

APM 2663 Sample Test 2

Instructor: Eddie Cheng

Important:

- Recall that the word *if* in a definition means *if and only if*.
 - To receive full credit for a question, you should provide all logical steps.
 - Recall that \mathbb{N} is the set of positive integers.
 - Recall that \mathbb{Z} is the set of integers.
 - Recall that \mathbb{Q} is the set of rational numbers.
 - Recall that \mathbb{R} is the set of real numbers.
 - This test is worth 110 marks. If you receive x marks, your grade will be $\min\{x, 100\}\%$.
- (1) Give a polynomial time algorithm, using the arithmetic model, to solve the following problem: Input n numbers and output their average. [10 marks]
 - (2) Find the gcd of 1575 and 231. Write the gcd as $1575x + 231y$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. [10 marks]
 - (3) Let a, b, c be positive integers. Let d be the gcd of a and b and g be the gcd of ca and cb . Prove that $g = cd$. [10 marks]
 - (4) Show that $\log_8 15$ is irrational. [10 marks]
 - (5) Use mathematical induction to prove that

$$1^3 + 2^3 + 3^3 + \cdots + n^3 = \frac{n^2(n+1)^2}{4} \quad \forall n \geq 1. \quad [10 \text{ marks}]$$

- (6) What is wrong with the following “proof” that any order for $n \geq 10$ pounds of fish can be filled with only five-pound fish?

We use the strong form of mathematical induction. Here $n_0 = 10$. Since an order of ten pounds of fish can be filled with two five-pound fish, the assertion is true for $n = 10$. Now let $k > 10$ be an integer and suppose that any order of l pounds of fish, $10 \leq l < k$, can be filled with only five-pound fish. We must prove that an order for k pounds can be similarly filled. By the induction hypothesis, we can fill an order for $k - 5$ pounds of fish, so, adding one more five-pounder, we can fill the order for k pounds. By induction, the assertion is true for every integer $n \geq 10$.

[10 marks]

- (7) How many integers between 1 and 600 (inclusive) are divisible by at least one of 5, 7 and 17? [10 marks]

- (8) Let $k, l, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k + l \leq n$. Use a combinatorial argument to prove that

$$\binom{n}{k} \binom{n-k}{l} = \binom{n}{l} \binom{n-l}{k}. \quad [15 \text{ marks}]$$

(If you are having trouble with a combinatorial proof, you may use a non-combinatorial proof for 10 marks.)

- (9) Evaluate

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k \binom{n}{k}.$$

(*Hint: Use the Binomial Theorem and Calculus.*) [15 marks]

- (10) Suppose you draw 7 cards from a standard deck of cards with 52 cards. How many hands are there of the following type: A pair of X 's, a pair of Y 's, one of U , one of V , and one of W , where U, V, W, X, Y are all distinct “numbers” from $\{A, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, J, Q, K\}$? For example, 2 of clubs, 2 of spades, 3 of hearts, 3 of diamonds, 5 of clubs, 6 of spades, and J of clubs. [10 marks]

Solutions

- (1) One way is the following:

```

for i = 1 to n
  read a[i];
sum := 0;
for i = 1 to n
  sum := sum + a[i];
a := sum/n;
write a;

```

This takes n read statements, n additions, 1 division and 1 write statement. So the running time is $O(n)$.

- (2) Using the Euclidean Algorithm gives the following sequence: $1575 = 6(231) + 189$, $231 = 1(189) + 42$, $189 = 4(42) + 21$ and $42 = 2(21)$. So the gcd is 21. Now using backward substitutions, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 21 &= 189 - 4(42) &= 189 - 4(231 - 1(189)) &= 5(189) - 4(231) \\
 &= 5(1575 - 6(231)) - 4(231) &= 5(1575) + (-34)(231)
 \end{aligned}$$

- (3) Since d is the gcd of a and b , there exist integers x and y such that $d = ax + by$. So $cd = cax + cby$. Since d is the gcd of a and b , $d|a$ and $d|b$; hence $(cd)|(ca)$ and $(cd)|(cb)$. So cd is a common divisor of ca and cb . Since g is the gcd of ca and cb , $cd \leq g$. Now, since $cd = cax + cby$, $g|(ca)$ and $g|(cb)$, $g|(cd)$. Hence $g \leq cd$. Therefore $g = cd$.

- (4) Suppose not. Then $\log_8 15 = \frac{m}{n}$ where m and n are positive integers. So $15 = 8^{m/n}$ which implies $15^n = 8^m$. This gives $3^n 5^n = 2^{3m}$. Each side is a prime factorization of some integer. Since the two factorizations are different, it follows from the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic that $3^n 5^n \neq 2^{3m}$, which is a contradiction.

- (5) The case $n = 1$ is true since LHS=RHS=1. Assume the statement is true for $n = k$, that is,

$$1^3 + 2^3 + 3^3 + \cdots + k^3 = \frac{k^2(k+1)^2}{4}.$$

We want to prove the statement for $n = k + 1$, that is, we want to prove

$$1^3 + 2^3 + 3^3 + \cdots + k^3 + (k+1)^3 = \frac{(k+1)^2(k+2)^2}{4}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
& 1^3 + 2^3 + 3^3 + \cdots + k^3 + (k+1)^3 \\
&= (1^3 + 2^3 + 3^3 + \cdots + k^3) + (k+1)^3 \\
&= \frac{k^2(k+1)^2}{4} + (k+1)^3 \\
&= \frac{k^2(k+1)^2}{4} + \frac{4(k+1)^3}{4} \\
&= \frac{(k+1)^2(k^2+4k+4)}{4} \\
&= \frac{(k+1)^2(k+2)^2}{4}
\end{aligned}$$

as required. Hence the statement is true for all $n \geq 1$ by the principle of mathematical induction.

- (6) The “proof” is wrong because it has only checked the initial case $n = 10$. When $n = 11$, the induction step will assume the result is true for $11 - 5$ which is not correct and hence flawed.

- (7) Let a_i = number of integers between 1 and 600 (inclusive) that are divisible by i . Then $a_5 = \lfloor 600/5 \rfloor = 120$, $a_7 = \lfloor 600/7 \rfloor = 85$, $a_{17} = \lfloor 600/17 \rfloor = 35$, $a_{5 \cdot 7} = \lfloor 600/35 \rfloor = 17$, $a_{5 \cdot 17} = \lfloor 600/85 \rfloor = 7$, $a_{7 \cdot 17} = \lfloor 600/119 \rfloor = 5$ and $a_{5 \cdot 7 \cdot 17} = \lfloor 600/595 \rfloor = 1$. So by the principle of inclusion and exclusion, the desired number is

$$120 + 85 + 35 - 17 - 7 - 5 + 1 = 212.$$

- (8) Suppose we have n people and we want to pick two mutually exclusive groups, one with k members and the other with l members. One way to count the number of different combinations is to pick the k -member committee first and we have $\binom{n}{k}$ ways to accomplish this; we can now pick l members to form the second committee and we have $\binom{n-k}{l}$ to accomplish this (the two committees are mutually exclusive), and hence the total number is $\binom{n}{k} \binom{n-k}{l}$. This is the LHS. Another way is to pick the l -member committee first, then the k -member committee; this will give the RHS and the result follows.

- (9) Recall the Binomial Theorem, $(1+x)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k$. Differentiating both sides gives $n(1+x)^{n-1} = \sum_{k=1}^n k \binom{n}{k} x^{k-1}$. Now let $x = 1$ gives

$$n2^{n-1} = \sum_{k=1}^n k \binom{n}{k}.$$

- (10) Out of 13 “numbers,” we pick one for X in $\binom{13}{1}$ ways. There are 4 suits for X and we choose 2 in $\binom{4}{2}$ ways. Now there are 12 remaining “numbers” as U, V, W, X, Y are all distinct. Out of these 12 “numbers,” we pick one for Y in $\binom{12}{1}$ ways. There are 4 suits for Y and we choose 2 in $\binom{4}{2}$ ways. Now there are 11 remaining “numbers” as U, V, W, X, Y are all distinct. Out of these 11 “numbers,” we pick one for W in

$\binom{11}{1}$ ways. There are 4 suits for W and we choose 1 in $\binom{4}{1}$ ways. Now there are 10 remaining “numbers” as U, V, W, X, Y are all distinct. Out of these 10 “numbers,” we pick one for U in $\binom{10}{1}$ ways. There are 4 suits for U and we choose 1 in $\binom{4}{1}$ ways. Now there are 9 remaining “numbers” as U, V, W, X, Y are all distinct. Out of these 9 “numbers,” we pick one for V in $\binom{9}{1}$ ways. There are 4 suits for V and we choose 1 in $\binom{4}{1}$ ways. So it would *seem* that the solution is

$$\binom{13}{1} \binom{4}{2} \binom{12}{1} \binom{4}{2} \binom{11}{1} \binom{4}{1} \binom{10}{1} \binom{4}{1} \binom{9}{1} \binom{4}{1}.$$

However, we have overcounted. Since we cannot distinguish X and Y , we have overcounted by a factor of $2! = 2$. Since we cannot distinguish U, V, W , we have overcounted by a factor of $3! = 6$. Thus we have overcounted by a factor of $(2!)(3!) = 12$. Hence, the answer is

$$\frac{1}{12} \binom{13}{1} \binom{4}{2} \binom{12}{1} \binom{4}{2} \binom{11}{1} \binom{4}{1} \binom{10}{1} \binom{4}{1} \binom{9}{1} \binom{4}{1}.$$