# Output tables for 1xN statistical comparisons.

#### May 17, 2021

# 1 Average rankings of Friedman test

Average ranks obtained by each method in the Friedman test.

Algorithm Ranking  Evaluacion-ECR 2.1  Evaluacion-EE 1.6  Evaluacion-RS 2.3				
Algorithm Evaluacion-ECR Evaluacion-EE Evaluacion-RS	Ranking	2.1	1.6	2.3
	Algorithm	Evaluacion-ECR	Evaluacion-EE	Evaluacion-RS

Table 1: Average Rankings of the algorithms (Friedman)

Friedman statistic (distributed according to chi-square with 2 degrees of freedom): 1.3. P-value computed by Friedman Test: 0.522046.

## 2 Post hoc comparison (Friedman)

P-values obtained in by applying post hoc methods over the results of Friedman procedure.

Ľ	0.030042	0.02
Finner	0.025321	0.02
$_{ m Holm}$	0.025	0.02
d	0.268382	0.429195
$z = (R_0 - R_i)/SE$	1.106797	0.790569
algorithm	Evaluacion-RS	Evaluacion-ECR
i	2	П

Table 2: Post Hoc comparison Table for  $\alpha = 0.05$  (FRIEDMAN)

Holm's procedure rejects those hypotheses that have an unadjusted p-value  $\leq 0.025$ . Finner's procedure rejects those hypotheses that have an unadjusted p-value  $\leq 0.025321$ . Li's procedure rejects those hypotheses that have an unadjusted p-value  $\leq 0.030042$ .

### 3 Adjusted P-Values (Friedman)

Adjusted P-values obtained through the application of the post hoc methods (Friedman).

m	763	292
$p_{Holm}$	0.536763	0.536763
unadjusted $p$	0.268382	0.429195
algorithm	Evaluacion-RS	Evaluacion-ECR
٠	-	2

Table 3: Adjusted p-values (FRIEDMAN) (I)

$p_{Li}$	5  0.319812	0.429195
$p_{Finner}$	0.464735	0.464735
unadjusted $p$	0.268382	0.429195
algorithm	Evaluacion-RS	Evaluacion-ECR
	1	2

Table 4: Adjusted p-values (FRIEDMAN) (II)