

# COMP2212

# PROGRAMMING LANGUAGE CONCEPTS

Dr Julian Rathke

TYPE RULES FOR TOY

# REMINDER : GRAMMAR OF THE TOY LANGUAGE

---

The grammar for the Toy language we are using is as follows:

```
T , U ::= Int | Bool
E ::= n | true | false | E < E | E + E | x |
      | if E then E else E
      | let (x : T) = E in E
```

# REMINDER :TYPE DERIVATION RULES AND TREES

The general form of a type derivation rule is

$$\frac{\vdash E_1 : T_1 \quad \vdash E_2 : T_2 \quad \dots \quad \vdash E_n : T_n}{\vdash E : T}$$

“If the relation holds for the things above the line then the relation holds for things below the line also”.

In order to show that  $\vdash E : T$  holds, the rules must be formed in to a tree such that the leaf nodes of the tree have no premises. For example

$$\frac{\frac{\vdash E_0 : T_0}{\vdash E_1 : T_1} \quad \frac{\frac{\vdash E_4 : T_4 \quad \vdash E_5 : T_5}{\vdash E_3 : T_3}}{\vdash E_2 : T_2}}{\vdash E : T}$$

# RULES FOR THE TOY LANGUAGE

---

Let's write type derivation rules for our toy language, one construct at a time, then.  
First, rules for the values:

$$\frac{}{\vdash n : \text{Int}} \text{T}_{\text{INT}}$$

$$\frac{}{\vdash b : \text{Bool}} \text{T}_{\text{BOOL}}$$

It can be useful to give the each type derivation rule a name (cf. T<sub>Int</sub> and T<sub>Bool</sub>).

Let's look at conditional expressions:

$$\frac{\vdash E_b : \text{Bool} \quad \vdash E_1 : T \quad \vdash E_2 : T}{\vdash \text{if } E_b \text{ then } E_1 \text{ else } E_2 : T} \text{T}_{\text{IF}}$$



These are the key points

# TYPING RULES FOR LET EXPRESSIONS

---

Consider the local variable construct: **let ( x : T ) = E in E**

What type does this whole expression have?

As a first guess at a type rule we could write:

$$\frac{\vdash E_1 : T \quad \vdash E_2 : U}{\vdash \text{let } (x : T) = E_1 \text{ in } E_2 : U} \text{TLET?}$$

This is hopelessly wrong!

Environment: map env with type

# TYPING EXPRESSIONS WITH FREE VARIABLES

---

Consider the following example:

**let ( x : Int ) = 10 in x + 1**

An instantiation of the broken rule on the previous slide to this example is

$$\frac{\vdash 10 : \text{Int} \quad \vdash x + 1 : \text{Int}}{\vdash \text{let } (x : \text{Int}) = 10 \text{ in } x + 1 : U} \text{TLET?}$$

But how can we show that  $\vdash x + 1 : \text{Int}$  holds  
without knowing anything about  $x$ ?

# TYPE ENVIRONMENTS

---

In order to give a type to expression  $E$ , we need to have assumptions about the types of the free variables in  $E$ . We call these assumptions **Typing Environments** and we use the greek letter  $\Gamma$  to represent them.

Formally, a type environment  $\Gamma$  is a mapping from variable names to Types. We write entries in this mapping comma-separated

e.g.  $x : \text{Int} , y : \text{Bool} , z : \text{Int} , \dots$



# A CORRECT RULE FOR LET EXPRESSIONS

Our type relation  $\vdash E : T$  needs to be modified to include the type environment.

We will write  $\Gamma \vdash E : T$  to mean, in environment  $\Gamma$ , expression  $E$  has type  $T$ .

Is this better? 
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash E_1 : T \quad \Gamma \vdash E_2 : U}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } (x : T) = E_1 \text{ in } E_2 : U} \text{TLET?}$$

Not quite, we need to enlarge the environment in which  $E_2$  is typed

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash E_1 : T \quad \Gamma, x : T \vdash E_2 : U}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } (x : T) = E_1 \text{ in } E_2 : U} \text{TLET}$$

This means extend the mapping with a distinct new entry

We will always need to do this for constructs that bind variables.

Think about the scope of  $x$ !

Is  $x$  in scope in  $E_2$ ? Yes. So, enlarge the type environment with it.

Is  $x$  in scope in  $E_1$ ? No. So don't. Unless we want recursive expressions ...

# NEARLY THERE

We still need a type rule for comparisons:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash E_1 : \text{Int} \quad \Gamma \vdash E_2 : \text{Int}}{\Gamma \vdash E_1 < E_2 : \text{Bool}} \text{T}_{\text{LT}}$$

e.g.  
a = Int,  
where a is x and Int is type T,  
Gamma >>  
a : Int, tax : float ...  
> is a set of variables

And a type rule for addition:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash E_1 : \text{Int} \quad \Gamma \vdash E_2 : \text{Int}}{\Gamma \vdash E_1 + E_2 : \text{Int}} \text{T}_{\text{ADD}}$$

so a : T e Gamma  
so

In Gamma, a has type T

And type rules for variables:

$$\frac{x : T \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : T} \text{T}_{\text{VAR}}$$

Gamma is the environment (set of variables)

x with type T belongs to the set Gamma

In env Gamma, x is of type T

And that's it. All we need to do now is ask that  $\vdash$  is the smallest relation that satisfies all of the type rules for this language. By the induction principle, this defines the relation for every program of the language!