
# https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Display resolution:

# **Display Resolution**

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

Vector Video Standards8.svg:



This chart shows the most <u>common display resolutions</u>, with the color of each resolution type indicating the display ratio (e.g. red indicates a 4:3 ratio).

### **Contents**

| Considerations                      | 2 |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Interlacing versus progressive scan | 3 |
| Overscan and underscan              |   |
| Current standards                   | 4 |
| Televisions                         | 4 |
| Computer monitors                   | 4 |
| $2000\mathrm{s}$                    | 4 |
| 2010s                               | 4 |
| Common display resolutions          | 5 |
| Evolution of standards              |   |
| Commonly used                       | 7 |
| See also                            | 7 |
| References                          | 8 |


The **display resolution** or display modes of a <u>digital television</u>, <u>computer monitor</u> or <u>display device</u> is the number of distinct <u>pixels</u> in each dimension that can be displayed. It can be an ambiguous term especially as the displayed resolution is controlled by different factors in <u>cathode ray tube</u> (CRT) displays, <u>flat-panel displays</u> (including <u>liquid-crystal displays</u>) and projection displays using fixed picture-element (pixel) arrays.

It is usually quoted as **width**  $\times$  **height**, with the units in pixels: for example, " $1024 \times 768$ " means the width is 1024 pixels and the height is 768 pixels. This example would normally be spoken as "ten twenty-four by seven sixty-eight" or "ten twenty-four by seven six eight".

One use of the term "display resolution" applies to fixed-pixel-array displays such as <u>plasma display</u> <u>panels</u> (PDP), <u>liquid-crystal displays</u> (LCD), <u>Digital Light Processing</u> (DLP) projectors, <u>OLED</u> displays, and similar technologies, and is simply the physical number of columns and rows of pixels creating the display (e.g. 1920 × 1080). A consequence of having a fixed-grid display is that, for multi-format video inputs, all displays need a "scaling engine" (a digital video processor that includes a memory array) to match the incoming picture format to the display.

For device displays such as phones, tablets, monitors and televisions, the use of the word *resolution* as defined above is a misnomer, though common. The term "display resolution" is usually used to mean *pixel dimensions*, the number of pixels in each dimension (e.g.  $1920 \times 1080$ ), which does not tell anything about the pixel density of the display on which the image is actually formed: resolution properly refers to the <u>pixel density</u>, the number of pixels per unit distance or area, not *total* number of pixels. In digital measurement, the display resolution would be given in <u>pixels per inch</u> (PPI). In analog measurement, if the screen is 10 inches high, then the horizontal resolution is measured across a square 10 inches wide. <sup>[1]</sup> For television standards, this is typically stated as "lines horizontal resolution, per picture height"; <sup>[2]</sup> for example, analog <u>NTSC</u> TVs can typically display about 340 lines of "per picture height" horizontal resolution from over-the-air sources, which is equivalent to about 440 total lines of actual picture information from left edge to right edge. <sup>[2]</sup>

#### **Considerations**



Some commentators also use display resolution to indicate a range of input formats that the display's input electronics will accept and often include formats greater than the screen's native grid size even though they have to be down-scaled to match the screen's parameters (e.g. accepting a  $\underline{1920 \times 1080}$  input on a display with a native  $1366 \times 768$  pixel array). In the case of television inputs, many manufacturers will take the input and zoom it out to "overscan" the display by as much as 5% so input resolution is not necessarily display resolution. Graphics wise, the input rate of resolution only changes frame rate by a little bit (e.g. 1080p - 720p = 5f).

The eye's perception of *display resolution* can be affected by a number of factors – see <u>image resolution</u> and <u>optical resolution</u>. One factor is the display screen's rectangular shape, which is expressed as the ratio of the physical picture width to the physical picture height. This is known as the <u>aspect ratio</u>. A screen's physical aspect ratio and the individual pixels' aspect ratio may not necessarily be the same. An array of  $1280 \times 720$  on a 16:9 display has square pixels, but an array of  $1024 \times 768$  on a 16:9 display has oblong pixels.

An example of pixel shape affecting "resolution" or perceived sharpness: displaying more information in a smaller area using a higher resolution makes the image much clearer or "sharper". However, most recent screen technologies are fixed at a certain resolution; making the resolution lower on these kinds of screens will greatly decrease sharpness, as an interpolation process is used to "fix" the non-native resolution input into the display's <u>native resolution</u> output.

While some CRT-based displays may use <u>digital video processing</u> that involves <u>image scaling</u> using memory arrays, ultimately "display resolution" in CRT-type displays is affected by different parameters such as spot size and focus, <u>astigmatic effects</u> in the display corners, the color phosphor pitch <u>shadow</u> <u>mask</u> (such as <u>Trinitron</u>) in color displays, and the video bandwidth.

# Interlacing versus progressive scan

# Overscan and underscan

Most television display manufacturers "overscan" the pictures on their displays (CRTs and PDPs, LCDs etc.), so that the effective onscreen picture may be reduced from  $720 \times 576$  (480) to  $680 \times 550$  (450), for example. The size of the invisible area somewhat depends on the display device. HD televisions do this as well, to a similar extent.

Computer displays including projectors generally do not overscan although many models (particularly CRT displays) allow it. CRT displays tend to be underscanned in stock configurations, to compensate for the increasing distortions at the corners.



A 16:9-ratio television from October 2004

### **Current standards**

#### **Televisions**

Televisions are of the following resolutions:

- Standard-definition television (SDTV):
  - 480i (NTSC-compatible digital standard employing two interlaced fields of 243 lines each)
  - 576i (PAL-compatible digital standard employing two interlaced fields of 288 lines each)
- Enhanced-definition television (EDTV):
  - $480p (720 \times 480 progressive scan)$
  - 576p (720 × 576 progressive scan)
- High-definition television (<u>HDTV</u>):
  - $\circ$  HD (1280 × 720 progressive scan)
  - Full HDi (1920 × 1080 split into two interlaced fields of 540 lines)
  - o <u>Full HD</u> (1920 × 1080 progressive scan)
- Ultra-high-definition television (<u>UHDTV</u>):
  - o  $\frac{4K \text{ UHD}}{\text{scan}}$  (3840 × 2160 progressive scan)
  - o  $DCI \ 4K \ (4096 \times 2160)$
  - o <u>8K UHD</u> (7680 × 4320 progressive scan)



Difference between screen sizes in some common devices, such as a <u>Nintendo DS</u> and two laptops shown here.

# **Computer monitors**

Computer monitors have traditionally possessed higher resolutions than most televisions.

#### 2000s

As of July 2002,  $1024 \times 768$  <u>eXtended Graphics Array</u> was the most common display resolution. Many web sites and multimedia products were re-designed from the previous  $800 \times 600$  format to the layouts optimized for  $1024 \times 768$ .

The availability of inexpensive LCD monitors has made the 5:4 aspect ratio resolution of  $1280 \times 1024$  more popular for desktop usage during the first decade of the 21st century. Many computer users including <u>CAD</u> users, graphic artists and video game players ran their computers at  $1600 \times 1200$  resolution (<u>UXGA</u>) or higher such as  $2048 \times 1536$  <u>QXGA</u> if they had the necessary equipment. Other available resolutions included oversize aspects like  $1400 \times 1050$  <u>SXGA+</u> and wide aspects like  $1280 \times 800$  <u>WXGA</u>,  $1440 \times 900$  <u>WXGA+</u>,  $1680 \times 1050$  <u>WSXGA+</u>, and  $1920 \times 1200$  <u>WUXGA</u>; monitors built to the 720p and 1080p standard are also not unusual among home media and video game players, due to the perfect screen compatibility with movie and video game releases. A new more-than-HD resolution of  $2560 \times 1600$  WQXGA was released in 30-inch LCD monitors in 2007.

#### 2010s

As of March 2012,  $1366 \times 768$  was the most common display resolution. [5]

In 2010, 27-inch LCD monitors with the  $2560 \times 1440$ -pixel resolution were released by multiple manufacturers including Apple, [6] and in 2012, Apple introduced a  $2880 \times 1800$  display on the MacBook

<u>Pro</u>. Panels for professional environments, such as medical use and air traffic control, support resolutions of up to  $4096 \times 2160$  pixels. [8][9][10]

### **Common display resolutions**

| Standard | Aspect Ratio | Width (px) | Height (px) | % of Steam users | % of web users |
|----------|--------------|------------|-------------|------------------|----------------|
|          |              |            |             | (August 2018)    | (August 2018)  |
| XGA+     | 4:3          | 1152       | 864         | n/a              | 0.29           |
| XGA      | 4:3          | 1024       | 768         | 0.64             | 3.93           |
| WXGA+    | 16:10        | 1440       | 900         | 3.37             | 6.61           |
| WXGA     | 16:9         | 1280       | 720         | 0.38             | 3.2            |
| WXGA     | 5:3          | 1280       | 768         | n/a              | 0.46           |
| WXGA     | 16:10        | 1280       | 800         | 0.83             | 4.27           |
| WUXGA    | 16:10        | 1920       | 1200        | 0.84             | 1.3            |
| WSXGA+   | 16:10        | 1680       | 1050        | 2.46             | 2.7            |
| WSVGA    | ~17:10       | 1024       | 600         | n/a              | 0.29           |
| SXGA     | 5:4          | 1280       | 1024        | 1.98             | 3.79           |
| SVGA     | 4:3          | 800        | 600         | n/a              | 0.38           |
| QHD      | 16:9         | 2560       | 1440        | 3.12             | 2.2            |
| other    | 16:9         | 1536       | 864         | 0.29             | 5.26           |
| other    | 21:9         | 2560       | 1080        | 0.84             | n/a            |
| other    | 21:9         | 3440       | 1440        | 0.36             | n/a            |
| HD+      | 16:9         | 1600       | 900         | 3.55             | 5.58           |
| HD       | ~16:9        | 1360       | 768         | 1.79             | 2.0            |
| HD       | ~16:9        | 1366       | 768         | 13.33            | 27.16          |
| FHD      | 16:9         | 1920       | 1080        | 63.72            | 19.57          |
| 4K UHD   | 16:9         | 3840       | 2160        | 1.14             | n/a            |
| Other    |              |            |             | 1.38             | 11.0           |

#### **Notes**

The Steam user statistics were gathered from users of the <u>Steam</u> network in its hardware survey of August 2018.[11]

The web user statistics were gathered from visitors to over two million websites during August 2018. [12]

The numbers are not representative of computer users in general.

When a computer display resolution is set higher than the physical screen resolution (*native resolution*), some video drivers make the virtual screen scrollable over the physical screen thus realizing a two dimensional <u>virtual desktop</u> with its viewport. Most LCD manufacturers do make note of the panel's native resolution as working in a non-native resolution on LCDs will result in a poorer image, due to dropping of pixels to make the image fit (when using DVI) or insufficient sampling of the analog signal (when using VGA connector). Few CRT manufacturers will quote the true native resolution, because CRTs are analog in nature and can vary their display from as low as  $320 \times 200$  (emulation of older computers or game consoles) to as high as the internal board will allow, or the image becomes too detailed for the vacuum tube to recreate (*i.e.*, analog blur). Thus, CRTs provide a variability in resolution that fixed resolution LCDs cannot provide.

In recent years the 16:9 aspect ratio has become more common in notebook displays.  $1366 \times 768 \ (\underline{HD})$  has become popular for most notebook sizes, while  $1600 \times 900 \ (HD+)$  and  $1920 \times 1080 \ (\underline{FHD})$  are available for larger notebooks.

As far as <u>digital cinematography</u> is concerned, video resolution standards depend first on the frames' aspect ratio in the <u>film stock</u> (which is usually <u>scanned</u> for <u>digital intermediate</u> post-production) and then on the actual points' count. Although there is not a unique set of standardized sizes, it is commonplace within the motion picture industry to refer to "nK" image "quality", where n is a (small, usually even)

integer number which translates into a set of actual resolutions, depending on the <u>film format</u>. As a reference consider that, for a 4:3 (around 1.33:1) aspect ratio which a film frame (no matter what is its format) is expected to *horizontally fit in*, *n* is the multiplier of 1024 such that the horizontal resolution is exactly 1024•n points. [citation needed] For example, 2K reference resolution is 2048 × 1536 pixels, whereas 4K reference resolution is 4096 × 3072 pixels. Nevertheless, 2K may also refer to resolutions like 2048 × 1556 (full-aperture), 2048 × 1152 (<u>HDTV</u>, 16:9 aspect ratio) or 2048 × 872 pixels (<u>Cinemascope</u>, 2.35:1 aspect ratio). It is also worth noting that while a frame resolution may be, for example, 3:2 (720 × 480 NTSC), that is not what you will see on-screen (i.e. 4:3 or 16:9 depending on the orientation of the rectangular pixels).

#### **Evolution of standards**

Many personal computers introduced in the late 1970s and the 1980s were designed to use television receivers as their display devices, making the resolutions dependent on the television standards in use, including PAL and NTSC. Picture sizes were usually limited to ensure the visibility of all the pixels in the major television standards and the broad range of television sets with varying amounts of over scan. The actual drawable picture area was, therefore, somewhat smaller than the whole screen, and was usually surrounded by a static-colored border (see image to right). Also, the interlace scanning was usually omitted in order to provide more stability to the picture, effectively halving the vertical resolution in progress.  $160 \times 200$ ,  $320 \times 200$  and  $640 \times 200$  on NTSC were relatively common resolutions in the era (224, 240 or 256 scanlines were also common). In the IBM PC world, these resolutions came to be used by 16-color EGA video cards.

One of the drawbacks of using a classic television is that the computer display resolution is higher than the television could decode. Chroma resolution for NTSC/PAL televisions are bandwidth-limited to a maximum 1.5 megahertz, or approximately 160 pixels wide, which led to blurring of the color for 320-or 640-wide signals, and made text difficult to read (see second image to right). Many users upgraded to higher-quality televisions with S-Video or RGBI inputs that helped eliminate chroma blur and produce more legible displays. The earliest, lowest cost solution to the chroma problem was offered in the Atari 2600 Video Computer System and the Apple II+, both of which offered the option to disable the color and view a legacy black-and-white signal. On the Commodore 64, the GEOS mirrored the Mac OS method of using black-and-white to improve readability.

The 640 × 400i resolution (720 × 480i with borders disabled) was first introduced by home computers such as the <u>Commodore Amiga</u> and, later, Atari Falcon. These computers used interlace to boost the maximum vertical resolution. These modes were only suited to graphics or gaming, as the flickering interlace made reading text in word processor, database, or spreadsheet software difficult. (Modern game consoles solve this problem by pre-filtering the 480i video to a lower resolution. For example, <u>Final Fantasy XII</u> suffers from flicker when the filter is turned off, but stabilizes once filtering is restored. The computers of the 1980s lacked sufficient power to run similar filtering software.)

The advantage of a 720 × 480i overscanned computer was an easy interface with interlaced TV production, leading to the development of Newtek's <u>Video Toaster</u>. This device allowed Amigas to be used for CGI creation in various news departments (example: weather overlays), drama programs such as NBC's <u>seaQuest</u>, The WB's <u>Babylon 5</u>, and early computer-generated animation by Disney for <u>The Little Mermaid</u>, <u>Beauty and the Beast</u>, and <u>Aladdin</u>.

In the PC world, the <u>IBM PS/2</u> VGA (multi-color) on-board graphics chips used a non-interlaced (progressive)  $640 \times 480 \times 16$  color resolution that was easier to read and thus more useful for office work. It was the standard resolution from 1990 to around 1996. [citation needed] The standard resolution was 800  $\times$  600 until around 2000. Microsoft <u>Windows XP</u>, released in 2001, was designed to run at  $800 \times 600$  minimum, although it is possible to select the original  $640 \times 480$  in the Advanced Settings window.

Programs designed to mimic older hardware such as Atari, Sega, or Nintendo game consoles (emulators) when attached to multiscan CRTs, routinely use much lower resolutions, such as  $160 \times 200$  or  $320 \times 400$ 

for greater authenticity, though other emulators have taken advantage of pixelation recognition on circle, square, triangle and other geometric features on a lesser resolution for a more scaled vector rendering.







In this image of a <u>Commodore 64</u> startup screen, the <u>overscan</u> region (the lighter-coloured border) would have been barely visible when shown on a normal television.

A  $640 \times 200$  display as produced by a monitor (left) and television

16-color (top) and 256-color (bottom) progressive images from a 1980s <u>VGA</u> card. <u>Dithering</u> is used to overcome color limitations.

# Commonly used

The <u>list of common display resolutions</u> article lists the most commonly used display resolutions for computer graphics, television, films, and video conferencing.

# See also

- <u>Computer display standards</u> has a detailed list of display resolutions (e.g. VGA 640 × 480, WUXGA 1920 × 1200, etc.).
- <u>Display aspect ratio</u>
- <u>Display size</u>
- Ultrawide formats
- Graphics display resolution
- List of common resolutions
- Pixel density of Computer displays PPI (for example, a 20-inch 1680 × 1050 screen has a PPI of 99.06)
- Resolution independence
- Video scaler
- Widescreen

### References

- 1. <u>^ "Screen resolution? Aspect ratio? What do 720p, 1080p, QHD, 4K and 8K mean?"</u>. digitalcitizen.life. 2016-05-20. Retrieved 2017-08-28.
- 2. \(^{\text{Jump up to: \(^a \)^b} \) Robin, Michael (2005-04-01).

  "Horizontal resolution: Pixels or lines". Broadcast

  Engineering. Archived from the original on 2012-08-15.

  Retrieved 2012-07-22.
- 3. "Higher screen resolutions more popular for exploring the internet according to OneStat.com". OneStat.com. 2002-07-24. Archived from the original on 2011-07-16. Retrieved 2012-07-22.
- 4. "Screen resolution 800x600 significantly decreased for exploring the Internet according to OneStat.com".

  OneStat.com. 2007-04-18. Archived from the original on 2011-07-16. Retrieved 2012-07-22.
- 5. "Higher screen resolutions more popular for exploring the internet according to OneStat.com". techpowerup.com. 2012-04-12. Retrieved 2016-01-22.

- 6. ^ Nelson, J.R. (2010-07-27). <u>"Apple Releases New Cinema Display: 27 inches, 2560 × 1440 Resolution"</u>. DesktopReview. Retrieved 2012-07-22.
- 7. "Apple announces iOS 6, MacBook with retina display at WWDC 2012". The Times of India. 2012-06-11. Retrieved 2012-07-22.
- 8. "EIZO DuraVision FDH3601"
- 9. "EYE-LCD 6400-4K" Archived July 19, 2011, at the Wayback Machine.
- 10. "Optik View DC801, DC802" Archived May 12, 2012, at the Wayback Machine.
- 11. <u>^ "Steam Hardware & Software Survey"</u>. Valve. Archived from <u>the original</u> on 2018-08-29. Retrieved 2018-08-30.
- 12. <u>"Desktop Screen Resolution Stats</u> <u>Worldwide"</u>. StatCounter. Retrieved 2018-08-30.