awd

Privacy Policy Analysis Report Analyzed against: DPDP Act (India) Generated on: April 22, 2025 by DataINFA

Privacy Policy Analysis Against DPDP Act, 2023

Key Findings

- 1. Lack of Explicit Consent Mechanism The policy does not clearly describe how consent is obtained, stored, or withdrawn, as required under Section 6 of the DPDP Act.
- 2. **Insufficient Notice Requirements** The policy does not provide an itemized description of personal data collected or the specific purposes of processing, as mandated by Rule 3 of the DPDP Rules.
- 3. No Mention of Data Principal Rights The policy does not explicitly inform users of their rights (access, correction, erasure, grievance redressal) under <u>Sections 11-14 of</u> the DPDP Act.
- 4. No Data Breach Notification Process The policy does not outline procedures for notifying users in case of a data breach, as required under <u>Section 8(2) of the DPDP</u>
- 5. No Data Retention & Erasure Policy The policy does not specify retention periods or conditions for data erasure, violating <u>Section 8(3) of the DPDP Act</u>.
- 6. No Grievance Redressal Mechanism The policy does not provide a clear process for users to raise complaints, as mandated by Section 13 of the DPDP Act.

Compliance Gaps

Privacy Policy Section	DPDP Act Requirement	Gap Identified
"What we collect"	Rule 3(b)(i) – Itemized description of personal data	Lists broad categories but lacks specificity on exact data fields collected.
"What we do with the information"	Section 4(2) – Purpose limitation	Does not clearly link each data type to a specific lawful purpose.
"Controlling your personal information"	Section 11-14 – Data Principal rights	No explicit mention of rights to access, correct, or erase data.
"Security"	Section 8(1) – Security safeguards	Lacks details on encryption, access controls, or breach response.
No Data Retention Policy	Section 8(3) – Data erasure	Does not specify retention periods or conditions for deletion.
No Grievance Officer	Section 13 – Grievance redressal	No designated contact for complaints.

delivery).

3. User Rights (Non-Compliant)

- **Issue:** No mention of rights under <u>Sections 11-14</u>.
- Fix: Add a dedicated "Your Rights" section with steps to request data access/correction.

4. Security Measures (Insufficient)

- **Issue:** Lacks technical safeguards (encryption, access logs) per <u>Section 8(1)</u>.
- Fix: Specify encryption standards, audit logs, and breach response protocols.

5. Data Retention (Missing)

- Issue: No retention policy as per <u>Section 8(3)</u>.
- Fix: Define retention periods (e.g., 1 year for inactive users) and auto-deletion rules.

6. Grievance Mechanism (Absent)

- Issue: No process for complaints under Section 13.
- Fix: Appoint a DPO and provide a complaint form with resolution timelines.

Conclusion

The current privacy policy does not fully comply with the DPDP Act, particularly in:

- ✓ Consent & Notice
- ✓ User Rights
- ✓ Data Security & Breach Response
- ✓ Retention & Erasure
- ✓ Grievance Redressal

Immediate Action Required:

- Redraft the policy to include all DPDP-mandated disclosures.
- · Implement a consent management system.
- Publish a data retention & erasure policy.
- Appoint a DPO/grievance officer.

Would you like a revised privacy policy draft aligned with the DPDP Act? Let me know!