

COMP2212

PROGRAMMING LANGUAGE CONCEPTS

Dr Julian Rathke

ADDING FUNCTIONS TO TOY

HOW ABOUT ADDING FUNCTIONS TO TOY?

- We could consider how to add **Lambda Calculus** like functions to our Toy language.
- We would need to add function abstraction and application as operations.
- We introduced Lambda Calculus in COMP2209 where we looked at it as an untyped language.
- Recall that, in its untyped form, it is Turing complete - that is, all computation can be expressed in it !
- This situation changes somewhat if we introduce simple types.

A note on notation : the exact syntax for lambda calculus varies from source to source so sometimes you will see $\lambda x \rightarrow E$, sometimes $\lambda x . E$ and sometimes $\lambda (x) E$ - they all mean the same thing.

SOME EXAMPLE LAMBDA CALCULUS TERMS

The identity function: $\lambda x . x$ is a function that takes a single argument and simply returns it.

First projection: $\lambda x . \lambda y . x$ is a function that takes two arguments and returns the first

Second projection: $\lambda x . \lambda y . y$ is a function that takes two arguments and returns the second

Twice : $\lambda f . \lambda x . f (f (x))$ is a function that takes a single-argument function and an argument and twice applies the supplied function f to the argument x .

Composition : $\lambda g . \lambda f . \lambda x . f (g (x))$ takes two functions and an argument and returns the composed function $f ; g$

Let's think about what types these expressions might have?

We need to allow some kind of type for functions e.g. $T \rightarrow U$ as a function that takes data of type T and returns data of type U

SIMPLY-TYPED LAMBDA CALCULUS

We can formalise a simple type system for lambda calculus. Without some base types though this is a very uninteresting language so let's look at it combined with the Toy language. We'll call the resulting language λ Toy

```
T , U ::= Int | Bool | T → T
E ::= n | true | false | E < E | E + E | x |
      | if E then E else E | λ (x : T) E |
      | let (x : T) = E in E | E E
```

The type rules for the added constructs are straightforward given what we have learnt already about type environments:

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : T \vdash E : U}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda(x : T)E : T \rightarrow U} \text{TLAM}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash E_1 : T \rightarrow U \quad \Gamma \vdash E_2 : T}{\Gamma \vdash E_1 E_2 : U} \text{TAPP}$$

TYPES IN LAMBDA CALCULUS ?

The identity function: $\lambda (x:T) x : T \rightarrow T$

Try using the typing rules from the previous slide and rule TVar to write type derivations of each of these lambda expressions.

First projection: $\lambda (x:T) \lambda (y:U) x : T \rightarrow (U \rightarrow T)$

Second projection: $\lambda (x:T) \lambda (y:U) y : T \rightarrow (U \rightarrow U)$

Twice : $\lambda (f:T \rightarrow T) \lambda (x:T) f (f (x)) : (T \rightarrow T) \rightarrow T \rightarrow T$

Composition : $\lambda (g:T \rightarrow U) \lambda (f:U \rightarrow V) \lambda (x:T) f (g (x)) : (T \rightarrow U) \rightarrow (U \rightarrow V) \rightarrow (T \rightarrow V)$

TYPES IN LAMBDA CALCULUS - DERIVATION I

The identity function: $\lambda (x:T) x : T \rightarrow T$

$$\frac{\frac{x:T \in \{x:T\}}{x:T \vdash x : T} \text{ TVar}}{\vdash \lambda (x:T) x : T \rightarrow T} \text{ TLam}$$

TYPES IN LAMBDA CALCULUS - DERIVATION 2

First projection: $\lambda (x:T) \lambda (y : U) x : T \rightarrow (U \rightarrow T)$

x is the bound variable

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{x:T \in \{x:T, y:U\}}{x:T, y:U \vdash x : T} \text{ TVar}}{x:T \vdash \lambda (y:U) x : U \rightarrow T} \text{ TLam}}{\vdash \lambda (x:T) \lambda (y:U) x : T \rightarrow (U \rightarrow T)} \text{ TLam}$$

TYPES IN LAMBDA CALCULUS - DERIVATION 3

Second projection: $\lambda (x:T) \lambda (y:U) y : T \rightarrow U \rightarrow U$

y is the bound variable

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{y:U \in \{x:T, y:U\}}{x:T, y:U \vdash y : U} \text{ TVar}}{x:T \vdash \lambda (y:U) y : U \rightarrow U} \text{ TLam}}{\vdash \lambda (x:T) \lambda (y:U) y : T \rightarrow (U \rightarrow U)} \text{ TLam}$$

TYPES IN LAMBDA CALCULUS - DERIVATION 4

Twice : $\lambda (f:T \rightarrow T) \lambda (x:T) f (f (x)) : (T \rightarrow T) \rightarrow T \rightarrow T$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{c}
 \frac{f:T \rightarrow T \in \{f:T \rightarrow T, x:T\}}{f:T \rightarrow T, x:T \vdash f:T \rightarrow T} \text{ TVar} \quad \frac{x:T \in \{f:T \rightarrow T, x:T\}}{f:T \rightarrow T, x:T \vdash x:T} \text{ TVar} \\
 \hline
 \frac{}{f:T \rightarrow T, x:T \vdash f x : T} \text{ TApp}
 \end{array} \\
 \begin{array}{c}
 \frac{f:T \rightarrow T \in \{f:T \rightarrow T, x:T\}}{f:T \rightarrow T, x:T \vdash f:T \rightarrow T} \text{ TVar} \quad \frac{}{f:T \rightarrow T, x:T \vdash f x : T} \text{ TApp} \\
 \hline
 \frac{}{f:T \rightarrow T, x:T \vdash f (f x) : T} \text{ TApp}
 \end{array} \\
 \frac{}{f:T \rightarrow T \vdash \lambda (x:T) f (f x) : T \rightarrow T} \text{ TLam} \\
 \frac{}{\vdash \lambda (f:T \rightarrow T) \lambda (x:T) f (f x) : (T \rightarrow T) \rightarrow T \rightarrow T} \text{ TLam}
 \end{array}$$

TYPES IN LAMBDA CALCULUS - DERIVATION 5

Composition : $\lambda (g : T \rightarrow U) \lambda (f : U \rightarrow V) \lambda (x : T) f (g (x)) : (T \rightarrow U) \rightarrow (U \rightarrow V) \rightarrow T \rightarrow V$

$$\text{TVar} \frac{g : T \rightarrow U \in \{g : T \rightarrow U, f : U \rightarrow V, x : T\}}{g : T \rightarrow U, f : U \rightarrow V, x : T \vdash g : T \rightarrow U} \quad \text{TVar} \frac{x : T \in \{g : T \rightarrow U, f : U \rightarrow V, x : T\}}{g : T \rightarrow U, f : U \rightarrow V, x : T \vdash x : T}$$

$$\text{TVar} \frac{f : U \rightarrow V \in \{g : T \rightarrow U, f : U \rightarrow V, x : T\}}{g : T \rightarrow U, f : U \rightarrow V, x : T \vdash f : U \rightarrow V} \quad \text{TApp} \frac{g : T \rightarrow U, f : U \rightarrow V, x : T \vdash f : U \rightarrow V \quad g : T \rightarrow U, f : U \rightarrow V, x : T \vdash g \ x : U}{g : T \rightarrow U, f : U \rightarrow V, x : T \vdash f (g (x)) : V} \text{TApp}$$

$$\text{TLam} \frac{g : T \rightarrow U, f : U \rightarrow V, x : T \vdash f (g (x)) : V}{g : T \rightarrow U, f : U \rightarrow V \vdash \lambda (x : T) f (g (x)) : T \rightarrow V}$$

$$\text{TLam} \frac{g : T \rightarrow U, f : U \rightarrow V \vdash \lambda (x : T) f (g (x)) : T \rightarrow V}{g : T \rightarrow U \vdash \lambda (f : U \rightarrow V) \lambda (x : T) f (g (x)) : (U \rightarrow V) \rightarrow T \rightarrow V}$$

$$\text{TLam} \frac{g : T \rightarrow U \vdash \lambda (f : U \rightarrow V) \lambda (x : T) f (g (x)) : (U \rightarrow V) \rightarrow T \rightarrow V}{\vdash \lambda (g : T \rightarrow U) \lambda (f : U \rightarrow V) \lambda (x : T) f (g (x)) : (T \rightarrow U) \rightarrow (U \rightarrow V) \rightarrow T \rightarrow V}$$

THE TYPE SYSTEM IN FULL (FOR REFERENCE)

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash n : \text{Int}} \text{T}_{\text{INT}}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash b : \text{Bool}} \text{T}_{\text{BOOL}}$$

$$\frac{x : T \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : T} \text{T}_{\text{VAR}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash E_1 : \text{Int} \quad \Gamma \vdash E_2 : \text{Int}}{\Gamma \vdash E_1 < E_2 : \text{Bool}} \text{T}_{\text{LT}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash E_1 : \text{Int} \quad \Gamma \vdash E_2 : \text{Int}}{\Gamma \vdash E_1 + E_2 : \text{Int}} \text{T}_{\text{ADD}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash E_b : \text{Bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash E_1 : T \quad \Gamma \vdash E_2 : T}{\Gamma \vdash \text{if } E_b \text{ then } E_1 \text{ else } E_2 : T} \text{T}_{\text{IF}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash E_1 : T \quad \Gamma, x : T \vdash E_2 : U}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } (x : T) = E_1 \text{ in } E_2 : U} \text{T}_{\text{LET}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : T \vdash E : U}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda(x : T)E : T \rightarrow U} \text{T}_{\text{LAM}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash E_1 : T \rightarrow U \quad \Gamma \vdash E_2 : T}{\Gamma \vdash E_1 E_2 : U} \text{T}_{\text{APP}}$$

Notice the Γ in the T_{Int}, T_{Bool} and T_{If} rules.

Phew, I'm glad that real programming languages don't have more constructs than **λ Toy**!

NEXT LECTURE: TYPE CHECKING