Weather classification using single image by fusion of features

Name,email,college

Abstract:

Vision based driver assistance systems are required to perform well even under adverse weather conditions.

This in turn requires an automated accurate multi-class weather classification system using single images.

The weather classification system sense the environment conditions, which will be used by the autonomous ground platforms to select the

right set of sensor for environment perception. Self driving cars are equipped with multi model sensors for environment perception which include

camera, Li-DAR and RADAR for handling adverse weather conditions. Most of the papers takes the streams of camera, Li-DAR and RADAR, detect obstacle and carry out late fusion them to detect obstacles, without sensing the environment. In order to improve the detection of obstacle in adverse weather conditions, a reliable weather detection/classification system is essential. This paper presents a method which uses single image to detect the weather, which will be used in conjunction with environment perception module using multi-model sensors. Using weather classification module proper weightages can be assigned to sensor, which will improved the obstacle detection system accuracy. The proposed method uses the combination of Histogram of Gradient (HOG) \& deep features, feature reduction and classification. High dimensional feature vector were subjected to dimensionality reduction before feeding to classifiers. Extensive experiments on the bench-mark dataset using various features extraction and selection methods were carried out in conjunction with various classifiers. The proposed method uses fusion of Histogram of Gradient (HOG)[] & DenseNet-161 features and

SVM classifier to achieve the classification accuracy of $99.65$\% which outperforms other methods trained on the same dataset.

Keywords:

HOG, DenseNet, ResNet, Support Vector Machine, PCA, Mutual Information

I) Introduction:

Self-driving cars or autonomous ground platform perceive their surrounding by combining information from a variety of sensors. These sensors work well under good weather conditions and fails to perform under adverse weather conditions. The weather condition plays critical role in functioning of self driving car, a robust weather classification system is critical, which provide valuable information. The output of weather classification can be utilized to assign proper weightages to sensors to improve cognition.

II) Related Work:

III) Our Approach:

In the task of image classification, feature extraction plays a very important role. For weather images we need to have good features in order for our model to classify them with high accuracy. Starting from low-level feature extraction methods like SURF (Speeded Up Robust Features) and ORB (Oriented FAST and Rotated BRIEF) would be a good start as they are relatively fast methods to compute but have shown poor accuracies for the classification task at hand. An improvement would be using a feature extraction method called HOG (Histogram of oriented gradients) which computes the gradient of each pixel of the image after reshaping. These gradients are used to obtain a histogram of oriented gradients which after flattening give a feature vector. A feature extraction method called Scale invariant feature transform (SIFT) which works on similar principle is also considered for our task. However, extraction methods like SURF/SIFT/ORB are used mainly in image search, object mapping tasks because they are used to describe specific points in an image whereas HOG is used to describe the image as a whole which is very useful in image recognition/classification tasks such as our own.

The features obtained through HOG were put into the classification pipeline consisting of data pre-processing, dimensionality reduction and finally into the classification algorithms. For our experiments, we used classifiers like Support Vector Machine (SVM), Decision Trees, K-Nearest Neighbors and Naïve Bayes Classifier. In addition, ensemble learning methods like Bagging, Random Forests, AdaBoost and Voting Classifier were also applied. The accuracies obtained from the classifiers were not satisfactory enough for the purpose of our experiment. And we sought for a feature extraction method which would improve upon HOG.

We ventured into Deep Learning feature extraction methods to improve our accuracy. For this we used the ResNet-18 Convolution Neural Network to extract our features. Resnet-18 is a CNN that is 18 layers deep and pretrained on the ImageNet dataset. The input image shape for ResNet-18 is 224\*224 and we use average pooling which averages the feature values obtained in the feature map. The average pool layer is extracted as our feature vector for a particular image. All such feature vectors are combined to obtain our features. Following the similar process as HOG, we created a classification pipeline and fit our model to different classifiers. There was definitely an improvement over our previous methods but we strived to improve it further.

Deeper CNNs could have been used to obtain the features but we were performing these experiments on the basis of constraints in computation ability that would be allotted to our model. Hence, we need something that would be relatively faster to compute and also improve upon our previously obtained our results. For this our approach was to use the features obtained using both HOG and ResNet-18 to train our model. This would give us richer features that would help our model to fit the data well. But there was a major problem to this approach which incidentally is the problem for so many of Machine Learning models dealing with lots of features and that is the curse of dimensionality.

The features obtained using HOG for a single image were 3780 and features obtained using ResNet-18 were 512. Using them both would give our feature count over 4000 and is not desirable. Our solution to that was to apply dimensionality reduction (Principal Component Analysis) to each of these features separately and then concatenate them together. This gave us 750 odd features which had the advantages of both HOG and ResNet features and also were in reasonable amount which ensured our classification models would not be underfit. This worked extremely well compared to previously taking each of those features individually to fit our classifiers. All the results were up to the highest of standards compared to other models on the same dataset.

3.1)Dataset used:

For the purpose of our experiment, we used the Multi Class Weather Recognition Dataset (MCWRD) which consists of 1125 images of classes Cloudy, Shine, Sunrise and Rain. We also tested our model on the Multi Weather Image (MWI) dataset to compare with other prominent classification models in the field of weather image classification. For the sake of simplicity, we considered a total images of 2000 from the MWI dataset. The dataset consists of 500 images each of classes Haze, Rainy, Snowy , Sunny.

**3.2) Image Preprocessing Module:**

**3.2.1) Loading The Images:** We loaded the images using Python Image Library (PIL). Converted all the images to RGB for uniformity.

**3.2.2) Resize:** This is required to convert all the images into the same dimension. In order to do this we used the inbuilt function, Resize in Torchvision module. This converts all the RGB images to a size of 224\*224\*3.

**3.2.3) Label encoding:** Classification deals with numerical labels. In order to change categorical labels to numerical labels, we assigned integral labels as folllows, Cloudy:0, Rain:1, Shine:2, Sunrise:3

3.2.4) **Data Shuffling:** This is done to reduce model biasing to a particular class. For the \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, data is shuffled randomly. For the fused features, we shuffled the data after concatenating the features.

* **Data Splitting:** We split the data into training and testing set in a 75-25% train-to-test fashion. We do this so that our model can be tested first on *unseen* data before being deployed into real-world scenarios.

3.3) **Feature Extraction:**

3.3.1) **Feature descriptor:** It is a simplified representation of the image the contains only the most important information about the image.

3.3.2) **Histogram of Oriented Gradients (HOG):** The HOG descriptor focuses on the structure or the shape of an object. In case of edge features, we only identify if a pixel is an edge or not. HOG is able to provide the edge direction as well. This is done by extracting gradients and orientation of the edges.[1]


To obtain features using HOG, the images are first resized into the shape 64\*128

https://www.analyticsvidhya.com/blog/2019/09/feature-engineering-images-introduction-hog-feature-descriptor/

(Drawbacks of deep networks i.e, vanishing gradient problem)

3.3.3) **Residual Networks (ResNets):**

**https://www.analyticsvidhya.com/blog/2021/06/build-resnet-from-scratch-with-python/**



3.3.4) **Densely Connected Networks (DenseNets):**

**https://www.analyticsvidhya.com/blog/2022/03/introduction-to-densenets-dense-cnn/**

3.3.5) **Vision Image Transformers (ViTs):**

https://www.analyticsvidhya.com/blog/2021/03/an-image-is-worth-16x16-words-transformers-for-image-recognition-at-scale-vision-transformers/

3.4) **Classification Model:**

Usingthe features obtained above we trained classical Machine learning models and obtained the results. In our experiments, we found out that the classifier with the highest accuracy was a Support Vector (Machines) classifier which outperformed Random Forest, K-Nearest Neighbors, Decision Tree and Na ive Bayes Classifiers.

**4) Experiment Results:**

4.1) Why SVM?

4.2) Table of Accuracies(Test) with SVM for various models

4.3) Bar plots of the same

4.4) Classification report and confusion matrices of the best 2 features extraction methods.

4.5) Table for comparison of various proposed models

5) Conclusion:


6) References:

For MCWRD

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Class** | **Number of images in the class** |
| Cloudy | 300 |
| Shine | 253 |
| Sunrise | 357 |
| Rain | 215 |
| Total number of images = 1125 | |

For MWI

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Class** | **Number of images in the class** |
| Haze | 500 |
| Rainy | 500 |
| Sunny | 500 |
| Snowy | 500 |
| Total number of images = 2000 | |



References:

1. HOG, analytical vidhya.....