb.HashEquiJoin

In this class, students are required to finish a Hash Join alogorithm. Instead of caching the

outer relation block, we built a hash table of the inner relation. The join operation could be

done more efficiently since the matching and filering procedure are replaced by the calculation

of hash value. However, we made a assumption here that the inner relation can be stored in

the memory entirely. Because if we need to implement a block hash join algorithm, then we

need to explicitly design a hash algorithm applying to strings and integers, which is tricky and

not the central consideration of the lab exercise.

simpledb.IntegerAggregator/StringAggregator

simpledb.IntegerAggregator.Rec, considered as the wrapper of a certain group, is introduced

here. First, we create a hash table of groups according to the value of their groupby field. When

the merge method is called, the group information of the new tuple is determine, and then the

corresponding group wrapper executes merging operation. Finally, a tuple list is contructed

based on the attributes of the wrappers and its iterator is returned.

**Difficulties** 

I spent roughly 12 hours on the lab3, and the most time-consuming part is the implementa-

tion of Hash Join algorithm. There are some confusing points in both the JavaDocs and the

annotations from my personal persepective. For example, according to the annotations of

1

**HeapPage.java**, if a tuple is deleted from a certain page then it has to be updated indicating it no longer belongs to the page. The most straightforward way for me would be set its RecordId to be null after deletion. Hoewever, in the test case, the RecordId of a tuple is called after its deletion. It would be better if the JavaDocs could be more detailed.