

NETISCE Manual and Tutorials

Lauren Marazzi

2021-12-16

Contents

1	About	5
2	Installation and Usage	7
2.1	Download NETISCE	7
2.2	Install Nextflow	7
2.3	Docker Image	7
2.4	Prerequisites	7
2.5	Parameters and Configuration	8
2.6	Running NETISCE	9
3	NETSICE output	11
4	Toy Network Examples	13
4.1	Overview	13
4.2	Data	14
4.3	NETISCE run configuration	14
4.4	Run NETISCE	15
4.5	NETSICE Results	16
4.6	Toy Example with mutations	20
5	Cell Fate Specification in Ascidian Embryo	23
5.1	Input Data	23
5.2	Run the simulation	24
5.3	Results	24
5.4	Visualizing Results	25

6	Pluripotent Stem Cell Example	27
6.1	Input Data	27
6.2	Run the simulation	28
6.3	Results	28
7	Adaptive Resistance in Colorectal Cancer Example	41
7.1	Input Data	41
7.2	Run the simulation	42
7.3	Results	42
8	NETISCE in Galaxy Project	55

Chapter 1

About

Welcome to the NETISCE manual and tutorials.

NETISCE is a network-based approach for cellular reprogramming. This manual contains instructions for installing the NETISCE pipeline tool and accessing the Galaxy Project GUI web-based tool. We provide a simple toy example walk-through tutorial. Lastly, we include instructions for reproducing NETISCE cell reprogramming results in developmental, stem cell, and cancer biology.

NETISCE identifies combinations of perturbations to be applied on a gene regulatory or signaling network to trigger a shift from an undesired to a desired cell fate. The core of the pipeline is the application of structure-based control theory to identify control nodes that drive the system from an initial state that would lead to an attractor associated with an undesired phenotype and towards an attractor associated with the desired phenotype. For more information, please see the accompanying paper: **[link here](#)**

Chapter 2

Installation and Usage

2.1 Download NETISCE

NETISCE pipelines can be downloaded from our github repository: <https://github.com/veraliconaresearchgroup/netisce>

We recommend that you run NETISCE on a high-performance cluster (hpc), as you may generate files that are quite large, or run computations that may take a long time. However, we provide two Nextflow pipelines, one designed for hpcs (NETISCE_hpc), and another for running NETISCE on a local machine (NETISCE_local).

2.2 Install Nextflow

Nextflow is required to run the NETISCE pipeline. Please follow the instructions from <https://www.nextflow.io/> (see ‘Getting Started’ steps 1 & 2) to install Nextflow in the appropriate NETSICE folder (__local or __hpc).

2.3 Docker Image

docker image provided here TBD

2.4 Prerequisites

If you are not using the Docker image, the following packages will need to be installed:

- scipy
- pandas
- sklearn
- yellowbrick

2.5 Parameters and Configuration

Whether on your local machine or hpc, to run NETISCE you must specify the files and parameters within the `.nf` file

- **params.expressions**: csv file containing normalized expression data for network nodes in different samples
- **params.network**: network file (sif format)
- **params.samples**: text file specifying the phenotype for each sample in params.expressions file (tab delimited)
- **params.internal_control**: text file containing a list of nodes to be used as internal marker nodes
- **params.alpha**: alpha parameter for signal flow analysis (default =0.9)
- **params.undesired**: string of the undesired phenotype (as labeled in the params.samples file)
- **params.desired**: string of the desired phenotype (as labeled in the params.samples file)
- **params.filter**: filtering parameter for criterion 2 (“strict” or “relaxed”)
- **params.kmeans_min_val**: minimum k-means value for clustering (default=2)
- **params.kmeans_max_val**: maximum k-means value for clustering (default=10)
- **params.num_nodes**: number of nodes in network for which normalized expression data exists (within the params.expressions file)
- **params.num_states**: number of randomly generated initial states (default=100000, or 3^n where n is the number of network nodes and 3^n is less than 100000)

Please see the `input_data` folder for examples of files to match the formatting.

2.5.1 NETISCE_mutations.nf

If you are interested in including mutational information, please use the `NETISCE_mutations.nf` pipeline. You must additionally specify **params.mutations**: a csv file containing mutational configuration for network nodes (0 for loss of function, 1 for gain of function). Please see example in `input_data` for formatting.

2.5.2 nextflow.config

If you are running nextflow on an hpc, please specify your executor, and clusterOptions within the nextflow.config file. Please see <https://www.nextflow.io/docs/latest/config.html> for more information regarding your executor.

2.6 Running NETISCE

Once you have specified the parameters, run NETSICE using the following command:

```
./nextflow run NETISCE.nf -resume ##or NETISCE_mutations.nf if including mutational data
```

We recommend using the -resume flag in the case that you change a file or parameter within your pipeline. This way, nextflow caches results that remain unchanged, preventing pipeline steps from being re-run.

Chapter 3

NETSICE output

After the NETISCE computations are complete, the output files will be located in the **results** folder. Please note that we have included in this folder the most relevant output files that you may want to use for further analysis. However, you can explore all outputs by checking within each step of the pipeline's **work** folder that is generated during a NETISCE run.

The contents of each file are briefly described below. For more details and to see example outputs, please see the Toy Network Examples.

exp__internalmarkers.txt

This file contains the resultant steady state values for the internal marker nodes for the provided experimental samples (those specified in `samples.txt`) from Signal Flow Analysis.

experimental__internalmarkers.pdf

This pdf is a figure of the steady state values for the internal marker nodes for the provided experimental samples. This can be used to verify the validity of the internal marker nodes.

elbow.png

A graph of the elbow metric for determining the optimal k for k-means.

silhouette.png

A graph of the silhouette metric for determining the optimal k for k-means.

fvs.txt

This file contains the node names for the FVS used as control nodes for the NETISCE run.

crit1perts.txt

This file contains a list of IDs for the control node perturbations that passed criterion 1.

pert1_internal_markers.txt

This file contains a table of the internal marker node steady state values from control node perturbations whose associated attractors passed the first filtering criterion.

successful_controlnode_perturbations.txt

This file contains a table of the control node perturbations that pass both the 1st and 2nd filtering criteria. it also contains the number of upregulation,downregulations, and total number of nodes perturbed for each perturbation set.

Chapter 4

Toy Network Examples

Here, we will walk through a brief tutorial of a NETISCE run. The files necessary to complete the tutorial are within the `input data` folder of both `NETISCE_local` and `NETISCE_hpc`. The results from these Toy examples can be found in the `toy_example_results` folder of the main github repository.

4.1 Overview

We will use a simple toy network of 6 nodes and 9 edges.




Figure 4.1: Simple Toy Network

4.2 Data

You can find the relevant data files in the `input_data` folder.

In this example, we have 2 samples, A and B, with three replicates each (A_1,A_2,A_3, etc). The normalized expression data is housed in `expressions.csv`, and contains normalized expression values for 4 of the network nodes (in this case, node C was not found to be expressed in the samples).

X	A_1	A_2	A_3	B_1	B_2	B_3
A	2	1	2	6	7	6
B	6	7	6	2	1	1
D	-1	-1	-2	6	7	6
E	2	1	2	6	7	6

The `samples.txt` file specifies that A is associated to a treatment sensitive phenotype, while B is associated to a resistance phenotype.

name	phenotype
A_1	sensitive
A_2	sensitive
A_3	sensitive
B_1	resistant
B_2	resistant
B_3	resistant

Note that you can use any term to describe the phenotypes. Just be sure to be consistent with the `param.desried` and `param.undesired` variables within the Nextflow `.nf` file.

Lastly, we need to include a list of internal marker nodes. This list is in `internal_marker.txt`. For our small network, the internal-marker node is C.

C

4.3 NETISCE run configuration

With all your input data files loaded, next we configure the nextflow run in either `NETISCE_local` or `NETISCE_hpc` (**Note: while we do recommend you run NETISCE on a hpc, this example is small enough to run locally**).

Open up `NETISCE.nf`. Here, you need to specify the parameters for the Nextflow run on lines 3-19. Please refer to section 2.5 for parameter definitions.

For this example, your parameters should look like:

```

params.expressions = "$baseDir/input_data/expressions.csv"
params.network = "$baseDir/input_data/network.sif"
params.samples = "$baseDir/input_data/samples.txt"
params.internal_control="$baseDir/input_data/internal_marker.txt"
params.alpha = 0.9
params.undesired = 'resistant'
params.desired = 'sensitive'
params.filter = "strict"

```

```

params.kmeans_min_val = 2
params.kmeans_max_val = 10

```

```

params.num_nodes = 4 // that have expression data
params.num_states = 100000

```

Some Notes: make sure to include `$baseDir` before pointing to the folder containing your input data. Also, be sure that `params.num_nodes` is the number of nodes where there exists normalized expression data within `expression.csv`.

4.4 Run NETISCE

In your terminal/command prompt, navigate to the appropriate NETISCE folder (`_hpc` or `local`). To start your run, enter `./nextflow run NETISCE.nf -resume`. While NETISCE is running, your terminal should look like this, where you can see the progress on each step of the pipeline:

```

executor > local (5)
[36/32d089] process > sfa_exp [ 0%] 0 of 1
[-] process > get_exp_internal_control_nodes -
[00/377f94] process > insilico_inits [ 0%] 0 of 1
executor > local (5)
[36/32d089] process > sfa_exp [ 0%] 0 of 1
[-] process > get_exp_internal_control_nodes -
[00/377f94] process > insilico_inits [100%] 1 of 1 ✓
executor > local (6)
[36/32d089] process > sfa_exp [ 0%] 0 of 1
[-] process > get_exp_internal_control_nodes -
[00/377f94] process > insilico_inits [100%] 1 of 1 ✓
executor > local (7)
[36/32d089] process > sfa_exp [100%] 1 of 1 ✓
[01/d09f26] process > get_exp_internal_control_nodes [ 0%] 0 of 1
[00/377f94] process > insilico_inits [100%] 1 of 1 ✓
executor > local (9)
[36/32d089] process > sfa_exp [100%] 1 of 1 ✓
[01/d09f26] process > get_exp_internal_control_nodes [100%] 1 of 1 ✓
[00/377f94] process > insilico_inits [100%] 1 of 1 ✓
[0e/1b8810] process > insilico [100%] 1 of 1 ✓
[da/35c1df] process > getFVS [100%] 1 of 1 ✓
[ad/6d0ec2] process > perturbation_inits [100%] 1 of 1 ✓
[41/63a7d4] process > sfa_perts [100%] 1 of 1 ✓
[0d/2f8569] process > check_icns [100%] 1 of 1 ✓
[12/dba132] process > kmeans_opt [ 0%] 0 of 1
[-] process > kmeans -
[-] process > classification -
[-] process > consensus -
[-] process > internal_control_node_analysis -
[-] process > filtering_by_icn -
[-] process > extract_perts -
[-] process > translate_perts -

```

Figure 4.2: Terminal when running NETISCE

The first column contains the location (folder and subfolder) that is running that step of NETISCE within the `work` folder.

Once the run has successfully completed, the process will end and the following will be displayed:

```
Completed at: 02-Dec-2021 10:19:50
Duration    : 4m 29s
CPU hours   : 0.1
Succeeded   : 16
```

Figure 4.3: Terminal when running NETISCE

4.5 NETSICE Results

Let's take a look at the results of our NETISCE run, where the goal was to shift the system from the undesired state B, and towards the desired state A. These results can be found in the `toy_example_1` subfolder of the `toy_example_results` folder of the main github repository.

`exp_internalmarkers.txt`

Our internal marker node was node C. In this file we see the steady state values of the node in the A and B sample replicates (the output values from SFA).

name	C
A_1	0.4278056
A_2	0.4802943
A_3	0.4361991
B_1	0.1590962
B_2	0.0982107
B_3	0.0935476

`experimental_internalmarkers.pdf`

The above numbers may be a little challenging to read! So, we have included a plot of the values in the `experimental_internalmarkers.pdf`:

On this histogram, we see bars for each of the samples and their replicates. The A (sensitive) samples are marked by a blue vertical line at their steady state value, while the B (resistant) samples are marked by a red vertical line at their steady state value. Here, we see that the values of node C are well separated between the two phenotypes (all of the A values are greater than all of the B values). We will assume that this also aligns with the biological knowledge of the system.




Figure 4.4: experimental marker node steady state values

In this example, since there are only 4 network nodes that have normalized expression values, NETISCE generates the maximum number of random initial states, 3^4 , or 81.

After estimating attractors for the experimental and randomly generated initial states, the resultant attractors were clustered using k-means clustering. The elbow and silhouette metrics are used to determine the optimal number k.

elbow.png




Figure 4.5: elbow metric for optimal k

The elbow metric found the optimal number of k clusters to be k=3.




Figure 4.6: silhouette metric for optimal k

silhouette.png

The silhouette metric found the optimal number of k clusters to be $k=2$.

Since the optimal ks identified by the silhouette metric and the elbow metric do not match, NETISCE chooses the smaller k, as long as the phenotypes remain separate (NETISCE checks to make sure this is true).

kmeans.txt

The **kmeans.txt** file contains the clustering results for each attractor generated from the experimental data and the randomly generated initial states. The first column contains the sample name, and the second column contains the ID of which cluster it is assigned to. Since $k=2$ in this case, one cluster is named “0” and the other cluster is named “1”

name	clusters
A_1	0
A_2	0
A_3	0
B_1	1
B_2	1
B_3	1

And we see in the **kmeans.txt** file, that the A samples are clustered in cluster 0, while the B samples are grouped in cluster 1.

fvs.txt

This file contains the node names that were identified by the FVS finding algorithm.

name
D
B

The FVS finding algorithm identified nodes B and D to be the minimal FVS control nodes in the toy network. Since the FVS control node set contained 2 nodes, 9 combinations of perturbations were performed on the control node sets.

crit1perts.txt

This file contains a list of IDs for the perturbations to FVS control nodes that passed criterion 1.

V1
pert_0
pert_1
pert_2
pert_4
pert_5
pert_6
pert_7
pert_8

8 out of the 9 pertrubations passed the machine learning filtering criterion.

pert1_internal_markers.txt

This file contains a table of the internal marker node state values from control node perturbations whose associated attractors passed the first filtering criterion.

name	C
pert_0	-2.7000000
pert_1	1.0209997
pert_2	6.3000000
pert_4	-0.0427445
pert_5	6.3000000
pert_6	-2.7000000
pert_7	-2.8528056
pert_8	6.3000000

successful_controlnode_perturbations.txt

This file contains a table of the perturbations on FVS control nodes that passed both the 1st and 2nd filtering criteria. it also contains the number of upregula-

tion, downregulations, and total number of nodes perturbed for each perturbation set.

	D	B	up	down	total
pert_1	down	nochange	0	1	1
pert_5	nochange	up	1	0	1
pert_2	down	up	1	1	2
pert_8	up	up	2	0	2

Here, we see that four perturbations that passed both filtering criteria.

Let's take a quick look at the steady state values for these perturbations, and the attractors generated from the experimental data:

	name	C
1	A_1	0.4278056
2	A_2	0.4802943
3	A_3	0.4361991
4	B_1	0.1590962
5	B_2	0.0982107
6	B_3	0.0935476
21	pert_1	1.0209997
31	pert_2	6.3000000
51	pert_5	6.3000000
8	pert_8	6.3000000

Indeed, we see that the steady-state expression values of node C in the attractors generated by perturbations to the FVS control nodes are all are greater than the steady-state expression values of node C in the attractors generated from the sensitive A sample. A successful reprogramming from resistant (B) to sensitive (A) cells has occurred!

4.6 Toy Example with mutations

Let's say that in our system, gene A exhibits a loss of function mutation in the sensitive phenotype (A samples). If we want to include this in our simulations, we will use the `NETISCE_mutations.nf` pipeline.

First, we must add to our `input_data` folder a `.csv` file containing the mutational profile. Let's call this file `mutations.csv`:

X	A
A_1	0
A_2	0
A_3	0
B_1	NA
B_2	NA
B_3	NA

The loss of function mutation is encoded with 0 (gain-of-function mutations can be encoded with “1”).

Next, we make sure that the parameters in `NETISCE_mutations.nf` on lines 3-19 are set correctly for the conditions

For this example, your parameters should look like:

```
params.expressions = "$baseDir/input_data/expressions.csv"
params.network = "$baseDir/input_data/network.sif"
params.samples = "$baseDir/input_data/samples.txt"
params.internal_control="$baseDir/input_data/internal_marker.txt"
params.mutations="$baseDir/input_data/mutations.csv"
params.alpha = 0.9
params.undesired = 'resistant'
params.desired = 'sensitive'
params.filter = "strict"
```

```
params.kmeans_min_val = 2
params.kmeans_max_val = 10
```

```
params.num_nodes = 4 // that have expression data
params.num_states = 1000
```

Note, the additional parameter `params.mutations` that points to the `mutations.csv`.

As above, to run Netisce, enter `./nextflow run NETISCE.nf -resume`.

Results

By including mutational information, the results of NETISCE have changed. These results can be found in the `toy_example_2` subfolder of the `toy_example_results` folder of the main github repository. Now, our `successful_controlnode_perturbations.txt` file contains `pert_0` instead of `pert_8`

	B	D	up	down	total
pert_1	down	nochange	0	1	1
pert_5	nochange	up	1	0	1
pert_0	down	down	0	2	2
pert_2	down	up	1	1	2

Let's take a look at the steady-state expression values of node C in the attractors generated from the successful perturbations and the experimental initial states when mutational information is included.

name	C
A_1	-0.6621166
B_1	0.1590962
pert_0	-2.7000000
pert_1	-2.7000000
pert_2	-2.7000000
pert_5	-2.8528056

Though the values are different in this system with mutations, we still see that the steady-state expression values of node C in the attractors generated by perturbations to the FVS control nodes are all greater than the steady-state expression values of node C in the attractors generated from the sensitive A sample. A successful reprogramming from resistant (B) to sensitive (A) cells has occurred!

Chapter 5

Cell Fate Specification in Ascidian Embryo

This section contains instructions to reproduce the results of simulating FVS control node perturbations in a model of ascidian embryo cell specification. You can read the original report here: [link](#)

The input data, nextflow pipeline, and results of this simulation can be found in the `ascidian_embryo` folder in the github repository

These simulations were run on a high performance cluster that uses a SLURM executor. Although we recommend that you run NETISCE on an hpc, this simulation is small enough that it can be run on a local machine. If you choose to run it locally, then remove the `nextflow.config` file from the directory.

5.1 Input Data

The goal of this simulation was to reproduce the results of experimental perturbations to the FVS nodes of the cell fate specification GRN for ascidian embryos using Signal Flow Analysis. Therefore, we use a modified version of the NETISCE pipeline to simulate these specific perturbations. We are only interested in performing the 7 perturbations to the 6 FVS control nodes that were experimentally verified to induce cell tissue fates.

`expression.csv` contains the initial activities for the unperturbed state and the 7 FVS control node perturbations. Here, all simulations have `Gata.a` and `Zic-r.a`=1, as the activation of these two genes is required for normal embryonic development.

`perturbations.csv` contains the specified perturbations for each FVS node in the appropriate perturbation simulation. 0 denotes downregulation, whereas 1

name	Alp	Bco	Celf3.a	Epi1	Fli.Erg.a	l
unperturbed	-0.0000341	0.0018729	0.0018729	0.0217995	0.0013966	0.0008
Adentz (Endoderm perturbation)	0.1179951	-0.4252100	-0.4252100	0.2707276	-0.1836794	-0.1396
adentZ (brain+pan-neural perturbation)	-0.3845691	0.4252100	0.4252100	0.2707276	-0.2370737	-0.0041
adeNtz (pan-neural perturbation)	-0.5243074	-0.4252100	-0.4252100	0.2707276	-0.3002010	-0.1063
adEntZ (mesenchyme perturbation)	-0.2630083	0.4252100	0.4252100	-0.1563935	0.2841288	-0.0871
adentz (epidermis perturbation)	-0.5243074	-0.4252100	-0.4252100	0.2707276	-0.3002010	-0.1237
adenTz (muscle perturbation)	-0.5243074	-0.4252100	-0.4252100	0.2707276	-0.3002010	0.0837
aDentz (notochord perturbation)	-0.3792942	-0.4252100	-0.4252100	0.1988199	-0.3966199	-0.1218

	Alp
Adentz (Endoderm perturbation)	
adentZ (brain+pan-neural perturbation)	-0.385
adeNtz (pan-neural perturbation)	-0.524
adEntZ (mesenchyme perturbation)	-0.263
adentz (epidermis perturbation)	-0.524
adenTz (muscle perturbation)	-0.524
aDentz (notochord perturbation)	-0.379

encodes upregulation. If no value is set, then there is no fixed perturbation to the FVS node, as in the unperturbed case.

`internal-marker-nodes.txt` contains the 7 internal marker nodes used to verify if the specified cell reprogramming had been successfully simulated.

5.2 Run the simulation

To run the simulation, simply execute the `ascidian-embryo.nf` file using the following command: `./nextflow run ascidian-embryo.nf -resume`

5.3 Results

The nextflow pipeline generates 1 result file `exp_internalmarkers.txt`, which contains the steady state values of the internal-marker nodes for the unperturbed attractor, and the attractors generated from the perturbations on FVS control nodes.

A perturbation is considered successful if the internal-marker node in the attractor generated from the perturbed FVS control nodes has a larger steady-state value than that in the unperturbed attractor. We determine this by subtracting the steady-state values of the unperturbed simulation from the steady-state values of the perturbations of FVS control nodes.

Here we see that for 6 out of the 7 perturbations to FVS control nodes, we were able to upregulate the desired tissue marker when compared to the unperturbed

state.

5.4 Visualizing Results

We can use radar plots to visualize the results of the SFA simulations of perturbations to the FVS control nodes. This can help us identify which perturbations successfully induced the appropriate tissue fate. In this context.

The following code for generating radar plots was adapted from datanovia.com.

Note: you may need to adjust the formatting of `exp_internalmarkers.txt` so that the strings within quotations are placed into one column.

```
create_beautiful_radarchart <- function(data, color = "#00AFBB",
                                       vlabels = colnames(data), vlce = 1,
                                       caxislabels = NULL, title = row.names(data)[4], ...){
  radarchart(
    data, axistype = 1,
    # Customize the polygon
    pcol = color, pfc = scales::alpha(color, 0.5), plwd = 2, plty = 1,
    # Customize the grid
    cglcol = "grey", cglty = 1, cglwd = 0.8,
    # Customize the axis
    axislabcol = "grey",
    # Variable labels
    vlce = vlce, vlabels = vlabels,
    title = title,
    centerzero = F,
    caxislabels = caxislabels
  )
}
```

```
library(fmsb)
d1<-read.delim("ascidian_embryo/results/exp_internalmarkers.txt",sep="\t",row.names = 1,check.names=FALSE)

maxcol<-apply(d1, 2, max)
mincol<-apply(d1, 2, min)








d2<-rbind(maxcol,mincol, d1)
rownames(d2)[1:2]<- c("Max", "Min")

par(mar = c(4, 0.1, 4, 0.1))
for (i in 4:nrow(d2)) {
```

```

create_beautiful_radarchart(d2[c(1:3, i), ], color = c("#00AFBB", "#E7B800", "#FC4E07")
}

```

Adentz (Endoderm perturbation)**adentZ (brain+pan-neural perturbation)****adeNtz (pan-neural perturbation)****adEntZ (mesenchyme perturbation)****adentz (epidermis perturbation)****adenTz (muscle perturbation)****aDentz (notochord perturbation)**

Chapter 6

Pluripotent Stem Cell Example

This section contains instructions to reproduce the results of simulating perturbations on FVS control nodes in a pluripotent stem cell signaling. The goal of these simulations is to identify targets that can reprogram cells from the Epiblast stem cell (EpiSC) fate towards the Embryonic Stem Cell (ESC) fate. You can read the original report here: [link](#)

The input data, nextflow pipeline, and results of this simulation can be found in the `ipsc` folder in the NETISCE github repository

6.1 Input Data

`network.sif` contains the network structure for pluripotent stem cell signaling

`expression.csv` contains the initial activities for ESC cells (3 replicates), and EpiSC cells (3 replicates)

`internal-marker-kinoshita.txt` contains the 4 internal marker nodes that were originally used in Yachie-Kinoshita et al., to evaluate simulations.

`internal-marker-kinoshita-expanded.txt` contains the 4 internal marker nodes that were originally used in Yachie-Kinoshita et al., plus the additional marker nodes identified from the data used to evaluate simulations.

`samples.txt` contains the key for NETISCE to associate certain samples to the phenotypes of Embryonic Stem Cells (ESCs) or Epiblast Stem Cells (EpiSCs)

6.2 Run the simulation

These simulations were run on a high performance cluster that uses a SLURM executor. If your hpc uses a different executor, please update those specifications in the `nextflow.config` file in the directory. Please see <https://www.nextflow.io/docs/latest/config.html> for more information regarding your executor.

For ease of reproduction, we have included all files necessary to reproduce the reported results directly in the directory. We do recommend you run this simulation on an hpc. We have included the bash file we used on our SLURM executor.

Note: within the `NETISCE.nf` configuration file, we have included two lines for specifying the internal-marker nodes:

```
#!/usr/bin/env nextflow

params.expressions = "$baseDir/input_data/expression.csv"
params.network = "$baseDir/input_data/network.sif"
params.samples = "$baseDir/input_data/samples.txt"
params.internal_control="$baseDir/input_data/internal-marker-kinoshita.txt"
// params.internal_control="$baseDir/input_data/internal-marker-kinoshita-expanded.txt"
params.alpha = 0.9
params.undesired = 'EpiSC'
params.desired = 'ESC'
params.filter ="strict"
```

As discussed in our paper, we filtered the perturbations using the original 4 internal-marker nodes for pluripotency (Oct4, Sox2, Nanog, EpiTFs), and then again using 3 additional internal-marker nodes. Therefore, to run either analysis, comment/uncomment the internal-marker node file you are interested in. If you want to run NETISCE first with the original internal-marker nodes, make sure to change the results file names for `exp_internalmarkers.txt`, `successful_controlnode_perturbations.txt`, and `original-experimental_internalmarkers.pdf` as to not overwrite them (or move them into a separate folder). Additionally, when you run the nextflow command, **please be sure to use the `-resume` flag so that you use the cached computations that do not need to be re-computed**

You can also run NETISCE directly using the following command: `./nextflow run NETISCE.nf -resume`

6.3 Results

Herein, we will focus on the results that are deposited in the `results` folder by NETISCE. However, each step of the nextflow pipeline produces its correspond-

ing raw results (for example, the entire attractor state for network simulations initialized with experimental data). If you are interested in looking at those raw results, they can be found within the `work` folder. We provide `workfiles.txt` which is a guide to which folders/subfolders contain the relevant results of each step.

6.3.1 General Results

First, let's take a look at the results that do not depend on the internal-marker node set.

FVS finding

The FVS solving algorithm identified one FVS, containing 6 nodes.

name
Sox2
Nanog
Gata6
Tbx3
Oct4
Klf4

Attractor landscape estimation via k-means analysis

Now, let's look at the results of k-means analysis. First, NETISCE determines the optimal number of k clusters by computing the elbow and silhouette metrics.




Figure 6.1: optimal k as identified by a) the elbow and b) silhouette metrics

We see that the optimal k assessed by the elbow metric was k=4, while the optimal k identified by the silhouette metric was k=2. NETISCE automatically

chooses the smaller k value, after checking that the attractors generated from the ESC samples and EpiSC samples do not appear in the same cluster.

$k=2$ was selected for k -means optimal k , and we can see that the attractors generated from the ESC samples and EpiSC samples do not appear in separate clusters.

name	clusters
ESC_1	0
ESC_2	0
ESC_3	0
EpiSC_1	1
EpiSC_2	1
EpiSC_3	1

Perturbations on FVS control nodes that pass criterion 1

With 6 FVS control nodes, NETISCE performed 729 simulations of combinations of perturbations on the FVS control nodes. The resulting attractors were classified to the clusters produced from the k -means analysis using Naive Bayes, Support Vector Machine, and Random Forest Machine Learning classification algorithms. Then, the perturbations are filtered by which of their corresponding attractors were classified to the ESC cluster by at least 2 of the 3 methods. These results can be found in `crit1_perts.txt`. Here we show the first 10 rows.

```
## [1] "number of perturbations that pass filtering criteria 1: 375"
```

x
pert_8
pert_17
pert_26
pert_35
pert_44
pert_53
pert_88
pert_89
pert_97
pert_98

6.3.2 Results using 4 internal-marker nodes

The relevant files have the prefix ‘original’ in the github repository

Our second perturbation filtering criterion identifies perturbations where, in their corresponding attractors, 90% of the steady state values for internal-marker nodes that are within the steady state expression ranges in the attractors generated from the ESC experimental data.

First, let's take a look at the steady state values of the internal-marker nodes Oct4, Sox2, and Nanog in the attractors generated from the ESC and EpiSC experimental data. The values can be found in the `original-exp_internalmarkers.txt` and are plotted in `original-experimental_internalmarkers.pdf`:




Figure 6.2: histograms of 4 internal-marker node values

We see that the values of the internal-markers for the pluripotent state (Oct4, Sox2, Nanog) are higher in the attractors generated from the experimental data of the ESCs than the attractors generated from the experimental data of the EpiSCs, and the marker of the epiblast stem state is higher in the attractors

generated from the experimental data of the EpiSCs than in the attractors generated from the experimental data of the ESC.

Now, we can take a look at the attractors that passed filtering criterion 2. We show the first 10 rows here, but you can view the entire set in `original-successful_controlnode_perturbations.txt`

```
crit2_4<-read.delim('ipsc/results/original-successful_controlnode_perturbations.txt',sep=" ",as.is=TRUE)
print(paste0('number of perturbations that pass filtering criteria 2: ',nrow(crit2_4)))
```

```
## [1] "number of perturbations that pass filtering criteria 2: 132"
```

```
knitr::kable(crit2_4[1:10,]) %>% column_spec(8, bold = F, border_left = T) %>% scroll_box(width=100)
```


	Sox2	Nanog	Gata6	Tbx3	Oct4	Klf4	up	down	total
pert_445	nochange	up	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	1	0	1
pert_607	up	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	1	0	1
pert_368	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	up	up	2	0	2
pert_418	nochange	up	down	nochange	nochange	nochange	1	1	2
pert_436	nochange	up	nochange	down	nochange	nochange	1	1	2
pert_446	nochange	up	nochange	nochange	nochange	up	2	0	2
pert_448	nochange	up	nochange	nochange	up	nochange	2	0	2
pert_454	nochange	up	nochange	up	nochange	nochange	2	0	2
pert_580	up	nochange	down	nochange	nochange	nochange	1	1	2
pert_598	up	nochange	nochange	down	nochange	nochange	1	1	2

We can look to see if there are any trends in the orientation of the perturbations on FVS control nodes across the perturbations that passed both filtering criteria.

```
library(data.table)
library(ggplot2)
d3<-crit2_4 [,c(1:6)] %>% transpose() %>% as.matrix()
row.names(d3)<-colnames(crit2_4[1:6])
colnames(d3)<-row.names(crit2_4)
d3r<-reshape2::melt(d3) %>% select(-Var2)

#From Paul Tol: https://personal.sron.nl/~pault/
Tol_muted <- c('#88CCEE', '#44AA99', '#117733', '#332288', '#DDCC77', '#999933', '#CC6677', '#882244')

ggplot(d3r, aes(x=value)) +
  facet_wrap(~Var1,scales = "free_x",shrink=FALSE) +
  geom_bar(aes(y = (..count..)/ncol(d3),fill=value)) + scale_y_continuous(labels=scales::percent)
```



Here, we see that in the majority of perturbations, there is overexpression of the FVS nodes Sox2, Nanog and Oct4. This aligns with their role as maintainers of pluripotency.

The steady-state values of the internal-marker nodes for these perturbations can be found in `pert1_internal_markers.txt`.

A perturbation on the FVS control node, Nanog overexpression (pert_445), was also identified in Yachie-Kinoshita et al. and experimentally verified to shift cells from the EpiSC state towards the ESC state. We can plot the internal-marker node values using a radar plot.

We can also plot Klf4 overexpression. This was a perturbation identified by Yachie-Kinoshita et al. to shift cells from the EpiSC state towards the ESC state. However, in our analyses, this perturbation (pert_365), did pass filtering criterion 1, but did not pass filtering criterion 2.

```
library(fmsb)
attr_pert<-read.delim("ipsc/results/pert1_internal_markers.txt",sep=" ",row.names = 1)
attr_pert <-attr_pert[c('pert_445','pert_365'),c('Oct4',"Sox2","Nanog","EpiTFs")]
exp<-read.delim("ipsc/results/original-exp_internalmarkers.txt",sep=" ",row.names = 1)
EpiSC_avg<-colMeans(exp[4:6,])
ESC_avg<-colMeans(exp[1:3,])

d1<-rbind(EpiSC_avg,ESC_avg,attr_pert)
rownames(d1)[1:4]<- c("EpiSC", "ESC","Nanog overexpression","Klf4 overexpression")
```

```

maxcol<-apply(d1, 2, max)
mincol<-apply(d1, 2, min)

d2<-rbind(maxcol,mincol, d1)
rownames(d2)[1:2]<- c("Max", "Min")

par(mar = c(4, 0.1, 4, 0.1))

for (i in 5:nrow(d2)) {
  create_beautiful_radarchart(d2[c(1:4, i), ],color = c("#00AFBB", "#E7B800","#FC4E07"),caxislabels=
}

```




Figure 6.3: radar charts of the steady-state values of 4 internal-marker nodes for Nanog overexpression and Klf4 overexpression perturbation

We see here, indeed that the values for Oct4, Sox2, Nanog, (when considered these three genes as internal-marker nodes) and EpiTFs are within the expected range for Nanog overexpression, but the values of Oct4, Sox2, Nanog in the attractor generated from Klf4 overexpression do not reach the values of the ESC state.

6.3.3 Results using 7 internal-marker nodes

The relevant files have the prefix ‘expanded’ in the github repository.

We explored filtering the 132 perturbations that passed the second filtering criterion by adding additional internal-marker nodes associated with pluripotency. We added 3 nodes, Lefty1, Pitx2 (transcription factors active in EpiSCs), and Esrrb (transcription factor active in ESCs) to the 4 previously used internal-marker nodes.

Let's look at the steady state values of the internal-marker nodes in the attractors generated from the ESC and EpiSC experimental data. The values can be found in the `expanded-exp_internalmarkers.txt` and are plotted in `expanded-experimental_internalmarkers.pdf`:




Figure 6.4: histograms of 7 internal-marker node values

Again, the internal-marker nodes have the correct expression patterns within the attractors generated from the ESC and EpiSC states.

Now, we can take a look at the attractors that passed filtering criterion 2. These are in file `expanded-successful_controlnode_perturbations.txt`

```
crit2_7<-read.delim('ipsc/results/expanded-successful_controlnode_perturbations.txt',sep=" ")
print(paste0('number of perturbations that pass filtering criteria 2: ',nrow(crit2_7)))
```

```
## [1] "number of perturbations that pass filtering criteria 2: 15"
```


```
knitr::kable(crit2_7) %>% column_spec(8, bold = F, border_left = T) %>% scroll_box(width = "100%
```

	Sox2	Nanog	Gata6	Tbx3	Oct4	Klf4	up	down	total
pert_445	nochange	up	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	1	0	1
pert_418	nochange	up	down	nochange	nochange	nochange	1	1	2
pert_436	nochange	up	nochange	down	nochange	nochange	1	1	2
pert_446	nochange	up	nochange	nochange	nochange	up	2	0	2
pert_454	nochange	up	nochange	up	nochange	nochange	2	0	2
pert_409	nochange	up	down	down	nochange	nochange	1	2	3
pert_419	nochange	up	down	nochange	nochange	up	2	1	3
pert_427	nochange	up	down	up	nochange	nochange	2	1	3
pert_437	nochange	up	nochange	down	nochange	up	2	1	3
pert_455	nochange	up	nochange	up	nochange	up	3	0	3
pert_473	nochange	up	up	nochange	nochange	up	3	0	3
pert_410	nochange	up	down	down	nochange	up	2	2	4
pert_428	nochange	up	down	up	nochange	up	3	1	4
pert_464	nochange	up	up	down	nochange	up	3	1	4
pert_482	nochange	up	up	up	nochange	up	4	0	4

We can plot the trends of the orientation of perturbations for each FVS control node across the 15 perturbations.

```
d4<-crit2_7 [,c(1:6)] %>% transpose() %>% as.matrix()
row.names(d4)<-colnames(crit2_7[1:6])
colnames(d4)<-row.names(crit2_7)
d4r<-reshape2::melt(d4) %>% select(-Var2)

ggplot(d4r, aes(x=value)) +
  facet_wrap(~Var1,scales = "free_x",shrink=FALSE) +
  geom_bar(aes(y = (..count..)/ncol(d4),fill=value)) + scale_y_continuous(labels=scales::percent
```



Interestingly, we see among these 15 perturbations, Nanog overexpression, but no change to Oct4 or Sox2, is indicated. We also see that Klf4 is overexpressed in the majority of these 15 perturbations.

The steady-state values of the internal-marker nodes for these perturbations can be found in `pert1_internal_markers.txt`.

We can also generate radar plots for these 15 perturbations.

```
library(fmsb)
attr_pert<-read.delim("ipsc/results/pert1_internal_markers.txt",sep=" ",row.names = 1)
attr_pert <-attr_pert[row.names(crit2_7),]
exp<-read.delim("ipsc/results/original-exp_internalmarkers.txt",sep=" ",row.names = 1)
EpiSC_avg<-colMeans(exp[4:6,])
ESC_avg<-colMeans(exp[1:3,])













d1<-rbind(EpiSC_avg,ESC_avg,attr_pert)
rownames(d1)[1:2]<- c("EpiSC", "ESC")
maxcol<-apply(d1, 2, max)
mincol<-apply(d1, 2, min)

d2<-rbind(maxcol,mincol, d1)
rownames(d2)[1:2]<- c("Max", "Min")

par(mar = c(4, 0.1, 4, 0.1))
```

```
for (i in 5:nrow(d2)) {  
  create_beautiful_radarchart(d2[c(1:4, i), ], color = c("#00AFBB", "#E7B800", "#FC4E07"), caxislabel = c("FVS", "FVS", "FVS", "FVS"))  
}
```

These radar plots show that for all 15 perturbations on FVS control nodes, the steady-state values of the internal-marker nodes are within the expression range of the attractors generated from the ESC experimental data.



Chapter 7

Adaptive Resistance in Colorectal Cancer Example

This section contains instructions to reproduce the results of simulating perturbations on FVS control nodes in a pluripotent stem cell signaling. The goal of these simulations is to identify targets that can reprogram cells from the Epiblast stem cell (EpiSC) fate towards the Embryonic Stem Cell (ESC) fate. You can read the original report here: [link](#)

The input data, nextflow pipeline, and results of this simulation can be found in the `ipsc` folder in the NETISCE github repository

7.1 Input Data

`network.sif` contains the network structure for pluripotent stem cell signaling

`expression.csv` contains the initial activities for ESC cells (3 replicates), and EpiSC cells (3 replicates)

`internal-marker-kinoshita.txt` contains the 4 internal marker nodes that were originally used in Yachie-Kinoshita et al., to evaluate simulations.

`internal-marker-kinoshita-expanded.txt` contains the 4 internal marker nodes that were originally used in Yachie-Kinoshita et al., plus the additional marker nodes identified from the data used to evaluate simulations.

`samples.txt` contains the key for NETISCE to associate certain samples to the phenotypes of Embryonic Stem Cells (ESCs) or Epiblast Stem Cells (EpiSCs)

7.2 Run the simulation

These simulations were run on a high performance cluster that uses a SLURM executor. If your hpc uses a different executor, please update those specifications in the `nextflow.config` file in the directory. Please see <https://www.nextflow.io/docs/latest/config.html> for more information regarding your executor.

For ease of reproduction, we have included all files necessary to reproduce the reported results directly in the directory. We do recommend you run this simulation on an hpc. We have included the bash file we used on our SLURM executor.

Note: within the `NETISCE.nf` configuration file, we have included two lines for specifying the internal-marker nodes:

```
#!/usr/bin/env nextflow

params.expressions = "$baseDir/input_data/expression.csv"
params.network = "$baseDir/input_data/network.sif"
params.samples = "$baseDir/input_data/samples.txt"
params.internal_control="$baseDir/input_data/internal-marker-kinoshita.txt"
// params.internal_control="$baseDir/input_data/internal-marker-kinoshita-expanded.txt"
params.alpha = 0.9
params.undesired = 'EpiSC'
params.desired = 'ESC'
params.filter ="strict"
```

As discussed in our paper, we filtered the perturbations using the original 4 internal-marker nodes for pluripotency (Oct4, Sox2, Nanog, EpiTFs), and then again using 3 additional internal-marker nodes. Therefore, to run either analysis, comment/uncomment the internal-marker node file you are interested in. If you want to run NETISCE first with the original internal-marker nodes, make sure to change the results file names for `exp_internalmarkers.txt`, `successful_controlnode_perturbations.txt`, and `original-experimental_internalmarkers.pdf` as to not overwrite them (or move them into a separate folder). Additionally, when you run the nextflow command, **please be sure to use the `-resume` flag so that you use the cached computations that do not need to be re-computed**

You can also run NETISCE directly using the following command: `./nextflow run NETISCE.nf -resume`

7.3 Results

Herein, we will focus on the results that are deposited in the `results` folder by NETISCE. However, each step of the nextflow pipeline produces its correspond-

ing raw results (for example, the entire attractor state for network simulations initialized with experimental data). If you are interested in looking at those raw results, they can be found within the `work` folder. We provide `workfiles.txt` which is a guide to which folders/subfolders contain the relevant results of each step.

7.3.1 General Results

First, let's take a look at the results that do not depend on the internal-marker node set.

FVS finding

The FVS solving algorithm identified one FVS, containing 6 nodes.

name
AKT1
CCNA1
CTNNB1
E2F1
GRB2
MAPK1
MAPK14
MAPK8
MDM2
PDK1
SMAD7
SRC
TP53
TSC1

and we remove mutated genes!

name
AKT1
CCNA1
CTNNB1
E2F1
GRB2
MAPK1
MAPK14
MAPK8
MDM2
PDK1
SMAD7
SRC
TSC1

Attractor landscape estimation via k-means analysis

Now, let's look at the results of k-means analysis. First, NETISCE determines the optimal number of k clusters by computing the elbow and silhouette metrics.




Figure 7.1: optimal k as identified by a) the elbow and b) silhouette metrics

We see that the optimal k assessed by the elbow metric was k=4, while the optimal k identified by the silhouette metric was k=2. NETISCE automatically chooses the smaller k value, after checking that the attractors generated from the ESC samples and EpiSC samples do not appear in the same cluster.

k=2 was selected for k-means optimal k, and we can see that the attractors generated from the ESC samples and EpiSC samples do not appear in separate clusters.

name	clusters
HT29	0
HT29_BRAFiEGFRi	2
HT29_BRAFi	1

Pertrubations on FVS control nodes that pass criterion 1

With 6 FVS control nodes, NETISCE performed 729 simulations of combinations of perturbations on the FVS control nodes. The resulting attractors were classified to the clusters produced from the k-means analysis using Naive Bayes, Support Vector Machine, and Random Forest Machine Learning classification algorithms. Then, the perturbations are filtered by which of their corresponding attractors were classified to the ESC cluster by at least 2 of the 3 methods. These results can be found in `crit1_perts.txt`. Here we show the first 10 rows.

```
## [1] "number of perturbations that pass filtering criteria 1: 232114"
```

x
pert_0
pert_1
pert_2
pert_9
pert_10
pert_11
pert_18
pert_19
pert_20
pert_27

7.3.2 Results using 3 internal-marker nodes

The relevant files have the prefix ‘original’ in the github repository

Our second perturbation filtering criterion identifies perturbations where, in their corresponding attractors, 90% of the steady state values for internal-marker nodes that are within the steady state expression ranges in the attractors generated from the ESC experimental data.

First, let’s take a look at the steady state values of the internal-marker nodes Oct4, Sox2, and Nanog in the attractors generated from the ESC and EpiSC experimental data. The values can be found in the `original-exp_internalmarkers.txt` and are plotted in `original-experimental_internalmarkers.pdf`:




Figure 7.2: histograms of 4 internal-marker node values

We see that the values of the internal-markers for the pluripotent state (Oct4, Sox2, Nanog) are higher in the attractors generated from the experimental data of the ESCs than the attractors generated from the experimental data of the EpiSCs, and the marker of the epiblast stem state is higher in the attractors

generated from the experimental data of the EpiSCs than in the attractors generated from the experimental data of the ESC.

Now, we can take a look at the attractors that passed filtering criterion 2. We show the first 10 rows here, but you can view the entire set in `original-successful_controlnode_perturbations.txt`

```
crit2_3<-read.delim('crc/results/3-marker-nodes/successful_controlnode_perturbations.txt',sep=" "
print(paste0('number of perturbations that pass filtering criteria 2: ',nrow(crit2_3)))
```

```
## [1] "number of perturbations that pass filtering criteria 2: 52703"
```

```
knitr::kable(crit2_3[1:10,]) %>% column_spec(15, bold = F, border_left = T) %>% scroll_box(width=
```


	AKT1	CCNA1	CTNNB1	E2F1	GRB2	MAPK1	MAPK14	MAPK8	M
pert_797158	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	no
pert_265717	down	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	no
pert_620011	nochange	down	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	no
pert_738109	nochange	nochange	down	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	no
pert_777475	nochange	nochange	nochange	down	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	no
pert_790597	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	down	nochange	nochange	nochange	no
pert_794971	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	down	nochange	nochange	no
pert_796429	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	down	nochange	no
pert_796915	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	down	no
pert_797077	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	nochange	do

We can look to see if there are any trends in the orientation of the perturbations on FVS control nodes across the perturbations that passed both filtering criteria.

```
library(data.table)
library(ggplot2)
d3<-crit2_3[,c(1:13)] %>% transpose() %>% as.matrix()
row.names(d3)<-colnames(crit2_3[1:13])
colnames(d3)<-row.names(crit2_3)
d3r<-reshape2::melt(d3) %>% select(-Var2)

#From Paul Tol: https://personal.sron.nl/~pault/
Tol_muted <- c('#88CCEE', '#44AA99', '#117733', '#332288', '#DDCC77', '#999933', '#CC6677', '#882244')

ggplot(d3r, aes(x=value)) +
  facet_wrap(~Var1,scales = "free_x",shrink=FALSE) +
  geom_bar(aes(y = (..count..)/ncol(d3),fill=value)) + scale_y_continuous(labels=scales::percent)
```



Here, we see that in the majority of perturbations, there is overexpression of the FVS nodes Sox2, Nanog and Oct4. This aligns with their role as maintainers of pluripotency.

The steady-state values of the internal-marker nodes for these perturbations can be found in `pert1_internal_markers.txt`.

A perturbation on the FVS control node, Nanog overexpression (`pert_445`), was also identified in Yachie-Kinoshita et al. and experimentally verified to shift cells from the EpiSC state towards the ESC state. We can plot the internal-marker node values using a radar plot.

We can also plot Klf4 overexpression. This was a perturbation identified by Yachie-Kinoshita et al. to shift cells from the EpiSC state towards the ESC state. However, in our analyses, this perturbation (`pert_365`), did pass filtering criterion 1, but did not pass filtering criterion 2.

```
library(fmsb)
attr_pert<-read.delim("crc/results/3-marker-nodes/pert_internal_markers.txt",sep=" ",row.names=1)
attr_pert <-attr_pert[c('pert_797158'),]
exp<-read.delim("crc/results/3-marker-nodes/exp_internalmarkers.txt",sep=" ",row.names=1)
exp<-exp[c(2,3),]

d1<-rbind(exp,attr_pert)
rownames(d1)[3]<- c("HT29_BRAFi+SRCi")
maxcol<-apply(d1, 2, max)
mincol<-apply(d1, 2, min)

d2<-rbind(maxcol,mincol, d1)
rownames(d2)[1:2]<- c("Max", "Min")

par(mar = c(4, 0.1, 4, 0.1))

for (i in 5:nrow(d2)) {
```



```
create_beautiful_radarchart(d2[c(1:4, i), ], color = c("#00AFBB", "#E7B800", "#FC4E07"), caxislabel = c("CCNE1", "MAPK1", "CASP3"),
}
```




Figure 7.3: radar charts of the steady-state values of 4 internal-marker nodes for Nanog overexpression and Klf4 overexpression perturbation

We see here, indeed that the values for Oct4, Sox2, Nanog, (when considered these three genes as internal-marker nodes) and EpiTFs are within the expected range for Nanog overexpression, but the values of Oct4, Sox2, Nanog in the attractor generated from Klf4 overexpression do not reach the values of the ESC state.

7.3.3 Results using apoptosis internal-marker nodes

The relevant files have the prefix ‘expanded’ in the github repository.

We explored filtering the 132 perturbations that passed the second filtering criterion by adding additional internal-marker nodes associated with pluripotency. We added 3 nodes, Lefty1, Pitx2 (transcription factors active in EpiSCs), and Esrrb (transcription factor active in ESCs) to the 4 previously used internal-marker nodes.

Let’s look at the steady state values of the internal-marker nodes in the attractors generated from the ESC and EpiSC experimental data. The values can be found in the `expanded-exp_internalmarkers.txt` and are plotted in `expanded-experimental_internalmarkers.pdf`:

Again, the internal-marker nodes have the correct expression patterns within the attractors generated from the ESC and EpiSC states.

Now, we can take a look at the attractors that passed filtering criterion 2. These are in file `expanded-successful_controlnode_perturbations.txt`

```
crit2_apop<-read.delim('crc/results/apoptosis-marker-nodes/successful_controlnode_perturbations.txt')
# print(paste0('number of perturbations that pass filtering criteria 2: ',nrow(crit2_apop)))
# knitr::kable(crit2_apop[1:10,]) %>% column_spec(15, bold = F, border_left = T) %>%
```

Again, the internal-marker nodes have the correct expression patterns within the attractors generated from the ESC and EpiSC states.

Now, we can take a look at the attractors that passed filtering criterion 2. These are in file `expanded-successful_controlnode_perturbations.txt`

```
crit2_prolif<-read.delim('crc/results/proliferation-marker-nodes/successful_controlnode_perturbations.txt')
# print(paste0('number of perturbations that pass filtering criteria 2: ',nrow(crit2_prolif)))
# knitr::kable(crit2_prolif[1:10,]) %>% column_spec(15, bold = F, border_left = T) %>%
```


```
crit2_mapk<-read.delim('crc/results/mapk-marker-nodes/successful_controlnode_perturbations.txt')
print(paste0('number of perturbations that pass filtering criteria 2: ',nrow(crit2_mapk)))
```

```
## [1] "number of perturbations that pass filtering criteria 2: 50290"
```

```
# knitr::kable(crit2_mapk[1:10,]) %>% column_spec(15, bold = F, border_left = T) %>%
```

```
allthree<-crit2_3[c(Reduce(intersect, list(row.names(crit2_3),row.names(crit2_apop),row.names(crit2_prolif))),
row.names(crit2_3))]
d4<-allthree[,c(1:13)] %>% transpose() %>% as.matrix()
row.names(d4)<-colnames(allthree[1:13])
colnames(d4)<-row.names(allthree)
d4r<-reshape2::melt(d4) %>% select(-Var2)

ggplot(d4r, aes(x=value)) +
  facet_wrap(~Var1,scales = "free_x",shrink=FALSE) +
  geom_bar(aes(y = (..count..)/ncol(d4),fill=value)) + scale_y_continuous(labels=scales::comma)
```



```
library(fmsb)
attr_pert<-read.delim("crc/results/apoptosis-marker-nodes/pert_internal_markers.txt",sep=" ",row.names=)
attr_pert <-attr_pert[c('pert_797159','pert_790597'),]
exp<-read.delim("crc/results/apoptosis-marker-nodes/exp_internalmarkers.txt",sep=" ",row.names=)
exp<-exp[c(2,3),]

d1<-rbind(exp,attr_pert)
rownames(d1)[3:4]<- c("HT29_BRAFi+SRCi+TSCovr","HT29_BRAFi+SRCi+TSCi")
maxcol<-apply(d1, 2, max)
mincol<-apply(d1, 2, min)

d2<-rbind(maxcol,mincol, d1)
rownames(d2)[1:2]<- c("Max", "Min")

par(mar = c(4, 0.1, 4, 0.1))
for (i in 5:nrow(d2)) {
  create_beautiful_radarchart(d2[c(1:4, i), ],color = c("#00AFBB", "#E7B800","#FC4E07"),caxislabel=)
}
```

```
library(fmsb)
attr_pert<-read.delim("crc/results/proliferation-marker-nodes/pert_internal_markers.txt",sep=" ",row.names=)
attr_pert <-attr_pert[c('pert_797159','pert_790597'),]
exp<-read.delim("crc/results/proliferation-marker-nodes/exp_internalmarkers.txt",sep=" ",row.names=)
exp<-exp[c(2,3),]
```




Figure 7.4: radar charts of the steady-state values of 4 internal-marker nodes for Nanog overexpression and Klf4 overexpression perturbation

```
d1<-rbind(exp,attr_pert)
rownames(d1)[3:4]<- c("HT29_BRAFi+SRCi+TSCovr","HT29_BRAFi+SRCi+TSCi")
maxcol<-apply(d1, 2, max)
mincol<-apply(d1, 2, min)

d2<-rbind(maxcol,mincol, d1)
rownames(d2)[1:2]<- c("Max", "Min")

par(mar = c(4, 0.1, 4, 0.1))
for (i in 5:nrow(d2)) {
  create_beautiful_radarchart(d2[c(1:4, i)], ,color = c("#00AFBB", "#E7B800", "#FC4E07"))
}
```




Figure 7.5: radar charts of the steady-state values of 4 internal-marker nodes for Nanog overexpression and Klf4 overexpression perturbation

```

library(fmsb)
attr_pert<-read.delim("crc/results/mapk-marker-nodes/pert_internal_markers.txt",sep=" ",row.names=1)
attr_pert <-attr_pert[c('pert_797159','pert_790597'),]
exp<-read.delim("crc/results/mapk-marker-nodes/exp_internalmarkers.txt",sep=" ",row.names = 1)
exp<-exp[c(2,3),]

d1<-rbind(exp,attr_pert)
rownames(d1)[3:4]<- c("HT29_BRAFi+SRCi+TSCovr","HT29_BRAFi+SRCi+TSCi")
maxcol<-apply(d1, 2, max)
mincol<-apply(d1, 2, min)

d2<-rbind(maxcol,mincol, d1)
rownames(d2)[1:2]<- c("Max", "Min")

par(mar = c(4, 0.1, 4, 0.1))
for (i in 5:nrow(d2)) {
  create_beautiful_radarchart(d2[c(1:4, i), ],color = c("#00AFBB", "#E7B800","#FC4E07"),caxislabels=rownames(d2)[3:4])
}

```




Figure 7.6: radar charts of the steady-state values of 4 internal-marker nodes for Nanog overexpression and Klf4 overexpression perturbation

Chapter 8

NETISCE in Galaxy Project