

# Quality Plan

---

## Interaction Lab

---

written by

Laura Anger	(Matrikelnr. 11086356)
Vera Brockmeyer	(Matrikelnr. 11077082)
Anna Bolder	(Matrikelnr. 11083451)
Britta Boerner	(Matrikelnr. 11070843)

**Interactive Systems in SS 2017**

**Supervisor:**

Prof. Dr. Stefan Michael Grünvogel  
Institute for Media- and Phototechnology

# Quality Measurements

## 1 Immersion and Presence:

To give the user the opportunity to compare all grabbing interactions without being distracted by the real world, it is quite important to make sure, that the test scene is as immersive and present as possible. Because both characteristics are subjective impressions, they will be measured by the *Presence Questionnaire* by Witmer and Singer (1998) [3]. Because we do not have any sound or haptic features the according questions will be left out.

## 2 Low Latency:

To achieve a low latency of under  $20ms$  we will keep the scenes as simple as possible. To document a stable latency we will show the frames per second in the Unity console.

## 3 Tasks:

After completing the learning session the user gets three different tasks.

- 1. Task:** the user can choose which methods suits him the best for completing the task.  
There will be subtasks which be easier to solve with far range interaction methods and subtasks which are better solvable with close range interaction methods.
- 2. Task:** will be a task that can only be solved using close range interaction methods.
- 3. Task:** will be a task that can only be solved using far range interaction methods.  
Every Task is divided into subtasks.

### 3.1 Usage of Interaction Methods

For task 1 the user can choose which interaction method to use. This Selection will be recorded. Especially the method which was used to fulfil the subtasks. This will lead to a percentaged evaluation.

### 3.2 Completion Time

As described in [2] and [1] we distinguish between two different times to measure. On one hand the grabbing time needs to be determined. It starts when the user presses the button to start the next subtask and ends when the right object is grabbed. This means that

grabbing a wrong object won't stop the time. On the other hand the positioning time, which is defined as the time between grabbing an object and placing it in the target area, must be measured.

### 3.3 Successful Fulfilment

The subtask is only fulfilled successfully when the demanded object has been placed into the target area.

### 3.4 Accuracy of Selection:

To evaluate the accuracy we plan to measure the error rate, which is „the number of failed attempts to accomplish the task“ [2]. Similar to the determination of the completion time we will distinguish between the number of failed attempts to select the demanded object and its positioning in the target area. An attempt is counted as failed when the accuracy („the proximity to the desired position or orientation of the test object“ [2]) drops under a defined threshold.

## References

- [1] Doug A Bowman and Larry F Hodges. An evaluation of techniques for grabbing and manipulating remote objects in immersive virtual environments. In *Proceedings of the 1997 symposium on Interactive 3D graphics*, pages 35–ff. ACM, 1997.
- [2] Ivan Poupyrev, Suzanne Weghorst, Mark Billinghurst, and Tadao Ichikawa. A framework and testbed for studying manipulation techniques for immersive vr. In *Proceedings of the ACM symposium on Virtual reality software and technology*, pages 21–28. ACM, 1997.
- [3] Bob G Witmer and Michael J Singer. Measuring presence in virtual environments: A presence questionnaire. *Presence: Teleoperators and virtual environments*, 7(3):225–240, 1998.