

The Reasonably unreasonable effectiveness of RNNs

Venchislav

National University of City17

Newbie Deep Learning Engineer dramatically applies Feynman's Technique!!!

How dare he??

venchislavcodes@gmail.com

Abstract

Our brain processes information sequentially, keeping in mind context.

For example, you are not processing each word in vacuum while reading this text.

However, ordinary Neural Networks (like MLP) can not do it.

We can process each word individually, but not sequentially.

It may seem okay until the following tasks:

- 1) Detect whether “Teddy” is a person’s name in text.

Easy, right?

“USA former president Teddy Roosevelt”

“Plush toy Teddy Bear on the bed”

- 2) Predict the next word in text.

There appears to be a lot of tasks to be processed sequentially.


Sequence processing becomes a separate problem, which can be tackled by RNNs!

Keywords: RNN, Sequence, LSTM/GRU

Intro


What?

RNN (recurrent neural network) - neural network architecture with inner loops to hold previous sequence context.



Recurrent Neural Networks have loops.

Personally I find these schemes confusing, as they do not depict the entire picture.



An unrolled recurrent neural network.

RNN inside.

Each RNN block takes sequence element as input (it can be character/word/sound) and produces two values:

$a^{<t>}$ - hidden state for current t_{th} element of a sequence. Also denoted as $h^{<t>}$

$y^{<t>}$ - output for the current sequence block. It can be nothing, if we don't want to make output for the current element of a sequence, or boolean True/False if we want to classify, whether each word is a name or not.

$a^{<t>}$ flows to the $t + 1$ block as an additional context input.

$a^{<0>}$ is usually set to be vector of zeros $\vec{0}$

This is really nice, but...

RNNs are not good enough. Yes, they hold some context from the past & process sequence properly, but there are few drawbacks of plain RNN:

- 1) Includes context from the past only

“Teddy Roosevelt” and “Teddy Bear” begin the same. To find any difference we need to process data from “right-to-left”. I think it's something human-like, where we read the word, extend its meaning with later context and have this “aha moment”.

- 2) Loses context through the time.

“I’m from **France**. I like to eat croissants, baguettes, ... (blah blah blah). My Native Language is **French**”

Despite the distance between these two words they are connected to each other. However plain RNN can easily Lose context and say “Native Language is German”

Keep It mind.

Let’s start with the solution to the 2nd problem:

Why does our network lose context?

- Gradient Vanishing

It would be nice, if our RNN would have some sort of “Long-Term memory” to keep in mind important information (native country of a subject/its gender/is it plural).

Who said we can’t do this?

LSTM (long short-term memory) comes to the rescue!

References

Lastname, C. (2008). Title of the source without caps except Proper Nouns or: First word after colon. *The Journal or Publication Italicized and Capped*, Vol#(Issue#), Page numbers.

Lastname, O. (2010). Online journal using DOI or digital object identifier. *Main Online Journal Name*, Vol#(Issue#), 159-192. doi: 10.1000/182

Lastname, W. (2009). If there is no DOI use the URL of the main website referenced. *Article Without DOI Reference*, Vol#(Issue#), 166-212. Retrieved from <http://www.example.com>



Kto Pizdel?