

Match Deep Analysis — *Mumbai Indians Women vs Delhi Capitals Women*

Women's Premier League 2025-26 – 3rd Match

DY Patil Sports Academy, Navi Mumbai

January 10, 2026

Result: Mumbai Indians Women won by **50 runs**

Player of the Match: *Harmanpreet Kaur*

1. Match Context & Conditions

- **Toss:** Delhi Capitals Women elected to field first.
- **Conditions:** Night T20 in Navi Mumbai — typically a batting-friendly surface with predictable seam and good carry under lights.

Impact:

IPL/WPL venues under lights usually allow teams batting first to set big totals and force pressure in the chase — a key strategic factor in this match.

2. Batting Analysis – Mumbai Indians Women

Phase-wise Contributions

Phase	Overs	Runs	Run Rate
Powerplay	1–6	~50	~8.3
Middle Overs	7–15	~67	~7.4
Death Overs	16–20	78	~15.6

Key Insight:

Mumbai Indians Women built a **high-tempo foundation in the powerplay**, sustained it through the middle, and then *exploded* in the death overs — a classic T20 batting approach that forces scoreboard pressure.

Top Performers with the Bat

Batter	Score	Ball	4	6	SR
	e	s	s	s	
Harmanpreet Kaur (MI)	74*	42	—	—	—
Nat Sciver-Brunt (MI)	70	46	—	—	—

Combined Contributions:

The duo provided a *match-winning platform*, combining innings of 74 and 70* to take MI to a massive **195/4** — a total that proved too steep for the Capitals.

3. Bowling & Fielding – Delhi Capitals Women

Impact Bowlers

Bowler	Overs	Runs	Wkts
Chinelle Henry	3.0	32	1
—	—	—	—

Team Bowling Summary

- Delhi Capitals struggled to contain power fours and sixes during late innings.
- Bowling in death overs (esp. overs 16–20) was expensive, allowing MI to reach a 190+ total.
- Sustained pressure could not be created, especially after the spin phase.

4. Delhi Capitals Women Chase – Analysis

Chase Snapshot

Team	Score	Overs
	e	
Delhi Capitals Women	145	19/20

Issues Identified:

- Early loss of wickets — Delhi slipped to **33/4**, significantly slowing scoring momentum.

- A few late partnerships helped recovery, but the **required run rate climbed steadily**, making acceleration difficult.
- Efforts from **Chinelle Henry (56)** kept them in touch at times, but overall pressure was too high.

5. Turning Points

1. Overs 1–6:

Mumbai's strong opening surge set the tone for the match.

2. Overs 6–10:

The partnership between Harmanpreet and Sciver-Brunt ensured MI didn't lose momentum.

3. Death Overs (16–20):

MI accelerated aggressively, taking advantage of powerplay and middle overs setup.

4. Early Capitals Collapse:

Losing early wickets during the chase put them on back foot immediately.

6. Impact Player (Data-Driven)

Player	Role	Contribution
Harmanpreet Kaur	Batter	Anchored innings with 74*
Nat Sciver-Brunt	Batter	Explosive 70
Amelia Kerr	Bowler	Key wickets during chase

Analyst Insight:

While MI's two senior batters dominated, **Amelia Kerr's wickets** provided crucial breakthroughs, especially after Delhi fell to early trouble — highlighting the value of middle-overs bowling even in a losing cause.

7. Tactical Learnings

Mumbai Indians Women

- ✓ Built a high total with strong partnerships
- ✓ Accelerated smartly in death overs
- ✓ Utilised powerplay momentum efficiently

Delhi Capitals Women

- ✗ Early top-order failures kept pressure elevated
- ✗ Middle overs lacked consistent partnerships
- ✗ Bowling execution in key phases was insufficient

8. Summary (Analyst Verdict)

Mumbai Indians Women controlled the match through explosive batting from their experienced duo and effective phase planning — creating a total that Delhi Capitals could not match despite resistance later in the innings.

This is a clear demonstration of **phase dominance** and **impact batter influence** — two core principles every T20 analyst looks for.