

## August 2013

### 1.

Let  $\{B_t\}$  be the Brownian motion, and let  $\sigma$  be the last visit to the level 0 before  $t = 1$ , i.e.,

$$\sigma = \sup\{t \leq 1 : B_t = 0\}.$$

1. Show that  $\sigma$  is not a stopping time
2. Show that

$$\sigma \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{X^2}{X^2 + Y^2}$$

where  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent unit normals.

#### Solution.

1. Since  $B_t$  is BM,  $B_t^2 - t$  is a martingale. For the sake of contradiction, suppose  $\sigma$  is a stopping time. Then since  $\sigma$  is bounded, we may apply the Bounded optional sampling theorem,

$$\mathbb{E}[B_\sigma^2 - \sigma] = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[\sigma] = 0.$$

This, implies  $\mathbb{P}[\sigma = 0] = 1$ . However, we know that  $\sigma$  has an arcsine distribution, so

$$\mathbb{P}[\sigma = 0] = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin(0) = 0,$$

a contradiction,

2.  $\{\sigma \leq t\} = \{\sup_{t \leq s \leq 1} B_s < 0\} \cup \{\inf_{t \leq s \leq 1} B_s > 0\}$ , so since  $(\sup_{t \leq s \leq 1} B_s - B_t, B_t) \sim (|B_1 - B_t|, B_t)$  and  $|B_1 - B_t|$  and  $B_t$  are independent.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[\sigma \leq t] &= 2\mathbb{P}[\sup_{t \leq s \leq 1} B_s < 0] = 2\mathbb{P}[\sup_{t \leq s \leq 1} (B_s - B_t) \leq -B_t] = 2\mathbb{P}[M_{1-t} < -B_t] = 2\mathbb{P}[|B_1 - B_t| < -B_t] \\ &= \mathbb{P}[|B_1 - B_t| < |B_t|] = \mathbb{P}[(B_1 - B_t)^2 < B_t^2] \end{aligned}$$

Since  $B_1 - B_t \sim N(0, (1-t)) \sim \sqrt{1-t}X$ , and  $B_t \sim N(0, t) \sim \sqrt{t}Y$  where  $X, Y \sim N(0, 1)$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}[\sigma \leq t] = \mathbb{P}[(1-t)X^2 < tY^2] = \mathbb{P}\left[\frac{X^2}{X^2 + Y^2} < t\right].$$

$$\text{so } \sigma \sim \frac{X^2}{X^2 + Y^2}.$$

### 2.

Let  $M$  and  $N$  be two continuous local martingales. Show that  $\mathcal{E}(M)\mathcal{E}(N)$  is a local martingale if and only if  $MN$  is a local martingale.

**Solution.** By the definition of quadratic covariation,  $MN$  is a local martingale if and only if  $\langle M, N \rangle = 0$ . Further,  $\mathcal{E}(M)\mathcal{E}(N) = \mathcal{E}(M+N)\mathcal{E}(\langle M, N \rangle) = \mathcal{E}(M+N)\exp(\langle M, N \rangle)$ . Since  $M+N$  is a local martingale,  $\mathcal{E}$  is a local martingale. Thus, if  $MN$  is a local martingale,  $\langle M, N \rangle = 0$ , so  $\mathcal{E}(M)\mathcal{E}(N) = \mathcal{E}(M+N)e^0 = \mathcal{E}(M+N)$ , so  $\mathcal{E}(M)\mathcal{E}(N)$  is a local martingale.

$$\langle \mathcal{E}(M)\mathcal{E}(N) \rangle = \int_0^t \mathcal{E}(M)\mathcal{E}(N)d\langle M, N \rangle = 0$$

Since  $\mathcal{E}(M)\mathcal{E}(N) > 0$ ,  $\langle M, N \rangle$  must be constant (?). So, since  $\langle M, N \rangle_0 = 0$ ,  $\langle M, N \rangle = 0$ , so  $MN$  is a local martingale.

### 3.

Let  $\{B_t\}$  be a Brownian motion. For  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , compute

$$\mathbb{P}[B_t + ct < 1, \forall t \geq 0].$$

**Solution.** Let  $X_t = B_t + ct$ . For  $c > 0$ ,  $\mathbb{P}[B_t + ct < 1, \forall t \geq 0] = 0$ . Thus, assume  $c \leq 0$ . For Brownian motion  $B_t$ , we know that the exponential martingale  $\exp(\lambda B_t - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 t)$  is a martingale for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, we can choose  $\lambda = -2c$ , so that  $M_t = \exp(-2c(B_t + ct)) = \exp(-2cX_t)$ . Since  $c < 0$ ,  $M_t$  is a martingale such that  $M_t \rightarrow 0$  and  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Define  $\tau = \inf\{t \geq 0 : M_t = \exp(-2c)\}$ . Since  $M_t^\tau$  is bounded and therefore uniformly integrable, by the optional sampling theorem

$$\mathbb{E}[M_\tau] = \mathbb{P}[\tau < \infty] \exp(-2c) + \mathbb{P}[\tau = \infty](0) = \mathbb{E}[M_0] = 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}[\tau < \infty] = \exp(2c)$$

$$\mathbb{P}[X_t < 1 \forall t \geq 0] = \mathbb{P}[\sup_{t \geq 0} X_t < 1] = \mathbb{P}[\sup_{t \geq 0} M_t < \exp(-2c)] = 1 - \mathbb{P}[\tau < \infty] = 1 - \exp(2c).$$

## January 2014

### 1.

Let  $(M_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$  be a submartingale and let  $\lambda > 0$ . Show that

$$\lambda \mathbb{P}(\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} M_t \geq \lambda) \leq \mathbb{E}[M_t \mathbf{1}_{\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} M_t \geq \lambda}].$$

1. Consider a one-dimensional Brownian motion at  $B_0 = 0$ . Let  $u, v : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $u$  is  $C^1$ , strictly increasing and  $u(0) = 0$ . Assume also that  $v(t) \neq 0$  for each  $t$  and  $v$  has bounded variation. Show that the process

$$X_t = v(t)B_{u(t)}$$

is a semi-martingale and the martingale part is  $\int_0^t v(s)dB_{u(s)}$ .

2. Show that the martingale part is a Brownian motion if and only if  $v^2(s)u'(s) = 1$  for each  $s$
3. Find  $u, v$  such that  $X$  defined above is an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process with parameter  $\beta$ ,  $dX_t = \beta X_t dt + d\gamma_t$  for some Brownian motion  $\gamma$ .

**Solution.** Let  $(M_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$  be a submartingale. Then

$$\lambda \mathbb{P}[\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} M_t \geq \lambda] = \mathbb{E}[\lambda \mathbf{1}_{\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} M_t \geq \lambda}] \leq \mathbb{E}[M_t \mathbf{1}_{\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} M_t \geq \lambda}].$$

1. First, let's show that  $M_t = \int_0^t v(s)dB_{u(s)}$  is a martingale. Let  $r < t$ . Let  $\{\Delta_n\}$  be a sequence of partitions such that  $\Delta_n \rightarrow \text{Id}$ . Then let  $M_t^n = \sum_{k=1}^n v(t_k)(B_{t_k \wedge t} - B_{t_{k-1} \wedge t})$ . So,  $\mathbb{E}[M_t^n | \mathcal{F}_r] = \sum_{k=1}^n v(t_k) \mathbb{E}[(B_{t_k \wedge t} - B_{t_{k-1} \wedge t}) | \mathcal{F}_r] = \sum_{k=1}^n v(t_k)(B_{t_k \wedge r} - B_{t_{k-1} \wedge r}) = M_r^n$ . Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $M_t$  is a martingale. Now, we want to show that  $X_t - \int_0^t v(s)dB_{u(s)}$  is of finite variation. To do this we look at the total variation,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup \sum_{i=1}^k |v(t_i)B_{u(t_i)} - \int_0^{t_i} v(s)dB_{u(s)} - v(t_{i-1})B_{u(t_{i-1})} + \int_0^{t_{i-1}} v(s)dB_{u(s)}| \\ &= \sup \sum_{i=1}^k |(v(t_i)B_{u(t_i)} - v(t_{i-1})B_{u(t_{i-1})}) + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} v(s)dB_{u(s)}| \end{aligned}$$

2. By the Lévy characterization of a Brownian motion, we just need  $\langle \int_0^t v(s)dB_{u(s)} \rangle = t$ . Let  $M_t = \int_0^t v(s)dB_{u(s)}$ . Then  $d\langle M_t \rangle = dM_t dM_t = v(t)^2 dB_{u(t)} dB_{u(t)} = v(t)^2 du(t)$ , so  $\langle M \rangle_t = \int_0^t v(s)^2 du(s) = \int_0^t v(s)^2 u'(s) ds = t$ . Since  $v^2(s), u'(s) \geq 0 \forall s \in [0, \infty)$ ,  $v(s)^2 u'(s) = 1 \forall s$ .
3. Since  $X_t$  is a semimartingale, we can apply Ito's formula,

$$dX_t = v(t)dB_{u(t)} + B_{u(t)}dv(t).$$

To be a OU process, need  $\int_0^t v(s)dB_{u(s)}$  to be a Brownian motion, which implies  $v^2 u' = 1$  from above and  $B_{u(t)}dv(t) = \beta v(t)B_{u(t)}dt$ . This occurs when  $dv(t) = \beta v(t)dt$ , so  $v(t) = Ce^{\beta t}$ ,  $C \neq 0$ . Then since  $v^2 u' = 1$ , implies  $u'(t) = C^{-2}e^{-2\beta t}$ . Thus,  $u(t) = -\frac{1}{2C^2\beta}e^{-2\beta t} + D$ . Since  $u(0) = 0$ ,  $D = \frac{1}{2C^2\beta}$ , so  $u(t) = \frac{1}{2C^2\beta}(1 - e^{-2\beta t})$ .

### 2.

(Range of Brownian Motion) Let  $B$  be a one-dimensional BM starting at zero. Define

$$S_t = \max_{s \leq t} B_s, \quad I_t = \inf_{s \leq t} B_s, \quad \theta_c = \inf\{t : S_t - I_t = c\},$$

for some  $c > 0$ .

1. Show that for each  $\lambda$ , the process

$$M_t = \cosh(\lambda(S_t - B_t)) \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda^2 t}{2}\right)$$

is a martingale.

2. Prove that  $\mathbb{E}[\exp(-\frac{\lambda^2 \theta_c}{2})] = \frac{2}{1 + \cosh(\lambda c)}$ .

**Solution**

1. Let  $0 \leq s < t$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}[\exp(\lambda(S_t - B_t))|\mathcal{F}_s] &= \mathbb{E}[\exp(\lambda((S_t - B_t) - (S_s - B_s) + (S_s - B_s))|\mathcal{F}_s)] \\
 &= \exp(\lambda(S_s - B_s))\mathbb{E}[\exp(\lambda((S_t - S_s) - (B_t - B_s))|\mathcal{F}_s)] \\
 \mathbb{E}[\exp(\lambda((S_t - S_s) - (B_t - B_s))|\mathcal{F}_s] &= \mathbb{E}[\exp(\lambda(|B_t - B_s|))] = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{\lambda x} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2(t-s)}} dx \\
 &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi(t-s)}} e^{\frac{\lambda^2(t-s)}{2}} 2 \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{x^2}{2(t-s)} + \lambda x - \frac{\lambda^2(t-s)}{2}} dx \\
 &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi(t-s)}} e^{\frac{\lambda^2(t-s)}{2}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2(t-s)}} - \frac{\lambda\sqrt{t-s}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2} dx \\
 &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi(t-s)}} e^{\frac{\lambda^2(t-s)}{2}} \sqrt{2(t-s)} \int_0^\infty e^{-u^2} du \\
 &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{\frac{\lambda^2(t-s)}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} = e^{\frac{\lambda^2(t-s)}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $\mathbb{E}[\exp(-\lambda(S_t - B_t))|\mathcal{F}_s] = \exp(\lambda(S_s - B_s))e^{\frac{\lambda^2(t-s)}{2}}$ . Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}[\cosh(\lambda(S_t - B_t))|\mathcal{F}_s] = \cosh(\lambda(S_s - B_s))e^{\frac{\lambda^2(t-s)}{2}}$$

which implies

$$\mathbb{E}[M_t|\mathcal{F}_s] = \cosh(\lambda(S_s - B_s))e^{\frac{\lambda^2(t-s)}{2}} e^{-\frac{\lambda^2 t}{2}} = M_s.$$

So  $M_t$  is a martingale.

2. The stopped martingale  $M_t^{\theta_c}$  is bounded and thus uniformly integrable. So, by the optional sampling theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}[M_{\theta_c}] &= \mathbb{E}[\cosh(\lambda(S_{\theta_c} - B_{\theta_c}))\exp(-\frac{\lambda^2 \theta_c}{2})] = \mathbb{E}[M_0] = 1 \\
 \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[\exp(-\frac{\lambda^2 \theta_c}{2})] &= \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}[\cosh(\lambda(S_{\theta_c} - B_{\theta_c}))]}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $B_{\theta_c} = S_{\theta_c}$  or  $B_{\theta_c} = I_{\theta_c}$  with equal probability (by the symmetry of BM),

$$\mathbb{E}[\cosh(\lambda(S_{\theta_c} - B_{\theta_c}))] = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cosh(\lambda c).$$

So,

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(-\frac{\lambda^2 \theta_c}{2})] = \frac{2}{1 + \cosh(\lambda c)}.$$

## August 2014

### 2.

Let  $(W_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$  a BM (defined only up to time one). Show that the two dimensional vector

$$\left( W_1, \int_0^1 \operatorname{sgn}(W_s) dW_s \right)$$

has the following properties:

1. both marginals are normal  $N(0, 1)$
2. however, it is NOT a joint normal random vector

**Solution.**

1. From the definition of BM,  $W_1 = W_1 - W_0 \sim N(0, 1)$ . Let  $\Delta_n$  be a sequence of partitions of  $[0, 1]$  such that  $\Delta_n \rightarrow Id$ . Then  $M_t^n = \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{sgn}(W_{t_i})(W_{t_i} - W_{t_{i-1}})$ . From the definition of BM, these increments  $W_{t_i} - W_{t_{i-1}}$  are independent and  $\operatorname{sgn}(W_{t_i})(W_{t_i} - W_{t_{i-1}}) \sim N(0, t_i - t_{i-1})$ . Thus, since  $\Delta_n$  is a partition of  $[0, 1]$ ,  $M_t^n \sim N(0, 1) \forall n$ . Thus, since  $M_t^n \rightarrow M_t$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $M_t \sim N(0, 1)$ .

- 2.

### 3.

(Stratonovich integral and chain rule) For two continuous semi-martingales  $X$  and  $Y$  (on the same space and filtration), we define the Stratonovich integral

$$\int_0^t X_s \circ dY_s = \int_0^t X_s dY_s + \frac{1}{2} \langle X, Y \rangle_t,$$

where  $\int_0^t X_s dY_s$  represents the Itô integral. Show that if  $f \in C^3$ , and  $X$  is a continuous semimartingale, then we have the chain rule

$$f(X_t) = f(X_0) + \int_0^t f'(X_s) \circ dX_s.$$

**Solution.** Since  $f \in C^3$  and  $X$  is a continuous semi-martingale, we can apply Itô's formula,

$$f(X_t) = f(X_0) + \int_0^t f'(X_s) dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f''(X_s) d\langle X \rangle_s.$$

Since  $\int_0^t f'(X_s) \circ dX_s = \int_0^t f'(X_s) dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \langle f'(X), X \rangle_t$ , it is sufficient to show that

$$\int_0^t f''(X_s) d\langle X \rangle_s = \langle f'(X), X \rangle_t.$$

Since  $f \in C^3, f' \in C^2$ , so we can apply Itô again,

$$d\langle f'(X), X \rangle_t = df'(X_t) dX_t = (f''(X_t) dX_t + \frac{1}{2} f'''(X_t) d\langle X \rangle_t) dX_t = f''(X_t) d\langle X \rangle_t + \frac{1}{2} f'''(X_t) d\langle X \rangle_t dX_t = f''(X_t) d\langle X \rangle_t$$

So,

$$\int_0^t f''(X_s) d\langle X \rangle_s = \langle f'(X), X \rangle_t.$$

## August 2015

### 1.

Consider two pairs of adapted continuous processes  $(H^i, X^i)$  defined on two filtered probability spaces,  $(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i, (\mathcal{F}_t^i)_{0 \leq t < \infty}, \mathbb{P}_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Assume that the two pairs have the same law (as two dimensional processes), and that  $X^1, X^2$  are semi-martingales. Show that the two stochastic integrals  $I^i = \int H^i dX^i$  have the same law for  $i = 1, 2$ .

### 2.

Consider a binary random variable  $X$  such that  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$ . For a given Brownian motion  $B$ , construct a stopping time with property  $\mathbb{E}[T] < \infty$  and such that  $B_T$  and  $X$  have the same distribution. Is the condition  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$  necessary for the existence of such a stopping time?

**Solution.** Let  $X$  be a binary random variable. That is

$$\mathbb{P}[X = a] = 1 - \mathbb{P}[X = b]$$

for some  $a \neq b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = a\mathbb{P}[X = a] + b\mathbb{P}[X = b] = 0 \rightarrow a\mathbb{P}[X = a] + b(1 - \mathbb{P}[X = a]) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}[X = a] = \frac{b}{b-a}.$$

This implies one of  $a, b$  is negative. WLOG, assume  $a > 0, b < 0$ . So,

$$X = \begin{cases} a & p = \frac{b}{b-a} \\ b & p = \frac{a}{b-a}. \end{cases}$$

Let  $B_t$  be a Brownian motion. Consider the stopping time  $\tau = T_a \wedge T_b$  where  $T_a = \inf\{t \geq 0 : B_t = a\}$  and  $T_b = \inf\{t \geq 0 : B_t = b\}$ . Since  $B_t^\tau$  is a bounded martingale and therefore uniformly integrable, we can apply the optional sampling theorem,

$$\mathbb{E}[B_\tau] = a\mathbb{P}[T_a < T_b] + b\mathbb{P}[T_b < T_a] = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}[T_a < T_b] = \frac{b}{b-a}.$$

So

$$B_\tau = \begin{cases} a & p = \frac{b}{b-a} \\ b & p = \frac{a}{b-a}. \end{cases}$$

Since  $B_t$  is BM,  $B_t^2 - t$  is a martingale. For fixed  $t$ , we can apply the bounded optional sampling theorem so that

$$\mathbb{E}[B_{t \wedge \tau}^2] = \mathbb{E}[t \wedge \tau].$$

Taking the limit as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  we get

$$\mathbb{E}[B_\tau^2] = \mathbb{E}[\tau]$$

by the bounded convergence theorem for the LHS and the monotone convergence theorem for the RHS. Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}[\tau] = a^2\mathbb{P}[T_a < T_b] + b^2(1 - \mathbb{P}[T_a < T_b]) < a^2 + b^2 < \infty.$$

**3.**

Show that, for a continuous semimartingale  $M$  and continuous adapted process  $A$  of bounded variation, with  $A_0 = 0$ , we have the following equivalence:

1.  $M$  is actually a local martingale with  $\langle M \rangle = A$
2. for each  $f \in C_b^2$ , we have that

$$f(M_t) - f(M_0) - \int_0^t f''(M_s) dA_s$$

is a martingale.

**Solution.** Let  $f \in C_b^2$ . By Itô's formula,

$$f(M_t) = f(M_0) + \int_0^t f'(M_s) dM_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f''(M_s) d\langle M \rangle_s$$

(1)  $\rightarrow$  (2) Suppose  $M$  is a local martingale with  $\langle M \rangle = A$ . Then,

$$\int_0^t f'(M_s) dM_s = f(M_t) - f(M_0) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f''(M_s) dA_s.$$

Since  $M_t$  is a local martingale and  $f'$  is bounded,  $\int_0^t f'(M_s) dM_s$  is a local martingale. Further,

$$\langle \int_0^t f'(M_s) dM_s \rangle = \int_0^t f'(M_s)^2 dA_s \leq C A_t < \infty \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

So,  $f(M_t) - f(M_0) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f''(M_s) dA_s$  is a martingale.

(2)  $\rightarrow$  (1)

**January & August 2016****1.**

Let  $S$  be an exponential Brownian motion with drift,

$$S_t = 1 + \int_0^t \mu S_u du + \int_0^t S_u dB_u, \quad t \geq 0,$$

for some  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $B$  is the standard Brownian motion. Given  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ , compute  $\mathbb{E}[\tau_\epsilon]$ , where  $\tau_\epsilon = \inf\{t \geq 0 : S_t = \epsilon\}$ .

**Solution.**

$$S_t = \exp((\mu - 1)t + B_t)$$

We can check this using Itô's formula,

$$dS_t = S_t d((\mu - \frac{1}{2})t + B_t) + \frac{1}{2} S_t d((\mu - \frac{1}{2})t + B_t) = S_t ((\mu - \frac{1}{2})dt + dB_t + \frac{1}{2}dt) = S_t (\mu dt + dB_t).$$

This is hitting time of Brownian motion with drift, Girsanov's theorem.

**2.**

Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. Let  $W$  be a Brownian motion of a filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{0 \leq t < \infty}, \mathbb{P})$ . Show that, for each  $x$ , the process  $M^x$  defined by

$$M_t^x = f(x + W_t), \quad 0 \leq t < \infty$$

is a local sub-martingale if and only if  $f$  is convex.

**Solution.**  $\Leftarrow$  Suppose  $f$  is convex. Let  $0 \leq s < t < \infty$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}[M_t^x | \mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbb{E}[f(x + W_t) | \mathcal{F}_s] \geq f(\mathbb{E}[x + W_t | \mathcal{F}_s]) = f(x + W_s) = M_s^x.$$

So,  $M_t^x$  is a local submartingale.

$\Rightarrow$  Suppose  $\{M_t^x\}$  is a local submartingale. Let  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Choose  $x, 0 \leq a < b$  such that such that  $A = x + a$  and  $B = x - b$  where and  $\lambda = \frac{b}{a+b}$  and consider the stopping time  $\tau = T_a \wedge T_{-b}$  where  $T_a = \inf\{t \geq 0 : W_t = a\}$  and  $T_{-b} = \inf\{t \geq 0 : W_t = -b\}$ . Then, by the optional sampling theorem,

$$\mathbb{E}[M_\tau^x] \geq \mathbb{E}[M_0^x]$$

where

$$\mathbb{E}[M_\tau^x] = \frac{b}{a+b} f(x+a) + \frac{a}{a+b} f(x-b)$$

and

$$M_0^x = f(x) = f\left(\frac{b}{a+b}(x+a) + \frac{a}{a+b}(x-b)\right) = f\left(\frac{bx+ab+ax-ab}{a+b}\right) = f(x).$$

So,  $f(\lambda A + (1-\lambda)B) \leq \lambda f(A) + (1-\lambda)f(B)$ , so  $f$  is convex.

### 3.

Consider a filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t), \mathbb{P})$  where the filtration satisfies the usual conditions. On this space, consider two standard, one dimensional Brownian motions  $W$  and  $B$  (BM wrt the same filtration). Assume that  $\langle B, W \rangle_t = \rho t$ , where  $\langle B, W \rangle$  is the cross variation of  $B$  and  $W$ , and  $\rho$  is constant. Show that

1.  $B = W$ , if  $\rho = 1$
2.  $B$  is independent of  $W$  if  $\rho = 0$ .

**Solution.**

1. Suppose  $\langle B, W \rangle_t = t$ . Consider the process  $M_t = B_t - W_t$ . Since  $B_t, W_t$  are martingales,  $M_t$  is a martingale. Then since  $(B_t - W_t)^2 = B_t^2 - 2B_tW_t + W_t^2$  and we know  $B_t^2 - t, W_t^2 - t$  and  $B_tW_t - t$  are local martingales,  $\langle B - W \rangle_t = 0$ . Since  $\langle B - W \rangle_t = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n (B_{t_i^n \wedge t} - W_{t_i^n \wedge t} - B_{t_{i-1}^n \wedge t} + W_{t_{i-1}^n \wedge t})^2 = 0$ , this implies  $B - W$  has finite variation. Thus, since  $B - W$  is a local martingale the finite variation,  $B - W = 0$ , so  $B = W$ .
2. Suppose  $\langle B, W \rangle_t = 0$ . By Lévy's characterization of Brownian motion,  $(B, W)$  is a 2-dimensional Brownian motion  $\Leftrightarrow B_t^2 - t, W_t^2 - t, B_tW_t$  are local martingales. Since  $B, W$  are BM,  $B_t^2 - t$  and  $W_t^2 - t$  are local martingales. Since  $\langle B, W \rangle_t = 0 = \frac{1}{2}(\langle B + W \rangle_t - \langle B \rangle_t - \langle W \rangle_t)$ ,  $\langle B + W \rangle_t = \langle W \rangle_t + \langle B \rangle_t$ . So,  $B_t^2 + 2B_tW_t + W_t^2 - \langle B \rangle_t - \langle W \rangle_t$  is a local martingale, so  $\{BW\}_t$  is a local martingale. Thus,  $(B, W)$  is a 2-dimensional BM, so  $B$  and  $W$  are independent by the definition of 2-dimensional brownian motion.

## January 2019

### 1.

Let  $W$  be a one-dimensional BM. Let  $\mu, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x$  an initial value. Solve in closed form the equation

$$\begin{cases} dX_t &= \mu X_t dt + \sigma X_t dW_t \\ X_0 &= x. \end{cases}$$

**Solution.**

$$dX_t = X_t(\mu dt + \sigma dW_t)$$

From this form, we make a guess that  $X_t = x \exp(\mu t + \sigma W_t)$  and check using Itô's formula.

$$dX_t = X_t d(\mu t + \sigma W_t) + \frac{1}{2} X_t d\langle \mu t + \sigma W_t \rangle_t = X_t(\mu dt + \sigma dW_t + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 dt) = X_t((\mu + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2) dt + \sigma dW_t)$$

So, we need a correction of  $-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 t$ . Thus,  $X_t = x \exp((\mu - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2)t + W_t)$ .

### 2.

Let  $W$  be a standard one-dimensional Brownian motion and  $M$  be its running maximum process, i.e.

$$M_t = \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} W_s, \quad 0 \leq t < \infty.$$

Consider a two-times continuously differentiable function  $f : \{(x, m) : m \geq 0, -\infty < x \leq m\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Find a necessary and sufficient condition so that the process  $Y$  defined by  $Y_t = f(W_t, M_t)$ ,  $0 \leq t < \infty$ , is a local martingale.

**Partial Solution.** For almost all  $\omega$ , the measure  $dM(\omega)$  is singular with respect to the Lebesgue measure with support  $\{t : W_t = M_t\}$ . By Itô's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} dY_t &= f_x(W_t, M_t) dW_t + f_m(W_t, M_t) dM_t + \frac{1}{2} f_{xx}(W_t, M_t) dt + f_{xm}(W_t, M_t) dW_t dM_t + \frac{1}{2} f_{mm}(W_t, M_t) dM_t dM_t \\ &= f_x(W_t, M_t) dW_t + f_m(W_t, M_t) dM_t + \frac{1}{2} f_{xx}(W_t, M_t) dt \end{aligned}$$

For  $Y_t$  to be a local martingale, we need the finite variation parts to vanish,  $f_{xx}(W_t, M_t) = 0$  everywhere and  $f_m(W_t, M_t)$  on the support of  $dM$ ,  $f_m(W_t, M_t)$  on the diagonal  $\{t : W_t = M_t\}$ . These conditions are also sufficient.

### 3.

Consider a finite time horizon  $T$  and a RCLL sub-martingale  $(M_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$  on the filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  with filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ . Consider the optimization problem of finding the stopping time  $\tau$  that maximizes the expected value  $M$  at the (random) time  $\tau$ , namely the problem

$$\sup_{\tau \text{ a stopping time}} \mathbb{E}[M_\tau].$$

Find optimizer  $\tau^*$ .

**Solution.** Since  $M_t$  is a RCLL sub-martingale, it admits a Doob-Meyer decomposition,  $M_t = L_t + A_t$  where  $L_t$  is a martingale and  $A_t$  is a nondecreasing, predictable process. Since all viable stopping times are bounded by finite time horizon  $T$ , we can apply the bounded optional sampling theorem. For any stopping time  $\tau$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[M_\tau] = \mathbb{E}[L_\tau] + \mathbb{E}[A_\tau] = \mathbb{E}[L_0] + \mathbb{E}[A_\tau]$ . Since  $A_t$  is a nondecreasing process, this implies  $\mathbb{E}[M_\tau]$  is maximized for  $\tau = T$ .

## August 2009

4.

Let  $(B_t)_{0 \leq t < \infty}$  be a standard Brownian motion. Define the random time  $T_x$  by

$$T_x = \inf\{t \geq 0 : B_t = x\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Compute  $\mathbb{P}[T_a < T_{-b}]$  and  $\mathbb{E}[T_a \wedge T_{-b}]$  for  $a, b > 0$ .

**Solution.** Let  $\tau = T_a \wedge T_{-b}$ . Then, since  $(B_t^\tau)_{0 \leq t < \infty}$  is bounded,  $(B_t^\tau)$  is a uniformly integrable martingale. Thus, by the optional sampling theorem,

$$\mathbb{E}[B_\tau] = a\mathbb{P}[T_a < T_{-b}] + -b(1 - \mathbb{P}[T_a < T_{-b}]) = (a + b)\mathbb{P}[T_a < T_{-b}] - b = \mathbb{E}[B_0] = 0$$

which implies

$$\mathbb{P}[T_a < T_{-b}] = \frac{b}{a + b}.$$

Since  $(B_t)$  is a Brownian motion,  $B_t^2 - t$  is a martingale. Again, applying the bounded optional sampling theorem,

$$\mathbb{E}[B_{t \wedge \tau}^2] = \mathbb{E}[t \wedge \tau].$$

Then letting  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , by the bounded convergence theorem for the LHS and the monotone convergence theorem for the RHS,

$$\mathbb{E}[B_\tau^2] = \mathbb{E}[\tau]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\tau] &= \mathbb{E}[B_\tau^2] = a^2\mathbb{P}[T_a < T_{-b}] + b^2(1 - \mathbb{P}[T_a < T_{-b}]) \\ &= (a^2 - b^2) \left( \frac{b}{a + b} \right) + b^2 = (a - b)(a + b) \frac{b}{a + b} + b^2 = (a - b)b + b^2 = ab. \end{aligned}$$

5.

Let  $(B_t)$  be a standard Brownian motion. Show that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{t} \mathbb{P}[B_s \leq 1, \forall s \leq t] = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}.$$

**Solution.** Let  $M_t$  be the running maximum process of the Brownian motion. Then

$$\mathbb{P}[B_s \leq 1, \forall s \leq t] = \mathbb{P}[M_t \leq 1] = \mathbb{P}[|B_t| \leq 1]$$

since  $M_t \sim |B_t|$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{t} \mathbb{P}[B_s \leq 1, \forall s \leq t] &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{2} \mathbb{P}[|B_t| \leq 1] = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{t} \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_0^1 \exp(-x^2/2t) \, dx \\ &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \exp(-x^2/2t) \, dx = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^1 \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp(-x^2/2t) \, dx = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}. \end{aligned}$$

where we can interchange the limit and integral due to the bounded convergence theorem.

6.

Let  $(B_t)_{t \in [0, \infty)}$  be a B, and let  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be its Lévy transform given

$$X_t = \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(B_u) dB_u,$$

1. Show that  $X$  is a Brownian motion.
2. Show that the random variables  $B_t$  and  $X_t$  are uncorrelated.
3. Show that  $B_t$  and  $X_t$  are not independent.

**Solution.**

1. To show that  $X$  is a Brownian motion, we will show that  $X_t$  is a martingale and  $\langle X \rangle_t = t$ . Let  $0 \leq s < t < \infty$ . Consider a sequence of partitions of  $[0, \infty)$  denoted  $\Delta_n \rightarrow \text{Id}$ . Then for  $X_t^n = \sum_{k=1}^n \operatorname{sgn}(B_{t_k} \wedge t)(B_{t_k \wedge t} - B_{t_{k-1} \wedge t})$ , we consider the conditional expectation,

$$\mathbb{E}[X_t^n | \mathcal{F}_s] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{sgn}(B_{t_k} \wedge t) \mathbb{E}[(B_{t_k \wedge t} - B_{t_{k-1} \wedge t}) | \mathcal{F}_s] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{sgn}(B_{t_k} \wedge s)(B_{t_k \wedge s} - B_{t_{k-1} \wedge s}) = X_s^n.$$

So  $X^n$  is a martingale. Then  $X_t^n \rightarrow X_t \forall t \in [0, \infty)$ , so  $X$  is also a martingale.

Or, if we have that  $X_t$  is a semi-martingale, since  $X_t = \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(B_s) dB_s$ ,  $dX_t = \operatorname{sgn}(B_t) dB_t$ , so  $X_t$  is a local martingale.

Now, we want  $\langle X \rangle_t$ .

$$d\langle X \rangle_t = dX_t dX_t = (\operatorname{sgn}(B_t) dB_t)(\operatorname{sgn}(B_t) dB_t) = dB_t dB_t = d\langle B \rangle_t = dt$$

So,  $\langle X \rangle_t = t$ . Thus, by Lévy's characterization of BM,  $X$  is a Brownian Motion.

2. To show that  $B_t$  and  $X_t$  are uncorrelated,  $\text{Cov}(X_t, B_t) = 0$  First, consider

$$X_t B_t = \int_0^t X_u dB_u + \int_0^t B_u dX_u + \int_0^t \text{sgn}(B_u) du.$$

The first two terms are local martingales with quadratic variations in  $\mathbb{L}^2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \int_0^t X_u dB_u \rangle &= \int_0^t X_u^2 du \\ \langle \int_0^t B_u dX_u \rangle &= \int_0^t B_u^2 du \end{aligned}$$

so they are true martingales. So,

$$\text{Cov}(X_t B_t) = \mathbb{E}[X_t B_t] = \mathbb{E}[\int_0^t X_u dB_u + \int_0^t B_u dX_u + \int_0^t \text{sgn}(B_u) du] = \mathbb{E}[\int_0^t \text{sgn}(B_u) du] = \int_0^t \mathbb{E}[\text{sgn}(B_u)] du = 0.$$

3. To show that  $B_t$  and  $X_t$  are not independent, we calculate  $\mathbb{E}[X_t B_t^2]$ . First, since  $dB_t^2 = 2B_t dB_t + dt$  by Itô,

$$X_t B_t^2 = 2 \int_0^t X_u B_u dB_u + \int_0^t X_u du + \int_0^t B_u^2 dX_u + 2 \int_0^t B_u \text{sgn}(B_u) du.$$

$\int_0^t X_u B_u dB_u$  and  $\int_0^t B_u^2 dX_u$  are true martingales, so

$$\mathbb{E}[X_t B_t^2] = \mathbb{E}[\int_0^t (X_u + 2B_u \text{sgn}(B_u)) du] = \mathbb{E}[\int_0^t (X_u + 2|B_u|) du] = \int_0^t \mathbb{E}[X_u + 2|B_u|] du.$$

Since  $\mathbb{E}[X_u] = 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[X_t B_t^2] = 2 \int_0^t \mathbb{E}[|B_u|] du > 0.$$

However,  $\mathbb{E}[X_t]\mathbb{E}[B_t^2] = 0$ , so  $\text{Cov}(X_t, B_t^2) \neq 0$  which implies  $X_t$  and  $B_t$  are not independent.

## January 2010

### 5.

Let  $\{B_t\}_{t \in [0, \infty)}$  be a standard Brownian Motion and let  $\{H_t\}_{t \in [0, \infty)}$  be a progressively measurable process such that

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad \int_0^t H_u^2 du < \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^\infty H_u^2 du = \infty.$$

For  $\sigma > 0$ , show that  $\int_0^\tau H_u dB_u \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\tau = \inf\{t \geq 0 : \int_0^t H_u^2 du = \sigma^2\}$ .

**Solution.** Let  $M_t = \int_0^t H_u dB_u$ . Since  $H_t$  is progressively measurable with the above properties,  $M_t$  is a local martingale. Then  $\langle M \rangle_t = \int_0^t H_u^2 du$ . Consider the exponential martingale

$$X_t = \exp(iuM_t + \frac{1}{2}u^2\langle M \rangle_t).$$

Then since  $M_t^\tau$  is bounded and therefore uniformly integrable, we may apply the optional sampling theorem

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(iuM_\tau)] = \frac{1}{\exp(\frac{1}{2}u^2\sigma^2)} = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}u^2\sigma^2)$$

Since the characteristic function of a normal random variable is  $\varphi(t) = \exp(i\mu t - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t^2)$ , this implies  $\int_0^\tau H_u dB_u \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ .

## August 2010

### 3.

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space, and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a non-empty family of sub- $\sigma$ -algebras of  $\mathcal{F}$ . For a random variable  $X$  in  $\mathcal{L}^1$ , prove that the family

$$\chi = \{\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}] : \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{C}\}$$

is uniformly integrable.

**Solution.** The family  $\{X\}$  is uniformly integrable, so there exists a convex, nondecreasing test function  $\varphi$  such that  $\mathbb{E}[\varphi(X)] < \infty$ . Then for  $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{C}$ .  $\mathbb{E}[\varphi(\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}])] \leq \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\varphi(X)|\mathcal{G}]] = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X)] < \infty$ . Thus,  $\chi$  is uniformly integrable.