


Zero-Knowledge Proof System



- **Syntax:** Two algorithms, $P(1^n, x, w)$ and $V(1^n, x)$.
- **Completeness:** Honest prover convinces an honest verifier with *overwhelming* probability.

$$\Pr[V \text{ outputs } 1 \text{ in the interaction } P(1^n, x, w) \leftrightarrow V(1^n, x)] = 1 - \text{neg}(n)$$

- **Soundness:** A PPT cheating prover P^* cannot make a Verifier accept a false statement. For all PPT P^* , x such that $\forall w, C(x, w) = 0$ then we have that


$$\Pr[V \text{ outputs } 1 \text{ in the interaction } P^*(1^n, x) \leftrightarrow V(1^n, x)] = \text{neg}(n)$$

- **Zero-Knowledge:** The proof doesn't leak any information about the witness w . \exists a PPT simulator \mathcal{S} that for all PPT V^* , x, w such that $C(x, w) = 1$, we have that \forall PPT D :

$$\left| \Pr[D(V^* \text{'s view in } P(1^n, x, w) \leftrightarrow V^*(1^n, x)) = 1] - \Pr[D(\mathcal{S}^{V^*}(1^n, x)) = 1] \right| \leq \text{neg}(n)$$

Graph Three Coloring Problem


- ▶ Graph $G = (V, E)$.
- ▶ Task: Show a coloring function $c : V \rightarrow \{R, B, G\}$ such that such that $\forall (u, v) \in E$, we have that $c(u) \neq c(v)$.



- ▶ Not every graph is three-colorable. Figuring out whether a graph is three-colorable is believed to be computationally hard.

Zero-Knowledge Proof System for Graph Three Coloring Problem

$$\forall (u, v) \in E, c(u) \neq c(v)$$




Completeness: Note $c(u) \neq c(v)$. Thus, $\pi(c(u)) \neq \pi(c(v))$ and verifier accepts.

Soundness: Let $com_v = \text{Com}(col_v; r_v)$. Since the graph is not three colorable $\exists e = (u, v) \in E$ such that $col_u = col_v$. Verifier challenges on this edge e with probability $1/|E|$. Thus, rejects with probability at least $\frac{1}{|E|}$

Verifier outputs 1 if $com_u = \text{Com}(col_u; r_u)$, $com_v = \text{Com}(col_v; r_v)$ and $col_u \neq col_v$ and 0 otherwise.

Soundness Amplification



- ▶ Repeat the protocol $n|E|$ times.
- ▶ A malicious prover succeeds in the i^{th} execution with probability $\leq (1 - \frac{1}{|E|})$.
- ▶ A malicious prover succeeds in all $n|E|$ execution with probability $\leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{|E|}\right)^{n|E|} \approx e^{-n}$ which is negligible in n .