Hochschule Karlsruhe University of Applied Sciences

Fakultät für Elektro- und Informationstechnik



Electrical- and Information Engineering-Information Engineering

Project

A digital Guitar Effects Pedal or what the modern Hendrix would use

Author: Markus Velm

Semester: Two

Professor: Prof. Dr. Manfred Litzenburger

List of Abbreviations

ADC Analog-Digital Converter

CLI Command Line Interface

CPU Central Processing Unit

DAC Digital-Analog Converter

DMA Direct Memory Access

EDA Electronic Design Automation

FIR Finite Impulse Response

IC Integrated Circuit

IDE Integrated Development Environment

IIR Infinte Impulse Response

I²C Inter-Integrated Circuit

I²S Inter-Integrated Sound

LTI Linear Time Invariant

LTV Linear Time Variant

MSB Most Significant Bit

PCB Printed Circuit Board

RAM Random Access Memory

SPI Serial Peripheral Interface

Contents

Contents

1	Intro	oduction	1				
2	Goa	I	1				
3	Basics 3.1 Digital Signal Processing						
		3.1.1 Digital Filters	1 5				
	3.2	3.1.2 LTI and LTV-Systems	5 5				
	-	3.2.1 Inter-Integrated Sound	5				
		3.2.2 Direct Memory Access	6				
4	Req	uirements and concept	7				
	4.1	Challenges and requirements in Audio Signal Processing	7				
		4.1.1 Latency	7				
		4.1.2 Packet losses and cracking noise	7				
	4.2	Concept	7				
		4.2.1 Signalflow	8				
		4.2.2 Double Buffering and DMA	8				
5	lmp	lementation	9				
	5.1	Introduction of the systems used	9				
	5.2	Implementation of the filters	9				
	5.3	Evaluation of the filters	12				
	5.4	Development of the PCB	12				
	5.5	Evaluation of the hardware	13				
Bil	bliog	raphy	14				
Lis	st of	Figures	15				
Lis	st of	Tables	16				

1 Introduction 1

1 Introduction

Guitar pedals are widely used. Classic ones are analog but there is an increasing number of digital effects due to processing power of PCs or microcontrollers. Both concepts have reasonable advantages. For the analog concept the unbeatable advantage is that there is minimal latency. The response of an analog system is the fastest you can get. The downside of analog processing is the lack of flexibility.

This project is about an implementation of a guitar effect on a microcontroller based platform. The effect which should be realized is variable bandpass-filter. Due to its acoustic characteristics it is also called Wah-Wah-effect. Guitar legends such as Jimi Hendrix, especially on the song Voodoo Child (Slight Return), and also Eric Clapton used this effect a lot, often in combination with a heavy distortion, also called Fuzz [1] [2].

2 Goal

The goal of this project is to implement a digital bandpass filter, which is variable in its center frequency. The core of this should be a STM32 microcontroller.

Additionally, an own Printed Circuit Board (PCB) should be developed to integrate all components onto one single board. There should also be the opportunity to change the software of the microcontroller in order to extend the functionality. Other effects like reverb, delay or other filters should be possible to implement.

3 Basics

3.1 Digital Signal Processing

3.1.1 Digital Filters

3.1.1.1 Finite Impulse Response Filter

Digital Filters are a huge field of digital signal processing. The most common filters are Finite Impulse Response (FIR)-filters. The basic structure is a weighted shift register without feedback. The missing feedback is what makes the FIR-filter stable per construction.

In Figure 3.1 the structure of a FIR-filter is shown.

It can be seen that there are multiple components in this filter. The first component is the delay block, which is described as z^{-1} . This block is responsible for adding a delay of one clock cycle to the input data. Secondly, there are multiplication blocks, noted as b_M . These blocks weight the input data and give the result to an




Figure 3.1: Basic structure of a M order FIR-filter in the first canonical form [3]

adder, which adds M+1 weighted and delayed signal samples. This sum is the output of an FIR-filter.

The input signal is x, whereas the output is y. The order of this Filter is M which means the amount of filter taps or delay blocks.

A special case of the FIR-filter is the *Moving Average Filter*, at which all coefficients are $\frac{1}{M+1}$.

The whole system can be described with the difference equation in the time-domain (Equation 3.1)

$$y[n] = b_0 \cdot x[n] + b_1 \cdot x[n-1] + \dots + b_M \cdot x[n-M]$$
(3.1)

,

or with the transfer function in the z-domain (Equation 3.2)

$$H(z) = b_0 + b_1 \cdot z^{-1} + \dots + b_M \cdot z^{-M}$$
(3.2)

The output can be calculated with the convolution (noted as *) of the impulse response h[n], which is simply the union of the filter coefficients, and the input signal x[n] (Equation 3.3).

$$y[n] = x[n] * h[n]$$

$$(3.3)$$

Another way to describe the filtering result is in the frequency domain. If the frequency of the input is given with X(z) and the frequency response of the filter is H(z) than the outure is Y(z), which can be calculated like shown in Equation 3.4.

$$Y(z) = X(z) \cdot H(z) \tag{3.4}$$

The stability of the FIR-filter is characteristic, this can be seen in the pole-zero plane. All poles are in the middle of the unit circle, which is necessary for the stability of a system.

If the value of the z-plane is evaluated on the unit circle the result is the frequency response of the filter (Figure 3.2).




Figure 3.2: z-plane plot (left) and frequency response (right) of a FIR-filter

3.1.1.2 Infinite Impulse Response Filter

Another filter type is the Infinte Impulse Response (IIR) filter. The structure is fully recursive as it is shown in Figure 3.3.




Figure 3.3: Basic structure of a M order IIR-filter in the first canonical form [3]

Due to the recursive structure, this type of filter is no longer necessarily stable. This means, that it can oscillate and eventually the output can grow exponentally. To fullfill stability, all the poles of the IIR-filter have to be in the unit-circle [3].

Its transfer function can be described as follows:

$$H(z) = \frac{1}{a_1 \cdot z^{-1} + \dots + a_M \cdot z^{-M}}$$
 (3.5)

In the time domain, the output is equal to

$$y[n] = a_1 \cdot y[n-1] + a_2 \cdot y[n-2] + \dots + a_M \cdot y[n-M]$$
 (3.6)

•

So the output is dependend on all the M outputs before. In literature and other documentation, the a_0 -coefficient is also referenced. This is typically set to 1 as it has the same meaning as the b_0 -coefficient in the FIR-filter.

If the two types of filters are getting merged, the result is also an IIR-filter, plus a few additional feed-forward-terms. A widely used example of this is the *Biquad-filter* [4].

3.1.1.3 Filter Design

This section deals with designing filters. There are a few ways to calculate the numerator and denominator-coefficients. But this shouldn't be part of this, the goal is more like looking at how to design a filter in a high-level perspective.

First of all, the number of filtertaps will be discussed. As stated earlier, FIR-filters have the convenient property, that they are always stable. The downside of these filters are, that for example a narrow-band bandpass filter needs more filtertaps, than a comparable IIR-filter [3]. This should not be a huge problem in the most cases, but if the goal is to variate the filter-coefficients, this may lead to problems which will be discussed later.

Secondly, the shape of FIR and IIR-filters differ. The recursive filter is more often used to model an actual analog filter, due to the fact, that analog filters are also of recursive structure (e.g. a second order LC-filter) [3].

In the following graphics, there is a FIR- on the left and a IIR-bandpass-filter on the right. All the parameters of these two filters, mid-frequency, bandwidth, quality-factor and number of coefficients are set equal in order to make them comparable.




Figure 3.4: Comparison of the frequency response of a FIR- (left) and IIR-bandpass-filter (right)

The parameters which were chosen for this comparison were set to:

Table 3.1: Parameters to compare FIR- and IIR-filters							
start-frequency	stop-frequency	number of coefficients					
0.4	0.5	5					

As it can be observed, the IIR-filter has much sharper edges and the attenuation in the stopband is much higher. This is due to the fact, that IIR-filters have poles, which can produce sharper edges, but it can also lead to instability.

As a reference, an analog bandpass-filter is shown in Figure 3.5, designed with the Texas Instruments filter-design-tool [5].




Figure 3.5: Analog bandpass filter frequency response

It can be seen, that the basic shape of the IIR-filter is much closer to the analog equivalent than the FIR-filter.

3.1.2 LTI and LTV-Systems

The filters above are all Linear Time Invariant (LTI)-systems. That means, they firstly have a linear behaviour, so filters can be combined in any way without changing the output. Secondly, the filters never change in time. This is not the case for a variable bandpass, as it changes its coefficients. Therefore it is linear, but no longer time-invariant. Such systems are then called Linear Time Variant (LTV). This may have an effect to the output signal, so this has to be evaluated.

3.2 Microcontroller-Peripherals

3.2.1 Inter-Integrated Sound

The Inter-Integrated Sound (I²S) protocol was developed by *Philips* to share audio between Integrated Circuits (ICs). A similarity to the Serial Peripheral Interface (SPI) protocol can be recognized.

There are three signal lines:

- SCK: Clock signal
- SD: Data signal
- WS: Word select, for distinction between left and right channel

So the data is transmitted over the same signal line by time division multiplexing. To illustrate the timing of the protocol the corresponding diagrams are shown in Figure 3.6 [6].




Figure 3.6: Timing diagramm of the I²S protocol [6]

The word length can vary between transmitter and receiver. That is why the Most Significant Bit (MSB) is sent first in the standard configuration. Additionally the participants do not need to know the word length of the counterpart [6].

The wiring of this serial bus is possible in three basic configurations (shown in Figure 3.7). Thereby the master is always responsible for the SCK and the WS line.




Figure 3.7: The three basic configurations of the I²S protocol [6]

3.2.2 Direct Memory Access

The Direct Memory Access (DMA) is a peripheral in the microcontroller, which allows to transfer data between memory destinations or peripherals without the need of the Central Processing Unit (CPU). This is advantagious, because this saves computation capacity which leads to a smoother data flow.

4 Requirements and concept

4.1 Challenges and requirements in Audio Signal Processing

4.1.1 Latency

As we have to sample a block of data in the digital domain, there is a latency between the input and output depending on some parameters. Firstly the buffer size has a large impact on the latency. The greater the buffer the greater the latency. It is application depending what latency is acceptable, this is why that parameter limits the system and should be evaluated carefully.

Secondly other components, which are application depending, adds latency to the whole signal path. For example filtering or other modifications take some time to be computed. Also the signal has to be converted from analog to digital and transmitted over an interface. All these components latencies add up to an overall system latency.

In this application, where a guitar signal is processed, the overall latency of the system should be less than 5 ms [7].

4.1.2 Packet losses and cracking noise

In some audio applications cracking noise can occur. This is due to some impulses on the audio signal. This can be caused by an error of the input sample, for example particles on a vinyl record, or by slow implementations of digital signal processing algorithms.

If the processing of the input data takes longer than the actual transfer, packets of audio data will then be dropped. An impulse or step on the output data will be seen, this can be heard as a cracking noise [8].

4.2 Concept

The basic concept is shown in Figure 4.1.

It is to be seen, that the input data is comming from the Line In, is sampled by a codec and transmitted over I²S to the microcontroller. There the data will be processed and the output will be transmitted again over I²S to a codec. The output of the codec is again a 3,5 mm Line Jack.

Additionally a potentiometer is connected to set the midfrequency of the filter.

There is also a serial connection to a PC where a Command Line Interface (CLI) should be available to configure different parameters.




Figure 4.1: Basic concept

4.2.1 Signalflow

The basic idea is to filter the input signal with a bandpass filter. The mid frequency should be derived from a potentiometer which is simply connected to an Analog-Digital Converter (ADC) inside the microcontroller. It has to be evaluated how exactly the filter is adjusted. The first attempt will be a lookup-table and everytime the position of the potentiometer changes, the corresponding filter coefficients will be loaded.

4.2.2 Double Buffering and DMA

To solve the problem with packet losses it is recommended to use the double buffering method. It is basically a buffer which is cut in half. If the first half is filled with data then this half will be processed while the other half is filled with the second half of the input data. Is the second half filled then this half will be processed and so on.

The advantage is that it is not necessary to wait for the whole input data block to process. This will reduce the time between processing and sampling data [9].

An useful peripheral in the microcontroller is the DMA. This peripheral allows a direct transfer of input data from the peripheral register (e.g. ADC-peripheral) to the Random Access Memory (RAM) without the need of the CPU. This saves computing time, because the CPU can process the data simultaneously to the transfer done by the DMA.

The whole procedure is shown in Figure 4.2.




Figure 4.2: Double buffering concept [9]

5 Implementation

5.1 Introduction of the systems used

For the first implementation of basic filtering operations, the evaluation board Nucleo-H743ZI of STMicroelectronics is used. The breakout board PmodI2S $Stereo\ IN/OUT$ is used in order to sample audio data from a 3,5 mm jack input.

The choice for the Integrated Development Environment (IDE) fell on the STM32CubeIDE as it provides an easy to use installation and development process.

Digital filters need filter coefficients. As it would be too time consuming to calculate them during runtime, these coefficients where computed beforehand and then stored in the memory of the microcontroller. For these computations, own Python scripts were developed.

In order to design the PCB, the Electronic Design Automation (EDA)-software KiCAD was used.

5.2 Implementation of the filters

As the goal is to develop a variable bandpass filter, not only a set of coefficients for one filter has to be stored, but many versions of this filter with different mid-frequencies.

As a reference, the frequency response of *Cry-Baby-Pedal* of Prof. Litzenburger has been measured, this is shown in Figure 5.1.

Firstly, the resonance frequency varies between 466 Hz and 2,3 kHz.

It is also to be seen, that as the frequency changes, the bandwidth also seem to change. Firstly, it has to be mentioned, that this is a logarithmic scale. Nevertheless, in an ideal bandpass-filter, the quality factor is constant. This factor indicates how much energy is dissipated, or how high the loss of a oscillation circuit is. So to keep the Q-factor constant, with increasing the resonance frequency, the bandwidth also have to increase (Equation 5.1).




Figure 5.1: Measurement of the frequency response of a Wah-Wah-pedal at the lowest and highest frequencies

$$Q = \frac{f_{res}}{f_{3dB}} \tag{5.1}$$

By measuring the bandwidths of this filter, it turns out, that the quality factor also changes.

The bandwidth at 466 Hz is measured to be 54 Hz, which leads to a Q-factor of:

$$Q = \frac{460 \, Hz}{54 \, Hz} = 8,518 \tag{5.2}$$

_

At 2,3 kHz the bandwidth is 600 Hz resulting in a quality factor of:

$$Q = \frac{2,3 \, kHz}{600 \, Hz} = 3,833 \tag{5.3}$$

.

This behaviour is directly related to how the effect sounds and should therefore be considered in the filter design.

For the first tries, FIR-filters were used, because they always guarantee stability [3]. The first prototype of the coefficients combine a set of 32 coefficient sets with the following parameters:

Table 5.1: Parameters for FIR-filterdesign
$$\frac{\text{quality factor}}{6}$$
 $\frac{\text{number of coefficients}}{130}$

Here, the Q-factor is held constant for the first experiments.




Figure 5.2: Measurement of the FIR-model frequency response at the lowest and highest frequencies

In the following picture shows a FIR-model of the analog reference at 460 Hz and 2242 Hz Figure 5.1.

It can be clearly observed, that the shape of the filters are not close to the analog reference. The effect of the bandwidth getting wider is not visible.

The second approach to model the filter is by using a biquad-filter [4]. These filters use two filter-taps in the forward- and two taps in the feedback-path. The structure is shown in Figure 5.3.




Figure 5.3: Structure of a biquad filter [4]

The transfer function of this filtertype is:

$$H(z) = \frac{b_0 + b_1 \cdot z^{-1} + b_2 \cdot z^{-2}}{a_1 \cdot z^{-1} + a_2 \cdot z^{-2}}$$
 (5.4)

Here it can be seen, why this filter is called biquad: it is the short form for biquadratic, which relates to the powers of the numerator- and denominator-terms. The a_0 -coefficient is mostly set to 1, because its meaning is the same as the b_0 -coefficient.

Designing a bandpass-filter with a biquad-filter results in the filters shown in Figure 5.4.




Figure 5.4: Measurement of the IIR-model frequency response at the lowest and highest frequencies

It shows, that the shape of this filter matches the analog reference better than the FIR-filter.

5.3 Evaluation of the filters

Firstly the FIR-filter is evaluated.

The first issue that will be recognized, is the cracking noise, when the filter coefficients are being updated.

5.4 Development of the PCB

In order to get a handy pedal, the whole hardware should be integrated into one single PCB. The dimensions of this should not exceed the standard-sized size of effect pedals. As a reference the $Boss\ DS-1$ distortion pedal were used to derive a reasonable size for the PCB. The dimensions of the distortion pedal are 73 x 129 mm, which should not be exceeded. The final PCB now has dimensions of $56,39 \times 84,33 \,\mathrm{mm}$.

Furthermore, there should be enough inputs, to provide to ability to extend the functionality of this board. The idea is, to develop a platform, where any effect can be implemented. In order to fulfill this, three analog and four digital inputs are connected to solderpads for later use. Additionally, an Inter-Integrated Circuit (I^2C) connector is also provided, if for example a little display should be used.

The main components that were chosen are:

• STM32H725 microcontroller

- CS5343-CZZ Audio Digital-Analog Converter (DAC)
- CS4344-CZZR Audio ADC

The reason for the choice of these components is, that the these are also present on the Nucleo- and the breakout-board. Except for the microcontroller, therefore the smallest package with 68 pins were chosen.

5.5 Evaluation of the hardware

There are a few issues on the board, which have been found and fixed so far.

Issue 1:

The first issue is, that the core of the microcontroller is not connected to a source. This is, because the voltage regulator of this package is not connected to the core. This must be done externally. To fix this, a switched-mode power supply was added to the board, which outputs the 1 V for the core.

Issue 2:

The output voltage of the extra added power supply is noisy, which led to connection errors with the debugger. In order to fix this, extra capacitors were added to the supply of the core.

Current state:

It is possible to connect a J-Link debugger to the microcontroller.

Bibliography 14

Bibliography

[1] D. J. Dailey, *Electronics for Guitarists*, 3rd ed. Springer, 2022.

- [2] "Wah-wah." (), [Online]. Available: https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wah-Wah (visited on 07/23/2023).
- [3] M. Meyer, *Signalverarbeitung*, Analoge und digitale Signale, Systeme und Filter. Springer Vieweg, 2017.
- [4] Biquad cascade iir filters using direct form i structure, Keil. [Online]. Available: https://www.keil.com/pack/doc/CMSIS_Dev/DSP/html/group_BiquadCascadeDF1.html (visited on 07/28/2024).
- [5] Filter design tool, Texas Instruments. [Online]. Available: https://webench.ti.com/filter-design-tool/filter-response (visited on 08/04/2024).
- [6] Um11732, I²S bus specification, Rev. 3, XNP Semiconductors, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.nxp.com/docs/en/user-manual/UM11732.pdf (visited on 07/23/2023).
- [7] P. Beckmann. "Real-time embedded audio signal processing." (2008), [Online]. Available: https://dspconcepts.com/sites/default/files/2008-10-05_real-time_embedded_audio_signal_processing.pdf (visited on 07/23/2023).
- [8] D. Stotz, Computergestützte Audio- und Videotechnik, Multimediatechnik in der Anwendung, 3rd ed. Springer Vieweg, 2018.
- [9] D. Katz, R. Gentile, and T. Lukasiak. "Fundamentals of embedded audio, part 3." (2007), [Online]. Available: https://www.eetimes.com/fundamentals-of-embedded-audio-part-3/ (visited on 07/23/2023).

List of Figures 15

List of Figures

3.1	Basic structure of a M order FIR-filter in the first canonical form [3]	2
3.2	z-plane plot (left) and frequency response (right) of a FIR-filter	3
3.3	Basic structure of a M order IIR-filter in the first canonical form [3]	3
3.4	Comparison of the frequency response of a FIR- (left) and IIR-	
	bandpass-filter (right)	4
3.5	Analog bandpass filter frequency response	5
3.6	Timing diagramm of the I^2S protocol [6]	6
3.7	The three basic configurations of the I^2S protocol [6]	6
4.1	Basic concept	8
4.2	Double buffering concept [9]	9
5.1	Measurement of the frequency response of a Wah-Wah-pedal at the	
	lowest and highest frequencies	10
5.2	Measurement of the FIR-model frequency response at the lowest	
	and highest frequencies	11
5.3	Structure of a biquad filter [4]	11
5.4	Measurement of the IIR-model frequency response at the lowest and	
	highest frequencies	12

List of Tables 16

	• .	•	_	
	ıct	Ωt	l al	bles
_	ISL	VI.	- 1 (1)	DICS

3.1	Parameters to compare FIR- and IIR-filters	4
5.1	Parameters for FIR-filterdesign	10