

Verified Construction of Fair Voting Rules

Michael Kirsten

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Karlsruhe, Germany
`kirsten@kit.edu`

November 27, 2024

Abstract

Voting rules aggregate multiple individual preferences in order to make a collective decision. Commonly, these mechanisms are expected to respect a multitude of different notions of fairness and reliability, which must be carefully balanced to avoid inconsistencies.

This article contains a formalisation of a framework for the construction of such fair voting rules using composable modules [1, 2]. The framework is a formal and systematic approach for the flexible and verified construction of voting rules from individual composable modules to respect such social-choice properties by construction. Formal composition rules guarantee resulting social-choice properties from properties of the individual components which are of generic nature to be reused for various voting rules. We provide proofs for a selected set of structures and composition rules. The approach can be readily extended in order to support more voting rules, e.g., from the literature by extending the sets of modules and composition rules.

Contents

1	Social-Choice Types	9
1.1	Auxiliary Lemmas	9
1.2	Preference Relation	10
1.2.1	Definition	10
1.2.2	Ranking	11
1.2.3	Limited Preference	11
1.2.4	Auxiliary Lemmas	16
1.2.5	Lifting Property	26
1.3	Norm	35
1.3.1	Definition	35
1.3.2	Auxiliary Lemmas	35
1.3.3	Common Norms	37
1.3.4	Properties	37
1.3.5	Theorems	37
1.4	Electoral Result	38
1.4.1	Auxiliary Functions	38
1.4.2	Definition	38
1.5	Preference Profile	39
1.5.1	Definition	40
1.5.2	Vote Count	41
1.5.3	Voter Permutations	43
1.5.4	List Representation	47
1.5.5	Preference Counts	52
1.5.6	Condorcet Winner	55
1.5.7	Limited Profile	57
1.5.8	Lifting Property	57
1.6	Social Choice Result	60
1.6.1	Definition	60
1.6.2	Auxiliary Lemmas	60
1.7	Social Welfare Result	63
1.8	Electoral Result Types	64
1.9	Symmetry Properties of Functions	65
1.9.1	Functions	66

1.9.2	Relations for Symmetry Constructions	66
1.9.3	Invariance and Equivariance	66
1.9.4	Auxiliary Lemmas	67
1.9.5	Rewrite Rules	67
1.9.6	Group Actions	72
1.9.7	Invariance and Equivariance	74
1.9.8	Function Composition	79
1.10	Symmetry Properties of Voting Rules	82
1.10.1	Definitions	82
1.10.2	Auxiliary Lemmas	84
1.10.3	Anonymity Lemmas	93
1.10.4	Neutrality Lemmas	101
1.10.5	Homogeneity Lemmas	114
1.10.6	Reversal Symmetry Lemmas	115
1.11	Result-Dependent Voting Rule Properties	120
1.11.1	Property Definitions	120
1.11.2	Interpretations	121
2	Refined Types	122
2.1	Preference List	122
2.1.1	Well-Formedness	122
2.1.2	Auxiliary Lemmas About Lists	122
2.1.3	Ranking	127
2.1.4	Definition	127
2.1.5	Limited Preference	136
2.1.6	Auxiliary Definitions	140
2.1.7	Auxiliary Lemmas	140
2.1.8	First Occurrence Indices	143
2.2	Preference (List) Profile	145
2.2.1	Definition	145
2.2.2	Refinement Proof	146
2.3	Ordered Relation Type	146
2.4	Alternative Election Type	149
3	Quotient Rules	151
3.1	Quotients of Equivalence Relations	151
3.1.1	Definitions	151
3.1.2	Well-Definedness	151
3.1.3	Equivalence Relations	154
3.2	Quotients of Election Set Equivalences	156
3.2.1	Auxiliary Lemmas	156
3.2.2	Anonymity Quotient: Grid	159
3.2.3	Homogeneity Quotient: Simplex	168

4	Component Types	189
4.1	Distance	189
4.1.1	Definition	189
4.1.2	Conditions	190
4.1.3	Standard-Distance Property	190
4.1.4	Auxiliary Lemmas	190
4.1.5	Swap Distance	191
4.1.6	Spearman Distance	192
4.1.7	Properties	193
4.2	Votewise Distance	198
4.2.1	Definition	198
4.2.2	Inference Rules	198
4.3	Consensus	204
4.3.1	Definition	204
4.3.2	Consensus Conditions	204
4.3.3	Properties	205
4.3.4	Auxiliary Lemmas	205
4.3.5	Theorems	208
4.4	Electoral Module	212
4.4.1	Definition	212
4.4.2	Auxiliary Definitions	213
4.4.3	Properties	213
4.4.4	Social-Welfare Properties	215
4.4.5	Social-Choice Modules	216
4.4.6	Equivalence Definitions	217
4.4.7	Auxiliary Lemmas	218
4.4.8	Non-Blocking	227
4.4.9	Electing	227
4.4.10	Properties	229
4.4.11	Inference Rules	233
4.4.12	Social-Choice Properties	236
4.5	Electoral Module on Election Quotients	237
4.6	Evaluation Function	238
4.6.1	Definition	238
4.6.2	Property	239
4.6.3	Theorems	239
4.7	Elimination Module	241
4.7.1	General Definitions	241
4.7.2	Social-Choice Definitions	241
4.7.3	Social-Choice Eliminators	241
4.7.4	Soundness	242
4.7.5	Independence of Non-Voters	243
4.7.6	Non-Blocking	246
4.7.7	Non-Electing	247

4.7.8	Inference Rules	248
4.8	Aggregator	251
4.8.1	Definition	251
4.8.2	Properties	251
4.9	Maximum Aggregator	252
4.9.1	Definition	252
4.9.2	Auxiliary Lemma	252
4.9.3	Soundness	253
4.9.4	Properties	253
4.10	Termination Condition	254
4.11	Defer Equal Condition	255
5	Basic Modules	256
5.1	Defer Module	256
5.1.1	Definition	256
5.1.2	Soundness	256
5.1.3	Properties	256
5.2	Elect-First Module	257
5.2.1	Definition	257
5.2.2	Soundness	257
5.3	Consensus Class	258
5.3.1	Definition	258
5.3.2	Consensus Choice	258
5.3.3	Auxiliary Lemmas	259
5.3.4	Consensus Rules	262
5.3.5	Properties	262
5.3.6	Inference Rules	263
5.3.7	Theorems	266
5.4	Distance Rationalization	272
5.4.1	Definitions	273
5.4.2	Standard Definitions	273
5.4.3	Auxiliary Lemmas	274
5.4.4	Soundness	281
5.4.5	Properties	281
5.4.6	Inference Rules	281
5.5	Votewise Distance Rationalization	289
5.5.1	Common Rationalizations	289
5.5.2	Theorems	289
5.5.3	Equivalence Lemmas	291
5.6	Symmetry in Distance-Rationalizable Rules	291
5.6.1	Minimizer Function	291
5.6.2	Minimizer Translation	296
5.6.3	Inference Rules	304
5.7	Distance Rationalization on Election Quotients	307

5.7.1	Distances	307
5.7.2	Consensus and Results	318
5.7.3	Distance Rationalization	322
5.8	Code Generation Interpretations for Results and Properties	329
5.8.1	Code Lemmas	329
5.8.2	Interpretation Declarations and Constants	331
5.9	Drop Module	331
5.9.1	Definition	331
5.9.2	Soundness	332
5.9.3	Non-Electing	332
5.9.4	Properties	333
5.10	Pass Module	333
5.10.1	Definition	333
5.10.2	Soundness	333
5.10.3	Non-Blocking	334
5.10.4	Non-Electing	335
5.10.5	Properties	335
5.11	Elect Module	341
5.11.1	Definition	342
5.11.2	Soundness	342
5.11.3	Electing	342
5.12	Plurality Module	342
5.12.1	Definition	342
5.12.2	Soundness	345
5.12.3	Non-Blocking	346
5.12.4	Non-Electing	346
5.12.5	Property	347
5.13	Borda Module	352
5.13.1	Definition	352
5.13.2	Soundness	352
5.13.3	Non-Blocking	352
5.13.4	Non-Electing	352
5.14	Condorcet Module	353
5.14.1	Definition	353
5.14.2	Soundness	353
5.14.3	Property	353
5.15	Copeland Module	355
5.15.1	Definition	355
5.15.2	Soundness	355
5.15.3	Lemmas	355
5.15.4	Property	358
5.16	Minimax Module	360
5.16.1	Definition	361
5.16.2	Soundness	361

5.16.3	Lemma	361
5.16.4	Property	362
6	Compositional Structures	365
6.1	Drop- and Pass-Compatibility	365
6.2	Revision Composition	370
6.2.1	Definition	370
6.2.2	Soundness	370
6.2.3	Composition Rules	371
6.3	Sequential Composition	374
6.3.1	Definition	374
6.3.2	Soundness	380
6.3.3	Lemmas	381
6.3.4	Composition Rules	385
6.4	Parallel Composition	409
6.4.1	Definition	409
6.4.2	Soundness	409
6.4.3	Composition Rule	410
6.5	Loop Composition	412
6.5.1	Definition	413
6.5.2	Soundness	417
6.5.3	Lemmas	418
6.5.4	Composition Rules	430
6.6	Maximum Parallel Composition	432
6.6.1	Definition	433
6.6.2	Soundness	433
6.6.3	Lemmas	433
6.6.4	Composition Rules	444
6.7	Elect Composition	452
6.7.1	Definition	453
6.7.2	Auxiliary Lemmas	453
6.7.3	Soundness	453
6.7.4	Electing	453
6.7.5	Composition Rule	455
6.8	Defer-One Loop Composition	457
6.8.1	Soundness	458
7	Voting Rules	459
7.1	Plurality Rule	459
7.1.1	Definition	459
7.1.2	Soundness	462
7.1.3	Electing	463
7.1.4	Properties	466
7.2	Borda Rule	468

7.2.1	Definition	468
7.2.2	Soundness	468
7.2.3	Anonymity	468
7.3	Pairwise Majority Rule	469
7.3.1	Definition	469
7.3.2	Soundness	469
7.3.3	Condorcet Consistency	470
7.4	Copeland Rule	470
7.4.1	Definition	470
7.4.2	Soundness	470
7.4.3	Condorcet Consistency	470
7.5	Minimax Rule	471
7.5.1	Definition	471
7.5.2	Soundness	471
7.5.3	Condorcet Consistency	471
7.6	Black's Rule	471
7.6.1	Definition	472
7.6.2	Soundness	472
7.6.3	Condorcet Consistency	472
7.7	Nanson-Baldwin Rule	472
7.7.1	Definition	473
7.7.2	Soundness	473
7.8	Classic Nanson Rule	473
7.8.1	Definition	473
7.8.2	Soundness	473
7.9	Schwartz Rule	474
7.9.1	Definition	474
7.9.2	Soundness	474
7.10	Sequential Majority Comparison	474
7.10.1	Definition	474
7.10.2	Soundness	475
7.10.3	Electing	475
7.10.4	(Weak) Monotonicity	477
7.11	Kemeny Rule	479
7.11.1	Definition	480
7.11.2	Soundness	480
7.11.3	Anonymity	480
7.11.4	Neutrality	480

Chapter 1

Social-Choice Types

1.1 Auxiliary Lemmas

```
theory Auxiliary-Lemmas
  imports Main
begin
```

Summation function is invariant under application of a (bijective) permutation on the elements.

```
lemma sum-comp:
  fixes
     $f :: 'x \Rightarrow ('z :: \text{comm-monoid-add})$  and
     $g :: 'y \Rightarrow 'x$  and
     $X :: 'x \text{ set}$  and
     $Y :: 'y \text{ set}$ 
  assumes bij-betw  $g$   $Y$   $X$ 
  shows  $(\sum x \in X. f\ x) = (\sum x \in Y. (f \circ g)\ x)$ 
  using assms sum.reindex
  unfolding bij-betw-def
  by (metis (no-types))
```

The inversion of a composition of injective functions is equivalent to the composition of the two individual inverted functions.

```
lemma the-inv-comp:
  fixes
     $X :: 'x \text{ set}$  and
     $Y :: 'y \text{ set}$  and
     $Z :: 'z \text{ set}$  and
     $f :: 'y \Rightarrow 'x$  and
     $g :: 'z \Rightarrow 'y$  and
     $x :: 'x$ 
  assumes
    bij-betw  $f$   $Y$   $X$  and
    bij-betw  $g$   $Z$   $Y$  and
     $x \in X$ 
```

```

shows the-inv-into Z (f ∘ g) x = ((the-inv-into Z g) ∘ (the-inv-into Y f)) x
using assms the-inv-into-comp
unfolding bij-betw-def
by metis

end

```

1.2 Preference Relation

```

theory Preference-Relation
  imports Main
begin

```

The very core of the composable modules voting framework: types and functions, derivations, lemmas, operations on preference relations, etc.

1.2.1 Definition

Each voter expresses pairwise relations between all alternatives, thereby inducing a linear order.

```

type-synonym 'a Preference-Relation = 'a rel

```

```

type-synonym 'a Vote = 'a set × 'a Preference-Relation

```

```

fun is-less-preferred-than :: 'a ⇒ 'a Preference-Relation ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
  (- ≤r - [50, 1000, 51] 50) where
  a ≤r b = ((a, b) ∈ r)

```

```

fun alts- $\mathcal{V}$  :: 'a Vote ⇒ 'a set where
  alts- $\mathcal{V}$  V = fst V

```

```

fun pref- $\mathcal{V}$  :: 'a Vote ⇒ 'a Preference-Relation where
  pref- $\mathcal{V}$  V = snd V

```

```

lemma lin-imp-antisym:
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    r :: 'a Preference-Relation
  assumes linear-order-on A r
  shows antisym r
  using assms
  unfolding linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def
  by simp

```

```

lemma lin-imp-trans:
  fixes
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ 
  assumes linear-order-on A r
  shows trans r
  using assms order-on-defs
  by blast

```

1.2.2 Ranking

```

fun rank :: ' $a \text{ Preference-Relation} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ ' where
  rank r a = card (above r a)

```

```

lemma rank-gt-zero:
  fixes
     $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$  and
     $a :: 'a$ 
  assumes
    refl: a  $\preceq_r$  a and
    fin: finite r
  shows  $\text{rank } r \ a \geq 1$ 
proof (unfold rank.simps above-def)
  have  $a \in \{b \in \text{Field } r. (a, b) \in r\}$ 
  using FieldI2 refl
  by fastforce
  hence  $\{b \in \text{Field } r. (a, b) \in r\} \neq \{\}$ 
  by blast
  hence  $\text{card } \{b \in \text{Field } r. (a, b) \in r\} \neq 0$ 
  by (simp add: fin finite-Field)
  thus  $1 \leq \text{card } \{b. (a, b) \in r\}$ 
  using Collect-cong FieldI2 less-one not-le-imp-less
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))
qed

```

1.2.3 Limited Preference

```

definition limited :: ' $a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ Preference-Relation} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ ' where
  limited A r  $\equiv r \subseteq A \times A$ 

```

```

lemma limited-dest:
  fixes
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$  and
     $a \ b :: 'a$ 
  assumes
     $a \preceq_r b$  and
    limited A r
  shows  $a \in A \wedge b \in A$ 
  using assms

```

```

unfolding limited-def
by auto

fun limit :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a Preference-Relation  $\Rightarrow$  'a Preference-Relation where
  limit A r = {(a, b)  $\in$  r. a  $\in$  A  $\wedge$  b  $\in$  A}

definition connex :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a Preference-Relation  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  connex A r  $\equiv$  limited A r  $\wedge$  ( $\forall$  a  $\in$  A.  $\forall$  b  $\in$  A. a  $\preceq_r$  b  $\vee$  b  $\preceq_r$  a)

lemma connex-imp-refl:
fixes
  A :: 'a set and
  r :: 'a Preference-Relation
assumes connex A r
shows refl-on A r
using assms
proof (unfold connex-def refl-on-def limited-def, elim conjE conjI, safe)
  fix a :: 'a
  assume a  $\in$  A
  hence a  $\preceq_r$  a
    using assms
    unfolding connex-def
    by metis
  thus (a, a)  $\in$  r
    by simp
qed

lemma lin-ord-imp-connex:
fixes
  A :: 'a set and
  r :: 'a Preference-Relation
assumes linear-order-on A r
shows connex A r
proof (unfold connex-def limited-def, safe)
  fix a b :: 'a
  assume (a, b)  $\in$  r
  moreover have refl-on A r
    using assms partial-order-onD
    unfolding linear-order-on-def
    by safe
  ultimately show
    a  $\in$  A and
    b  $\in$  A
    by (simp-all add: refl-on-domain)
next
  fix a b :: 'a
  assume
    a  $\in$  A and
    b  $\in$  A and

```

```

   $\neg b \preceq_r a$ 
  moreover from this
  have  $(b, a) \notin r$ 
    by simp
  moreover have refl-on  $A$   $r$ 
    using assms partial-order-onD
    unfolding linear-order-on-def
    by blast
  ultimately have  $(a, b) \in r$ 
    using assms refl-onD
    unfolding linear-order-on-def total-on-def
    by metis
  thus  $a \preceq_r b$ 
    by simp
qed

```

lemma *connex-antsym-and-trans-imp-lin-ord*:

```

  fixes
     $A :: 'a$  set and
     $r :: 'a$  Preference-Relation
  assumes
    connex-r: connex  $A$   $r$  and
    antisym-r: antisym  $r$  and
    trans-r: trans  $r$ 
  shows linear-order-on  $A$   $r$ 
proof (unfold connex-def linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def
  preorder-on-def refl-on-def total-on-def, safe)
  fix  $a\ b :: 'a$ 
  assume  $(a, b) \in r$ 
  thus
     $a \in A$  and
     $b \in A$ 
    using connex-r refl-on-domain connex-imp-refl
    by (metis, metis)
next
  fix  $a :: 'a$ 
  assume  $a \in A$ 
  thus  $(a, a) \in r$ 
    using connex-r connex-imp-refl refl-onD
    by metis
next
  show trans  $r$ 
    using trans-r
    by simp
next
  show antisym  $r$ 
    using antisym-r
    by simp
next

```

```

fix  $a\ b :: 'a$ 
assume
   $a \in A$  and
   $b \in A$  and
   $(b, a) \notin r$ 
moreover with  $\text{connex-}r$ 
have  $a \preceq_r b \vee b \preceq_r a$ 
  unfolding  $\text{connex-def}$ 
  by  $\text{metis}$ 
hence  $(a, b) \in r \vee (b, a) \in r$ 
  by  $\text{simp}$ 
ultimately show  $(a, b) \in r$ 
  by  $\text{metis}$ 
qed

```

```

lemma  $\text{limit-to-limits}$ :
fixes
   $A :: 'a\ \text{set}$  and
   $r :: 'a\ \text{Preference-Relation}$ 
shows  $\text{limited}\ A\ (\text{limit}\ A\ r)$ 
unfolding  $\text{limited-def}$ 
by  $\text{fastforce}$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{limit-presv-connex}$ :
fixes
   $A\ B :: 'a\ \text{set}$  and
   $r :: 'a\ \text{Preference-Relation}$ 
assumes
   $\text{connex:}\ \text{connex}\ B\ r$  and
   $\text{subset:}\ A \subseteq B$ 
shows  $\text{connex}\ A\ (\text{limit}\ A\ r)$ 
proof  $(\text{unfold}\ \text{connex-def}\ \text{limited-def}\ \text{limit.simps}\ \text{is-less-preferred-than.simps},\ \text{safe})$ 
let  $?s = \{(a, b). (a, b) \in r \wedge a \in A \wedge b \in A\}$ 
fix  $a\ b :: 'a$ 
assume
   $a\text{-in-}A: a \in A$  and
   $b\text{-in-}A: b \in A$  and
   $\text{not-}b\text{-pref-}r\text{-}a: (b, a) \notin r$ 
have  $b \preceq_r a \vee a \preceq_r b$ 
  using  $a\text{-in-}A\ b\text{-in-}A\ \text{connex}\ \text{connex-def}\ \text{in-mono}\ \text{subset}$ 
  by  $\text{metis}$ 
hence  $a \preceq_{?s} b \vee b \preceq_{?s} a$ 
  using  $a\text{-in-}A\ b\text{-in-}A$ 
  by  $\text{auto}$ 
thus  $(a, b) \in r$ 
  using  $\text{not-}b\text{-pref-}r\text{-}a$ 
  by  $\text{simp}$ 
qed

```

```

lemma limit-presv-antisym:
  fixes
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ 
  assumes antisym  $r$ 
  shows antisym (limit  $A$   $r$ )
  using assms
  unfolding antisym-def
  by simp

lemma limit-presv-trans:
  fixes
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ 
  assumes trans  $r$ 
  shows trans (limit  $A$   $r$ )
  unfolding trans-def
  using transE assms
  by auto

lemma limit-presv-lin-ord:
  fixes
     $A \ B :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ 
  assumes
    linear-order-on  $B$   $r$  and
     $A \subseteq B$ 
  shows linear-order-on  $A$  (limit  $A$   $r$ )
  using assms connex-antisym-and-trans-imp-lin-ord limit-presv-antisym limit-presv-connex
    limit-presv-trans lin-ord-imp-connex
  unfolding preorder-on-def partial-order-on-def linear-order-on-def
  by metis

lemma limit-presv-prefs:
  fixes
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$  and
     $a \ b :: 'a$ 
  assumes
     $a \preceq_r b$  and
     $a \in A$  and
     $b \in A$ 
  shows let  $s = \text{limit } A \ r$  in  $a \preceq_s b$ 
  using assms
  by simp

lemma limit-rel-presv-prefs:
  fixes
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and

```

```

    r :: 'a Preference-Relation and
    a b :: 'a
  assumes (a, b) ∈ limit A r
  shows a ≤r b
  using mem-Collect-eq assms
  by simp

lemma limit-trans:
  fixes
    A B :: 'a set and
    r :: 'a Preference-Relation
  assumes A ⊆ B
  shows limit A r = limit A (limit B r)
  using assms
  by auto

lemma lin-ord-not-empty:
  fixes r :: 'a Preference-Relation
  assumes r ≠ {}
  shows ¬ linear-order-on {} r
  using assms connex-imp-refl lin-ord-imp-connex refl-on-domain subrelI
  by fastforce

lemma lin-ord-singleton:
  fixes a :: 'a
  shows ∀ r. linear-order-on {a} r ⟶ r = {(a, a)}
proof (clarify)
  fix r :: 'a Preference-Relation
  assume lin-ord-r-a: linear-order-on {a} r
  hence a ≤r a
    using lin-ord-imp-connex singletonI
    unfolding connex-def
    by metis
  moreover from lin-ord-r-a
  have ∀ (b, c) ∈ r. b = a ∧ c = a
    using connex-imp-refl lin-ord-imp-connex refl-on-domain split-beta
    by fastforce
  ultimately show r = {(a, a)}
    by auto
qed

```

1.2.4 Auxiliary Lemmas

```

lemma above-trans:
  fixes
    r :: 'a Preference-Relation and
    a b :: 'a
  assumes
    trans r and

```



```

    (a, b) ∈ r
  shows above r b ⊆ above r a
  using Collect-mono assms transE
  unfolding above-def
  by metis

lemma above-refl:
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    r :: 'a Preference-Relation and
    a :: 'a
  assumes
    refl-on A r and
    a ∈ A
  shows a ∈ above r a
  using assms refl-onD
  unfolding above-def
  by simp

lemma above-subset-geq-one:
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    r r' :: 'a Preference-Relation and
    a :: 'a
  assumes
    linear-order-on A r and
    linear-order-on A r' and
    above r a ⊆ above r' a and
    above r' a = {a}
  shows above r a = {a}
  using assms connex-imp-refl above-refl insert-absorb lin-ord-imp-connex mem-Collect-eq
    refl-on-domain singletonI subset-singletonD
  unfolding above-def
  by metis

lemma above-connex:
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    r :: 'a Preference-Relation and
    a :: 'a
  assumes
    connex A r and
    a ∈ A
  shows a ∈ above r a
  using assms connex-imp-refl above-refl
  by metis

lemma pref-imp-in-above:
  fixes

```

```

    r :: 'a Preference-Relation and
    a b :: 'a
  shows (a  $\preceq_r$  b) = (b  $\in$  above r a)
  unfolding above-def
  by simp

lemma limit-presv-above:
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    r :: 'a Preference-Relation and
    a b :: 'a
  assumes
    b  $\in$  above r a and
    a  $\in$  A and
    b  $\in$  A
  shows b  $\in$  above (limit A r) a
  using assms pref-imp-in-above limit-presv-prefs
  by metis

lemma limit-rel-presv-above:
  fixes
    A B :: 'a set and
    r :: 'a Preference-Relation and
    a b :: 'a
  assumes b  $\in$  above (limit B r) a
  shows b  $\in$  above r a
  using assms limit-rel-presv-prefs mem-Collect-eq pref-imp-in-above
  unfolding above-def
  by metis

lemma above-one:
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    r :: 'a Preference-Relation
  assumes
    lin-ord-r: linear-order-on A r and
    fin-A: finite A and
    non-empty-A: A  $\neq$  {}
  shows  $\exists a \in A. \text{above } r \ a = \{a\} \wedge (\forall a' \in A. \text{above } r \ a' = \{a'\} \longrightarrow a' = a)$ 
  proof -
    obtain n :: nat where
      len-n-plus-one: n + 1 = card A
    using Suc-eq-plus1 antisym-conv2 fin-A non-empty-A card-eq-0-iff
      gr0-implies-Suc le0
    by metis
  have linear-order-on A r  $\wedge$  finite A  $\wedge$  A  $\neq$  {}  $\wedge$  n + 1 = card A
     $\longrightarrow (\exists a \in A. \text{above } r \ a = \{a\})$ 
  proof (induction n arbitrary: A r; clarify)
    case 0

```

```

fix
   $A' :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $r' :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ 
assume
   $\text{lin-ord-r: linear-order-on } A' \ r'$  and
   $\text{len-A-is-one: } 0 + 1 = \text{card } A'$ 
then obtain  $a :: 'a$  where
   $A' = \{a\}$ 
  using  $\text{card-1-singletonE add.left-neutral}$ 
  by  $\text{metis}$ 
hence
   $a \in A'$  and
   $\text{above } r' \ a = \{a\}$ 
  using  $\text{lin-ord-r connex-imp-refl above-refl lin-ord-imp-connex refl-on-domain}$ 
  unfolding  $\text{above-def}$ 
  by  $(\text{blast, fast})$ 
thus  $\exists \ a' \in A'. \text{above } r' \ a' = \{a'\}$ 
  by  $\text{metis}$ 
next
case  $(\text{Suc } n)$ 
fix
   $A' :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $r' :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ 
assume
   $\text{lin-ord-r: linear-order-on } A' \ r'$  and
   $\text{fin-A: finite } A'$  and
   $\text{A-not-empty: } A' \neq \{\}$  and
   $\text{len-A-n-plus-one: } \text{Suc } n + 1 = \text{card } A'$ 
then obtain  $B :: 'a \text{ set}$  where
   $\text{subset-B-card: } \text{card } B = n + 1 \wedge B \subseteq A'$ 
  using  $\text{Suc-inject add-Suc card.insert-remove finite.cases insert-Diff-single}$ 
   $\text{subset-insertI}$ 
  by  $(\text{metis (mono-tags, lifting)})$ 
then obtain  $a :: 'a$  where
   $a: A' - B = \{a\}$ 
using  $\text{Suc-eq-plus1 add-diff-cancel-left' fin-A len-A-n-plus-one card-1-singletonE}$ 
   $\text{card-Diff-subset finite-subset}$ 
  by  $\text{metis}$ 
have  $\exists \ a' \in B. \text{above } (\text{limit } B \ r') \ a' = \{a'\}$ 
using  $\text{subset-B-card Suc.IH add-diff-cancel-left' lin-ord-r card-eq-0-iff diff-le-self}$ 
   $\text{leD lessI limit-presv-lin-ord}$ 
  unfolding  $\text{One-nat-def}$ 
  by  $\text{metis}$ 
then obtain  $b :: 'a$  where
   $\text{alt-b: above } (\text{limit } B \ r') \ b = \{b\}$ 
  by  $\text{blast}$ 
hence  $b\text{-above: } \{a'. (b, a') \in \text{limit } B \ r'\} = \{b\}$ 
  unfolding  $\text{above-def}$ 
  by  $\text{metis}$ 

```

hence $b\text{-pref-}b: b \preceq_{r'} b$
 using *CollectD limit-rel-presv-prefs singletonI*
 by (*metis (lifting)*)
 show $\exists a' \in A'. \text{above } r' a' = \{a'\}$
 proof (*cases*)
 assume $a\text{-pref-}r\text{-}b: a \preceq_{r'} b$
 have $\text{refl-}A:$
 $\forall A'' r'' a' a''. \text{refl-on } A'' r'' \wedge (a' :: 'a, a'') \in r'' \longrightarrow a' \in A'' \wedge a'' \in A''$
 using *refl-on-domain*
 by *metis*
 have $\forall A'' r''. \text{linear-order-on } (A'' :: 'a \text{ set}) r'' \longrightarrow \text{connex } A'' r''$
 by (*simp add: lin-ord-imp-connex*)
 hence $\text{refl-}A': \text{refl-on } A' r'$
 using *connex-imp-refl lin-ord-r*
 by *metis*
 hence $a \in A' \wedge b \in A'$
 using *refl-on-domain a-pref-r-b*
 by *simp*
 hence $b\text{-in-}r: \forall a'. a' \in A' \longrightarrow b = a' \vee (b, a') \in r' \vee (a', b) \in r'$
 using *lin-ord-r*
 unfolding *linear-order-on-def total-on-def*
 by *metis*
 have $b\text{-in-}lim\text{-}B\text{-}r: (b, b) \in \text{limit } B r'$
 using *alt-b mem-Collect-eq singletonI*
 unfolding *above-def*
 by *metis*
 have $b\text{-wins}: \{a'. (b, a') \in \text{limit } B r'\} = \{b\}$
 using *alt-b*
 unfolding *above-def*
 by (*metis (no-types)*)
 have $b\text{-refl}: (b, b) \in \{(a', a''). (a', a'') \in r' \wedge a' \in B \wedge a'' \in B\}$
 using *b-in-lim-B-r*
 by *simp*
 moreover have $b\text{-wins-}B: \forall b' \in B. b \in \text{above } r' b'$
 using *subset-B-card b-in-r b-wins b-refl CollectI Product-Type.Collect-case-prodD*
 unfolding *above-def*
 by *fastforce*
 moreover have $b \in \text{above } r' a$
 using *a-pref-r-b pref-imp-in-above*
 by *metis*
 ultimately have $b\text{-wins}: \forall a' \in A'. b \in \text{above } r' a'$
 using *Diff-iff a empty-iff insert-iff*
 by (*metis (no-types)*)
 hence $\forall a' \in A'. a' \in \text{above } r' b \longrightarrow a' = b$
 using *CollectD lin-ord-r lin-imp-antisym*
 unfolding *above-def antisym-def*
 by *metis*
 hence $\forall a' \in A'. (a' \in \text{above } r' b) = (a' = b)$

using *b-wins*
 by *blast*
 moreover have *above-b-in-A*: $\text{above } r' \ b \subseteq A'$
 unfolding *above-def*
 using *refl-A' refl-A*
 by *auto*
 ultimately have $\text{above } r' \ b = \{b\}$
 using *alt-b*
 unfolding *above-def*
 by *fastforce*
 thus ?thesis
 using *above-b-in-A*
 by *blast*
 next
 assume $\neg a \preceq_{r'} b$
 hence $b \preceq_{r'} a$
 using *subset-B-card DiffE a lin-ord-r alt-b limit-to-limits limited-dest*
 singletonI subset-iff lin-ord-imp-connex pref-imp-in-above
 unfolding *connex-def*
 by *metis*
 hence *b-smaller-a*: $(b, a) \in r'$
 by *simp*
 have *lin-ord-subset-A*:
 $\forall B' B'' r''. \text{linear-order-on } (B'' :: 'a \text{ set}) \ r'' \wedge B' \subseteq B''$
 $\longrightarrow \text{linear-order-on } B' \ (\text{limit } B' \ r'')$
 using *limit-presv-lin-ord*
 by *metis*
 have $\{a'. (b, a') \in \text{limit } B \ r'\} = \{b\}$
 using *alt-b*
 unfolding *above-def*
 by *metis*
 hence *b-in-B*: $b \in B$
 by *auto*
 have *limit-B*: $\text{partial-order-on } B \ (\text{limit } B \ r') \wedge \text{total-on } B \ (\text{limit } B \ r')$
 using *lin-ord-subset-A subset-B-card lin-ord-r*
 unfolding *linear-order-on-def*
 by *metis*
 have
 $\forall A'' r''. \text{total-on } A'' \ r'' =$
 $(\forall a'. (a' :: 'a) \notin A''$
 $\vee (\forall a''. a'' \notin A'' \vee a' = a'' \vee (a', a'') \in r'' \vee (a'', a') \in r''))$
 unfolding *total-on-def*
 by *metis*
 hence
 $\forall a' a''. a' \in B \longrightarrow a'' \in B$
 $\longrightarrow a' = a'' \vee (a', a'') \in \text{limit } B \ r' \vee (a'', a') \in \text{limit } B \ r'$

```

    using limit-B
    by simp
  hence  $\forall a' \in B. b \in \text{above } r' a'$ 
    using limit-rel-presv-prefs pref-imp-in-above singletonD mem-Collect-eq
      lin-ord-r alt-b b-above b-pref-b subset-B-card b-in-B
    by (metis (lifting))
  hence  $\forall a' \in B. a' \preceq_{r'} b$ 
    unfolding above-def
    by simp
  hence  $b\text{-wins}: \forall a' \in B. (a', b) \in r'$ 
    by simp
  have  $\text{trans } r'$ 
    using lin-ord-r lin-imp-trans
    by metis
  hence  $\forall a' \in B. (a', a) \in r'$ 
    using transE b-smaller-a b-wins
    by metis
  hence  $\forall a' \in B. a' \preceq_{r'} a$ 
    by simp
  hence  $\text{nothing-above-a}: \forall a' \in A'. a' \preceq_{r'} a$ 
    using a lin-ord-r lin-ord-imp-connex above-connex Diff-iff empty-iff insert-iff
      pref-imp-in-above
    by metis
  have  $\forall a' \in A'. (a' \in \text{above } r' a) = (a' = a)$ 
    using lin-ord-r lin-imp-antisym nothing-above-a pref-imp-in-above CollectD
    unfolding antisym-def above-def
    by metis
  moreover have  $\text{above-a-in-A}: \text{above } r' a \subseteq A'$ 
  using lin-ord-r connex-imp-refl lin-ord-imp-connex mem-Collect-eq refl-on-domain
    unfolding above-def
    by fastforce
  ultimately have  $\text{above } r' a = \{a\}$ 
    using a
    unfolding above-def
    by blast
  thus ?thesis
    using above-a-in-A
    by blast
qed
qed
hence  $\exists a \in A. \text{above } r a = \{a\}$ 
  using fin-A non-empty-A lin-ord-r len-n-plus-one
  by blast
thus ?thesis
  using assms lin-ord-imp-connex pref-imp-in-above singletonD
  unfolding connex-def
  by metis
qed

```

lemma *above-one-eq*:
fixes
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**
 $a \ b :: 'a$
assumes
 $\text{lin-ord: linear-order-on } A \ r$ **and**
 $\text{fin-A: finite } A$ **and**
 $\text{not-empty-A: } A \neq \{\}$ **and**
 $\text{above-a: above } r \ a = \{a\}$ **and**
 $\text{above-b: above } r \ b = \{b\}$
shows $a = b$
proof –
have
 $a \preceq_r a$ **and**
 $b \preceq_r b$
using *above-a above-b singletonI pref-imp-in-above*
by (*metis, metis*)
moreover have
 $\exists a' \in A. \text{above } r \ a' = \{a'\} \wedge (\forall a'' \in A. \text{above } r \ a'' = \{a''\} \longrightarrow a'' = a')$
using *lin-ord fin-A not-empty-A*
by (*simp add: above-one*)
moreover have *connex* $A \ r$
using *lin-ord*
by (*simp add: lin-ord-imp-connex*)
ultimately show $a = b$
using *above-a above-b limited-dest*
unfolding *connex-def*
by *metis*
qed

lemma *above-one-imp-rank-one*:
fixes
 $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**
 $a :: 'a$
assumes $\text{above } r \ a = \{a\}$
shows $\text{rank } r \ a = 1$
using *assms*
by *simp*

lemma *rank-one-imp-above-one*:
fixes
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**
 $a :: 'a$
assumes
 $\text{lin-ord: linear-order-on } A \ r$ **and**
 $\text{rank-one: rank } r \ a = 1$
shows $\text{above } r \ a = \{a\}$

proof –
from *lin-ord*
have *refl-on A r*
using *linear-order-on-def partial-order-onD*
by *blast*
moreover from *assms*
have $a \in A$
unfolding *rank.simps above-def linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def*
preorder-on-def total-on-def
using *card-1-singletonE insertI1 mem-Collect-eq refl-onD1*
by *metis*
ultimately have $a \in \text{above } r \ a$
using *above-refl*
by *fastforce*
with *rank-one*
show $\text{above } r \ a = \{a\}$
using *card-1-singletonE rank.simps singletonD*
by *metis*
qed

theorem *above-rank*:
fixes
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**
 $a :: 'a$
assumes *linear-order-on A r*
shows $(\text{above } r \ a = \{a\}) = (\text{rank } r \ a = 1)$
using *assms above-one-imp-rank-one rank-one-imp-above-one*
by *metis*

lemma *rank-unique*:
fixes
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**
 $a \ b :: 'a$
assumes
lin-ord: linear-order-on A r and
fin-A: finite A and
a-in-A: a ∈ A and
b-in-A: b ∈ A and
a-neq-b: a ≠ b
shows $\text{rank } r \ a \neq \text{rank } r \ b$
proof (*unfold rank.simps above-def, clarify*)
assume *card-eq: card {a'. (a, a') ∈ r} = card {a'. (b, a') ∈ r}*
have *refl-r: refl-on A r*
using *lin-ord*
by (*simp add: lin-ord-imp-connex connex-imp-refl*)
hence *rel-refl-b: (b, b) ∈ r*
using *b-in-A*


```

    unfolding refl-on-def
    by (metis (no-types))
have rel-refl-a:  $(a, a) \in r$ 
    using a-in-A refl-r refl-onD
    by (metis (full-types))
obtain p :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
    rel-b:  $\forall y. p y = ((b, y) \in r)$ 
    using is-less-preferred-than.simps
    by metis
hence finite (Collect p)
    using refl-r refl-on-domain fin-A rev-finite-subset mem-Collect-eq subsetI
    by metis
hence finite  $\{a'. (b, a') \in r\}$ 
    using rel-b
    by (simp add: Collect-mono rev-finite-subset)
moreover from this
have finite  $\{a'. (a, a') \in r\}$ 
    using card-eq card-gt-0-iff rel-refl-b
    by force
moreover have trans r
    using lin-ord lin-imp-trans
    by metis
moreover have  $(a, b) \in r \vee (b, a) \in r$ 
    using lin-ord a-in-A b-in-A a-neq-b
    unfolding linear-order-on-def total-on-def
    by metis
ultimately have sets-eq:  $\{a'. (a, a') \in r\} = \{a'. (b, a') \in r\}$ 
    using card-eq above-trans card-seteq order-refl
    unfolding above-def
    by metis
hence  $(b, a) \in r$ 
    using rel-refl-a sets-eq
    by blast
hence  $(a, b) \notin r$ 
    using lin-ord lin-imp-antisym a-neq-b antisymD
    by metis
thus False
    using lin-ord partial-order-onD sets-eq b-in-A
    unfolding linear-order-on-def refl-on-def
    by blast
qed

```

```

lemma above-presv-limit:
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    r :: 'a Preference-Relation and
    a :: 'a
  shows above (limit A r) a  $\subseteq$  A
  unfolding above-def

```

by *auto*

1.2.5 Lifting Property

definition *equiv-rel-except-a* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a Preference-Relation \Rightarrow
 'a Preference-Relation \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool **where**
equiv-rel-except-a A r r' a \equiv
 linear-order-on A r \wedge linear-order-on A r' \wedge a \in A \wedge
 $(\forall a' \in A - \{a\}. \forall b' \in A - \{a\}. (a' \preceq_r b') = (a' \preceq_{r'} b'))$

definition *lifted* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a Preference-Relation \Rightarrow 'a Preference-Relation \Rightarrow
 'a \Rightarrow bool **where**
lifted A r r' a \equiv
 equiv-rel-except-a A r r' a \wedge $(\exists a' \in A - \{a\}. a \preceq_r a' \wedge a' \preceq_{r'} a)$

lemma *trivial-equiv-rel*:
fixes
 A :: 'a set **and**
 r :: 'a Preference-Relation
assumes linear-order-on A r
shows $\forall a \in A. \text{equiv-rel-except-a } A \text{ } r \text{ } r \text{ } a$
unfolding *equiv-rel-except-a-def*
using *assms*
by *simp*

lemma *lifted-imp-equiv-rel-except-a*:
fixes
 A :: 'a set **and**
 r r' :: 'a Preference-Relation **and**
 a :: 'a
assumes *lifted* A r r' a
shows *equiv-rel-except-a* A r r' a
using *assms*
unfolding *lifted-def equiv-rel-except-a-def*
by *simp*

lemma *lifted-imp-switched*:
fixes
 A :: 'a set **and**
 r r' :: 'a Preference-Relation **and**
 a :: 'a
assumes *lifted* A r r' a
shows $\forall a' \in A - \{a\}. \neg (a' \preceq_r a \wedge a \preceq_{r'} a')$
proof (*safe*)
fix b :: 'a
assume
 b-in-A: b \in A **and**
 b-neq-a: b \neq a **and**
 b-pref-a: b \preceq_r a **and**

```

  a-pref-b:  $a \preceq_{r'} b$ 
hence
  a-pref-b-rel:  $(a, b) \in r'$  and
  b-pref-a-rel:  $(b, a) \in r$ 
  by simp-all
have antisym r
  using assms lifted-imp-equiv-rel-except-a lin-imp-antisym
  unfolding equiv-rel-except-a-def
  by metis
hence imp-b-eq-a:  $(b, a) \in r \longrightarrow (a, b) \in r \longrightarrow b = a$ 
  unfolding antisym-def
  by simp
have  $\exists a' \in A - \{a\}. a \preceq_r a' \wedge a' \preceq_{r'} a$ 
  using assms
  unfolding lifted-def
  by metis
then obtain c :: 'a where
   $c \in A - \{a\} \wedge a \preceq_r c \wedge c \preceq_{r'} a$ 
  by metis
hence c-eq-r-s-exc-a:  $c \in A - \{a\} \wedge (a, c) \in r \wedge (c, a) \in r'$ 
  by simp
have equiv-r-s-exc-a: equiv-rel-except-a A r r' a
  using assms
  unfolding lifted-def
  by metis
hence  $\forall a' \in A - \{a\}. \forall b' \in A - \{a\}. ((a', b') \in r) = ((a', b') \in r')$ 
  unfolding equiv-rel-except-a-def
  by simp
moreover have  $\forall a' b' c'. (a', b') \in r \longrightarrow (b', c') \in r \longrightarrow (a', c') \in r$ 
  using equiv-r-s-exc-a
  unfolding equiv-rel-except-a-def linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def
  preorder-on-def trans-def
  by metis
ultimately have  $(b, c) \in r'$ 
  using b-in-A b-neq-a b-pref-a-rel c-eq-r-s-exc-a equiv-r-s-exc-a
  insertE insert-Diff
  unfolding equiv-rel-except-a-def
  by metis
hence  $(a, c) \in r'$ 
  using a-pref-b-rel b-pref-a-rel imp-b-eq-a b-neq-a equiv-r-s-exc-a
  lin-imp-trans transE
  unfolding equiv-rel-except-a-def
  by metis
thus False
  using c-eq-r-s-exc-a equiv-r-s-exc-a antisymD DiffD2 lin-imp-antisym singletonI
  unfolding equiv-rel-except-a-def
  by metis
qed

```

lemma *lifted-mono*:
fixes
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $r \ r' :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**
 $a \ a' :: 'a$
assumes
 $\text{lifted: lifted } A \ r \ r' \ a$ **and**
 $a'\text{-pref-}a: a' \preceq_r a$
shows $a' \preceq_{r'} a$
proof (*unfold is-less-preferred-than.simps*)
have $a'\text{-pref-}a\text{-rel}: (a', a) \in r$
using $a'\text{-pref-}a$
by *simp*
hence $a'\text{-in-}A: a' \in A$
using *lifted connex-imp-refl lin-ord-imp-connex refl-on-domain*
unfolding *equiv-rel-except-a-def lifted-def*
by *metis*
have $\text{rest-}eq: \forall \ b \in A - \{a\}. \forall \ b' \in A - \{a\}. ((b, b') \in r) = ((b, b') \in r')$
using *lifted*
unfolding *lifted-def equiv-rel-except-a-def*
by *simp*
have $\text{ex-}lifted: \exists \ b \in A - \{a\}. (a, b) \in r \wedge (b, a) \in r'$
using *lifted*
unfolding *lifted-def*
by *simp*
show $(a', a) \in r'$
proof (*cases a' = a*)
case *True*
thus *?thesis*
using *connex-imp-refl refl-onD lifted lin-ord-imp-connex*
unfolding *equiv-rel-except-a-def lifted-def*
by *metis*
next
case *False*
thus *?thesis*
using $a'\text{-pref-}a\text{-rel } a'\text{-in-}A \ \text{rest-}eq \ \text{ex-}lifted \ \text{insertE } \text{insert-Diff}$
 $\text{lifted lin-imp-trans lifted-imp-equiv-rel-except-a}$
unfolding *equiv-rel-except-a-def trans-def*
by *metis*
qed
qed

lemma *lifted-above-subset*:
fixes
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $r \ r' :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**
 $a :: 'a$
assumes $\text{lifted } A \ r \ r' \ a$
shows $\text{above } r' \ a \subseteq \text{above } r \ a$

```

proof (unfold above-def, safe)
  fix  $a' :: 'a$ 
  assume  $a\text{-pref-}x: (a, a') \in r'$ 
  from  $assms$ 
  have  $lifted\text{-}r: \exists b \in A - \{a\}. (a, b) \in r \wedge (b, a) \in r'$ 
    unfolding  $lifted\text{-}def$ 
    by  $simp$ 
  from  $assms$ 
  have  $rest\text{-}eq: \forall b \in A - \{a\}. \forall b' \in A - \{a\}. ((b, b') \in r) = ((b, b') \in r')$ 
    unfolding  $lifted\text{-}def\ equiv\text{-}rel\text{-}except\text{-}a\text{-}def$ 
    by  $simp$ 
  from  $assms$ 
  have  $trans\text{-}r: \forall b\ c\ d. (b, c) \in r \longrightarrow (c, d) \in r \longrightarrow (b, d) \in r$ 
    using  $lin\text{-}imp\text{-}trans$ 
    unfolding  $trans\text{-}def\ lifted\text{-}def\ equiv\text{-}rel\text{-}except\text{-}a\text{-}def$ 
    by  $metis$ 
  from  $assms$ 
  have  $trans\text{-}s: \forall b\ c\ d. (b, c) \in r' \longrightarrow (c, d) \in r' \longrightarrow (b, d) \in r'$ 
    using  $lin\text{-}imp\text{-}trans$ 
    unfolding  $trans\text{-}def\ lifted\text{-}def\ equiv\text{-}rel\text{-}except\text{-}a\text{-}def$ 
    by  $metis$ 
  from  $assms$ 
  have  $refl\text{-}r: (a, a) \in r$ 
    using  $connex\text{-}imp\text{-}refl\ lin\text{-}ord\text{-}imp\text{-}connex\ refl\text{-}onD$ 
    unfolding  $equiv\text{-}rel\text{-}except\text{-}a\text{-}def\ lifted\text{-}def$ 
    by  $metis$ 
  from  $a\text{-pref-}x\ assms$ 
  have  $a' \in A$ 
    using  $connex\text{-}imp\text{-}refl\ lin\text{-}ord\text{-}imp\text{-}connex\ refl\text{-}onD2$ 
    unfolding  $equiv\text{-}rel\text{-}except\text{-}a\text{-}def\ lifted\text{-}def$ 
    by  $metis$ 
  with  $a\text{-pref-}x\ lifted\text{-}r\ rest\text{-}eq\ trans\text{-}r\ trans\text{-}s\ refl\text{-}r$ 
  show  $(a, a') \in r$ 
    using  $Diff\text{-}iff\ singletonD$ 
    by ( $metis\ (full\text{-}types)$ )
qed

```

```

lemma  $lifted\text{-}above\text{-}mono$ :
  fixes
     $A :: 'a\ set$  and
     $r\ r' :: 'a\ Preference\text{-}Relation$  and
     $a\ a' :: 'a$ 
  assumes
     $lifted\text{-}a: lifted\ A\ r\ r'\ a$  and
     $a'\text{-in-}A\text{-sub-}a: a' \in A - \{a\}$ 
  shows  $above\ r\ a' \subseteq above\ r'\ a' \cup \{a\}$ 
proof (safe)
  fix  $b :: 'a$ 
  assume

```

$b\text{-in-above-}r$: $b \in \text{above } r \ a'$ **and**
 $b\text{-not-in-above-}s$: $b \notin \text{above } r' \ a'$
have $\forall \ b' \in A - \{a\}. (b' \in \text{above } r \ a') = (b' \in \text{above } r' \ a')$
using $a'\text{-in-}A\text{-sub-}a \ \text{lifted-}a$
unfolding $\text{lifted-def equiv-rel-except-}a\text{-def above-def}$
by simp
thus $b = a$
using $\text{lifted-}a \ b\text{-not-in-above-}s \ \text{limited-dest lin-ord-imp-connex}$
 $\text{member-remove pref-imp-in-above } b\text{-in-above-}r$
unfolding $\text{lifted-def equiv-rel-except-}a\text{-def remove-def connex-def}$
by metis
qed

lemma $\text{limit-lifted-imp-eq-or-lifted}$:
fixes
 $A \ A' :: 'a \ \text{set}$ **and**
 $r \ r' :: 'a \ \text{Preference-Relation}$ **and**
 $a :: 'a$
assumes
 $\text{lifted: lifted } A' \ r \ r' \ a$ **and**
 $\text{subset: } A \subseteq A'$
shows $\text{limit } A \ r = \text{limit } A \ r' \vee \text{lifted } A \ (\text{limit } A \ r) \ (\text{limit } A \ r') \ a$
proof –
have $\forall \ a' \in A - \{a\}. \forall \ b' \in A - \{a\}. (a' \preceq_r \ b') = (a' \preceq_{r'} \ b')$
using lifted subset
unfolding $\text{lifted-def equiv-rel-except-}a\text{-def}$
by auto
hence eql-rs :
 $\forall \ a' \in A - \{a\}. \forall \ b' \in A - \{a\}. ((a', b') \in (\text{limit } A \ r)) = ((a', b') \in (\text{limit } A \ r'))$
using $\text{DiffD1 limit-presv-prefs limit-rel-presv-prefs}$
by simp
have $\text{lin-ord-}r\text{-s: linear-order-on } A \ (\text{limit } A \ r) \wedge \text{linear-order-on } A \ (\text{limit } A \ r')$
using $\text{lifted subset lifted-def equiv-rel-except-}a\text{-def limit-presv-lin-ord}$
by metis
show $?thesis$
proof (cases)
assume $a\text{-in-}A$: $a \in A$
thus $?thesis$
proof (cases)
assume $\exists \ a' \in A - \{a\}. a \preceq_r \ a' \wedge a' \preceq_{r'} \ a$
thus $?thesis$
using $\text{DiffD1 limit-presv-prefs } a\text{-in-}A \ \text{eql-rs lin-ord-}r\text{-s}$
unfolding $\text{lifted-def equiv-rel-except-}a\text{-def}$
by simp
next
assume $\neg (\exists \ a' \in A - \{a\}. a \preceq_r \ a' \wedge a' \preceq_{r'} \ a)$
hence $\text{strict-pref-to-}a$: $\forall \ a' \in A - \{a\}. \neg (a \preceq_r \ a' \wedge a' \preceq_{r'} \ a)$
by simp

moreover have *not-worse*: $\forall a' \in A - \{a\}. \neg (a' \preceq_r a \wedge a \preceq_{r'} a')$
using *lifted subset lifted-imp-switched*
by *fastforce*
moreover have *connex*: $\text{connex } A (\text{limit } A \ r) \wedge \text{connex } A (\text{limit } A \ r')$
using *lifted subset limit-presv-lin-ord lin-ord-imp-connex*
unfolding *lifted-def equiv-rel-except-a-def*
by *metis*
moreover have
 $\forall A'' \ r''. \text{connex } A'' \ r'' =$
 $(\text{limited } A'' \ r''$
 $\wedge (\forall b \ b'. (b :: 'a) \in A'' \longrightarrow b' \in A'' \longrightarrow (b \preceq_{r''} b' \vee b' \preceq_{r''} b)))$
unfolding *connex-def*
by *(simp add: Ball-def-raw)*
hence *limit-rel-r*:
 $\text{limited } A (\text{limit } A \ r)$
 $\wedge (\forall b \ b'. b \in A \wedge b' \in A \longrightarrow (b, b') \in \text{limit } A \ r \vee (b', b) \in \text{limit } A \ r)$
using *connex*
by *simp*
have *limit-imp-rel*: $\forall b \ b' \ A'' \ r''. (b :: 'a, b') \in \text{limit } A'' \ r'' \longrightarrow b \preceq_{r''} b'$
using *limit-rel-presv-prefs*
by *metis*
have *limit-rel-s*:
 $\text{limited } A (\text{limit } A \ r')$
 $\wedge (\forall b \ b'. b \in A \wedge b' \in A \longrightarrow (b, b') \in \text{limit } A \ r' \vee (b', b) \in \text{limit } A \ r')$
using *connex*
unfolding *connex-def*
by *simp*
ultimately have
 $\forall a' \in A - \{a\}. a \preceq_r a' \wedge a \preceq_{r'} a' \vee a' \preceq_r a \wedge a' \preceq_{r'} a$
using *DiffD1 limit-rel-r limit-rel-presv-prefs a-in-A*
by *metis*
have $\forall a' \in A - \{a\}. ((a, a') \in (\text{limit } A \ r)) = ((a, a') \in (\text{limit } A \ r'))$
using *DiffD1 limit-imp-rel limit-rel-r limit-rel-s a-in-A*
strict-pref-to-a not-worse
by *metis*
hence
 $\forall a' \in A - \{a\}.$
 $(\text{let } q = \text{limit } A \ r \text{ in } a \preceq_q a') = (\text{let } q = \text{limit } A \ r' \text{ in } a \preceq_q a')$
by *simp*
moreover have
 $\forall a' \in A - \{a\}. ((a', a) \in (\text{limit } A \ r)) = ((a', a) \in (\text{limit } A \ r'))$
using *a-in-A strict-pref-to-a not-worse DiffD1 limit-rel-presv-prefs*
limit-rel-s limit-rel-r
by *metis*
moreover have $(a, a) \in (\text{limit } A \ r) \wedge (a, a) \in (\text{limit } A \ r')$
using *a-in-A connex connex-imp-refl refl-onD*
by *metis*
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *eql-rs*

```

      by auto
    qed
  next
    assume  $a \notin A$ 
    thus ?thesis
      using limit-to-limits limited-dest subrelI subset-antisym eql-rs
      by auto
    qed
  qed

```

lemma *negl-diff-imp-eq-limit*:

```

  fixes
     $A A' :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $r r' :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$  and
     $a :: 'a$ 
  assumes
    change: equiv-rel-except-a  $A' r r' a$  and
    subset:  $A \subseteq A'$  and
    not-in-A:  $a \notin A$ 
  shows  $\text{limit } A r = \text{limit } A r'$ 
  proof -
    have  $A \subseteq A' - \{a\}$ 
      unfolding subset-Diff-insert
      using not-in-A subset
      by simp
    hence  $\forall b \in A. \forall b' \in A. (b \preceq_r b') = (b \preceq_{r'} b')$ 
      using change in-mono
      unfolding equiv-rel-except-a-def
      by metis
    thus ?thesis
      by auto
  qed

```

theorem *lifted-above-winner-alts*:

```

  fixes
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $r r' :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$  and
     $a a' :: 'a$ 
  assumes
    lifted-a: lifted  $A r r' a$  and
    a'-above-a': above  $r a' = \{a'\}$  and
    fin-A: finite  $A$ 
  shows  $\text{above } r' a' = \{a'\} \vee \text{above } r' a = \{a\}$ 
  proof (cases)
    assume  $a = a'$ 
    thus ?thesis
      using above-subset-geq-one lifted-a a'-above-a' lifted-above-subset
      unfolding lifted-def equiv-rel-except-a-def
      by metis
  qed

```



```

next
  assume  $a\text{-neg-}a'$ :  $a \neq a'$ 
  thus ?thesis
proof (cases)
  assume  $\text{above } r' \ a' = \{a'\}$ 
  thus ?thesis
    by simp
next
  assume  $a'\text{-not-above-}a'$ :  $\text{above } r' \ a' \neq \{a'\}$ 
  have  $\forall a'' \in A. a'' \preceq_r a'$ 
proof (safe)
  fix  $b :: 'a$ 
  assume  $y\text{-in-}A$ :  $b \in A$ 
  hence  $A \neq \{\}$ 
    by blast
  moreover have  $\text{linear-order-on } A \ r$ 
    using lifted-a
  unfolding equiv-rel-except-a-def lifted-def
  by simp
  ultimately show  $b \preceq_r a'$ 
    using  $y\text{-in-}A \ a'\text{-above-}a' \ \text{lin-ord-imp-connex} \ \text{pref-imp-in-above} \ \text{singletonD} \ \text{limited-dest} \ \text{singletonI}$ 
  unfolding connex-def
  by (metis (no-types))
qed
moreover have  $\text{equiv-rel-except-a } A \ r \ r' \ a$ 
  using lifted-a
  unfolding lifted-def
  by metis
moreover have  $a' \in A - \{a\}$ 
  using  $a\text{-neg-}a'$  calculation member-remove
    limited-dest lin-ord-imp-connex
  using equiv-rel-except-a-def remove-def connex-def
  by metis
ultimately have  $\forall a'' \in A - \{a\}. a'' \preceq_r a'$ 
  using DiffD1 lifted-a
  unfolding equiv-rel-except-a-def
  by metis
hence  $\forall a'' \in A - \{a\}. \text{above } r' \ a'' \neq \{a''\}$ 
  using  $a'\text{-not-above-}a' \ \text{empty-iff} \ \text{insert-iff} \ \text{pref-imp-in-above}$ 
  by metis
hence  $\text{above } r' \ a = \{a\}$ 
  using Diff-iff all-not-in-conv lifted-a above-one singleton-iff fin-A
  unfolding lifted-def equiv-rel-except-a-def
  by metis
thus  $\text{above } r' \ a' = \{a'\} \vee \text{above } r' \ a = \{a\}$ 
  by simp
qed
qed

```

theorem *lifted-above-winner-single*:

fixes

$A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$r \ r' :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**

$a :: 'a$

assumes

lifted $A \ r \ r' \ a$ **and**

above $r \ a = \{a\}$ **and**

finite A

shows *above* $r' \ a = \{a\}$

using *assms lifted-above-winner-alts*

by *metis*

theorem *lifted-above-winner-other*:

fixes

$A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$r \ r' :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**

$a \ a' :: 'a$

assumes

lifted-a: *lifted* $A \ r \ r' \ a$ **and**

a'-above-a': *above* $r' \ a' = \{a'\}$ **and**

fin-A: *finite* A **and**

a-not-a': $a \neq a'$

shows *above* $r \ a' = \{a'\}$

proof (*rule ccontr*)

assume *not-above-x*: *above* $r \ a' \neq \{a'\}$

then obtain $b :: 'a$ **where**

b-above-b: *above* $r \ b = \{b\}$

using *lifted-a fin-A insert-Diff insert-not-empty above-one*

unfolding *lifted-def equiv-rel-except-a-def*

by *metis*

hence *above* $r' \ b = \{b\} \vee \text{above } r' \ a = \{a\}$

using *lifted-a fin-A lifted-above-winner-alts*

by *metis*

moreover have $\forall \ a''. \text{above } r' \ a'' = \{a''\} \longrightarrow a'' = a'$

using *all-not-in-conv lifted-a a'-above-a' fin-A above-one-eq*

unfolding *lifted-def equiv-rel-except-a-def*

by *metis*

ultimately have $b = a'$

using *a-not-a'*

by *presburger*

moreover have $b \neq a'$

using *not-above-x b-above-b*

by *blast*

ultimately show *False*

by *simp*

qed

end

1.3 Norm

```
theory Norm
  imports HOL-Library.Extended-Real
           HOL-Combinatorics.List-Permutation
           Auxiliary-Lemmas
begin
```

A norm on R to n is a mapping $N: R \mapsto n$ on R that has the following properties for all mappings u (and v) in R to n :

- positive scalability: $N(a * u) = |a| * N(u)$ for all a in R .
- positive semidefiniteness: $N(u) \geq 0$ with $N(u) = 0$ if and only if $u = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$.
- triangle inequality: $N(u + v) \leq N(u) + N(v)$.

1.3.1 Definition

```
type-synonym Norm = ereal list  $\Rightarrow$  ereal
```

```
definition norm :: Norm  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  norm n  $\equiv \forall x :: \text{ereal list}. n\ x \geq 0 \wedge (\forall i < \text{length } x. x!i = 0 \longrightarrow n\ x = 0)$ 
```

1.3.2 Auxiliary Lemmas

```
lemma sum-over-image-of-bijection:
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    A' :: 'b set and
    f :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b and
    g :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  ereal
  assumes bij-betw f A A'
  shows  $(\sum a \in A. g\ a) = (\sum a' \in A'. g\ (\text{the-inv-into } A\ f\ a'))$ 
  using assms
proof (induction card A arbitrary: A A')
  case 0
  thus ?case
    using bij-betw-same-card card-0-eq sum.empty sum.infinite
    by metis
next
  case (Suc x)
  fix
```

```

  A :: 'a set and
  A' :: 'b set and
  x :: nat
assume
  suc-x: Suc x = card A and
  bij-A-A': bij-betw f A A'
hence card-A'-from-x: card A' = Suc x
  using bij-betw-same-card
  by metis
have x-lt-card-A: x < card A
  using suc-x
  by presburger
obtain a :: 'a where
  a-in-A: a ∈ A
  using suc-x card-eq-SucD insertI1
  by metis
hence a-compl-A: insert a (A - {a}) = A
  using insert-absorb
  by simp
hence
  inj-on-A: inj-on f A and
  img-of-A: A' = f ` A
  using bij-A-A'
  unfolding bij-betw-def
  by (simp, simp)
hence inj-on f (insert a A)
  using a-compl-A
  by simp
hence A'-sub-fa: A' - {f a} = f ` (A - {a})
  using img-of-A
  by blast
hence bij-without-a: bij-betw f (A - {a}) (A' - {f a})
  using inj-on-A a-compl-A inj-on-insert
  unfolding bij-betw-def
  by (metis (no-types))
moreover have card-without-a: card (A - {a}) = x
  using suc-x a-in-A
  by simp
ultimately have card-A'-sub-f-eq-x: card (A' - {f a}) = x
  using bij-betw-same-card
  by metis
have (∑ a ∈ A. g a) = (∑ a ∈ A - {a}. g a) + g a
  using x-lt-card-A add.commute card-Diff1-less-iff card-without-a
    insert-Diff insert-Diff-single sum.insert-remove
  by (metis (no-types))
also have ... = (∑ a' ∈ A' - {f a}. g (the-inv-into A f a'))
  + g (the-inv-into A f (f a))
  using bij-without-a a-in-A bij-A-A' bij-betw-imp-inj-on the-inv-into-f-f
    A'-sub-fa DiffD1 sum.reindex-cong

```

by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*))
 finally show $(\sum a \in A. g\ a) = (\sum a' \in A'. g\ (the-inv-into\ A\ f\ a'))$
 using *add.commute card-Diff1-less-iff insert-Diff insert-Diff-single lessI*
 sum.insert-remove card-A'-from-x card-A'-sub-f-eq-x
 by *metis*
 qed

1.3.3 Common Norms

fun *l-one* :: *Norm* **where**
 l-one *x* = $(\sum i < length\ x. |x[i]|)$

1.3.4 Properties

definition *symmetry* :: *Norm* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
 symmetry *n* $\equiv \forall\ x\ y. x <\sim\sim> y \longrightarrow n\ x = n\ y$

1.3.5 Theorems

theorem *l-one-is-sym*: *symmetry* *l-one*
proof (*unfold symmetry-def*, *safe*)
 fix *l l'* :: *ereal list*
 assume *perm*: $l <\sim\sim> l'$
 then obtain $\pi :: nat \Rightarrow nat$
 where
 perm $_{\pi}$: π *permutes* $\{..< length\ l\}$ **and**
 l $_{\pi}$: *permute-list* $\pi\ l = l'$
 using *mset-eq-permutation*
 by *metis*
 hence $(\sum i < length\ l. |l[i]|) = (\sum i < length\ l. |l[(\pi\ i)]|)$
 using *permute-list-nth*
 by *fastforce*
 also have $\dots = (\sum i = 0 ..< length\ l. |l[(\pi\ i)]|)$
 using *lessThan-atLeast0*
 by *presburger*
 also have $(\lambda\ i. |l[(\pi\ i)]|) = ((\lambda\ i. |l[i]|) \circ \pi)$
 by *fastforce*
 also have $(\sum y = 0 ..< length\ l. ((\lambda\ i. |l[i]|) \circ \pi)\ y) =$
 $(\sum i = 0 ..< length\ l. |l[i]|)$
 using *perm $_{\pi}$ atLeast-upt set-upt sum.permute*
 by *metis*
 also have $\dots = (\sum i < length\ l. |l[i]|)$
 using *atLeast0LessThan*
 by *presburger*
 finally have $(\sum i < length\ l. |l[i]|) = (\sum i < length\ l. |l[i]|)$
 by *metis*
 moreover have $length\ l = length\ l'$
 using *perm perm-length*
 by *metis*
 ultimately show $l-one\ l = l-one\ l'$

```

    using l-one.elims
    by metis
qed

end

```

1.4 Electoral Result

```

theory Result
  imports Main
begin

```

An electoral result is the principal result type of the composable modules voting framework, as it is a generalization of the set of winning alternatives from social choice functions. Electoral results are selections of the received (possibly empty) set of alternatives into the three disjoint groups of elected, rejected and deferred alternatives. Any of those sets, e.g., the set of winning (elected) alternatives, may also be left empty, as long as they collectively still hold all the received alternatives.

1.4.1 Auxiliary Functions

```

type-synonym 'r Result = 'r set * 'r set * 'r set

```

A partition of a set A are pairwise disjoint sets that "set equals partition" A. For this specific predicate, we have three disjoint sets in a three-tuple.

```

fun disjoint3 :: 'r Result  $\Rightarrow$  bool where

```

```

  disjoint3 (e, r, d) =
    ((e  $\cap$  r = {})  $\wedge$ 
     (e  $\cap$  d = {})  $\wedge$ 
     (r  $\cap$  d = {}))

```

```

fun set-equals-partition :: 'r set  $\Rightarrow$  'r Result  $\Rightarrow$  bool where

```

```

  set-equals-partition X (e, r, d) = (e  $\cup$  r  $\cup$  d = X)

```

1.4.2 Definition

A result generally is related to the alternative set A (of type 'a). A result should be well-formed on the alternatives. Also it should be possible to limit a well-formed result to a subset of the alternatives.

Specific result types like social choice results (sets of alternatives) can be realized via sublocales of the result locale.

```

locale result =
  fixes
    well-formed :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  ('r Result)  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
    limit :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'r set  $\Rightarrow$  'r set
  assumes  $\forall$  (A :: 'a set) (r :: 'r Result).
    (set-equals-partition (limit A UNIV) r  $\wedge$  disjoint3 r)  $\longrightarrow$  well-formed A r

```

These three functions return the elect, reject, or defer set of a result.

```

fun (in result) limit $\mathcal{R}$  :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'r Result  $\Rightarrow$  'r Result where
  limit $\mathcal{R}$  A (e, r, d) = (limit A e, limit A r, limit A d)

```

```

abbreviation elect-r :: 'r Result  $\Rightarrow$  'r set where
  elect-r r  $\equiv$  fst r

```

```

abbreviation reject-r :: 'r Result  $\Rightarrow$  'r set where
  reject-r r  $\equiv$  fst (snd r)

```

```

abbreviation defer-r :: 'r Result  $\Rightarrow$  'r set where
  defer-r r  $\equiv$  snd (snd r)

```

```

end

```

1.5 Preference Profile

```

theory Profile
  imports Preference-Relation
    Auxiliary-Lemmas
    HOL-Library.Extended-Nat
    HOL-Combinatorics.Permutations
  begin

```

Preference profiles denote the decisions made by the individual voters on the eligible alternatives. They are represented in the form of one preference relation (e.g., selected on a ballot) per voter, collectively captured in a mapping of voters onto their respective preference relations. If there are finitely many voters, they can be enumerated and the mapping can be interpreted as a list of preference relations. Unlike the common preference profiles in the social-choice sense, the profiles described here consider only the (sub-)set of alternatives that are received.

1.5.1 Definition

A profile contains one ballot for each voter. An election consists of a set of participating voters, a set of eligible alternatives, and a corresponding profile.

type-synonym $(\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Profile} = \text{'v} \Rightarrow (\text{'a} \text{ Preference-Relation})$

type-synonym $(\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election} = \text{'a} \text{ set} \times \text{'v} \text{ set} \times (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Profile}$

fun $\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} :: (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election} \Rightarrow \text{'a} \text{ set}$ **where**
 $\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E = \text{fst } E$

fun $\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} :: (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election} \Rightarrow \text{'v} \text{ set}$ **where**
 $\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E = \text{fst } (\text{snd } E)$

fun $\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} :: (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election} \Rightarrow (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Profile}$ **where**
 $\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E = \text{snd } (\text{snd } E)$

fun $\text{election-equality} :: (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election} \Rightarrow (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{election-equality} \ (A, V, p) \ (A', V', p') =$
 $(A = A' \wedge V = V' \wedge (\forall v \in V. p \ v = p' \ v))$

A profile on a set of alternatives A and a voter set V consists of ballots that are linear orders on A for all voters in V. A finite profile is one with finitely many alternatives and voters.

definition $\text{profile} :: \text{'v} \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{'a} \text{ set} \Rightarrow (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Profile} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{profile} \ V \ A \ p \equiv \forall v \in V. \text{linear-order-on } A \ (p \ v)$

abbreviation $\text{finite-profile} :: \text{'v} \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{'a} \text{ set} \Rightarrow (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Profile} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{finite-profile} \ V \ A \ p \equiv \text{finite } A \wedge \text{finite } V \wedge \text{profile } V \ A \ p$

abbreviation $\text{finite-election} :: (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{finite-election} \ E \equiv \text{finite-profile} \ (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ (\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E)$

definition $\text{finite-elections-}\mathcal{V} :: (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election set}$ **where**
 $\text{finite-elections-}\mathcal{V} \equiv \{E :: (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election}. \text{finite } (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E)\}$

definition $\text{finite-elections} :: (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election set}$ **where**
 $\text{finite-elections} \equiv \{E :: (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election}. \text{finite-election } E\}$

definition $\text{well-formed-elections} :: (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election set}$ **where**
 $\text{well-formed-elections} \equiv \{E. \text{profile } (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ (\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E)\}$

— This function subsumes elections with fixed alternatives, finite voters, and a default value for the profile value on non-voters.

fun $\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} :: \text{'a} \text{ set} \Rightarrow (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election set}$ **where**
 $\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \ A =$
 $\text{well-formed-elections}$

$$\cap \{E. \text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E = A \wedge \text{finite} \ (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \\ \wedge (\forall v. v \notin \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E \longrightarrow \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = \{\})\}$$

— Here, we count the occurrences of a ballot in an election, i.e., how many voters specifically chose that exact ballot.

fun *vote-count* :: 'a *Preference-Relation* \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) *Election* \Rightarrow nat **where**
vote-count *p* *E* = card {*v* \in (*voters- \mathcal{E}* *E*). (*profile- \mathcal{E}* *E*) *v* = *p*}

1.5.2 Vote Count

lemma *vote-count-sum*:

fixes *E* :: ('a, 'v) *Election*

assumes

finite (*voters- \mathcal{E}* *E*) **and**

finite (*UNIV* :: ('a \times 'a) *set*)

shows ($\sum p \in \text{UNIV}. \text{vote-count } p \ E$) = card (*voters- \mathcal{E}* *E*)

proof (*unfold vote-count.simps*)

have $\forall p. \text{finite} \ \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\}$

using *assms*

by *force*

moreover **have** *disjoint* $\{\{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV}\}$

unfolding *disjoint-def*

by *blast*

moreover **have** *partition*:

voters- \mathcal{E} *E* = $\bigcup \{\{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV}\}$

using *Union-eq*

by *blast*

ultimately **have** *card-eq-sum'*:

card (*voters- \mathcal{E}* *E*) =

sum *card* $\{\{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV}\}$

using *card-Union-disjoint*[*of*

$\{\{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV}\}$]

by *auto*

have *finite* $\{\{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV}\}$

using *partition assms*

by (*simp add: finite-UnionD*)

moreover **have**

$\{\{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV}\} =$

$\{\{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\}$

$\mid p. p \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}\}$

$\cup \{\{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\}$

$\mid p. p \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} = \{\}\}$

by *blast*

moreover **have**

$\{\} =$

$\{\{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\}$

$\mid p. p \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}\}$

$\cap \{\{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\}$

$\mid p. p \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} = \{\}\}$

by *blast*
 ultimately have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{sum card } \{\{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV}\} = \\ & \quad \text{sum card } \{\{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \\ & \quad \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}\} \\ & + \text{sum card } \{\{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \\ & \quad \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} = \{\}\} \\ & \text{using } \text{sum.union-disjoint[of} \\ & \quad \{\{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \\ & \quad \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}\} \\ & \quad \{\{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \\ & \quad \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} = \{\}\}\} \\ & \text{by } \text{simp} \\ & \text{moreover have} \\ & \quad \forall X \in \{\{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \\ & \quad \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} = \{\}\}. \\ & \quad \text{card } X = 0 \\ & \text{using } \text{card-eq-0-iff} \\ & \text{by } \text{fastforce} \\ & \text{ultimately have } \text{card-eq-sum:} \\ & \quad \text{card } (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E) = \\ & \quad \text{sum card } \{\{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \\ & \quad \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}\} \\ & \text{using } \text{card-eq-sum'} \\ & \text{by } \text{simp} \\ & \text{have} \\ & \quad \text{inj-on } (\lambda p. \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\}) \\ & \quad \{p. \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}\} \\ & \text{unfolding } \text{inj-on-def} \\ & \text{by } \text{blast} \\ & \text{moreover have} \\ & \quad (\lambda p. \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\}) \\ & \quad ' \{p. \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}\} \\ & \quad \subseteq \{\{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \\ & \quad \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}\} \\ & \text{by } \text{blast} \\ & \text{moreover have} \\ & \quad (\lambda p. \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\}) \\ & \quad ' \{p. \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}\} \\ & \quad \supseteq \{\{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \\ & \quad \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}\} \\ & \text{by } \text{blast} \\ & \text{ultimately have} \\ & \quad \text{bij-betw } (\lambda p. \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\}) \\ & \quad \{p. \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}\} \\ & \quad \{\{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \\ & \quad \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}\} \\ & \text{unfolding } \text{bij-betw-def} \\ & \text{by } \text{simp}
 \end{aligned}$$

hence *sum-rewrite*:

$$(\sum x \in \{p. \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}\}. \text{card } \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = x\}) =$$

$$\text{sum card } \{\{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}\}$$
using *sum-comp*[of

$$\lambda p. \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \text{ - - card}]$$
unfolding *comp-def*
by *simp*
have $\{p. \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} = \{\}\}$
 $\cap \{p. \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}\} = \{\}$
by *blast*
moreover have
 $\{p. \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} = \{\}\}$
 $\cup \{p. \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}\} = \text{UNIV}$
by *blast*
ultimately have

$$(\sum p \in \text{UNIV}. \text{card } \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\}) =$$

$$(\sum x \in \{p. \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}\}. \text{card } \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = x\})$$

$$+ (\sum x \in \{p. \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} = \{\}\}. \text{card } \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = x\})$$
using *assms*

$$\text{sum.union-disjoint[of}$$

$$\{p. \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} = \{\}\}$$

$$\{p. \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}\}]$$
using *Finite-Set.finite-set add commute finite-Un*
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting)*)
moreover have
 $\forall x \in \{p. \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} = \{\}\}. \text{card } \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = x\} = 0$
using *card-eq-0-iff*
by *fastforce*
ultimately show

$$(\sum p \in \text{UNIV}. \text{card } \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\}) =$$

$$\text{card } (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E)$$
using *card-eq-sum sum-rewrite*
by *simp*
qed

1.5.3 Voter Permutations

A common action of interest on elections is renaming the voters, e.g., when talking about anonymity.

fun *rename* :: $('v \Rightarrow 'v) \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Election} \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}$ **where**

$$\text{rename } \pi \ (A, V, p) = (A, \pi \text{ ` } V, p \circ (\text{the-inv } \pi))$$

lemma *rename-sound*:
fixes

```

  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  π :: 'v ⇒ 'v
assumes
  prof: profile V A p and
  renamed: (A, V', q) = rename π (A, V, p) and
  bij-perm: bij π
shows profile V' A q
proof (unfold profile-def, safe)
  fix v' :: 'v
  assume v' ∈ V'
  moreover have V' = π ` V
    using renamed
  by simp
  ultimately have ((the-inv π) v') ∈ V
    using UNIV-I bij-perm bij-is-inj bij-is-surj
      f-the-inv-into-f inj-image-mem-iff
  by metis
  thus linear-order-on A (q v')
    using renamed bij-perm prof
    unfolding profile-def
    by simp
qed

```

```

lemma rename-prof:
fixes
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  π :: 'v ⇒ 'v
assumes
  profile V A p and
  (A, V', q) = rename π (A, V, p) and
  bij π
shows profile V' A q
using assms rename-sound
by metis

```

```

lemma rename-finite:
fixes
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  π :: 'v ⇒ 'v
assumes
  finite V and
  (A, V', q) = rename π (A, V, p) and
  bij π

```

```

shows finite V'
using assms
by simp

lemma rename-inv:
  fixes
     $\pi :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$  and
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
  assumes bij  $\pi$ 
  shows rename  $\pi$  (rename (the-inv  $\pi$ ) (A, V, p)) = (A, V, p)
proof -
  have rename  $\pi$  (rename (the-inv  $\pi$ ) (A, V, p)) =
    (A,  $\pi \text{ ` (the-inv } \pi \text{) ` V, } p \circ (\text{the-inv (the-inv } \pi \text{)}) \circ (\text{the-inv } \pi \text{)})$ )
  by simp
  moreover have  $\pi \text{ ` (the-inv } \pi \text{) ` V = V$ 
  using assms
  by (simp add: f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw image-comp)
  moreover have (the-inv (the-inv  $\pi$ )) =  $\pi$ 
  using assms surj-def inj-on-the-inv-into surj-imp-inv-eq the-inv-f-f
  unfolding bij-betw-def
  by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting))
  moreover have  $\pi \circ (\text{the-inv } \pi) = \text{id}$ 
  using assms f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw
  by fastforce
  ultimately show rename  $\pi$  (rename (the-inv  $\pi$ ) (A, V, p)) = (A, V, p)
  by (simp add: rewriteR-comp-comp)
qed

lemma rename-inj:
  fixes  $\pi :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$ 
  assumes bij  $\pi$ 
  shows inj (rename  $\pi$ )
proof (unfold inj-def split-paired-All rename.simps, safe)
  fix
     $A A' :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V V' :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
     $v :: 'v$ 
  assume
     $p \circ \text{the-inv } \pi = p' \circ \text{the-inv } \pi$  and
     $\pi \text{ ` V = } \pi \text{ ` V'}$ 
  thus
     $v \in V \implies v \in V'$  and
     $v \in V' \implies v \in V$  and
     $p = p'$ 
  using assms
  by (metis bij-betw-imp-inj-on inj-image-eq-iff,

```

$\text{metis bij-betw-imp-inj-on inj-image-eq-iff,}$
 $\text{metis bij-betw-the-inv-into bij-is-surj surj-fun-eq)}$
qed

lemma *rename-surj*:
fixes $\pi :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$
assumes *bij* π
shows
 $\text{rename } \pi \text{ ` well-formed-elections = well-formed-elections and}$
 $\text{rename } \pi \text{ ` finite-elections = finite-elections}$
proof (*safe*)
fix
 $A \ A' :: 'a \text{ set and}$
 $V \ V' :: 'v \text{ set and}$
 $p \ p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$
assume *wf*: $(A, V, p) \in \text{well-formed-elections}$
hence $\text{rename } (\text{the-inv } \pi) (A, V, p) \in \text{well-formed-elections}$
using *assms bij-betw-the-inv-into rename-sound*
unfolding *well-formed-elections-def*
by *fastforce*
thus $(A, V, p) \in \text{rename } \pi \text{ ` well-formed-elections}$
using *assms image-eqI rename-inv*
by *metis*
assume $(A', V', p') = \text{rename } \pi (A, V, p)$
thus $(A', V', p') \in \text{well-formed-elections}$
using *rename-sound wf assms*
unfolding *well-formed-elections-def*
by *fastforce*
next
fix
 $A \ A' :: 'b \text{ set and}$
 $V \ V' :: 'v \text{ set and}$
 $p \ p' :: ('b, 'v) \text{ Profile}$
assume *finite*: $(A, V, p) \in \text{finite-elections}$
hence $\text{rename } (\text{the-inv } \pi) (A, V, p) \in \text{finite-elections}$
using *assms bij-betw-the-inv-into rename-prof rename-finite*
unfolding *finite-elections-def*
by *fastforce*
thus $(A, V, p) \in \text{rename } \pi \text{ ` finite-elections}$
using *assms image-eqI rename-inv*
by *metis*
assume $(A', V', p') = \text{rename } \pi (A, V, p)$
thus $(A', V', p') \in \text{finite-elections}$
using *rename-sound finite assms*
unfolding *finite-elections-def*
by *fastforce*
qed

1.5.4 List Representation

A profile on a voter set that has a natural order can be viewed as a list of ballots.

```
fun to-list :: 'v :: linorder set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Profile  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ('a Preference-Relation) list where
  to-list V p = (if (finite V)
                  then (map p (sorted-list-of-set V))
                  else [])
```

lemma map-helper:

```
fixes
  f :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  'y  $\Rightarrow$  'z and
  g :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  'x and
  h :: 'y  $\Rightarrow$  'y and
  l :: 'x list and
  l' :: 'y list
shows map2 f (map g l) (map h l') = map2 ( $\lambda$  x y. f (g x) (h y)) l l'
proof -
  have map2 f (map g l) (map h l') =
    map ( $\lambda$  (x, y). f x y) (map ( $\lambda$  (x, y). (g x, h y)) (zip l l'))
  using zip-map-map
  by metis
  thus ?thesis
  by force
qed
```

lemma to-list-simp:

```
fixes
  i :: nat and
  V :: 'v :: linorder set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
assumes i < card V
shows (to-list V p)!i = p ((sorted-list-of-set V)!i)
using assms
by force
```

lemma to-list-comp:

```
fixes
  V :: 'v :: linorder set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  f :: 'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  'a rel
shows to-list V (f  $\circ$  p) = map f (to-list V p)
by simp
```

lemma set-card-upper-bound:

```
fixes
  i :: nat and
  V :: nat set
```

```

assumes
  fin-V: finite V and
  bound-v:  $\forall v \in V. v < i$ 
shows card V  $\leq i$ 
proof (cases V = {})
  case True
  thus ?thesis
    by simp
next
  case False
  hence Max V  $\in V$ 
    using fin-V
    by simp
  thus ?thesis
    using assms Suc-leI card-le-Suc-Max order-trans
    by metis
qed

lemma sorted-list-of-set-nth-equals-card:
fixes
  V :: 'v :: linorder set and
  x :: 'v
assumes
  fin-V: finite V and
  x-V: x  $\in V$ 
shows sorted-list-of-set V!(card {v  $\in V. v < x$ ) = x
proof –
  let ?c = card {v  $\in V. v < x$  and
    ?set =  $\{v \in V. v < x\}$ 
  have  $\forall v \in V. \exists n. n < \text{card } V \wedge (\text{sorted-list-of-set } V!n) = v$ 
    using length-sorted-list-of-set sorted-list-of-set-unique in-set-conv-nth fin-V
    by metis
  then obtain  $\varphi :: 'v \Rightarrow \text{nat}$  where
    index-φ:  $\forall v \in V. \varphi v < \text{card } V \wedge (\text{sorted-list-of-set } V!(\varphi v)) = v$ 
    by metis
  –  $\varphi x = ?c$ , i.e.,  $\varphi x \geq ?c$  and  $\varphi x \leq ?c$ 
  let ?i =  $\varphi x$ 
  have inj-φ: inj-on  $\varphi$  V
    using inj-onI index-φ
    by metis
  have  $\forall v \in V. \forall v' \in V. v < v' \longrightarrow \varphi v < \varphi v'$ 
    using leD linorder-le-less-linear sorted-list-of-set-unique
      sorted-sorted-list-of-set sorted-nth-mono fin-V index-φ
    by metis
  hence  $\forall j \in \{\varphi v \mid v. v \in ?set\}. j < ?i$ 
    using x-V
    by blast
  moreover have fin-img: finite ?set
    using fin-V

```


by *simp*
 ultimately have $?i \geq \text{card } \{\varphi v \mid v. v \in ?set\}$
 using *set-card-upper-bound*
 by *simp*
 hence *geg*: $?c \leq ?i$
 using *inj-φ*
 by (*simp add: card-image inj-on-subset setcompr-eq-image*)
 have *sorted-φ*:
 $\forall i < \text{card } V. \forall j < \text{card } V. i < j$
 $\longrightarrow (\text{sorted-list-of-set } V!i) < (\text{sorted-list-of-set } V!j)$
 by (*simp add: sorted-wrt-nth-less*)
 have *leg*: $?i \leq ?c$
 proof (*rule ccontr, cases ?c < card V*)
 case *True*
 let $?A = \lambda j. \{\text{sorted-list-of-set } V!j\}$
 assume $\neg ?i \leq ?c$
 hence $?c < ?i$
 by *simp*
 hence $\forall j \leq ?c. \text{sorted-list-of-set } V!j \in V \wedge \text{sorted-list-of-set } V!j < x$
 using *sorted-φ geg index-φ x-V fin-V set-sorted-list-of-set*
length-sorted-list-of-set nth-mem order.strict-trans1
 by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting)*)
 hence $\{\text{sorted-list-of-set } V!j \mid j. j \leq ?c\} \subseteq \{v \in V. v < x\}$
 by *blast*
 also have $\{\text{sorted-list-of-set } V!j \mid j. j \leq ?c\} =$
 $\{\text{sorted-list-of-set } V!j \mid j. j \in \{0 ..< (?c + 1)\}\}$
 using *add commute*
 by *auto*
 also have $\dots = (\bigcup j \in \{0 ..< (?c + 1)\}. \{\text{sorted-list-of-set } V!j\})$
 by *blast*
 finally have *subset*: $(\bigcup j \in \{0 ..< (?c + 1)\}. ?A j) \subseteq \{v \in V. v < x\}$
 by *simp*
 have $\forall i \leq ?c. \forall j \leq ?c.$
 $i \neq j \longrightarrow \text{sorted-list-of-set } V!i \neq \text{sorted-list-of-set } V!j$
 using *True*
 by (*simp add: nth-eq-iff-index-eq*)
 hence $\forall i \in \{0 ..< (?c + 1)\}. \forall j \in \{0 ..< (?c + 1)\}.$
 $(i \neq j \longrightarrow \{\text{sorted-list-of-set } V!i\} \cap \{\text{sorted-list-of-set } V!j\} = \{\})$
 by *fastforce*
 hence *disjoint-family-on* $?A \{0 ..< (?c + 1)\}$
 unfolding *disjoint-family-on-def*
 by *simp*
 moreover have $\forall j \in \{0 ..< (?c + 1)\}. \text{card } (?A j) = 1$
 by *simp*
 ultimately have
 $\text{card } (\bigcup j \in \{0 ..< (?c + 1)\}. ?A j) = (\sum j \in \{0 ..< (?c + 1)\}. 1)$
 using *card-UN-disjoint'*
 by *fastforce*
 hence $\text{card } (\bigcup j \in \{0 ..< (?c + 1)\}. ?A j) = ?c + 1$

```

    by simp
  hence ?c + 1 ≤ ?c
    using subset card-mono fin-img
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  thus False
    by simp
next
  case False
  thus False
    using x-V index-φ geq order-le-less-trans
    by blast
qed
thus ?thesis
  using geq leq x-V index-φ
  by simp
qed

lemma to-list-permutes-under-bij:
  fixes
    π :: 'v :: linorder ⇒ 'v and
    V :: 'v set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
  assumes bij π
  shows
    let φ = λ i. card {v ∈ π ' V. v < π ((sorted-list-of-set V)!i)}
    in (to-list V p) = permute-list φ (to-list (π ' V) (λ x. p (the-inv π x)))
proof (cases finite V)
  case False
  — If V is infinite, both lists are empty.
  hence to-list V p = []
    by simp
  moreover have infinite (π ' V)
    using False assms bij-betw-finite bij-betw-subset top-greatest
    by metis
  hence to-list (π ' V) (λ x. p (the-inv π x)) = []
    by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by simp
next
  case True
  let
    ?q = λ x. p (the-inv π x) and
    ?img = π ' V and
    ?n = length (to-list V p) and
    ?perm = λ i. card {v ∈ π ' V. v < π ((sorted-list-of-set V)!i)}
  — These are auxiliary statements equating everything with ?n.
  have card-eq: card ?img = card V
    using assms bij-betw-same-card bij-betw-subset top-greatest
    by metis

```

also have *card-length-V*: $?n = \dots$
by *simp*
also have *card-length-img*: $\text{length } (\text{to-list } ?img \ ?q) = \text{card } ?img$
using *True*
by *simp*
finally have *eq-length*: $\text{length } (\text{to-list } ?img \ ?q) = ?n$
by *simp*
show *?thesis*
proof (*unfold Let-def permute-list-def, rule nth-equalityI*)
— The lists have equal lengths.
show
 $\text{length } (\text{to-list } V \ p) =$
 $\text{length } (\text{map}$
 $(\lambda \ i. \ \text{to-list } ?img \ ?q!(\text{card } \{v \in ?img. \ v < \pi \ (\text{sorted-list-of-set } V!i)\}))$
 $\ [0 \ ..< \ \text{length } (\text{to-list } ?img \ ?q)])$
using *eq-length*
by *simp*
next
— The i th entries of the lists coincide.
fix $i :: \text{nat}$
assume *in-bnds*: $i < ?n$
let $?c = \text{card } \{v \in ?img. \ v < \pi \ (\text{sorted-list-of-set } V!i)\}$
have $\text{map } (\lambda \ i. \ (\text{to-list } ?img \ ?q)! ?c) \ [0 \ ..< \ ?n]!i =$
 $p \ ((\text{sorted-list-of-set } V)!i)$
proof —
have $\forall \ v. \ v \in ?img \longrightarrow \{v' \in ?img. \ v' < v\} \subseteq ?img - \{v\}$
by *blast*
moreover have *elem-of-img*: $\pi \ (\text{sorted-list-of-set } V!i) \in ?img$
using *True in-bnds image-eqI nth-mem card-length-V*
 $\text{length-sorted-list-of-set set-sorted-list-of-set}$
by *metis*
ultimately have
 $\{v \in ?img. \ v < \pi \ (\text{sorted-list-of-set } V!i)\}$
 $\subseteq ?img - \{\pi \ (\text{sorted-list-of-set } V!i)\}$
by *simp*
hence $\{v \in ?img. \ v < \pi \ (\text{sorted-list-of-set } V!i)\} \subset ?img$
using *elem-of-img*
by *blast*
moreover have *img-card-eq-V-length*: $\text{card } ?img = ?n$
using *card-eq card-length-V*
by *presburger*
ultimately have *card-in-bnds*: $?c < ?n$
using *True finite-imageI psubset-card-mono*
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting)*)
moreover have *img-list-map*:
 $\text{map } (\lambda \ i. \ \text{to-list } ?img \ ?q!?c) \ [0 \ ..< \ ?n]!i = \text{to-list } ?img \ ?q!?c$
using *in-bnds*
by *simp*

```

also have img-list-card-eq-inv-img-list:
... = ?q ((sorted-list-of-set ?img)!?c)
using in-bnds to-list-simp in-bnds img-card-eq-V-length card-in-bnds
by (metis (no-types, lifting))
also have img-card-eq-img-list-i:
... = ?q ( $\pi$  (sorted-list-of-set V!i))
using True elem-of-img
by (simp add: sorted-list-of-set-nth-equals-card)
finally show ?thesis
using assms bij-betw-imp-inj-on the-inv-f-f
img-list-map img-card-eq-img-list-i
img-list-card-eq-inv-img-list
by metis
qed
also have to-list V p!i = p ((sorted-list-of-set V)!i)
using True in-bnds
by simp
finally show to-list V p!i =
map ( $\lambda i. (to-list ?img ?q)!(card \{v \in ?img. v < \pi (sorted-list-of-set V!i)\})$ )
[0 ..< length (to-list ?img ?q)]!i
using in-bnds eq-length Collect-cong card-eq
by simp
qed
qed

```

1.5.5 Preference Counts

The win count for an alternative a with respect to a finite voter set V in a profile p is the amount of ballots from V in p that rank alternative a in first position. If the voter set is infinite, counting is not generally possible.

```

fun win-count :: 'v set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Profile  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  enat where
  win-count V p a = (if (finite V)
    then card {v  $\in$  V. above (p v) a = {a}} else  $\infty$ )

```

```

fun prefer-count :: 'v set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Profile  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  enat where
  prefer-count V p x y = (if (finite V)
    then card {v  $\in$  V. (let r = (p v) in (y  $\preceq_r$  x))} else  $\infty$ )

```

lemma *pref-count-voter-set-card*:

fixes

$V :: 'v$ set **and**

$p :: ('a, 'v)$ Profile **and**

$a b :: 'a$

assumes *finite V*

shows *prefer-count V p a b \leq card V*

using *assms*

by (*simp add: card-mono*)

lemma *set-compr*:

```

fixes
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ 
shows  $\{f\ x \mid x. x \in A\} = f\ ` A$ 
by blast

lemma pref-count-set-compr:
fixes
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
   $a :: 'a$ 
shows  $\{\text{prefer-count } V\ p\ a\ a' \mid a'. a' \in A - \{a\}\} =$ 
   $(\text{prefer-count } V\ p\ a)\ ` (A - \{a\})$ 
by blast

lemma pref-count:
fixes
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
   $a\ b :: 'a$ 
assumes
   $\text{prof: profile } V\ A\ p$  and
   $\text{fin: finite } V$  and
   $\text{a-in-A: } a \in A$  and
   $\text{b-in-A: } b \in A$  and
   $\text{neg: } a \neq b$ 
shows  $\text{prefer-count } V\ p\ a\ b = \text{card } V - (\text{prefer-count } V\ p\ b\ a)$ 
proof -
have  $\forall v \in V. \text{ let } r = (p\ v) \text{ in } (\neg b \preceq_r a \longrightarrow a \preceq_r b)$ 
using a-in-A b-in-A prof lin-ord-imp-connex
unfolding profile-def connex-def
by metis
moreover have  $\forall v \in V. (b, a) \in (p\ v) \longrightarrow (a, b) \notin (p\ v)$ 
using antisymD neg lin-imp-antisym prof
unfolding profile-def
by metis
ultimately have
   $\{v \in V. (\text{let } r = (p\ v) \text{ in } (b \preceq_r a))\} =$ 
   $V - \{v \in V. (\text{let } r = (p\ v) \text{ in } (a \preceq_r b))\}$ 
by auto
thus ?thesis
by (simp add: card-Diff-subset Collect-mono fin)
qed

lemma pref-count-sym:
fixes
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and

```

```

  V :: 'v set and
  a b c :: 'a
assumes
  pref-count-ineq: prefer-count V p a c ≥ prefer-count V p c b and
  prof: profile V A p and
  a-in-A: a ∈ A and
  b-in-A: b ∈ A and
  c-in-A: c ∈ A and
  a-neq-c: a ≠ c and
  c-neq-b: c ≠ b
shows prefer-count V p b c ≥ prefer-count V p c a
proof (cases finite V)
  case True
  moreover have
    prefer-count V p c a ∈ ℕ and
    prefer-count V p b c ∈ ℕ
  unfolding Nats-def
  using True of-nat-eq-enat
  by (simp, simp)
  moreover have prefer-count V p c a ≤ card V
  using True prof pref-count-voter-set-card
  by metis
  moreover have
    prefer-count V p a c = card V - (prefer-count V p c a) and
    prefer-count V p c b = card V - (prefer-count V p b c)
  using True pref-count prof c-in-A
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) a-in-A a-neq-c,
      metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) b-in-A c-neq-b)
  hence card V - (prefer-count V p b c) + (prefer-count V p c a)
    ≤ card V - (prefer-count V p c a) + (prefer-count V p c a)
  using pref-count-ineq
  by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis
  by simp
next
  case False
  thus ?thesis
  by simp
qed

lemma empty-prof-imp-zero-pref-count:
  fixes
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
    V :: 'v set and
    a b :: 'a
  assumes V = {}
  shows prefer-count V p a b = 0
  unfolding zero-enat-def
  using assms

```

```

by simp

fun wins :: 'v set  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Profile  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  wins V a p b =
    (prefer-count V p a b > prefer-count V p b a)

```

```

lemma wins-inf-voters:
fixes
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  a b :: 'a and
  V :: 'v set
assumes infinite V
shows  $\neg$  wins V b p a
using assms
by simp

```

Having alternative a win against b implies that b does not win against a .

```

lemma wins-antisym:
fixes
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  a b :: 'a and
  V :: 'v set
assumes wins V a p b — This already implies that  $V$  is finite.
shows  $\neg$  wins V b p a
using assms
by simp

```

```

lemma wins-irreflex:
fixes
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  a :: 'a and
  V :: 'v set
shows  $\neg$  wins V a p a
using wins-antisym
by metis

```

1.5.6 Condorcet Winner

```

fun condorcet-winner :: 'v set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Profile  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  condorcet-winner V A p a =
    (finite-profile V A p  $\wedge$  a  $\in$  A  $\wedge$  ( $\forall$  x  $\in$  A - {a}. wins V a p x))

```

```

lemma cond-winner-unique-eq:
fixes
  V :: 'v set and
  A :: 'a set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  a b :: 'a

```

```

assumes
  condorcet-winner  $V\ A\ p\ a$  and
  condorcet-winner  $V\ A\ p\ b$ 
shows  $b = a$ 
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume  $b \neq a$ 
  hence wins  $V\ b\ p\ a$ 
  using insert-Diff insert-iff assms
  by simp
  hence  $\neg \text{wins } V\ a\ p\ b$ 
  by (simp add: wins-antisym)
  moreover have wins  $V\ a\ p\ b$ 
  using Diff-iff b-neq-a singletonD assms
  by auto
  ultimately show False
  by simp
qed

lemma cond-winner-unique:
fixes
   $A :: 'a\ set$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v)\ Profile$  and
   $a :: 'a$ 
assumes condorcet-winner  $V\ A\ p\ a$ 
shows  $\{a' \in A. \text{condorcet-winner } V\ A\ p\ a'\} = \{a\}$ 
proof (safe)
  fix  $a' :: 'a$ 
  assume condorcet-winner  $V\ A\ p\ a'$ 
  thus  $a' = a$ 
  using assms cond-winner-unique-eq
  by metis
next
show  $a \in A$ 
  using assms
  unfolding condorcet-winner.simps
  by (metis (no-types))
next
show condorcet-winner  $V\ A\ p\ a$ 
  using assms
  by presburger
qed

lemma cond-winner-unique':
fixes
   $V :: 'v\ set$  and
   $A :: 'a\ set$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v)\ Profile$  and
   $a\ b :: 'a$ 
assumes

```



```

    condorcet-winner V A p a and
    b ≠ a
  shows ¬ condorcet-winner V A p b
  using cond-winner-unique-eq assms
  by metis

```

1.5.7 Limited Profile

This function restricts a profile p to a set A of alternatives and a set V of voters s.t. voters outside of V do not have any preferences or do not cast a vote. This keeps all of A 's preferences.

```

fun limit-profile :: 'a set ⇒ ('a, 'v) Profile ⇒ ('a, 'v) Profile where
  limit-profile A p = (λ v. limit A (p v))

```

```

lemma limit-prof-trans:
fixes
  A B C :: 'a set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
assumes
  B ⊆ A and
  C ⊆ B
shows limit-profile C p = limit-profile C (limit-profile B p)
using assms
by auto

```

```

lemma limit-profile-sound:
fixes
  A B :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
assumes
  profile V B p and
  A ⊆ B
shows profile V A (limit-profile A p)
proof (unfold profile-def)
have ∀ v ∈ V. linear-order-on A (limit A (p v))
using assms limit-presv-lin-ord
unfolding profile-def
by metis
thus ∀ v ∈ V. linear-order-on A ((limit-profile A p) v)
by simp
qed

```

1.5.8 Lifting Property

```

definition equiv-prof-except-a :: 'v set ⇒ 'a set ⇒ ('a, 'v) Profile ⇒
  ('a, 'v) Profile ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool where
  equiv-prof-except-a V A p p' a ≡
  profile V A p ∧ profile V A p' ∧ a ∈ A ∧

```

$$(\forall v \in V. \text{equiv-rel-except-a } A (p v) (p' v) a)$$

An alternative gets lifted from one profile to another iff its ranking increases in at least one ballot, and nothing else changes.

definition *lifted* :: 'v set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) Profile \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) Profile \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool **where**
lifted V A p p' a \equiv
 finite-profile V A p \wedge finite-profile V A p' \wedge a \in A
 \wedge ($\forall v \in V. \neg \text{Preference-Relation.lifted } A (p v) (p' v) a \longrightarrow (p v) = (p' v)$)
 \wedge ($\exists v \in V. \text{Preference-Relation.lifted } A (p v) (p' v) a$)

lemma *lifted-imp-equiv-prof-except-a*:

fixes

A :: 'a set **and**

V :: 'v set **and**

p p' :: ('a, 'v) Profile **and**

a :: 'a

assumes *lifted* V A p p' a

shows *equiv-prof-except-a* V A p p' a

proof (*unfold equiv-prof-except-a-def, safe*)

show

profile V A p **and**

profile V A p' **and**

a \in A

using *assms*

unfolding *lifted-def*

by (*metis, metis, metis*)

next

fix v :: 'v

assume v \in V

thus *equiv-rel-except-a* A (p v) (p' v) a

using *assms lifted-imp-equiv-rel-except-a trivial-equiv-rel*

unfolding *lifted-def profile-def*

by (*metis (no-types)*)

qed

lemma *negl-diff-imp-eq-limit-prof*:

fixes

A A' :: 'a set **and**

V :: 'v set **and**

p p' :: ('a, 'v) Profile **and**

a :: 'a

assumes

change: *equiv-prof-except-a* V A' p q a **and**

subset: A \subseteq A' **and**

not-in-A: a \notin A

shows $\forall v \in V. (\text{limit-profile } A p) v = (\text{limit-profile } A q) v$

— With the current definitions of *equiv-prof-except-a* and *limit-prof*, we can only conclude that the limited profiles coincide on the given voter set, since *limit-prof*

may change the profiles everywhere, while *equiv-prof-except-a* only makes statements about the voter set.

```

proof (clarify)
  fix  $v :: 'v$ 
  assume  $v \in V$ 
  hence equiv-rel-except-a  $A' (p\ v) (q\ v)\ a$ 
    using change equiv-prof-except-a-def
    by metis
  thus limit-profile  $A\ p\ v = \text{limit-profile } A\ q\ v$ 
    using subset not-in-A negl-diff-imp-eq-limit
    by simp
qed

```

lemma *limit-prof-eq-or-lifted*:

```

fixes
   $A\ A' :: 'a\ \text{set}$  and
   $V :: 'v\ \text{set}$  and
   $p\ p' :: ('a, 'v)\ \text{Profile}$  and
   $a :: 'a$ 
assumes
  lifted-a: lifted  $V\ A'\ p\ p'\ a$  and
  subset:  $A \subseteq A'$ 
shows  $(\forall v \in V. \text{limit-profile } A\ p\ v = \text{limit-profile } A\ p'\ v)$ 
   $\vee \text{lifted } V\ A\ (\text{limit-profile } A\ p)\ (\text{limit-profile } A\ p')\ a$ 
proof (cases  $a \in A$ )
  case True
  have  $\forall v \in V. \text{Preference-Relation.lifted } A'\ (p\ v)\ (p'\ v)\ a \vee (p\ v) = (p'\ v)$ 
    using lifted-a
    unfolding lifted-def
    by metis
  hence one:
     $\forall v \in V. \text{Preference-Relation.lifted } A\ (\text{limit } A\ (p\ v))\ (\text{limit } A\ (p'\ v))\ a \vee$ 
     $(\text{limit } A\ (p\ v)) = (\text{limit } A\ (p'\ v))$ 
    using limit-lifted-imp-eq-or-lifted subset
    by metis
  thus ?thesis
proof (cases  $\forall v \in V. \text{limit } A\ (p\ v) = \text{limit } A\ (p'\ v)$ )
  case True
  thus ?thesis
    by simp
next
  case False
  let  $?p = \text{limit-profile } A\ p$ 
  let  $?q = \text{limit-profile } A\ p'$ 
  have
    profile  $V\ A\ ?p$  and
    profile  $V\ A\ ?q$ 
    using lifted-a subset limit-profile-sound

```

```

    unfolding lifted-def
    by (safe, safe)
  moreover have
     $\exists v \in V. \text{Preference-Relation.lifted } A \text{ } (?p \ v) \text{ } (?q \ v) \ a$ 
    using False one
    unfolding limit-profile.simps
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  ultimately have lifted V A ?p ?q a
    using True lifted-a one rev-finite-subset subset
    unfolding lifted-def limit-profile.simps
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  thus ?thesis
    by simp
qed
next
case False
thus ?thesis
  using lifted-a negl-diff-imp-eq-limit-prof subset lifted-imp-equiv-prof-except-a
  by metis
qed
end

```

1.6 Social Choice Result

```

theory Social-Choice-Result
  imports Result
begin

```

1.6.1 Definition

A social choice result contains three sets of alternatives: elected, rejected, and deferred alternatives.

```

fun well-formed-SCF :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a Result  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  well-formed-SCF A res = (disjoint3 res  $\wedge$  set-equals-partition A res)

```

```

fun limit-SCF :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set where
  limit-SCF A r = A  $\cap$  r

```

1.6.2 Auxiliary Lemmas

```

lemma result-imp-rej:
  fixes A e r d :: 'a set
  assumes well-formed-SCF A (e, r, d)

```

```

    shows  $A - (e \cup d) = r$ 
  proof (safe)
    fix  $a :: 'a$ 
    assume
       $a \in A$  and
       $a \notin r$  and
       $a \notin d$ 
    moreover have
       $(e \cap r = \{\}) \wedge (e \cap d = \{\}) \wedge (r \cap d = \{\}) \wedge (e \cup r \cup d = A)$ 
    using assms
    by simp
    ultimately show  $a \in e$ 
    by blast
  next
    fix  $a :: 'a$ 
    assume  $a \in r$ 
    moreover have
       $(e \cap r = \{\}) \wedge (e \cap d = \{\}) \wedge (r \cap d = \{\}) \wedge (e \cup r \cup d = A)$ 
    using assms
    by simp
    ultimately show  $a \in A$ 
    by blast
  next
    fix  $a :: 'a$ 
    assume
       $a \in r$  and
       $a \in e$ 
    moreover have
       $(e \cap r = \{\}) \wedge (e \cap d = \{\}) \wedge (r \cap d = \{\}) \wedge (e \cup r \cup d = A)$ 
    using assms
    by simp
    ultimately show False
    by auto
  next
    fix  $a :: 'a$ 
    assume
       $a \in r$  and
       $a \in d$ 
    moreover have
       $(e \cap r = \{\}) \wedge (e \cap d = \{\}) \wedge (r \cap d = \{\}) \wedge (e \cup r \cup d = A)$ 
    using assms
    by simp
    ultimately show False
    by blast
qed

lemma result-count:
  fixes  $A \ e \ r \ d :: 'a \ set$ 
  assumes

```

```

    wf-result: well-formed-SCF A (e, r, d) and
    fin-A: finite A
  shows card A = card e + card r + card d
proof -
  have e ∪ r ∪ d = A
    using wf-result
    by simp
  moreover have (e ∩ r = {}) ∧ (e ∩ d = {}) ∧ (r ∩ d = {})
    using wf-result
    by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using fin-A Int-Un-distrib2 finite-Un card-Un-disjoint sup-bot.right-neutral
    by metis
qed

```

```

lemma defer-subset:
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    r :: 'a Result
  assumes well-formed-SCF A r
  shows defer-r r ⊆ A
proof (safe)
  fix a :: 'a
  assume a ∈ defer-r r
  moreover obtain
    f :: 'a Result ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a set and
    g :: 'a Result ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a Result where
    A = f r A ∧ r = g r A ∧ disjoint3 (g r A) ∧ set-equals-partition (f r A) (g r A)
    using assms
    by simp
  moreover have
    ∀ p. ∃ e r d. set-equals-partition A p ⟶ (e, r, d) = p ∧ e ∪ r ∪ d = A
    by simp
  ultimately show a ∈ A
    using UnCI snd-conv
    by metis
qed

```

```

lemma elect-subset:
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    r :: 'a Result
  assumes well-formed-SCF A r
  shows elect-r r ⊆ A
proof (safe)
  fix a :: 'a
  assume a ∈ elect-r r
  moreover obtain
    f :: 'a Result ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a set and

```

```

  g :: 'a Result  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a Result where
  A = f r A  $\wedge$  r = g r A  $\wedge$  disjoint3 (g r A)  $\wedge$  set-equals-partition (f r A) (g r A)
using assms
by simp
moreover have
   $\forall p. \exists e r d. \text{set-equals-partition } A \ p \longrightarrow (e, r, d) = p \wedge e \cup r \cup d = A$ 
by simp
ultimately show  $a \in A$ 
using UnCI assms fst-conv
by metis
qed

lemma reject-subset:
fixes
  A :: 'a set and
  r :: 'a Result
assumes well-formed-SCF A r
shows reject-r r  $\subseteq$  A
proof (safe)
fix a :: 'a
assume  $a \in \text{reject-r } r$ 
moreover obtain
  f :: 'a Result  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set and
  g :: 'a Result  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a Result where
  A = f r A  $\wedge$  r = g r A  $\wedge$  disjoint3 (g r A)  $\wedge$  set-equals-partition (f r A) (g r A)
using assms
by simp
moreover have
   $\forall p. \exists e r d. \text{set-equals-partition } A \ p \longrightarrow (e, r, d) = p \wedge e \cup r \cup d = A$ 
by simp
ultimately show  $a \in A$ 
using UnCI assms fst-conv snd-conv disjoint3.cases
by metis
qed

end

```

1.7 Social Welfare Result

```

theory Social-Welfare-Result
imports Result
         Preference-Relation
begin

```

A social welfare result contains three sets of relations: elected, rejected, and deferred. A well-formed social welfare result consists only of linear orders on the alternatives.

```

fun well-formed-SWF :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a Preference-Relation) Result  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  well-formed-SWF A res = (disjoint3 res  $\wedge$ 
    set-equals-partition {r. linear-order-on A r} res)

fun limit-SWF :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a Preference-Relation) set  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('a Preference-Relation) set where
  limit-SWF A res = {limit A r | r. r  $\in$  res  $\wedge$  linear-order-on A (limit A r)}

end

```

1.8 Electoral Result Types

```

theory Result-Interpretations
  imports Social-Choice-Result
           Social-Welfare-Result
           Collections.Locale-Code
begin

```

Interpretations of the result locale are placed inside a *Locale-Code* block in order to enable code generation of later definitions in the locale. Those definitions need to be added via a *Locale-Code* block as well.

```

setup Locale-Code.open-block

```

Results from social choice functions (*SCFs*), for the purpose of composability and modularity given as three sets of (potentially tied) alternatives. See *Social_Choice_Result.thy* for details.

```

global-interpretation SCF-result: result well-formed-SCF limit-SCF
proof (unfold-locales, safe)
  fix A e r d :: 'a set
  assume
    set-equals-partition (limit-SCF A UNIV) (e, r, d) and
    disjoint3 (e, r, d)
  thus well-formed-SCF A (e, r, d)
    by simp
qed

```

Results from committee functions, for the purpose of composability and modularity given as three sets of (potentially tied) sets of alternatives or committees. *[[Not actually used yet.]]*

```

global-interpretation committee-result: result
   $\lambda$  A r. set-equals-partition (Pow A) r  $\wedge$  disjoint3 r
   $\lambda$  A rs. {r  $\cap$  A | r. r  $\in$  rs}
proof (unfold-locales, safe)
  fix
    A :: 'b set and

```



```

    e r d :: 'b set set
  assume set-equals-partition {r ∩ A | r. r ∈ UNIV} (e, r, d)
  thus set-equals-partition (Pow A) (e, r, d)
    by force
qed

```

Results from social welfare functions (\mathcal{SWF} s), for the purpose of composability and modularity given as three sets of (potentially tied) linear orders over the alternatives. See `Social_Welfare_Result.thy` for details.

global-interpretation \mathcal{SWF} -result: result well-formed- \mathcal{SWF} limit- \mathcal{SWF}

proof (unfold-locales, safe)

```

  fix
    A :: 'a set and
    e r d :: ('a Preference-Relation) set
  assume
    set-equals-partition (limit- $\mathcal{SWF}$  A UNIV) (e, r, d) and
    disjoint3 (e, r, d)
  moreover have
    limit- $\mathcal{SWF}$  A UNIV = {limit A r' | r'. linear-order-on A (limit A r')}
    by simp
  moreover have ... = {r'. linear-order-on A r'}
  proof (safe)
    fix r' :: 'a Preference-Relation
    assume lin-ord: linear-order-on A r'
    hence ∀ (a, b) ∈ r'. (a, b) ∈ limit A r'
      unfolding linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def preorder-on-def refl-on-def
      by force
    hence r' = limit A r'
      by force
    thus ∃ x. r' = limit A x ∧ linear-order-on A (limit A x)
      using lin-ord
      by metis
  qed
  ultimately show well-formed- $\mathcal{SWF}$  A (e, r, d)
    by simp
qed

```

setup Locale-Code.close-block

end

1.9 Symmetry Properties of Functions

theory Symmetry-Of-Functions

imports HOL-Algebra.Group-Action

HOL-Algebra.Generated-Groups

begin

1.9.1 Functions

type-synonym ('x, 'y) *binary-fun* = 'x \Rightarrow 'y \Rightarrow 'y

fun *extensional-continuation* :: ('x \Rightarrow 'y) \Rightarrow 'x set \Rightarrow ('x \Rightarrow 'y) **where**
extensional-continuation f S = (λ x. if (x \in S) then (f x) else undefined)

fun *preimg* :: ('x \Rightarrow 'y) \Rightarrow 'x set \Rightarrow 'y \Rightarrow 'x set **where**
preimg f S y = {x \in S. f x = y}

1.9.2 Relations for Symmetry Constructions

fun *restricted-rel* :: 'x rel \Rightarrow 'x set \Rightarrow 'x set \Rightarrow 'x rel **where**
restricted-rel r S S' = r \cap (S \times S')

fun *closed-restricted-rel* :: 'x rel \Rightarrow 'x set \Rightarrow 'x set \Rightarrow bool **where**
closed-restricted-rel r S T = ((*restricted-rel* r T S) “ T \subseteq T)

fun *action-induced-rel* :: 'x set \Rightarrow 'y set \Rightarrow ('x, 'y) *binary-fun* \Rightarrow 'y rel **where**
action-induced-rel S T φ = {(y, y'). y \in T \wedge (\exists x \in S. φ x y = y')}

fun *product* :: 'x rel \Rightarrow ('x * 'x) rel **where**
product r = {(p, p'). (fst p, fst p') \in r \wedge (snd p, snd p') \in r}

fun *equivariance* :: 'x set \Rightarrow 'y set \Rightarrow ('x, 'y) *binary-fun* \Rightarrow ('y * 'y) rel **where**
equivariance S T φ =
 {((u, v), (x, y)). (u, v) \in T \times T \wedge (\exists z \in S. x = φ z u \wedge y = φ z v)}

fun *singleton-set-system* :: 'x set \Rightarrow 'x set set **where**
singleton-set-system S = {{x} | x. x \in S}

fun *set-action* :: ('x, 'r) *binary-fun* \Rightarrow ('x, 'r set) *binary-fun* **where**
set-action ψ x = image (ψ x)

1.9.3 Invariance and Equivariance

Invariance and equivariance are symmetry properties of functions: Invariance means that related preimages have identical images and equivariance denotes consistent changes.

datatype ('x, 'y) *symmetry* =
 Invariance 'x rel |
 Equivariance 'x set (('x \Rightarrow 'x) \times ('y \Rightarrow 'y)) set

fun *is-symmetry* :: ('x \Rightarrow 'y) \Rightarrow ('x, 'y) *symmetry* \Rightarrow bool **where**
is-symmetry f (Invariance r) = (\forall x. \forall y. (x, y) \in r \longrightarrow f x = f y) |
is-symmetry f (Equivariance s τ) = (\forall (φ , ψ) \in τ . \forall x \in s. f (φ x) = ψ (f x))

definition *action-induced-equivariance* :: $'z \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'x \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('z, 'x) \text{ binary-fun} \Rightarrow ('z, 'y) \text{ binary-fun} \Rightarrow ('x, 'y) \text{ symmetry}$ **where**
action-induced-equivariance $T \ S \ \varphi \ \psi \equiv \text{Equivariance } S \ \{(\varphi \ z, \psi \ z) \mid z. z \in T\}$

1.9.4 Auxiliary Lemmas

lemma *un-left-inv-singleton-set-system*: $\bigcup \circ \text{singleton-set-system} = \text{id}$

proof

fix $s :: 'x \text{ set}$

have $(\bigcup \circ \text{singleton-set-system}) \ s = \{x. \{x\} \in \text{singleton-set-system } s\}$

by *force*

thus $(\bigcup \circ \text{singleton-set-system}) \ s = \text{id } s$

by *simp*

qed

lemma *preimg-comp*:

fixes

$f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$ **and**

$g :: 'x \Rightarrow 'x$ **and**

$S :: 'x \text{ set}$ **and**

$x :: 'y$

shows $\text{preimg } f \ (g \text{ ' } S) \ x = g \text{ ' } \text{preimg } (f \circ g) \ S \ x$

proof (*safe*)

fix $y :: 'x$

assume $y \in \text{preimg } f \ (g \text{ ' } S) \ x$

then obtain $z :: 'x$ **where**

$g \ z = y$ **and**

$z \in \text{preimg } (f \circ g) \ S \ x$

unfolding *comp-def*

by *fastforce*

thus $y \in g \text{ ' } \text{preimg } (f \circ g) \ S \ x$

by *blast*

next

fix $y :: 'x$

assume $y \in \text{preimg } (f \circ g) \ S \ x$

thus $g \ y \in \text{preimg } f \ (g \text{ ' } S) \ x$

by *simp*

qed

1.9.5 Rewrite Rules

theorem *rewrite-invar-as-equivar*:

fixes

$f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$ **and**

$S :: 'x \text{ set}$ **and**

$T :: 'z \text{ set}$ **and**

$\varphi :: ('z, 'x) \text{ binary-fun}$

shows $\text{is-symmetry } f \ (\text{Invariance } (\text{action-induced-rel } T \ S \ \varphi)) =$

$\text{is-symmetry } f \ (\text{action-induced-equivariance } T \ S \ \varphi \ (\lambda g. \text{id}))$

proof (*unfold action-induced-equivariance-def is-symmetry.simps, safe*)

```

fix
   $x :: 'x$  and
   $g :: 'z$ 
assume
   $x \in S$  and
   $g \in T$  and
   $\forall x y. (x, y) \in \text{action-induced-rel } T \ S \ \varphi \longrightarrow f x = f y$ 
moreover with this have  $(x, \varphi g x) \in \text{action-induced-rel } T \ S \ \varphi$ 
  unfolding action-induced-rel.simps
  by blast
ultimately show  $f (\varphi g x) = id (f x)$ 
  by simp
next
fix  $x y :: 'x$ 
assume
  equivar:
     $\forall (\varphi, \psi) \in \{(\varphi g, id) \mid g. g \in T\}. \forall x \in S. f (\varphi x) = \psi (f x)$  and
    rel:  $(x, y) \in \text{action-induced-rel } T \ S \ \varphi$ 
then obtain  $g :: 'z$  where
  img:  $\varphi g x = y$  and
  elt:  $g \in T$ 
  unfolding action-induced-rel.simps
  by blast
moreover have  $x \in S$ 
  using rel
  by simp
ultimately have  $f (\varphi g x) = id (f x)$ 
  using equivar elt
  by blast
thus  $f x = f y$ 
  using img elt
  by simp
qed

lemma rewrite-invar-ind-by-act:
fixes
   $f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$  and
   $S :: 'z \text{ set}$  and
   $T :: 'x \text{ set}$  and
   $\varphi :: ('z, 'x) \text{ binary-fun}$ 
shows is-symmetry  $f$  (Invariance (action-induced-rel  $S \ T \ \varphi$ )) =
  ( $\forall x \in S. \forall y \in T. f y = f (\varphi x y)$ )
proof (safe)
fix
   $y :: 'x$  and
   $x :: 'z$ 
assume
  is-symmetry  $f$  (Invariance (action-induced-rel  $S \ T \ \varphi$ )) and
   $y \in T$  and

```

```

     $x \in S$ 
  moreover from this have  $(y, \varphi x y) \in \text{action-induced-rel } S \ T \ \varphi$ 
    unfolding action-induced-rel.simps
    by blast
  ultimately show  $f y = f (\varphi x y)$ 
    by simp
next
  assume  $\forall x \in S. \forall y \in T. f y = f (\varphi x y)$ 
  moreover have
     $\forall (x, y) \in \text{action-induced-rel } S \ T \ \varphi. x \in T \wedge (\exists z \in S. y = \varphi z x)$ 
    by auto
  ultimately show is-symmetry  $f$  (Invariance (action-induced-rel  $S \ T \ \varphi$ ))
    by auto
qed

```

lemma *rewrite-equivariance:*

```

  fixes
     $f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$  and
     $S :: 'z \text{ set}$  and
     $T :: 'x \text{ set}$  and
     $\varphi :: ('z, 'x) \text{ binary-fun}$  and
     $\psi :: ('z, 'y) \text{ binary-fun}$ 
  shows is-symmetry  $f$  (action-induced-equivariance  $S \ T \ \varphi \ \psi$ ) =
     $(\forall x \in S. \forall y \in T. f (\varphi x y) = \psi x (f y))$ 
  unfolding action-induced-equivariance-def
  by auto

```

lemma *rewrite-group-action-img:*

```

  fixes
     $m :: 'x \text{ monoid}$  and
     $S \ T :: 'y \text{ set}$  and
     $\varphi :: ('x, 'y) \text{ binary-fun}$  and
     $x \ y :: 'x$ 
  assumes
     $T \subseteq S$  and
     $x \in \text{carrier } m$  and
     $y \in \text{carrier } m$  and
    group-action  $m \ S \ \varphi$ 
  shows  $\varphi (x \otimes_m y) \text{ ` } T = \varphi x \text{ ` } \varphi y \text{ ` } T$ 
proof (safe)
  fix  $z :: 'y$ 
  assume  $z\text{-in-}t: z \in T$ 
  hence  $\varphi (x \otimes_m y) z = \varphi x (\varphi y z)$ 
    using assms group-action.composition-rule[of  $m \ S$ ]
    by blast
  thus
     $\varphi (x \otimes_m y) z \in \varphi x \text{ ` } \varphi y \text{ ` } T$  and
     $\varphi x (\varphi y z) \in \varphi (x \otimes_m y) \text{ ` } T$ 
    using  $z\text{-in-}t$ 

```

by (*blast*, *force*)
qed

lemma *rewrite-carrier*: $\text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup } \text{UNIV}) = \{f. \text{bij } f\}$
unfolding *BijGroup-def Bij-def*
by *simp*

lemma *universal-set-carrier-imp-bij-group*:
fixes $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup } \text{UNIV})$
shows *bij* f
using *rewrite-carrier assms*
by *blast*

lemma *rewrite-sym-group*:
fixes
 $f\ g :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ and
 $S :: 'a \text{ set}$
assumes
 $f \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup } S)$ and
 $g \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup } S)$
shows
rewrite-mult: $f \otimes_{\text{BijGroup } S} g = \text{extensional-continuation } (f \circ g) \ S$ and
rewrite-mult-univ: $S = \text{UNIV} \longrightarrow f \otimes_{\text{BijGroup } S} g = f \circ g$
using *assms*
unfolding *BijGroup-def compose-def comp-def restrict-def*
by (*simp*, *fastforce*)

lemma *simp-extensional-univ*:
fixes $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b$
shows *extensional-continuation* $f \ \text{UNIV} = f$
unfolding *If-def*
by *simp*

lemma *extensional-continuation-subset*:
fixes
 $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b$ and
 $S\ T :: 'a \text{ set}$ and
 $x :: 'a$
assumes
 $T \subseteq S$ and
 $x \in T$
shows *extensional-continuation* $f \ S \ x = \text{extensional-continuation } f \ T \ x$
using *assms*
unfolding *subset-iff*
by *simp*

lemma *rel-ind-by-coinciding-action-on-subset-eq-restr*:
fixes

```

 $\varphi \ \psi :: ('a, 'b) \text{ binary-fun and}$ 
 $S :: 'a \text{ set and}$ 
 $T \ U :: 'b \text{ set}$ 
assumes
   $U \subseteq T \text{ and}$ 
   $\forall x \in S. \forall y \in U. \psi \ x \ y = \varphi \ x \ y$ 
shows  $\text{action-induced-rel } S \ U \ \psi = \text{restricted-rel } (\text{action-induced-rel } S \ T \ \varphi) \ U$ 
 $UNIV$ 
proof (unfold action-induced-rel.simps restricted-rel.simps, safe)
  fix  $x :: 'b$ 
  assume  $x \in U$ 
  thus  $x \in T$ 
    using assms
    by blast
next
  fix
     $g :: 'a \text{ and}$ 
     $x :: 'b$ 
  assume
     $g\text{-in-}S: g \in S \text{ and}$ 
     $x\text{-in-}U: x \in U$ 
  hence  $\varphi \ g \ x = \psi \ g \ x$ 
    using assms
    by simp
  thus  $\exists g' \in S. \varphi \ g' \ x = \psi \ g \ x$ 
    using g-in-S
    by blast
next
  fix
     $g :: 'a \text{ and}$ 
     $x :: 'b$ 
  show  $\psi \ g \ x \in UNIV$ 
    by blast
next
  fix
     $g :: 'a \text{ and}$ 
     $x :: 'b$ 
  assume
     $g\text{-in-}S: g \in S \text{ and}$ 
     $x\text{-in-}U: x \in U$ 
  hence  $\psi \ g \ x = \varphi \ g \ x$ 
    using assms
    by simp
  thus  $\exists g' \in S. \psi \ g' \ x = \varphi \ g \ x$ 
    using g-in-S
    by blast
qed

```

lemma *coinciding-actions-ind-equal-rel:*

```

fixes
   $S :: 'x \text{ set}$  and
   $T :: 'y \text{ set}$  and
   $\varphi \psi :: ('x, 'y) \text{ binary-fun}$ 
assumes  $\forall x \in S. \forall y \in T. \varphi x y = \psi x y$ 
shows  $\text{action-induced-rel } S \ T \ \varphi = \text{action-induced-rel } S \ T \ \psi$ 
unfolding  $\text{extensional-continuation.simps}$ 
using  $\text{assms}$ 
by  $\text{auto}$ 

```

1.9.6 Group Actions

```

lemma  $\text{const-id-is-group-action}$ :
  fixes  $m :: 'x \text{ monoid}$ 
  assumes  $\text{group } m$ 
  shows  $\text{group-action } m \ \text{UNIV} \ (\lambda x. \text{id})$ 
  using  $\text{assms}$ 
proof ( $\text{unfold group-action-def group-hom-def group-hom-axioms-def hom-def, safe}$ )
  show  $\text{group } (\text{BijGroup } \text{UNIV})$ 
    using  $\text{group-BijGroup}$ 
    by  $\text{metis}$ 
next
  show  $\text{id} \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup } \text{UNIV})$ 
    unfolding  $\text{BijGroup-def Bij-def}$ 
    by  $\text{simp}$ 
  thus  $\text{id} = \text{id} \otimes \text{BijGroup } \text{UNIV} \ \text{id}$ 
    using  $\text{rewrite-mult-univ comp-id}$ 
    by  $\text{metis}$ 
qed

theorem  $\text{group-act-induces-set-group-act}$ :
  fixes
     $m :: 'x \text{ monoid}$  and
     $S :: 'y \text{ set}$  and
     $\varphi :: ('x, 'y) \text{ binary-fun}$ 
  defines  $\varphi\text{-img} \equiv (\lambda x. \text{extensional-continuation } (\text{image } (\varphi x)) \ (\text{Pow } S))$ 
  assumes  $\text{group-action } m \ S \ \varphi$ 
  shows  $\text{group-action } m \ (\text{Pow } S) \ \varphi\text{-img}$ 
proof ( $\text{unfold group-action-def group-hom-def group-hom-axioms-def hom-def, safe}$ )
  show  $\text{group } m$ 
    using  $\text{assms}$ 
    unfolding  $\text{group-action-def group-hom-def}$ 
    by  $\text{simp}$ 
next
  show  $\text{group } (\text{BijGroup } (\text{Pow } S))$ 
    using  $\text{group-BijGroup}$ 
    by  $\text{metis}$ 
next
  {

```



```

fix  $x :: 'x$ 
assume  $x \in \text{carrier } m$ 
hence  $\text{bij-betw } (\varphi \ x) \ S \ S$ 
  using assms group-action.surj-prop
  unfolding bij-betw-def
  by (simp add: group-action.inj-prop)
hence  $\text{bij-betw } (\text{image } (\varphi \ x)) \ (\text{Pow } S) \ (\text{Pow } S)$ 
  using bij-betw-Pow
  by metis
moreover have  $\forall t \in \text{Pow } S. \varphi\text{-img } x \ t = \text{image } (\varphi \ x) \ t$ 
  unfolding  $\varphi\text{-img-def}$ 
  by simp
ultimately have  $\text{bij-betw } (\varphi\text{-img } x) \ (\text{Pow } S) \ (\text{Pow } S)$ 
  using bij-betw-cong
  by fastforce
moreover have  $\varphi\text{-img } x \in \text{extensional } (\text{Pow } S)$ 
  unfolding  $\varphi\text{-img-def extensional-def}$ 
  by simp
ultimately show  $\varphi\text{-img } x \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup } (\text{Pow } S))$ 
  unfolding BijGroup-def Bij-def
  by simp
}
fix  $x \ y :: 'x$ 
note
   $\langle x \in \text{carrier } m \implies \varphi\text{-img } x \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup } (\text{Pow } S)) \rangle$  and
   $\langle y \in \text{carrier } m \implies \varphi\text{-img } y \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup } (\text{Pow } S)) \rangle$ 
moreover assume
  carrier-x:  $x \in \text{carrier } m$  and
  carrier-y:  $y \in \text{carrier } m$ 
ultimately have
  carrier-election-x:  $\varphi\text{-img } x \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup } (\text{Pow } S))$  and
  carrier-election-y:  $\varphi\text{-img } y \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup } (\text{Pow } S))$ 
  by (presburger, presburger)
hence  $h\text{-closed: } \forall T \in \text{Pow } S. \varphi\text{-img } y \ T \in \text{Pow } S$ 
  using bij-betw-apply Int-Collect
  unfolding BijGroup-def Bij-def partial-object.select-conv
  by (metis (no-types))
from carrier-election-x carrier-election-y
have  $\varphi\text{-img } x \otimes \text{BijGroup } (\text{Pow } S) \ \varphi\text{-img } y =$ 
   $\text{extensional-continuation } (\varphi\text{-img } x \circ \varphi\text{-img } y) \ (\text{Pow } S)$ 
  using rewrite-mult
  by blast
moreover have
   $\forall T. T \notin \text{Pow } S$ 
   $\longrightarrow \text{extensional-continuation } (\varphi\text{-img } x \circ \varphi\text{-img } y) \ (\text{Pow } S) \ T = \text{undefined}$ 
  by simp
moreover have
   $\forall T. T \notin \text{Pow } S \longrightarrow \varphi\text{-img } (x \otimes m \ y) \ T = \text{undefined}$  and
   $\forall T \in \text{Pow } S.$ 

```

```

      extensional-continuation ( $\varphi\text{-img } x \circ \varphi\text{-img } y$ ) ( $\text{Pow } S$ )  $T = \varphi \, x \, ' \, \varphi \, y \, ' \, T$ 
    using h-closed
    unfolding  $\varphi\text{-img-def}$ 
    by (simp, simp)
  moreover have  $\forall \, T \in \text{Pow } S. \, \varphi\text{-img } (x \otimes_m y) \, T = \varphi \, x \, ' \, \varphi \, y \, ' \, T$ 
    unfolding  $\varphi\text{-img-def}$  extensional-continuation.simps
    using rewrite-group-action-img carrier-x carrier-y assms PowD
    by metis
  ultimately have
     $\forall \, T. \, \varphi\text{-img } (x \otimes_m y) \, T = (\varphi\text{-img } x \otimes \text{BijGroup } (\text{Pow } S) \, \varphi\text{-img } y) \, T$ 
    by metis
  thus  $\varphi\text{-img } (x \otimes_m y) = \varphi\text{-img } x \otimes \text{BijGroup } (\text{Pow } S) \, \varphi\text{-img } y$ 
    by blast
qed

```

1.9.7 Invariance and Equivariance

It suffices to show equivariance under the group action of a generating set of a group to show equivariance under the group action of the whole group. For example, it is enough to show invariance under transpositions to show invariance under a complete finite symmetric group.

theorem *equivar-generators-imp-equivar-group*:

```

  fixes
     $f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$  and
     $m :: 'z \text{ monoid}$  and
     $S :: 'z \text{ set}$  and
     $T :: 'x \text{ set}$  and
     $\varphi :: ('z, 'x) \text{ binary-fun}$  and
     $\psi :: ('z, 'y) \text{ binary-fun}$ 
  assumes
    equivar: is-symmetry  $f$  (action-induced-equivariance  $S \, T \, \varphi \, \psi$ ) and
    action- $\varphi$ : group-action  $m \, T \, \varphi$  and
    action- $\psi$ : group-action  $m \, (f \, ' \, T) \, \psi$  and
    gen: carrier  $m = \text{generate } m \, S$ 
  shows is-symmetry  $f$  (action-induced-equivariance (carrier  $m$ )  $T \, \varphi \, \psi$ )
proof (unfold is-symmetry.simps action-induced-equivariance-def action-induced-rel.simps,
      safe)
  fix
     $g :: 'z$  and
     $x :: 'x$ 
  assume
    group-elem:  $g \in \text{carrier } m$  and
    x-in-t:  $x \in T$ 
  have  $g \in \text{generate } m \, S$ 
    using group-elem gen
    by blast
  hence  $\forall \, x \in T. \, f \, (\varphi \, g \, x) = \psi \, g \, (f \, x)$ 
  proof (induct g rule: generate.induct)

```

```

case one
hence  $\forall x \in T. \varphi \mathbf{1}_m x = x$ 
  using action-φ group-action.id-eq-one restrict-apply
  by metis
moreover with one have  $\forall y \in (f \text{ ` } T). \psi \mathbf{1}_m y = y$ 
  using action-ψ group-action.id-eq-one restrict-apply
  by metis
ultimately show ?case
  by simp
next
case (incl g)
hence  $\forall x \in T. \varphi g x \in T$ 
  using action-φ gen generate.incl group-action.element-image
  by metis
thus ?case
  using incl equivar rewrite-equivariance
  unfolding is-symmetry.simps
  by metis
next
case (inv g)
hence in-t:  $\forall x \in T. \varphi (\text{inv } m \text{ } g) x \in T$ 
  using action-φ gen generate.inv group-action.element-image
  by metis
hence  $\forall x \in T. f (\varphi g (\varphi (\text{inv } m \text{ } g) x)) = \psi g (f (\varphi (\text{inv } m \text{ } g) x))$ 
  using gen action-φ equivar local.inv rewrite-equivariance
  by metis
moreover have  $\forall x \in T. \varphi g (\varphi (\text{inv } m \text{ } g) x) = x$ 
  using action-φ gen generate.incl group.inv-closed group-action.orbit-sym-aux
    group.inv-inv group-action.group-hom local.inv
  unfolding group-hom-def
  by (metis (full-types))
ultimately have  $\forall x \in T. \psi g (f (\varphi (\text{inv } m \text{ } g) x)) = f x$ 
  by simp
moreover have in-img-t:  $\forall x \in T. f (\varphi (\text{inv } m \text{ } g) x) \in f \text{ ` } T$ 
  using in-t
  by blast
ultimately have
   $\forall x \in T. \psi (\text{inv } m \text{ } g) (\psi g (f (\varphi (\text{inv } m \text{ } g) x))) = \psi (\text{inv } m \text{ } g) (f x)$ 
  using action-ψ gen
  by metis
moreover have
   $\forall x \in T. \psi (\text{inv } m \text{ } g) (\psi g (f (\varphi (\text{inv } m \text{ } g) x))) = f (\varphi (\text{inv } m \text{ } g) x)$ 
  using in-img-t action-ψ gen generate.incl group-action.orbit-sym-aux local.inv
  by metis
ultimately show ?case
  by simp
next
case (eng g1 g2)
assume

```

```

    equivar1:  $\forall x \in T. f (\varphi g_1 x) = \psi g_1 (f x)$  and
    equivar2:  $\forall x \in T. f (\varphi g_2 x) = \psi g_2 (f x)$  and
    gen1:  $g_1 \in \text{generate } m S$  and
    gen2:  $g_2 \in \text{generate } m S$ 
hence  $\forall x \in T. \varphi g_2 x \in T$ 
    using gen action- $\varphi$  group-action.element-image
    by metis
hence  $\forall x \in T. f (\varphi g_1 (\varphi g_2 x)) = \psi g_1 (f (\varphi g_2 x))$ 
    using equivar1
    by simp
moreover have  $\forall x \in T. f (\varphi g_2 x) = \psi g_2 (f x)$ 
    using equivar2
    by simp
ultimately show ?case
    using action- $\varphi$  action- $\psi$  gen gen1 gen2 group-action.composition-rule imageI
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
qed
thus  $f (\varphi g x) = \psi g (f x)$ 
    using x-in-t
    by simp
qed

lemma invar-parameterized-fun:
fixes
     $f :: 'x \Rightarrow ('x \Rightarrow 'y)$  and
     $r :: 'x \text{ rel}$ 
assumes
     $\forall x. \text{is-symmetry } (f x) \text{ (Invariance } r)$  and
     $\text{is-symmetry } f \text{ (Invariance } r)$ 
shows  $\text{is-symmetry } (\lambda x. f x x) \text{ (Invariance } r)$ 
using assms
by simp

lemma invar-under-subset-rel:
fixes
     $f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$  and
     $r \ s :: 'x \text{ rel}$ 
assumes
     $\text{subset: } r \subseteq s$  and
     $\text{invar: is-symmetry } f \text{ (Invariance } s)$ 
shows  $\text{is-symmetry } f \text{ (Invariance } r)$ 
using assms
by auto

lemma equivar-ind-by-act-coincide:
fixes
     $S :: 'x \text{ set}$  and
     $T :: 'y \text{ set}$  and
     $f :: 'y \Rightarrow 'z$  and

```

$\varphi \varphi' :: ('x, 'y) \text{ binary-fun}$ **and**
 $\psi :: ('x, 'z) \text{ binary-fun}$
assumes $\forall x \in S. \forall y \in T. \varphi x y = \varphi' x y$
shows $\text{is-symmetry } f \text{ (action-induced-equivariance } S \ T \ \varphi \ \psi) =$
 $\text{is-symmetry } f \text{ (action-induced-equivariance } S \ T \ \varphi' \ \psi)$
using *assms*
unfolding *rewrite-equivariance*
by *simp*

lemma *equivar-under-subset*:
fixes
 $f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$ **and**
 $S \ T :: 'x \text{ set}$ **and**
 $\tau :: (('x \Rightarrow 'x) \times ('y \Rightarrow 'y)) \text{ set}$
assumes
 $\text{is-symmetry } f \text{ (Equivariance } S \ \tau)$ **and**
 $T \subseteq S$
shows $\text{is-symmetry } f \text{ (Equivariance } T \ \tau)$
using *assms*
unfolding *is-symmetry.simps*
by *blast*

lemma *equivar-under-subset'*:
fixes
 $f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$ **and**
 $S :: 'x \text{ set}$ **and**
 $\tau \ v :: (('x \Rightarrow 'x) \times ('y \Rightarrow 'y)) \text{ set}$
assumes
 $\text{is-symmetry } f \text{ (Equivariance } S \ \tau)$ **and**
 $v \subseteq \tau$
shows $\text{is-symmetry } f \text{ (Equivariance } S \ v)$
using *assms*
unfolding *is-symmetry.simps*
by *blast*

theorem *group-action-equivar-f-imp-equivar-preimg*:
fixes
 $f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$ **and**
 $\mathcal{D}_f \ S :: 'x \text{ set}$ **and**
 $m :: 'z \text{ monoid}$ **and**
 $\varphi :: ('z, 'x) \text{ binary-fun}$ **and**
 $\psi :: ('z, 'y) \text{ binary-fun}$ **and**
 $x :: 'z$
defines $\text{equivar-prop} \equiv \text{action-induced-equivariance (carrier } m) \ \mathcal{D}_f \ \varphi \ \psi$
assumes
 $\text{action-}\varphi$: $\text{group-action } m \ S \ \varphi$ **and**
 action-res : $\text{group-action } m \ \text{UNIV} \ \psi$ **and**
 dom-in-s : $\mathcal{D}_f \subseteq S$ **and**
 closed-domain :

$\text{closed-restricted-rel } (\text{action-induced-rel } (\text{carrier } m) S \varphi) S \mathcal{D}_f$ **and**
 $\text{equivar-f: is-symmetry } f \text{ equivar-prop}$ **and**
 $\text{group-elem-x: } x \in \text{carrier } m$
shows $\forall y. \text{preimg } f \mathcal{D}_f (\psi x y) = (\varphi x) \cdot (\text{preimg } f \mathcal{D}_f y)$
proof (*safe*)
interpret $\text{action-}\varphi$: $\text{group-action } m S \varphi$
using $\text{action-}\varphi$
by *simp*
interpret action-results : $\text{group-action } m UNIV \psi$
using action-res
by *simp*
have $\text{group-elem-inv: } (\text{inv } m x) \in \text{carrier } m$
using $\text{group.inv-closed action-}\varphi.\text{group-hom group-elem-x}$
unfolding group-hom-def
by *metis*
fix
 $y :: 'y$ **and**
 $z :: 'x$
assume $\text{preimg-el: } z \in \text{preimg } f \mathcal{D}_f (\psi x y)$
obtain $a :: 'x$ **where**
 $\text{img: } a = \varphi (\text{inv } m x) z$
by *simp*
have $\text{domain: } z \in \mathcal{D}_f \wedge z \in S$
using $\text{preimg-el dom-in-s}$
by *auto*
hence $a \in S$
using $\text{dom-in-s action-}\varphi \text{ group-elem-inv preimg-el img action-}\varphi.\text{element-image}$
by *auto*
hence $(z, a) \in (\text{action-induced-rel } (\text{carrier } m) S \varphi) \cap (\mathcal{D}_f \times S)$
using $\text{img preimg-el domain group-elem-inv}$
by *auto*
hence $a \in ((\text{action-induced-rel } (\text{carrier } m) S \varphi) \cap (\mathcal{D}_f \times S)) \text{ `` } \mathcal{D}_f$
using $\text{img preimg-el domain group-elem-inv}$
by *auto*
hence $a\text{-in-domain: } a \in \mathcal{D}_f$
using closed-domain
by *auto*
moreover have $(\varphi (\text{inv } m x), \psi (\text{inv } m x)) \in \{(\varphi g, \psi g) \mid g. g \in \text{carrier } m\}$
using group-elem-inv
by *auto*
ultimately have $f a = \psi (\text{inv } m x) (f z)$
using $\text{domain equivar-f img}$
unfolding $\text{equivar-prop-def action-induced-equivariance-def}$
by *simp*
hence $f a = y$
using $\text{preimg-el action-results.group-hom action-results.orbit-sym-aux}$
 group-elem-x
by *simp*
hence $a \in \text{preimg } f \mathcal{D}_f y$

```

    using a-in-domain
    by simp
  moreover have  $z = \varphi x a$ 
    using action- $\varphi$ .group-hom action- $\varphi$ .orbit-sym-aux img domain
      a-in-domain group-elem-x group-elem-inv group.inv-inv
    unfolding group-hom-def
    by metis
  ultimately show  $z \in (\varphi x) \cdot (\text{preimg } f \ \mathcal{D}_f \ y)$ 
    by simp
next
fix
  y :: 'y and
  z :: 'x
  assume  $z \in \text{preimg } f \ \mathcal{D}_f \ y$ 
  hence domain:  $f z = y \wedge z \in \mathcal{D}_f \wedge z \in S$ 
    using dom-in-s
    by auto
  hence  $\varphi x z \in S$ 
    using group-elem-x group-action.element-image action- $\varphi$ 
    by metis
  hence  $(z, \varphi x z) \in (\text{action-induced-rel } (\text{carrier } m) \ S \ \varphi) \cap (\mathcal{D}_f \times S) \cap \mathcal{D}_f \times S$ 
    using group-elem-x domain
    by auto
  hence  $\varphi x z \in \mathcal{D}_f$ 
    using closed-domain
    by auto
  moreover have  $(\varphi x, \psi x) \in \{(\varphi a, \psi a) \mid a. a \in \text{carrier } m\}$ 
    using group-elem-x
    by blast
  ultimately show  $\varphi x z \in \text{preimg } f \ \mathcal{D}_f \ (\psi x y)$ 
    using equivar-f domain
    unfolding equivar-prop-def action-induced-equivariance-def
    by simp
qed

```

1.9.8 Function Composition

```

lemma invar-comp:
  fixes
    f :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  'y and
    g :: 'y  $\Rightarrow$  'z and
    r :: 'x rel
  assumes is-symmetry f (Invariance r)
  shows is-symmetry (g  $\circ$  f) (Invariance r)
  using assms
  by simp

```

```

lemma equivar-comp:
  fixes

```

```

  f :: 'x ⇒ 'y and
  g :: 'y ⇒ 'z and
  S :: 'x set and
  T :: 'y set and
  τ :: (('x ⇒ 'x) × ('y ⇒ 'y)) set and
  v :: (('y ⇒ 'y) × ('z ⇒ 'z)) set
defines
  transitive-acts ≡
    {(φ, ψ). ∃ χ :: 'y ⇒ 'y. (φ, χ) ∈ τ ∧ (χ, ψ) ∈ v ∧ χ ' f ' S ⊆ T}
assumes
  f ' S ⊆ T and
  is-symmetry f (Equivariance S τ) and
  is-symmetry g (Equivariance T v)
shows is-symmetry (g ∘ f) (Equivariance S transitive-acts)
proof (unfold transitive-acts-def is-symmetry.simps comp-def, safe)
fix
  φ :: 'x ⇒ 'x and
  χ :: 'y ⇒ 'y and
  ψ :: 'z ⇒ 'z and
  x :: 'x
assume
  x-in-X: x ∈ S and
  χ-img_f-img_g-in-t: χ ' f ' S ⊆ T and
  act-f: (φ, χ) ∈ τ and
  act-g: (χ, ψ) ∈ v
hence f x ∈ T ∧ χ (f x) ∈ T
using assms
by blast
hence ψ (g (f x)) = g (χ (f x))
using act-g assms
by fastforce
also have g (f (φ x)) = g (χ (f x))
using assms act-f x-in-X
by fastforce
finally show g (f (φ x)) = ψ (g (f x))
by simp
qed

lemma equivar-ind-by-action-comp:
fixes
  f :: 'x ⇒ 'y and
  g :: 'y ⇒ 'z and
  S :: 'w set and
  T :: 'x set and
  U :: 'y set and
  φ :: ('w, 'x) binary-fun and
  χ :: ('w, 'y) binary-fun and
  ψ :: ('w, 'z) binary-fun
assumes

```


$f \text{ ' } T \subseteq U$ **and**
 $\forall x \in S. \chi x \text{ ' } f \text{ ' } T \subseteq U$ **and**
 $\text{is-symmetry } f \text{ (action-induced-equivariance } S \ T \ \varphi \ \chi)$ **and**
 $\text{is-symmetry } g \text{ (action-induced-equivariance } S \ U \ \chi \ \psi)$
shows $\text{is-symmetry } (g \circ f) \text{ (action-induced-equivariance } S \ T \ \varphi \ \psi)$
proof –
let $?a_\varphi = \{(\varphi \ a, \chi \ a) \mid a. a \in S\}$ **and**
 $?a_\psi = \{(\chi \ a, \psi \ a) \mid a. a \in S\}$
have $\forall a \in S. (\varphi \ a, \chi \ a) \in \{(\varphi \ a, \chi \ a) \mid b. b \in S\}$
 $\wedge (\chi \ a, \psi \ a) \in \{(\chi \ b, \psi \ b) \mid b. b \in S\} \wedge \chi \ a \text{ ' } f \text{ ' } T \subseteq U$
using *assms*
by *blast*
hence $\{(\varphi \ a, \psi \ a) \mid a. a \in S\}$
 $\subseteq \{(\varphi, \psi). \exists v. (\varphi, v) \in ?a_\varphi \wedge (v, \psi) \in ?a_\psi \wedge v \text{ ' } f \text{ ' } T \subseteq U\}$
by *blast*
hence $\text{is-symmetry } (g \circ f) \text{ (Equivariance } T \ \{(\varphi \ a, \psi \ a) \mid a. a \in S\})$
using *assms equivar-comp[of - - - ?a_φ - ?a_ψ] equivar-under-subset'*
unfolding *action-induced-equivariance-def*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
thus *?thesis*
unfolding *action-induced-equivariance-def*
by *blast*
qed

lemma *equivar-set-minus*:

fixes
 $f \ g :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y \text{ set}$ **and**
 $S :: 'z \text{ set}$ **and**
 $T :: 'x \text{ set}$ **and**
 $\varphi :: ('z, 'x) \text{ binary-fun}$ **and**
 $\psi :: ('z, 'y) \text{ binary-fun}$
assumes
 $f\text{-equivar: is-symmetry } f \text{ (action-induced-equivariance } S \ T \ \varphi \text{ (set-action } \psi))$
and
 $g\text{-equivar: is-symmetry } g \text{ (action-induced-equivariance } S \ T \ \varphi \text{ (set-action } \psi))$
and
 $\text{bij-a: } \forall a \in S. \text{bij } (\psi \ a)$
shows
 $\text{is-symmetry } (\lambda b. f \ b - g \ b) \text{ (action-induced-equivariance } S \ T \ \varphi \text{ (set-action } \psi))$
proof –
have
 $\forall a \in S. \forall x \in T. f \ (\varphi \ a \ x) = \psi \ a \text{ ' } (f \ x)$ **and**
 $\forall a \in S. \forall x \in T. g \ (\varphi \ a \ x) = \psi \ a \text{ ' } (g \ x)$
using *f-equivar g-equivar*
unfolding *rewrite-equivariance*
by (*simp, simp*)
hence $\forall a \in S. \forall b \in T. f \ (\varphi \ a \ b) - g \ (\varphi \ a \ b) = \psi \ a \text{ ' } (f \ b) - \psi \ a \text{ ' } (g \ b)$
by *blast*
moreover have $\forall a \in S. \forall u \ v. \psi \ a \text{ ' } u - \psi \ a \text{ ' } v = \psi \ a \text{ ' } (u - v)$

```

    using bij-a image-set-diff
    unfolding bij-def
    by blast
ultimately show ?thesis
    unfolding set-action.simps
    using rewrite-equivariance
    by fastforce
qed

lemma equivar-union-under-image-action:
  fixes
    f :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  'y and
    S :: 'z set and
     $\varphi$  :: ('z, 'x) binary-fun
  shows is-symmetry  $\bigcup$  (action-induced-equivariance S UNIV
    (set-action (set-action  $\varphi$ )) (set-action  $\varphi$ ))
  unfolding action-induced-equivariance-def is-symmetry.simps set-action.simps
  by blast

end

```

1.10 Symmetry Properties of Voting Rules

```

theory Voting-Symmetry
  imports Symmetry-Of-Functions
    Social-Choice-Result
    Social-Welfare-Result
    Profile
begin

```

1.10.1 Definitions

```

fun result-action :: ('x, 'r) binary-fun  $\Rightarrow$  ('x, 'r Result) binary-fun where
  result-action  $\psi$  x = ( $\lambda$  r. ( $\psi$  x 'elect-r r,  $\psi$  x 'reject-r r,  $\psi$  x 'defer-r r))

```

Anonymity

Bijection group on the set of voters.

```

definition bijectionVG :: ('v  $\Rightarrow$  'v) monoid where
  bijectionVG  $\equiv$  BijGroup (UNIV :: 'v set)

```

Permutation action on the set of voters. Invariance under this action implies anonymity.

```

fun  $\varphi$ -anon :: ('a, 'v) Election set  $\Rightarrow$  ('v  $\Rightarrow$  'v)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  (('a, 'v) Election  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Election) where
   $\varphi$ -anon  $\mathcal{E}$   $\pi$  = extensional-continuation (rename  $\pi$ )  $\mathcal{E}$ 

```

fun *anonymity* _{\mathcal{R}} :: ('a, 'v) Election set \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) Election rel **where**
anonymity _{\mathcal{R}} $\mathcal{E} = \text{action-induced-rel } (\text{carrier bijection}_{\mathcal{V}\mathcal{G}}) \mathcal{E} (\varphi\text{-anon } \mathcal{E})$

Neutrality

fun *rel-rename* :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a Preference-Relation) binary-fun **where**
rel-rename $\pi r = \{(\pi a, \pi b) \mid a b. (a, b) \in r\}$

fun *alternatives-rename* :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a, ('a, 'v) Election) binary-fun **where**
alternatives-rename $\pi \mathcal{E} =$
 $(\pi ' (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \mathcal{E}), \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \mathcal{E}, (\text{rel-rename } \pi) \circ (\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \mathcal{E}))$

Bijection group on the set of alternatives. Invariance under this action implies neutrality.

definition *bijection* _{\mathcal{AG}} :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) monoid **where**
bijection _{\mathcal{AG}} $\equiv \text{BijGroup } (\text{UNIV} :: 'a \text{ set})$

Permutation action on the set of alternatives.

fun $\varphi\text{-neutral}$:: ('a, 'v) Election set \Rightarrow
('a \Rightarrow 'a, ('a, 'v) Election) binary-fun **where**
 $\varphi\text{-neutral } \mathcal{E} \pi = \text{extensional-continuation } (\text{alternatives-rename } \pi) \mathcal{E}$

fun *neutrality* _{\mathcal{R}} :: ('a, 'v) Election set \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) Election rel **where**
neutrality _{\mathcal{R}} $\mathcal{E} = \text{action-induced-rel } (\text{carrier bijection}_{\mathcal{AG}}) \mathcal{E} (\varphi\text{-neutral } \mathcal{E})$

fun $\psi\text{-neutral}_c$:: ('a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a) binary-fun **where**
 $\psi\text{-neutral}_c \pi r = \pi r$

fun $\psi\text{-neutral}_w$:: ('a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a rel) binary-fun **where**
 $\psi\text{-neutral}_w \pi r = \text{rel-rename } \pi r$

Homogeneity

fun *homogeneity* _{\mathcal{R}} :: ('a, 'v) Election set \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) Election rel **where**
homogeneity _{\mathcal{R}} $\mathcal{E} =$
 $\{(E, E'). E \in \mathcal{E}$
 $\wedge \text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} E = \text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} E'$
 $\wedge \text{finite } (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} E) \wedge \text{finite } (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} E')$
 $\wedge (\exists n > 0. \forall r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation.}$
 $\text{vote-count } r E = n * (\text{vote-count } r E'))\}$

fun *copy-list* :: nat \Rightarrow 'x list \Rightarrow 'x list **where**
copy-list 0 l = [] |
copy-list (Suc n) l = *copy-list* n l @ l

fun *homogeneity* _{\mathcal{R}'} :: ('a, 'v :: linorder) Election set \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) Election rel **where**
homogeneity _{\mathcal{R}'} $\mathcal{E} =$
 $\{(E, E'). E \in \mathcal{E}$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \wedge \text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E = \text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \\
& \wedge \text{finite} \ (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \wedge \text{finite} \ (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E') \\
& \wedge (\exists \ n > 0. \\
& \quad \text{to-list} \ (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E') \ (\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E') = \\
& \quad \text{copy-list} \ n \ (\text{to-list} \ (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ (\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E)))\}
\end{aligned}$$

Reversal Symmetry

fun *reverse-rel* :: 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel **where**
reverse-rel *r* = {(*a*, *b*). (*b*, *a*) \in *r*}

fun *rel-app* :: ('a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel) \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) Election \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) Election **where**
rel-app *f* (*A*, *V*, *p*) = (*A*, *V*, *f* \circ *p*)

definition *reversal_G* :: ('a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel) monoid **where**
reversal_G \equiv (\langle carrier = {*reverse-rel*, *id*}, monoid.mult = *comp*, one = *id* \rangle)

fun φ -reverse :: ('a, 'v) Election set
 \Rightarrow ('a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel, ('a, 'v) Election) binary-fun **where**
 φ -reverse \mathcal{E} φ = extensional-continuation (*rel-app* φ) \mathcal{E}

fun ψ -reverse :: ('a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel, 'a rel) binary-fun **where**
 ψ -reverse φ *r* = φ *r*

fun *reversal_R* :: ('a, 'v) Election set \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) Election rel **where**
reversal_R \mathcal{E} = action-induced-rel (carrier *reversal_G*) \mathcal{E} (φ -reverse \mathcal{E})

1.10.2 Auxiliary Lemmas

fun *n-app* :: nat \Rightarrow ('x \Rightarrow 'x) \Rightarrow ('x \Rightarrow 'x) **where**
n-app-id: *n-app* 0 *f* = *id* |
n-app-suc: *n-app* (*Suc* *n*) *f* = *f* \circ *n-app* *n* *f*

lemma *n-app-rewrite*:

fixes

f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'x **and**

n :: nat **and**

x :: 'x

shows (*f* \circ *n-app* *n* *f*) *x* = (*n-app* *n* *f* \circ *f*) *x*

proof (*unfold comp-def*, *induction n f arbitrary: x rule: n-app.induct*)

case (1 *f*)

fix

f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'x **and**

x :: 'x

show *f* (*n-app* 0 *f* *x*) = *n-app* 0 *f* (*f* *x*)

by *simp*

next

case (2 *n f*)

fix

f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'x **and**

```

    n :: nat and
    x :: 'x
  assume  $\bigwedge y. f (n\text{-app } n f y) = n\text{-app } n f (f y)$ 
  thus  $f (n\text{-app } (Suc\ n) f x) = n\text{-app } (Suc\ n) f (f x)$ 
    by simp
qed

lemma n-app-leaves-set:
  fixes
    A B :: 'x set and
    f :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  'x and
    x :: 'x
  assumes
    fin-A: finite A and
    fin-B: finite B and
    x-el:  $x \in A - B$  and
    bij-f: bij-betw f A B
  obtains n :: nat where
    n > 0 and
    n-app n f x  $\in B - A$  and
     $\forall m > 0. m < n \longrightarrow n\text{-app } m f x \in A \cap B$ 
proof -
  have n-app-f-x-in-A:  $n\text{-app } 0 f x \in A$ 
    using x-el
  by simp
  moreover have ex-A:
     $\exists n > 0. n\text{-app } n f x \in B - A \wedge (\forall m > 0. m < n \longrightarrow n\text{-app } m f x \in A)$ 
  proof (rule ccontr,
    unfold Diff-iff conj-assoc not-ex de-Morgan-conj not-gr-zero
    simp-thms not-all not-imp disj-not1 imp-disj2)
    assume nex:
       $\forall n. n\text{-app } n f x \in B$ 
       $\longrightarrow n = 0 \vee n\text{-app } n f x \in A \vee (\exists m > 0. m < n \wedge n\text{-app } m f x \notin A)$ 
    hence  $\forall n > 0. n\text{-app } n f x \in B$ 
       $\longrightarrow n\text{-app } n f x \in A \vee (\exists m > 0. m < n \wedge n\text{-app } m f x \notin A)$ 
    by blast
    moreover have  $\neg (\forall n > 0. n\text{-app } n f x \in B \longrightarrow n\text{-app } n f x \in A)$ 
  proof (safe)
    assume in-A:  $\forall n > 0. n\text{-app } n f x \in B \longrightarrow n\text{-app } n f x \in A$ 
    hence  $\forall n > 0. n\text{-app } n f x \in A \longrightarrow n\text{-app } (Suc\ n) f x \in A$ 
      using n-app.simps bij-f
    unfolding bij-betw-def
    by force
    hence in-AB-imp-in-AB:
       $\forall n > 0. n\text{-app } n f x \in A \cap B \longrightarrow n\text{-app } (Suc\ n) f x \in A \cap B$ 
    using n-app.simps bij-f
    unfolding bij-betw-def
    by auto
    have in-int:  $\forall n > 0. n\text{-app } n f x \in A \cap B$ 

```

```

proof (clarify)
  fix  $n :: \text{nat}$ 
  assume  $n > 0$ 
  thus  $n\text{-app } n \ f \ x \in A \cap B$ 
  proof (induction  $n$ )
    case 0
    thus ?case
    by safe
  next
    case (Suc  $n$ )
    assume  $0 < n \implies n\text{-app } n \ f \ x \in A \cap B$ 
    moreover have  $n = 0 \longrightarrow n\text{-app } (\text{Suc } n) \ f \ x = f \ x$ 
    by simp
    ultimately show  $n\text{-app } (\text{Suc } n) \ f \ x \in A \cap B$ 
    using x-el bij-f in-A in-AB-imp-in-AB
    unfolding bij-betw-def
    by blast
  qed
qed
hence  $\{n\text{-app } n \ f \ x \mid n. n > 0\} \subseteq A \cap B$ 
by blast
hence finite  $\{n\text{-app } n \ f \ x \mid n. n > 0\}$ 
using fin-A fin-B rev-finite-subset
by blast
moreover have
  inj-on  $(\lambda n. n\text{-app } n \ f \ x) \ \{n. n > 0\}$ 
   $\longrightarrow \text{infinite } ((\lambda n. n\text{-app } n \ f \ x) \text{ ` } \{n. n > 0\})$ 
using diff-is-0-eq' finite-imageD finite-nat-set-iff-bounded lessI
  less-imp-diff-less mem-Collect-eq nless-le
by metis
moreover have  $(\lambda n. n\text{-app } n \ f \ x) \text{ ` } \{n. n > 0\} = \{n\text{-app } n \ f \ x \mid n. n > 0\}$ 
by auto
ultimately have  $\exists n > 0 . \exists m > n. n\text{-app } n \ f \ x = n\text{-app } m \ f \ x$ 
using linorder-inj-onI' mem-Collect-eq
by (metis (no-types, lifting))
hence  $\exists n\text{-min} > 0.$ 
   $(\exists m > n\text{-min}. n\text{-app } n\text{-min} \ f \ x = n\text{-app } m \ f \ x)$ 
   $\wedge (\forall n < n\text{-min}. \neg (0 < n \wedge (\exists m > n. n\text{-app } n \ f \ x = n\text{-app } m \ f \ x)))$ 
using exists-least-iff [of
     $\lambda n. n > 0 \wedge (\exists m > n. n\text{-app } n \ f \ x = n\text{-app } m \ f \ x)$ ]
by presburger
then obtain  $n\text{-min} :: \text{nat}$  where
  n-min-pos:  $n\text{-min} > 0$  and
  ex-gt-n-min:  $\exists m > n\text{-min}. n\text{-app } n\text{-min} \ f \ x = n\text{-app } m \ f \ x$  and
  neq:  $\forall n < n\text{-min}. \neg (n > 0 \wedge (\exists m > n. n\text{-app } n \ f \ x = n\text{-app } m \ f \ x))$ 
by blast
then obtain  $m :: \text{nat}$  where
  m-gt-n-min:  $m > n\text{-min}$  and
  n-app-n-min-eq:  $n\text{-app } n\text{-min} \ f \ x = f \ (n\text{-app } (m - 1) \ f \ x)$ 

```

```

    using comp-apply diff-Suc-1 less-nat-zero-code n-app.elims
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
  moreover have  $n\text{-app } n\text{-min } f\ x = f\ (n\text{-app } (n\text{-min} - 1)\ f\ x)$ 
    using Suc-pred' n-min-pos comp-eq-id-dest id-comp diff-Suc-1
      less-nat-zero-code n-app.elims
    by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting))
  moreover have  $n\text{-app } (m - 1)\ f\ x \in A \wedge n\text{-app } (n\text{-min} - 1)\ f\ x \in A$ 
    using in-int x-el n-min-pos m-gt-n-min Diff-iff IntD1 diff-le-self id-apply
      nless-le cancel-comm-monoid-add-class.diff-cancel n-app-id
    by metis
  ultimately have  $n\text{-app } (m - 1)\ f\ x = n\text{-app } (n\text{-min} - 1)\ f\ x$ 
    using bij-f
    unfolding bij-betw-def inj-def inj-on-def
    by simp
  moreover have  $m - 1 > n\text{-min} - 1$ 
    using m-gt-n-min n-min-pos
    by simp
  moreover have  $n\text{-app } (m - 1)\ f\ x \in B$ 
    using in-int m-gt-n-min n-min-pos
    by simp
  ultimately show False
    using x-el neq n-min-pos diff-less zero-less-one Diff-iff
      bot-nat-0.not-eq-extremum id-apply n-app-id
    by metis
qed
ultimately obtain  $n :: \text{nat}$  where
  n-pos:  $n > 0$  and
  not-in-A:  $n\text{-app } n\ f\ x \notin A$  and
  less-in-A:  $\forall m. (0 < m \wedge m < n) \longrightarrow n\text{-app } m\ f\ x \in A$ 
  using exists-least-iff[of  $\lambda n. n > 0 \wedge n\text{-app } n\ f\ x \notin A$ ]
  by blast
hence  $n\text{-app } (n - 1)\ f\ x \in A$ 
  using n-app-f-x-in-A bot-nat-0.not-eq-extremum diff-less zero-less-one
  by metis
moreover have  $n\text{-app } n\ f\ x = f\ (n\text{-app } (n - 1)\ f\ x)$ 
  using n-app-suc Suc-pred' n-pos comp-eq-id-dest fun.map-id
  by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting))
ultimately show False
  using bij-f nex not-in-A n-pos less-in-A
  unfolding bij-betw-def
  by blast
qed
ultimately have
   $\forall n. (\forall m > 0. m < n \longrightarrow n\text{-app } m\ f\ x \in A) \longrightarrow (\forall m > 0. m < n \longrightarrow n\text{-app } (m - 1)\ f\ x \in A)$ 
  using bot-nat-0.not-eq-extremum less-imp-diff-less
  by metis
moreover have  $\forall m > 0. n\text{-app } m\ f\ x = f\ (n\text{-app } (m - 1)\ f\ x)$ 
  using bot-nat-0.not-eq-extremum comp-apply diff-Suc-1 n-app.elims

```

```

    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using that bij-f imageI IntI ex-A
    unfolding bij-betw-def
    by metis
qed

lemma n-app-rev:
  fixes
    A B :: 'x set and
    f :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  'x and
    m n :: nat and
    x y :: 'x
  assumes
    x-in-A:  $x \in A$  and
    y-in-A:  $y \in A$  and
    n-geq-m:  $n \geq m$  and
    n-app-eq-m-n:  $n\text{-app } n \ f \ x = n\text{-app } m \ f \ y$  and
    n-app-x-in-A:  $\forall \ n' < n. \ n\text{-app } n' \ f \ x \in A$  and
    n-app-y-in-A:  $\forall \ m' < m. \ n\text{-app } m' \ f \ y \in A$  and
    fin-A: finite A and
    fin-B: finite B and
    bij-f-A-B: bij-betw f A B
  shows  $n\text{-app } (n - m) \ f \ x = y$ 
  using assms
proof (induction n f arbitrary: m x y rule: n-app.induct)
  case (1 f)
  fix
    f :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  'x and
    m :: nat and
    x y :: 'x
  assume
    m  $\leq 0$  and
    n-app 0 f x = n-app m f y
  thus  $n\text{-app } (0 - m) \ f \ x = y$ 
    by simp
next
  case (2 n f)
  fix
    f :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  'x and
    m n :: nat and
    x y :: 'x
  assume
    bij-f: bij-betw f A B and
    x-in-A:  $x \in A$  and
    y-in-A:  $y \in A$  and
    m-leq-suc-n:  $m \leq \text{Suc } n$  and
    x-dom:  $\forall \ n' < \text{Suc } n. \ n\text{-app } n' \ f \ x \in A$  and
    y-dom:  $\forall \ m' < m. \ n\text{-app } m' \ f \ y \in A$  and

```


$eq: n\text{-app } (Suc\ n) f\ x = n\text{-app } m f\ y$ and
 $hyp:$
 $\bigwedge m\ x\ y.$
 $x \in A \implies$
 $y \in A \implies$
 $m \leq n \implies$
 $n\text{-app } n f\ x = n\text{-app } m f\ y \implies$
 $\forall n' < n. n\text{-app } n' f\ x \in A \implies$
 $\forall m' < m. n\text{-app } m' f\ y \in A \implies$
 $finite\ A \implies finite\ B \implies bij\text{-betw } f\ A\ B \implies n\text{-app } (n - m) f\ x = y$
hence $m > 0 \longrightarrow f\ (n\text{-app } n f\ x) = f\ (n\text{-app } (m - 1) f\ y)$
using *Suc-pred' comp-apply n-app-suc*
by (*metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting)*)
moreover have $n\text{-app } n f\ x \in A$
using *x-in-A x-dom*
by *blast*
moreover have $m > 0 \longrightarrow n\text{-app } (m - 1) f\ y \in A$
using *y-dom*
by *simp*
ultimately have $m > 0 \longrightarrow n\text{-app } n f\ x = n\text{-app } (m - 1) f\ y$
using *bij-f*
unfolding *bij-betw-def inj-on-def*
by *blast*
moreover have $m - 1 \leq n$
using *m-leq-suc-n*
by *simp*
hence $m > 0 \longrightarrow n\text{-app } (n - (m - 1)) f\ x = y$
using *hyp x-in-A y-in-A x-dom y-dom Suc-pred fin-A fin-B*
 $\quad\quad\quad\textit{bij-f calculation less-SucI}$
unfolding *One-nat-def*
by *metis*
thus $n\text{-app } (Suc\ n - m) f\ x = y$
using *eq*
by *force*
qed

lemma *n-app-inv:*

fixes
 $A\ B :: 'x\ set$ and
 $f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'x$ and
 $n :: nat$ and
 $x :: 'x$
assumes
 $x \in B$ and
 $\forall m \geq 0. m < n \longrightarrow n\text{-app } m\ (the\text{-inv-into } A\ f)\ x \in B$ and
 $\quad\quad\quad\textit{bij-betw } f\ A\ B$
shows $n\text{-app } n f\ (n\text{-app } n\ (the\text{-inv-into } A\ f)\ x) = x$
using *assms*
proof (*induction n f arbitrary: x rule: n-app.induct*)

```

case (1 f)
fix f :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  'x
show ?case
  by simp
next
case (2 n f)
fix
  n :: nat and
  f :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  'x and
  x :: 'x
assume
  x-in-B: x  $\in$  B and
  bij-f: bij-betw f A B and
  stays-in-B:  $\forall m \geq 0. m < \text{Suc } n \longrightarrow n\text{-app } m (\text{the-inv-into } A f) x \in B$  and
  hyp:  $\bigwedge x. x \in B \Longrightarrow$ 
     $\forall m \geq 0. m < n \longrightarrow n\text{-app } m (\text{the-inv-into } A f) x \in B \Longrightarrow$ 
    bij-betw f A B  $\Longrightarrow n\text{-app } n f (n\text{-app } n (\text{the-inv-into } A f) x) = x$ 
have n-app (Suc n) f (n-app (Suc n) (the-inv-into A f) x) =
  n-app n f (f (n-app (Suc n) (the-inv-into A f) x))
  using n-app-rewrite
  by simp
also have ... = n-app n f (n-app n (the-inv-into A f) x)
  using stays-in-B bij-f
  by (simp add: f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw)
finally show n-app (Suc n) f (n-app (Suc n) (the-inv-into A f) x) = x
  using hyp bij-f stays-in-B x-in-B
  by simp
qed

```

lemma *bij-betw-finite-ind-global-bij*:

```

fixes
  A B :: 'x set and
  f :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  'x
assumes
  fin-A: finite A and
  fin-B: finite B and
  bij-f: bij-betw f A B
obtains g :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  'x where
  bij g and
   $\forall a \in A. g a = f a$  and
   $\forall b \in B - A. g b \in A - B \wedge (\exists n > 0. n\text{-app } n f (g b) = b)$  and
   $\forall x \in \text{UNIV} - A - B. g x = x$ 
proof –
assume existence-witness:
   $\bigwedge g. \text{bij } g \Longrightarrow$ 
     $\forall a \in A. g a = f a \Longrightarrow$ 
     $\forall b \in B - A. g b \in A - B \wedge (\exists n > 0. n\text{-app } n f (g b) = b) \Longrightarrow$ 
     $\forall x \in \text{UNIV} - A - B. g x = x \Longrightarrow ?thesis$ 
have bij-inv: bij-betw (the-inv-into A f) B A

```

using *bij-f bij-betw-the-inv-into*
by *blast*
then obtain $g' :: 'x \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**
 $g'\text{-greater-zero}: \forall x \in B - A. g' x > 0$ **and**
 $\text{in-set-diff}: \forall x \in B - A. n\text{-app } (g' x) (\text{the-inv-into } A f) x \in A - B$ **and**
 $\text{minimal}: \forall x \in B - A. \forall n > 0.$
 $n < g' x \longrightarrow n\text{-app } n (\text{the-inv-into } A f) x \in B \cap A$
using *n-app-leaves-set fin-A fin-B*
by *metis*
obtain $g :: 'x \Rightarrow 'x$ **where**
 $\text{def-g}:$
 $g = (\lambda x. \text{if } x \in A \text{ then } f x \text{ else}$
 $\quad (\text{if } x \in B - A \text{ then } n\text{-app } (g' x) (\text{the-inv-into } A f) x \text{ else } x))$
by *simp*
hence *coincide*: $\forall a \in A. g a = f a$
by *simp*
have *id*: $\forall x \in \text{UNIV} - A - B. g x = x$
using *def-g*
by *simp*
have $\forall x \in B - A. n\text{-app } 0 (\text{the-inv-into } A f) x \in B$
by *simp*
moreover have
 $\forall x \in B - A. \forall n > 0.$
 $n < g' x \longrightarrow n\text{-app } n (\text{the-inv-into } A f) x \in B$
using *minimal*
by *blast*
ultimately have
 $\forall x \in B - A. n\text{-app } (g' x) f (n\text{-app } (g' x) (\text{the-inv-into } A f) x) = x$
using *n-app-inv bij-f DiffD1 antisym-conv2*
by *metis*
hence $\forall x \in B - A. n\text{-app } (g' x) f (g x) = x$
using *def-g*
by *simp*
with *g'-greater-zero in-set-diff*
have *reverse*: $\forall x \in B - A. g x \in A - B \wedge (\exists n > 0. n\text{-app } n f (g x) = x)$
using *def-g*
by *auto*
have $\forall x \in \text{UNIV} - A - B. g x = \text{id } x$
using *def-g*
by *simp*
hence $g \text{ ` } (\text{UNIV} - A - B) = \text{UNIV} - A - B$
by *simp*
moreover have $g \text{ ` } A = B$
using *def-g bij-f*
unfolding *bij-betw-def*
by *simp*
moreover have $A \cup (\text{UNIV} - A - B) = \text{UNIV} - (B - A)$
 $\quad \wedge B \cup (\text{UNIV} - A - B) = \text{UNIV} - (A - B)$
by *blast*

ultimately have $\text{surj-cases: } g \text{ ' } (UNIV - (B - A)) = UNIV - (A - B)$
using image-Un
by metis
have $\text{inj-on } g \ A \wedge \text{inj-on } g \ (UNIV - A - B)$
using def-g bij-f
unfolding $\text{bij-betw-def inj-on-def}$
by simp
hence $\text{inj-cases: inj-on } g \ (UNIV - (B - A))$
unfolding inj-on-def
using $\text{DiffD2 DiffI bij-f bij-betwE def-g}$
by $(\text{metis } (\text{no-types, lifting}))$
have $\text{card } A = \text{card } B$
using $\text{fin-A fin-B bij-f bij-betw-same-card}$
by blast
with fin-A fin-B
have $\text{finite } (B - A) \wedge \text{finite } (A - B) \wedge \text{card } (B - A) = \text{card } (A - B)$
using $\text{card-le-sym-Diff finite-Diff2 nle-le}$
by metis
moreover have $(\lambda x. n\text{-app } (g' x) \ (the\text{-inv-into } A \ f) \ x) \text{ ' } (B - A) \subseteq A - B$
using in-set-diff
by blast
moreover have $\text{inj-on } (\lambda x. n\text{-app } (g' x) \ (the\text{-inv-into } A \ f) \ x) \ (B - A)$
proof $(\text{unfold inj-on-def, safe})$
fix $x \ y :: 'x$
assume
 $x\text{-in-B: } x \in B \text{ and}$
 $x\text{-not-in-A: } x \notin A \text{ and}$
 $y\text{-in-B: } y \in B \text{ and}$
 $y\text{-not-in-A: } y \notin A \text{ and}$
 $n\text{-app } (g' x) \ (the\text{-inv-into } A \ f) \ x = n\text{-app } (g' y) \ (the\text{-inv-into } A \ f) \ y$
moreover from this have
 $\forall n < g' x. n\text{-app } n \ (the\text{-inv-into } A \ f) \ x \in B \text{ and}$
 $\forall n < g' y. n\text{-app } n \ (the\text{-inv-into } A \ f) \ y \in B$
using $\text{minimal Diff-iff Int-iff bot-nat-0.not-eq-extremum eq-id-iff n-app-id}$
by (metis, metis)
ultimately have $x\text{-to-y:}$
 $n\text{-app } (g' x - g' y) \ (the\text{-inv-into } A \ f) \ x = y$
 $\vee n\text{-app } (g' y - g' x) \ (the\text{-inv-into } A \ f) \ y = x$
using $\text{x-in-B y-in-B bij-inv fin-A fin-B}$
 $n\text{-app-rev[of } x] \ n\text{-app-rev[of } y \ B \ x \ g' x \ g' y]$
by fastforce
hence $g' x \neq g' y \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists n > 0. n < g' x \wedge n\text{-app } n \ (the\text{-inv-into } A \ f) \ x \in B - A) \vee$
 $(\exists n > 0. n < g' y \wedge n\text{-app } n \ (the\text{-inv-into } A \ f) \ y \in B - A))$
using $\text{g'-greater-zero x-in-B x-not-in-A y-in-B y-not-in-A Diff-iff}$
 $\text{diff-less-mono2 diff-zero id-apply less-Suc-eq-0-disj n-app.elims}$
by $(\text{metis } (\text{full-types}))$
thus $x = y$
using $\text{minimal x-in-B x-not-in-A y-in-B y-not-in-A x-to-y}$

by *force*
 qed
 ultimately have
 bij-betw $(\lambda x. n\text{-app } (g' x) (the\text{-inv-into } A f) x) (B - A) (A - B)$
 unfolding *bij-betw-def*
 by (*simp add: card-image card-subset-eq*)
 hence *bij-case: bij-betw* $g (B - A) (A - B)$
 using *def-g*
 unfolding *bij-betw-def inj-on-def*
 by *simp*
 hence $g \text{ ' } UNIV = UNIV$
 using *surj-cases Un-Diff-cancel2 image-Un sup-top-left*
 unfolding *bij-betw-def*
 by *metis*
 moreover have *inj* g
 using *inj-cases bij-case DiffD2 DiffI imageI surj-cases*
 unfolding *bij-betw-def inj-def inj-on-def*
 by *metis*
 ultimately have *bij* g
 unfolding *bij-def*
 by *safe*
 thus ?thesis
 using *coincide id reverse existence-witness*
 by *blast*
 qed

lemma *bij-betw-ext*:
 fixes
 $f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$ and
 $X :: 'x \text{ set}$ and
 $Y :: 'y \text{ set}$
 assumes *bij-betw* $f X Y$
 shows *bij-betw* $(extensional\text{-continuation } f X) X Y$
 proof –
 have $\forall x \in X. extensional\text{-continuation } f X x = f x$
 by *simp*
 thus ?thesis
 using *assms bij-betw-cong*
 by *metis*
 qed

1.10.3 Anonymity Lemmas

lemma *anon-rel-vote-count*:
 fixes
 $\mathcal{E} :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election set}$ and
 $E E' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}$
 assumes
 finite $(voters\text{-}\mathcal{E} E)$ and

$(E, E') \in \text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{E}$
shows $\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E = \text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \wedge E \in \mathcal{E}$
 $\wedge (\forall p. \text{vote-count } p \ E = \text{vote-count } p \ E')$
proof –
have $E \in \mathcal{E}$
using *assms*
unfolding $\text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}}.\text{sims}$ *action-induced-rel.sims*
by *safe*
with *assms*
obtain $\pi :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$ **where**
 $\text{bijection-}\pi$: *bij* π **and**
 renamed : $E' = \text{rename } \pi \ E$
unfolding $\text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}}.\text{sims}$ *bijection_{VG}-def*
using *universal-set-carrier-imp-bij-group*
by *auto*
have eq-alts : $\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E' = \text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E$
using *eq-fst-iff* rename.sims $\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E}.\text{elims}$ *renamed*
by (*metis* (*no-types*))
have $\forall v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. (\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E') \ v = (\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E) (\text{the-inv } \pi \ v)$
unfolding $\text{profile-}\mathcal{E}.\text{sims}$
using renamed rename.sims *comp-apply* *prod.collapse* *snd-conv*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*))
hence *rewrite*:
 $\forall p. \{v \in (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E'). (\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E') \ v = p\} =$
 $\{v \in (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E'). (\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E) (\text{the-inv } \pi \ v) = p\}$
by *blast*
have $\forall v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \text{the-inv } \pi \ v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E$
unfolding $\text{voters-}\mathcal{E}.\text{sims}$
using renamed *UNIV-I* $\text{bijection-}\pi$ *bij-betw-imp-surj* *bij-is-inj* *f-the-inv-into-f*
 prod.sel *inj-image-mem-iff* prod.collapse rename.sims
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*))
hence
 $\forall p. \forall v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. (\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E) (\text{the-inv } \pi \ v) = p$
 $\longrightarrow v \in \pi^{-1} \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. (\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ v = p\}$
using $\text{bijection-}\pi$ *f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw* *image-iff*
by *fastforce*
hence *subset*:
 $\forall p. \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. (\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E) (\text{the-inv } \pi \ v) = p\} \subseteq$
 $\pi^{-1} \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. (\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ v = p\}$
by *blast*
from renamed **have** $\forall v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \pi \ v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E'$
unfolding $\text{voters-}\mathcal{E}.\text{sims}$
using $\text{bijection-}\pi$ *bij-is-inj* prod.sel *inj-image-mem-iff* prod.collapse rename.sims
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*))
hence
 $\forall p. \pi^{-1} \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. (\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ v = p\} \subseteq$
 $\{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. (\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E) (\text{the-inv } \pi \ v) = p\}$
using $\text{bijection-}\pi$ *bij-is-inj* *the-inv-f-f*
by *fastforce*

hence
 $\forall p. \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. (\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E') \ v = p\} =$
 $\pi \ \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. (\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ v = p\}$
using *subset rewrite*
by (*simp add: subset-antisym*)
moreover have
 $\forall p. \text{card} (\pi \ \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. (\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ v = p\}) =$
 $\text{card} \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. (\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ v = p\}$
using *bijection- π bij-betw-same-card bij-betw-subset top-greatest*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
ultimately show
 $\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E =$
 $\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \wedge E \in \mathcal{E} \wedge (\forall p. \text{vote-count } p \ E = \text{vote-count } p \ E')$
using *eq-alts assms*
by *simp*
qed

lemma *vote-count-anon-rel:*

fixes
 $\mathcal{E} :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election set}$ **and**
 $E \ E' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}$
assumes
 $\text{fin-voters-}E: \text{finite} (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E)$ **and**
 $\text{fin-voters-}E': \text{finite} (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E')$ **and**
 $\text{default-non-}v: \forall v. v \notin \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E \longrightarrow \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = \{\}$ **and**
 $\text{default-non-}v': \forall v. v \notin \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \longrightarrow \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \ v = \{\}$ **and**
 $\text{eq: alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E = \text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \wedge (E, E') \in \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}$
 $\wedge (\forall p. \text{vote-count } p \ E = \text{vote-count } p \ E')$
shows $(E, E') \in \text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}} \ \mathcal{E}$
proof –
have $\forall p. \text{card} \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} =$
 $\text{card} \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \ v = p\}$
using *eq*
unfolding *vote-count.simps*
by *blast*
moreover have
 $\forall p. \text{finite} \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\}$
 $\wedge \text{finite} \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \ v = p\}$
using *assms*
by *simp*
ultimately have
 $\forall p. \exists \pi_p. \text{bij-betw } \pi_p$
 $\{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\}$
 $\{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \ v = p\}$
using *bij-betw-iff-card*
by *blast*
then obtain $\pi :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation} \Rightarrow ('v \Rightarrow 'v)$ **where**
 $\text{bij-}\pi: \forall p. \text{bij-betw } (\pi \ p) \ \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\}$
 $\{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \ v = p\}$

by (*metis* (*no-types*))
obtain $\pi' :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$ **where**
 $\pi'\text{-perm}: \forall v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \pi' v = \pi (\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v) \ v$
 by *fastforce*
hence $\forall v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \forall v' \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E.$
 $\pi' v = \pi' v' \longrightarrow \pi (\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v) \ v = \pi (\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v') \ v'$
 by *simp*
moreover have
 $\forall w \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \forall w' \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E.$
 $\pi (\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ w) \ w = \pi (\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ w') \ w'$
 $\longrightarrow \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \ v = \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ w\}$
 $\cap \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \ v = \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ w'\} \neq \{\}$
 using *bij- π*
unfolding *bij-betw-def*
 by *blast*
moreover have
 $\forall w \ w'.$
 $\{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \ v = \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ w\}$
 $\cap \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \ v = \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ w'\} \neq \{\}$
 $\longrightarrow \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ w = \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ w'$
 by *blast*
ultimately have *eq-prof*:
 $\forall v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \forall v' \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E.$
 $\pi' v = \pi' v' \longrightarrow \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v'$
 by *blast*
hence $\forall v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \forall v' \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E.$
 $\pi' v = \pi' v' \longrightarrow \pi (\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v) \ v = \pi (\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v') \ v'$
 using $\pi'\text{-perm}$
 by *metis*
hence $\forall v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \forall v' \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \pi' v = \pi' v' \longrightarrow v = v'$
 using *bij- π eq-prof mem-Collect-eq*
unfolding *bij-betw-def inj-on-def*
 by (*metis* (*mono-tags, lifting*))
hence *inj*: *inj-on* $\pi' (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E)$
unfolding *inj-on-def*
 by *simp*
have $\pi' \text{ ` voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E = \{\pi (\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v) \ v \mid v. v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E\}$
 using $\pi'\text{-perm}$
unfolding *Setcompr-eq-image*
 by *simp*
also have
 $\dots = \bigcup \{\pi \ p \text{ ` } \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV}\}$
unfolding *Union-eq*
 by *blast*
also have
 $\dots = \bigcup \{\{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \ v = p\} \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV}\}$
 using *bij- π*
unfolding *bij-betw-def*
 by (*metis* (*mono-tags, lifting*))

finally have $\pi' \text{ ' voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E = \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E'$
by *blast*
with *inj* **have** *bij'*: *bij-betw* $\pi' (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E) (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E')$
using *bij- π*
unfolding *bij-betw-def*
by *blast*
then obtain $\pi\text{-global} :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$ **where**
bijection- π_g : *bij* $\pi\text{-global}$ **and**
 $\pi\text{-global-eq-}\pi'$: $\forall v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \pi\text{-global} \ v = \pi' \ v$ **and**
 $\pi\text{-global-eq-n-app-}\pi'$:
 $\forall v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E' - \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E.$
 $\pi\text{-global} \ v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E - \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \wedge$
 $(\exists n > 0. n\text{-app} \ n \ \pi' (\pi\text{-global} \ v) = v)$ **and**
 $\pi\text{-global-non-voters}$: $\forall v \in \text{UNIV} - \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E - \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \pi\text{-global} \ v = v$
using *fin-voters-E fin-voters-E' bij-betw-finite-ind-global-bij*
by *blast*
hence *inv*: $\forall v \ v'. (\pi\text{-global} \ v' = v) = (v' = \text{the-inv} \ \pi\text{-global} \ v)$
using *UNIV-I bij-betw-imp-inj-on bij-betw-imp-surj-on f-the-inv-into-f the-inv-f-f*
by *metis*
moreover have
 $\forall v \in \text{UNIV} - (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E' - \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E).$
 $\pi\text{-global} \ v \in \text{UNIV} - (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E - \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E')$
using $\pi\text{-global-eq-}\pi' \ \pi\text{-global-non-voters} \ \text{bij}' \ \text{bijection-}\pi_g$
DiffD1 DiffD2 DiffI bij-betwE
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
ultimately have
 $\forall v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E - \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E'.$
 $\text{the-inv} \ \pi\text{-global} \ v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E' - \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E$
using *bijection- π_g $\pi\text{-global-eq-n-app-}\pi'$ DiffD2 DiffI UNIV-I*
by *metis*
hence $\forall v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E - \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \forall n > 0.$
 $\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E (\text{the-inv} \ \pi\text{-global} \ v) = \{\}$
using *default-non-v*
by *simp*
moreover have $\forall v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E - \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \ v = \{\}$
using *default-non-v'*
by *simp*
ultimately have *comp-on-voters-diff*:
 $\forall v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E - \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E'.$
 $\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \ v = (\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \circ \text{the-inv} \ \pi\text{-global}) \ v$
by *auto*
have $\forall v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \exists v' \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \pi\text{-global} \ v' = v \wedge \pi' \ v' = v$
using *bij' imageE $\pi\text{-global-eq-}\pi'$*
unfolding *bij-betw-def*
by (*metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting)*)
hence $\forall v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \exists v' \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. v' = \text{the-inv} \ \pi\text{-global} \ v \wedge \pi' \ v' = v$
using *inv*
by *metis*
hence $\forall v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E'.$

$the_inv \pi_global v \in voters_E \ E \wedge \pi' (the_inv \pi_global v) = v$
by *blast*
moreover have $\forall v' \in voters_E \ E. profile_E \ E' (\pi' v') = profile_E \ E v'$
using $\pi'-perm \ bij_pi \ bij_betwE \ mem_Collect_eq$
by *fastforce*
ultimately have *comp-on-E'-voters*:
 $\forall v \in voters_E \ E'. profile_E \ E' v = (profile_E \ E \circ the_inv \pi_global) v$
unfolding *comp-def*
by *metis*
have $\forall v \in UNIV - voters_E \ E - voters_E \ E'.$
 $profile_E \ E' v = (profile_E \ E \circ the_inv \pi_global) v$
using $\pi_global_non_voters \ default_non_v \ default_non_v' \ inv$
by *simp*
hence $profile_E \ E' = profile_E \ E \circ the_inv \pi_global$
using *comp-on-voters-diff comp-on-E'-voters*
by *blast*
moreover have $\pi_global \ ' (voters_E \ E) = voters_E \ E'$
using $\pi_global_eq_pi' \ bij' \ bij_betw_imp_surj_on$
by *fastforce*
ultimately have $E' = rename \ \pi_global \ E$
using *rename.simps eq prod.collapse*
unfolding *voters-E.simps profile-E.simps alternatives-E.simps*
by *metis*
thus *?thesis*
unfolding *extensional-continuation.simps anonymity_R.simps*
action-induced-rel.simps φ -anon.simps bijection_{VG}-def
using *eq bijection- π_g case-prodI rewrite-carrier*
by *auto*
qed

lemma *rename-comp*:
fixes $\pi \ \pi' :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$
assumes
 $bij \ \pi$ **and**
 $bij \ \pi'$
shows $rename \ \pi \circ rename \ \pi' = rename \ (\pi \circ \pi')$

proof
fix $E :: ('a, 'v) \ Election$
have $rename \ \pi' \ E =$
 $(alternatives_E \ E, \pi' \ ' (voters_E \ E), (profile_E \ E) \circ (the_inv \ \pi'))$
unfolding *alternatives-E.simps voters-E.simps profile-E.simps*
using *prod.collapse rename.simps*
by *metis*
hence
 $(rename \ \pi \circ rename \ \pi') \ E =$
 $rename \ \pi \ (alternatives_E \ E, \pi' \ ' (voters_E \ E), (profile_E \ E) \circ (the_inv \ \pi'))$
unfolding *comp-def*
by *presburger*
also have

```

... = (alternatives- $\mathcal{E}$  E,  $\pi \circ \pi' \circ (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} E)$ ,
      (profile- $\mathcal{E} E) \circ (\text{the-inv } \pi') \circ (\text{the-inv } \pi))$ 
  by simp
also have
... = (alternatives- $\mathcal{E}$  E,  $(\pi \circ \pi') \circ (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} E)$ ,
      (profile- $\mathcal{E} E) \circ \text{the-inv } (\pi \circ \pi')$ )
  using assms the-inv-comp[of  $\pi \circ \pi'$ ]
  unfolding comp-def image-image
  by simp
finally show (rename  $\pi \circ \text{rename } \pi'$ ) E = rename  $(\pi \circ \pi')$  E
  unfolding alternatives- $\mathcal{E}$ .simps voters- $\mathcal{E}$ .simps profile- $\mathcal{E}$ .simps
  using prod.collapse rename.simps
  by metis
qed

```

interpretation anonymous-group-action:

group-action bijection_{VG} well-formed-elections φ -anon well-formed-elections

proof (unfold group-action-def group-hom-def bijection_{VG}-def
 group-hom-axioms-def hom-def, intro conjI group-BijGroup, safe)

fix $\pi :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$

assume *bij-carrier*: $\pi \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup UNIV})$

hence *bij- π* : *bij* π

using *rewrite-carrier*

by *blast*

hence *rename $\pi \circ \text{well-formed-elections} = \text{well-formed-elections}$*

using *rename-surj* *bij- π*

by *blast*

moreover have *inj-on* (rename π) *well-formed-elections*

using *rename-inj* *bij- π* *subset-inj-on*

by *blast*

ultimately have *bij-betw* (rename π) *well-formed-elections* *well-formed-elections*

unfolding *bij-betw-def*

by *blast*

hence *bij-betw* (φ -anon *well-formed-elections* π) *well-formed-elections* *well-formed-elections*

unfolding φ -anon.simps *extensional-continuation.simps*

using *bij-betw-ext*

by *simp*

moreover have φ -anon *well-formed-elections* $\pi \in \text{extensional well-formed-elections}$

unfolding *extensional-def*

by *force*

ultimately show *bij-car-elect*:

φ -anon *well-formed-elections* $\pi \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup well-formed-elections})$

unfolding *BijGroup-def* *Bij-def*

by *simp*

fix $\pi' :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$

assume *bij-carrier*: $\pi' \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup UNIV})$

hence *bij- π'* : *bij* π'

using *rewrite-carrier*

by *blast*

hence $\text{rename } \pi' \text{ 'well-formed-elections} = \text{well-formed-elections}$
using $\text{rename-surj } \text{bij-}\pi$
by blast
moreover have $\text{inj-on } (\text{rename } \pi') \text{ well-formed-elections}$
using $\text{rename-inj } \text{bij-}\pi' \text{ subset-inj-on}$
by blast
ultimately have $\text{bij-betw } (\text{rename } \pi') \text{ well-formed-elections well-formed-elections}$
unfolding bij-betw-def
by blast
hence $\text{bij-betw } (\varphi\text{-anon well-formed-elections } \pi') \text{ well-formed-elections well-formed-elections}$
unfolding $\varphi\text{-anon.simps extensional-continuation.simps}$
using bij-betw-ext
by simp
moreover from this have wf-closed' :
 $\varphi\text{-anon well-formed-elections } \pi' \text{ 'well-formed-elections} \subseteq \text{well-formed-elections}$
using $\text{bij-betw-imp-surj-on}$
by blast
moreover have $\varphi\text{-anon well-formed-elections } \pi' \in \text{extensional well-formed-elections}$
unfolding extensional-def
by force
ultimately have bij-car-elect' :
 $\varphi\text{-anon well-formed-elections } \pi' \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup well-formed-elections})$
unfolding $\text{BijGroup-def Bij-def}$
by simp
have
 $\varphi\text{-anon well-formed-elections } \pi$
 $\otimes \text{BijGroup well-formed-elections } (\varphi\text{-anon well-formed-elections } \pi') =$
 $\text{extensional-continuation}$
 $(\varphi\text{-anon well-formed-elections } \pi \circ \varphi\text{-anon well-formed-elections } \pi') \text{ well-formed-elections}$
using $\text{rewrite-mult } \text{bij-car-elect } \text{bij-car-elect'}$
by blast
moreover have
 $\forall E \in \text{well-formed-elections.}$
 $\text{extensional-continuation}$
 $(\varphi\text{-anon well-formed-elections } \pi \circ \varphi\text{-anon well-formed-elections } \pi') \text{ well-formed-elections } E =$
 $(\varphi\text{-anon well-formed-elections } \pi \circ \varphi\text{-anon well-formed-elections } \pi') E$
by simp
moreover have
 $\forall E \in \text{well-formed-elections.}$
 $(\varphi\text{-anon well-formed-elections } \pi \circ \varphi\text{-anon well-formed-elections } \pi') E =$
 $\text{rename } \pi (\text{rename } \pi' E)$
unfolding $\varphi\text{-anon.simps}$
using wf-closed'
by auto
moreover have
 $\forall E \in \text{well-formed-elections. } \text{rename } \pi (\text{rename } \pi' E) = \text{rename } (\pi \circ \pi') E$
using $\text{rename-comp } \text{bij-}\pi \text{ bij-}\pi' \text{ comp-apply}$
by metis

moreover have
 $\forall E \in \text{well-formed-elections}. \text{rename } (\pi \circ \pi') E =$
 $\varphi\text{-anon well-formed-elections } (\pi \otimes \text{BijGroup UNIV } \pi') E$
unfolding $\varphi\text{-anon.simps}$
using $\text{rewrite-mult-univ bij-}\pi \text{ bij-}\pi' \text{ rewrite-carrier mem-Collect-eq}$
by fastforce
moreover have
 $\forall E. E \notin \text{well-formed-elections}$
 $\longrightarrow \text{extensional-continuation}$
 $(\varphi\text{-anon well-formed-elections } \pi$
 $\circ \varphi\text{-anon well-formed-elections } \pi') \text{ well-formed-elections } E =$
 undefined
by simp
moreover have
 $\forall E. E \notin \text{well-formed-elections}$
 $\longrightarrow \varphi\text{-anon well-formed-elections } (\pi \otimes \text{BijGroup UNIV } \pi') E =$
 undefined
by simp
ultimately have
 $\forall E. \varphi\text{-anon well-formed-elections } (\pi \otimes \text{BijGroup UNIV } \pi') E =$
 $(\varphi\text{-anon well-formed-elections } \pi$
 $\otimes \text{BijGroup well-formed-elections } \varphi\text{-anon well-formed-elections } \pi') E$
by metis
thus $\varphi\text{-anon well-formed-elections } (\pi \otimes \text{BijGroup UNIV } \pi') =$
 $\varphi\text{-anon well-formed-elections } \pi$
 $\otimes \text{BijGroup well-formed-elections } \varphi\text{-anon well-formed-elections } \pi'$
by blast
qed

lemma (**in result**) *anonymity-action-presv-symmetry: is-symmetry* $(\lambda E. \text{limit}$
 $(\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} E) \text{ UNIV}) (\text{Invariance } (\text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}} \text{ well-formed-elections}))$
unfolding $\text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}}.\text{simps}$
by clarsimp

1.10.4 Neutrality Lemmas

lemma *rel-rename-helper:*
fixes
 $r :: 'a \text{ rel}$ **and**
 $\pi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **and**
 $a \ b :: 'a$
assumes $\text{bij } \pi$
shows $(\pi a, \pi b) \in \{(\pi x, \pi y) \mid x \ y. (x, y) \in r\}$
 $\longleftrightarrow (a, b) \in \{(x, y) \mid x \ y. (x, y) \in r\}$
proof (*safe*)
fix $x \ y :: 'a$
assume
 $(x, y) \in r$ **and**
 $\pi a = \pi x$ **and**

```

     $\pi b = \pi y$ 
  thus  $\exists x y. (a, b) = (x, y) \wedge (x, y) \in r$ 
    using assms bij-is-inj the-inv-f-f
    by metis
next
  fix  $x y :: 'a$ 
  assume  $(a, b) \in r$ 
  thus  $\exists x y. (\pi a, \pi b) = (\pi x, \pi y) \wedge (x, y) \in r$ 
    by metis
qed

lemma rel-rename-comp:
  fixes  $\pi \pi' :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ 
  shows  $\text{rel-rename } (\pi \circ \pi') = \text{rel-rename } \pi \circ \text{rel-rename } \pi'$ 
proof
  fix  $r :: 'a \text{ rel}$ 
  have  $\text{rel-rename } (\pi \circ \pi') r = \{(\pi (\pi' a), \pi (\pi' b)) \mid a b. (a, b) \in r\}$ 
    by simp
  also have  $\dots = \{(\pi a, \pi b) \mid a b. (a, b) \in \text{rel-rename } \pi' r\}$ 
    unfolding rel-rename.simps
    by blast
  finally show  $\text{rel-rename } (\pi \circ \pi') r = (\text{rel-rename } \pi \circ \text{rel-rename } \pi') r$ 
    unfolding comp-def
    by simp
qed

lemma rel-rename-sound:
  fixes
     $\pi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$  and
     $r :: 'a \text{ rel}$  and
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ 
  assumes inj  $\pi$ 
  shows
     $\text{refl-on } A r \longrightarrow \text{refl-on } (\pi ' A) (\text{rel-rename } \pi r)$  and
     $\text{antisym } r \longrightarrow \text{antisym } (\text{rel-rename } \pi r)$  and
     $\text{total-on } A r \longrightarrow \text{total-on } (\pi ' A) (\text{rel-rename } \pi r)$  and
     $\text{Relation.trans } r \longrightarrow \text{Relation.trans } (\text{rel-rename } \pi r)$ 
proof (unfold antisym-def total-on-def Relation.trans-def, safe)
  assume refl-on  $A r$ 
  thus refl-on  $(\pi ' A) (\text{rel-rename } \pi r)$ 
    unfolding refl-on-def rel-rename.simps
    by blast
next
  fix  $a b :: 'a$ 
  assume
     $(a, b) \in \text{rel-rename } \pi r$  and
     $(b, a) \in \text{rel-rename } \pi r$ 
  then obtain
     $c c' d d' :: 'a$  where

```

$c\text{-rel-}d: (c, d) \in r$ **and**
 $d'\text{-rel-}c': (d', c') \in r$ **and**
 $\pi_c\text{-eq-}a: \pi\ c = a$ **and**
 $\pi_{c'}\text{-eq-}a: \pi\ c' = a$ **and**
 $\pi_d\text{-eq-}b: \pi\ d = b$ **and**
 $\pi_{d'}\text{-eq-}b: \pi\ d' = b$
unfolding *rel-rename.simps*
by *auto*
hence $c = c' \wedge d = d'$
using *assms*
unfolding *inj-def*
by *presburger*
moreover assume $\forall\ a\ b. (a, b) \in r \longrightarrow (b, a) \in r \longrightarrow a = b$
ultimately have $c = d$
using $d'\text{-rel-}c'\ c\text{-rel-}d$
by *simp*
thus $a = b$
using $\pi_c\text{-eq-}a\ \pi_d\text{-eq-}b$
by *simp*
next
fix $a\ b :: 'a$
assume
 $total: \forall\ x \in A. \forall\ y \in A. x \neq y \longrightarrow (x, y) \in r \vee (y, x) \in r$ **and**
 $a\text{-in-}A: a \in A$ **and**
 $b\text{-in-}A: b \in A$ **and**
 $\pi_a\text{-neq-}\pi_b: \pi\ a \neq \pi\ b$ **and**
 $\pi_b\text{-not-rel-}\pi_a: (\pi\ b, \pi\ a) \notin rel\text{-rename}\ \pi\ r$
hence $(b, a) \notin r \wedge a \neq b$
unfolding *rel-rename.simps*
by *blast*
hence $(a, b) \in r$
using $a\text{-in-}A\ b\text{-in-}A\ total$
by *blast*
thus $(\pi\ a, \pi\ b) \in rel\text{-rename}\ \pi\ r$
unfolding *rel-rename.simps*
by *blast*
next
fix $a\ b\ c :: 'a$
assume
 $(a, b) \in rel\text{-rename}\ \pi\ r$ **and**
 $(b, c) \in rel\text{-rename}\ \pi\ r$
then obtain
 $d\ e\ s\ t :: 'a$ **where**
 $d\text{-rel-}e: (d, e) \in r$ **and**
 $s\text{-rel-}t: (s, t) \in r$ **and**
 $\pi_d\text{-eq-}a: \pi\ d = a$ **and**
 $\pi_s\text{-eq-}b: \pi\ s = b$ **and**
 $\pi_t\text{-eq-}c: \pi\ t = c$ **and**
 $\pi_e\text{-eq-}b: \pi\ e = b$

unfolding *alternatives- \mathcal{E} .simps voters- \mathcal{E} .simps profile- \mathcal{E} .simps*
using *rel-rename.simps Pair-inject mem-Collect-eq*
by *auto*
hence $s = e$
using *assms rangeI range-ex1-eq*
by *metis*
hence $(d, e) \in r \wedge (e, t) \in r$
using *d-rel-e s-rel-t*
by *simp*
moreover assume $\forall x y z. (x, y) \in r \longrightarrow (y, z) \in r \longrightarrow (x, z) \in r$
ultimately have $(d, t) \in r$
by *blast*
thus $(a, c) \in \text{rel-rename } \pi \ r$
unfolding *rel-rename.simps*
using *$\pi_d\text{-eq-}a \ \pi_t\text{-eq-}c$*
by *blast*
qed

lemma *rename-subset*:
fixes
 $r \ s :: 'a \ \text{rel}$ **and**
 $a \ b :: 'a$ **and**
 $\pi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$
assumes
 $\text{bij-}\pi$: $\text{bij } \pi$ **and**
 $\text{rel-rename } \pi \ r = \text{rel-rename } \pi \ s$ **and**
 $(a, b) \in r$
shows $(a, b) \in s$
proof –
have $(\pi \ a, \pi \ b) \in \{(\pi \ a, \pi \ b) \mid a \ b. (a, b) \in s\}$
using *assms*
unfolding *rel-rename.simps*
by *blast*
hence $\exists c \ d. (c, d) \in s \wedge \pi \ c = \pi \ a \wedge \pi \ d = \pi \ b$
by *fastforce*
moreover have $\forall c \ d. \pi \ c = \pi \ d \longrightarrow c = d$
using *bij- π bij-pointE*
by *metis*
ultimately show $(a, b) \in s$
by *blast*
qed

lemma *rel-rename-bij*:
fixes $\pi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$
assumes $\text{bij-}\pi$: $\text{bij } \pi$
shows $\text{bij } (\text{rel-rename } \pi)$
proof (*unfold bij-def inj-def surj-def, safe*)
fix
 $r \ s :: 'a \ \text{rel}$ **and**


```

  a b :: 'a
assume rename: rel-rename  $\pi$  r = rel-rename  $\pi$  s
{
  moreover assume (a, b)  $\in$  r
  ultimately have ( $\pi$  a,  $\pi$  b)  $\in$  {( $\pi$  a,  $\pi$  b) | a b. (a, b)  $\in$  s}
    unfolding rel-rename.simps
    by blast
  hence  $\exists$  c d. (c, d)  $\in$  s  $\wedge$   $\pi$  c =  $\pi$  a  $\wedge$   $\pi$  d =  $\pi$  b
    by fastforce
  moreover have  $\forall$  c d.  $\pi$  c =  $\pi$  d  $\longrightarrow$  c = d
    using bij- $\pi$  bij-pointE
    by metis
  ultimately show subset: (a, b)  $\in$  s
    by blast
}
moreover assume (a, b)  $\in$  s
ultimately show (a, b)  $\in$  r
  using rename rename-subset bij- $\pi$ 
  by (metis (no-types))
next
fix r :: 'a rel
have rel-rename  $\pi$  {(the-inv  $\pi$ ) a, (the-inv  $\pi$ ) b | a b. (a, b)  $\in$  r} =
  {( $\pi$  ((the-inv  $\pi$ ) a),  $\pi$  ((the-inv  $\pi$ ) b)) | a b. (a, b)  $\in$  r}
  by auto
also have ... = {(a, b) | a b. (a, b)  $\in$  r}
  using the-inv-f-f bij- $\pi$ 
  by (simp add: f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw)
finally have rel-rename  $\pi$  (rel-rename (the-inv  $\pi$ ) r) = r
  by simp
thus  $\exists$  s. r = rel-rename  $\pi$  s
  by blast
qed

lemma alternatives-rename-comp:
  fixes  $\pi$   $\pi'$  :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a
  shows alternatives-rename  $\pi$   $\circ$  alternatives-rename  $\pi'$  =
    alternatives-rename ( $\pi$   $\circ$   $\pi'$ )
proof
  fix  $\mathcal{E}$  :: ('a, 'v) Election
  have (alternatives-rename  $\pi$   $\circ$  alternatives-rename  $\pi'$ )  $\mathcal{E}$  =
    ( $\pi$  '  $\pi'$  ' (alternatives- $\mathcal{E}$   $\mathcal{E}$ ), voters- $\mathcal{E}$   $\mathcal{E}$ ,
    (rel-rename  $\pi$ )  $\circ$  (rel-rename  $\pi'$ )  $\circ$  (profile- $\mathcal{E}$   $\mathcal{E}$ ))
    by (simp add: fun.map-comp)
  also have
    ... = (( $\pi$   $\circ$   $\pi'$ ) ' (alternatives- $\mathcal{E}$   $\mathcal{E}$ ), voters- $\mathcal{E}$   $\mathcal{E}$ ,
    (rel-rename ( $\pi$   $\circ$   $\pi'$ ))  $\circ$  (profile- $\mathcal{E}$   $\mathcal{E}$ ))
    using rel-rename-comp image-comp
    by metis
  also have ... = alternatives-rename ( $\pi$   $\circ$   $\pi'$ )  $\mathcal{E}$ 

```

by *simp*
 finally show
 (alternatives-rename $\pi \circ \text{alternatives-rename } \pi'$) $\mathcal{E} =$
 alternatives-rename ($\pi \circ \pi'$) \mathcal{E}
 by *blast*
 qed

lemma *alternatives-rename-sound*:

fixes
 $A \ A' :: 'a \text{ set}$ and
 $V \ V' :: 'v \text{ set}$ and
 $p \ p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ and
 $\pi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$
 assumes
 $\text{bij-}\pi$: $\text{bij } \pi$ and
 wf-elects : $(A, V, p) \in \text{well-formed-elections}$ and
 renamed : $(A', V', p') = \text{alternatives-rename } \pi \ (A, V, p)$
 shows $(A', V', p') \in \text{well-formed-elections}$
 proof –
 have
 $A' = \pi \ ` A$ and
 $V = V'$
 using *renamed*
 by (*simp*, *simp*)
 moreover from *this* have $\forall v \in V'. \text{linear-order-on } A \ (p \ v)$
 using *wf-elects*
 unfolding *well-formed-elections-def* *profile-def*
 by *simp*
 moreover have $\forall v \in V'. p' \ v = \text{rel-rename } \pi \ (p \ v)$
 using *renamed*
 by *simp*
 ultimately have $\forall v \in V'. \text{linear-order-on } A' \ (p' \ v)$
 unfolding *linear-order-on-def* *partial-order-on-def* *preorder-on-def*
 using $\text{bij-}\pi$ *rel-rename-sound* *bij-is-inj*
 by *metis*
 thus $(A', V', p') \in \text{well-formed-elections}$
 unfolding *well-formed-elections-def* *profile-def*
 by *simp*
 qed

lemma *alternatives-rename-bij*:

fixes $\pi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$
 assumes $\text{bij-}\pi$: $\text{bij } \pi$
 shows $\text{bij-betw } (\text{alternatives-rename } \pi) \ \text{well-formed-elections} \ \text{well-formed-elections}$
 proof (unfold *bij-betw-def*, *safe*, *intro inj-onI*, *clarify*)
 fix
 $A \ A' :: 'a \text{ set}$ and
 $V \ V' :: 'v \text{ set}$ and
 $p \ p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$

assume
renamed: $\text{alternatives-rename } \pi (A, V, p) = \text{alternatives-rename } \pi (A', V', p')$
hence
 $\pi\text{-eq-img-}A\text{-}A'$: $\pi \text{ ' } A = \pi \text{ ' } A'$ **and**
rel-rename-eq: $\text{rel-rename } \pi \circ p = \text{rel-rename } \pi \circ p'$
by (*simp*, *simp*)
hence $(\text{the-inv } (\text{rel-rename } \pi)) \circ \text{rel-rename } \pi \circ p =$
 $(\text{the-inv } (\text{rel-rename } \pi)) \circ \text{rel-rename } \pi \circ p'$
using *fun.map-comp*
by *metis*
also have $(\text{the-inv } (\text{rel-rename } \pi)) \circ \text{rel-rename } \pi = \text{id}$
using *bij- π rel-rename-bij inv-o-cancel surj-imp-inv-eq the-inv-f-f*
unfolding *bij-betw-def*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *opaque-lifting*))
finally have $p = p'$
by *simp*
hence
 $A = A'$ **and**
 $p = p'$
using *bij- π π -eq-img- A - A' bij-betw-imp-inj-on inj-image-eq-iff*
by (*metis*, *safe*)
thus $A = A' \wedge (V, p) = (V', p')$
using *renamed*
by *simp*
next
fix
 $A \ A' :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V \ V' :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p \ p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$
assume *renamed*: $(A', V', p') = \text{alternatives-rename } \pi (A, V, p)$
hence *rewr*: $V = V' \wedge A' = \pi \text{ ' } A$
by *simp*
moreover assume $(A, V, p) \in \text{well-formed-elections}$
ultimately have $\forall v \in V'. \text{linear-order-on } A (p \ v)$
unfolding *well-formed-elections-def profile-def*
by *simp*
moreover have $\forall v \in V'. p' \ v = \text{rel-rename } \pi (p \ v)$
using *renamed*
by *simp*
ultimately have $\forall v \in V'. \text{linear-order-on } A' (p' \ v)$
unfolding *linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def preorder-on-def*
using *rewr rel-rename-sound bij-is-inj assms*
by *metis*
thus $(A', V', p') \in \text{well-formed-elections}$
unfolding *well-formed-elections-def profile-def*
by *simp*
next
fix
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

```

    V :: 'v set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
  assume wf-elects: (A, V, p) ∈ well-formed-elections
  have rename-inv:
    alternatives-rename (the-inv π) (A, V, p) =
      ((the-inv π) ' A, V, rel-rename (the-inv π) ∘ p)
  by simp
  also have
    alternatives-rename π ((the-inv π) ' A, V, rel-rename (the-inv π) ∘ p) =
      (π ' (the-inv π) ' A, V, rel-rename π ∘ rel-rename (the-inv π) ∘ p)
  by auto
  also have ... = (A, V, rel-rename (π ∘ the-inv π) ∘ p)
  using bij-π rel-rename-comp[of π] the-inv-f-f
  by (simp add: bij-betw-imp-surj-on bij-is-inj f-the-inv-into-f image-comp)
  also have (A, V, rel-rename (π ∘ the-inv π) ∘ p) = (A, V, rel-rename id ∘ p)
  using UNIV-I assms comp-apply f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw id-apply
  by metis
  finally have
    alternatives-rename π (alternatives-rename (the-inv π) (A, V, p)) =
      (A, V, p)
  unfolding rel-rename.simps
  by auto
  moreover have alternatives-rename (the-inv π) (A, V, p) ∈ well-formed-elections
  using rename-inv wf-elects alternatives-rename-sound bij-π bij-betw-the-inv-into
  by (metis (no-types))
  ultimately show (A, V, p) ∈ alternatives-rename π ' well-formed-elections
  using image-eqI
  by metis
qed

interpretation ϕ-neutral-action: group-action bijection_AG well-formed-elections
  ϕ-neutral well-formed-elections
proof (unfold group-action-def group-hom-def group-hom-axioms-def hom-def
  bijection_AG-def, intro conjI group-BijGroup, safe)
  fix π :: 'a ⇒ 'a
  assume bij-carrier: π ∈ carrier (BijGroup UNIV)
  hence
    bij-betw (ϕ-neutral well-formed-elections π) well-formed-elections well-formed-elections
  using universal-set-carrier-imp-bij-group alternatives-rename-bij bij-betw-ext
  unfolding ϕ-neutral.simps
  by metis
  thus bij-carrier-elect:
    ϕ-neutral well-formed-elections π ∈ carrier (BijGroup well-formed-elections)
  unfolding ϕ-neutral.simps BijGroup-def Bij-def extensional-def
  by simp
  fix π' :: 'a ⇒ 'a
  assume bij-carrier': π' ∈ carrier (BijGroup UNIV)
  hence
    bij-betw (ϕ-neutral well-formed-elections π') well-formed-elections well-formed-elections

```

```

using universal-set-carrier-imp-bij-group alternatives-rename-bij bij-betw-ext
unfolding φ-neutral.simps
by metis
hence bij-carrier-elect':
  φ-neutral well-formed-elections  $\pi' \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup well-formed-elections})$ 
unfolding φ-neutral.simps BijGroup-def Bij-def extensional-def
by simp
hence carrier-elects:
  φ-neutral well-formed-elections  $\pi \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup well-formed-elections})$ 
   $\wedge$  φ-neutral well-formed-elections  $\pi' \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup well-formed-elections})$ 
using bij-carrier-elect
by metis
hence bij-betw (φ-neutral well-formed-elections  $\pi'$ ) well-formed-elections well-formed-elections
unfolding BijGroup-def Bij-def extensional-def
by auto
hence wf-closed':
  φ-neutral well-formed-elections  $\pi' \text{ ' well-formed-elections } \subseteq \text{ well-formed-elections}$ 
using bij-betw-imp-surj-on
by blast
have φ-neutral well-formed-elections  $\pi$ 
   $\otimes$  BijGroup well-formed-elections φ-neutral well-formed-elections  $\pi' =$ 
extensional-continuation
  (φ-neutral well-formed-elections  $\pi \circ$  φ-neutral well-formed-elections  $\pi'$ )
  well-formed-elections
using carrier-elects rewrite-mult
by auto
moreover have
   $\forall \mathcal{E} \in \text{well-formed-elections. extensional-continuation}$ 
  (φ-neutral well-formed-elections  $\pi \circ$  φ-neutral well-formed-elections  $\pi'$ )
  well-formed-elections  $\mathcal{E} =$ 
  (φ-neutral well-formed-elections  $\pi \circ$  φ-neutral well-formed-elections  $\pi'$ )  $\mathcal{E}$ 
by simp
moreover have
   $\forall \mathcal{E} \in \text{well-formed-elections.}$ 
  (φ-neutral well-formed-elections  $\pi \circ$  φ-neutral well-formed-elections  $\pi'$ )  $\mathcal{E} =$ 
alternatives-rename  $\pi$  (alternatives-rename  $\pi'$   $\mathcal{E}$ )
unfolding φ-neutral.simps
using wf-closed'
by auto
moreover have
   $\forall \mathcal{E} \in \text{well-formed-elections.}$ 
alternatives-rename  $\pi$  (alternatives-rename  $\pi'$   $\mathcal{E}$ ) =
alternatives-rename  $(\pi \circ \pi') \mathcal{E}$ 
using alternatives-rename-comp comp-apply
by metis
moreover have
   $\forall \mathcal{E} \in \text{well-formed-elections. alternatives-rename } (\pi \circ \pi') \mathcal{E} =$ 
φ-neutral well-formed-elections  $(\pi \otimes \text{BijGroup UNIV } \pi') \mathcal{E}$ 
using rewrite-mult-univ bij-carrier bij-carrier'

```

unfolding $\varphi\text{-anon.simps}$ $\varphi\text{-neutral.simps}$ $\text{extensional-continuation.simps}$
by *metis*
moreover have
 $\forall \mathcal{E}. \mathcal{E} \notin \text{well-formed-elections} \longrightarrow$
 $\text{extensional-continuation}$
 $(\varphi\text{-neutral well-formed-elections } \pi \circ \varphi\text{-neutral well-formed-elections } \pi')$
 $\text{well-formed-elections } \mathcal{E} = \text{undefined}$
by *simp*
moreover have
 $\forall \mathcal{E}. \mathcal{E} \notin \text{well-formed-elections}$
 $\longrightarrow \varphi\text{-neutral well-formed-elections } (\pi \otimes \text{BijGroup UNIV } \pi') \mathcal{E} = \text{undefined}$
by *simp*
ultimately have
 $\forall \mathcal{E}. \varphi\text{-neutral well-formed-elections } (\pi \otimes \text{BijGroup UNIV } \pi') \mathcal{E} =$
 $(\varphi\text{-neutral well-formed-elections } \pi$
 $\otimes \text{BijGroup well-formed-elections } \varphi\text{-neutral well-formed-elections } \pi') \mathcal{E}$
by *metis*
thus
 $\varphi\text{-neutral well-formed-elections } (\pi \otimes \text{BijGroup UNIV } \pi') =$
 $\varphi\text{-neutral well-formed-elections } \pi$
 $\otimes \text{BijGroup well-formed-elections } \varphi\text{-neutral well-formed-elections } \pi'$
by *blast*
qed

interpretation $\psi\text{-neutral}_c\text{-action}$: $\text{group-action bijection}_{AG} \text{ UNIV } \psi\text{-neutral}_c$

proof (*unfold group-action-def group-hom-def hom-def bijection_{AG}-def*
 $\text{group-hom-axioms-def, intro conjI group-BijGroup, safe}$)

fix $\pi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$
assume $\pi \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup UNIV})$
hence *bij* π
unfolding *BijGroup-def Bij-def*
by *simp*
thus $\psi\text{-neutral}_c \pi \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup UNIV})$
unfolding $\psi\text{-neutral}_c.\text{simps}$
using *rewrite-carrier*
by *blast*
fix $\pi' :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$
show $\psi\text{-neutral}_c (\pi \otimes \text{BijGroup UNIV } \pi') =$
 $\psi\text{-neutral}_c \pi \otimes \text{BijGroup UNIV } \psi\text{-neutral}_c \pi'$
unfolding $\psi\text{-neutral}_c.\text{simps}$
by *safe*
qed

interpretation $\psi\text{-neutral}_w\text{-action}$: $\text{group-action bijection}_{AG} \text{ UNIV } \psi\text{-neutral}_w$

proof (*unfold group-action-def group-hom-def hom-def bijection_{AG}-def*
 $\text{group-hom-axioms-def, intro conjI group-BijGroup, safe}$)

fix $\pi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$
assume *bij-carrier*: $\pi \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup UNIV})$
hence *bij* π

unfolding $\text{bijection}_{AG}\text{-def}$ $\text{BijGroup}\text{-def}$ $\text{Bij}\text{-def}$
by simp
hence $\text{bij } (\psi\text{-neutral}_w \pi)$
unfolding $\text{bijection}_{AG}\text{-def}$ $\text{BijGroup}\text{-def}$ $\text{Bij}\text{-def}$ $\psi\text{-neutral}_w.\text{sims}$
using rel-rename-bij
by blast
thus $\text{group-elem: } \psi\text{-neutral}_w \pi \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup } UNIV)$
using rewrite-carrier
by blast
moreover **fix** $\pi' :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$
assume $\text{bij-carrier': } \pi' \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup } UNIV)$
hence $\text{bij } \pi'$
unfolding $\text{bijection}_{AG}\text{-def}$ $\text{BijGroup}\text{-def}$ $\text{Bij}\text{-def}$
by simp
hence $\text{bij } (\psi\text{-neutral}_w \pi')$
unfolding $\text{bijection}_{AG}\text{-def}$ $\text{BijGroup}\text{-def}$ $\text{Bij}\text{-def}$ $\psi\text{-neutral}_w.\text{sims}$
using rel-rename-bij
by blast
hence $\text{group-elem': } \psi\text{-neutral}_w \pi' \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup } UNIV)$
using rewrite-carrier
by blast
moreover **have** $\psi\text{-neutral}_w (\pi \otimes_{\text{BijGroup } UNIV} \pi') = \psi\text{-neutral}_w (\pi \circ \pi')$
using $\text{bij-carrier } \text{bij-carrier' } \text{rewrite-mult-univ}$
by metis
ultimately **show**
 $\psi\text{-neutral}_w (\pi \otimes_{\text{BijGroup } UNIV} \pi') =$
 $\psi\text{-neutral}_w \pi \otimes_{\text{BijGroup } UNIV} \psi\text{-neutral}_w \pi'$
using rewrite-mult-univ
by fastforce
qed

lemma $\text{neutrality-action-presv-SCF-symmetry: is-symmetry } (\lambda \mathcal{E}. \text{limit-SCF } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \text{ } UNIV))$

$(\text{action-induced-equivariance } (\text{carrier } \text{bijection}_{AG}) \text{ well-formed-elections } (\varphi\text{-neutral well-formed-elections}) (\text{set-action } \psi\text{-neutral}_c))$

proof $(\text{unfold } \text{rewrite-equivariance, safe})$

fix

$\pi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: 'v \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a) \text{ set}$ **and**
 $r :: 'a$

assume

$\text{carrier-}\pi: \pi \in \text{carrier } \text{bijection}_{AG}$ **and**
 $\text{prof: } (A, V, p) \in \text{well-formed-elections}$

{

moreover **assume**

$r \in \text{limit-SCF}$
 $(\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} (\varphi\text{-neutral well-formed-elections } \pi (A, V, p))) UNIV$

```

ultimately show
   $r \in \text{set-action } \psi\text{-neutral}_c \pi (\text{limit-SCF } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} (A, V, p)) \text{ UNIV})$ 
by auto
}
{
  moreover assume
     $r \in \text{set-action } \psi\text{-neutral}_c \pi (\text{limit-SCF } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} (A, V, p)) \text{ UNIV})$ 
  ultimately show
     $r \in \text{limit-SCF}$ 
     $(\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} (\varphi\text{-neutral well-formed-elections } \pi (A, V, p))) \text{ UNIV}$ 
  using prof
  by simp
}
qed

```

lemma *neutrality-action-presv-SWF-symmetry: is-symmetry* $(\lambda \mathcal{E}. \text{limit-SWF } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \mathcal{E}) \text{ UNIV}) (\text{action-induced-equivariance } (\text{carrier bijection}_{\mathcal{AG}}) \text{ well-formed-elections } (\varphi\text{-neutral well-formed-elections}) (\text{set-action } \psi\text{-neutral}_w))$

proof *(unfold rewrite-equivariance voters- \mathcal{E} .simps profile- \mathcal{E} .simps set-action.simps, safe)*

```

show  $\bigwedge \pi A V p r.$ 
   $\pi \in \text{carrier bijection}_{\mathcal{AG}} \implies (A, V, p) \in \text{well-formed-elections}$ 
 $\implies r \in \text{limit-SWF}$ 
   $(\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} (\varphi\text{-neutral well-formed-elections } \pi (A, V, p))) \text{ UNIV}$ 
 $\implies r \in \psi\text{-neutral}_w \pi \text{ ' limit-SWF } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} (A, V, p)) \text{ UNIV}$ 

```

proof –

fix

```

 $\pi :: 'c \Rightarrow 'c$  and
 $A :: 'c \text{ set}$  and
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
 $p :: ('c, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
 $r :: 'c \text{ rel}$ 

```

let $?r\text{-inv} = \psi\text{-neutral}_w (\text{the-inv } \pi) r$

assume

```

 $\text{carrier-}\pi: \pi \in \text{carrier bijection}_{\mathcal{AG}}$  and
 $\text{prof}: (A, V, p) \in \text{well-formed-elections}$ 

```

have $\text{inv-carrier}: \text{the-inv } \pi \in \text{carrier bijection}_{\mathcal{AG}}$

```

using  $\text{carrier-}\pi \text{ bij-betw-the-inv-into}$ 
unfolding  $\text{bijection}_{\mathcal{AG}}\text{-def}$   $\text{rewrite-carrier}$ 
by simp

```

moreover have $\text{the-inv } \pi \circ \pi = \text{id}$

```

using  $\text{carrier-}\pi \text{ universal-set-carrier-imp-bij-group bij-is-inj the-inv-f-f}$ 
unfolding  $\text{bijection}_{\mathcal{AG}}\text{-def}$ 
by fastforce

```

moreover have $\mathbf{1} \text{ bijection}_{\mathcal{AG}} = \text{id}$

```

unfolding  $\text{bijection}_{\mathcal{AG}}\text{-def}$   $\text{BijGroup-def}$ 
by auto

```

ultimately have $\text{the-inv } \pi \otimes \text{bijection}_{\mathcal{AG}} \pi = \mathbf{1} \text{ bijection}_{\mathcal{AG}}$
using $\text{carrier-}\pi \text{ rewrite-mult-univ}$


```

unfolding bijectionAG-def
by metis
hence inv bijectionAG π = the-inv π
using carrier-π inv-carrier ψ-neutralc-action.group-hom group.inv-closed
group.inv-solve-right group.l-inv group-BijGroup group-hom.hom-one
group-hom.one-closed
unfolding bijectionAG-def
by metis
hence neutral-r: r = ψ-neutralw π ?r-inv
using carrier-π inv-carrier iso-tuple-UNIV-I ψ-neutralw-action.orbit-sym-aux
by metis
have bij-inv: bij (the-inv π)
using carrier-π bij-betw-the-inv-into universal-set-carrier-imp-bij-group
unfolding bijectionAG-def
by blast
hence the-inv-π: (the-inv π) ‘ π ‘ A = A
using carrier-π UNIV-I bij-betw-imp-surj universal-set-carrier-imp-bij-group
f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw image-f-inv-f surj-imp-inv-eq
unfolding bijectionAG-def
by metis
assume
r ∈ limit-SWF
(alternatives- $\mathcal{E}$  (ψ-neutral well-formed-elections π (A, V, p))) UNIV
hence r ∈ limit-SWF (π ‘ A) UNIV
unfolding ψ-neutral.simps
using prof
by simp
hence linear-order-on (π ‘ A) r
by auto
hence lin-inv: linear-order-on A ?r-inv
using rel-rename-sound bij-inv bij-is-inj the-inv-π
unfolding ψ-neutralw.simps linear-order-on-def preorder-on-def partial-order-on-def
by metis
hence ∀ (a, b) ∈ ?r-inv. a ∈ A ∧ b ∈ A
unfolding linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def preorder-on-def
using reft-on-def'
by metis
hence limit A ?r-inv = {(a, b). (a, b) ∈ ?r-inv}
by auto
also have ... = ?r-inv
by blast
finally have ... = limit A ?r-inv
by blast
hence ?r-inv ∈ limit-SWF (alternatives- $\mathcal{E}$  (A, V, p)) UNIV
unfolding limit-SWF.simps alternatives- $\mathcal{E}$ .simps
using lin-inv UNIV-I fst-conv mem-Collect-eq iso-tuple-UNIV-I CollectI
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
thus lim-el-π:
r ∈ ψ-neutralw π ‘ limit-SWF (alternatives- $\mathcal{E}$  (A, V, p)) UNIV

```

```

    using neutral-r
    by blast
qed
moreover
fix
   $\pi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$  and
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
   $r :: 'a \text{ rel}$ 
assume
  carrier- $\pi$ :  $\pi \in \text{carrier bijection}_{AG}$  and
  prof:  $(A, V, p) \in \text{well-formed-elections}$ 
hence prof- $\pi$ :
   $\varphi$ -neutral well-formed-elections  $\pi (A, V, p) \in \text{well-formed-elections}$ 
  using  $\varphi$ -neutral-action.element-image
  by blast
moreover have inv-group-elem: inv bijectionAG  $\pi \in \text{carrier bijection}_{AG}$ 
  using carrier- $\pi$   $\psi$ -neutralc-action.group-hom group.inv-closed
  unfolding group-hom-def
  by metis
moreover have  $\varphi$ -neutral well-formed-elections (inv bijectionAG  $\pi$ )
  ( $\varphi$ -neutral well-formed-elections  $\pi (A, V, p)$ )  $\in \text{well-formed-elections}$ 
  using prof  $\varphi$ -neutral-action.element-image inv-group-elem prof- $\pi$ 
  by metis
moreover assume  $r \in \text{limit-SWF} (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} (A, V, p)) \text{ UNIV}$ 
hence  $r \in \text{limit-SWF}$ 
  ( $\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} (\varphi$ -neutral well-formed-elections (inv bijectionAG  $\pi$ )
    ( $\varphi$ -neutral well-formed-elections  $\pi (A, V, p)$ )))  $\text{UNIV}$ 
  using  $\varphi$ -neutral-action.orbit-sym-aux carrier- $\pi$  prof
  by metis
ultimately have
   $r \in \psi$ -neutralw (inv bijectionAG  $\pi$ ) ‘
  limit-SWF
  ( $\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} (\varphi$ -neutral well-formed-elections  $\pi (A, V, p)$ ))  $\text{UNIV}$ 
  using prod.collapse
  by metis
thus  $\psi$ -neutralw  $\pi$   $r \in \text{limit-SWF}$ 
  ( $\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} (\varphi$ -neutral well-formed-elections  $\pi (A, V, p)$ ))  $\text{UNIV}$ 
  using carrier- $\pi$   $\psi$ -neutralw-action.group-action-axioms
   $\psi$ -neutralw-action.inj-prop group-action.orbit-sym-aux
  inj-image-mem-iff inv-group-elem iso-tuple-UNIV-I
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))
qed

```

1.10.5 Homogeneity Lemmas

definition $\text{reflp-on}' :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{reflp-on}' A r \equiv \text{reflp-on } A (\lambda x y. (x, y) \in r)$

lemma *refl-homogeneity_R*:
fixes $\mathcal{E} :: ('a, 'v)$ *Election set*
assumes $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \text{finite-elections-}\mathcal{V}$
shows $\text{reflp-on}' \mathcal{E} (\text{homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{E})$
using *assms*
unfolding *reflp-on'-def reflp-on-def finite-elections- \mathcal{V} -def*
by *auto*

lemma (*in result*) *homogeneity-action-presv-symmetry*:
is-symmetry $(\lambda \mathcal{E}. \text{limit} (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \mathcal{E}) \text{UNIV})$
(Invariance (homogeneity_R UNIV))
by *simp*

lemma *refl-homogeneity_R'*:
fixes $\mathcal{E} :: ('a, 'v :: \text{linorder})$ *Election set*
assumes $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \text{finite-elections-}\mathcal{V}$
shows $\text{reflp-on}' \mathcal{E} (\text{homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}'} \mathcal{E})$
using *assms*
unfolding *homogeneity_R'.simps reflp-on'-def reflp-on-def finite-elections- \mathcal{V} -def*
by *auto*

lemma (*in result*) *homogeneity'-action-presv-symmetry*:
is-symmetry $(\lambda \mathcal{E}. \text{limit} (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \mathcal{E}) \text{UNIV})$
(Invariance (homogeneity_R' UNIV))
by *simp*

1.10.6 Reversal Symmetry Lemmas

lemma *reverse-reverse-id*: $\text{reverse-rel} \circ \text{reverse-rel} = \text{id}$
by *auto*

lemma *reverse-rel-limit*:
fixes
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $r :: 'a \text{ rel}$
shows $\text{reverse-rel} (\text{limit } A \ r) = \text{limit } A (\text{reverse-rel } r)$
unfolding *reverse-rel.simps limit.simps*
by *blast*

lemma *reverse-rel-lin-ord*:
fixes
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $r :: 'a \text{ rel}$
assumes *linear-order-on* $A \ r$
shows *linear-order-on* $A (\text{reverse-rel } r)$
using *assms*
unfolding *reverse-rel.simps linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def*
total-on-def antisym-def preorder-on-def refl-on-def trans-def

```

by blast

interpretation reversalG-group: group reversalG
proof
  show 1 reversalG ∈ carrier reversalG
    unfolding reversalG-def
    by simp
  next
    show carrier reversalG ⊆ Units reversalG
      unfolding reversalG-def Units-def
      using reverse-reverse-id
      by auto
  next
    fix α :: 'a rel ⇒ 'a rel
    show α ⊗ reversalG 1 reversalG = α
      unfolding reversalG-def
      by auto
    assume α-elem: α ∈ carrier reversalG
    thus 1 reversalG ⊗ reversalG α = α
      unfolding reversalG-def
      by auto
    fix α' :: 'a rel ⇒ 'a rel
    assume α'-elem: α' ∈ carrier reversalG
    thus α ⊗ reversalG α' ∈ carrier reversalG
      using α-elem reverse-reverse-id
      unfolding reversalG-def
      by auto
    fix z :: 'a rel ⇒ 'a rel
    assume z ∈ carrier reversalG
    thus α ⊗ reversalG α' ⊗ reversalG z = α ⊗ reversalG (α' ⊗ reversalG z)
      using α-elem α'-elem
      unfolding reversalG-def
      by auto
qed

interpretation φ-reverse-action: group-action reversalG well-formed-elections
  φ-reverse well-formed-elections
proof (unfold group-action-def group-hom-def group-hom-axioms-def hom-def,
  intro conjI group-BijGroup CollectI ballI funcsetI)
  show Group.group reversalG
    by safe
  next
    show carrier-elect-gen:
      ∧ π. π ∈ carrier reversalG
      ⇒ φ-reverse well-formed-elections π ∈ carrier (BijGroup well-formed-elections)
  proof -
    fix π :: 'c rel ⇒ 'c rel
    assume π ∈ carrier reversalG
    hence π-cases: π ∈ {id, reverse-rel}

```

unfolding *reversal_G-def*
by *auto*
hence [*simp*]: $\text{rel-app } \pi \circ \text{rel-app } \pi = \text{id}$
using *reverse-reverse-id*
by *fastforce*
have $\forall \mathcal{E}. \text{rel-app } \pi (\text{rel-app } \pi \mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{E}$
by (*simp add: pointfree-idE*)
moreover have $\forall \mathcal{E} \in \text{well-formed-elections}. \text{rel-app } \pi \mathcal{E} \in \text{well-formed-elections}$
unfolding *well-formed-elections-def profile-def*
using $\pi\text{-cases}$ *reverse-rel-lin-ord rel-app.simps fun.map-id*
by *fastforce*
hence $\text{rel-app } \pi \text{ ` well-formed-elections } \subseteq \text{well-formed-elections}$
by *blast*
ultimately have $\text{bij-betw } (\text{rel-app } \pi) \text{ well-formed-elections well-formed-elections}$
using *bij-betw-byWitness[of well-formed-elections]*
by *blast*
hence $\text{bij-betw } (\varphi\text{-reverse well-formed-elections } \pi) \text{ well-formed-elections well-formed-elections}$
unfolding *$\varphi\text{-reverse.simps}$*
using *bij-betw-ext*
by *blast*
moreover have $\varphi\text{-reverse well-formed-elections } \pi \in \text{extensional well-formed-elections}$
unfolding *extensional-def*
by *simp*
ultimately show
 $\varphi\text{-reverse well-formed-elections } \pi \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup well-formed-elections})$
unfolding *BijGroup-def Bij-def*
by *simp*
qed
moreover fix $\pi \pi' :: 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel}$
assume
 $\text{rev}: \pi \in \text{carrier reversal}_G$ **and**
 $\text{rev}': \pi' \in \text{carrier reversal}_G$
ultimately have *carrier-elect*:
 $\varphi\text{-reverse well-formed-elections } \pi \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup well-formed-elections})$
by *blast*
have $\varphi\text{-reverse well-formed-elections } (\pi \otimes_{\text{reversal}_G} \pi') =$
 $\text{extensional-continuation } (\text{rel-app } (\pi \circ \pi')) \text{ well-formed-elections}$
unfolding *reversal_G-def*
by *simp*
moreover have $\text{rel-app } (\pi \circ \pi') = \text{rel-app } \pi \circ \text{rel-app } \pi'$
using *rel-app.simps*
by *fastforce*
ultimately have
 $\varphi\text{-reverse well-formed-elections } (\pi \otimes_{\text{reversal}_G} \pi') =$
 $\text{extensional-continuation } (\text{rel-app } \pi \circ \text{rel-app } \pi') \text{ well-formed-elections}$
by *metis*
moreover have
 $\forall A V p. \forall v \in V. \text{linear-order-on } A (p \ v) \longrightarrow \text{linear-order-on } A (\pi' (p \ v))$

```

using empty-iff id-apply insert-iff rev' reverse-rel-lin-ord
unfolding partial-object.simps reversalG-def
by metis
hence extensional-continuation
  (φ-reverse well-formed-elections π ∘ φ-reverse well-formed-elections π')
  well-formed-elections =
    extensional-continuation (rel-app π ∘ rel-app π') well-formed-elections
unfolding well-formed-elections-def profile-def
by fastforce
moreover have extensional-continuation
  (φ-reverse well-formed-elections π ∘ φ-reverse well-formed-elections π')
  well-formed-elections =
    φ-reverse well-formed-elections π
    ⊗ BijGroup well-formed-elections φ-reverse well-formed-elections π'
using carrier-elect-gen carrier-elect rev' rewrite-mult
by metis
ultimately show
  φ-reverse well-formed-elections (π ⊗ reversalG π') =
    φ-reverse well-formed-elections π
    ⊗ BijGroup well-formed-elections φ-reverse well-formed-elections π'
by metis
qed

interpretation ψ-reverse-action: group-action reversalG UNIV ψ-reverse
proof (unfold group-action-def group-hom-def group-hom-axioms-def hom-def ψ-reverse.simps,
  intro conjI group-BijGroup CollectI ballI funcsetI)
show Group.group reversalG
by safe
next
fix π :: 'a rel ⇒ 'a rel
assume π ∈ carrier reversalG
hence π ∈ {id, reverse-rel}
unfolding reversalG-def
by force
hence bij π
using reverse-reverse-id bij-id insertE o-bij singleton-iff
by metis
thus π ∈ carrier (BijGroup UNIV)
using rewrite-carrier
by blast
next
fix π π' :: 'a rel ⇒ 'a rel
assume
  π ∈ carrier reversalG and
  π' ∈ carrier reversalG
hence bij π' ∧ bij π
using singleton-iff comp-apply id-apply involuntary-imp-bij reverse-reverse-id
unfolding bij-id insert-iff reversalG-def partial-object.select-conv
by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting))

```

hence $\pi \otimes \text{BijGroup UNIV } \pi' = \pi \circ \pi'$
using *rewrite-carrier rewrite-mult-univ*
by *blast*
also have $\dots = \pi \otimes \text{reversal}_{\mathcal{G}} \pi'$
unfolding *reversal_G-def*
by *force*
finally show $\pi \otimes \text{reversal}_{\mathcal{G}} \pi' = \pi \otimes \text{BijGroup UNIV } \pi'$
by *presburger*
qed

lemma *reversal-symmetry-action-presv-symmetry: is-symmetry* ($\lambda \mathcal{E}. \text{limit-SWF}$
(alternatives- \mathcal{E} \mathcal{E}) UNIV)
(action-induced-equivariance (carrier reversal_G) well-formed-elections
(φ -reverse well-formed-elections) (set-action ψ -reverse))

proof (*unfold rewrite-equivariance, clarify*)
fix
 $\pi :: 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel}$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$
assume $\pi \in \text{carrier reversal}_{\mathcal{G}}$
hence cases: $\pi \in \{id, \text{reverse-rel}\}$
unfolding *reversal_G-def*
by *force*
assume $(A, V, p) \in \text{well-formed-elections}$
hence *eq-A:*
 $\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} (\varphi\text{-reverse well-formed-elections } \pi (A, V, p)) = A$
by *simp*
have
 $\forall r \in \{\text{limit } A \text{ } r \mid r. r \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \text{linear-order-on } A (\text{limit } A \text{ } r)\}.$
 $\exists r' \in \text{UNIV}. \text{reverse-rel } r = \text{limit } A (\text{reverse-rel } r')$
 $\wedge \text{reverse-rel } r' \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \text{linear-order-on } A (\text{limit } A (\text{reverse-rel } r'))$
using *reverse-rel-limit[of A] reverse-rel-lin-ord*
by *force*
hence
 $\forall r \in \{\text{limit } A \text{ } r \mid r. r \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \text{linear-order-on } A (\text{limit } A \text{ } r)\}.$
 $\text{reverse-rel } r \in \{\text{limit } A (\text{reverse-rel } r') \mid$
 $r'. \text{reverse-rel } r' \in \text{UNIV}$
 $\wedge \text{linear-order-on } A (\text{limit } A (\text{reverse-rel } r'))\}$
by *blast*
moreover have
 $\{\text{limit } A (\text{reverse-rel } r') \mid$
 $r'. \text{reverse-rel } r' \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \text{linear-order-on } A (\text{limit } A (\text{reverse-rel } r'))\}$
 $\subseteq \{\text{limit } A \text{ } r \mid r. r \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \text{linear-order-on } A (\text{limit } A \text{ } r)\}$
by *blast*
ultimately have
 $\forall r \in \text{limit-SWF } A \text{ UNIV}. \text{reverse-rel } r \in \text{limit-SWF } A \text{ UNIV}$
unfolding *limit-SWF.simps*
by *blast*

```

hence subset:
   $\forall r \in \text{limit-SWF } A \text{ UNIV}. \pi r \in \text{limit-SWF } A \text{ UNIV}$ 
using cases
by fastforce
hence  $\forall r \in \text{limit-SWF } A \text{ UNIV}. r \in \pi \text{ ` } \text{limit-SWF } A \text{ UNIV}$ 
using reverse-reverse-id comp-apply empty-iff id-apply image-eqI insert-iff cases
by metis
hence  $\pi \text{ ` } \text{limit-SWF } A \text{ UNIV} = \text{limit-SWF } A \text{ UNIV}$ 
using subset
by blast
hence set-action  $\psi\text{-reverse } \pi (\text{limit-SWF } A \text{ UNIV}) = \text{limit-SWF } A \text{ UNIV}$ 
unfolding set-action.simps
by simp
also have
   $\dots = \text{limit-SWF}$ 
     $(\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} (\varphi\text{-reverse well-formed-elections } \pi (A, V, p))) \text{ UNIV}$ 
using eq-A
by simp
finally show
   $\text{limit-SWF } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} (\varphi\text{-reverse well-formed-elections } \pi (A, V, p))) \text{ UNIV}$ 
  =
     $\text{set-action } \psi\text{-reverse } \pi (\text{limit-SWF } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} (A, V, p))) \text{ UNIV}$ 
by simp
qed

end

```

1.11 Result-Dependent Voting Rule Properties

```

theory Property-Interpretations
  imports Voting-Symmetry
    Result-Interpretations
begin

```

1.11.1 Property Definitions

The interpretation of equivariance properties generally depends on the result type. For example, neutrality for social choice rules means that single winners are renamed when the candidates in the votes are consistently renamed. For social welfare results, the complete result rankings must be renamed. New result-type-dependent definitions for properties can be added here.

```

locale result-properties = result +
  fixes  $\psi :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a, 'b) \text{ binary-fun}$  and
     $\nu :: 'v \text{ itself}$ 
assumes

```



```

    action-neutral: group-action bijectionAG UNIV  $\psi$  and
    neutrality:
      is-symmetry ( $\lambda \mathcal{E} :: ('a, 'v)$  Election. limit (alternatives- $\mathcal{E}$   $\mathcal{E}$ ) UNIV)
        (action-induced-equivariance (carrier bijectionAG)
          well-formed-elections
            ( $\varphi$ -neutral well-formed-elections) (set-action  $\psi$ ))

sublocale result-properties  $\subseteq$  result
  using result-axioms
  by safe

1.11.2 Interpretations

global-interpretation SCF-properties: result-properties well-formed-SCF
  limit-SCF  $\psi$ -neutralc
  unfolding result-properties-def result-properties-axioms-def
  using neutrality-action-presv-SCF-symmetry  $\psi$ -neutralc-action.group-action-axioms
    SCF-result.result-axioms
  by blast

global-interpretation SWF-properties: result-properties well-formed-SWF
  limit-SWF  $\psi$ -neutralw
  unfolding result-properties-def result-properties-axioms-def
  using neutrality-action-presv-SWF-symmetry  $\psi$ -neutralw-action.group-action-axioms
    SWF-result.result-axioms
  by blast

end

```

Chapter 2

Refined Types

2.1 Preference List

```
theory Preference-List
  imports ../Preference-Relation
           HOL-Combinatorics.Multiset-Permutations
           List-Index.List-Index
begin
```

Preference lists derive from preference relations, ordered from most to least preferred alternative.

2.1.1 Well-Formedness

```
type-synonym 'a Preference-List = 'a list
```

```
abbreviation well-formed-l :: 'a Preference-List  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  well-formed-l l  $\equiv$  distinct l
```

2.1.2 Auxiliary Lemmas About Lists

```
lemma is-arg-min-equal:
```

```
  fixes
    f g :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b :: ord and
    S :: 'a set and
    x :: 'a
  assumes  $\forall x \in S. f\ x = g\ x$ 
  shows is-arg-min f ( $\lambda s. s \in S$ ) x = is-arg-min g ( $\lambda s. s \in S$ ) x
proof (unfold is-arg-min-def, cases  $x \notin S$ )
  case True
  thus  $(x \in S \wedge (\nexists y. y \in S \wedge f\ y < f\ x)) = (x \in S \wedge (\nexists y. y \in S \wedge g\ y < g\ x))$ 
    by safe
next
  case x-in-S: False
  thus  $(x \in S \wedge (\nexists y. y \in S \wedge f\ y < f\ x)) = (x \in S \wedge (\nexists y. y \in S \wedge g\ y < g\ x))$ 
```

```

proof (cases  $\exists y. (\lambda s. s \in S) y \wedge f y < f x$ )
  case y: True
  then obtain y :: 'a where
     $(\lambda s. s \in S) y \wedge f y < f x$ 
  by metis
  hence  $(\lambda s. s \in S) y \wedge g y < g x$ 
  using x-in-S assms
  by metis
  thus ?thesis
  using y
  by metis
next
  case not-y: False
  have  $\neg (\exists y. (\lambda s. s \in S) y \wedge g y < g x)$ 
  proof (safe)
    fix y :: 'a
    assume
      y  $\in S$  and
      g y < g x
    moreover have  $\forall a \in S. f a = g a$ 
    using assms
    by simp
    moreover from this have g x = f x
    using x-in-S
    by metis
    ultimately show False
    using not-y
    by (metis (no-types))
  qed
  thus ?thesis
  using x-in-S not-y
  by simp
qed
qed

lemma list-cons-presv-finiteness:
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    S :: 'a list set
  assumes
    fin-A: finite A and
    fin-B: finite S
  shows finite {a#l | a l. a  $\in A \wedge l \in S$ }
proof –
  let ?P =  $\lambda A. \text{finite } \{a\#l \mid a\ l. a \in A \wedge l \in S\}$ 
  have  $\forall a\ A'. \text{finite } A' \longrightarrow a \notin A' \longrightarrow ?P\ A' \longrightarrow ?P\ (\text{insert } a\ A')$ 
  proof (safe)
    fix
      a :: 'a and

```

```

    A' :: 'a set
  assume finite {a#l | a l. a ∈ A' ∧ l ∈ S}
  moreover have
    {a'#l | a' l. a' ∈ insert a A' ∧ l ∈ S} =
      {a#l | a l. a ∈ A' ∧ l ∈ S} ∪ {a#l | l. l ∈ S}
  by blast
  moreover have finite {a#l | l. l ∈ S}
  using fin-B
  by simp
  ultimately show ?P (insert a A')
  by simp
qed
thus ?P A
  using finite-induct[of - ?P] fin-A
  by simp
qed

```

```

lemma listset-finiteness:
  fixes l :: 'a set list
  assumes ∀ i :: nat. i < length l ⟶ finite (!i)
  shows finite (listset l)
  using assms
proof (induct l)
  case Nil
  show finite (listset [])
  by simp
next
  case (Cons a l)
  fix
    a :: 'a set and
    l :: 'a set list
  assume ∀ i :: nat < length (a#l). finite ((a#l)!i)
  hence
    finite a and
    ∀ i < length l. finite (!i)
  by auto
  moreover assume
    ∀ i :: nat < length l. finite (!i) ⟹ finite (listset l)
  ultimately have
    finite (listset l) and
    finite {a'#l' | a' l'. a' ∈ a ∧ l' ∈ (listset l)}
  using list-cons-presv-finiteness
  by (blast, blast)
  thus finite (listset (a#l))
  by (simp add: set-Cons-def)
qed

```

```

lemma all-ls-elems-same-len:
  fixes l :: 'a set list

```

shows $\forall l' :: 'a \text{ list}. l' \in \text{listset } l \longrightarrow \text{length } l' = \text{length } l$
proof (*induct l, safe*)
 case *Nil*
 fix $l :: 'a \text{ list}$
 assume $l \in \text{listset } []$
 thus $\text{length } l = \text{length } []$
 by *simp*
next
 case (*Cons a l*)
 moreover fix
 $a :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $l :: 'a \text{ set list}$ **and**
 $m :: 'a \text{ list}$
 assume
 $\forall l'. l' \in \text{listset } l \longrightarrow \text{length } l' = \text{length } l$ **and**
 $m \in \text{listset } (a \# l)$
 moreover have
 $\forall a' l' :: 'a \text{ set list}. \text{listset } (a' \# l') =$
 $\{b \# m \mid b \text{ m. } b \in a' \wedge m \in \text{listset } l'\}$
 by (*simp add: set-Cons-def*)
 ultimately show $\text{length } m = \text{length } (a \# l)$
 by *force*
qed

lemma *all-ls-elems-in-ls-set*:
 fixes $l :: 'a \text{ set list}$
 shows $\forall l' \in \text{listset } l. \forall i :: \text{nat} < \text{length } l'. l'!i \in l!i$
proof (*induct l, safe*)
 case *Nil*
 fix
 $l' :: 'a \text{ list}$ **and**
 $i :: \text{nat}$
 assume
 $l' \in \text{listset } []$ **and**
 $i < \text{length } l'$
 thus $l'!i \in []!i$
 by *simp*
next
 case (*Cons a l*)
 moreover fix
 $a :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $l :: 'a \text{ set list}$ **and**
 $l' :: 'a \text{ list}$ **and**
 $i :: \text{nat}$
 assume
 $\forall l' \in \text{listset } l. \forall i :: \text{nat} < \text{length } l'. l'!i \in l!i$ **and**
 $l' \in \text{listset } (a \# l)$ **and**
 $i < \text{length } l'$
 moreover from this have $l' \in \text{set-Cons } a (\text{listset } l)$

by *simp*
 hence $\exists b m. l' = b \# m \wedge b \in a \wedge m \in (\text{listset } l)$
 unfolding *set-Cons-def*
 by *simp*
 ultimately show $l'!i \in (a \# l)!i$
 using *nth-Cons-Suc Suc-less-eq gr0-conv-Suc*
 length-Cons nth-non-equal-first-eq
 by *metis*
 qed

lemma *all-ls-in-ls-set*:
 fixes $l :: 'a \text{ set list}$
 shows $\forall l'. \text{length } l' = \text{length } l$
 $\wedge (\forall i < \text{length } l'. l'!i \in l!i) \longrightarrow l' \in \text{listset } l$
proof (*induction l, safe*)
 case *Nil*
 fix $l' :: 'a \text{ list}$
 assume $\text{length } l' = \text{length } []$
 thus $l' \in \text{listset } []$
 by *simp*
 next
 case (*Cons a l*)
 fix
 $l :: 'a \text{ set list}$ and
 $l' :: 'a \text{ list}$ and
 $s :: 'a \text{ set}$
 assume $\text{length } l' = \text{length } (s \# l)$
 moreover then obtain
 $t :: 'a \text{ list}$ and
 $x :: 'a$ where
 $l' \text{-cons}: l' = x \# t$
 using *length-Suc-conv*
 by *metis*
 moreover assume
 $\forall m. \text{length } m = \text{length } l \wedge (\forall i < \text{length } m. m!i \in l!i)$
 $\longrightarrow m \in \text{listset } l$ and
 $\forall i < \text{length } l'. l'!i \in (s \# l)!i$
 ultimately have
 $x \in s$ and
 $t \in \text{listset } l$
 using *diff-Suc-1 diff-Suc-eq-diff-pred zero-less-diff*
 zero-less-Suc length-Cons
 by (*metis nth-Cons-0, metis nth-Cons-Suc*)
 thus $l' \in \text{listset } (s \# l)$
 using *l'-cons*
 unfolding *listset-def set-Cons-def*
 by *simp*
 qed

2.1.3 Ranking

Rank 1 is the top preference, rank 2 the second, and so on. Rank 0 does not exist.

```
fun rank-l :: 'a Preference-List  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  rank-l l a = (if a  $\in$  set l then index l a + 1 else 0)
```

```
fun rank-l-idx :: 'a Preference-List  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  rank-l-idx l a =
    (let i = index l a in
     if i = length l then 0 else i + 1)
```

```
lemma rank-l-equiv: rank-l = rank-l-idx
unfolding member-def
by (simp add: ext index-size-conv)
```

```
lemma rank-zero-imp-not-present:
fixes
  p :: 'a Preference-List and
  a :: 'a
assumes rank-l p a = 0
shows a  $\notin$  set p
using assms
by force
```

```
definition above-l :: 'a Preference-List  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a Preference-List where
  above-l r a  $\equiv$  take (rank-l r a) r
```

2.1.4 Definition

```
fun is-less-preferred-than-l :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a Preference-List  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  (-  $\lesssim$  - [50, 1000, 51] 50) where
  a  $\lesssim_l$  b = (a  $\in$  set l  $\wedge$  b  $\in$  set l  $\wedge$  index l a  $\geq$  index l b)
```

```
lemma rank-gt-zero:
fixes
  l :: 'a Preference-List and
  a :: 'a
assumes a  $\lesssim_l$  a
shows rank-l l a  $\geq$  1
using assms
by simp
```

```
definition pl- $\alpha$  :: 'a Preference-List  $\Rightarrow$  'a Preference-Relation where
  pl- $\alpha$  l  $\equiv$  {(a, b). a  $\lesssim_l$  b}
```

```
lemma rel-trans:
fixes l :: 'a Preference-List
shows trans (pl- $\alpha$  l)
```

```

unfolding Relation.trans-def pl-α-def
by simp

lemma pl-α-lin-order:
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    r :: 'a rel
  assumes r ∈ pl-α 'permutations-of-set A
  shows linear-order-on A r
proof (cases A = {}, unfold linear-order-on-def total-on-def
  partial-order-on-def antisym-def preorder-on-def,
  intro conjI impI allI ballI)
  case True
  fix x y :: 'a
  show
    refl-on A r and
    trans r and
     $(x, y) \in r \implies x = y$  and
     $x \in A \implies (x, y) \in r \vee (y, x) \in r$ 
    using assms True
    unfolding pl-α-def
    by (simp, simp, simp, simp)
  next
  case False
  fix x y :: 'a
  show  $((\text{refl-on } A \ r \ \wedge \ \text{trans } r) \wedge (\forall x \ y. (x, y) \in r \longrightarrow (y, x) \in r \longrightarrow x = y)) \wedge (\forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. x \neq y \longrightarrow (x, y) \in r \vee (y, x) \in r)$ 
  proof (intro conjI ballI allI impI)
    have  $\forall l \in \text{permutations-of-set } A. l \neq []$ 
    using assms False permutations-of-setD
    by force
    hence  $\forall a \in A. \forall l \in \text{permutations-of-set } A. (a, a) \in \text{pl-}\alpha \ l$ 
    unfolding is-less-preferred-than-l.simps
    permutations-of-set-def pl-α-def
    by simp
    hence  $\forall a \in A. (a, a) \in r$ 
    using assms
    by blast
    moreover have  $r \subseteq A \times A$ 
    using assms
    unfolding pl-α-def permutations-of-set-def
    by auto
    ultimately show refl-on A r
    unfolding refl-on-def
    by safe
  next
  show trans r
  using assms rel-trans

```



```

    by safe
next
fix x y :: 'a
assume
  (x, y) ∈ r and
  (y, x) ∈ r
moreover have
  ∀ x y. ∀ l ∈ permutations-of-set A. x ≲l y ∧ y ≲l x ⟶ x = y
  using is-less-preferred-than-l.simps index-eq-index-conv nle-le
  unfolding permutations-of-set-def
  by metis
hence ∀ x y. ∀ l ∈ pl-α ' permutations-of-set A.
  (x, y) ∈ l ∧ (y, x) ∈ l ⟶ x = y
  unfolding pl-α-def permutations-of-set-def antisym-on-def
  by blast
ultimately show x = y
  using assms
  by metis
next
fix x y :: 'a
assume
  x ∈ A and
  y ∈ A and
  x ≠ y
moreover have
  ∀ x ∈ A. ∀ y ∈ A. ∀ l ∈ permutations-of-set A.
    x ≠ y ∧ (¬ y ≲l x) ⟶ x ≲l y
  using is-less-preferred-than-l.simps
  unfolding permutations-of-set-def
  by auto
hence ∀ x ∈ A. ∀ y ∈ A. ∀ l ∈ pl-α ' permutations-of-set A.
  x ≠ y ∧ (y, x) ∉ l ⟶ (x, y) ∈ l
  using is-less-preferred-than-l.simps
  unfolding permutations-of-set-def
  unfolding pl-α-def permutations-of-set-def
  by blast
ultimately show (x, y) ∈ r ∨ (y, x) ∈ r
  using assms
  by metis
qed
qed

lemma lin-order-pl-α:
  fixes
    r :: 'a rel and
    A :: 'a set
  assumes
    lin-order: linear-order-on A r and
    fin: finite A

```

```

shows  $r \in \text{pl-}\alpha$  ‘ permutations-of-set A
proof -
let  $? \varphi = \lambda a. \text{card } ((\text{underS } r \ a) \cap A)$ 
let  $? \text{inv} = \text{the-inv-into } A \ ? \varphi$ 
let  $?l = \text{map } (\lambda x. ? \text{inv } x) (\text{rev } [0 ..< \text{card } A])$ 
have antisym:
   $\forall a \in A. \forall b \in A.$ 
     $a \in (\text{underS } r \ b) \wedge b \in (\text{underS } r \ a) \longrightarrow \text{False}$ 
  using lin-order
  unfolding underS-def linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def antisym-def
  by blast
hence  $\forall a \in A. \forall b \in A. \forall c \in A.$ 
   $a \in (\text{underS } r \ b) \longrightarrow b \in (\text{underS } r \ c) \longrightarrow a \in (\text{underS } r \ c)$ 
  using lin-order CollectD CollectI transD
  unfolding underS-def linear-order-on-def
    partial-order-on-def preorder-on-def
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
hence a-lt-b-imp:
   $\forall a \in A. \forall b \in A. a \in (\text{underS } r \ b) \longrightarrow (\text{underS } r \ a) \subset (\text{underS } r \ b)$ 
  using preorder-on-def partial-order-on-def linear-order-on-def
    antisym lin-order psubsetI underS-E underS-incr
  by metis
hence mon:  $\forall a \in A. \forall b \in A. a \in (\text{underS } r \ b) \longrightarrow ? \varphi \ a < ? \varphi \ b$ 
  using Int-iff Int-mono a-lt-b-imp card-mono card-subset-eq
    fin finite-Int order-le-imp-less-or-eq underS-E
    subset-iff-psubset-eq
  by metis
moreover have total-underS:
   $\forall a \in A. \forall b \in A. a \neq b \longrightarrow a \in (\text{underS } r \ b) \vee b \in (\text{underS } r \ a)$ 
  using lin-order totalp-onD totalp-on-total-on-eq
  unfolding underS-def linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def antisym-def
  by fastforce
ultimately have  $\forall a \in A. \forall b \in A. a \neq b \longrightarrow ? \varphi \ a \neq ? \varphi \ b$ 
  using order-less-imp-not-eq2
  by metis
hence inj: inj-on  $? \varphi \ A$ 
  using inj-on-def
  by blast
have in-bounds:  $\forall a \in A. ? \varphi \ a < \text{card } A$ 
  using CollectD IntD1 card-seteq fin inf-le2 linorder-le-less-linear
  unfolding underS-def
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
hence  $? \varphi \text{ ‘ } A \subseteq \{0 ..< \text{card } A\}$ 
  using atLeast0LessThan
  by blast
moreover have  $\text{card } (? \varphi \text{ ‘ } A) = \text{card } A$ 
  using inj fin card-image
  by blast
ultimately have  $? \varphi \text{ ‘ } A = \{0 ..< \text{card } A\}$ 

```

by (simp add: card-subset-eq)
 hence *bij-A*: *bij-betw* ? φ *A* {0 ..< card *A*}
 using *inj*
 unfolding *bij-betw-def*
 by *safe*
 hence *bij-inv*: *bij-betw* ?*inv* {0 ..< card *A*} *A*
 using *bij-betw-the-inv-into*
 by *metis*
 hence ?*inv* ‘ {0 ..< card *A*} = *A*
 unfolding *bij-betw-def*
 by *metis*
 hence *set-eq-A*: *set* ?*l* = *A*
 by *simp*
 moreover have *dist-l*: *distinct* ?*l*
 using *bij-inv*
 unfolding *distinct-map*
 using *bij-betw-imp-inj-on*
 by *simp*
 ultimately have ?*l* \in *permutations-of-set A*
 by *auto*
 moreover have *index-eq*: $\forall a \in A. \text{index } ?l \ a = \text{card } A - 1 - ?\varphi \ a$
 proof
 fix *a* :: 'a
 assume *a-in-A*: *a* \in *A*
 have $\forall l. \forall i < \text{length } l. (\text{rev } l)!i = l!(\text{length } l - 1 - i)$
 using *rev-nth*
 by *auto*
 hence $\forall i < \text{length } [0 ..< \text{card } A]. (\text{rev } [0 ..< \text{card } A])!i =$
 $[0 ..< \text{card } A]!(\text{length } [0 ..< \text{card } A] - 1 - i)$
 by *blast*
 moreover have $\forall i < \text{card } A. [0 ..< \text{card } A]!i = i$
 by *simp*
 moreover have *card-A-len*: $\text{length } [0 ..< \text{card } A] = \text{card } A$
 by *simp*
 ultimately have $\forall i < \text{card } A. (\text{rev } [0 ..< \text{card } A])!i = \text{card } A - 1 - i$
 using *diff-Suc-eq-diff-pred* *diff-less* *diff-self-eq-0*
less-imp-diff-less *zero-less-Suc*
 by *metis*
 moreover have $\forall i < \text{card } A. ?l!i = ?inv ((\text{rev } [0 ..< \text{card } A])!i)$
 by *simp*
 ultimately have $\forall i < \text{card } A. ?l!i = ?inv (\text{card } A - 1 - i)$
 by *presburger*
 moreover have
 $\text{card } A - 1 - (\text{card } A - 1 - \text{card } (\text{underS } r \ a \cap A)) =$
 $\text{card } (\text{underS } r \ a \cap A)$
 using *in-bounds a-in-A*
 by *auto*
 moreover have ?*inv* ($\text{card } (\text{underS } r \ a \cap A)$) = *a*
 using *a-in-A* *inj the-inv-into-f*

```

    by fastforce
  ultimately have  $?!(\text{card } A - 1 - \text{card } (\text{underS } r \ a \cap A)) = a$ 
    using in-bounds a-in-A card-Diff-singleton
           card-Suc-Diff1 diff-less-Suc fin
    by metis
  thus  $\text{index } ?l \ a = \text{card } A - 1 - \text{card } (\text{underS } r \ a \cap A)$ 
    using bij-inv dist-l a-in-A card-A-len card-Diff-singleton card-Suc-Diff1
           diff-less-Suc fin index-nth-id length-map length-rev
    by metis
qed
moreover have  $pl\text{-}\alpha \ ?l = r$ 
proof (intro equalityI, unfold pl- $\alpha$ -def is-less-preferred-than-l.simps, safe)
  fix a b :: 'a
  assume
    in-bounds-a:  $a \in \text{set } ?l$  and
    in-bounds-b:  $b \in \text{set } ?l$ 
  moreover have  $\text{element-a: } ?inv \ (\text{index } ?l \ a) \in A$ 
    using bij-inv in-bounds-a atLeast0LessThan set-eq-A bij-inv
           cancel-comm-monoid-add-class.diff-cancel diff-Suc-eq-diff-pred
           diff-less in-bounds index-eq lessThan-iff less-imp-diff-less
           zero-less-Suc inj dist-l image-eqI image-eqI length-upt
    unfolding bij-betw-def
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  moreover have  $\text{el-b: } ?inv \ (\text{index } ?l \ b) \in A$ 
    using bij-inv in-bounds-b atLeast0LessThan set-eq-A bij-inv
           cancel-comm-monoid-add-class.diff-cancel diff-Suc-eq-diff-pred
           diff-less in-bounds index-eq lessThan-iff less-imp-diff-less
           zero-less-Suc inj dist-l image-eqI image-eqI length-upt
    unfolding bij-betw-def
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  moreover assume  $\text{index } ?l \ b \leq \text{index } ?l \ a$ 
  ultimately have  $\text{card } A - 1 - (? \varphi \ b) \leq \text{card } A - 1 - (? \varphi \ a)$ 
    using index-eq set-eq-A
    by metis
  moreover have  $\forall a < \text{card } A. ? \varphi \ (?inv \ a) < \text{card } A$ 
    using fin bij-inv bij-A
    unfolding bij-betw-def
    by fastforce
  hence  $? \varphi \ b \leq \text{card } A - 1 \wedge ? \varphi \ a \leq \text{card } A - 1$ 
    using in-bounds-a in-bounds-b fin
    by fastforce
  ultimately have  $? \varphi \ b \geq ? \varphi \ a$ 
    using fin le-diff-iff'
    by blast
  hence  $? \varphi \ a < ? \varphi \ b \vee ? \varphi \ a = ? \varphi \ b$ 
    by auto
  moreover have
     $\forall a \in A. \forall b \in A. ? \varphi \ a < ? \varphi \ b \longrightarrow a \in \text{underS } r \ b$ 
    using mon total-underS antisym order-less-not-sym

```

```

    by metis
  hence ? $\varphi$  a < ? $\varphi$  b  $\longrightarrow$  a  $\in$  underS r b
    using element-a el-b in-bounds-a in-bounds-b set-eq-A
    by blast
  hence ? $\varphi$  a < ? $\varphi$  b  $\longrightarrow$  (a, b)  $\in$  r
    unfolding underS-def
    by simp
  moreover have  $\forall$  a  $\in$  A.  $\forall$  b  $\in$  A. ? $\varphi$  a = ? $\varphi$  b  $\longrightarrow$  a = b
    using mon total-underS antisym order-less-not-sym
    by metis
  hence ? $\varphi$  a = ? $\varphi$  b  $\longrightarrow$  a = b
    using element-a el-b in-bounds-a in-bounds-b set-eq-A
    by blast
  hence ? $\varphi$  a = ? $\varphi$  b  $\longrightarrow$  (a, b)  $\in$  r
    using lin-order element-a el-b in-bounds-a
      in-bounds-b set-eq-A
    unfolding linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def
      preorder-on-def refl-on-def
    by auto
  ultimately show (a, b)  $\in$  r
    by auto
next
fix a b :: 'a
assume a-b-rel: (a, b)  $\in$  r
hence
  a-in-A: a  $\in$  A and
  b-in-A: b  $\in$  A and
  a-under-b-or-eq: a  $\in$  underS r b  $\vee$  a = b
    using lin-order
    unfolding linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def
      preorder-on-def refl-on-def underS-def
    by auto
thus
  a  $\in$  set ?l and
  b  $\in$  set ?l
    using bij-inv set-eq-A
    by (metis, metis)
  hence ? $\varphi$  a  $\leq$  ? $\varphi$  b
    using mon le-eq-less-or-eq a-under-b-or-eq
      a-in-A b-in-A
    by auto
  thus index ?l b  $\leq$  index ?l a
    using index-eq a-in-A b-in-A diff-le-mono2
    by metis
qed
ultimately show r  $\in$  pl- $\alpha$  ' permutations-of-set A
  by auto
qed

```

lemma *index-helper*:
fixes
 $l :: 'x \text{ list}$ **and**
 $x :: 'x$
assumes
 $\text{finite } (\text{set } l)$ **and**
 $\text{distinct } l$ **and**
 $x \in \text{set } l$
shows $\text{index } l \ x = \text{card } \{y \in \text{set } l. \text{index } l \ y < \text{index } l \ x\}$
proof –
have *bij-l*: $\text{bij-betw } (\text{index } l) (\text{set } l) \{0 ..< \text{length } l\}$
using *assms bij-betw-index*
by *blast*
hence $\text{card } \{y \in \text{set } l. \text{index } l \ y < \text{index } l \ x\} =$
 $\text{card } (\text{index } l \ ` \ \{y \in \text{set } l. \text{index } l \ y < \text{index } l \ x\})$
using *CollectD bij-betw-same-card bij-betw-subset subsetI*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
also have $\text{index } l \ ` \ \{y \in \text{set } l. \text{index } l \ y < \text{index } l \ x\} =$
 $\{m \mid m. m \in \text{index } l \ ` \ (\text{set } l) \wedge m < \text{index } l \ x\}$
by *blast*
also have
 $\{m \mid m. m \in \text{index } l \ ` \ (\text{set } l) \wedge m < \text{index } l \ x\} =$
 $\{m \mid m. m < \text{index } l \ x\}$
using *bij-l assms atLeastLessThan-iff bot-nat-0.extremum*
 $\text{index-image index-less-size-conv order-less-trans}$
by *metis*
also have $\text{card } \{m \mid m. m < \text{index } l \ x\} = \text{index } l \ x$
by *simp*
finally show *?thesis*
by *simp*
qed

lemma *pl- α -eq-imp-list-eq*:
fixes $l \ l' :: 'x \text{ list}$
assumes
 $\text{fin-set-}l$: $\text{finite } (\text{set } l)$ **and**
 set-eq : $\text{set } l = \text{set } l'$ **and**
 $\text{dist-}l$: $\text{distinct } l$ **and**
 $\text{dist-}l'$: $\text{distinct } l'$ **and**
 $\text{pl-}\alpha\text{-eq}$: $\text{pl-}\alpha \ l = \text{pl-}\alpha \ l'$
shows $l = l'$
proof (*rule ccontr*)
assume $l \neq l'$
moreover with *set-eq*
have $l \neq [] \wedge l' \neq []$
by *auto*
ultimately obtain
 $i :: \text{nat}$ **and**
 $x :: 'x$ **where**

```

     $i < \text{length } l$  and
     $l!i \neq l'!i$  and
     $x = l!i$  and
     $x\text{-in-}l: x \in \text{set } l$ 
  using  $\text{dist-}l \text{ dist-}l' \text{ distinct-remdups-id}$ 
         $\text{length-remdups-card-conv}$   $\text{nth-equalityI}$ 
         $\text{nth-mem}$   $\text{set-eq}$ 
  by metis
  moreover with  $\text{set-eq}$ 
    have  $\text{neq-ind}: \text{index } l \ x \neq \text{index } l' \ x$ 
    using  $\text{dist-}l \text{ index-nth-id}$   $\text{nth-index}$ 
    by metis
  ultimately have
     $\text{card } \{y \in \text{set } l. \text{index } l \ y < \text{index } l \ x\} \neq$ 
     $\text{card } \{y \in \text{set } l. \text{index } l' \ y < \text{index } l' \ x\}$ 
    using  $\text{dist-}l \text{ dist-}l' \text{ set-eq}$   $\text{index-helper}$   $\text{fin-set-}l$ 
    by (metis (mono-tags))
  then obtain  $y :: 'x$  where
     $y\text{-in-set-}l: y \in \text{set } l$  and
     $y\text{-neq-}x: y \neq x$  and
     $\text{neq-indices}:$ 
       $(\text{index } l \ y < \text{index } l \ x \wedge \text{index } l' \ y > \text{index } l' \ x)$ 
       $\vee (\text{index } l' \ y < \text{index } l' \ x \wedge \text{index } l \ y > \text{index } l \ x)$ 
    using  $\text{index-eq-index-conv}$   $\text{not-less-iff-gr-or-eq}$   $\text{set-eq}$ 
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
  hence
     $(\text{is-less-preferred-than-}l \ x \ l \ y \wedge \text{is-less-preferred-than-}l \ y \ l' \ x)$ 
     $\vee (\text{is-less-preferred-than-}l \ x \ l' \ y \wedge \text{is-less-preferred-than-}l \ y \ l \ x)$ 
    unfolding  $\text{is-less-preferred-than-}l.\text{sims}$ 
    using  $y\text{-in-set-}l$   $\text{less-imp-le-nat}$   $\text{set-eq}$   $x\text{-in-}l$ 
    by blast
  hence  $((x, y) \in \text{pl-}\alpha \ l \wedge (x, y) \notin \text{pl-}\alpha \ l')$ 
     $\vee ((x, y) \in \text{pl-}\alpha \ l' \wedge (x, y) \notin \text{pl-}\alpha \ l)$ 
    unfolding  $\text{pl-}\alpha\text{-def}$ 
    using  $\text{is-less-preferred-than-}l.\text{sims}$   $y\text{-neq-}x$   $\text{neq-indices}$ 
         $\text{case-prod-conv}$   $\text{linorder-not-less}$   $\text{mem-Collect-eq}$ 
    by metis
  thus False
    using  $\text{pl-}\alpha\text{-eq}$ 
    by blast
qed

```

```

lemma  $\text{pl-}\alpha\text{-bij-betw}:$ 
  fixes  $X :: 'x \text{ set}$ 
  assumes finite  $X$ 
  shows  $\text{bij-betw } \text{pl-}\alpha \ (\text{permutations-of-set } X) \ \{r. \text{linear-order-on } X \ r\}$ 
proof (unfold  $\text{bij-betw-def}$ , safe)
  show  $\text{inj-on } \text{pl-}\alpha \ (\text{permutations-of-set } X)$ 
    unfolding  $\text{inj-on-def}$   $\text{permutations-of-set-def}$ 

```

```

    using pl- $\alpha$ -eq-imp-list-eq assms
    by fastforce
next
  fix l :: 'x list
  assume l  $\in$  permutations-of-set X
  thus linear-order-on X (pl- $\alpha$  l)
    using assms pl- $\alpha$ -lin-order
    by blast
next
  fix r :: 'x rel
  assume linear-order-on X r
  thus r  $\in$  pl- $\alpha$  ' permutations-of-set X
    using assms lin-order-pl- $\alpha$ 
    by blast
qed

```

2.1.5 Limited Preference

definition *limited* :: '*a* set \Rightarrow '*a* Preference-List \Rightarrow bool **where**
limited A r $\equiv \forall a. a \in \text{set } r \longrightarrow a \in A$

fun *limit-l* :: '*a* set \Rightarrow '*a* Preference-List \Rightarrow '*a* Preference-List **where**
limit-l A l = *List.filter* ($\lambda a. a \in A$) *l*

lemma *limited-dest*:

```

fixes
  A :: 'a set and
  l :: 'a Preference-List and
  a b :: 'a
assumes
  a  $\lesssim_l$  b and
  limited A l
shows a  $\in A \wedge b \in A$ 
using assms
unfolding limited-def
by simp

```

lemma *limit-equiv*:

```

fixes
  A :: 'a set and
  l :: 'a list
assumes well-formed-l l
shows pl- $\alpha$  (limit-l A l) = limit A (pl- $\alpha$  l)
using assms
proof (induction l)
case Nil
show pl- $\alpha$  (limit-l A []) = limit A (pl- $\alpha$  [])
unfolding pl- $\alpha$ -def
by simp

```



```

next
case (Cons a l)
fix
  a :: 'a and
  l :: 'a list
assume
  wf-imp-limit: well-formed-l l  $\implies$  pl- $\alpha$  (limit-l A l) = limit A (pl- $\alpha$  l) and
  wf-a-l: well-formed-l (a#l)
show pl- $\alpha$  (limit-l A (a#l)) = limit A (pl- $\alpha$  (a#l))
proof (unfold limit-l.simps limit.simps, intro equalityI, safe)
  fix b c :: 'a
  assume b-less-c: (b, c)  $\in$  pl- $\alpha$  (filter ( $\lambda$  a. a  $\in$  A) (a#l))
  moreover have limit-preference-list-assoc:
    pl- $\alpha$  (limit-l A l) = limit A (pl- $\alpha$  l)
  using wf-a-l wf-imp-limit
  by simp
ultimately have
  b  $\in$  set (a#l) and
  c  $\in$  set (a#l)
  using case-prodD filter-set mem-Collect-eq member-filter
    is-less-preferred-than-l.simps
  unfolding pl- $\alpha$ -def
  by (metis, metis)
thus (b, c)  $\in$  pl- $\alpha$  (a#l)
proof (unfold pl- $\alpha$ -def is-less-preferred-than-l.simps, safe)
  have idx-set-eq:
     $\forall$  a' l' a''. (a' :: 'a)  $\lesssim_{l'}$  a'' =
      (a'  $\in$  set l'  $\wedge$  a''  $\in$  set l'  $\wedge$  index l' a''  $\leq$  index l' a')
  using is-less-preferred-than-l.simps
  by blast
  moreover from this
  have {(a', b'). a'  $\lesssim_{(\text{limit-l A l})}$  b'} =
    {(a', a''). a'  $\in$  set (limit-l A l)  $\wedge$  a''  $\in$  set (limit-l A l)  $\wedge$ 
      index (limit-l A l) a''  $\leq$  index (limit-l A l) a'}
  by presburger
  moreover from this
  have {(a', b'). a'  $\lesssim_l$  b'} =
    {(a', a''). a'  $\in$  set l  $\wedge$  a''  $\in$  set l  $\wedge$  index l a''  $\leq$  index l a'}
  using is-less-preferred-than-l.simps
  by auto
  ultimately have {(a', b').
    a'  $\in$  set (limit-l A l)  $\wedge$  b'  $\in$  set (limit-l A l)
     $\wedge$  index (limit-l A l) b'  $\leq$  index (limit-l A l) a'} =
    limit A {(a', b'). a'  $\in$  set l
     $\wedge$  b'  $\in$  set l  $\wedge$  index l b'  $\leq$  index l a'}
  using pl- $\alpha$ -def limit-preference-list-assoc
  by (metis (no-types))
hence idx-imp:
  b  $\in$  set (limit-l A l)  $\wedge$  c  $\in$  set (limit-l A l)

```

$\wedge \text{index } (\text{limit-}l \ A \ l) \ c \leq \text{index } (\text{limit-}l \ A \ l) \ b$
 $\longrightarrow b \in \text{set } l \wedge c \in \text{set } l \wedge \text{index } l \ c \leq \text{index } l \ b$
by *auto*
have $b \lesssim_{(\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) (a \# l))} c$
using *b-less-c case-prodD mem-Collect-eq*
unfolding *pl- α -def*
by (*metis (no-types)*)
moreover obtain
 $f \ h :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **and**
 $g :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list}$ **where**
 $\forall d \ s \ e. d \lesssim_s e \longrightarrow$
 $d = f \ e \ s \ d \wedge s = g \ e \ s \ d \wedge e = h \ e \ s \ d$
 $\wedge f \ e \ s \ d \in \text{set } (g \ e \ s \ d) \wedge h \ e \ s \ d \in \text{set } (g \ e \ s \ d)$
 $\wedge \text{index } (g \ e \ s \ d) \ (h \ e \ s \ d) \leq \text{index } (g \ e \ s \ d) \ (f \ e \ s \ d)$
by *fastforce*
ultimately have
 $b = f \ c \ (\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) (a \# l)) \ b$
 $\wedge \text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) (a \# l) =$
 $g \ c \ (\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) (a \# l)) \ b$
 $\wedge c = h \ c \ (\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) (a \# l)) \ b$
 $\wedge f \ c \ (\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) (a \# l)) \ b$
 $\in \text{set } (g \ c \ (\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) (a \# l)) \ b)$
 $\wedge h \ c \ (\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) (a \# l)) \ b$
 $\in \text{set } (g \ c \ (\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) (a \# l)) \ b)$
 $\wedge \text{index } (g \ c \ (\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) (a \# l)) \ b)$
 $(h \ c \ (\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) (a \# l)) \ b)$
 $\leq \text{index } (g \ c \ (\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) (a \# l)) \ b)$
 $(f \ c \ (\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) (a \# l)) \ b)$
by *blast*
moreover have $\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) \ l = \text{limit-}l \ A \ l$
by *simp*
moreover have
 $\text{index } (\text{limit-}l \ A \ l) \ c \neq$
 $\text{index } (g \ c \ (\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) (a \# l)) \ b)$
 $(h \ c \ (\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) (a \# l)) \ b)$
 $\vee \text{index } (\text{limit-}l \ A \ l) \ b \neq$
 $\text{index } (g \ c \ (\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) (a \# l)) \ b)$
 $(f \ c \ (\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) (a \# l)) \ b)$
 $\vee \text{index } (\text{limit-}l \ A \ l) \ c \leq \text{index } (\text{limit-}l \ A \ l) \ b$
 $\vee \neg \text{index } (g \ c \ (\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) (a \# l)) \ b)$
 $(h \ c \ (\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) (a \# l)) \ b)$
 $\leq \text{index } (g \ c \ (\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) (a \# l)) \ b)$
 $(f \ c \ (\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) (a \# l)) \ b)$
by *presburger*
ultimately have $a \neq c \longrightarrow \text{index } (a \# l) \ c \leq \text{index } (a \# l) \ b$
using *add-le-cancel-right idx-imp index-Cons le-zero-eq*
nth-index set-ConsD wf-a-l
unfolding *filter.simps is-less-preferred-than-l.elims*
distinct.simps

```

      by metis
    thus index (a#l) c ≤ index (a#l) b
      by force
  qed
show
  b ∈ A and
  c ∈ A
  using b-less-c case-prodD mem-Collect-eq set-filter
  unfolding pl-α-def is-less-preferred-than-l.simps
  by (metis (no-types, lifting),
      metis (no-types, lifting))
next
fix b c :: 'a
assume
  b-less-c: (b, c) ∈ pl-α (a#l) and
  b-in-A: b ∈ A and
  c-in-A: c ∈ A
have (b, c) ∈ pl-α (a#l)
  by (simp add: b-less-c)
hence b ≲(a#l) c
  using case-prodD mem-Collect-eq
  unfolding pl-α-def
  by metis
moreover have
  pl-α (filter (λ a. a ∈ A) l) =
    {(a, b). (a, b) ∈ pl-α l ∧ a ∈ A ∧ b ∈ A}
  using wf-a-l wf-imp-limit
  by simp
ultimately have
  index (filter (λ a. a ∈ A) (a#l)) c
    ≤ index (filter (λ a. a ∈ A) (a#l)) b
  unfolding pl-α-def
  using add-leE add-le-cancel-right case-prodI c-in-A
    b-in-A index-Cons set-ConsD not-one-le-zero
    in-rel-Collect-case-prod-eq mem-Collect-eq
    linorder-le-cases
  by fastforce
moreover have
  b ∈ set (filter (λ a. a ∈ A) (a#l)) and
  c ∈ set (filter (λ a. a ∈ A) (a#l))
  using b-less-c b-in-A c-in-A
  unfolding pl-α-def
  by (fastforce, fastforce)
ultimately show (b, c) ∈ pl-α (filter (λ a. a ∈ A) (a#l))
  unfolding pl-α-def
  by simp
qed
qed

```

2.1.6 Auxiliary Definitions

definition *total-on-l* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a Preference-List \Rightarrow bool **where**
total-on-l A l $\equiv \forall a \in A. a \in \text{set } l$

definition *refl-on-l* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a Preference-List \Rightarrow bool **where**
refl-on-l A l $\equiv (\forall a. a \in \text{set } l \longrightarrow a \in A) \wedge (\forall a \in A. a \lesssim_l a)$

definition *trans* :: 'a Preference-List \Rightarrow bool **where**
trans l $\equiv \forall (a, b, c) \in \text{set } l \times \text{set } l \times \text{set } l. a \lesssim_l b \wedge b \lesssim_l c \longrightarrow a \lesssim_l c$

definition *preorder-on-l* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a Preference-List \Rightarrow bool **where**
preorder-on-l A l $\equiv \text{refl-on-l } A \ l \wedge \text{trans } l$

definition *antisym-l* :: 'a list \Rightarrow bool **where**
antisym-l l $\equiv \forall a \ b. a \lesssim_l b \wedge b \lesssim_l a \longrightarrow a = b$

definition *partial-order-on-l* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a Preference-List \Rightarrow bool **where**
partial-order-on-l A l $\equiv \text{preorder-on-l } A \ l \wedge \text{antisym-l } l$

definition *linear-order-on-l* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a Preference-List \Rightarrow bool **where**
linear-order-on-l A l $\equiv \text{partial-order-on-l } A \ l \wedge \text{total-on-l } A \ l$

definition *connex-l* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a Preference-List \Rightarrow bool **where**
connex-l A l $\equiv \text{limited } A \ l \wedge (\forall a \in A. \forall b \in A. a \lesssim_l b \vee b \lesssim_l a)$

abbreviation *ballot-on* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a Preference-List \Rightarrow bool **where**
ballot-on A l $\equiv \text{well-formed-l } l \wedge \text{linear-order-on-l } A \ l$

2.1.7 Auxiliary Lemmas

lemma *list-trans[simp]*:
fixes l :: 'a Preference-List
shows *trans* l
unfolding *trans-def*
by *simp*

lemma *list-antisym[simp]*:
fixes l :: 'a Preference-List
shows *antisym-l* l
unfolding *antisym-l-def*
by *auto*

lemma *lin-order-equiv-list-of-alts*:
fixes
A :: 'a set **and**
l :: 'a Preference-List
shows *linear-order-on-l* A l = (A = set l)
unfolding *linear-order-on-l-def* *total-on-l-def*
partial-order-on-l-def *preorder-on-l-def*

```

      refl-on-l-def
by auto

lemma connex-imp-refl:
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    l :: 'a Preference-List
  assumes connex-l A l
  shows refl-on-l A l
  unfolding refl-on-l-def
  using assms connex-l-def Preference-List.limited-def
  by metis

lemma lin-ord-imp-connex-l:
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    l :: 'a Preference-List
  assumes linear-order-on-l A l
  shows connex-l A l
  using assms linorder-le-cases
  unfolding connex-l-def linear-order-on-l-def preorder-on-l-def
    limited-def refl-on-l-def partial-order-on-l-def
    is-less-preferred-than-l.simps
  by metis

lemma above-trans:
  fixes
    l :: 'a Preference-List and
    a b :: 'a
  assumes
    trans l and
    a  $\lesssim_l$  b
  shows set (above-l l b)  $\subseteq$  set (above-l l a)
  using assms set-take-subset-set-take rank-l.simps
    Suc-le-mono add commute add-0 add-Suc
  unfolding Preference-List.is-less-preferred-than-l.simps
    above-l-def One-nat-def
  by metis

lemma less-preferred-l-rel-equiv:
  fixes
    l :: 'a Preference-List and
    a b :: 'a
  shows a  $\lesssim_l$  b =
    Preference-Relation.is-less-preferred-than a (pl- $\alpha$  l) b
  unfolding pl- $\alpha$ -def
  by simp

theorem above-equiv:

```

```

fixes
   $l :: 'a \text{ Preference-List}$  and
   $a :: 'a$ 
shows  $\text{set } (\text{above-}l \ l \ a) = \text{above } (pl-\alpha \ l) \ a$ 
proof (safe)
  fix  $b :: 'a$ 
  assume  $b \in \text{set } (\text{above-}l \ l \ a)$ 
  hence  $\text{index } l \ b \leq \text{index } l \ a$ 
    unfolding  $\text{rank-}l.\text{simps}$   $\text{above-}l.\text{def}$ 
    using  $\text{Suc-eq-plus1}$   $\text{Suc-le-eq}$   $\text{index-take}$   $\text{linorder-not-less}$ 
       $\text{bot-nat-0.extremum-strict}$ 
    by (metis (full-types))
  hence  $a \lesssim_l b$ 
    using  $\text{Suc-le-mono}$   $\text{add-Suc}$   $\text{le-antisym}$   $\text{take-0}$   $b \in \text{set } (\text{above-}l \ l \ a)$ 
       $\text{in-set-takeD}$   $\text{index-take}$   $\text{le0}$   $\text{rank-}l.\text{simps}$ 
    unfolding  $\text{above-}l.\text{def}$   $\text{is-less-preferred-than-}l.\text{simps}$ 
    by metis
  thus  $b \in \text{above } (pl-\alpha \ l) \ a$ 
    using  $\text{less-preferred-}l.\text{rel-equiv}$   $\text{pref-imp-in-above}$ 
    by metis
next
  fix  $b :: 'a$ 
  assume  $b \in \text{above } (pl-\alpha \ l) \ a$ 
  hence  $a \lesssim_l b$ 
    using  $\text{pref-imp-in-above}$   $\text{less-preferred-}l.\text{rel-equiv}$ 
    by metis
  thus  $b \in \text{set } (\text{above-}l \ l \ a)$ 
    unfolding  $\text{above-}l.\text{def}$   $\text{is-less-preferred-than-}l.\text{simps}$ 
       $\text{rank-}l.\text{simps}$ 
    using  $\text{Suc-eq-plus1}$   $\text{Suc-le-eq}$   $\text{index-less-size-conv}$ 
       $\text{set-take-if-index}$   $\text{le-imp-less-Suc}$ 
    by (metis (full-types))
qed

theorem  $\text{rank-equiv}$ :
  fixes
     $l :: 'a \text{ Preference-List}$  and
     $a :: 'a$ 
  assumes  $\text{well-formed-}l \ l$ 
  shows  $\text{rank-}l \ l \ a = \text{rank } (pl-\alpha \ l) \ a$ 
proof (unfold  $\text{rank-}l.\text{simps}$   $\text{rank.simps}$ , cases  $a \in \text{set } l$ )
  case True
  moreover have  $\text{above } (pl-\alpha \ l) \ a = \text{set } (\text{above-}l \ l \ a)$ 
    unfolding  $\text{above-equiv}$ 
    by simp
  moreover have  $\text{distinct } (\text{above-}l \ l \ a)$ 
    unfolding  $\text{above-}l.\text{def}$ 
    using  $\text{assms}$   $\text{distinct-take}$ 
    by blast

```

```

moreover from this
have card (set (above-l l a)) = length (above-l l a)
  using distinct-card
  by blast
moreover have length (above-l l a) = rank-l l a
  unfolding above-l-def
  using Suc-le-eq
  by (simp add: in-set-member)
ultimately show
  (if a ∈ set l then index l a + 1 else 0) =
    card (above (pl-α l) a)
  by simp
next
case False
hence above (pl-α l) a = {}
  unfolding above-def
  using less-preferred-l-rel-equiv
  by fastforce
thus (if a ∈ set l then index l a + 1 else 0) =
  card (above (pl-α l) a)
  using False
  by fastforce
qed

lemma lin-ord-equiv:
fixes
  A :: 'a set and
  l :: 'a Preference-List
shows linear-order-on-l A l = linear-order-on A (pl-α l)
unfolding is-less-preferred-than-l.simps antisym-def total-on-def
  pl-α-def linear-order-on-l-def linear-order-on-def
  reft-on-l-def Relation.trans-def preorder-on-l-def
  partial-order-on-l-def partial-order-on-def
  total-on-l-def preorder-on-def reft-on-def
by auto

```

2.1.8 First Occurrence Indices

```

lemma pos-in-list-yields-rank:
fixes
  l :: 'a Preference-List and
  a :: 'a and
  n :: nat
assumes
   $\forall (j :: nat) \leq n. !j \neq a$ 
   $!(n - 1) = a$ 
shows rank-l l a = n
using assms
proof (induction l arbitrary: n)

```

```

    case Nil
    thus ?case
      by simp
next
fix
  l :: 'a Preference-List and
  a :: 'a
case (Cons a l)
thus ?case
  by simp
qed

lemma ranked-alt-not-at-pos-before:
  fixes
    l :: 'a Preference-List and
    a :: 'a and
    n :: nat
  assumes
    a ∈ set l and
    n < (rank-l l a) - 1
  shows l!n ≠ a
  using index-first member-def rank-l.simps
    assms add-diff-cancel-right'
  by metis

lemma pos-in-list-yields-pos:
  fixes
    l :: 'a Preference-List and
    a :: 'a
  assumes a ∈ set l
  shows l!(rank-l l a - 1) = a
  using assms
proof (induction l)
  case Nil
  thus ?case
    by simp
next
fix
  l :: 'a Preference-List and
  b :: 'a
case (Cons b l)
assume a ∈ set (b#l)
moreover from this
have rank-l (b#l) a = 1 + index (b#l) a
  using Suc-eq-plus1 add-Suc add-cancel-left-left
    rank-l.simps
  by metis
ultimately show (b#l)!(rank-l (b#l) a - 1) = a
  using diff-add-inverse nth-index

```



```

    by metis
qed

lemma rel-of-pref-pred-for-set-eq-list-to-rel:
  fixes l :: 'a Preference-List
  shows relation-of ( $\lambda y z. y \lesssim_l z$ ) (set l) = pl- $\alpha$  l
proof (unfold relation-of-def, safe)
  fix a b :: 'a
  assume a  $\lesssim_l$  b
  moreover have (a  $\lesssim_l$  b) = (a  $\preceq_{(pl-\alpha\ l)}$  b)
    using less-preferred-l-rel-equiv
    by (metis (no-types))
  ultimately show (a, b)  $\in$  pl- $\alpha$  l
    by simp
next
  fix a b :: 'a
  assume (a, b)  $\in$  pl- $\alpha$  l
  thus a  $\lesssim_l$  b
    using less-preferred-l-rel-equiv
    unfolding is-less-preferred-than.simps
    by metis
  thus
    a  $\in$  set l and
    b  $\in$  set l
    by (simp, simp)
qed

end

```

2.2 Preference (List) Profile

```

theory Profile-List
  imports ../Profile
    Preference-List
begin

```

2.2.1 Definition

A profile (list) contains one ballot for each voter.

type-synonym 'a Profile-List = 'a Preference-List list

type-synonym 'a Election-List = 'a set \times 'a Profile-List

Abstraction from profile list to profile.

fun pl-to-pr- α :: 'a Profile-List \Rightarrow ('a, nat) Profile **where**

```

pl-to-pr-α pl = (λ n. if n < length pl ∧ n ≥ 0
                    then (map pl-α pl)!n
                    else {})

```

```

lemma prof-abstr-presv-size:
  fixes p :: 'a Profile-List
  shows length p = length (to-list {0 ..< length p} (pl-to-pr-α p))
  by simp

```

2.2.2 Refinement Proof

A profile on a finite set of alternatives A contains only ballots that are lists of linear orders on A.

```

definition profile-l :: 'a set ⇒ 'a Profile-List ⇒ bool where
  profile-l A p ≡ ∀ i < length p. ballot-on A (p!i)

```

```

lemma profile-list-refines-profile:
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    p :: 'a Profile-List
  assumes profile-l A p
  shows profile {0 ..< length p} A (pl-to-pr-α p)
proof (unfold profile-def, safe)
  fix i :: nat
  assume in-range: i ∈ {0 ..< length p}
  moreover have well-formed-l (p!i)
    using assms in-range
    unfolding profile-l-def
    by simp
  moreover have linear-order-on-l A (p!i)
    using assms in-range
    unfolding profile-l-def
    by simp
  ultimately show linear-order-on A (pl-to-pr-α p i)
    using lin-ord-equiv length-map nth-map
    by auto
qed

end

```

2.3 Ordered Relation Type

```

theory Ordered-Relation
  imports Preference-Relation
          ./Refined-Types/Preference-List
          HOL-Combinatorics.Multiset-Permutations

```

```

begin

lemma fin-ordered:
  fixes  $X :: 'x \text{ set}$ 
  assumes finite X
  obtains  $\text{ord} :: 'x \text{ rel}$  where
    linear-order-on X ord
proof -
  obtain  $l :: 'x \text{ list}$  where
    set-l: set l = X
  using finite-list assms
  by blast
  let  $?r = \text{pl-}\alpha \text{ } l$ 
  have antisym ?r
    using set-l Collect-mono-iff antisym index-eq-index-conv pl-}\alpha\text{-def}
    unfolding antisym-def
    by fastforce
  moreover have refl-on X ?r
    using set-l
    unfolding refl-on-def pl-}\alpha\text{-def is-less-preferred-than-l.simps}
    by blast
  moreover have Relation.trans ?r
    unfolding Relation.trans-def pl-}\alpha\text{-def is-less-preferred-than-l.simps}
    by auto
  moreover have total-on X ?r
    using set-l
    unfolding total-on-def pl-}\alpha\text{-def is-less-preferred-than-l.simps}
    by force
  ultimately have linear-order-on X ?r
    unfolding linear-order-on-def preorder-on-def partial-order-on-def
    by blast
  moreover assume
     $\bigwedge \text{ord. linear-order-on X ord} \implies ?thesis$ 
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by blast
qed

typedef 'a Ordered-Preference =
  { $p :: 'a :: \text{finite Preference-Relation. linear-order-on (UNIV :: 'a set) p}$ }
  morphisms ord2pref pref2ord
proof (unfold mem-Collect-eq)
  have finite (UNIV :: 'a set)
    by simp
  then obtain  $p :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$  where
    linear-order-on (UNIV :: 'a set) p
    using fin-ordered
    by metis
  thus  $\exists p :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation. linear-order } p$ 
    by blast

```

qed

```

instance Ordered-Preference :: (finite) finite
proof
  have (UNIV :: 'a Ordered-Preference set) =
    pref2ord ' {p :: 'a Preference-Relation.
      linear-order-on (UNIV :: 'a set) p}
  using type-definition.Abs-image
    type-definition-Ordered-Preference
  by blast
  moreover have
    finite {p :: 'a Preference-Relation.
      linear-order-on (UNIV :: 'a set) p}
  by simp
  ultimately show
    finite (UNIV :: 'a Ordered-Preference set)
  using finite-imageI
  by metis
qed

```

```

lemma range-ord2pref: range ord2pref = {p. linear-order p}
using type-definition-Ordered-Preference type-definition.Rep-range
by metis

```

```

lemma card-ord-pref: card (UNIV :: 'a :: finite Ordered-Preference set) =
  fact (card (UNIV :: 'a set))
proof –
  let ?n = card (UNIV :: 'a set) and
    ?perm = permutations-of-set (UNIV :: 'a set)
  have (UNIV :: 'a Ordered-Preference set) =
    pref2ord ' {p :: 'a Preference-Relation.
      linear-order-on (UNIV :: 'a set) p}
  using type-definition-Ordered-Preference type-definition.Abs-image
  by blast
  moreover have
    inj-on pref2ord {p :: 'a Preference-Relation.
      linear-order-on (UNIV :: 'a set) p}
  using inj-onCI pref2ord-inject
  by metis
  ultimately have
    bij-betw pref2ord
      {p :: 'a Preference-Relation.
        linear-order-on (UNIV :: 'a set) p}
      (UNIV :: 'a Ordered-Preference set)
  using bij-betw-imageI
  by metis
  hence card (UNIV :: 'a Ordered-Preference set) =
    card {p :: 'a Preference-Relation.
      linear-order-on (UNIV :: 'a set) p}

```

```

    using bij-betw-same-card
    by metis
  moreover have card ?perm = fact ?n
    by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using bij-betw-same-card pl- $\alpha$ -bij-betw finite
    by metis
qed
end

```

2.4 Alternative Election Type

```

theory Quotient-Type-Election
  imports Profile
begin

```

```

lemma election-equality-equiv:
  election-equality E E and
  election-equality E E'  $\longrightarrow$  election-equality E' E and
  election-equality E E'  $\longrightarrow$  election-equality E' F
     $\longrightarrow$  election-equality E F
proof (safe)
  have election-equality
    (fst E, fst (snd E), snd (snd E)) (fst E, fst (snd E), snd (snd E))
  unfolding election-equality.simps
  by safe
  thus election-equality E E
    by clarsimp
next
  assume election-equality E E'
  hence election-equality
    (fst E, fst (snd E), snd (snd E)) (fst E', fst (snd E'), snd (snd E'))
  by simp
  hence election-equality
    (fst E', fst (snd E'), snd (snd E')) (fst E, fst (snd E), snd (snd E))
  unfolding election-equality.simps
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
  thus election-equality E' E
    by clarsimp
next
  assume
    election-equality E E' and
    election-equality E' F
  hence
    election-equality
      (fst E, fst (snd E), snd (snd E)) (fst E', fst (snd E'), snd (snd E')) and

```

```

    election-equality
      (fst E', fst (snd E'), snd (snd E')) (fst F, fst (snd F), snd (snd F))
    by (simp, simp)
  hence election-equality
    (fst E, fst (snd E), snd (snd E)) (fst F, fst (snd F), snd (snd F))
  unfolding election-equality.simps
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  thus election-equality E F
  by clarsimp
qed

quotient-type ('a, 'v) ElectionQ =
  'a set × 'v set × ('a, 'v) Profile / election-equality
unfolding equivp-reflp-symp-transp reflp-def symp-def transp-def
using election-equality-equiv
by simp

fun fstQ :: ('a, 'v) ElectionQ ⇒ 'a set where
  fstQ E = fst (rep-ElectionQ E)

fun sndQ :: ('a, 'v) ElectionQ ⇒ 'v set × ('a, 'v) Profile where
  sndQ E = snd (rep-ElectionQ E)

abbreviation alternatives- $\mathcal{E}_Q$  :: ('a, 'v) ElectionQ ⇒ 'a set where
  alternatives- $\mathcal{E}_Q$  E ≡ fstQ E

abbreviation voters- $\mathcal{E}_Q$  :: ('a, 'v) ElectionQ ⇒ 'v set where
  voters- $\mathcal{E}_Q$  E ≡ fst (sndQ E)

abbreviation profile- $\mathcal{E}_Q$  :: ('a, 'v) ElectionQ ⇒ ('a, 'v) Profile where
  profile- $\mathcal{E}_Q$  E ≡ snd (sndQ E)

end

```

Chapter 3

Quotient Rules

3.1 Quotients of Equivalence Relations

```
theory Relation-Quotients
imports ../Social-Choice-Types/Symmetry-Of-Functions
begin
```

3.1.1 Definitions

```
fun singleton-set :: 'x set  $\Rightarrow$  'x where
  singleton-set s = (if (card s = 1) then (the-inv ( $\lambda$  x. {x}) s) else undefined)
— This is undefined if card s  $\neq$  1. Note that "undefined = undefined" is the only
provable equality for undefined.
```

For a given function, we define a function on sets that maps each set to the unique image under *f* of its elements, if one exists. Otherwise, the result is undefined.

```
fun  $\pi_Q$  :: ('x  $\Rightarrow$  'y)  $\Rightarrow$  ('x set  $\Rightarrow$  'y) where
   $\pi_Q$  f s = singleton-set (f ` s)
```

For a given function *f* on sets and a mapping from elements to sets, we define a function on the set element type that maps each element to the image of its corresponding set under *f*. A natural mapping is from elements to their classes under a relation.

```
fun inv- $\pi_Q$  :: ('x  $\Rightarrow$  'x set)  $\Rightarrow$  ('x set  $\Rightarrow$  'y)  $\Rightarrow$  ('x  $\Rightarrow$  'y) where
  inv- $\pi_Q$  cls f x = f (cls x)
```

```
fun relation-class :: 'x rel  $\Rightarrow$  'x  $\Rightarrow$  'x set where
  relation-class r x = r `` {x}
```

3.1.2 Well-Definedness

```
lemma singleton-set-undef-if-card-neq-one:
fixes s :: 'x set
```

```

assumes  $\text{card } s \neq 1$ 
shows  $\text{singleton-set } s = \text{undefined}$ 
using assms
by simp

```

```

lemma singleton-set-def-if-card-one:
  fixes  $s :: 'x \text{ set}$ 
  assumes  $\text{card } s = 1$ 
  shows  $\exists! x. x = \text{singleton-set } s \wedge \{x\} = s$ 
  using assms card-1-singletonE inj-def singleton-inject the-inv-f-f
  unfolding singleton-set.simps
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))

```

If the given function is invariant under an equivalence relation, the induced function on sets is well-defined for all equivalence classes of that relation.

```

theorem pass-to-quotient:
  fixes
     $f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$  and
     $r :: 'x \text{ rel}$  and
     $s :: 'x \text{ set}$ 
  assumes
     $f$  respects  $r$  and
    equiv  $s$   $r$ 
  shows  $\forall t \in s // r. \forall x \in t. \pi_Q f t = f x$ 
proof (safe)
  fix
     $t :: 'x \text{ set}$  and
     $x :: 'x$ 
  have  $\forall y \in r `` \{x\}. (x, y) \in r$ 
    unfolding Image-def
    by simp
  hence func-eq-x:
     $\{f y \mid y. y \in r `` \{x\}\} = \{f x \mid y. y \in r `` \{x\}\}$ 
    using assms
    unfolding congruent-def
    by fastforce
  assume
     $t \in s // r$  and
     $x \text{-in-} t: x \in t$ 
  moreover from this have  $r `` \{x\} \in s // r$ 
    using assms quotient-eq-iff equiv-class-eq-iff quotientI
    by metis
  ultimately have  $r \text{-img-elem-} x \text{-eq-} t: r `` \{x\} = t$ 
    using assms quotient-eq-iff Image-singleton-iff
    by metis
  hence  $\{f x \mid y. y \in r `` \{x\}\} = \{f x\}$ 
    using  $x \text{-in-} t$ 
    by blast
  hence  $f ` t = \{f x\}$ 

```



```

    using Setcompr-eq-image r-img-elem-x-eq-t func-eq-x
    by metis
  thus  $\pi_Q f t = f x$ 
    using singleton-set-def-if-card-one is-singletonI
      is-singleton-altdef the-elem-eq
    unfolding  $\pi_Q.simps$ 
    by metis
qed

```

A function on sets induces a function on the element type that is invariant under a given equivalence relation.

```

theorem pass-to-quotient-inv:
  fixes
     $f :: 'x \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'x$  and
     $r :: 'x \text{ rel}$  and
     $s :: 'x \text{ set}$ 
  assumes equiv s r
  defines induced-fun  $\equiv (inv\text{-}\pi_Q (relation\text{-}class\ r) f)$ 
  shows
    induced-fun respects r and
     $\forall A \in s // r. \pi_Q \text{ induced-fun } A = f A$ 
proof (safe)
  have  $\forall (a, b) \in r. relation\text{-}class\ r\ a = relation\text{-}class\ r\ b$ 
    using assms equiv-class-eq
    unfolding relation-class.simps
    by fastforce
  hence  $\forall (a, b) \in r. induced\text{-}fun\ a = induced\text{-}fun\ b$ 
    unfolding induced-fun-def inv- $\pi_Q.simps$ 
    by auto
  thus induced-fun respects r
    unfolding congruent-def
    by metis
  moreover fix  $A :: 'x \text{ set}$ 
  assume  $A \in s // r$ 
  moreover with assms
  obtain  $a :: 'x$  where
     $a \in A$  and
     $A\text{-eq-rel-class-r-a}: A = relation\text{-}class\ r\ a$ 
    using equiv-Eps-in proj-Eps
    unfolding proj-def relation-class.simps
    by metis
  ultimately have  $\pi_Q \text{ induced-fun } A = induced\text{-}fun\ a$ 
    using pass-to-quotient assms
    by blast
  thus  $\pi_Q \text{ induced-fun } A = f A$ 
    using A-eq-rel-class-r-a
    unfolding induced-fun-def
    by simp
qed

```

3.1.3 Equivalence Relations

lemma *restr-equals-restricted-rel*:

```

fixes
   $s\ t :: 'a\ set$  and
   $r :: 'a\ rel$ 
assumes
  closed-restricted-rel  $r\ s\ t$  and
   $t \subseteq s$ 
shows restricted-rel  $r\ t\ s = Restr\ r\ t$ 
proof (unfold restricted-rel.simps, safe)
  fix  $a\ b :: 'a$ 
  assume
     $(a, b) \in r$  and
     $a \in t$  and
     $b \in s$ 
  thus  $b \in t$ 
    using assms
    unfolding closed-restricted-rel.simps restricted-rel.simps
    by blast
next
  fix  $a\ b :: 'a$ 
  assume  $b \in t$ 
  thus  $b \in s$ 
    using assms
    by blast
qed

```

lemma *equiv-rel-restr*:

```

fixes
   $s\ t :: 'x\ set$  and
   $r :: 'x\ rel$ 
assumes
  equiv  $s\ r$  and
   $t \subseteq s$ 
shows equiv  $t\ (Restr\ r\ t)$ 
proof (unfold equiv-def refl-on-def, safe)
  fix  $x :: 'x$ 
  assume  $x \in t$ 
  thus  $(x, x) \in r$ 
    using assms
    unfolding equiv-def refl-on-def
    by blast
next
  show sym  $(Restr\ r\ t)$ 
    using assms
    unfolding equiv-def sym-def
    by blast
next
  show Relation.trans  $(Restr\ r\ t)$ 

```

```

    using assms
    unfolding equiv-def Relation.trans-def
    by blast
qed

lemma rel-ind-by-group-act-equiv:
  fixes
     $m :: 'x \text{ monoid}$  and
     $s :: 'y \text{ set}$  and
     $\varphi :: ('x, 'y) \text{ binary-fun}$ 
  assumes group-action m s  $\varphi$ 
  shows equiv s (action-induced-rel (carrier m) s  $\varphi$ )
proof (unfold equiv-def refl-on-def sym-def Relation.trans-def
         action-induced-rel.simps, safe)
  fix  $y :: 'y$ 
  assume  $y \in s$ 
  hence  $\varphi \mathbf{1} \ m \ y = y$ 
    using assms group-action.id-eq-one restrict-apply'
    by metis
  thus  $\exists g \in \text{carrier } m. \varphi \ g \ y = y$ 
    using assms group.is-monoid group-hom.axioms
    unfolding group-action-def
    by blast
next
fix
   $y :: 'y$  and
   $g :: 'x$ 
  assume
    y-in-s:  $y \in s$  and
    carrier-g:  $g \in \text{carrier } m$ 
  hence  $y = \varphi \ (\text{inv } m \ g) \ (\varphi \ g \ y)$ 
    using assms
    by (simp add: group-action.orbit-sym-aux)
  thus  $\exists h \in \text{carrier } m. \varphi \ h \ (\varphi \ g \ y) = y$ 
    using assms carrier-g group.inv-closed
         group-action.group-hom
    unfolding group-hom-def
    by metis
next
fix
   $y :: 'y$  and
   $g \ h :: 'x$ 
  assume
    y-in-s:  $y \in s$  and
    carrier-g:  $g \in \text{carrier } m$  and
    carrier-h:  $h \in \text{carrier } m$ 
  hence  $\varphi \ (h \otimes m \ g) \ y = \varphi \ h \ (\varphi \ g \ y)$ 
    using assms
    by (simp add: group-action.composition-rule)

```

```

thus  $\exists f \in \text{carrier } m. \varphi f y = \varphi h (\varphi g y)$ 
  using assms carrier-g carrier-h group-action.group-hom
        monoid.m-closed
  unfolding group-def group-hom-def
  by metis
next
fix
   $y :: 'y$  and
   $g :: 'x$ 
assume
   $y \in s$  and
   $g \in \text{carrier } m$ 
thus  $\varphi g y \in s$ 
  using assms group-action.element-image
  by metis
next
fix
   $y :: 'y$  and
   $g :: 'x$ 
assume
   $y \in s$  and
   $g \in \text{carrier } m$ 
thus  $\varphi g y \in s$ 
  using assms group-action.element-image
  by metis
qed

end

```

3.2 Quotients of Election Set Equivalences

```

theory Election-Quotients
  imports Relation-Quotients
        ../Social-Choice-Types/Voting-Symmetry
        ../Social-Choice-Types/Ordered-Relation
        HOL-Analysis.Convex
        HOL-Analysis.Cartesian-Space
begin

```

3.2.1 Auxiliary Lemmas

```

lemma obtain-partition:
  fixes
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $B :: 'b \text{ set}$  and
     $f :: 'b \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ 
  assumes

```

```

    finite A and
    finite B and
     $(\sum x \in B. f x) = \text{card } A$ 
shows  $\exists \mathcal{X}. A = \bigcup \{\mathcal{X} i \mid i. i \in B\} \wedge (\forall i \in B. \text{card } (\mathcal{X} i) = f i) \wedge$ 
     $(\forall i j. i \neq j \longrightarrow i \in B \wedge j \in B \longrightarrow \mathcal{X} i \cap \mathcal{X} j = \{\})$ 
using assms
proof (induction card B arbitrary: A B)
case 0
fix
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $B :: 'b \text{ set}$ 
let  $?X = \lambda y. \{\}$ 
assume
  finite B and
   $0 = \text{card } B$ 
hence Y-empty: B = {}
using 0
by simp
hence  $(\sum x \in B. f x) = 0$ 
by simp
moreover assume
  finite A and
   $(\sum x \in B. f x) = \text{card } A$ 
ultimately have  $A = \{\}$ 
by simp
hence  $A = \bigcup \{?X i \mid i. i \in B\}$ 
by blast
moreover have  $\forall i j. i \neq j \longrightarrow i \in B \wedge j \in B \longrightarrow ?X i \cap ?X j = \{\}$ 
by blast
ultimately show
   $\exists \mathcal{X}. A = \bigcup \{\mathcal{X} i \mid i. i \in B\} \wedge$ 
   $(\forall i \in B. \text{card } (\mathcal{X} i) = f i) \wedge$ 
   $(\forall i j. i \neq j \longrightarrow i \in B \wedge j \in B \longrightarrow \mathcal{X} i \cap \mathcal{X} j = \{\})$ 
using Y-empty
by simp
next
case (Suc x)
fix
   $x :: \text{nat}$  and
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $B :: 'b \text{ set}$ 
assume
  card-B: Suc x = card B and
  fin-B: finite B and
  fin-A: finite A and
  card-A:  $(\sum x \in B. f x) = \text{card } A$  and
  hyp:
     $\bigwedge Y (X :: 'a \text{ set}).$ 
     $x = \text{card } Y \Longrightarrow$ 

```

$\text{finite } X \implies$
 $\text{finite } Y \implies$
 $(\sum y \in Y. f y) = \text{card } X \implies$
 $\exists \mathcal{X}.$
 $X = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{X} i \mid i. i \in Y \} \wedge$
 $(\forall i \in Y. \text{card } (\mathcal{X} i) = f i) \wedge$
 $(\forall i j. i \neq j \longrightarrow i \in Y \wedge j \in Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{X} i \cap \mathcal{X} j = \{\})$

then obtain

$B' :: 'b \text{ set and}$
 $y :: 'b \text{ where}$
 $\text{ins-}B: B = \text{insert } y B' \text{ and}$
 $\text{card-}B': \text{card } B' = x \text{ and}$
 $\text{fin-}B': \text{finite } B' \text{ and}$
 $\text{y-not-in-}B': y \notin B'$
using $\text{card-Suc-eq-finite}$
by $(\text{metis } (\text{no-types, lifting}))$

hence $f y \leq \text{card } A$
using $\text{card-A le-add1 n-not-Suc-n sum.insert}$
by metis

then obtain $A' :: 'a \text{ set where}$
 $X'\text{-in-}X: A' \subseteq A \text{ and}$
 $\text{card-}X': \text{card } A' = f y$
using fin-A ex-card
by metis

hence $\text{finite } (A - A') \wedge \text{card } (A - A') = (\sum y \in B'. f y)$
using $\text{card-B card-A fin-A ins-B card-B' fin-B' Suc-n-not-n}$
 $\text{add-diff-cancel-left' card-Diff-subset card-insert-if}$
 $\text{finite-Diff finite-subset sum.insert}$
by metis

then obtain $\mathcal{X} :: 'b \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set where}$
 $\text{part: } A - A' = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{X} i \mid i. i \in B' \} \text{ and}$
 $\text{disj: } \forall i j. i \neq j \longrightarrow i \in B' \wedge j \in B' \longrightarrow \mathcal{X} i \cap \mathcal{X} j = \{\} \text{ and}$
 $\text{card: } \forall i \in B'. \text{card } (\mathcal{X} i) = f i$
using $\text{hyp[of } B' A - A'] \text{ fin-B' card-B'}$
by auto

then obtain $\mathcal{X}' :: 'b \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set where}$
 $\text{map': } \mathcal{X}' = (\lambda z. \text{if } z = y \text{ then } A' \text{ else } \mathcal{X} z)$
by simp

hence $\text{eq-}\mathcal{X}: \forall i \in B'. \mathcal{X}' i = \mathcal{X} i$
using $\text{y-not-in-}B'$
by simp

have $B = \{y\} \cup B'$
using ins-B
by simp

hence $\bigcup \{ \mathcal{X}' i \mid i. i \in B \} = \mathcal{X}' y \cup \bigcup \{ \mathcal{X}' i \mid i. i \in B' \}$
by blast

also have $\dots = A' \cup \bigcup \{ \mathcal{X} i \mid i. i \in B' \}$
using $\text{map' eq-}\mathcal{X}$
by fastforce

```

finally have part':  $A = \bigcup \{\mathcal{X}' i \mid i. i \in B\}$ 
  using part Diff-partition X'-in-X
  by metis
have  $\forall i \in B'. \mathcal{X}' i \subseteq A - A'$ 
  using part eq-X Setcompr-eq-image UN-upper
  by metis
hence  $\forall i \in B'. \mathcal{X}' i \cap A' = \{\}$ 
  by blast
hence  $\forall i \in B'. \mathcal{X}' i \cap \mathcal{X}' y = \{\}$ 
  using map'
  by simp
hence  $\forall i j. i \neq j \longrightarrow i \in B \wedge j \in B \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}' i \cap \mathcal{X}' j = \{\}$ 
  using map' disj ins-B inf commute insertE
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))
moreover have  $\forall i \in B. \text{card } (\mathcal{X}' i) = f i$ 
  using map' card card-X' ins-B
  by simp
ultimately show
   $\exists \mathcal{X}. A = \bigcup \{\mathcal{X} i \mid i. i \in B\} \wedge$ 
     $(\forall i \in B. \text{card } (\mathcal{X} i) = f i) \wedge$ 
     $(\forall i j. i \neq j \longrightarrow i \in B \wedge j \in B \longrightarrow \mathcal{X} i \cap \mathcal{X} j = \{\})$ 
  using part'
  by blast
qed

```

3.2.2 Anonymity Quotient: Grid

```

fun anonymityQ :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Election set set where
  anonymityQ A = quotient (elections- $\mathcal{A}$  A) (anonymityR (elections- $\mathcal{A}$  A))

```

— Here, we count the occurrences of a ballot per election in a set of elections for which the occurrences of the ballot per election coincide for all elections in the set.

```

fun vote-countQ :: 'a Preference-Relation  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Election set  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  vote-countQ p =  $\pi_Q$  (vote-count p)

```

```

fun anonymity-class :: ('a :: finite, 'v) Election set  $\Rightarrow$ 
  (nat, 'a Ordered-Preference) vec where
  anonymity-class X = ( $\chi$  p. vote-countQ (ord2pref p) X)

```

lemma *anon-rel-equiv*: *equiv* (elections- \mathcal{A} UNIV) (*anonymity*_R (elections- \mathcal{A} UNIV))

proof —

```

  have subset: elections- $\mathcal{A}$  UNIV  $\subseteq$  well-formed-elections
    by simp
  have equiv: equiv well-formed-elections (anonymityR well-formed-elections)
    using anonymous-group-action.group-action-axioms rel-ind-by-group-act-equiv[of
      bijectionVG well-formed-elections  $\varphi$ -anon well-formed-elections]
      rel-ind-by-coinciding-action-on-subset-eq-restr
    by fastforce
  have closed:

```

```

closed-restricted-rel
(anonymityR well-formed-elections) well-formed-elections (elections- $\mathcal{A}$  UNIV)
proof (unfold closed-restricted-rel.simps restricted-rel.simps, safe)
fix
   $A \ A' :: 'c$  set and
   $V \ V' :: 'd$  set and
   $p \ p' :: ('c, 'd)$  Profile
assume elt:  $(A, V, p) \in \text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV}$ 
hence wf-elections:  $(A, V, p) \in \text{well-formed-elections}$ 
  unfolding elections- $\mathcal{A}$ .simps
  by blast
assume  $((A, V, p), A', V', p') \in \text{anonymity}_R \text{ well-formed-elections}$ 
then obtain  $\pi :: 'd \Rightarrow 'd$  where
   $\text{bij-}\pi$ :  $\text{bij } \pi$  and
   $\text{img}$ :  $(A', V', p') = \text{rename } \pi (A, V, p)$ 
  unfolding anonymityR.simps action-induced-rel.simps
    bijectionVG-def  $\varphi$ -anon.simps rewrite-carrier
    extensional-continuation.simps
  by auto
hence  $(A', V', p') \in \text{well-formed-elections}$ 
  using wf-elections rename-sound
  unfolding well-formed-elections-def
  by fastforce
moreover have  $A' = A \wedge \text{finite } V$ 
  using  $\text{bij-}\pi$  elt  $\text{img}$  rename.simps wf-elections well-formed-elections-def
  by auto
moreover have  $\forall v. v \notin V' \longrightarrow (\text{the-inv } \pi \ v) \notin V$ 
  using elt Pair-inject UNIV-I  $\text{bij-}\pi$  rename.simps
    f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw image-eqI  $\text{img}$ 
  unfolding elections- $\mathcal{A}$ .simps
  by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting))
moreover have  $\forall v. v \notin V' \longrightarrow p' \ v = p \ (\text{the-inv } \pi \ v)$ 
  using  $\text{img}$ 
  by simp
ultimately show  $(A', V', p') \in \text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV}$ 
  using elt  $\text{img}$ 
  unfolding elections- $\mathcal{A}$ .simps rename.simps
  by auto
qed
have
  anonymityR (elections- $\mathcal{A}$  UNIV) =
    restricted-rel (anonymityR well-formed-elections) (elections- $\mathcal{A}$  UNIV)
    well-formed-elections
proof (unfold restricted-rel.simps, safe)
fix
   $A \ A' :: 'c$  set and
   $V \ V' :: 'd$  set and
   $p \ p' :: ('c, 'd)$  Profile
assume rel:  $((A, V, p), A', V', p') \in \text{anonymity}_R (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV})$ 

```


hence $(A, V, p) \in \text{well-formed-elections}$
 unfolding *anonymity_R.sims action-induced-rel.sims elections-A.sims*
 by *blast*
 moreover obtain $\pi :: 'd \Rightarrow 'd$ where
 bij π and
 $(A', V', p') = \text{rename } \pi (A, V, p)$
 using *rel*
 unfolding *anonymity_R.sims action-induced-rel.sims*
 bijection_{VG}-def φ -anon.sims rewrite-carrier
 extensional-continuation.sims
 by *auto*
 ultimately show $((A, V, p), A', V', p') \in \text{anonymity}_R \text{ well-formed-elections}$
 unfolding *anonymity_R.sims action-induced-rel.sims*
 bijection_{VG}-def φ -anon.sims rewrite-carrier
 by *auto*
 next
 fix
 $A \ A' :: 'c \text{ set}$ and
 $V \ V' :: 'd \text{ set}$ and
 $p \ p' :: ('c, 'd) \text{ Profile}$
 assume $((A, V, p), A', V', p') \in \text{anonymity}_R (\text{elections-A UNIV})$
 thus $(A, V, p) \in \text{elections-A UNIV}$
 unfolding *anonymity_R.sims action-induced-rel.sims*
 by *blast*
 next
 fix
 $A \ A' :: 'c \text{ set}$ and
 $V \ V' :: 'd \text{ set}$ and
 $p \ p' :: ('c, 'd) \text{ Profile}$
 assume
 $\text{rel}: ((A, V, p), A', V', p') \in \text{anonymity}_R (\text{elections-A UNIV})$
 hence $((A, V, p), A', V', p') \in \text{anonymity}_R \text{ well-formed-elections}$
 unfolding *anonymity_R.sims action-induced-rel.sims*
 by *fastforce*
 moreover have $\text{elt}: (A, V, p) \in \text{elections-A UNIV}$
 using *rel*
 unfolding *anonymity_R.sims action-induced-rel.sims*
 by *blast*
 ultimately have
 $((A, V, p), A', V', p') \in \text{restricted-rel}$
 $(\text{anonymity}_R \text{ well-formed-elections}) (\text{elections-A UNIV}) \text{ well-formed-elections}$
 using *equiv*
 unfolding *restricted-rel.sims equiv-def refl-on-def*
 by *blast*
 hence $(A', V', p') \in \text{elections-A UNIV}$
 using *closed elt*
 unfolding *closed-restricted-rel.sims*
 by *blast*
 thus $(A', V', p') \in \text{well-formed-elections}$

```

    using subset
    by blast
next
fix
  A A' :: 'c set and
  V V' :: 'd set and
  p p' :: ('c, 'd) Profile
assume
  (A, V, p) ∈ elections- $\mathcal{A}$  UNIV and
  ((A, V, p), A', V', p') ∈ anonymity $\mathcal{R}$  well-formed-elections
moreover from this
obtain  $\pi$  :: 'd  $\Rightarrow$  'd where
  bij  $\pi$  and
  (A', V', p') = rename  $\pi$  (A, V, p)
unfolding anonymity $\mathcal{R}$ .sims action-induced-rel.sims
  bijection $\text{VG}$ -def  $\varphi$ -anon.sims rewrite-carrier
  extensional-continuation.sims
by auto
ultimately show ((A, V, p), A', V', p') ∈ anonymity $\mathcal{R}$  (elections- $\mathcal{A}$  UNIV)
unfolding anonymity $\mathcal{R}$ .sims action-induced-rel.sims
  bijection $\text{VG}$ -def  $\varphi$ -anon.sims rewrite-carrier
  extensional-continuation.sims
by auto
qed
also have ... = Restr (anonymity $\mathcal{R}$  well-formed-elections) (elections- $\mathcal{A}$  UNIV)
  using restr-equals-restricted-rel closed subset
  by blast
finally have
  anonymity $\mathcal{R}$  (elections- $\mathcal{A}$  UNIV) =
  Restr (anonymity $\mathcal{R}$  well-formed-elections) (elections- $\mathcal{A}$  UNIV)
  by simp
thus ?thesis
  using equiv-rel-restr subset equiv
  by metis
qed

```

We assume that all elections consist of a fixed finite alternative set of size n and finite subsets of an infinite voter universe. Profiles are linear orders on the alternatives. Then, we can operate on the natural-number-vectors of dimension $n!$ instead of the equivalence classes of the anonymity relation: Each dimension corresponds to one possible linear order on the alternative set, i.e., the possible preferences. Each equivalence class of elections corresponds to a vector whose entries denote the amount of voters per election in that class who vote the respective corresponding preference.

theorem *anonymity \mathcal{Q} -isomorphism:*

assumes *infinite* (UNIV :: 'v set)

shows *bij-betw* (anonymity-class :: ('a :: finite, 'v) Election set

\Rightarrow nat \sim ('a Ordered-Preference)) (anonymity \mathcal{Q} (UNIV :: 'a set))

```

      (UNIV :: (nat  $\curvearrowright$  'a Ordered-Preference)) set)
proof (unfold bij-betw-def inj-on-def, intro conjI ballI impI)
  fix X Y :: ('a, 'v) Election set
  assume
    class-X: X  $\in$  anonymityQ UNIV and
    class-Y: Y  $\in$  anonymityQ UNIV and
    eq-vec: anonymity-class X = anonymity-class Y
  have  $\forall E \in \text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV. finite (voters-}\mathcal{E} E)$ 
    by simp
  hence  $\forall (E, E') \in \text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV). finite (voters-}\mathcal{E} E)$ 
    by simp
  moreover have subset: elections- $\mathcal{A}$  UNIV  $\subseteq$  well-formed-elections
    by simp
  ultimately have
     $\forall (E, E') \in \text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV}).$ 
     $\forall p. \text{vote-count } p E = \text{vote-count } p E'$ 
    using anon-rel-vote-count
    by blast
  hence vote-count-invar:
     $\forall p. (\text{vote-count } p) \text{ respects } (\text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV}))$ 
    unfolding congruent-def
    by blast
  have quotient-count:
     $\forall X \in \text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{Q}} \text{ UNIV. } \forall p. \forall E \in X. \text{vote-count}_{\mathcal{Q}} p X = \text{vote-count } p E$ 
    using pass-to-quotient[of anonymityR (elections- $\mathcal{A}$  UNIV)]
    vote-count-invar anon-rel-equiv
    unfolding anonymityQ.simps anonymityR.simps vote-countQ.simps
    by metis
  moreover from anon-rel-equiv
  obtain
    E E' :: ('a, 'v) Election where
    E-in-X: E  $\in$  X and
    E'-in-Y: E'  $\in$  Y
    using class-X class-Y equiv-Eps-in
    unfolding anonymityQ.simps
    by metis
  ultimately have
     $\forall p. \text{vote-count}_{\mathcal{Q}} p X = \text{vote-count } p E \wedge \text{vote-count}_{\mathcal{Q}} p Y = \text{vote-count } p E'$ 
    using class-X class-Y
    by blast
  moreover with eq-vec have
     $\forall p. \text{vote-count}_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) X = \text{vote-count}_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) Y$ 
    unfolding anonymity-class.simps
    using UNIV-I vec-lambda-inverse
    by metis
  ultimately have  $\forall p. \text{vote-count } (\text{ord2pref } p) E = \text{vote-count } (\text{ord2pref } p) E'$ 
    by simp
  hence eq:  $\forall p \in \{r. \text{linear-order-on } (\text{UNIV :: 'a set}) r\}.$ 
     $\text{vote-count } p E = \text{vote-count } p E'$ 

```

```

using pref2ord-inverse
by metis
from anon-rel-equiv class-X class-Y have subset-fixed-alts:
   $X \subseteq \text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV} \wedge Y \subseteq \text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV}$ 
unfolding anonymityQ.simps
using in-quotient-imp-subset
by blast
hence eq-alts:  $\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E = \text{UNIV} \wedge \text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E' = \text{UNIV}$ 
using E-in-X E'-in-Y
unfolding elections-}\mathcal{A}.simps
by blast
with subset-fixed-alts have eq-complement:
   $\forall p \in \text{UNIV} - \{r. \text{linear-order-on } (\text{UNIV} :: 'a \text{ set}) \ r\}.$ 
     $\{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} = \{\}$ 
     $\wedge \{v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \ v = p\} = \{\}$ 
using E-in-X E'-in-Y
unfolding elections-}\mathcal{A}.simps well-formed-elections-def profile-def
by auto
hence  $\forall p \in \text{UNIV} - \{r. \text{linear-order-on } (\text{UNIV} :: 'a \text{ set}) \ r\}.$ 
   $\text{vote-count } p \ E = 0 \wedge \text{vote-count } p \ E' = 0$ 
unfolding card-eq-0-iff vote-count.simps
by simp
with eq have eq-vote-count:  $\forall p. \text{vote-count } p \ E = \text{vote-count } p \ E'$ 
using DiffI UNIV-I
by metis
moreover from subset-fixed-alts E-in-X E'-in-Y
have  $\text{finite } (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \wedge \text{finite } (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E')$ 
unfolding elections-}\mathcal{A}.simps
by blast
moreover from subset-fixed-alts E-in-X E'-in-Y
have  $(E, E') \in (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV}) \times (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV})$ 
by blast
moreover from this
have  $(\forall v. v \notin \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E \longrightarrow \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = \{\})$ 
   $\wedge (\forall v. v \notin \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \longrightarrow \text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \ v = \{\})$ 
by simp
ultimately have  $(E, E') \in \text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV})$ 
using eq-alts vote-count-anon-rel
by metis
hence  $\text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV}) \text{ ``}\{E\} =$ 
   $\text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV}) \text{ ``}\{E'\}$ 
using anon-rel-equiv equiv-class-eq
by metis
also have  $\text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV}) \text{ ``}\{E\} = X$ 
using E-in-X class-X anon-rel-equiv Image-singleton-iff equiv-class-eq quotientE
unfolding anonymityQ.simps
by (metis (no-types, lifting))
also have  $\text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV}) \text{ ``}\{E'\} = Y$ 
using E'-in-Y class-Y anon-rel-equiv Image-singleton-iff equiv-class-eq quotientE

```

```

    unfolding anonymityQ.simps
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  finally show  $X = Y$ 
    by simp
next
  have (UNIV :: (nat, 'a Ordered-Preference) vec set)  $\subseteq$ 
    (anonymity-class :: ('a, 'v) Election set  $\Rightarrow$  (nat, 'a Ordered-Preference) vec) '
    anonymityQ UNIV
  proof (unfold anonymity-class.simps, safe)
    fix x :: (nat, 'a Ordered-Preference) vec
    have finite (UNIV :: 'a Ordered-Preference set)
      by simp
    hence finite {xi | i. i  $\in$  UNIV}
      using finite-Atleast-Atmost-nat
      by blast
    hence ( $\sum i \in \text{UNIV}. x\$i$ ) <  $\infty$ 
      using enat-ord-code
      by simp
    moreover have  $0 \leq (\sum i \in \text{UNIV}. x\$i)$ 
      by blast
    ultimately obtain V :: 'v set where
      fin-V: finite V and
      card V = ( $\sum i \in \text{UNIV}. x\$i$ )
      using assms infinite-arbitrarily-large
      by metis
    then obtain X' :: 'a Ordered-Preference  $\Rightarrow$  'v set where
      card':  $\forall i. \text{card } (X' i) = x\$i$  and
      partition':  $V = \bigcup \{X' i \mid i. i \in \text{UNIV}\}$  and
      disjoint':  $\forall i j. i \neq j \longrightarrow X' i \cap X' j = \{\}$ 
      using obtain-partition[of V UNIV ($) x]
      by auto
    obtain X :: 'a Preference-Relation  $\Rightarrow$  'v set where
      def-X:  $X = (\lambda i. \text{if } i \in \{r. \text{linear-order } r\} \text{ then } X' (\text{pref2ord } i) \text{ else } \{\})$ 
      by simp
    hence {X i | i. i  $\notin$  {r. linear-order r}}  $\subseteq \{\{\}$ 
      by auto
    moreover have
      {X i | i. i  $\in$  {r. linear-order r}} =
      {X' (pref2ord i) | i. i  $\in$  {r. linear-order r}}
      using def-X
      by metis
    moreover have
      {X i | i. i  $\in$  UNIV} =
      {X i | i. i  $\in$  {r. linear-order r}}
       $\cup$  {X i | i. i  $\in$  UNIV - {r. linear-order r}}
      by blast
    ultimately have
      {X i | i. i  $\in$  UNIV} = {X' (pref2ord i) | i. i  $\in$  {r. linear-order r}}

```

$\vee \{X\ i \mid i. i \in UNIV\} =$
 $\{X' (pref2ord\ i) \mid i. i \in \{r. linear-order\ r\}\} \cup \{\{\}\}$
by *auto*
also have
 $\{X' (pref2ord\ i) \mid i. i \in \{r. linear-order\ r\}\} = \{X' i \mid i. i \in UNIV\}$
using *iso-tuple-UNIV-I pref2ord-cases*
by *metis*
finally have
 $\{X\ i \mid i. i \in UNIV\} = \{X' i \mid i. i \in UNIV\} \vee$
 $\{X\ i \mid i. i \in UNIV\} = \{X' i \mid i. i \in UNIV\} \cup \{\{\}\}$
by *simp*
hence $\bigcup \{X\ i \mid i. i \in UNIV\} = \bigcup \{X' i \mid i. i \in UNIV\}$
using *Sup-union-distrib ccpo-Sup-singleton sup-bot.right-neutral*
by *(metis (no-types, lifting))*
hence partition: $V = \bigcup \{X\ i \mid i. i \in UNIV\}$
using *partition'*
by *simp*
moreover have $\forall\ i\ j. i \neq j \longrightarrow X\ i \cap X\ j = \{\}$
using *disjoint' def-X pref2ord-inject*
by *auto*
ultimately have $\forall\ v \in V. \exists! i. v \in X\ i$
by *auto*
then obtain $p' :: 'v \Rightarrow 'a\ Preference-Relation$ **where**
 $p-X: \forall\ v \in V. v \in X\ (p'\ v)$ **and**
 $p-disj: \forall\ v \in V. \forall\ i. i \neq p'\ v \longrightarrow v \notin X\ i$
by *metis*
then obtain $p :: 'v \Rightarrow 'a\ Preference-Relation$ **where**
 $p-in-V-then-p': p = (\lambda\ v. if\ v \in V\ then\ p'\ v\ else\ \{\})$
by *simp*
hence *lin-ord:* $\forall\ v \in V. linear-order\ (p\ v)$
using *def-X p-X p-disj*
by *fastforce*
hence *wf-elections:* $(UNIV, V, p) \in elections-A\ UNIV$
using *fin-V*
unfolding *p-in-V-then-p' elections-A.simps*
well-formed-elections-def profile-def
by *auto*
hence $\forall\ i. \forall\ E \in anonymity_{\mathcal{R}}\ (elections-A\ UNIV) \text{ “ } \{(UNIV, V, p)\}.$
 $vote-count\ i\ E = vote-count\ i\ (UNIV, V, p)$
using *fin-V anon-rel-vote-count[of (UNIV, V, p) - elections-A UNIV]*
by *simp*
moreover have
 $(UNIV, V, p) \in anonymity_{\mathcal{R}}\ (elections-A\ UNIV) \text{ “ } \{(UNIV, V, p)\}$
using *anon-rel-equiv wf-elections*
unfolding *Image-def equiv-def refl-on-def*
by *blast*
ultimately have *eq-vote-count:*
 $\forall\ i. vote-count\ i\ ‘$
 $(anonymity_{\mathcal{R}}\ (elections-A\ UNIV) \text{ “ } \{(UNIV, V, p)\}) =$

$\{ \text{vote-count } i \ (UNIV, V, p) \}$
 by *blast*
 have $\forall i. \forall v \in V. p \ v = i \longleftrightarrow v \in X \ i$
 using *p-X p-disj*
 unfolding *p-in-V-then-p'*
 by *metis*
 hence $\forall i. \{v \in V. p \ v = i\} = \{v \in V. v \in X \ i\}$
 by *blast*
 moreover have $\forall i. X \ i \subseteq V$
 using *partition*
 by *blast*
 ultimately have *rewr-preimg*: $\forall i. \{v \in V. p \ v = i\} = X \ i$
 by *auto*
 hence $\forall i \in \{r. \text{linear-order } r\}.$
 $\text{vote-count } i \ (UNIV, V, p) = x\$(\text{pref2ord } i)$
 using *def-X card'*
 by *simp*
 hence $\forall i \in \{r. \text{linear-order } r\}.$
 $\text{vote-count } i \ ' (\text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}} \ (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \ UNIV) \ '\{ (UNIV, V, p) \}) =$
 $\{ x\$(\text{pref2ord } i) \}$
 using *eq-vote-count*
 by *metis*
 hence
 $\forall i \in \{r. \text{linear-order } r\}.$
 $\text{vote-count}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ i \ (\text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}} \ (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \ UNIV) \ '\{ (UNIV, V, p) \}) =$
 $x\$(\text{pref2ord } i)$
 unfolding *vote-count_Q.simps* *π_Q.simps* *singleton-set.simps*
 using *is-singleton-altdef* *singleton-set-def-if-card-one*
 by *fastforce*
 hence $\forall i. \text{vote-count}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ (\text{ord2pref } i)$
 $(\text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}} \ (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \ UNIV) \ '\{ (UNIV, V, p) \}) = x\i
 using *ord2pref ord2pref-inverse*
 by *metis*
 hence *anonymity-class*
 $(\text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}} \ (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \ UNIV) \ '\{ (UNIV, V, p) \}) = x$
 using *anonymity-class.simps* *vec-lambda-unique*
 by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*))
 moreover have
 $\text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}} \ (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \ UNIV) \ '\{ (UNIV, V, p) \} \in \text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ UNIV$
 using *wf-elections*
 unfolding *anonymity_Q.simps* *quotient-def*
 by *blast*
 ultimately show
 $x \in (\lambda X :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election set. } \chi \ p. \text{vote-count}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ (\text{ord2pref } p) \ X)$
 $\ ' \text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ UNIV$
 using *anonymity-class.elims*
 by *blast*
 qed
 thus (*anonymity-class* :: ('a, 'v) Election set

$\Rightarrow (\text{nat}, 'a \text{ Ordered-Preference}) \text{ vec}) \text{ ‘}$
 $\text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{Q}} \text{ UNIV} =$
 $(\text{UNIV} :: (\text{nat}, 'a \text{ Ordered-Preference}) \text{ vec set})$
 by blast
 qed

3.2.3 Homogeneity Quotient: Simplex

fun $\text{vote-fraction} :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation} \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Election} \Rightarrow \text{rat}$ **where**
 $\text{vote-fraction } r \ E =$
 $(\text{if } (\text{finite } (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \wedge \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E \neq \{\})$
 $\text{then } (\text{Fract } (\text{vote-count } r \ E) \ (\text{card } (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E))) \text{ else } 0)$

fun $\text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election set} \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Election rel}$ **where**
 $\text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} \ \mathcal{E} =$
 $\{(E, E') \mid E \ E', E \in \mathcal{E} \wedge E' \in \mathcal{E}$
 $\wedge (\text{finite } (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E) = \text{finite } (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E'))$
 $\wedge (\forall r. \text{vote-fraction } r \ E = \text{vote-fraction } r \ E')\}$

fun $\text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{Q}} :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Election set set}$ **where**
 $\text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ A =$
 $\text{quotient } (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \ A) \ (\text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} \ (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \ A))$

fun $\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathcal{Q}} :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation} \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Election set} \Rightarrow \text{rat}$ **where**
 $\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ p = \pi_{\mathcal{Q}} \ (\text{vote-fraction } p)$

fun $\text{anonymity-homogeneity-class} :: ('a :: \text{finite}, 'v) \text{ Election set} \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{rat}, 'a \text{ Ordered-Preference}) \text{ vec}$ **where**
 $\text{anonymity-homogeneity-class } \mathcal{E} = (\chi \ p. \text{vote-fraction}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ (\text{ord2pref } p) \ \mathcal{E})$

Maps each rational real vector entry to the corresponding rational. If the entry is not rational, the corresponding entry will be undefined.

fun $\text{rat-vector} :: \text{real}^b \Rightarrow \text{rat}^b$ **where**
 $\text{rat-vector } v = (\chi \ p. \text{the-inv of-rat } (v\$p))$

fun $\text{rat-vector-set} :: (\text{real}^b) \text{ set} \Rightarrow (\text{rat}^b) \text{ set}$ **where**
 $\text{rat-vector-set } V = \text{rat-vector } \text{ ‘ } \{v \in V. \forall i. v\$i \in \mathbb{Q}\}$

definition $\text{standard-basis} :: (\text{real}^b) \text{ set}$ **where**
 $\text{standard-basis} \equiv \{v. \exists b. v\$b = 1 \wedge (\forall c \neq b. v\$c = 0)\}$

The rational points in the simplex.

definition $\text{vote-simplex} :: (\text{rat}^b) \text{ set}$ **where**
 $\text{vote-simplex} \equiv$
 $\text{insert } 0 \ (\text{rat-vector-set } (\text{convex hull } (\text{standard-basis} :: (\text{real}^b) \text{ set})))$

Auxiliary Lemmas

lemma $\text{convex-combination-in-convex-hull}$:

fixes
 $X :: (\text{real}^b) \text{ set}$ **and**
 $x :: \text{real}^b$
assumes $\exists f :: (\text{real}^b) \Rightarrow \text{real}.$
 $(\sum y \in X. f y) = 1 \wedge (\forall x \in X. f x \geq 0)$
 $\wedge x = (\sum x \in X. (f x) *_R x)$
shows $x \in \text{convex hull } X$
using *assms*
proof (*induction card X arbitrary: X x*)
case 0
fix
 $X :: (\text{real}^b) \text{ set}$ **and**
 $x :: \text{real}^b$
assume
 $0 = \text{card } X$ **and**
 $\exists f. (\sum y \in X. f y) = 1 \wedge (\forall x \in X. 0 \leq f x) \wedge x = (\sum x \in X. f x *_R x)$
hence $(\forall f. (\sum y \in X. f y) = 0) \wedge (\exists f. (\sum y \in X. f y) = 1)$
using *card-0-eq empty-iff sum.infinite sum.neutral zero-neq-one*
by *metis*
hence $\exists f. (\sum y \in X. f y) = 1 \wedge (\sum y \in X. f y) = 0$
by *metis*
hence *False*
using *zero-neq-one*
by *metis*
thus *?case*
by *simp*
next
case (*Suc n*)
fix
 $X :: (\text{real}^b) \text{ set}$ **and**
 $x :: \text{real}^b$ **and**
 $n :: \text{nat}$
assume
 $\text{card: } \text{Suc } n = \text{card } X$ **and**
 $\exists f. (\sum y \in X. f y) = 1 \wedge (\forall x \in X. 0 \leq f x) \wedge x = (\sum x \in X. f x *_R x)$
and
 $\text{hyp: } \bigwedge (X :: (\text{real}^b) \text{ set}) x. n = \text{card } X$
 $\implies \exists f. (\sum y \in X. f y) = 1 \wedge (\forall x \in X. 0 \leq f x) \wedge x =$
 $(\sum x \in X. f x *_R x)$
 $\implies x \in \text{convex hull } X$
then obtain $f :: (\text{real}^b) \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **where**
 $\text{sum: } (\sum y \in X. f y) = 1$ **and**
 $\text{nonneg: } \forall x \in X. 0 \leq f x$ **and**
 $x\text{-sum: } x = (\sum x \in X. f x *_R x)$
by *blast*
have $\text{card } X > 0$
using *card*
by *linarith*
hence *fin: finite X*

```

using card-gt-0-iff
by blast
have  $n = 0 \longrightarrow \text{card } X = 1$ 
using card
by presburger
hence  $n = 0 \longrightarrow (\exists y. X = \{y\} \wedge f y = 1)$ 
using sum nonneg One-nat-def add.right-neutral card-1-singleton-iff
empty-iff finite.emptyI sum.insert sum.neutral
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting))
hence  $n = 0 \longrightarrow (\exists y. X = \{y\} \wedge x = y)$ 
using x-sum
by fastforce
hence  $n = 0 \longrightarrow x \in X$ 
by blast
moreover have  $n > 0 \longrightarrow x \in \text{convex hull } X$ 
proof (safe)
  assume  $0 < n$ 
  hence card-X-gt-one: card X > 1
  using card
  by simp
  have  $(\forall y \in X. f y \geq 1) \longrightarrow (\sum y \in X. f y) \geq (\sum x \in X. 1)$ 
  using fin sum-mono
  by metis
  moreover have  $(\sum x \in X. 1) = \text{card } X$ 
  by force
  ultimately have  $(\forall y \in X. f y \geq 1) \longrightarrow \text{card } X \leq (\sum y \in X. f y)$ 
  by force
  hence  $(\forall y \in X. f y \geq 1) \longrightarrow 1 < (\sum y \in X. f y)$ 
  using card-X-gt-one
  by linarith
  then obtain  $y :: \text{real}^b$  where
    y-in-X: y ∈ X and
    f-y-lt-one: f y < 1
  using sum
  by auto
  hence  $1 - f y \neq 0 \wedge x = f y *_R y + (\sum x \in X - \{y\}. f x *_R x)$ 
  using fin sum.remove x-sum
  by simp
  moreover have
     $\forall \alpha \neq 0. (\sum x \in X - \{y\}. f x *_R x) =$ 
     $\alpha *_R (\sum x \in X - \{y\}. (f x / \alpha) *_R x)$ 
  unfolding scaleR-sum-right
  by simp
  ultimately have convex-comb:
     $x = f y *_R y + (1 - f y) *_R (\sum x \in X - \{y\}. (f x / (1 - f y)) *_R x)$ 
  by simp
  obtain  $f' :: \text{real}^b \Rightarrow \text{real}$  where
    def': f' = (λ x. f x / (1 - f y))
  by simp

```

hence $\forall x \in X - \{y\}. f' x \geq 0$
using *nonneg f-y-lt-one*
by *fastforce*
moreover have
 $(\sum y \in X - \{y\}. f' y) = (\sum x \in X - \{y\}. f x) / (1 - f y)$
unfolding *def' sum-divide-distrib*
by *simp*
moreover have
 $(\sum x \in X - \{y\}. f x) / (1 - f y) = (1 - f y) / (1 - f y)$
using *sum y-in-X*
by *(simp add: fin sum.remove)*
moreover have $(1 - f y) / (1 - f y) = 1$
using *f-y-lt-one*
by *simp*
ultimately have
 $(\sum y \in X - \{y\}. f' y) = 1 \wedge (\forall x \in X - \{y\}. 0 \leq f' x)$
 $\wedge (\sum x \in X - \{y\}. (f x / (1 - f y)) *_R x) =$
 $(\sum x \in X - \{y\}. f' x *_R x)$
using *def'*
by *metis*
hence $\exists f'. (\sum y \in X - \{y\}. f' y) = 1 \wedge (\forall x \in X - \{y\}. 0 \leq f' x)$
 $\wedge (\sum x \in X - \{y\}. (f x / (1 - f y)) *_R x) =$
 $(\sum x \in X - \{y\}. f' x *_R x)$
by *metis*
moreover have $\text{card } (X - \{y\}) = n$
using *card y-in-X*
by *simp*
ultimately have
 $(\sum x \in X - \{y\}. (f x / (1 - f y)) *_R x) \in \text{convex hull } (X - \{y\})$
using *hyp*
by *blast*
hence $(\sum x \in X - \{y\}. (f x / (1 - f y)) *_R x) \in \text{convex hull } X$
using *Diff-subset hull-mono in-mono*
by *(metis (no-types, lifting))*
moreover have $f y \geq 0 \wedge 1 - f y \geq 0$
using *f-y-lt-one nonneg y-in-X*
by *simp*
moreover have $f y + (1 - f y) \geq 0$
by *simp*
moreover have $y \in \text{convex hull } X$
using *y-in-X*
by *(simp add: hull-inc)*
moreover have
 $\forall x y. x \in \text{convex hull } X \wedge y \in \text{convex hull } X \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall a \geq 0. \forall b \geq 0. a + b = 1 \longrightarrow a *_R x + b *_R y \in \text{convex hull } X)$
using *convex-def convex-convex-hull*
by *(metis (no-types, opaque-lifting))*
ultimately show $x \in \text{convex hull } X$
using *convex-comb*

by simp
 qed
 ultimately show $x \in \text{convex hull } X$
 using hull-inc
 by fastforce
 qed

lemma *standard-simplex-rewrite: convex hull standard-basis =*
 $\{v :: (\text{real}^b). (\forall i. v\$i \geq 0) \wedge (\sum y \in \text{UNIV}. v\$y) = 1\}$
proof (unfold convex-def hull-def, intro equalityI)
 let ?simplex = $\{v :: (\text{real}^b). (\forall i. v\$i \geq 0) \wedge (\sum y \in \text{UNIV}. v\$y) = 1\}$
 have $\forall v :: (\text{real}^b) \in \text{standard-basis}. \exists b.$
 $v\$b = 1 \wedge (\forall c. c \neq b \longrightarrow v\$c = 0)$
 unfolding standard-basis-def
 by simp
 then obtain $\text{one} :: \text{real}^b \Rightarrow 'b$ where
 $\text{def-map}: \forall v \in \text{standard-basis}. v\$(\text{one } v) = 1 \wedge (\forall i \neq \text{one } v. v\$i = 0)$
 by metis
 hence $\forall v :: (\text{real}^b) \in \text{standard-basis}. \forall b. v\$b \geq 0$
 using dual-order.refl zero-less-one-class.zero-le-one
 by metis
 moreover have $\forall v :: (\text{real}^b) \in \text{standard-basis}.$
 $(\sum z \in \text{UNIV}. v\$z) = (\sum z \in \text{UNIV} - \{\text{one } v\}. v\$z) + v\$(\text{one } v)$
 unfolding def-map
 using add.commute finite insert-UNIV sum.insert-remove
 by metis
 moreover have $\forall v \in \text{standard-basis}.$
 $(\sum z \in \text{UNIV} - \{\text{one } v\}. v\$z) + v\$(\text{one } v) = 1$
 using def-map
 by simp
 ultimately have $\text{standard-basis} \subseteq ?\text{simplex}$
 by force
 moreover have $\forall x :: (\text{real}^b). \forall y. (\sum z \in \text{UNIV}. (x + y)\$z) =$
 $(\sum z \in \text{UNIV}. x\$z) + (\sum z \in \text{UNIV}. y\$z)$
 by (simp add: sum.distrib)
 hence $\forall x :: (\text{real}^b). \forall y. \forall u v.$
 $(\sum z \in \text{UNIV}. (u *_R x + v *_R y)\$z) =$
 $u *_R (\sum z \in \text{UNIV}. x\$z) + v *_R (\sum z \in \text{UNIV}. y\$z)$
 using scaleR-right.sum sum.cong vector-scaleR-component
 by (metis (no-types))
 hence $\forall x \in ?\text{simplex}. \forall y \in ?\text{simplex}. \forall u v.$
 $(\sum z \in \text{UNIV}. (u *_R x + v *_R y)\$z) = u *_R 1 + v *_R 1$
 by simp
 hence $\forall x \in ?\text{simplex}. \forall y \in ?\text{simplex}. \forall u \geq 0. \forall v \geq 0.$
 $u + v = 1 \longrightarrow u *_R x + v *_R y \in ?\text{simplex}$
 by simp
 ultimately show
 $\bigcap \{t. (\forall x \in t. \forall y \in t. \forall u \geq 0. \forall v \geq 0.$
 $u + v = 1 \longrightarrow u *_R x + v *_R y \in t)\}$

$\wedge \text{standard-basis} \subseteq t\} \subseteq ?\text{simplex}$
 by *blast*
 next
 show $\{v. (\forall i. 0 \leq v\$i) \wedge (\sum y \in \text{UNIV}. v\$y) = 1\} \subseteq$
 $\bigcap \{t. (\forall x \in t. \forall y \in t. \forall u \geq 0. \forall v \geq 0.$
 $u + v = 1 \longrightarrow u *_R x + v *_R y \in t)$
 $\wedge (\text{standard-basis} :: (\text{real}^b) \text{ set}) \subseteq t\}$
 proof (intro subsetI)
 fix
 $x :: \text{real}^b$ and
 $X :: (\text{real}^b) \text{ set}$
 assume *convex-comb*:
 $x \in \{v. (\forall i. 0 \leq v\$i) \wedge (\sum y \in \text{UNIV}. v\$y) = 1\}$
 have $\forall v \in \text{standard-basis}. \exists b. v\$b = 1 \wedge (\forall b' \neq b. v\$b' = 0)$
 unfolding *standard-basis-def*
 by *simp*
 then obtain $\text{ind} :: (\text{real}^b) \Rightarrow 'b$ where
 $\text{ind-eq-one}: \forall v \in \text{standard-basis}. v\$(\text{ind } v) = 1$ and
 $\text{ind-eq-zero}: \forall v \in \text{standard-basis}. \forall b \neq (\text{ind } v). v\$b = 0$
 by *metis*
 hence $\forall v \in \text{standard-basis}. \forall v' \in \text{standard-basis}.$
 $\text{ind } v = \text{ind } v' \longrightarrow (\forall b. v\$b = v'\$b)$
 by *metis*
 hence *inj-ind*:
 $\forall v \in \text{standard-basis}. \forall v' \in \text{standard-basis}.$
 $\text{ind } v = \text{ind } v' \longrightarrow v = v'$
 unfolding *vec-eq-iff*
 by *blast*
 hence *inj-on ind standard-basis*
 unfolding *inj-on-def*
 by *blast*
 hence *bij-ind-std*: *bij-betw ind standard-basis (ind ` standard-basis)*
 unfolding *bij-betw-def*
 by *simp*
 obtain $\text{ind-inv} :: 'b \Rightarrow (\text{real}^b)$ where
 $\text{char-vec}: \text{ind-inv} = (\lambda b. \chi i. \text{if } i = b \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$
 by *blast*
 hence *in-basis*: $\forall b. \text{ind-inv } b \in \text{standard-basis}$
 unfolding *standard-basis-def*
 by *simp*
 moreover from *this*
 have *ind-inv-map*: $\forall b. \text{ind } (\text{ind-inv } b) = b$
 using *char-vec ind-eq-zero ind-eq-one axis-def axis-nth zero-neq-one*
 by *metis*
 ultimately have $\forall b. \exists v. v \in \text{standard-basis} \wedge b = \text{ind } v$
 by *metis*
 hence *univ*: $\text{ind ` standard-basis} = \text{UNIV}$
 by *blast*
 have *bij-inv*: *bij-betw ind-inv UNIV standard-basis*

using *ind-inv-map bij-ind-std bij-betw-byWitness*[*of - ind*] *in-basis inj-ind*
unfolding *image-subset-iff*
by *simp*
obtain $f :: (\text{real}^b) \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **where**
func: $f = (\lambda v. \text{if } v \in \text{standard-basis} \text{ then } x\$(\text{ind } v) \text{ else } 0)$
by *blast*
hence $(\sum y \in \text{standard-basis}. f y) = (\sum v \in \text{standard-basis}. x\$(\text{ind } v))$
by *simp*
also have $\dots = (\sum y \in \text{ind} \text{ `standard-basis}. x\$y)$
using *bij-ind-std sum-comp*[*of ind - - (\$) x*]
by *simp*
finally have *sum-eq-one*: $(\sum y \in \text{standard-basis}. f y) = 1$
using *univ convex-comb*
by *simp*
have *nonneg*: $\forall v \in \text{standard-basis}. f v \geq 0$
using *func convex-comb*
by *simp*
have $\forall v \in \text{standard-basis}. (\chi i. x\$(\text{ind } v) * v\$i)$
 $= (\chi i. \text{if } i = \text{ind } v \text{ then } x\$(\text{ind } v) \text{ else } 0)$
using *ind-eq-one ind-eq-zero*
by *fastforce*
hence
 $\forall v \in \text{standard-basis}. (x\$(\text{ind } v)) *_R v = (\chi i. \text{if } i = \text{ind } v \text{ then } x\$(\text{ind } v) \text{ else } 0)$
unfolding *scaleR-vec-def*
by *simp*
moreover have $(\sum x \in \text{standard-basis}. (f x) *_R x) =$
 $(\sum v \in \text{standard-basis}. (x\$(\text{ind } v)) *_R v)$
unfolding *func*
by *simp*
ultimately have $(\sum x \in \text{standard-basis}. (f x) *_R x)$
 $= (\sum v \in \text{standard-basis}. \chi i. \text{if } i = \text{ind } v \text{ then } x\$(\text{ind } v) \text{ else } 0)$
by *force*
also have $\dots = (\sum b \in \text{UNIV}. \chi i. \text{if } i = \text{ind } (\text{ind-inv } b) \text{ then } x\$(\text{ind } (\text{ind-inv } b)) \text{ else } 0)$
using *bij-inv sum-comp*
unfolding *comp-def*
by *blast*
also have $\dots = (\sum b \in \text{UNIV}. \chi i. \text{if } i = b \text{ then } x\$b \text{ else } 0)$
using *ind-inv-map*
by *presburger*
finally have $(\sum x \in \text{standard-basis}. (f x) *_R x) =$
 $(\sum b \in \text{UNIV}. \chi i. \text{if } i = b \text{ then } x\$b \text{ else } 0)$
by *simp*
hence $(\sum x \in \text{standard-basis}. (f x) *_R x) = x$
unfolding *vec-eq-iff*
by *simp*
hence $\exists f :: (\text{real}^b) \Rightarrow \text{real}.$
 $(\sum y \in \text{standard-basis}. f y) = 1 \wedge (\forall x \in \text{standard-basis}. f x \geq 0)$

```

       $\wedge x = (\sum x \in \text{standard-basis}. (f x) *_R x)$ 
    using sum-eq-one nonneg
  by blast
thus  $x \in \bigcap \{t. (\forall x \in t. \forall y \in t. \forall u \geq 0. \forall v \geq 0. \\
u + v = 1 \longrightarrow u *_R x + v *_R y \in t) \\
\wedge (\text{standard-basis} :: (\text{real}^b \text{ set}) \subseteq t)\}$ 
  using convex-combination-in-convex-hull
  unfolding convex-def hull-def
  by blast
qed
qed

lemma fract-distr-helper:
  fixes  $a b c :: \text{int}$ 
  assumes  $c \neq 0$ 
  shows  $\text{Fract } a c + \text{Fract } b c = \text{Fract } (a + b) c$ 
  using add-rat assms mult.commute mult-rat-cancel distrib-right
  by metis

lemma anonymity-homogeneity-is-equivalence:
  fixes  $X :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election set}$ 
  assumes  $\forall E \in X. \text{finite } (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} E)$ 
  shows  $\text{equiv } X (\text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} X)$ 
proof (unfold equiv-def, safe)
  show  $\text{refl-on } X (\text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} X)$ 
    unfolding refl-on-def anonymity-homogeneity $_{\mathcal{R}}$ .simps
    by blast
next
  show  $\text{sym } (\text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} X)$ 
    unfolding sym-def anonymity-homogeneity $_{\mathcal{R}}$ .simps
    using sup-commute
    by simp
next
  show  $\text{Relation.trans } (\text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} X)$ 
proof
  fix  $E E' F :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}$ 
  assume
     $\text{rel}: (E, E') \in \text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} X$  and
     $\text{rel}': (E', F) \in \text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} X$ 
  hence  $\text{finite } (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} E')$ 
    unfolding anonymity-homogeneity $_{\mathcal{R}}$ .simps
    using assms
    by fastforce
  from rel rel' have eq-frac:
     $(\forall r. \text{vote-fraction } r E = \text{vote-fraction } r E') \wedge$ 
     $(\forall r. \text{vote-fraction } r E' = \text{vote-fraction } r F)$ 
    unfolding anonymity-homogeneity $_{\mathcal{R}}$ .simps
    by blast
  hence  $\forall r. \text{vote-fraction } r E = \text{vote-fraction } r F$ 

```

```

    by metis
  thus  $(E, F) \in \text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} X$ 
    using rel rel' snd-conv
    unfolding anonymity-homogeneity $_{\mathcal{R}}$ .simps
    by blast
qed
qed

lemma fract-distr:
  fixes
     $A :: 'x \text{ set}$  and
     $f :: 'x \Rightarrow \text{int}$  and
     $b :: \text{int}$ 
  assumes
    finite  $A$  and
     $b \neq 0$ 
  shows  $(\sum a \in A. \text{Fract } (f a) b) = \text{Fract } (\sum x \in A. f x) b$ 
  using assms
proof (induction card  $A$  arbitrary:  $A f b$ )
  case 0
  fix
     $A :: 'x \text{ set}$  and
     $f :: 'x \Rightarrow \text{int}$  and
     $b :: \text{int}$ 
  assume
     $0 = \text{card } A$  and
    finite  $A$  and
     $b \neq 0$ 
  hence  $(\sum a \in A. \text{Fract } (f a) b) = 0 \wedge (\sum y \in A. f y) = 0$ 
    by simp
  thus ?case
    using 0 rat-number-collapse
    by simp
next
  case (Suc  $n$ )
  fix
     $A :: 'x \text{ set}$  and
     $f :: 'x \Rightarrow \text{int}$  and
     $b :: \text{int}$  and
     $n :: \text{nat}$ 
  assume
    card-A:  $\text{Suc } n = \text{card } A$  and
    fin-A: finite  $A$  and
    b-non-zero:  $b \neq 0$  and
    hyp:  $\bigwedge A f b.$ 
       $n = \text{card } (A :: 'x \text{ set}) \implies$ 
      finite  $A \implies b \neq 0 \implies (\sum a \in A. \text{Fract } (f a) b) = \text{Fract } (\sum x \in A. f$ 
 $x) b$ 
  hence  $A \neq \{\}$ 

```



```

    by auto
  then obtain c :: 'x where
    c-in-A: c ∈ A
  by blast
  hence (∑ a ∈ A. Fract (f a) b) =
    (∑ a ∈ A - {c}. Fract (f a) b) + Fract (f c) b
  using fin-A
  by (simp add: sum-diff1)
  also have ... = Fract (∑ x ∈ A - {c}. f x) b + Fract (f c) b
  using hyp card-A fin-A b-non-zero c-in-A Diff-empty card-Diff-singleton
    diff-Suc-1 finite-Diff-insert
  by metis
  also have ... = Fract (∑ x ∈ A. f x) b
  using c-in-A fin-A b-non-zero fract-distr-helper
  by (simp add: sum-diff1)
  finally show (∑ a ∈ A. Fract (f a) b) = Fract (∑ x ∈ A. f x) b
  by blast
qed

```

Simplex Bijection

We assume all our elections to consist of a fixed finite alternative set of size n and finite subsets of an infinite voter universe. Profiles are linear orders on the alternatives. Then we can work on the standard simplex of dimension $n!$ instead of the equivalence classes of the equivalence relation for anonymous + homogeneous voting rules (anon hom): Each dimension corresponds to one possible linear order on the alternative set, i.e., the possible preferences. Each equivalence class of elections corresponds to a vector whose entries denote the fraction of voters per election in that class who vote the respective corresponding preference.

theorem *anonymity-homogeneity_Q-isomorphism:*

assumes *infinite* (UNIV :: 'v set)

shows

*bij-betw (anonymity-homogeneity-class :: ('a :: finite, 'v) Election set ⇒
 rat[∧]('a Ordered-Preference)) (anonymity-homogeneity_Q (UNIV :: 'a set))
 (vote-simplex :: (rat[∧]('a Ordered-Preference)) set)*

proof (unfold bij-betw-def inj-on-def, intro conjI ballI impI)

fix X Y :: ('a, 'v) Election set

assume

class-X: X ∈ anonymity-homogeneity_Q UNIV and

class-Y: Y ∈ anonymity-homogeneity_Q UNIV and

eq-vec: anonymity-homogeneity-class X = anonymity-homogeneity-class Y

have *equiv:*

equiv (elections-A UNIV) (anonymity-homogeneity_R (elections-A UNIV))

using *anonymity-homogeneity-is-equivalence CollectD IntD1 inf-commute*

unfolding *elections-A.simps*

by (metis (no-types, lifting))

hence *subset:*

$X \neq \{\} \wedge X \subseteq \text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV} \wedge Y \neq \{\} \wedge Y \subseteq \text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV}$
using *class-X class-Y in-quotient-imp-non-empty in-quotient-imp-subset*
unfolding *anonymity-homogeneity_Q.simps*
by *blast*
then obtain $E \ E' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election where}$
 $E\text{-in-}X: E \in X$ **and**
 $E'\text{-in-}Y: E' \in Y$
by *blast*
hence *class-X-E: anonymity-homogeneity_R (elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV) “ } \{E\} = X*
using *class-X equiv Image-singleton-iff equiv-class-eq quotientE*
unfolding *anonymity-homogeneity_Q.simps*
by *(metis (no-types, opaque-lifting))*
hence $\forall F \in X. (E, F) \in \text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV})$
unfolding *Image-def*
by *blast*
hence $\forall F \in X. \forall p. \text{vote-fraction } p \ F = \text{vote-fraction } p \ E$
unfolding *anonymity-homogeneity_R.simps*
by *fastforce*
hence $\forall p. \text{vote-fraction } p \ X = \{\text{vote-fraction } p \ E\}$
using *E-in-X*
by *blast*
hence $\forall p. \text{vote-fraction}_Q \ p \ X = \text{vote-fraction } p \ E$
using *is-singletonI singleton-set-def-if-card-one the-elem-eq*
unfolding *is-singleton-altdef vote-fraction_Q.simps π_Q .simps singleton-set.simps*
by *metis*
hence *eq-X-E:*
 $\forall p. (\text{anonymity-homogeneity-class } X)\$p = \text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) \ E$
unfolding *anonymity-homogeneity-class.simps*
using *vec-lambda-beta*
by *metis*
have *class-Y-E': anonymity-homogeneity_R (elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV) “ } \{E'\} = Y*
using *class-Y equiv E'-in-Y Image-singleton-iff equiv-class-eq quotientE*
unfolding *anonymity-homogeneity_Q.simps*
by *(metis (no-types, opaque-lifting))*
hence $\forall F \in Y. (E', F) \in \text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV})$
unfolding *Image-def*
by *blast*
hence $\forall F \in Y. \forall p. \text{vote-fraction } p \ E' = \text{vote-fraction } p \ F$
unfolding *anonymity-homogeneity_R.simps*
by *blast*
hence $\forall p. \text{vote-fraction } p \ Y = \{\text{vote-fraction } p \ E'\}$
using *E'-in-Y*
by *fastforce*
hence $\forall p. \text{vote-fraction}_Q \ p \ Y = \text{vote-fraction } p \ E'$
using *is-singletonI singleton-set-def-if-card-one the-elem-eq*
unfolding *is-singleton-altdef vote-fraction_Q.simps π_Q .simps singleton-set.simps*
by *metis*
hence *eq-Y-E':*
 $\forall p. (\text{anonymity-homogeneity-class } Y)\$p = \text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) \ E'$

```

unfolding anonymity-homogeneity-class.simps
using vec-lambda-beta
by metis
hence  $\forall p. \text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) E = \text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) E'$ 
using eq-X-E eq-vec
by metis
hence eq-ord:  $\forall p. \text{linear-order } p \longrightarrow \text{vote-fraction } p E = \text{vote-fraction } p E'$ 
using mem-Collect-eq pref2ord-inverse
by metis
have  $(\forall v. v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} E \longrightarrow \text{linear-order } (\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} E v)) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall v. v \in \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} E' \longrightarrow \text{linear-order } (\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} E' v))$ 
using subset E-in-X E'-in-Y
unfolding elections- $\mathcal{A}$ .simps well-formed-elections-def profile-def
by fastforce
hence  $\forall p. \neg \text{linear-order } p \longrightarrow \text{vote-count } p E = 0 \wedge \text{vote-count } p E' = 0$ 
unfolding vote-count.simps
using card.infinite card-0-eq Collect-empty-eq
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
hence  $\forall p. \neg \text{linear-order } p \longrightarrow \text{vote-fraction } p E = 0 \wedge \text{vote-fraction } p E' = 0$ 
using int-ops rat-number-collapse
by simp
hence  $\forall p. \text{vote-fraction } p E = \text{vote-fraction } p E'$ 
using eq-ord
by metis
hence  $(E, E') \in \text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV})$ 
using subset E-in-X E'-in-Y elections- $\mathcal{A}$ .simps
unfolding anonymity-homogeneity $_{\mathcal{R}}$ .simps
by blast
thus  $X = Y$ 
using class-X-E class-Y-E' equiv equiv-class-eq
by (metis (no-types, lifting))
next
show  $(\text{anonymity-homogeneity-class} :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election set}$ 
 $\Rightarrow \text{rat}^{\sim}('a \text{ Ordered-Preference}))$ 
 $' \text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{Q}} \text{ UNIV} = \text{vote-simplex}$ 
proof (unfold vote-simplex-def, safe)
fix  $X :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election set}$ 
assume
 $\text{quot: } X \in \text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{Q}} \text{ UNIV}$  and
 $\text{not-simplex:}$ 
 $\text{anonymity-homogeneity-class } X \notin \text{rat-vector-set } (\text{convex hull standard-basis})$ 
have equiv-rel:
 $\text{equiv } (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV}) (\text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV}))$ 
using anonymity-homogeneity-is-equivalence elections- $\mathcal{A}$ .simps
by blast
then obtain  $E :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}$  where
 $E\text{-in-}X: E \in X$  and
 $X = \text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV}) \text{ `` } \{E\}$ 
using quot anonymity-homogeneity $_{\mathcal{Q}}$ .simps equiv-Eps-in proj-Eps

```

unfolding *proj-def*
by *metis*
hence *rel*: $\forall E' \in X. (E, E') \in \text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ } UNIV)$
by *simp*
hence $\forall p. \forall E' \in X.$
 $\text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) E' = \text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) E$
unfolding *anonymity-homogeneity_R.simps*
by *fastforce*
hence $\forall p. \text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) \text{ ` } X = \{\text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) E\}$
using *E-in-X*
by *blast*
hence *repr*: $\forall p. \text{vote-fraction}_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) X = \text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) E$
using *is-singletonI singleton-set-def-if-card-one the-elem-eq*
unfolding *vote-fraction_Q.simps $\pi_{\mathcal{Q}}$.simps is-singleton-altdef*
by *metis*
have $\forall p. \text{vote-count } (\text{ord2pref } p) E \geq 0$
by *simp*
hence $\forall p. \text{card } (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} E) > 0 \longrightarrow$
 $\text{Fract } (\text{int } (\text{vote-count } (\text{ord2pref } p) E)) (\text{int } (\text{card } (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} E))) \geq 0$
using *zero-le-Fract-iff*
by *simp*
hence $\forall p. \text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) E \geq 0$
unfolding *vote-fraction.simps card-gt-0-iff*
by *simp*
hence $\forall p. \text{vote-fraction}_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) X \geq 0$
using *repr*
by *simp*
hence *geq-zero*: $\forall p. \text{real-of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) X) \geq 0$
using *zero-le-of-rat-iff*
by *blast*
have $\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} E = \{\} \vee \text{infinite } (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} E) \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall p. \text{real-of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction } p E) = 0)$
by *simp*
hence *zero-case*:
 $\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} E = \{\} \vee \text{infinite } (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} E) \longrightarrow$
 $(\chi p. \text{real-of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) X)) = 0$
using *repr*
unfolding *zero-vec-def*
by *simp*
let *?vote-sum* = $(\sum p \in UNIV. \text{vote-count } p E)$
have *finite* $(UNIV :: ('a \times 'a) \text{ set})$
by *simp*
hence *eq-card*: $\text{finite } (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} E) \longrightarrow \text{card } (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} E) = \text{?vote-sum}$
using *vote-count-sum*
by *metis*
hence *finite* $(\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} E) \wedge \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} E \neq \{\} \longrightarrow$
 $(\sum p \in UNIV. \text{vote-fraction } p E) =$
 $(\sum p \in UNIV. \text{Fract } (\text{vote-count } p E) \text{ ?vote-sum})$
unfolding *vote-fraction.simps*

by *presburger*
moreover have *fin-imp-sum-gt-zero*:
 $\text{finite } (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \wedge \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E \neq \{\} \longrightarrow ?\text{vote-sum} > 0$
 using *eq-card*
 by *fastforce*
hence $\text{finite } (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \wedge \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E \neq \{\} \longrightarrow$
 $(\sum p \in \text{UNIV}. \text{Fract } (\text{vote-count } p \ E) \ ?\text{vote-sum}) = \text{Fract } ?\text{vote-sum} \ ?\text{vote-sum}$
 using *fract-distr* [of *UNIV* *?vote-sum*] *card-0-eq eq-card of-nat-eq-0-iff*
finite-class.finite-UNIV of-nat-sum sum.cong
 by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*))
moreover have
 $\text{finite } (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \wedge \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E \neq \{\} \longrightarrow \text{Fract } ?\text{vote-sum} \ ?\text{vote-sum} = 1$
 using *fin-imp-sum-gt-zero Fract-le-one-iff Fract-less-one-iff*
of-nat-0-less-iff order-le-less order-less-irrefl
 by *metis*
ultimately have *fin-imp-sum-eq-one*:
 $\text{finite } (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \wedge \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E \neq \{\}$
 $\longrightarrow (\sum p \in \text{UNIV}. \text{vote-fraction } p \ E) = 1$
 by *presburger*
have $\forall p. \neg \text{linear-order } p \longrightarrow \text{vote-count } p \ E = 0$
 using *E-in-X rel*
unfolding *anonymity-homogeneity_Q.simps quotient-def vote-count.simps*
elections- \mathcal{A} .simps well-formed-elections-def profile-def
 by *fastforce*
hence $\forall p. \neg \text{linear-order } p \longrightarrow \text{vote-fraction } p \ E = 0$
 using *rat-number-collapse*
 by *simp*
moreover have $(\sum p \in \text{UNIV}. \text{vote-fraction } p \ E) =$
 $(\sum p \in \{r. \text{linear-order } r\}. \text{vote-fraction } p \ E) +$
 $(\sum p \in \text{UNIV} - \{r. \text{linear-order } r\}. \text{vote-fraction } p \ E)$
 using *finite CollectD Collect-mono UNIV-I add.commute*
sum.subset-diff top-set-def
 by *metis*
ultimately have $(\sum p \in \text{UNIV}. \text{vote-fraction } p \ E) =$
 $(\sum p \in \{r. \text{linear-order } r\}. \text{vote-fraction } p \ E)$
 by *simp*
moreover have *bij-betw ord2pref UNIV {p. linear-order p}*
 using *inj-def ord2pref-inject range-ord2pref*
unfolding *bij-betw-def*
 by *blast*
ultimately have
 $(\sum p \in \text{UNIV}. \text{vote-fraction } p \ E) =$
 $(\sum p \in \text{UNIV}. \text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) \ E)$
 using *comp-def sum-comp*
 by *auto*
hence $\text{finite } (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \wedge \text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E \neq \{\}$
 $\longrightarrow (\sum p \in \text{UNIV}. \text{real-of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) \ E)) = 1$
 using *fin-imp-sum-eq-one of-rat-1 of-rat-sum*
 by *metis*

hence $(\chi p. \text{real-of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathbb{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) X)) = 0 \vee$
 $((\forall p. (\chi p. \text{real-of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathbb{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) X))\$p \geq 0)$
 $\wedge (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. (\chi p. \text{real-of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathbb{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) X))\$x) = 1)$
using *zero-case repr geq-zero*
by *force*
moreover have
 $\forall p. (\chi p. \text{real-of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathbb{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) X))\$p \in \mathbb{Q}$
by *simp*
ultimately have *simplex-el*:
 $(\chi p. \text{real-of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathbb{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) X))$
 $\in \{x \in \text{insert } 0 (\text{convex hull standard-basis}). \forall i. x\$i \in \mathbb{Q}\}$
using *standard-simplex-rewrite*
by *blast*
moreover have
 $\forall p. (\text{rat-vector } (\chi p. \text{of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathbb{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) X)))\$p =$
 $\text{the-inv real-of-rat } ((\chi p. \text{real-of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathbb{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) X))\$p)$
unfolding *rat-vector.simps*
using *vec-lambda-beta*
by *blast*
moreover have
 $\forall p. \text{the-inv real-of-rat}$
 $((\chi p. \text{real-of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathbb{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) X))\$p) =$
 $\text{the-inv real-of-rat } (\text{real-of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathbb{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) X))$
by *simp*
moreover have *inv-of-rat*: $\forall x \in \mathbb{Q}. \text{the-inv of-rat } (\text{of-rat } x) = x$
unfolding *Rats-def*
using *the-inv-f-f injI of-rat-eq-iff*
by *metis*
hence $\forall p. \text{the-inv real-of-rat } (\text{real-of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathbb{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) X)) =$
 $\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathbb{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) X$
using *Rats-eq-range-nat-to-rat-surj surj-nat-to-rat-surj*
by *blast*
moreover have
 $\forall p. \text{vote-fraction}_{\mathbb{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) X = (\text{anonymity-homogeneity-class } X)\p
by *simp*
ultimately have
 $\forall p. (\text{rat-vector } (\chi p. \text{of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathbb{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) X)))\$p =$
 $(\text{anonymity-homogeneity-class } X)\p
by *metis*
hence $\text{rat-vector } (\chi p. \text{of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathbb{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) X))$
 $= \text{anonymity-homogeneity-class } X$
by *simp*
hence $\exists x \in \{x \in \text{insert } 0 (\text{convex hull standard-basis}). \forall i. x\$i \in \mathbb{Q}\}.$
 $\text{rat-vector } x = \text{anonymity-homogeneity-class } X$
using *simplex-el*
by *blast*
hence $\text{rat-vector } 0 = \text{anonymity-homogeneity-class } X$
using *not-simplex image-iff insertE mem-Collect-eq*
unfolding *rat-vector-set.simps*

```

    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
  thus anonymity-homogeneity-class  $X = 0$ 
    unfolding rat-vector.simps
    using Rats-0 inv-of-rat of-rat-0 vec-lambda-unique zero-index
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
next
have  $\forall E \in (\text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV}))$ 
  “ $\{(UNIV, \{\}, (\lambda v. \{\}))\}. \forall r. \text{vote-fraction } r \ E = 0$ ”
  unfolding anonymity-homogeneity $_{\mathcal{R}}$ .simps
  by force
moreover have
 $\forall E \in (\text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV}))$ 
  “ $\{(UNIV, \{\}, (\lambda v. \{\}))\}. \text{finite } (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E)$ ”
  unfolding Image-def anonymity-homogeneity $_{\mathcal{R}}$ .simps
  by fastforce
ultimately have all-zero:
 $\forall r. \forall E \in (\text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV}))$ 
  “ $\{(UNIV, \{\}, (\lambda v. \{\}))\}. \text{vote-fraction } r \ E = 0$ ”
  by blast
moreover have  $(UNIV, \{\}, \lambda v. \{\})$ 
   $\in (\text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV}))$  “ $\{(UNIV, \{\}, \lambda v. \{\})\}$ ”
  unfolding anonymity-homogeneity $_{\mathcal{R}}$ .simps Image-def elections- $\mathcal{A}$ .simps
  well-formed-elections-def profile-def
  by simp
ultimately have  $\forall r. 0 \in \text{vote-fraction } r$ 
  “ $(\text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV}))$ 
  “ $\{(UNIV, \{\}, (\lambda v. \{\}))\}$ ”
  using image-eqI
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
hence
 $\forall r. \text{vote-fraction } r$ 
  “ $(\text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV}))$ 
  “ $\{(UNIV, \{\}, \lambda v. \{\})\} = \{0\}$ ”
  using all-zero
  by blast
hence  $\forall r :: 'a \text{ Ordered-Preference}. \text{vote-fraction}_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } r)$ 
   $(\text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV}))$ 
  “ $\{(UNIV, \{\}, \lambda v. \{\})\} = 0$ ”
  using is-singletonI singleton-insert-inj-eq' singleton-set-def-if-card-one
  unfolding vote-fraction $_{\mathcal{Q}}$ .simps  $\pi_{\mathcal{Q}}$ .simps singleton-set.simps
  is-singleton-altdef singleton-set.simps
  by metis
hence  $\forall r :: 'a \text{ Ordered-Preference}. (\text{anonymity-homogeneity-class } (\text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV}))$ 
  “ $\{(UNIV, \{\}, \lambda v. \{\})\})\$r = 0$ ”
  unfolding anonymity-homogeneity-class.simps
  using vec-lambda-beta
  by (metis (no-types))
moreover have  $\forall r :: 'a \text{ Ordered-Preference}. 0\$r = 0$ 

```

by *simp*
 ultimately have *anonymity-homogeneity-class*
 (anonymity-homogeneity _{\mathcal{R}} (elections- \mathcal{A} UNIV)
 “{(UNIV, {}, $\lambda v. \{\}$)}”) = (0 :: (rat[^] 'a Ordered-Preference)))
 using *vec-eq-iff*
 by (metis (no-types))
 moreover have (UNIV, {}, $\lambda v. \{\}$) ∈ elections- \mathcal{A} UNIV
 unfolding elections- \mathcal{A} .simps well-formed-elections-def profile-def
 by *simp*
 hence (anonymity-homogeneity _{\mathcal{R}} (elections- \mathcal{A} UNIV) “{(UNIV, {}, $\lambda v. \{\}$)}”)
 ∈ anonymity-homogeneity _{\mathcal{Q}} UNIV
 unfolding anonymity-homogeneity _{\mathcal{Q}} .simps quotient-def
 by *blast*
 ultimately show 0 ∈ anonymity-homogeneity-class ‘anonymity-homogeneity _{\mathcal{Q}}
 UNIV
 using *image-eqI*
 by (metis (no-types))
 next
 fix $x :: \text{rat}^{\wedge}('a \text{ Ordered-Preference})$
 assume $x \in \text{rat-vector-set}$ (convex hull standard-basis)
 — The following converts a rational vector x to real vector x' .
 then obtain $x' :: \text{real}^{\wedge}('a \text{ Ordered-Preference})$ where
 conv: $x' \in \text{convex hull standard-basis}$ and
 inv: $\forall p. x\$p = \text{the-inv real-of-rat } (x'\$p)$ and
 rat: $\forall p. x'\$p \in \mathbb{Q}$
 unfolding rat-vector-set.simps rat-vector.simps
 by *force*
 hence convex: $(\forall p. 0 \leq x'\$p) \wedge (\sum y \in \text{UNIV}. x'\$y) = 1$
 using standard-simplex-rewrite
 by *blast*
 have map: $\forall p. \text{real-of-rat } (x\$p) = x'\$p$
 using inv rat f-the-inv-into-f inj-onCI of-rat-eq-iff
 unfolding Rats-def
 by (metis (no-types))
 have $\forall p. \exists \text{fract}. \text{Fract } (\text{fst fract}) (\text{snd fract}) = x\$p \wedge 0 < \text{snd fract}$
 using quotient-of-unique
 by *metis*
 then obtain $\text{fraction}' :: 'a \text{ Ordered-Preference} \Rightarrow (\text{int} \times \text{int})$ where
 $\forall p. x\$p = \text{Fract } (\text{fst } (\text{fraction}' p)) (\text{snd } (\text{fraction}' p))$ and
 $\text{pos}': \forall p. 0 < \text{snd } (\text{fraction}' p)$
 by *metis*
 hence $\text{fract}': \forall p. x'\$p = (\text{fst } (\text{fraction}' p)) / (\text{snd } (\text{fraction}' p))$
 using map div-by-0 divide-less-cancel of-int-0 of-int-pos of-rat-rat
 by *metis*
 hence $\forall p. (\text{fst } (\text{fraction}' p)) / (\text{snd } (\text{fraction}' p)) \geq 0$
 using convex
 by *fastforce*
 hence $\forall p. \text{fst } (\text{fraction}' p) \geq 0$
 using pos' not-less of-int-0-le-iff of-int-pos zero-le-divide-iff


```

    by metis
  hence  $\forall p. \text{fst}(\text{fraction}' p) \in \mathbb{N} \wedge \text{snd}(\text{fraction}' p) \in \mathbb{N}$ 
    using pos' nonneg-int-cases of-nat-in-Nats order-less-le
    by metis
  hence  $\forall p. \exists (n :: \text{nat}) (m :: \text{nat}).$ 
     $\text{fst}(\text{fraction}' p) = n \wedge \text{snd}(\text{fraction}' p) = m$ 
    using Nats-cases
    by metis
  hence  $\forall p. \exists m :: \text{nat} \times \text{nat}. \text{fst}(\text{fraction}' p) = \text{int}(\text{fst } m)$ 
     $\wedge \text{snd}(\text{fraction}' p) = \text{int}(\text{snd } m)$ 
    by simp
  then obtain fraction :: 'a Ordered-Preference  $\Rightarrow (\text{nat} \times \text{nat})$  where
    eq:  $\forall p. \text{fst}(\text{fraction}' p) = \text{int}(\text{fst}(\text{fraction } p)) \wedge$ 
       $\text{snd}(\text{fraction}' p) = \text{int}(\text{snd}(\text{fraction } p))$ 
    by metis
  hence fract:  $\forall p. x\$p = (\text{fst}(\text{fraction } p)) / (\text{snd}(\text{fraction } p))$ 
    using fract'
    by simp
  hence pos:  $\forall p. 0 < \text{snd}(\text{fraction } p)$ 
    using eq pos'
    by simp
  let ?prod =  $\prod p \in \text{UNIV}. \text{snd}(\text{fraction } p)$ 
  have fin: finite (UNIV :: 'a Ordered-Preference set)
    by simp
  hence finite {snd (fraction p) | p. p  $\in$  UNIV}
    using finite-Atleast-Atmost-nat
    by simp
  have pos-prod: ?prod > 0
    using pos
    by simp
  hence  $\forall p. ?prod \bmod (\text{snd}(\text{fraction } p)) = 0$ 
    using finite UNIV-I mod-mod-trivial mod-prod-eq mod-self prod-zero
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  hence div:  $\forall p. (?prod \text{ div } (\text{snd}(\text{fraction } p))) * (\text{snd}(\text{fraction } p)) = ?prod$ 
    using add.commute add-0 div-mult-mod-eq
    by metis
  obtain voter-amount :: 'a Ordered-Preference  $\Rightarrow \text{nat}$  where
    def-amount:
      voter-amount =  $(\lambda p \in \text{UNIV}. (\text{fst}(\text{fraction } p)) * (?prod \text{ div } (\text{snd}(\text{fraction } p))))$ 
    by blast
  let ?voter-sum =  $(\sum p \in \text{UNIV}. (\text{fst}(\text{fraction } p)) * (?prod \text{ div } (\text{snd}(\text{fraction } p))))$ 
  have rewrite-div:  $\forall p. ?prod \text{ div } (\text{snd}(\text{fraction } p)) = ?prod / (\text{snd}(\text{fraction } p))$ 
    using div less-imp-of-nat-less nonzero-mult-div-cancel-right
      of-nat-less-0-iff of-nat-mult pos
    by metis
  hence ?voter-sum =  $(\sum p \in \text{UNIV}. (\text{fst}(\text{fraction } p)) * (?prod / (\text{snd}(\text{fraction } p))))$ 

```

```

    using def-amount
    by simp
  hence ?voter-sum = ?prod * ( $\sum p \in UNIV. (fst (fraction p)) / (snd (fraction p)))$ )
    using mult-of-nat-commute sum.cong times-divide-eq-right
      vector-space-over-itself.scale-sum-right
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
  hence rewrite-sum: ?voter-sum = ?prod
    using fract convex mult-cancel-left1 of-nat-eq-iff sum.cong
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
  obtain V :: 'v set where
    fin-V: finite V and
    card-V-eq-sum: card V = ?voter-sum
    using assms infinite-arbitrarily-large
    by blast
  then obtain part :: 'a Ordered-Preference  $\Rightarrow$  'v set where
    partition:  $V = \bigcup \{part\ p \mid p. p \in UNIV\}$  and
    disjoint:  $\forall p\ p'. p \neq p' \longrightarrow part\ p \cap part\ p' = \{\}$  and
    card:  $\forall p. card (part\ p) = voter\_amount\ p$ 
    using def-amount obtain-partition[of V UNIV voter-amount]
    by auto
  hence exactly-one-prof:  $\forall v \in V. \exists! p. v \in part\ p$ 
    by blast
  then obtain prof' :: 'v  $\Rightarrow$  'a Ordered-Preference where
    maps-to-prof':  $\forall v \in V. v \in part (prof'\ v)$ 
    by metis
  then obtain prof :: 'v  $\Rightarrow$  'a Preference-Relation where
    prof:  $prof = (\lambda v. \text{if } v \in V \text{ then } ord2pref (prof'\ v) \text{ else } \{\})$ 
    by blast
  hence election:  $(UNIV, V, prof) \in elections\text{-}\mathcal{A}\ UNIV$ 
    unfolding elections- $\mathcal{A}.simps$  well-formed-elections-def profile-def
    using fin-V ord2pref
    by auto
  have  $\forall p. \{v \in V. prof'\ v = p\} = \{v \in V. v \in part\ p\}$ 
    using maps-to-prof' exactly-one-prof
    by blast
  hence  $\forall p. \{v \in V. prof'\ v = p\} = part\ p$ 
    using partition
    by fastforce
  hence  $\forall p. card \{v \in V. prof'\ v = p\} = voter\_amount\ p$ 
    using card
    by presburger
  moreover have
     $\forall p. \forall v. (v \in \{v \in V. prof'\ v = p\}) = (v \in \{v \in V. prof\ v = ord2pref\ p\})$ 
    using prof
    by (simp add: ord2pref-inject)
  ultimately have
     $\forall p :: 'a\ Ordered-Preference.$ 
     $vote\_fraction (ord2pref\ p) (UNIV, V, prof) = Fract (voter\_amount\ p) (card$ 

```

V)
using *rat-number-collapse fin-V*
by *simp*
moreover have
 $\forall p. \text{Fract} (\text{voter-amount } p) (\text{card } V) = (\text{voter-amount } p) / (\text{card } V)$
unfolding *Fract-of-int-quotient of-rat-divide*
by *simp*
moreover have
 $\forall p. (\text{voter-amount } p) / (\text{card } V) =$
 $((\text{fst} (\text{fraction } p)) * (?prod \text{ div } (\text{snd} (\text{fraction } p)))) / ?prod$
using *def-amount card-V-eq-sum rewrite-sum*
by *force*
moreover have
 $\forall p. ((\text{fst} (\text{fraction } p)) * (?prod \text{ div } (\text{snd} (\text{fraction } p)))) / ?prod =$
 $(\text{fst} (\text{fraction } p)) / (\text{snd} (\text{fraction } p))$
using *rewrite-div pos-prod*
by *auto*
— The following are the percentages of voters voting for each linearly ordered
profile in $(UNIV, V, \text{prof})$ that equals the entries of the given vector.
ultimately have
 $\forall p :: 'a \text{ Ordered-Preference.}$
 $\text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) (UNIV, V, \text{prof}) = x^{\text{'}}p$
using *fract*
by *presburger*
moreover have
 $\forall E \in \text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ } UNIV) \text{ “}\{(UNIV, V, \text{prof})\}.$
 $\forall p. \text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) E =$
 $\text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) (UNIV, V, \text{prof})$
unfolding *anonymity-homogeneity $_{\mathcal{R}}$.simps*
by *fastforce*
ultimately have *all-eq-vec*:
 $\forall p. \forall E \in \text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ } UNIV)$
 $\text{“}\{(UNIV, V, \text{prof})\}. \text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) E = x^{\text{'}}p$
using *Re-complex-of-real Re-divide-of-real of-rat.rep-eq of-real-of-int-eq*
 $\text{injI of-rat-eq-iff the-inv-f-f rat inv}$
by *(metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting))*
moreover have
 $(UNIV, V, \text{prof}) \in \text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ } UNIV) \text{ “}\{(UNIV,$
 $V, \text{prof})\}$
using *anonymity-homogeneity $_{\mathcal{R}}$.simps election*
by *blast*
ultimately have $\forall p. \text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) \text{ “}$
 $\text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ } UNIV) \text{ “}\{(UNIV, V, \text{prof})\} \supseteq \{x^{\text{'}}p\}$
using *image-insert insert-iff mk-disjoint-insert singletonD subsetI*
by *(metis (no-types, lifting))*
hence $\forall p. \text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) \text{ “}$
 $\text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ } UNIV) \text{ “}\{(UNIV, V, \text{prof})\} = \{x^{\text{'}}p\}$
using *all-eq-vec*
by *blast*

```

hence  $x = \text{anonymity-homogeneity-class}$ 
       $(\text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{elections-}\mathcal{A} \text{ UNIV}) \text{ “}\{(UNIV, V, \text{prof})\}\text{”})$ 
using  $\text{is-singletonI}$   $\text{singleton-inject}$   $\text{singleton-set-def-if-card-one}$   $\text{vec-lambda-unique}$ 
      unfolding  $\text{anonymity-homogeneity-class.simps}$   $\text{is-singleton-altdef}$ 
       $\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathcal{Q}.simps}$   $\pi_{\mathcal{Q}.simps}$ 
      by  $(\text{metis} (\text{no-types}, \text{lifting}))$ 
thus  $x \in (\text{anonymity-homogeneity-class}$ 
       $:: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election set} \Rightarrow \text{rat}^{\sim}('a \text{ Ordered-Preference}))$ 
       $\text{‘anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{Q}} \text{ UNIV}$ 
      unfolding  $\text{anonymity-homogeneity}_{\mathcal{Q}.simps}$   $\text{quotient-def}$ 
      using  $\text{election}$ 
      by  $\text{blast}$ 
qed
qed

end

```

Chapter 4

Component Types

4.1 Distance

```
theory Distance
imports HOL-Library.Extended-Real
        Social-Choice-Types/Voting-Symmetry
begin
```

A general distance on a set X is a mapping $d: X \times X \mapsto R \cup \{+\infty\}$ such that for every x, y, z in X , the following four conditions are satisfied:

- $d(x, y) \geq 0$ (non-negativity);
- $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$ (identity of indiscernibles);
- $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ (symmetry);
- $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ (triangle inequality).

Moreover, a mapping that satisfies all but the second conditions is called a pseudo-distance, whereas a quasi-distance needs to satisfy the first three conditions (and not necessarily the last one).

4.1.1 Definition

```
type-synonym 'a Distance = 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  ereal
```

The un-curried version of a distance is defined on tuples.

```
fun tup :: 'a Distance  $\Rightarrow$  ('a * 'a  $\Rightarrow$  ereal) where
  tup d = ( $\lambda$  pair. d (fst pair) (snd pair))
```

```
definition distance :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a Distance  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  distance S d  $\equiv \forall x y. x \in S \wedge y \in S \longrightarrow d x x = 0 \wedge 0 \leq d x y$ 
```

4.1.2 Conditions

definition *symmetric* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a Distance \Rightarrow bool **where**
symmetric $S\ d \equiv \forall\ x\ y. x \in S \wedge y \in S \longrightarrow d\ x\ y = d\ y\ x$

definition *triangle-ineq* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a Distance \Rightarrow bool **where**
triangle-ineq $S\ d \equiv \forall\ x\ y\ z. x \in S \wedge y \in S \wedge z \in S \longrightarrow d\ x\ z \leq d\ x\ y + d\ y\ z$

definition *eq-if-zero* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a Distance \Rightarrow bool **where**
eq-if-zero $S\ d \equiv \forall\ x\ y. x \in S \wedge y \in S \longrightarrow d\ x\ y = 0 \longrightarrow x = y$

definition *vote-distance* :: ('a Vote set \Rightarrow 'a Vote Distance \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow
'a Vote Distance \Rightarrow bool **where**
vote-distance $\pi\ d \equiv \pi\ \{(A, p). \text{linear-order-on } A\ p \wedge \text{finite } A\}\ d$

definition *election-distance* :: (('a, 'v) Election set \Rightarrow
('a, 'v) Election Distance \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow
('a, 'v) Election Distance \Rightarrow bool **where**
election-distance $\pi\ d \equiv \pi\ \{(A, V, p). \text{finite-profile } V\ A\ p\}\ d$

4.1.3 Standard-Distance Property

definition *standard* :: ('a, 'v) Election Distance \Rightarrow bool **where**
standard $d \equiv$
 $\forall\ A\ A'\ V\ V'\ p\ p'. A \neq A' \vee V \neq V' \longrightarrow d\ (A, V, p)\ (A', V', p') = \infty$

4.1.4 Auxiliary Lemmas

fun *arg-min-set* :: ('b \Rightarrow 'a :: ord) \Rightarrow 'b set \Rightarrow 'b set **where**
arg-min-set $f\ A = \text{Collect } (\text{is-arg-min } f\ (\lambda\ a. a \in A))$

lemma *arg-min-subset*:
fixes
 $B :: 'b\ \text{set}$ **and**
 $f :: 'b \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{ord}$
shows *arg-min-set* $f\ B \subseteq B$
unfolding *arg-min-set.simps is-arg-min-def*
by *safe*

lemma *sum-monotone*:
fixes
 $A :: 'a\ \text{set}$ **and**
 $f\ g :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{int}$
assumes $\forall\ a \in A. f\ a \leq g\ a$
shows $(\sum\ a \in A. f\ a) \leq (\sum\ a \in A. g\ a)$
using *assms*
proof (*induction A rule: infinite-finite-induct*)
case (*infinite A*)
fix $A :: 'a\ \text{set}$
show ?case

```

      using infinite
      by simp
next
  case empty
  show ?case
    by simp
next
  case (insert x F)
  fix
    x :: 'a and
    F :: 'a set
  show ?case
    using insert
    by simp
qed

```

```

lemma distrib:
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    f g :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  int
  shows  $(\sum a \in A. f\ a) + (\sum a \in A. g\ a) = (\sum a \in A. f\ a + g\ a)$ 
  using sum.distrib
  by metis

```

```

lemma distrib-ereal:
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    f g :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  int
  shows ereal (real-of-int  $((\sum a \in A. (f :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{int})\ a) + (\sum a \in A. g\ a)))$ ) =
    ereal (real-of-int  $((\sum a \in A. (f\ a) + (g\ a)))$ )
  using distrib
  by metis

```

```

lemma uneq-ereal:
  fixes x y :: int
  assumes  $x \leq y$ 
  shows ereal (real-of-int x)  $\leq$  ereal (real-of-int y)
  using assms
  by simp

```

4.1.5 Swap Distance

```

fun neq-ord :: 'a Preference-Relation  $\Rightarrow$  'a Preference-Relation  $\Rightarrow$ 
  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  neq-ord r s a b =  $((a \preceq_r b \wedge b \preceq_s a) \vee (b \preceq_r a \wedge a \preceq_s b))$ 

```

```

fun pairwise-disagreements :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a Preference-Relation  $\Rightarrow$ 
  'a Preference-Relation  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  'a) set where
  pairwise-disagreements A r s =  $\{(a, b) \in A \times A. a \neq b \wedge \text{neq-ord } r\ s\ a\ b\}$ 

```

```

fun pairwise-disagreements' :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a Preference-Relation  $\Rightarrow$ 
  'a Preference-Relation  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  'a) set where
  pairwise-disagreements' A r s =
    Set.filter ( $\lambda$  (a, b). a  $\neq$  b  $\wedge$  neq-ord r s a b) (A  $\times$  A)

```

lemma set-eq-filter:

```

fixes
  X :: 'a set and
  P :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool
shows {x  $\in$  X. P x} = Set.filter P X
by auto

```

lemma pairwise-disagreements-eq[code]: pairwise-disagreements = pairwise-disagreements'
unfolding pairwise-disagreements.simps pairwise-disagreements'.simps
by fastforce

fun swap :: 'a Vote Distance **where**

```

  swap (A, r) (A', r') =
    (if A = A'
     then card (pairwise-disagreements A r r')
     else  $\infty$ )

```

lemma swap-case-infinity:

```

fixes x y :: 'a Vote
assumes alts- $\mathcal{V}$  x  $\neq$  alts- $\mathcal{V}$  y
shows swap x y =  $\infty$ 
using assms
by (induction rule: swap.induct, simp)

```

lemma swap-case-fin:

```

fixes x y :: 'a Vote
assumes alts- $\mathcal{V}$  x = alts- $\mathcal{V}$  y
shows swap x y = card (pairwise-disagreements (alts- $\mathcal{V}$  x) (pref- $\mathcal{V}$  x) (pref- $\mathcal{V}$  y))
using assms
by (induction rule: swap.induct, simp)

```

4.1.6 Spearman Distance

fun spearman :: 'a Vote Distance **where**

```

  spearman (A, x) (A', y) =
    (if A = A'
     then  $\sum a \in A. \text{abs} (\text{int} (\text{rank } x \ a) - \text{int} (\text{rank } y \ a))$ 
     else  $\infty$ )

```

lemma spearman-case-inf:

```

fixes x y :: 'a Vote
assumes alts- $\mathcal{V}$  x  $\neq$  alts- $\mathcal{V}$  y
shows spearman x y =  $\infty$ 

```


using *assms*
by (*induction rule: spearman.induct, simp*)

lemma *spearman-case-fin*:
fixes $x\ y :: 'a\ Vote$
assumes $alts\mathcal{V}\ x = alts\mathcal{V}\ y$
shows $spearman\ x\ y =$
 $(\sum a \in alts\mathcal{V}\ x. abs\ (int\ (rank\ (pref\mathcal{V}\ x)\ a) - int\ (rank\ (pref\mathcal{V}\ y)\ a)))$
using *assms*
by (*induction rule: spearman.induct, simp*)

4.1.7 Properties

Distances that are invariant under specific relations induce symmetry properties in distance rationalized voting rules.

Definitions

fun $total\text{-}invariance_{\mathcal{D}} :: 'x\ Distance \Rightarrow 'x\ rel \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $total\text{-}invariance_{\mathcal{D}}\ d\ rel = is\text{-}symmetry\ (tup\ d)\ (Invariance\ (product\ rel))$

fun $invariance_{\mathcal{D}} :: 'y\ Distance \Rightarrow 'x\ set \Rightarrow 'y\ set \Rightarrow$
 $('x, 'y)\ binary\text{-}fun \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $invariance_{\mathcal{D}}\ d\ X\ Y\ \varphi = is\text{-}symmetry\ (tup\ d)\ (Invariance\ (equivariance\ X\ Y\ \varphi))$

definition $distance\text{-}anonymity :: ('a, 'v)\ Election\ Distance \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $distance\text{-}anonymity\ d \equiv$
 $\forall\ A\ A'\ V\ V'\ p\ p'\ \pi :: ('v \Rightarrow 'v).$
 $(bij\ \pi \longrightarrow$
 $(d\ (A, V, p)\ (A', V', p')) =$
 $(d\ (rename\ \pi\ (A, V, p))\ (rename\ \pi\ (A', V', p'))))$

fun $distance\text{-}anonymity' :: ('a, 'v)\ Election\ set \Rightarrow$
 $('a, 'v)\ Election\ Distance \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $distance\text{-}anonymity'\ X\ d = invariance_{\mathcal{D}}\ d\ (carrier\ bijection_{\mathcal{V}G})\ X\ (\varphi\text{-anon}\ X)$

fun $distance\text{-}neutrality :: ('a, 'v)\ Election\ set \Rightarrow$
 $('a, 'v)\ Election\ Distance \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $distance\text{-}neutrality\ X\ d = invariance_{\mathcal{D}}\ d\ (carrier\ bijection_{AG})\ X\ (\varphi\text{-neutral}\ X)$

fun $distance\text{-}reversal\text{-}symmetry :: ('a, 'v)\ Election\ set \Rightarrow$
 $('a, 'v)\ Election\ Distance \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $distance\text{-}reversal\text{-}symmetry\ X\ d =$
 $invariance_{\mathcal{D}}\ d\ (carrier\ reversal_G)\ X\ (\varphi\text{-reverse}\ X)$

definition $distance\text{-}homogeneity' :: ('a, 'v :: linorder)\ Election\ set \Rightarrow$
 $('a, 'v)\ Election\ Distance \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $distance\text{-}homogeneity'\ X\ d \equiv total\text{-}invariance_{\mathcal{D}}\ d\ (homogeneity_{\mathcal{R}}'\ X)$

definition *distance-homogeneity* :: ('a, 'v) Election set \Rightarrow
('a, 'v) Election Distance \Rightarrow bool **where**
distance-homogeneity X d \equiv *total-invariance* _{\mathcal{D}} d (*homogeneity* _{\mathcal{R}} X)

Auxiliary Lemmas

lemma *rewrite-total-invariance* _{\mathcal{D}} :

fixes
d :: 'x Distance **and**
r :: 'x rel
shows *total-invariance* _{\mathcal{D}} d r = (\forall (x, y) \in r. \forall (a, b) \in r. d a x = d b y)
proof (*unfold total-invariance* _{\mathcal{D}} .*simps is-symmetry.simps product.simps, safe*)
fix a b x y :: 'x
assume
 \forall x y. (x, y) \in {(p, p')}.
(fst p, fst p') \in r \wedge (snd p, snd p') \in r}
 \longrightarrow tup d x = tup d y **and**
(a, b) \in r **and**
(x, y) \in r
thus d a x = d b y
unfolding *total-invariance* _{\mathcal{D}} .*simps is-symmetry.simps*
by *simp*
next
fix a b x y :: 'x
assume
 \forall (x, y) \in r. \forall (a, b) \in r. d a x = d b y **and**
(fst (x, a), fst (y, b)) \in r **and**
(snd (x, a), snd (y, b)) \in r
hence d x a = d y b
by *auto*
thus tup d (x, a) = tup d (y, b)
by *simp*
qed

lemma *rewrite-invariance* _{\mathcal{D}} :

fixes
d :: 'y Distance **and**
X :: 'x set **and**
Y :: 'y set **and**
 φ :: ('x, 'y) binary-fun
shows *invariance* _{\mathcal{D}} d X Y φ =
(\forall x \in X. \forall y \in Y. \forall z \in Y. d y z = d (φ x y) (φ x z))
proof (*unfold invariance* _{\mathcal{D}} .*simps is-symmetry.simps equivariance.simps, safe*)
fix
x :: 'x **and**
y z :: 'y
assume
x \in X **and**
y \in Y **and**

```

    z ∈ Y and
    ∀ x y. (x, y) ∈ {(u, v), x, y}. (u, v) ∈ Y × Y
        ∧ (∃ z ∈ X. x = φ z u ∧ y = φ z v)}
        → tup d x = tup d y
  thus d y z = d (φ x y) (φ x z)
    by fastforce
next
fix
  x :: 'x and
  a b :: 'y
assume
  ∀ x ∈ X. ∀ y ∈ Y. ∀ z ∈ Y. d y z = d (φ x y) (φ x z) and
  x ∈ X and
  a ∈ Y and
  b ∈ Y
hence d a b = d (φ x a) (φ x b)
  by blast
thus tup d (a, b) = tup d (φ x a, φ x b)
  by simp
qed

```

lemma *invar-dist-image*:

```

fixes
  d :: 'y Distance and
  G :: 'x monoid and
  Y Y' :: 'y set and
  φ :: ('x, 'y) binary-fun and
  y :: 'y and
  g :: 'x
assumes
  invar-d: invarianceD d (carrier G) Y φ and
  Y'-in-Y: Y' ⊆ Y and
  action-φ: group-action G Y φ and
  g-carrier: g ∈ carrier G and
  y-in-Y: y ∈ Y
shows d (φ g y) ' (φ g) ' Y' = d y ' Y'
proof (safe)
  fix y' :: 'y
  assume y'-in-Y': y' ∈ Y'
  hence ((y, y'), ((φ g y), (φ g y'))) ∈ equivariance (carrier G) Y φ
    using Y'-in-Y y-in-Y g-carrier
  unfolding equivariance.simps
  by blast
hence eq-dist: tup d ((φ g y), (φ g y')) = tup d (y, y')
  using invar-d
  unfolding invarianceD.simps
  by fastforce
thus d (φ g y) (φ g y') ∈ d y ' Y'
  using y'-in-Y'

```

```

    by simp
  have  $\varphi \ g \ y' \in \varphi \ g \ ' \ Y'$ 
    using  $y'\text{-in-}Y'$ 
    by simp
  thus  $d \ y \ y' \in d \ (\varphi \ g \ y) \ ' \ \varphi \ g \ ' \ Y'$ 
    using eq-dist
    by (simp add: rev-image-eqI)
qed

lemma swap-neutral: invarianceD swap (carrier bijectionAG)
  UNIV  $(\lambda \ \pi \ (A, q). (\pi \ ' \ A, \text{rel-rename } \pi \ q))$ 
proof (unfold rewrite-invarianceD, safe)
  fix
     $\pi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$  and
     $A \ A' :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $q \ q' :: 'a \text{ rel}$ 
  assume  $\pi \in \text{carrier bijection}_{AG}$ 
  hence  $\text{bij-}\pi$ :  $\text{bij } \pi$ 
    unfolding bijectionAG-def
    using rewrite-carrier
    by blast
  show swap  $(A, q) \ (A', q') =$ 
    swap  $(\pi \ ' \ A, \text{rel-rename } \pi \ q) \ (\pi \ ' \ A', \text{rel-rename } \pi \ q')$ 
  proof (cases  $A = A'$ )
    let ?f =  $(\lambda \ (a, b). (\pi \ a, \pi \ b))$ 
    let ?swap-set =  $\{(a, b) \in A \times A. a \neq b \wedge \text{neq-ord } q \ q' \ a \ b\}$ 
    let ?swap-set' =
       $\{(a, b) \in \pi \ ' \ A \times \pi \ ' \ A. a \neq b$ 
         $\wedge \text{neq-ord } (\text{rel-rename } \pi \ q) \ (\text{rel-rename } \pi \ q') \ a \ b\}$ 
    let ?rel =  $\{(a, b) \in A \times A. a \neq b \wedge \text{neq-ord } q \ q' \ a \ b\}$ 
    case True
    hence  $\pi \ ' \ A = \pi \ ' \ A'$ 
      by simp
    hence swap  $(\pi \ ' \ A, \text{rel-rename } \pi \ q) \ (\pi \ ' \ A', \text{rel-rename } \pi \ q') = \text{card } ?\text{swap-set}'$ 
      by simp
    moreover have  $\text{bij-betw } ?f \ ?\text{swap-set} \ ?\text{swap-set}'$ 
  proof (unfold bij-betw-def inj-on-def, intro conjI impI ballI)
    fix  $x \ y :: 'a \times 'a$ 
    assume
       $x \in ?\text{swap-set}$  and
       $y \in ?\text{swap-set}$  and
       $?f \ x = ?f \ y$ 
    hence
       $\pi \ (\text{fst } x) = \pi \ (\text{fst } y)$  and
       $\pi \ (\text{snd } x) = \pi \ (\text{snd } y)$ 
      by auto
    hence
       $\text{fst } x = \text{fst } y$  and
       $\text{snd } x = \text{snd } y$ 

```

```

    using bij- $\pi$  bij-pointE
    by (metis, metis)
  thus  $x = y$ 
    using prod.expand
    by metis
next
show ?f ‘ ?swap-set = ?swap-set’
proof
  have  $\forall a b. (a, b) \in A \times A \longrightarrow (\pi a, \pi b) \in \pi ‘ A \times \pi ‘ A$ 
    by simp
  moreover have  $\forall a b. a \neq b \longrightarrow \pi a \neq \pi b$ 
    using bij- $\pi$  bij-pointE
    by metis
  moreover have
     $\forall a b. \text{neg-ord } q \ q' \ a \ b$ 
     $\longrightarrow \text{neg-ord } (\text{rel-rename } \pi \ q) \ (\text{rel-rename } \pi \ q') \ (\pi a) \ (\pi b)$ 
    unfolding neg-ord.simps rel-rename.simps
    by auto
  ultimately show ?f ‘ ?swap-set  $\subseteq$  ?swap-set’
    by auto
next
have  $\forall a b. (a, b) \in (\text{rel-rename } \pi \ q) \longrightarrow (\text{the-inv } \pi \ a, \text{the-inv } \pi \ b) \in q$ 
  unfolding rel-rename.simps
  using bij- $\pi$  bij-is-inj the-inv-f-f
  by fastforce
moreover have
   $\forall a b. (a, b) \in (\text{rel-rename } \pi \ q') \longrightarrow (\text{the-inv } \pi \ a, \text{the-inv } \pi \ b) \in q'$ 
  unfolding rel-rename.simps
  using bij- $\pi$  bij-is-inj the-inv-f-f
  by fastforce
ultimately have
   $\forall a b. \text{neg-ord } (\text{rel-rename } \pi \ q) \ (\text{rel-rename } \pi \ q') \ a \ b$ 
   $\longrightarrow \text{neg-ord } q \ q' \ (\text{the-inv } \pi \ a) \ (\text{the-inv } \pi \ b)$ 
  by simp
moreover have
   $\forall a b. (a, b) \in \pi ‘ A \times \pi ‘ A \longrightarrow (\text{the-inv } \pi \ a, \text{the-inv } \pi \ b) \in A \times A$ 
  using bij- $\pi$  bij-is-inj f-the-inv-into-f inj-image-mem-iff
  by fastforce
moreover have  $\forall a b. a \neq b \longrightarrow \text{the-inv } \pi \ a \neq \text{the-inv } \pi \ b$ 
  using bij- $\pi$  UNIV-I bij-betw-imp-surj bij-is-inj f-the-inv-into-f
  by metis
ultimately have
   $\forall a b. (a, b) \in ?\text{swap-set}' \longrightarrow (\text{the-inv } \pi \ a, \text{the-inv } \pi \ b) \in ?\text{swap-set}$ 
  by blast
moreover have  $\forall a b. (a, b) = ?f \ (\text{the-inv } \pi \ a, \text{the-inv } \pi \ b)$ 
  using f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw bij- $\pi$ 
  by fastforce
ultimately show ?swap-set'  $\subseteq$  ?f ‘ ?swap-set
  by blast

```

```

    qed
  qed
  moreover have card ?swap-set = swap (A, q) (A', q')
    using True
    by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (simp add: bij-betw-same-card)
next
case False
hence  $\pi \text{ ` } A \neq \pi \text{ ` } A'$ 
  using bij- $\pi$  bij-is-inj inj-image-eq-iff
  by metis
thus ?thesis
  using False
  by simp
qed
qed
end

```

4.2 Votewise Distance

```

theory Votewise-Distance
  imports Social-Choice-Types/Norm
          Distance
begin

```

Votewise distances are a natural class of distances on elections which depend on the submitted votes in a simple and transparent manner. They are formed by using any distance d on individual orders and combining the components with a norm on \mathbb{R}^n .

4.2.1 Definition

```

fun votewise-distance :: 'a Vote Distance  $\Rightarrow$  Norm  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('a, 'v :: linorder) Election Distance where
  votewise-distance d n (A, V, p) (A', V', p') =
    (if (finite V)  $\wedge$  V = V'  $\wedge$  (V  $\neq$  {}  $\vee$  A = A')
     then n (map2 ( $\lambda$  q q'. d (A, q) (A', q')) (to-list V p) (to-list V' p'))
     else  $\infty$ )

```

4.2.2 Inference Rules

```

lemma symmetric-norm-inv-under-map-permute:
  fixes
    d :: 'a Vote Distance and

```

```

  n :: Norm and
  A A' :: 'a set and
  φ :: nat ⇒ nat and
  p p' :: ('a Preference-Relation) list
assumes
  perm: φ permutes {0 ..< length p} and
  len-eq: length p = length p' and
  sym-n: symmetry n
shows n (map2 (λ q q'. d (A, q) (A', q')) p p') =
  n (map2 (λ q q'. d (A, q) (A', q')) (permute-list φ p) (permute-list φ p'))
proof -
  have length (map2 (λ x y. d (A, x) (A', y)) p p') = length p
  using len-eq
  by simp
  hence n (map2 (λ q q'. d (A, q) (A', q')) p p') =
    n (permute-list φ (map2 (λ x y. d (A, x) (A', y)) p p'))
  using perm sym-n mset-permute-list atLeast-upt
  unfolding symmetry-def
  by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
  using perm len-eq atLeast-upt permute-list-map[of - - λ (q, q'). d (A, q) (A',
q')]
  by (simp add: permute-list-zip)
qed

```

```

lemma permute-invariant-under-map:
  fixes l l' :: 'a list
  assumes l <~~> l'
  shows map f l <~~> map f l'
  using assms
  by simp

```

```

lemma linorder-rank-injective:

```

```

  fixes
    V :: 'v :: linorder set and
    v v' :: 'v
  assumes
    v-in-V: v ∈ V and
    v'-in-V: v' ∈ V and
    v'-neq-v: v' ≠ v and
    fin-V: finite V
  shows card {x ∈ V. x < v} ≠ card {x ∈ V. x < v'}
proof -
  have v < v' ∨ v' < v
  using v'-neq-v linorder-less-linear
  by metis
  hence {x ∈ V. x < v} ⊂ {x ∈ V. x < v'} ∨ {x ∈ V. x < v'} ⊂ {x ∈ V. x < v}
  using v-in-V v'-in-V dual-order.strict-trans
  by blast

```

thus *?thesis*
using *assms sorted-list-of-set-nth-equals-card*
by (*metis (full-types)*)
qed

lemma *permute-invariant-under-coinciding-funs*:
fixes
 $l :: 'v \text{ list}$ **and**
 $\pi_1 \pi_2 :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$
assumes $\forall i < \text{length } l. \pi_1 i = \pi_2 i$
shows $\text{permute-list } \pi_1 l = \text{permute-list } \pi_2 l$
using *assms*
unfolding *permute-list-def*
by *simp*

lemma *symmetric-norm-imp-distance-anonymous*:
fixes
 $d :: 'a \text{ Vote Distance}$ **and**
 $n :: \text{Norm}$
assumes *symmetry n*
shows *distance-anonymity (votewise-distance d n)*
proof (*unfold distance-anonymity-def, safe*)

fix
 $A A' :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V V' :: 'v :: \text{linorder set}$ **and**
 $p p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $\pi :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$
let $?rn1 = \text{rename } \pi (A, V, p)$ **and**
 $?rn2 = \text{rename } \pi (A', V', p')$ **and**
 $?rn-V = \pi \text{ ` } V$ **and**
 $?rn-V' = \pi \text{ ` } V'$ **and**
 $?rn-p = p \circ (\text{the-inv } \pi)$ **and**
 $?rn-p' = p' \circ (\text{the-inv } \pi)$ **and**
 $?len = \text{length } (\text{to-list } V p)$ **and**
 $?sl-V = \text{sorted-list-of-set } V$

let $?perm = \lambda i. \text{card } \{v \in ?rn-V. v < \pi (?sl-V!i)\}$ **and**
 — Use a total permutation function in order to apply facts such as *mset-permute-list*.
 $?perm\text{-total} = \lambda i. \text{if } i < ?len$
 $\text{then card } \{v \in ?rn-V. v < \pi (?sl-V!i)\}$
 $\text{else } i$

assume *bij- π : bij π*

show $\text{votewise-distance } d n (A, V, p) (A', V', p') =$
 $\text{votewise-distance } d n ?rn1 ?rn2$

proof (*cases finite V \wedge V = V' \wedge (V \neq {} \vee A = A')*)
case *False*

— Case: Both distances are infinite.

hence $\text{votewise-distance } d n (A, V, p) (A', V', p') = \infty$

by *auto*

moreover have *infinite V \longrightarrow infinite ?rn-V*


```

    using bij- $\pi$  bij-betw-finite bij-betw-subset subset-UNIV
    by metis
  moreover have  $V \neq V' \longrightarrow ?rn-V \neq ?rn-V'$ 
    using bij- $\pi$  inj-image-mem-iff subsetI subset-antisym
    unfolding bij-def
    by metis
  ultimately show  $\text{votewise-distance } d \ n \ (A, V, p) \ (A', V', p') =$ 
     $\text{votewise-distance } d \ n \ ?rn1 \ ?rn2$ 
    using False
    by auto
next
case True
  — Case: Both distances are finite.
  have  $\text{lengths-eq: } ?len = \text{length } (\text{to-list } V' \ p')$ 
    using True
    by simp
  have  $rn-V\text{-permutes: } \text{to-list } V \ p = \text{permute-list } ?perm \ (\text{to-list } ?rn-V \ ?rn-p)$ 
    using assms to-list-permutes-under-bij bij- $\pi$  to-list-permutes-under-bij
    unfolding comp-def
    by (metis (no-types))
  hence  $\text{len-}V\text{-}rn-V\text{-eq: } ?len = \text{length } (\text{to-list } ?rn-V \ ?rn-p)$ 
    by simp
  hence  $\text{permute-list } ?perm \ (\text{to-list } ?rn-V \ ?rn-p) =$ 
     $\text{permute-list } ?perm\text{-total} \ (\text{to-list } ?rn-V \ ?rn-p)$ 
    using permute-invariant-under-coinciding-funs[of to-list ?rn-V ?rn-p]
    by presburger
  hence  $rn\text{-list-perm-list-}V$ :
     $\text{to-list } V \ p = \text{permute-list } ?perm\text{-total} \ (\text{to-list } ?rn-V \ ?rn-p)$ 
    using  $rn-V\text{-permutes}$ 
    by metis
  have  $\text{to-list } V' \ p' = \text{permute-list } ?perm \ (\text{to-list } ?rn-V' \ ?rn-p')$ 
    unfolding comp-def
    using True bij- $\pi$  to-list-permutes-under-bij
    by (metis (no-types))
  hence  $rn\text{-list-perm-list-}V'$ :
     $(\text{to-list } V' \ p') = \text{permute-list } ?perm\text{-total} \ (\text{to-list } ?rn-V' \ ?rn-p')$ 
    using  $\text{lengths-eq}$  permute-invariant-under-coinciding-funs[of to-list ?rn-V'
    ?rn-p']
    by fastforce
  have  $?perm\text{-total} \ \text{permutes } \{0 \ ..< \ ?len\}$ 
  proof —
    have  $\forall \ i \ j. \ i < ?len \wedge j < ?len \wedge i \neq j$ 
       $\longrightarrow \pi \ ((\text{sorted-list-of-set } V)!i) \neq \pi \ ((\text{sorted-list-of-set } V)!j)$ 
      using True bij- $\pi$  bij-pointE nth-eq-iff-index-eq length-map
      sorted-list-of-set.distinct-sorted-key-list-of-set to-list.elims
      by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting))
    moreover have  $in\text{-bnds-imp-img-el}$ :
       $\forall \ i. \ i < ?len \longrightarrow \pi \ ((\text{sorted-list-of-set } V)!i) \in \pi \text{ ` } V$ 
      using True image-eqI length-map nth-mem to-list.simps

```

```

      sorted-list-of-set.set-sorted-key-list-of-set
    by (metis (no-types))
  ultimately have
     $\forall i < ?len. \forall j < ?len. ?perm-total\ i = ?perm-total\ j \longrightarrow i = j$ 
    using True linorder-rank-injective Collect-cong finite-imageI
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  hence inj: inj-on ?perm-total {0 ..< ?len}
    unfolding inj-on-def
    by simp
  have  $\forall v' \in \pi \text{ ` } V. \text{card } \{v \in \pi \text{ ` } V. v < v'\} < \text{card } (\pi \text{ ` } V)$ 
    using True card-seteq finite-imageI less-irrefl linorder-not-le
      mem-Collect-eq subsetI
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  moreover have  $\forall i < ?len. \pi ((sorted-list-of-set\ V)!i) \in \pi \text{ ` } V$ 
    using in-bnds-imp-img-el
    by simp
  moreover have  $\text{card } (\pi \text{ ` } V) = \text{card } V$ 
    using bij- $\pi$  bij-betw-same-card bij-betw-subset top-greatest
    by metis
  moreover have  $\text{card } V = ?len$ 
    by simp
  ultimately have
     $\forall i. i < ?len \longrightarrow ?perm-total\ i \in \{0 ..< ?len\}$ 
    using atLeast0LessThan lessThan-iff
    by (metis (full-types))
  hence  $?perm-total \text{ ` } \{0 ..< ?len\} \subseteq \{0 ..< ?len\}$ 
    by force
  hence bij-betw  $?perm-total\ \{0 ..< ?len\}\ \{0 ..< ?len\}$ 
    using inj card-image card-subset-eq atLeast0LessThan finite-atLeastLessThan
    unfolding bij-betw-def
    by blast
  thus ?thesis
    using atLeast0LessThan bij-imp-permutes
    by fastforce
qed
hence votewise-distance  $d\ n\ ?rn1\ ?rn2 =$ 
   $n\ (\text{map2 } (\lambda\ q\ q'.\ d\ (A,\ q)\ (A',\ q'))$ 
    (permute-list ?perm-total (to-list ?rn-V ?rn-p))
    (permute-list ?perm-total (to-list ?rn-V' ?rn-p'))))
  using symmetric-norm-inv-under-map-permute[of - to-list ?rn-V ?rn-p]
    True assms len-V-rn-V-eq
  by force
also have  $\dots = n\ (\text{map2 } (\lambda\ q\ q'.\ d\ (A,\ q)\ (A',\ q'))\ (\text{to-list } V\ p)\ (\text{to-list } V'\ p'))$ 
  using rn-list-perm-list-V rn-list-perm-list-V'
  by presburger
finally show
   $\text{votewise-distance } d\ n\ (A,\ V,\ p)\ (A',\ V',\ p') = \text{votewise-distance } d\ n\ ?rn1\ ?rn2$ 
  using True
  by force

```

qed
qed

lemma *neutral-dist-imp-neutral-votewise-dist:*

fixes

$d :: 'a \text{ Vote Distance}$ **and**

$n :: \text{Norm}$

defines *vote-action* $\equiv \lambda \pi (A, q). (\pi \text{ ` } A, \text{rel-rename } \pi \text{ } q)$

assumes *invariance_D* $d (\text{carrier bijection}_{AG}) \text{ UNIV vote-action}$

shows *distance-neutrality well-formed-elections (votewise-distance d n)*

proof (*unfold distance-neutrality.simps rewrite-invariance_D, safe*)

fix

$A \ A' :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$V \ V' :: 'v :: \text{linorder set}$ **and**

$p \ p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**

$\pi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$

assume

carrier: $\pi \in \text{carrier bijection}_{AG}$ **and**

valid: $(A, V, p) \in \text{well-formed-elections}$ **and**

valid': $(A', V', p') \in \text{well-formed-elections}$

hence *bij- π :* *bij* π

unfolding *bijection_{AG}-def*

using *rewrite-carrier*

by *blast*

thus *votewise-distance d n* $(A, V, p) (A', V', p') =$

votewise-distance d n

(φ -neutral well-formed-elections $\pi (A, V, p)$)

(φ -neutral well-formed-elections $\pi (A', V', p')$)

proof (*cases finite $V \wedge V = V' \wedge (V \neq \{\} \vee A = A')$*)

case *True*

hence *finite $V \wedge V = V' \wedge (V \neq \{\} \vee \pi \text{ ` } A = \pi \text{ ` } A')$*

by *metis*

hence *votewise-distance d n*

(φ -neutral well-formed-elections $\pi (A, V, p)$)

(φ -neutral well-formed-elections $\pi (A', V', p')$) =

$n (\text{map2 } (\lambda q \ q'. d (\pi \text{ ` } A, q) (\pi \text{ ` } A', q'))$

(to-list $V (\text{rel-rename } \pi \circ p)$) (to-list $V' (\text{rel-rename } \pi \circ p')$))

using *valid valid'*

by *auto*

also have

$\dots =$

$n (\text{map2 } (\lambda q \ q'. d (\pi \text{ ` } A, q) (\pi \text{ ` } A', q'))$

(map (rel-rename π) (to-list $V p$)) (map (rel-rename π) (to-list $V' p')$))

using *to-list-comp*

by *metis*

also have

$\dots = n (\text{map2 } (\lambda q \ q'. d (\pi \text{ ` } A, \text{rel-rename } \pi \text{ } q) (\pi \text{ ` } A', \text{rel-rename } \pi \text{ } q'))$

(to-list $V p$) (to-list $V' p')$)

unfolding *map-helper*

```

    by simp
  also have
    ... = (n (map2 (λ q q'. d (A, q) (A', q')) (to-list V p) (to-list V' p')))
    using rewrite-invarianceD[of d - UNIV vote-action] assms carrier
      UNIV-I case-prod-conv
    unfolding vote-action-def
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  finally have votewise-distance d n
    (φ-neutral well-formed-elections π (A, V, p))
    (φ-neutral well-formed-elections π (A', V', p')) =
    n (map2 (λ q q'. d (A, q) (A', q')) (to-list V p) (to-list V' p'))
    by simp
  thus ?thesis
    using True
    by auto
next
case False
hence ¬ (finite V ∧ V = V' ∧ (V ≠ {} ∨ π 'A = π 'A'))
  using bij-π bij-is-inj inj-image-eq-iff
  by metis
thus ?thesis
  using False valid valid'
  by force
qed
qed
end

```

4.3 Consensus

```

theory Consensus
  imports Social-Choice-Types/Voting-Symmetry
begin

```

An election consisting of a set of alternatives and preferential votes for each voter (a profile) is a consensus if it has an undisputed winner reflecting a certain concept of fairness in the society.

4.3.1 Definition

```

type-synonym ('a, 'v) Consensus = ('a, 'v) Election ⇒ bool

```

4.3.2 Consensus Conditions

Nonempty alternative set.

```

fun nonempty-setC :: ('a, 'v) Consensus where

```

$nonempty-set_C (A, V, p) = (A \neq \{\})$

Nonempty profile, i.e., nonempty voter set. Note that this is also true if $p(v) =$ holds for all voters v in V .

fun $nonempty-profile_C :: ('a, 'v) Consensus$ **where**
 $nonempty-profile_C (A, V, p) = (V \neq \{\})$

Equal top ranked alternatives.

fun $equal-top_C' :: 'a \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) Consensus$ **where**
 $equal-top_C' a (A, V, p) = (a \in A \wedge (\forall v \in V. above (p v) a = \{a\}))$

fun $equal-top_C :: ('a, 'v) Consensus$ **where**
 $equal-top_C c = (\exists a. equal-top_C' a c)$

Equal votes.

fun $equal-vote_C' :: 'a Preference-Relation \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) Consensus$ **where**
 $equal-vote_C' r (A, V, p) = (\forall v \in V. (p v) = r)$

fun $equal-vote_C :: ('a, 'v) Consensus$ **where**
 $equal-vote_C c = (\exists r. equal-vote_C' r c)$

Unanimity condition.

fun $unanimity_C :: ('a, 'v) Consensus$ **where**
 $unanimity_C c = (nonempty-set_C c \wedge nonempty-profile_C c \wedge equal-top_C c)$

Strong unanimity condition.

fun $strong-unanimity_C :: ('a, 'v) Consensus$ **where**
 $strong-unanimity_C c = (nonempty-set_C c \wedge nonempty-profile_C c \wedge equal-vote_C c)$

4.3.3 Properties

definition $consensus-anonymity :: ('a, 'v) Consensus \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$consensus-anonymity c \equiv$
 $(\forall A V p \pi :: ('v \Rightarrow 'v).$
 $bij \pi \longrightarrow$
 $(let (A', V', q) = (rename \pi (A, V, p)) in$
 $profile V A p \longrightarrow profile V' A' q$
 $\longrightarrow c (A, V, p) \longrightarrow c (A', V', q)))$

fun $consensus-neutrality :: ('a, 'v) Election set \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) Consensus \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $consensus-neutrality X c = is-symmetry c (Invariance (neutrality_{\mathcal{R}} X))$

4.3.4 Auxiliary Lemmas

lemma $cons-anon-conj$:

fixes $c c' :: ('a, 'v) Consensus$
assumes
 $consensus-anonymity c$ **and**

$\text{consensus-anonymity } c'$
shows $\text{consensus-anonymity } (\lambda e. c\ e \wedge c'\ e)$
proof (*unfold consensus-anonymity-def Let-def, clarify*)
fix
 $A\ A' :: 'a\ \text{set}$ **and**
 $V\ V' :: 'v\ \text{set}$ **and**
 $p\ q :: ('a, 'v)\ \text{Profile}$ **and**
 $\pi :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$
assume
 $\text{bij-}\pi$: $\text{bij } \pi$ **and**
 renamed : $\text{rename } \pi\ (A, V, p) = (A', V', q)$ **and**
 prof : $\text{profile } V\ A\ p$
hence $\text{profile } V'\ A'\ q$
using $\text{rename-sound fst-conv rename.simps}$
by *metis*
moreover assume
 $c\ (A, V, p)$ **and**
 $c'\ (A, V, p)$
ultimately show $c\ (A', V', q) \wedge c'\ (A', V', q)$
using $\text{bij-}\pi\ \text{renamed assms prof}$
unfolding $\text{consensus-anonymity-def}$
by *auto*
qed

theorem *cons-conjunction-invariant*:
fixes
 $\mathfrak{C} :: ('a, 'v)\ \text{Consensus set}$ **and**
 $\text{rel} :: ('a, 'v)\ \text{Election rel}$
defines $C \equiv \lambda E. \forall\ C' \in \mathfrak{C}. C'\ E$
assumes $\forall\ C'. C' \in \mathfrak{C} \longrightarrow \text{is-symmetry } C'\ (\text{Invariance rel})$
shows $\text{is-symmetry } C\ (\text{Invariance rel})$
proof (*unfold is-symmetry.simps, intro allI impI*)
fix $E\ E' :: ('a, 'v)\ \text{Election}$
assume $(E, E') \in \text{rel}$
hence $\forall\ C' \in \mathfrak{C}. C'\ E = C'\ E'$
using *assms*
unfolding *is-symmetry.simps*
by *blast*
thus $C\ E = C\ E'$
unfolding *C-def*
by *blast*
qed

lemma *cons-anon-invariant*:
fixes
 $c :: ('a, 'v)\ \text{Consensus}$ **and**
 $A\ A' :: 'a\ \text{set}$ **and**
 $V\ V' :: 'v\ \text{set}$ **and**
 $p\ q :: ('a, 'v)\ \text{Profile}$ **and**

$\pi :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$
assumes
anon: *consensus-anonymity* *c* **and**
bij- π : *bij* π **and**
prof-p: *profile* *V* *A* *p* **and**
renamed: *rename* π (*A*, *V*, *p*) = (*A'*, *V'*, *q*) **and**
cond-c: *c* (*A*, *V*, *p*)
shows *c* (*A'*, *V'*, *q*)
proof –
have *profile* *V'* *A'* *q*
using *rename-sound* *bij- π* *renamed* *prof-p*
by *fastforce*
thus *?thesis*
using *anon* *cond-c* *renamed* *rename-finite* *bij- π* *prof-p*
unfolding *consensus-anonymity-def* *Let-def*
by *auto*
qed

lemma *ex-anon-cons-imp-cons-anonymous*:
fixes
 $b :: ('a, 'v)$ *Consensus* **and**
 $b' :: 'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'v)$ *Consensus*
assumes
general-cond-b: $b = (\lambda E. \exists x. b' x E)$ **and**
all-cond-anon: $\forall x. \text{consensus-anonymity } (b' x)$
shows *consensus-anonymity* *b*
proof (*unfold consensus-anonymity-def* *Let-def*, *safe*)
fix
 $A A' :: 'a$ *set* **and**
 $V V' :: 'v$ *set* **and**
 $p q :: ('a, 'v)$ *Profile* **and**
 $\pi :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$
assume
bij- π : *bij* π **and**
cond-b: *b* (*A*, *V*, *p*) **and**
prof-p: *profile* *V* *A* *p* **and**
renamed: *rename* π (*A*, *V*, *p*) = (*A'*, *V'*, *q*)
have $\exists x. b' x$ (*A*, *V*, *p*)
using *cond-b* *general-cond-b*
by *simp*
then obtain $x :: 'b$ **where**
 $b' x$ (*A*, *V*, *p*)
by *blast*
moreover have *consensus-anonymity* ($b' x$)
using *all-cond-anon*
by *simp*
moreover have *profile* *V'* *A'* *q*
using *prof-p* *renamed* *bij- π* *rename-sound*
by *fastforce*

ultimately have $b' x (A', V', q)$
using *all-cond-anon bij- π prof-p renamed*
unfolding *consensus-anonymity-def*
by *auto*
hence $\exists x. b' x (A', V', q)$
by *metis*
thus $b (A', V', q)$
using *general-cond-b*
by *simp*
qed

4.3.5 Theorems

Anonymity

lemma *nonempty-set-cons-anonymous: consensus-anonymity nonempty-set_C*
unfolding *consensus-anonymity-def*
by *simp*

lemma *nonempty-profile-cons-anonymous: consensus-anonymity nonempty-profile_C*

proof (*unfold consensus-anonymity-def Let-def, clarify*)

fix

$A \ A' :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$V \ V' :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**

$p \ q :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**

$\pi :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$

assume

bij- π : bij π **and**

renamed: rename $\pi (A, V, p) = (A', V', q)$

hence $\text{card } V = \text{card } V'$

using *rename.simps Pair-inject bij-betw-same-card*

bij-betw-subset top-greatest

by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting)*)

moreover assume *nonempty-profile_C (A, V, p)*

ultimately show *nonempty-profile_C (A', V', q)*

using *length-0-conv renamed*

unfolding *nonempty-profile_C.simps*

by *auto*

qed

lemma *equal-top-cons'-anonymous:*

fixes $a :: 'a$

shows *consensus-anonymity (equal-top_C 'a)*

proof (*unfold consensus-anonymity-def Let-def, clarify*)

fix

$A \ A' :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$V \ V' :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**

$p \ q :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**

$\pi :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$

assume

bij- π : *bij* π **and**
prof- p : *profile* V A p **and**
renamed: *rename* π $(A, V, p) = (A', V', q)$ **and**
top-cons-a: *equal-top_C' a* (A, V, p)
have $\forall v' \in V'. q\ v' = p\ ((the\text{-}inv\ \pi)\ v')$
using *renamed*
by *auto*
moreover have $\forall v' \in V'. (the\text{-}inv\ \pi)\ v' \in V$
using *bij- π renamed rename.simps bij-is-inj*
f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw inj-image-mem-iff
by *fastforce*
moreover have *winner:* $\forall v \in V. above\ (p\ v)\ a = \{a\}$
using *top-cons-a*
by *simp*
ultimately have $\forall v' \in V'. above\ (q\ v')\ a = \{a\}$
by *simp*
moreover have $a \in A$
using *top-cons-a*
by *simp*
ultimately show *equal-top_C' a* (A', V', q)
using *renamed*
unfolding *equal-top_C'.simps*
by *simp*
qed

lemma *eq-top-cons-anon: consensus-anonymity equal-top_C*
using *equal-top-cons'-anonymous*
ex-anon-cons-imp-cons-anonymous[of equal-top_C equal-top_C']
by *fastforce*

lemma *eq-vote-cons'-anonymous:*
fixes $r :: 'a\ Preference\text{-}Relation$
shows *consensus-anonymity* $(equal\text{-}vote_C'\ r)$
proof *(unfold consensus-anonymity-def Let-def, clarify)*
fix
 $A\ A' :: 'a\ set$ **and**
 $V\ V' :: 'v\ set$ **and**
 $p\ q :: ('a, 'v)\ Profile$ **and**
 $\pi :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$
assume
bij- π : *bij* π **and**
renamed: *rename* π $(A, V, p) = (A', V', q)$
have $\forall v' \in V'. (the\text{-}inv\ \pi)\ v' \in V$
using *bij- π renamed bij-is-inj inj-image-mem-iff*
f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw
by *fastforce*
moreover assume *equal-vote_C' r* (A, V, p)
ultimately show *equal-vote_C' r* (A', V', q)
using *renamed*

by force
qed

lemma *eq-vote-cons-anonymous: consensus-anonymity equal-vote_C*
unfolding *equal-vote_C.sims*
using *eq-vote-cons'-anonymous ex-anon-cons-imp-cons-anonymous*
by *blast*

Neutrality

lemma *nonempty-set_C-neutral: consensus-neutrality well-formed-elections nonempty-set_C*
unfolding *well-formed-elections-def*
by *auto*

lemma *nonempty-profile_C-neutral: consensus-neutrality well-formed-elections nonempty-profile_C*
unfolding *well-formed-elections-def*
by *auto*

lemma *equal-vote_C-neutral: consensus-neutrality well-formed-elections equal-vote_C*
proof (*unfold well-formed-elections-def consensus-neutrality.sims is-symmetry.sims,*
intro allI impI,
unfold split-paired-all neutrality_R.sims action-induced-rel.sims
voters- \mathcal{E} .sims alternatives- \mathcal{E} .sims profile- \mathcal{E} .sims φ -neutral.sims
extensional-continuation.sims equal-vote_C.sims equal-vote_C'.sims
alternatives-rename.sims case-prod-unfold mem-Collect-eq fst-conv
snd-conv mem-Sigma-iff conj-assoc If-def simp-thms, safe)

fix
 $A \ A' :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V \ V' :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p \ p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $\pi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **and**
 $r :: 'a \text{ rel}$

assume
 $\text{profile } V \ A \ p$ **and**
 $(THE \ z. \ (\text{profile } V \ A \ p \longrightarrow z = (\pi \text{ ` } A, V, \text{rel-rename } \pi \circ p)))$
 $\wedge (\neg \text{profile } V \ A \ p \longrightarrow z = \text{undefined}) = (A', V', p')$

hence
 $\text{equal-voters: } V' = V$ **and**
 $\text{perm-profile: } p' = (\lambda x. \{(\pi \ a, \pi \ b) \mid a \ b. (a, b) \in p \ x\})$
unfolding *comp-def*
by (*simp, simp*)

have
 $(\forall v \in V. p \ v = r)$
 $\longrightarrow (\exists r'. \forall v \in V. \{(\pi \ a, \pi \ b) \mid a \ b. (a, b) \in p \ v\} = r')$
by *simp*
{
moreover assume $\forall v' \in V. p \ v' = r$
ultimately show $\exists r. \forall v \in V'. p' \ v = r$

```

    using equal-voters perm-profile
    by metis
  }
  assume  $\pi \in \text{carrier bijection}_{AG}$ 
  hence  $\text{bij } \pi$ 
    using rewrite-carrier
    unfolding  $\text{bijection}_{AG}\text{-def}$ 
    by blast
  hence  $\forall a. \text{the-inv } \pi (\pi a) = a$ 
    using  $\text{bij-is-inj the-inv-f-f}$ 
    by metis
  moreover have
     $(\forall v \in V. \{(\pi a, \pi b) \mid a b. (a, b) \in p v\} = r) \longrightarrow$ 
     $(\forall v \in V. \{(\text{the-inv } \pi (\pi a), \text{the-inv } \pi (\pi b)) \mid a b. (a, b) \in p v\} =$ 
     $\{(\text{the-inv } \pi a, \text{the-inv } \pi b) \mid a b. (a, b) \in r\})$ 
    by fastforce
  ultimately have
     $(\forall v \in V. \{(\pi a, \pi b) \mid a b. (a, b) \in p v\} = r) \longrightarrow$ 
     $(\forall v \in V. \{(a, b) \mid a b. (a, b) \in p v\} =$ 
     $\{(\text{the-inv } \pi a, \text{the-inv } \pi b) \mid a b. (a, b) \in r\})$ 
    by auto
  hence
     $(\forall v' \in V. \{(\pi a, \pi b) \mid a b. (a, b) \in p v'\} = r)$ 
     $\longrightarrow (\exists r'. \forall v' \in V. p v' = r')$ 
    by simp
  moreover assume  $\forall v' \in V'. p' v' = r$ 
  ultimately show  $\exists r'. \forall v' \in V. p v' = r'$ 
    using equal-voters perm-profile
    by metis
qed

lemma strong-unanimityC-neutral: consensus-neutrality
  well-formed-elections strong-unanimityC
  using nonempty-setC-neutral equal-voteC-neutral nonempty-profileC-neutral
  cons-conjunction-invariant[of
    {nonempty-setC, nonempty-profileC, equal-voteC}
    neutralityR well-formed-elections]
  unfolding strong-unanimityC.simps
  by fastforce

end

```

4.4 Electoral Module

```
theory Electoral-Module
  imports Social-Choice-Types/Property-Interpretations
begin
```

Electoral modules are the principal component type of the composable modules voting framework, as they are a generalization of voting rules in the sense of social choice functions. These are only the types used for electoral modules. Further restrictions are encompassed by the electoral-module predicate.

An electoral module does not need to make final decisions for all alternatives, but can instead defer the decision for some or all of them to other modules. Hence, electoral modules partition the received (possibly empty) set of alternatives into elected, rejected and deferred alternatives. In particular, any of those sets, e.g., the set of winning (elected) alternatives, may also be left empty, as long as they collectively still hold all the received alternatives. Just like a voting rule, an electoral module also receives a profile which holds the voters preferences, which, unlike a voting rule, consider only the (sub-)set of alternatives that the module receives.

4.4.1 Definition

An electoral module maps an election to a result. To enable currying, the Election type is not used here because that would require tuples.

```
type-synonym ('a, 'v, 'r) Electoral-Module = 'v set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('a, 'v) Profile  $\Rightarrow$  'r
```

```
fun funE :: ('v set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Profile  $\Rightarrow$  'r)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  (('a, 'v) Election  $\Rightarrow$  'r) where
  funE m = ( $\lambda$  E. m (voters- $\mathcal{E}$  E) (alternatives- $\mathcal{E}$  E) (profile- $\mathcal{E}$  E))
```

The next three functions take an electoral module and turn it into a function only outputting the elect, reject, or defer set respectively.

```
abbreviation elect :: ('a, 'v, 'r Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$  'v set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('a, 'v) Profile  $\Rightarrow$  'r set where
  elect m V A p  $\equiv$  elect-r (m V A p)
```

```
abbreviation reject :: ('a, 'v, 'r Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$  'v set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('a, 'v) Profile  $\Rightarrow$  'r set where
  reject m V A p  $\equiv$  reject-r (m V A p)
```

```
abbreviation defer :: ('a, 'v, 'r Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$  'v set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('a, 'v) Profile  $\Rightarrow$  'r set where
  defer m V A p  $\equiv$  defer-r (m V A p)
```

4.4.2 Auxiliary Definitions

Electoral modules partition a given set of alternatives A into a set of elected alternatives e , a set of rejected alternatives r , and a set of deferred alternatives d , using a profile. e , r , and d partition A . Electoral modules can be used as voting rules. They can also be composed in multiple structures to create more complex electoral modules.

fun (in result) *electoral-module* :: ('a, 'v, ('r Result)) *Electoral-Module* \Rightarrow bool **where**
electoral-module $m = (\forall A V p. \text{profile } V A p \longrightarrow \text{well-formed } A (m V A p))$

fun *voters-determine-election* :: ('a, 'v, ('r Result)) *Electoral-Module* \Rightarrow bool **where**
voters-determine-election $m =$
 $(\forall A V p p'. (\forall v \in V. p v = p' v) \longrightarrow m V A p = m V A p')$

lemma (in result) *electoral-modI*:
fixes $m :: ('a, 'v, ('r Result)) \text{ Electoral-Module}$
assumes $\forall A V p. \text{profile } V A p \longrightarrow \text{well-formed } A (m V A p)$
shows *electoral-module* m
unfolding *electoral-module.simps*
using *assms*
by *simp*

4.4.3 Properties

We only require voting rules to behave a specific way on admissible elections, i.e., elections that are valid profiles (= votes are linear orders on the alternatives). Note that we do not assume finiteness of voter or alternative sets by default.

Anonymity

An electoral module is anonymous iff the result is invariant under renamings of voters, i.e., any permutation of the voter set that does not change the preferences leads to an identical result.

definition (in result) *anonymity* :: ('a, 'v, ('r Result)) *Electoral-Module* \Rightarrow bool **where**
anonymity $m \equiv$
electoral-module $m \wedge$
 $(\forall A V p \pi :: ('v \Rightarrow 'v). \text{bij } \pi \longrightarrow (\text{let } (A', V', q) = (\text{rename } \pi (A, V, p)) \text{ in } \text{profile } V A p \wedge \text{profile } V' A' q \longrightarrow m V A p = m V' A' q))$

Anonymity can alternatively be described as invariance under the voter permutation group acting on elections via the rename function.

fun *anonymity-in* :: ('a, 'v) *Election set* \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'r) *Electoral-Module* \Rightarrow

```

    bool where
      anonymity-in  $X$   $m$  = is-symmetry (fun $\mathcal{E}$   $m$ ) (Invariance (anonymity $\mathcal{R}$   $X$ ))

fun anonymity' :: ('a, 'v, 'r) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  anonymity'  $m$  = anonymity-in well-formed-elections  $m$ 

```

Homogeneity

A voting rule is homogeneous if copying an election does not change the result. For ordered voter types and finite elections, we use the notion of copying ballot lists to define copying an election. The more general definition of homogeneity for unordered voter types already implies anonymity.

```

fun homogeneity-in :: ('a, 'v) Election set  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('a, 'v, 'r Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  homogeneity-in  $X$   $m$  = is-symmetry (fun $\mathcal{E}$   $m$ ) (Invariance (homogeneity $\mathcal{R}$   $X$ ))
— This does not require any specific behaviour on infinite voter sets ... It might
make sense to extend the definition to that case somehow.

```

```

fun homogeneity :: ('a, 'v, 'b Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  homogeneity  $m$  = homogeneity-in finite-elections- $\mathcal{V}$   $m$ 

```

```

fun homogeneity'-in :: ('a, 'v :: linorder) Election set  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('a, 'v, 'b Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  homogeneity'-in  $X$   $m$  = is-symmetry (fun $\mathcal{E}$   $m$ ) (Invariance (homogeneity $\mathcal{R}$ '  $X$ ))

```

```

fun homogeneity' :: ('a, 'v :: linorder, 'b Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  homogeneity'  $m$  = homogeneity'-in finite-elections- $\mathcal{V}$   $m$ 

```

lemma hom-imp-anon:

```

fixes
   $X$  :: ('a, 'v) Election set and
   $m$  :: ('a, 'v, ('r Result)) Electoral-Module
assumes
  homogeneity-in  $X$   $m$  and
   $\forall E \in X. \text{finite (voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E)$ 
shows anonymity-in  $X$   $m$ 
proof (unfold anonymity-in.simps is-symmetry.simps, intro allI impI)
  fix  $E$   $E'$  :: ('a, 'v) Election
  assume rel: ( $E, E'$ )  $\in$  anonymity $\mathcal{R}$   $X$ 
  then obtain  $\pi$  :: 'v  $\Rightarrow$  'v where
     $\pi \in$  carrier bijection $\mathcal{V}\mathcal{G}$  and
     $E' = \varphi\text{-anon } X \ \pi \ E$ 
  unfolding anonymity $\mathcal{R}$ .simps action-induced-rel.simps
  by blast
moreover from this have bij  $\pi$ 
  unfolding bijection $\mathcal{V}\mathcal{G}$ -def rewrite-carrier
  by simp
moreover from this have in-election-set:  $E \in X$ 

```

```

    using rel
    unfolding anonymity $\mathcal{R}$ .simps action-induced-rel.simps
    by blast
  ultimately have finite (voters- $\mathcal{E}$   $E'$ )
    using assms rename.simps rename-finite split-pairs
    unfolding  $\varphi$ -anon.simps extensional-continuation.simps voters- $\mathcal{E}$ .simps
    by metis
  moreover have fin-E: finite (voters- $\mathcal{E}$   $E$ )
    using in-election-set assms
    unfolding anonymity $\mathcal{R}$ .simps action-induced-rel.simps
    by blast
  moreover have  $\forall r. \text{vote-count } r \ E = 1 * (\text{vote-count } r \ E')$ 
    using fin-E anon-rel-vote-count rel mult-1
    by metis
  moreover have alternatives- $\mathcal{E}$   $E = \text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E'$ 
    using fin-E anon-rel-vote-count rel
    by metis
  ultimately show  $\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ m \ E = \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ m \ E'$ 
    using assms in-election-set
    unfolding homogeneity-in.simps is-symmetry.simps homogeneity $\mathcal{R}$ .simps
    by blast
qed

```

Neutrality

Neutrality is equivariance under consistent renaming of candidates in the candidate set and election results.

```

fun (in result-properties) neutrality-in :: ('a, 'v) Election set  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('a, 'v, 'b Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  neutrality-in  $X \ m =$ 
    is-symmetry ( $\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ m$ ) (action-induced-equivariance (carrier bijection $_{AG}$ )  $X$ 
      ( $\varphi$ -neutral  $X$ ) (result-action  $\psi$ ))

fun (in result-properties) neutrality :: ('a, 'v, 'b Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$ 
  bool where
  neutrality  $m = \text{neutrality-in } \text{well-formed-elections } m$ 

```

4.4.4 Social-Welfare Properties

Reversal Symmetry

A social welfare rule is reversal symmetric if reversing all voters' preferences reverses the result rankings as well.

```

definition reversal-symmetry-in :: ('a, 'v) Election set  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('a, 'v, 'a rel Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  reversal-symmetry-in  $X \ m \equiv$ 
    is-symmetry ( $\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ m$ ) (action-induced-equivariance (carrier reversal $_{\mathcal{G}}$ )  $X$ 
      ( $\varphi$ -reverse  $X$ ) (result-action  $\psi$ -reverse))

```

fun reversal-symmetry :: ('a, 'v, 'a rel Result) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow bool **where**
 reversal-symmetry m = reversal-symmetry-in well-formed-elections m

4.4.5 Social-Choice Modules

The following results require electoral modules to return social choice results, i.e., sets of elected, rejected and deferred alternatives. In order to export code, we use the hack provided by Locale-Code.

"defers n" is true for all electoral modules that defer exactly n alternatives, whenever there are n or more alternatives.

definition defers :: nat \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow bool **where**
 defers n m \equiv
 SCF-result.electoral-module m \wedge
 $(\forall A V p. (\text{card } A \geq n \wedge \text{finite } A \wedge \text{profile } V A p) \longrightarrow \text{card } (\text{defer } m V A p) = n)$

"rejects n" is true for all electoral modules that reject exactly n alternatives, whenever there are n or more alternatives.

definition rejects :: nat \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow bool **where**
 rejects n m \equiv
 SCF-result.electoral-module m \wedge
 $(\forall A V p. (\text{card } A \geq n \wedge \text{finite } A \wedge \text{profile } V A p) \longrightarrow \text{card } (\text{reject } m V A p) = n)$

As opposed to "rejects", "eliminates" allows to stop rejecting if no alternatives were to remain.

definition eliminates :: nat \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow bool **where**
 eliminates n m \equiv
 SCF-result.electoral-module m \wedge
 $(\forall A V p. (\text{card } A > n \wedge \text{profile } V A p) \longrightarrow \text{card } (\text{reject } m V A p) = n)$

"elects n" is true for all electoral modules that elect exactly n alternatives, whenever there are n or more alternatives.

definition elects :: nat \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow bool **where**
 elects n m \equiv
 SCF-result.electoral-module m \wedge
 $(\forall A V p. (\text{card } A \geq n \wedge \text{profile } V A p) \longrightarrow \text{card } (\text{elect } m V A p) = n)$

An electoral module is independent of an alternative a iff a's ranking does not influence the outcome.

definition indep-of-alt :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow 'v set \Rightarrow
 'a set \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool **where**
 indep-of-alt m V A a \equiv
 SCF-result.electoral-module m
 $\wedge (\forall p q. \text{equiv-prof-except-a } V A p q a \longrightarrow m V A p = m V A q)$

definition *unique-winner-if-profile-non-empty* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result)

Electoral-Module \Rightarrow bool **where**

unique-winner-if-profile-non-empty $m \equiv$

SCF-result.electoral-module $m \wedge$

$(\forall A V p. (A \neq \{\} \wedge V \neq \{\} \wedge \text{profile } V A p) \longrightarrow$

$(\exists a \in A. m V A p = (\{a\}, A - \{a\}, \{\})))$

4.4.6 Equivalence Definitions

definition *prof-contains-result* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) *Electoral-Module* \Rightarrow 'v set \Rightarrow

'a set \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) Profile \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) Profile \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool **where**

prof-contains-result $m V A p q a \equiv$

SCF-result.electoral-module $m \wedge$

profile $V A p \wedge \text{profile } V A q \wedge a \in A \wedge$

$(a \in \text{elect } m V A p \longrightarrow a \in \text{elect } m V A q) \wedge$

$(a \in \text{reject } m V A p \longrightarrow a \in \text{reject } m V A q) \wedge$

$(a \in \text{defer } m V A p \longrightarrow a \in \text{defer } m V A q)$

definition *prof-leq-result* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) *Electoral-Module* \Rightarrow 'v set \Rightarrow

'a set \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) Profile \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) Profile \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool **where**

prof-leq-result $m V A p q a \equiv$

SCF-result.electoral-module $m \wedge$

profile $V A p \wedge \text{profile } V A q \wedge a \in A \wedge$

$(a \in \text{reject } m V A p \longrightarrow a \in \text{reject } m V A q) \wedge$

$(a \in \text{defer } m V A p \longrightarrow a \notin \text{elect } m V A q)$

definition *prof-geq-result* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) *Electoral-Module* \Rightarrow 'v set \Rightarrow

'a set \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) Profile \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) Profile \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool **where**

prof-geq-result $m V A p q a \equiv$

SCF-result.electoral-module $m \wedge$

profile $V A p \wedge \text{profile } V A q \wedge a \in A \wedge$

$(a \in \text{elect } m V A p \longrightarrow a \in \text{elect } m V A q) \wedge$

$(a \in \text{defer } m V A p \longrightarrow a \notin \text{reject } m V A q)$

definition *mod-contains-result* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) *Electoral-Module* \Rightarrow

('a, 'v, 'a Result) *Electoral-Module* \Rightarrow 'v set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow

('a, 'v) Profile \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool **where**

mod-contains-result $m n V A p a \equiv$

SCF-result.electoral-module $m \wedge$

SCF-result.electoral-module $n \wedge$

profile $V A p \wedge a \in A \wedge$

$(a \in \text{elect } m V A p \longrightarrow a \in \text{elect } n V A p) \wedge$

$(a \in \text{reject } m V A p \longrightarrow a \in \text{reject } n V A p) \wedge$

$(a \in \text{defer } m V A p \longrightarrow a \in \text{defer } n V A p)$

definition *mod-contains-result-sym* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) *Electoral-Module* \Rightarrow

('a, 'v, 'a Result) *Electoral-Module* \Rightarrow 'v set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow

('a, 'v) Profile \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool **where**

$\text{mod-contains-result-sym } m \ n \ V \ A \ p \ a \equiv$
 $\text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m \wedge$
 $\text{SCF-result.electoral-module } n \wedge$
 $\text{profile } V \ A \ p \wedge a \in A \wedge$
 $(a \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \longleftrightarrow a \in \text{elect } n \ V \ A \ p) \wedge$
 $(a \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \longleftrightarrow a \in \text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p) \wedge$
 $(a \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p \longleftrightarrow a \in \text{defer } n \ V \ A \ p)$

4.4.7 Auxiliary Lemmas

lemma *elect-rej-def-combination:*

fixes
 $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $e \ r \ d :: 'a \text{ set}$
assumes
 $\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p = e$ **and**
 $\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p = r$ **and**
 $\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p = d$
shows $m \ V \ A \ p = (e, r, d)$
using *assms*
by *auto*

lemma *par-comp-result-sound:*

fixes
 $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$
assumes
 $\text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m$ **and**
 $\text{profile } V \ A \ p$
shows *well-formed-SCF* $A \ (m \ V \ A \ p)$
using *assms*
by *simp*

lemma *result-presv-alts:*

fixes
 $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$
assumes
 $\text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m$ **and**
 $\text{profile } V \ A \ p$
shows $(\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p) \cup (\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p) \cup (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) = A$
proof (*safe*)
fix $a :: 'a$

```

have
  partition-imp-exist:
     $\forall p'. \text{set-equals-partition } A \ p' \longrightarrow (\exists E \ R \ D. p' = (E, R, D) \wedge E \cup R \cup D = A)$  and
  partition-A:
    set-equals-partition  $A \ (m \ V \ A \ p)$ 
  using assms
  by (simp, simp)
{
  assume  $a \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
  with partition-imp-exist partition-A
  show  $a \in A$ 
    using UnI1 fstI
    by (metis (no-types))
}
{
  assume  $a \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
  with partition-imp-exist partition-A
  show  $a \in A$ 
    using UnI1 fstI sndI subsetD sup-ge2
    by metis
}
{
  assume  $a \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
  with partition-imp-exist partition-A
  show  $a \in A$ 
    using sndI subsetD sup-ge2
    by metis
}
{
  assume
     $a \in A$  and
     $a \notin \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$  and
     $a \notin \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
  with partition-imp-exist partition-A
  show  $a \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
    using fst-conv snd-conv Un-iff
    by metis
}
qed

```

```

lemma result-disj:
  fixes
     $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ 
  assumes
    SCF-result.electoral-module m and

```

```

    profile V A p
  shows
    (elect m V A p)  $\cap$  (reject m V A p) = {}  $\wedge$ 
    (elect m V A p)  $\cap$  (defer m V A p) = {}  $\wedge$ 
    (reject m V A p)  $\cap$  (defer m V A p) = {}
proof (safe)
  fix a :: 'a
  have wf: well-formed-SCF A (m V A p)
    using assms
    unfolding SCF-result.electoral-module.simps
    by metis
  have disj: disjoint3 (m V A p)
    using assms
    by simp
  {
    assume
      a  $\in$  elect m V A p and
      a  $\in$  reject m V A p
    with wf disj
    show a  $\in$  {}
      using prod.exhaust-sel DiffE UnCI result-imp-rej
      by (metis (no-types))
  }
  {
    assume
      elect-a: a  $\in$  elect m V A p and
      defer-a: a  $\in$  defer m V A p
    then obtain
      e :: 'a Result  $\Rightarrow$  'a set and
      r :: 'a Result  $\Rightarrow$  'a set and
      d :: 'a Result  $\Rightarrow$  'a set
    where
      m V A p =
        (e (m V A p), r (m V A p), d (m V A p))  $\wedge$ 
        e (m V A p)  $\cap$  r (m V A p) = {}  $\wedge$ 
        e (m V A p)  $\cap$  d (m V A p) = {}  $\wedge$ 
        r (m V A p)  $\cap$  d (m V A p) = {}
      using IntI emptyE prod.collapse disj disjoint3.simps
      by metis
    hence ((elect m V A p)  $\cap$  (reject m V A p) = {})  $\wedge$ 
      ((elect m V A p)  $\cap$  (defer m V A p) = {})  $\wedge$ 
      ((reject m V A p)  $\cap$  (defer m V A p) = {})
      using eq-snd-iff fstI
      by metis
    thus a  $\in$  {}
      using elect-a defer-a disjoint-iff-not-equal
      by (metis (no-types))
  }
}

```

```

    assume
       $a \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$  and
       $a \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
    with  $wf \ disj$ 
    show  $a \in \{\}$ 
    using  $prod.exhaust-sel \ DiffE \ UnCI \ result-imp-rej$ 
    by ( $metis \ (no-types)$ )
  }
qed

```

```

lemma elect-in-alts:
  fixes
     $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \ Result) \ Electoral-Module$  and
     $A :: 'a \ set$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \ Profile$ 
  assumes
     $SCF\text{-}result.electoral\text{-}module \ m$  and
     $profile \ V \ A \ p$ 
  shows  $elect \ m \ V \ A \ p \subseteq A$ 
  using  $le-supI1 \ assms \ result-presv-alts \ sup-ge1$ 
  by  $metis$ 

```

```

lemma reject-in-alts:
  fixes
     $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \ Result) \ Electoral-Module$  and
     $A :: 'a \ set$  and
     $V :: 'v \ set$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \ Profile$ 
  assumes
     $SCF\text{-}result.electoral\text{-}module \ m$  and
     $profile \ V \ A \ p$ 
  shows  $reject \ m \ V \ A \ p \subseteq A$ 
  using  $le-supI1 \ assms \ result-presv-alts \ sup-ge2$ 
  by  $metis$ 

```

```

lemma defer-in-alts:
  fixes
     $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \ Result) \ Electoral-Module$  and
     $A :: 'a \ set$  and
     $V :: 'v \ set$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \ Profile$ 
  assumes
     $SCF\text{-}result.electoral\text{-}module \ m$  and
     $profile \ V \ A \ p$ 
  shows  $defer \ m \ V \ A \ p \subseteq A$ 
  using  $assms \ result-presv-alts$ 
  by  $fastforce$ 

```

```

lemma def-presv-prof:

```

```

fixes
   $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
assumes
   $SCF\text{-result.electoral-module } m$  and
   $profile\ V\ A\ p$ 
shows  $let\ new\text{-}A = defer\ m\ V\ A\ p\ in\ profile\ V\ new\text{-}A\ (limit\text{-}profile\ new\text{-}A\ p)$ 
using  $defer\text{-}in\text{-}alts\ limit\text{-}profile\text{-}sound\ assms$ 
by  $metis$ 

```

An electoral module can never reject, defer or elect more than $|A|$ alternatives.

```

lemma  $upper\text{-}card\text{-}bounds\text{-}for\text{-}result$ :
fixes
   $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
assumes
   $SCF\text{-result.electoral-module } m$  and
   $profile\ V\ A\ p$  and
   $finite\ A$ 
shows
   $upper\text{-}card\text{-}bound\text{-}for\text{-}elect: card\ (elect\ m\ V\ A\ p) \leq card\ A$  and
   $upper\text{-}card\text{-}bound\text{-}for\text{-}reject: card\ (reject\ m\ V\ A\ p) \leq card\ A$  and
   $upper\text{-}card\text{-}bound\text{-}for\text{-}defer: card\ (defer\ m\ V\ A\ p) \leq card\ A$ 
using  $assms\ card\text{-}mono$ 
by ( $metis\ elect\text{-}in\text{-}alts,$ 
   $metis\ reject\text{-}in\text{-}alts,$ 
   $metis\ defer\text{-}in\text{-}alts$ )

```

```

lemma  $reject\text{-}not\text{-}elected\text{-}or\text{-}deferred$ :
fixes
   $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
assumes
   $SCF\text{-result.electoral-module } m$  and
   $profile\ V\ A\ p$ 
shows  $reject\ m\ V\ A\ p = A - (elect\ m\ V\ A\ p) - (defer\ m\ V\ A\ p)$ 
proof –
from  $assms$  have  $(elect\ m\ V\ A\ p) \cup (reject\ m\ V\ A\ p) \cup (defer\ m\ V\ A\ p) = A$ 
using  $result\text{-}presv\text{-}alts$ 
by  $blast$ 
with  $assms$  show  $?thesis$ 
using  $result\text{-}disj$ 
by  $blast$ 

```

qed

lemma *elec-and-def-not-rej*:

fixes

$m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**

$A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**

$p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$

assumes

$SCF\text{-result.electoral-module } m$ **and**

$profile\ V\ A\ p$

shows $elect\ m\ V\ A\ p \cup defer\ m\ V\ A\ p = A - (reject\ m\ V\ A\ p)$

proof –

from *assms* **have** $(elect\ m\ V\ A\ p) \cup (reject\ m\ V\ A\ p) \cup (defer\ m\ V\ A\ p) = A$

using *result-presv-alts*

by *blast*

with *assms* **show** *?thesis*

using *result-disj*

by *blast*

qed

lemma *defer-not-elec-or-rej*:

fixes

$m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**

$A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$

assumes

$SCF\text{-result.electoral-module } m$ **and**

$profile\ V\ A\ p$

shows $defer\ m\ V\ A\ p = A - (elect\ m\ V\ A\ p) - (reject\ m\ V\ A\ p)$

proof –

from *assms* **have** $(elect\ m\ V\ A\ p) \cup (reject\ m\ V\ A\ p) \cup (defer\ m\ V\ A\ p) = A$

using *result-presv-alts*

by *simp*

with *assms* **show** *?thesis*

using *result-disj*

by *blast*

qed

lemma *electoral-mod-defer-elem*:

fixes

$m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**

$A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**

$p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**

$a :: 'a$

assumes

$SCF\text{-result.electoral-module } m$ **and**

$profile\ V\ A\ p$ **and**

```

     $a \in A$  and
     $a \notin \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p$  and
     $a \notin \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
  shows  $a \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
  using DiffI assms reject-not-elected-or-deferred
  by metis

lemma mod-contains-result-comm:
  fixes
     $m \ n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
     $a :: 'a$ 
  assumes mod-contains-result  $m \ n \ V \ A \ p \ a$ 
  shows mod-contains-result  $n \ m \ V \ A \ p \ a$ 
proof (unfold mod-contains-result-def, safe)
  show
    SCF-result.electoral-module  $n$  and
    SCF-result.electoral-module  $m$  and
    profile  $V \ A \ p$  and
     $a \in A$ 
  using assms
  unfolding mod-contains-result-def
  by safe
next
  show
     $a \in \text{elect } n \ V \ A \ p \implies a \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p$  and
     $a \in \text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p \implies a \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$  and
     $a \in \text{defer } n \ V \ A \ p \implies a \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
  using assms IntI electoral-mod-defer-elem empty-iff result-disj
  unfolding mod-contains-result-def
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting),
      metis (mono-tags, lifting),
      metis (mono-tags, lifting))
qed

lemma not-rej-imp-elec-or-defer:
  fixes
     $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
     $a :: 'a$ 
  assumes
    SCF-result.electoral-module  $m$  and
    profile  $V \ A \ p$  and
     $a \in A$  and
     $a \notin \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$ 

```


shows $a \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \vee a \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$
using *assms electoral-mod-defer-elem*
by *metis*

lemma *single-elim-imp-red-def-set:*

fixes

$m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**

$A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**

$p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$

assumes

eliminates 1 m **and**

card A > 1 **and**

profile V A p

shows $\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p \subseteq A$

using *Diff-eq-empty-iff Diff-subset card-eq-0-iff defer-in-alts eliminates-def*
eq-iff not-one-le-zero psubsetI reject-not-elected-or-deferred assms

by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)

lemma *eq-alts-in-profs-imp-eq-results:*

fixes

$m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**

$A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**

$p \ q :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$

assumes

eq: $\forall a \in A. \text{prof-contains-result } m \ V \ A \ p \ q \ a$ **and**

mod-m: SCF-result.electoral-module m **and**

prof-p: profile V A p **and**

prof-q: profile V A q

shows $m \ V \ A \ p = m \ V \ A \ q$

proof –

have

elected-in-A: elect m V A q $\subseteq A$ **and**

rejected-in-A: reject m V A q $\subseteq A$ **and**

deferred-in-A: defer m V A q $\subseteq A$

using *mod-m prof-q*

by (*metis elect-in-alts, metis reject-in-alts, metis defer-in-alts*)

have

$\forall a \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p. a \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ q$ **and**

$\forall a \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p. a \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ q$ **and**

$\forall a \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p. a \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q$

using *eq mod-m prof-p in-mono*

unfolding *prof-contains-result-def*

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) elect-in-alts,*

metis (no-types, lifting) reject-in-alts,

metis (no-types, lifting) defer-in-alts)

moreover have

$\forall a \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ q. a \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p$ **and**

$\forall a \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ q. a \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$ **and**
 $\forall a \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q. a \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$
proof (*safe*)
 fix $a :: 'a$
 assume $q\text{-elect-}a: a \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ q$
 hence $a \in A$
 using *elected-in-A*
 by *blast*
 moreover have
 $a \notin \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q$ **and**
 $a \notin \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ q$
 using $q\text{-elect-}a \ \text{prof-}q \ \text{mod-}m \ \text{result-disj} \ \text{disjoint-iff-not-equal}$
 by (*metis*, *metis*)
 ultimately show $a \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p$
 using *eq electoral-mod-defer-elem*
 unfolding *prof-contains-result-def*
 by *metis*
next
 fix $a :: 'a$
 assume $q\text{-rejects-}a: a \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ q$
 hence $a \in A$
 using *rejected-in-A*
 by *blast*
 moreover have
 $a \notin \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q$ **and**
 $a \notin \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ q$
 using $q\text{-rejects-}a \ \text{prof-}q \ \text{mod-}m \ \text{result-disj} \ \text{disjoint-iff-not-equal}$
 by (*metis*, *metis*)
 ultimately show $a \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$
 using *eq electoral-mod-defer-elem*
 unfolding *prof-contains-result-def*
 by *metis*
next
 fix $a :: 'a$
 assume $q\text{-defers-}a: a \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q$
 moreover have $a \in A$
 using *q-defers-a deferred-in-A*
 by *blast*
 moreover have
 $a \notin \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ q$ **and**
 $a \notin \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ q$
 using $q\text{-defers-}a \ \text{prof-}q \ \text{mod-}m \ \text{result-disj} \ \text{disjoint-iff-not-equal}$
 by (*metis*, *metis*)
 ultimately show $a \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$
 using *eq electoral-mod-defer-elem*
 unfolding *prof-contains-result-def*
 by *metis*
qed
ultimately show *?thesis*

```

    using prod.collapse subsetI subset-antisym
    by (metis (no-types))
qed

```

lemma *eq-def-and-elect-imp-eq*:

```

fixes
  m n :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p q :: ('a, 'v) Profile
assumes
  mod-m: SCF-result.electoral-module m and
  mod-n: SCF-result.electoral-module n and
  fin-p: profile V A p and
  fin-q: profile V A q and
  elec-eq: elect m V A p = elect n V A q and
  def-eq: defer m V A p = defer n V A q
shows m V A p = n V A q
proof -
  have
    reject m V A p = A - ((elect m V A p) ∪ (defer m V A p)) and
    reject n V A q = A - ((elect n V A q) ∪ (defer n V A q))
  using elect-rej-def-combination result-imp-rej mod-m mod-n fin-p fin-q
  unfolding SCF-result.electoral-module.simps
  by (metis, metis)
  thus ?thesis
    using prod-eqI elec-eq def-eq
    by metis
qed

```

4.4.8 Non-Blocking

An electoral module is non-blocking iff this module never rejects all alternatives.

definition *non-blocking* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow bool **where**
non-blocking m \equiv
 $SCF\text{-}result.electoral\text{-}module\ m \wedge$
 $(\forall A\ V\ p. ((A \neq \{\}) \wedge finite\ A \wedge profile\ V\ A\ p) \longrightarrow reject\ m\ V\ A\ p \neq A)$

4.4.9 Electing

An electoral module is electing iff it always elects at least one alternative.

definition *electing* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow bool **where**
electing m \equiv
 $SCF\text{-}result.electoral\text{-}module\ m \wedge$
 $(\forall A\ V\ p. (A \neq \{\}) \wedge finite\ A \wedge profile\ V\ A\ p \longrightarrow elect\ m\ V\ A\ p \neq \{\})$

lemma *electing-for-only-alt*:

```

fixes
   $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
assumes
   $\text{one-alt: card } A = 1$  and
   $\text{electing: electing } m$  and
   $\text{prof: profile } V \ A \ p$ 
shows  $\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p = A$ 
proof (intro equalityI)
  show  $\text{elect-in-}A: \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \subseteq A$ 
    using  $\text{electing prof elect-in-alts}$ 
    unfolding  $\text{electing-def}$ 
    by metis
  show  $A \subseteq \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
proof (intro subsetI)
  fix  $a :: 'a$ 
  assume  $a \in A$ 
  thus  $a \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
    using  $\text{one-alt electing prof elect-in-}A \text{ IntD2 Int-absorb2 card-1-singletonE}$ 
     $\text{card-gt-0-iff equals0I zero-less-one singletonD}$ 
    unfolding  $\text{electing-def}$ 
    by (metis (no-types))
qed
qed

theorem  $\text{electing-imp-non-blocking:}$ 
  fixes  $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ 
  assumes  $\text{electing } m$ 
  shows  $\text{non-blocking } m$ 
proof (unfold non-blocking-def, safe)
  from assms
  show  $\text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m$ 
    unfolding  $\text{electing-def}$ 
    by simp
next
fix
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
   $a :: 'a$ 
assume
   $\text{profile } V \ A \ p$  and
   $\text{finite } A$  and
   $\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p = A$  and
   $a \in A$ 
moreover have
   $\text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m \wedge$ 

```

```

    (∀ A V q. A ≠ {} ∧ finite A ∧ profile V A q ⟶ elect m V A q ≠ {})
  using assms
  unfolding electing-def
  by metis
ultimately show a ∈ {}
  using Diff-cancel Un-empty elec-and-def-not-rej
  by metis
qed

```

4.4.10 Properties

An electoral module is non-electing iff it never elects an alternative.

definition *non-electing* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module ⇒ bool **where**
non-electing m ≡
 SCF-result.electoral-module m
 ∧ (∀ A V p. profile V A p ⟶ elect m V A p = {})

lemma *single-rej-decr-def-card*:

```

fixes
  m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
assumes
  rejecting: rejects 1 m and
  non-electing: non-electing m and
  f-prof: finite-profile V A p
shows card (defer m V A p) = card A - 1
proof -
  have no-elect:
    SCF-result.electoral-module m
    ∧ (∀ V A q. profile V A q ⟶ elect m V A q = {})
  using non-electing
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by (metis (no-types))
hence reject m V A p ⊆ A
  using f-prof reject-in-alts
  by metis
moreover have A = A - elect m V A p
  using no-elect f-prof
  by blast
ultimately show ?thesis
  using f-prof no-elect rejecting card-Diff-subset card-gt-0-iff
    defer-not-elec-or-rej less-one order-less-imp-le Suc-leI
    bot.extremum-unique card.empty diff-is-0-eq' One-nat-def
  unfolding rejects-def
  by metis
qed

```

lemma *single-elim-decr-def-card'*:
fixes
 $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$
assumes
eliminating: *eliminates 1 m* **and**
non-electing: *non-electing m* **and**
not-empty: $\text{card } A > 1$ **and**
prof-p: *profile V A p*
shows $\text{card } (\text{defer } m \text{ V } A \text{ p}) = \text{card } A - 1$
proof –
have *no-elect*:
 $SCF\text{-result.electoral-module } m$
 $\wedge (\forall A \ V \ q. \text{profile } V \ A \ q \longrightarrow \text{elect } m \text{ V } A \ q = \{\})$
using *non-electing*
unfolding *non-electing-def*
by (*metis (no-types)*)
hence $\text{reject } m \text{ V } A \ p \subseteq A$
using *prof-p reject-in-alts*
by *metis*
moreover have $A = A - \text{elect } m \text{ V } A \ p$
using *no-elect prof-p*
by *blast*
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *prof-p not-empty no-elect eliminating card-ge-0-finite*
card-Diff-subset defer-not-elec-or-rej zero-less-one
unfolding *eliminates-def*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
qed

An electoral module is defer-deciding iff this module chooses exactly 1 alternative to defer and rejects any other alternative. Note that ‘rejects n-1 m’ can be omitted due to the well-formedness property.

definition *defer-deciding* :: $('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
defer-deciding $m \equiv$
 $SCF\text{-result.electoral-module } m \wedge \text{non-electing } m \wedge \text{defers } 1 \text{ } m$

An electoral module decrements iff this module rejects at least one alternative whenever possible ($|A| > 1$).

definition *decrementing* :: $('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
decrementing $m \equiv$
 $SCF\text{-result.electoral-module } m \wedge$
 $(\forall A \ V \ p. \text{profile } V \ A \ p \wedge \text{card } A > 1 \longrightarrow \text{card } (\text{reject } m \text{ V } A \ p) \geq 1)$

definition *defer-condorcet-consistency* :: $('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result})$
 $\text{Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
defer-condorcet-consistency $m \equiv$

$SCF\text{-}result.electoral\text{-}module\ m \wedge$
 $(\forall\ A\ V\ p\ a.\ condorcet\text{-}winner\ V\ A\ p\ a \longrightarrow$
 $(m\ V\ A\ p = (\{\}, A - (defer\ m\ V\ A\ p), \{d \in A.\ condorcet\text{-}winner\ V\ A\ p\ d\})))$

definition *condorcet-compatibility* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result)

Electoral-Module \Rightarrow bool **where**

condorcet-compatibility $m \equiv$

$SCF\text{-}result.electoral\text{-}module\ m \wedge$

$(\forall\ A\ V\ p\ a.\ condorcet\text{-}winner\ V\ A\ p\ a \longrightarrow$

$(a \notin reject\ m\ V\ A\ p \wedge$

$(\forall\ b.\ \neg\ condorcet\text{-}winner\ V\ A\ p\ b \longrightarrow b \notin elect\ m\ V\ A\ p) \wedge$

$(a \in elect\ m\ V\ A\ p \longrightarrow$

$(\forall\ b \in A.\ \neg\ condorcet\text{-}winner\ V\ A\ p\ b \longrightarrow b \in reject\ m\ V\ A\ p))))$

An electoral module is defer-monotone iff, when a deferred alternative is lifted, this alternative remains deferred.

definition *defer-monotonicity* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) *Electoral-Module* \Rightarrow bool **where**

defer-monotonicity $m \equiv$

$SCF\text{-}result.electoral\text{-}module\ m \wedge$

$(\forall\ A\ V\ p\ q\ a.$

$(a \in defer\ m\ V\ A\ p \wedge lifted\ V\ A\ p\ q\ a) \longrightarrow a \in defer\ m\ V\ A\ q)$

An electoral module is defer-lift-invariant iff lifting a deferred alternative does not affect the outcome.

definition *defer-lift-invariance* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) *Electoral-Module* \Rightarrow bool **where**

defer-lift-invariance $m \equiv$

$SCF\text{-}result.electoral\text{-}module\ m \wedge$

$(\forall\ A\ V\ p\ q\ a.\ (a \in (defer\ m\ V\ A\ p) \wedge lifted\ V\ A\ p\ q\ a)$

$\longrightarrow m\ V\ A\ p = m\ V\ A\ q)$

fun *dli-rel* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) *Electoral-Module* \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) *Election rel* **where**

dli-rel $m = \{((A, V, p), (A, V, q)) \mid A\ V\ p\ q.\ (\exists\ a \in defer\ m\ V\ A\ p.\ lifted\ V\ A\ p\ q\ a)\}$

lemma *rewrite-dli-as-invariance*:

fixes $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result)\ \textit{Electoral-Module}$

shows

defer-lift-invariance $m =$

$(SCF\text{-}result.electoral\text{-}module\ m$

$\wedge (is\text{-}symmetry\ (fun_{\mathcal{E}}\ m)\ (Invariance\ (dli\text{-}rel\ m))))$

proof (*unfold is-symmetry.simps, safe*)

assume *defer-lift-invariance* m

thus $SCF\text{-}result.electoral\text{-}module\ m$

unfolding *defer-lift-invariance-def*

by *blast*

next

fix

$A\ A' :: 'a\ set$ **and**

$V\ V' :: 'v\ set$ **and**

```

  p q :: ('a, 'v) Profile
assume
  invar: defer-lift-invariance m and
  rel: ((A, V, p), (A', V', q)) ∈ dli-rel m
then obtain a :: 'a where
  a ∈ defer m V A p ∧ lifted V A p q a
  unfolding dli-rel.simps
  by blast
moreover with rel have A = A' ∧ V = V'
  by simp
ultimately show funℰ m (A, V, p) = funℰ m (A', V', q)
  using invar fst-eqD snd-eqD profile-ℰ.simps
  unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def funℰ.simps alternatives-ℰ.simps voters-ℰ.simps
  by metis
next
assume
  SCF-result.electoral-module m and
  ∀ E E'. (E, E') ∈ dli-rel m ⟶ funℰ m E = funℰ m E'
hence SCF-result.electoral-module m ∧ (∀ A V p q.
  ((A, V, p), (A, V, q)) ∈ dli-rel m ⟶ m V A p = m V A q)
  unfolding funℰ.simps alternatives-ℰ.simps profile-ℰ.simps voters-ℰ.simps
  using fst-conv snd-conv
  by metis
moreover have
  ∀ A V p q a. (a ∈ (defer m V A p) ∧ lifted V A p q a) ⟶
    ((A, V, p), (A, V, q)) ∈ dli-rel m
  unfolding dli-rel.simps
  by blast
ultimately show defer-lift-invariance m
  unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
  by blast
qed

```

Two electoral modules are disjoint-compatible if they only make decisions over disjoint sets of alternatives. Electoral modules reject alternatives for which they make no decision.

definition *disjoint-compatibility* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow
 ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow bool **where**
disjoint-compatibility m n \equiv
 SCF-result.electoral-module m ∧ SCF-result.electoral-module n ∧
 (∀ V.
 (∀ A.
 (∃ B ⊆ A.
 (∀ a ∈ B. indep-of-alt m V A a ∧
 (∀ p. profile V A p ⟶ a ∈ reject m V A p)) ∧
 (∀ a ∈ A − B. indep-of-alt n V A a ∧
 (∀ p. profile V A p ⟶ a ∈ reject n V A p))))))

Lifting an elected alternative a from an invariant-monotone electoral module

either does not change the elect set, or makes a the only elected alternative.

definition *invariant-monotonicity* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result)

Electoral-Module \Rightarrow bool **where**
invariant-monotonicity $m \equiv$
SCF-result.electoral-module $m \wedge$
 $(\forall A V p q a. (a \in \text{elect } m \ V A \ p \wedge \text{lifted } V A \ p \ q \ a) \longrightarrow$
 $(\text{elect } m \ V A \ q = \text{elect } m \ V A \ p \vee \text{elect } m \ V A \ q = \{a\}))$

Lifting a deferred alternative a from a defer-invariant-monotone electoral module either does not change the defer set, or makes a the only deferred alternative.

definition *defer-invariant-monotonicity* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result)

Electoral-Module \Rightarrow bool **where**
defer-invariant-monotonicity $m \equiv$
SCF-result.electoral-module $m \wedge \text{non-electing } m \wedge$
 $(\forall A V p q a. (a \in \text{defer } m \ V A \ p \wedge \text{lifted } V A \ p \ q \ a) \longrightarrow$
 $(\text{defer } m \ V A \ q = \text{defer } m \ V A \ p \vee \text{defer } m \ V A \ q = \{a\}))$

4.4.11 Inference Rules

lemma *ccomp-and-dd-imp-def-only-winner*:

fixes

$m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $a :: 'a$

assumes

ccomp: *condorcet-compatibility* m **and**
dd: *defer-deciding* m **and**
winner: *condorcet-winner* $V A \ p \ a$

shows $\text{defer } m \ V A \ p = \{a\}$

proof (*rule ccontr*)

assume $\text{defer } m \ V A \ p \neq \{a\}$

moreover have *def-one*: *defers* 1 m

using *dd*

unfolding *defer-deciding-def*

by *metis*

hence *c-win*: *finite-profile* $V A \ p \wedge a \in A \wedge (\forall b \in A - \{a\}. \text{wins } V a \ p \ b)$

using *winner*

by *auto*

ultimately have $\exists b \in A. b \neq a \wedge \text{defer } m \ V A \ p = \{b\}$

using *Suc-leI card-gt-0-iff def-one equals0D card-1-singletonE*

defer-in-alts insert-subset

unfolding *defer-deciding-def One-nat-def defers-def*

by *metis*

hence $a \notin \text{defer } m \ V A \ p$

by *force*

hence $a \in \text{reject } m \ V A \ p$

```

    using ccomp c-win electoral-mod-defer-elem dd equals0D
    unfolding defer-deciding-def non-electing-def condorcet-compatibility-def
    by metis
  moreover have  $a \notin \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
    using ccomp c-win winner
    unfolding condorcet-compatibility-def
    by simp
  ultimately show False
    by simp
qed

theorem ccomp-and-dd-imp-dcc[simp]:
  fixes  $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ 
  assumes
    ccomp: condorcet-compatibility  $m$  and
    dd: defer-deciding  $m$ 
  shows defer-condorcet-consistency  $m$ 
proof (unfold defer-condorcet-consistency-def, safe)
  show  $SCF\text{-result.electoral-module } m$ 
    using dd
    unfolding defer-deciding-def
    by metis
next
fix
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
   $a :: 'a$ 
  assume c-winner: condorcet-winner  $V \ A \ p \ a$ 
  hence  $\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p = \{\}$ 
    using dd
    unfolding defer-deciding-def non-electing-def
    by simp
  moreover have  $\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p = \{a\}$ 
    using c-winner dd ccomp ccomp-and-dd-imp-def-only-winner
    by simp
  ultimately have  $m \ V \ A \ p = (\{\}, A - \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p, \{a\})$ 
    using c-winner reject-not-elected-or-deferred
    elect-rej-def-combination Diff-empty dd
    unfolding defer-deciding-def condorcet-winner.simps
    by metis
  moreover have  $\{a\} = \{c \in A. \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ p \ c\}$ 
    using c-winner cond-winner-unique
    by metis
  ultimately show
     $m \ V \ A \ p = (\{\}, A - \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p, \{c \in A. \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ p \ c\})$ 
    by simp
qed

```

If m and n are disjoint compatible, so are n and m .

```

theorem disj-compat-comm[simp]:
  fixes  $m\ n :: ('a, 'v, 'a\ Result)\ Electoral\ Module$ 
  assumes disjoint-compatibility  $m\ n$ 
  shows disjoint-compatibility  $n\ m$ 
proof (unfold disjoint-compatibility-def, safe)
  show
    SCF-result.electoral-module  $m$  and
    SCF-result.electoral-module  $n$ 
    using assms
    unfolding disjoint-compatibility-def
    by safe
next
  fix
     $A :: 'a\ set$  and
     $V :: 'v\ set$ 
  obtain  $B :: 'a\ set$  where
     $B \subseteq A \wedge$ 
     $(\forall a \in B.$ 
       $indep\ of\ alt\ m\ V\ A\ a \wedge (\forall p. profile\ V\ A\ p \longrightarrow a \in reject\ m\ V\ A\ p)) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall a \in A - B.$ 
       $indep\ of\ alt\ n\ V\ A\ a \wedge (\forall p. profile\ V\ A\ p \longrightarrow a \in reject\ n\ V\ A\ p))$ 
    using assms
    unfolding disjoint-compatibility-def
    by metis
  hence
     $\exists B \subseteq A.$ 
     $(\forall a \in A - B.$ 
       $indep\ of\ alt\ n\ V\ A\ a \wedge (\forall p. profile\ V\ A\ p \longrightarrow a \in reject\ n\ V\ A\ p)) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall a \in B.$ 
       $indep\ of\ alt\ m\ V\ A\ a \wedge (\forall p. profile\ V\ A\ p \longrightarrow a \in reject\ m\ V\ A\ p))$ 
    by blast
  thus  $\exists B \subseteq A.$ 
     $(\forall a \in B.$ 
       $indep\ of\ alt\ n\ V\ A\ a \wedge (\forall p. profile\ V\ A\ p \longrightarrow a \in reject\ n\ V\ A\ p)) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall a \in A - B.$ 
       $indep\ of\ alt\ m\ V\ A\ a \wedge (\forall p. profile\ V\ A\ p \longrightarrow a \in reject\ m\ V\ A\ p))$ 
    by fastforce
qed

```

Every electoral module which is defer-lift-invariant is also defer-monotone.

```

theorem dl-inv-imp-def-mono[simp]:
  fixes  $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a\ Result)\ Electoral\ Module$ 
  assumes defer-lift-invariance  $m$ 
  shows defer-monotonicity  $m$ 
  using assms
  unfolding defer-monotonicity-def defer-lift-invariance-def
  by metis

```

4.4.12 Social-Choice Properties

Condorcet Consistency

definition *condorcet-consistency* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow bool **where**
condorcet-consistency m \equiv
SCF-result.electoral-module m \wedge
 $(\forall A V p a. \text{condorcet-winner } V A p a \longrightarrow$
 $(m V A p = (\{e \in A. \text{condorcet-winner } V A p e\}, A - (\text{elect } m V A p), \{\})))$

lemma *condorcet-consistency-equiv*:
fixes m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
shows *condorcet-consistency* m =
 $(\text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m \wedge$
 $(\forall A V p a. \text{condorcet-winner } V A p a \longrightarrow$
 $(m V A p = (\{a\}, A - (\text{elect } m V A p), \{\}))))$

proof (*safe*)
assume *condorcet-consistency* m
thus *SCF-result.electoral-module* m
unfolding *condorcet-consistency-def*
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*))
next
fix
A :: 'a set **and**
V :: 'v set **and**
p :: ('a, 'v) Profile **and**
a :: 'a
assume
condorcet-consistency m **and**
condorcet-winner V A p a
thus m V A p = ({a}, A - elect m V A p, {})
using *cond-winner-unique*
unfolding *condorcet-consistency-def*
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*))

next
assume
SCF-result.electoral-module m **and**
 $\forall A V p a. \text{condorcet-winner } V A p a$
 $\longrightarrow m V A p = (\{a\}, A - \text{elect } m V A p, \{\})$
thus *condorcet-consistency* m
using *cond-winner-unique*
unfolding *condorcet-consistency-def*
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*))
qed

lemma *condorcet-consistency-equiv'*:
fixes m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
shows *condorcet-consistency* m =
 $(\text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m \wedge$

```

      (∀ A V p a.
        condorcet-winner V A p a ⟶ m V A p = ({a}, A - {a}, {})))
proof (unfold condorcet-consistency-equiv, safe)
  fix
    A :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
    a :: 'a
  assume condorcet-winner V A p a
  {
    moreover assume
      ∀ A V p a'. condorcet-winner V A p a'
        ⟶ m V A p = ({a'}, A - elect m V A p, {})
    ultimately show m V A p = ({a}, A - {a}, {})
      using fst-conv
      by metis
  }
  {
    moreover assume
      ∀ A V p a'. condorcet-winner V A p a'
        ⟶ m V A p = ({a'}, A - {a'}, {})
    ultimately show m V A p = ({a}, A - elect m V A p, {})
      using fst-conv
      by metis
  }
qed

```

(Weak) Monotonicity

An electoral module is monotone iff when an elected alternative is lifted, this alternative remains elected.

definition *monotonicity* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module ⇒ bool **where**
monotonicity m ≡
 SCF-result.electoral-module m ∧
 (∀ A V p q a. a ∈ elect m V A p ∧ lifted V A p q a ⟶ a ∈ elect m V A q)

end

4.5 Electoral Module on Election Quotients

```

theory Quotient-Module
  imports Quotients/Relation-Quotients
          Electoral-Module
begin

```

lemma *invariance-is-congruence*:

```

fixes
   $m :: ('a, 'v, 'r)$  Electoral-Module and
   $r :: ('a, 'v)$  Election rel
shows is-symmetry ( $\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} m$ ) (Invariance  $r$ ) =  $\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} m$  respects  $r$ 
unfolding is-symmetry.simps congruent-def
by blast

lemma invariance-is-congruence':
fixes
   $f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$  and
   $r :: 'x \text{ rel}$ 
shows is-symmetry  $f$  (Invariance  $r$ ) =  $f$  respects  $r$ 
unfolding is-symmetry.simps congruent-def
by blast

theorem pass-to-election-quotient:
fixes
   $m :: ('a, 'v, 'r)$  Electoral-Module and
   $r :: ('a, 'v)$  Election rel and
   $X :: ('a, 'v)$  Election set
assumes
  equiv  $X$   $r$  and
  is-symmetry ( $\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} m$ ) (Invariance  $r$ )
shows  $\forall A \in X // r. \forall E \in A. \pi_Q (\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} m) A = \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} m E$ 
using invariance-is-congruence pass-to-quotient assms
by blast

end

```

4.6 Evaluation Function

```

theory Evaluation-Function
imports Social-Choice-Types/Profile
begin

```

This is the evaluation function. From a set of currently eligible alternatives, the evaluation function computes a numerical value that is then to be used for further (s)election, e.g., by the elimination module.

4.6.1 Definition

```

type-synonym ( $'a, 'v$ ) Evaluation-Function =
   $'v \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile} \Rightarrow \text{enat}$ 

```

4.6.2 Property

An Evaluation function is a Condorcet-rating iff the following holds: If a Condorcet Winner w exists, w and only w has the highest value.

definition *condorcet-rating* :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function \Rightarrow bool **where**
condorcet-rating $f \equiv$
 $\forall A V p w . \text{condorcet-winner } V A p w \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall l \in A . l \neq w \longrightarrow f V l A p < f V w A p)$

An Evaluation function is dependent only on the participating voters iff it is invariant under profile changes that only impact non-voters.

fun *voters-determine-evaluation* :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function \Rightarrow bool **where**
voters-determine-evaluation $f =$
 $(\forall A V p p'. (\forall v \in V . p v = p' v) \longrightarrow (\forall a \in A . f V a A p = f V a A p'))$

4.6.3 Theorems

If e is Condorcet-rating, the following holds: If a Condorcet winner w exists, w has the maximum evaluation value.

theorem *cond-winner-imp-max-eval-val*:
fixes
 $e :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Evaluation-Function}$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $a :: 'a$
assumes
 $\text{rating: condorcet-rating } e$ **and**
 $f\text{-prof: finite-profile } V A p$ **and**
 $\text{winner: condorcet-winner } V A p a$
shows $e V a A p = \text{Max } \{e V b A p \mid b. b \in A\}$
proof –
let $?set = \{e V b A p \mid b. b \in A\}$ **and**
 $?eMax = \text{Max } \{e V b A p \mid b. b \in A\}$ **and**
 $?eW = e V a A p$
have $?eW \in ?set$
using *CollectI winner*
unfolding *condorcet-winner.simps*
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting)*)
moreover have $\forall e \in ?set. e \leq ?eW$
proof (*safe*)
fix $b :: 'a$
assume $b \in A$
thus $e V b A p \leq e V a A p$
using *less-imp-le rating winner order-refl*
unfolding *condorcet-rating-def*
by *metis*
qed

```

moreover have finite ?set
  using f-prof
  by simp
moreover have ?set ≠ {}
  using winner
  unfolding condorcet-winner.simps
  by fastforce
ultimately show ?thesis
  using Max-eq-iff
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))
qed

```

If e is Condorcet-rating, the following holds: If a Condorcet Winner w exists, a non-Condorcet winner has a value lower than the maximum evaluation value.

```

theorem non-cond-winner-not-max-eval:
  fixes
     $e :: ('a, 'v)$  Evaluation-Function and
     $A :: 'a$  set and
     $V :: 'v$  set and
     $p :: ('a, 'v)$  Profile and
     $a\ b :: 'a$ 
  assumes
    rating: condorcet-rating e and
    f-prof: finite-profile V A p and
    winner: condorcet-winner V A p a and
    lin-A: b ∈ A and
    loser: a ≠ b
  shows  $e\ V\ b\ A\ p < \text{Max } \{e\ V\ c\ A\ p \mid c. c \in A\}$ 
proof –
  have  $e\ V\ b\ A\ p < e\ V\ a\ A\ p$ 
    using lin-A loser rating winner
    unfolding condorcet-rating-def
    by metis
  also have  $\dots = \text{Max } \{e\ V\ c\ A\ p \mid c. c \in A\}$ 
    using cond-winner-imp-max-eval-val f-prof rating winner
    by fastforce
  finally show ?thesis
    by simp
qed

end

```


4.7 Elimination Module

```
theory Elimination-Module
  imports Evaluation-Function
           Electoral-Module
begin
```

This is the elimination module. It rejects a set of alternatives only if these are not all alternatives. The alternatives potentially to be rejected are put in a so-called elimination set. These are all alternatives that score below a preset threshold value that depends on the specific voting rule.

4.7.1 General Definitions

```
type-synonym Threshold-Value = enat

type-synonym Threshold-Relation = enat  $\Rightarrow$  enat  $\Rightarrow$  bool

type-synonym ('a, 'v) Electoral-Set = 'v set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Profile  $\Rightarrow$  'a set

fun elimination-set :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function  $\Rightarrow$  Threshold-Value  $\Rightarrow$ 
    Threshold-Relation  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Electoral-Set where
    elimination-set e t r V A p = (if finite A then {a  $\in$  A . r (e V a A p) t} else {})

fun average :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function  $\Rightarrow$  'v set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Profile  $\Rightarrow$ 
    Threshold-Value where
    average e V A p = (let sum-eval = ( $\sum$  x  $\in$  A. e V x A p) in
        (if sum-eval =  $\infty$  then  $\infty$  else the-enat sum-eval div card A))
```

4.7.2 Social-Choice Definitions

```
fun elimination-module :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function  $\Rightarrow$  Threshold-Value  $\Rightarrow$ 
    Threshold-Relation  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
    elimination-module e t r V A p =
        (if (elimination-set e t r V A p)  $\neq$  A
            then ({}, (elimination-set e t r V A p), A - (elimination-set e t r V A p))
            else ({}, {}, A))
```

4.7.3 Social-Choice Eliminators

```
fun less-eliminator :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function  $\Rightarrow$  Threshold-Value  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
    less-eliminator e t V A p = elimination-module e t (<) V A p

fun max-eliminator :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
    max-eliminator e V A p =
        less-eliminator e (Max {e V x A p | x. x  $\in$  A}) V A p
```

```

fun leq-eliminator :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function  $\Rightarrow$  Threshold-Value  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  leq-eliminator e t V A p = elimination-module e t ( $\leq$ ) V A p

fun min-eliminator :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  min-eliminator e V A p =
    leq-eliminator e (Min {e V x A p | x. x  $\in$  A}) V A p

fun less-average-eliminator :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  less-average-eliminator e V A p = less-eliminator e (average e V A p) V A p

fun leq-average-eliminator :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  leq-average-eliminator e V A p = leq-eliminator e (average e V A p) V A p

```

4.7.4 Soundness

```

lemma elim-mod-sound[simp]:
  fixes
    e :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function and
    t :: Threshold-Value and
    r :: Threshold-Relation
  shows SCF-result.electoral-module (elimination-module e t r)
  unfolding SCF-result.electoral-module.simps
  by auto

```

```

lemma less-elim-sound[simp]:
  fixes
    e :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function and
    t :: Threshold-Value
  shows SCF-result.electoral-module (less-eliminator e t)
  unfolding SCF-result.electoral-module.simps
  by auto

```

```

lemma leq-elim-sound[simp]:
  fixes
    e :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function and
    t :: Threshold-Value
  shows SCF-result.electoral-module (leq-eliminator e t)
  unfolding SCF-result.electoral-module.simps
  by auto

```

```

lemma max-elim-sound[simp]:
  fixes e :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function
  shows SCF-result.electoral-module (max-eliminator e)
  unfolding SCF-result.electoral-module.simps
  by auto

```

```

lemma min-elim-sound[simp]:
  fixes  $e :: ('a, 'v)$  Evaluation-Function
  shows SCF-result.electoral-module (min-eliminator  $e$ )
  unfolding SCF-result.electoral-module.simps
  by auto

lemma less-avg-elim-sound[simp]:
  fixes  $e :: ('a, 'v)$  Evaluation-Function
  shows SCF-result.electoral-module (less-average-eliminator  $e$ )
  unfolding SCF-result.electoral-module.simps
  by auto

lemma leq-avg-elim-sound[simp]:
  fixes  $e :: ('a, 'v)$  Evaluation-Function
  shows SCF-result.electoral-module (leq-average-eliminator  $e$ )
  unfolding SCF-result.electoral-module.simps
  by auto

```

4.7.5 Independence of Non-Voters

```

lemma voters-determine-elim-mod[simp]:
  fixes
     $e :: ('a, 'v)$  Evaluation-Function and
     $t :: \text{Threshold-Value}$  and
     $r :: \text{Threshold-Relation}$ 
  assumes voters-determine-evaluation  $e$ 
  shows voters-determine-election (elimination-module  $e$   $t$   $r$ )
proof (unfold voters-determine-election.simps elimination-module.simps, safe)
fix
   $A :: 'a$  set and
   $V :: 'v$  set and
   $p\ p' :: ('a, 'v)$  Profile
assume  $\forall v \in V. p\ v = p'\ v$ 
hence  $\forall a \in A. (e\ V\ a\ A\ p) = (e\ V\ a\ A\ p')$ 
  using assms
  unfolding voters-determine-election.simps
  by simp
hence  $\{a \in A. r\ (e\ V\ a\ A\ p)\ t\} = \{a \in A. r\ (e\ V\ a\ A\ p')\ t\}$ 
  by metis
hence elimination-set  $e\ t\ r\ V\ A\ p = \text{elimination-set}\ e\ t\ r\ V\ A\ p'$ 
  unfolding elimination-set.simps
  by presburger
thus (if elimination-set  $e\ t\ r\ V\ A\ p \neq A$ 
  then  $(\{\}, \text{elimination-set}\ e\ t\ r\ V\ A\ p, A - \text{elimination-set}\ e\ t\ r\ V\ A\ p)$ 
  else  $(\{\}, \{\}, A)$ ) =
  (if elimination-set  $e\ t\ r\ V\ A\ p' \neq A$ 
  then  $(\{\}, \text{elimination-set}\ e\ t\ r\ V\ A\ p', A - \text{elimination-set}\ e\ t\ r\ V\ A\ p')$ 
  else  $(\{\}, \{\}, A)$ )

```

by presburger
qed

lemma voters-determine-less-elim[simp]:
 fixes
 $e :: ('a, 'v)$ Evaluation-Function **and**
 $t ::$ Threshold-Value
assumes voters-determine-evaluation e
shows voters-determine-election (less-eliminator e t)
using assms voters-determine-elim-mod
unfolding less-eliminator.simps voters-determine-election.simps
by (metis (full-types))

lemma voters-determine-leq-elim[simp]:
 fixes
 $e :: ('a, 'v)$ Evaluation-Function **and**
 $t ::$ Threshold-Value
assumes voters-determine-evaluation e
shows voters-determine-election (leq-eliminator e t)
using assms voters-determine-elim-mod
unfolding leq-eliminator.simps voters-determine-election.simps
by (metis (full-types))

lemma voters-determine-max-elim[simp]:
 fixes $e :: ('a, 'v)$ Evaluation-Function
assumes voters-determine-evaluation e
shows voters-determine-election (max-eliminator e)
proof (unfold max-eliminator.simps voters-determine-election.simps, safe)
 fix
 $A :: 'a$ set **and**
 $V :: 'v$ set **and**
 $p\ p' :: ('a, 'v)$ Profile
assume coinciding: $\forall v \in V. p\ v = p'\ v$
hence $\forall x \in A. e\ V\ x\ A\ p = e\ V\ x\ A\ p'$
using assms
unfolding voters-determine-evaluation.simps
by simp
hence $\text{Max } \{e\ V\ x\ A\ p \mid x. x \in A\} = \text{Max } \{e\ V\ x\ A\ p' \mid x. x \in A\}$
by metis
thus less-eliminator e ($\text{Max } \{e\ V\ x\ A\ p \mid x. x \in A\}$) $V\ A\ p =$
 less-eliminator e ($\text{Max } \{e\ V\ x\ A\ p' \mid x. x \in A\}$) $V\ A\ p'$
using coinciding assms voters-determine-less-elim
unfolding voters-determine-election.simps
by (metis (no-types, lifting))
 qed

lemma voters-determine-min-elim[simp]:
 fixes $e :: ('a, 'v)$ Evaluation-Function
assumes voters-determine-evaluation e

shows *voters-determine-election* (*min-eliminator e*)
proof (*unfold min-eliminator.simps voters-determine-election.simps, safe*)
fix
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p \ p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$
assume *coinciding*: $\forall v \in V. p \ v = p' \ v$
hence $\forall x \in A. e \ V \ x \ A \ p = e \ V \ x \ A \ p'$
using *assms*
unfolding *voters-determine-election.simps*
by *simp*
hence $\text{Min} \{e \ V \ x \ A \ p \mid x. x \in A\} = \text{Min} \{e \ V \ x \ A \ p' \mid x. x \in A\}$
by *metis*
thus $\text{leq-eliminator } e \ (\text{Min} \{e \ V \ x \ A \ p \mid x. x \in A\}) \ V \ A \ p =$
 $\text{leq-eliminator } e \ (\text{Min} \{e \ V \ x \ A \ p' \mid x. x \in A\}) \ V \ A \ p'$
using *coinciding assms voters-determine-leq-elim*
unfolding *voters-determine-election.simps*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
qed

lemma *voters-determine-less-avg-elim[simp]*:
fixes $e :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Evaluation-Function}$
assumes *voters-determine-evaluation e*
shows *voters-determine-election* (*less-average-eliminator e*)
proof (*unfold less-average-eliminator.simps voters-determine-election.simps, safe*)
fix
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p \ p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$
assume *coinciding*: $\forall v \in V. p \ v = p' \ v$
hence $\forall x \in A. e \ V \ x \ A \ p = e \ V \ x \ A \ p'$
using *assms*
unfolding *voters-determine-election.simps*
by *simp*
hence $\text{average } e \ V \ A \ p = \text{average } e \ V \ A \ p'$
unfolding *average.simps*
by *auto*
thus $\text{less-eliminator } e \ (\text{average } e \ V \ A \ p) \ V \ A \ p =$
 $\text{less-eliminator } e \ (\text{average } e \ V \ A \ p') \ V \ A \ p'$
using *coinciding assms voters-determine-less-elim*
unfolding *voters-determine-election.simps*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
qed

lemma *voters-determine-leq-avg-elim[simp]*:
fixes $e :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Evaluation-Function}$
assumes *voters-determine-evaluation e*
shows *voters-determine-election* (*leq-average-eliminator e*)
proof (*unfold leq-average-eliminator.simps voters-determine-election.simps, safe*)

```

fix
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p \ p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
assume coinciding:  $\forall v \in V. p \ v = p' \ v$ 
hence  $\forall x \in A. e \ V \ x \ A \ p = e \ V \ x \ A \ p'$ 
  using assms
  unfolding voters-determine-election.simps
  by simp
hence  $\text{average } e \ V \ A \ p = \text{average } e \ V \ A \ p'$ 
  unfolding average.simps
  by auto
thus  $\text{leq-eliminator } e \ (\text{average } e \ V \ A \ p) \ V \ A \ p =$ 
   $\text{leq-eliminator } e \ (\text{average } e \ V \ A \ p') \ V \ A \ p'$ 
  using coinciding assms voters-determine-leq-elim
  unfolding voters-determine-election.simps
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))
qed

```

4.7.6 Non-Blocking

```

lemma elim-mod-non-blocking:
  fixes
     $e :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Evaluation-Function}$  and
     $t :: \text{Threshold-Value}$  and
     $r :: \text{Threshold-Relation}$ 
  shows non-blocking (elimination-module  $e \ t \ r$ )
  unfolding non-blocking-def
  by auto

```

```

lemma less-elim-non-blocking:
  fixes
     $e :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Evaluation-Function}$  and
     $t :: \text{Threshold-Value}$ 
  shows non-blocking (less-eliminator  $e \ t$ )
  unfolding less-eliminator.simps
  using elim-mod-non-blocking
  by auto

```

```

lemma leq-elim-non-blocking:
  fixes
     $e :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Evaluation-Function}$  and
     $t :: \text{Threshold-Value}$ 
  shows non-blocking (leq-eliminator  $e \ t$ )
  unfolding leq-eliminator.simps
  using elim-mod-non-blocking
  by auto

```

```

lemma max-elim-non-blocking:

```

```

fixes  $e :: ('a, 'v) \text{Evaluation-Function}$ 
shows non-blocking (max-eliminator  $e$ )
unfolding non-blocking-def
using SCF-result.electoral-module.simps
by auto

```

```

lemma min-elim-non-blocking:
  fixes  $e :: ('a, 'v) \text{Evaluation-Function}$ 
  shows non-blocking (min-eliminator  $e$ )
  unfolding non-blocking-def
  using SCF-result.electoral-module.simps
  by auto

```

```

lemma less-avg-elim-non-blocking:
  fixes  $e :: ('a, 'v) \text{Evaluation-Function}$ 
  shows non-blocking (less-average-eliminator  $e$ )
  unfolding non-blocking-def
  using SCF-result.electoral-module.simps
  by auto

```

```

lemma leq-avg-elim-non-blocking:
  fixes  $e :: ('a, 'v) \text{Evaluation-Function}$ 
  shows non-blocking (leq-average-eliminator  $e$ )
  unfolding non-blocking-def
  using SCF-result.electoral-module.simps
  by auto

```

4.7.7 Non-Electing

```

lemma elim-mod-non-electing:
  fixes
     $e :: ('a, 'v) \text{Evaluation-Function}$  and
     $t :: \text{Threshold-Value}$  and
     $r :: \text{Threshold-Relation}$ 
  shows non-electing (elimination-module  $e \ t \ r$ )
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by force

```

```

lemma less-elim-non-electing:
  fixes
     $e :: ('a, 'v) \text{Evaluation-Function}$  and
     $t :: \text{Threshold-Value}$ 
  shows non-electing (less-eliminator  $e \ t$ )
  using elim-mod-non-electing less-elim-sound
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by force

```

```

lemma leq-elim-non-electing:
  fixes

```

```

    e :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function and
    t :: Threshold-Value
  shows non-electing (leq-eliminator e t)
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by force

lemma max-elim-non-electing:
  fixes e :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function
  shows non-electing (max-eliminator e)
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by force

lemma min-elim-non-electing:
  fixes e :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function
  shows non-electing (min-eliminator e)
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by force

lemma less-avg-elim-non-electing:
  fixes e :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function
  shows non-electing (less-average-eliminator e)
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by auto

lemma leq-avg-elim-non-electing:
  fixes e :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function
  shows non-electing (leq-average-eliminator e)
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by force



### 4.7.8 Inference Rules



If the used evaluation function is Condorcet rating, max-eliminator is Condorcet compatible.



theorem cr-eval-imp-ccomp-max-elim[simp]:



```

 fixes e :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function
 assumes condorcet-rating e
 shows condorcet-compatibility (max-eliminator e)
proof (unfold condorcet-compatibility-def, safe)
 show SCF-result.electoral-module (max-eliminator e)
 by force
next
fix
 A :: 'a set and
 V :: 'v set and
 p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
 a :: 'a
assume
 c-win: condorcet-winner V A p a and

```


```



```

    rej-a:  $a \in \text{reject } (\text{max-eliminator } e) \ V \ A \ p$ 
  have  $e \ V \ a \ A \ p = \text{Max } \{e \ V \ b \ A \ p \mid b. b \in A\}$ 
    using c-win cond-winner-imp-max-eval-val assms
    by fastforce
  hence  $a \notin \text{reject } (\text{max-eliminator } e) \ V \ A \ p$ 
    by simp
  thus False
    using rej-a
    by linarith
next
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  a :: 'a
  assume  $a \in \text{elect } (\text{max-eliminator } e) \ V \ A \ p$ 
  moreover have  $a \notin \text{elect } (\text{max-eliminator } e) \ V \ A \ p$ 
    by simp
  ultimately show False
    by linarith
next
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  a a' :: 'a
  assume
    condorcet-winner  $V \ A \ p \ a$  and
     $a \in \text{elect } (\text{max-eliminator } e) \ V \ A \ p$ 
  thus  $a' \in \text{reject } (\text{max-eliminator } e) \ V \ A \ p$ 
    using empty-iff max-elim-non-electing
    unfolding condorcet-winner.simps non-electing-def
    by metis
qed

```

If the used evaluation function is Condorcet rating, max-eliminator is defer-Condorcet-consistent.

```

theorem cr-eval-imp-dcc-max-elim[simp]:
  fixes  $e :: ('a, 'v) \text{Evaluation-Function}$ 
  assumes condorcet-rating  $e$ 
  shows defer-condorcet-consistency  $(\text{max-eliminator } e)$ 
proof (unfold defer-condorcet-consistency-def, safe)
  show SCF-result.electoral-module  $(\text{max-eliminator } e)$ 
    using max-elim-sound
    by metis
next
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and

```

$p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $a :: 'a$
assume *winner*: *condorcet-winner* $V A p a$
hence *f-prof*: *finite-profile* $V A p$
by *simp*
let $?trsh = \text{Max } \{e V b A p \mid b. b \in A\}$
show
 $\text{max-eliminator } e V A p =$
 $(\{\},$
 $A - \text{defer } (\text{max-eliminator } e) V A p,$
 $\{b \in A. \text{condorcet-winner } V A p b\})$
proof (*cases elimination-set* $e (?trsh) (<) V A p \neq A$)
have $e V a A p = \text{Max } \{e V x A p \mid x. x \in A\}$
using *winner assms cond-winner-imp-max-eval-val*
by *fastforce*
hence $\forall b \in A. b \neq a$
 $\longleftrightarrow b \in \{c \in A. e V c A p < \text{Max } \{e V b A p \mid b. b \in A\}\}$
using *winner assms mem-Collect-eq linorder-neq-iff*
unfolding *condorcet-rating-def*
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting)*)
hence *elim-set*: (*elimination-set* $e ?trsh (<) V A p$) $= A - \{a\}$
unfolding *elimination-set.simps*
using *f-prof*
by *fastforce*
case *True*
hence
 $\text{max-eliminator } e V A p =$
 $(\{\},$
 $(\text{elimination-set } e ?trsh (<) V A p),$
 $A - (\text{elimination-set } e ?trsh (<) V A p))$
by *simp*
also have $\dots = (\{\}, A - \text{defer } (\text{max-eliminator } e) V A p, \{a\})$
using *elim-set winner*
by *auto*
also have
 $\dots = (\{\},$
 $A - \text{defer } (\text{max-eliminator } e) V A p,$
 $\{b \in A. \text{condorcet-winner } V A p b\})$
using *cond-winner-unique winner Collect-cong*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
finally show *?thesis*
using *winner*
by *metis*
next
case *False*
moreover have $?trsh = e V a A p$
using *assms winner cond-winner-imp-max-eval-val*
by *fastforce*
ultimately show *?thesis*

```

        using winner
        by auto
    qed
qed
end

```

4.8 Aggregator

```

theory Aggregator
  imports Social-Choice-Types/Social-Choice-Result
begin

```

An aggregator gets two partitions (results of electoral modules) as input and output another partition. They are used to aggregate results of parallel composed electoral modules. They are commutative, i.e., the order of the aggregated modules does not affect the resulting aggregation. Moreover, they are conservative in the sense that the resulting decisions are subsets of the two given partitions' decisions.

4.8.1 Definition

```

type-synonym 'a Aggregator = 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a Result  $\Rightarrow$  'a Result  $\Rightarrow$  'a Result

```

```

definition aggregator :: 'a Aggregator  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  aggregator agg  $\equiv$ 
     $\forall A e e' d d' r r'. \quad$ 
     $(\text{well-formed-SCF } A (e, r, d) \wedge \text{well-formed-SCF } A (e', r', d')) \longrightarrow$ 
     $\text{well-formed-SCF } A (\text{agg } A (e, r, d) (e', r', d'))$ 

```

4.8.2 Properties

```

definition agg-commutative :: 'a Aggregator  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  agg-commutative agg  $\equiv$ 
    aggregator agg  $\wedge (\forall A e e' d d' r r'. \quad$ 
     $\text{agg } A (e, r, d) (e', r', d') = \text{agg } A (e', r', d') (e, r, d))$ 

```

```

definition agg-conservative :: 'a Aggregator  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  agg-conservative agg  $\equiv$ 
    aggregator agg  $\wedge$ 
     $(\forall A e e' d d' r r'. \quad$ 
     $((\text{well-formed-SCF } A (e, r, d) \wedge \text{well-formed-SCF } A (e', r', d')) \longrightarrow$ 
     $\text{elect-r } (\text{agg } A (e, r, d) (e', r', d')) \subseteq (e \cup e') \wedge$ 

```

$$\begin{aligned} \text{reject-}r \text{ (agg } A \text{ (} e, r, d \text{) (} e', r', d' \text{))} &\subseteq (r \cup r') \wedge \\ \text{defer-}r \text{ (agg } A \text{ (} e, r, d \text{) (} e', r', d' \text{))} &\subseteq (d \cup d') \end{aligned}$$

end

4.9 Maximum Aggregator

theory *Maximum-Aggregator*
imports *Aggregator*
begin

The max(imum) aggregator takes two partitions of an alternative set A as input. It returns a partition where every alternative receives the maximum result of the two input partitions.

4.9.1 Definition

fun *max-aggregator* :: 'a Aggregator **where**
max-aggregator A (e, r, d) (e', r', d') =
 (e \cup e',
 A - (e \cup e' \cup d \cup d'),
 (d \cup d') - (e \cup e'))

4.9.2 Auxiliary Lemma

lemma *max-agg-rej-set*:
fixes
 A e e' d d' r r' :: 'a set **and**
 a :: 'a
assumes
 wf-first-mod: *well-formed-SCF* A (e, r, d) **and**
 wf-second-mod: *well-formed-SCF* A (e', r', d')
shows *reject-r* (max-aggregator A (e, r, d) (e', r', d')) = r \cap r'
proof -
have A - (e \cup d) = r
using wf-first-mod *result-imp-rej*
by *metis*
moreover have A - (e' \cup d') = r'
using wf-second-mod *result-imp-rej*
by *metis*
ultimately have A - (e \cup e' \cup d \cup d') = r \cap r'
by *blast*
moreover have {l \in A. l \notin e \cup e' \cup d \cup d'} = A - (e \cup e' \cup d \cup d')
unfolding *set-diff-eq*
by *simp*

ultimately show $\text{reject-}r \text{ (max-aggregator } A \text{ (} e, r, d \text{) (} e', r', d' \text{))} = r \cap r'$
 by *simp*
 qed

4.9.3 Soundness

theorem *max-agg-sound[simp]: aggregator max-aggregator*
proof (*unfold aggregator-def max-aggregator.simps well-formed-SCF.simps disjoint3.simps set-equals-partition.simps, safe*)
 fix
 $A \ e \ e' \ d \ d' \ r \ r' :: 'a \text{ set}$ and
 $a :: 'a$
 assume
 $e' \cup r' \cup d' = e \cup r \cup d$ and
 $a \notin d$ and
 $a \notin r$ and
 $a \in e'$
 thus $a \in e$
 by *auto*
 next
 fix
 $A \ e \ e' \ d \ d' \ r \ r' :: 'a \text{ set}$ and
 $a :: 'a$
 assume
 $e' \cup r' \cup d' = e \cup r \cup d$ and
 $a \notin d$ and
 $a \notin r$ and
 $a \in d'$
 thus $a \in e$
 by *auto*
 qed

4.9.4 Properties

The max-aggregator is conservative.

theorem *max-agg-consv[simp]: agg-conservative max-aggregator*
proof (*unfold agg-conservative-def, safe*)
 show *aggregator max-aggregator*
 using *max-agg-sound*
 by *metis*
 next
 fix
 $A \ e \ e' \ d \ d' \ r \ r' :: 'a \text{ set}$ and
 $a :: 'a$
 assume
 elect-a: $a \in \text{elect-}r \text{ (max-aggregator } A \text{ (} e, r, d \text{) (} e', r', d' \text{))}$ and
 a-not-in-e': $a \notin e'$
 have $a \in e \cup e'$
 using *elect-a*

```

    by simp
  thus  $a \in e$ 
    using  $a\text{-not-in-}e'$ 
    by simp
next
fix
   $A\ e\ e'\ d\ d'\ r\ r' :: 'a\ \text{set}$  and
   $a :: 'a$ 
assume
   $wf\text{-result: well-formed-SCF } A\ (e', r', d')$  and
   $reject\text{-}a: a \in reject\text{-}r\ (max\text{-aggregator } A\ (e, r, d)\ (e', r', d'))$  and
   $a\text{-not-in-}r': a \notin r'$ 
have  $a \in r \cup r'$ 
  using  $wf\text{-result } reject\text{-}a$ 
  by force
thus  $a \in r$ 
  using  $a\text{-not-in-}r'$ 
  by simp
next
fix
   $A\ e\ e'\ d\ d'\ r\ r' :: 'a\ \text{set}$  and
   $a :: 'a$ 
assume
   $defer\text{-}a: a \in defer\text{-}r\ (max\text{-aggregator } A\ (e, r, d)\ (e', r', d'))$  and
   $a\text{-not-in-}d': a \notin d'$ 
have  $a \in d \cup d'$ 
  using  $defer\text{-}a$ 
  by force
thus  $a \in d$ 
  using  $a\text{-not-in-}d'$ 
  by simp
qed

```

The max-aggregator is commutative.

```

theorem max-agg-comm[simp]:  $agg\text{-commutative } max\text{-aggregator}$ 
  unfolding  $agg\text{-commutative-def}$ 
  by auto

```

end

4.10 Termination Condition

```

theory Termination-Condition
  imports Social-Choice-Types/Result
begin

```

The termination condition is used in loops. It decides whether or not to terminate the loop after each iteration, depending on the current state of the loop.

```
type-synonym 'r Termination-Condition = 'r Result  $\Rightarrow$  bool
end
```

4.11 Defer Equal Condition

```
theory Defer-Equal-Condition
  imports Termination-Condition
begin
```

This is a family of termination conditions. For a natural number n , the according defer-equal condition is true if and only if the given result's defer-set contains exactly n elements.

```
fun defer-equal-condition :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a Termination-Condition where
  defer-equal-condition n (e, r, d) = (card d = n)
end
```

Chapter 5

Basic Modules

5.1 Defer Module

```
theory Defer-Module
  imports Component-Types/Electoral-Module
begin
```

The defer module is not concerned about the voter's ballots, and simply defers all alternatives. It is primarily used for defining an empty loop.

5.1.1 Definition

```
fun defer-module :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  defer-module V A p = ({}, {}, A)
```

5.1.2 Soundness

```
theorem def-mod-sound[simp]: SCF-result.electoral-module defer-module
  unfolding SCF-result.electoral-module.simps
  by simp
```

5.1.3 Properties

```
theorem def-mod-non-electing: non-electing defer-module
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by simp
```

```
theorem def-mod-def-lift-inv: defer-lift-invariance defer-module
  unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
  by simp
```

```
end
```


5.2 Elect-First Module

```

theory Elect-First-Module
  imports Component-Types/Electoral-Module
begin

```

The elect first module elects the alternative that is most preferred on the first ballot and rejects all other alternatives.

5.2.1 Definition

```

fun least :: 'v :: wellorder set  $\Rightarrow$  'v where
  least V = (Least ( $\lambda$  v. v  $\in$  V))

```

```

fun elect-first-module :: ('a, 'v :: wellorder, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  elect-first-module V A p =
    ({a  $\in$  A. above (p (least V)) a = {a}},
     {a  $\in$  A. above (p (least V)) a  $\neq$  {a}},
     {})

```

5.2.2 Soundness

theorem *elect-first-mod-sound*: *SCF-result.electoral-module elect-first-module*

proof (*intro SCF-result.electoral-modI allI impI*)

```

  fix
    A :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v :: wellorder set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
  have {a  $\in$  A. above (p (least V)) a = {a}}
     $\cup$  {a  $\in$  A. above (p (least V)) a  $\neq$  {a}} = A
  by blast
  hence set-equals-partition A (elect-first-module V A p)
  by simp
  moreover have
     $\forall$  a  $\in$  A. (a  $\notin$  {a'  $\in$  A. above (p (least V)) a' = {a'}}  $\vee$ 
              a  $\notin$  {a'  $\in$  A. above (p (least V)) a'  $\neq$  {a'}})
  by simp
  hence {a  $\in$  A. above (p (least V)) a = {a}}
     $\cap$  {a  $\in$  A. above (p (least V)) a  $\neq$  {a}} = {}
  by blast
  hence disjoint3 (elect-first-module V A p)
  by simp
  ultimately show well-formed-SCF A (elect-first-module V A p)
  by simp
qed
end

```

5.3 Consensus Class

```

theory Consensus-Class
  imports Consensus
           ../Defer-Module
           ../Elect-First-Module
begin

```

A consensus class is a pair of a set of elections and a mapping that assigns a unique alternative to each election in that set (of elections). This alternative is then called the consensus alternative (winner). Here, we model the mapping by an electoral module that defers alternatives which are not in the consensus.

5.3.1 Definition

```

type-synonym ('a, 'v, 'r) Consensus-Class =
  ('a, 'v) Consensus × ('a, 'v, 'r) Electoral-Module

fun consensus- $\mathcal{K}$  :: ('a, 'v, 'r) Consensus-Class  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Consensus where
  consensus- $\mathcal{K}$  K = fst K

fun rule- $\mathcal{K}$  :: ('a, 'v, 'r) Consensus-Class  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v, 'r) Electoral-Module where
  rule- $\mathcal{K}$  K = snd K

```

5.3.2 Consensus Choice

Returns those consensus elections on a given alternative and voter set from a given consensus that are mapped to the given unique winner by a given consensus rule.

```

fun  $\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E}$  :: ('a, 'v, 'r Result) Consensus-Class  $\Rightarrow$  'r  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Election set where
   $\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E}$  K w =
    {(A, V, p) | A V p. (consensus- $\mathcal{K}$  K) (A, V, p)  $\wedge$  finite-profile V A p
       $\wedge$  elect (rule- $\mathcal{K}$  K) V A p = {w}}

fun elections- $\mathcal{K}$  :: ('a, 'v, 'r Result) Consensus-Class  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Election set where
  elections- $\mathcal{K}$  K =  $\bigcup ((\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} \text{ } K) \text{ ' } UNIV)$ 

```

A consensus class is deemed well-formed if the result of its mapping is completely determined by its consensus, the elected set of the electoral module's result.

```

definition well-formed :: ('a, 'v) Consensus  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v, 'r) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$ 
  bool where
  well-formed c m  $\equiv$ 
     $\forall$  A V V' p p'.
      profile V A p  $\wedge$  profile V' A p'  $\wedge$  c (A, V, p)  $\wedge$  c (A, V', p')
         $\longrightarrow$  m V A p = m V' A p'

```

A sensible social choice rule for a given arbitrary consensus and social choice rule r is the one that chooses the result of r for all consensus elections and defers all candidates otherwise.

```
fun consensus-choice :: ('a, 'v) Consensus  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Consensus-Class where
  consensus-choice c m =
    (let
      w = ( $\lambda$  V A p. if c (A, V, p) then m V A p else defer-module V A p)
    in (c, w))
```

5.3.3 Auxiliary Lemmas

lemma unanimity'-consensus-imp-elect-fst-mod-well-formed:

fixes a :: 'a

shows well-formed

(λ c. nonempty-set_C c \wedge nonempty-profile_C c
 \wedge equal-top_C' a c) elect-first-module

proof (unfold well-formed-def, safe)

fix

a :: 'a **and**

A :: 'a set **and**

V V' :: 'v :: wellorder set **and**

p p' :: ('a, 'v) Profile

let ?cond = λ c. nonempty-set_C c \wedge nonempty-profile_C c \wedge equal-top_C' a c

assume

prof-p: profile V A p **and**

prof-p': profile V' A p' **and**

eq-top-p: equal-top_C' a (A, V, p) **and**

eq-top-p': equal-top_C' a (A, V', p') **and**

not-empty-A: nonempty-set_C (A, V, p) **and**

not-empty-A': nonempty-set_C (A, V', p') **and**

not-empty-p: nonempty-profile_C (A, V, p) **and**

not-empty-p': nonempty-profile_C (A, V', p')

hence

cond-Ap: ?cond (A, V, p) **and**

cond-Ap': ?cond (A, V', p')

by simp-all

have \forall a' \in A.

((above (p (least V)) a' = {a'}) = (above (p' (least V')) a' = {a'}))

proof

fix a' :: 'a

assume a'-in-A: a' \in A

show (above (p (least V)) a' = {a'}) = (above (p' (least V')) a' = {a'})

proof (cases)

assume a' = a

thus ?thesis

using cond-Ap cond-Ap' Collect-mem-eq LeastI empty-Collect-eq equal-top_C'.simps
 nonempty-profile_C.simps least.simps

```

    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
next
  assume a'-neq-a:  $a' \neq a$ 
  have non-empty:  $V \neq \{\}$   $\wedge$   $V' \neq \{\}$ 
    using not-empty-p not-empty-p'
    by simp
  hence  $A \neq \{\}$   $\wedge$  linear-order-on  $A$  ( $p$  (least  $V$ ))
     $\wedge$  linear-order-on  $A$  ( $p'$  (least  $V'$ ))
    using not-empty-A not-empty-A' prof-p prof-p' enumerate-0
      a'-in-A card.remove enumerate-in-set finite-enumerate-in-set
      least.elims all-not-in-conv zero-less-Suc
  unfolding profile-def
  by metis
  hence ( $a \in \text{above } (p \text{ (least } V))$ )  $a' \vee a' \in \text{above } (p \text{ (least } V))$   $a$ 
     $\wedge$  ( $a \in \text{above } (p' \text{ (least } V'))$ )  $a' \vee a' \in \text{above } (p' \text{ (least } V'))$   $a$ 
    using a'-in-A a'-neq-a eq-top-p
  unfolding above-def linear-order-on-def total-on-def
  by auto
  hence
    ( $\text{above } (p \text{ (least } V))$   $a = \{a\} \wedge \text{above } (p \text{ (least } V))$   $a' = \{a'\}$ 
       $\longrightarrow a = a'$ )
     $\wedge$  ( $\text{above } (p' \text{ (least } V'))$   $a = \{a\} \wedge \text{above } (p' \text{ (least } V'))$   $a' = \{a'\}$ 
       $\longrightarrow a = a'$ )
    by auto
  thus ?thesis
    using bot-nat-0.not-eq-extremum card-0-eq cond-Ap cond-Ap'
      enumerate-0 enumerate-in-set equal-topC'.simps
      finite-enumerate-in-set non-empty least.simps
    by metis
qed
qed
thus elect-first-module  $V$   $A$   $p = \text{elect-first-module } V' A p'$ 
  by auto
qed

lemma strong-unanimity'consensus-imp-elect-fst-mod-completely-determined:
  fixes  $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ 
  shows well-formed
    ( $\lambda c. \text{nonempty-set}_C c \wedge \text{nonempty-profile}_C c \wedge \text{equal-vote}_C' r c$ ) elect-first-module
proof (unfold well-formed-def, clarify)
fix
   $a :: 'a$  and
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V V' :: 'v :: \text{wellorder set}$  and
   $p p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
let ?cond =  $\lambda c. \text{nonempty-set}_C c \wedge \text{nonempty-profile}_C c \wedge \text{equal-vote}_C' r c$ 
assume
  prof-p: profile  $V A p$  and
  prof-p': profile  $V' A p'$  and

```

$eq\text{-}vote\text{-}p$: $equal\text{-}vote_C' r (A, V, p)$ **and**
 $eq\text{-}vote\text{-}p'$: $equal\text{-}vote_C' r (A, V', p')$ **and**
 $not\text{-}empty\text{-}A$: $nonempty\text{-}set_C (A, V, p)$ **and**
 $not\text{-}empty\text{-}A'$: $nonempty\text{-}set_C (A, V', p')$ **and**
 $not\text{-}empty\text{-}p$: $nonempty\text{-}profile_C (A, V, p)$ **and**
 $not\text{-}empty\text{-}p'$: $nonempty\text{-}profile_C (A, V', p')$
hence
 $cond\text{-}Ap$: $?cond (A, V, p)$ **and**
 $cond\text{-}Ap'$: $?cond (A, V', p')$
by *simp-all*
have $p (least\ V) = r \wedge p' (least\ V') = r$
using $eq\text{-}vote\text{-}p\ eq\text{-}vote\text{-}p'\ not\text{-}empty\text{-}p\ not\text{-}empty\text{-}p'$
 $bot\text{-}nat\text{-}0.not\text{-}eq\text{-}extremum\ card\text{-}0\text{-}eq\ enumerate\text{-}0$
 $enumerate\text{-}in\text{-}set\ equal\text{-}vote_C'.simps\ finite\text{-}enumerate\text{-}in\text{-}set$
 $nonempty\text{-}profile_C.simps\ least.elims$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
thus $elect\text{-}first\text{-}module\ V\ A\ p = elect\text{-}first\text{-}module\ V'\ A\ p'$
by *auto*
qed

lemma *strong-unanimity'consensus-imp-elect-fst-mod-well-formed*:
fixes $r :: 'a\ Preference\text{-}Relation$
shows *well-formed*
 $(\lambda\ c.\ nonempty\text{-}set_C\ c \wedge nonempty\text{-}profile_C\ c$
 $\wedge equal\text{-}vote_C' r\ c)\ elect\text{-}first\text{-}module$
using *strong-unanimity'consensus-imp-elect-fst-mod-completely-determined*
by *blast*

lemma *cons-domain-valid*:
fixes $C :: ('a, 'v, 'r\ Result)\ Consensus\text{-}Class$
shows $elections\text{-}\mathcal{K}\ C \subseteq well\text{-}formed\text{-}elections$
proof
fix $E :: ('a, 'v)\ Election$
assume $E \in elections\text{-}\mathcal{K}\ C$
hence $fun_{\mathcal{E}}\ profile\ E$
unfolding $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}.simps$
by *force*
thus $E \in well\text{-}formed\text{-}elections$
unfolding *well-formed-elections-def*
by *simp*
qed

lemma *cons-domain-finite*:
fixes $C :: ('a, 'v, 'r\ Result)\ Consensus\text{-}Class$
shows
 $finite$: $elections\text{-}\mathcal{K}\ C \subseteq finite\text{-}elections$ **and**
 $finite\text{-}voters$: $elections\text{-}\mathcal{K}\ C \subseteq finite\text{-}elections\text{-}\mathcal{V}$
proof –
have $\forall\ E \in elections\text{-}\mathcal{K}\ C.$

```

    funE profile  $E \wedge$  finite (alternatives- $\mathcal{E}$   $E$ )  $\wedge$  finite (voters- $\mathcal{E}$   $E$ )
  unfolding  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}.simps$ 
  by force
  thus elections- $\mathcal{K}$   $C \subseteq$  finite-elections
    unfolding finite-elections-def funE.sims
    by blast
  thus elections- $\mathcal{K}$   $C \subseteq$  finite-elections- $\mathcal{V}$ 
    unfolding finite-elections-def finite-elections- $\mathcal{V}$ -def
    by blast
qed

```

5.3.4 Consensus Rules

definition *non-empty-set* :: ($'a$, $'v$, $'r$) *Consensus-Class* \Rightarrow bool **where**
non-empty-set $c \equiv \exists K. \text{consensus-}\mathcal{K} \ c \ K$

Unanimity condition.

definition *unanimity* :: ($'a$, $'v :: \text{wellorder}$, $'a \text{ Result}$) *Consensus-Class* **where**
unanimity $\equiv \text{consensus-choice unanimity}_{\mathcal{C}} \text{ elect-first-module}$

Strong unanimity condition.

definition *strong-unanimity* :: ($'a$, $'v :: \text{wellorder}$, $'a \text{ Result}$) *Consensus-Class* **where**
strong-unanimity $\equiv \text{consensus-choice strong-unanimity}_{\mathcal{C}} \text{ elect-first-module}$

5.3.5 Properties

definition *consensus-rule-anonymity* :: ($'a$, $'v$, $'r$) *Consensus-Class* \Rightarrow bool **where**
consensus-rule-anonymity $c \equiv$
 $(\forall A \ V \ p \ \pi :: ('v \Rightarrow 'v).$
 $\text{bij } \pi \longrightarrow$
 $(\text{let } (A', V', q) = (\text{rename } \pi \ (A, V, p)) \text{ in}$
 $\text{profile } V \ A \ p \longrightarrow \text{profile } V' \ A' \ q$
 $\longrightarrow \text{consensus-}\mathcal{K} \ c \ (A, V, p)$
 $\longrightarrow (\text{consensus-}\mathcal{K} \ c \ (A', V', q) \wedge (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ c \ V \ A \ p = \text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ c \ V' \ A' \ q))))$

fun *consensus-rule-anonymity'* :: ($'a$, $'v$) *Election set* \Rightarrow
 $('a, 'v, 'r \text{ Result}) \text{ Consensus-Class} \Rightarrow$ bool **where**
consensus-rule-anonymity' $X \ C =$
 $\text{is-symmetry } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) \ (\text{Invariance } (\text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}} \ X))$

fun (in result-properties) *consensus-rule-neutrality* :: ($'a$, $'v$) *Election set* \Rightarrow
 $('a, 'v, 'b \text{ Result}) \text{ Consensus-Class} \Rightarrow$ bool **where**
consensus-rule-neutrality $X \ C =$
 $\text{is-symmetry } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C))$
 $(\text{action-induced-equivariance } (\text{carrier bijection}_{AG}) \ X \ (\varphi\text{-neutral } X) \ (\text{set-action } \psi))$

fun *consensus-rule-reversal-symmetry* :: ($'a$, $'v$) *Election set* \Rightarrow

$(\text{'a}, \text{'v}, \text{'a rel Result}) \text{ Consensus-Class} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{consensus-rule-reversal-symmetry } X \ C = \text{is-symmetry } (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C))$
 $(\text{action-induced-equivariance } (\text{carrier reversal}_G) \ X \ (\varphi\text{-reverse } X) \ (\text{set-action } \psi\text{-reverse}))$

5.3.6 Inference Rules

lemma *if-else-cons-equivar*:

fixes

$m \ n :: (\text{'a}, \text{'v}, \text{'a Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $c :: (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Consensus}$ **and**
 $G :: \text{'b set}$ **and**
 $X :: (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election set}$ **and**
 $\varphi :: (\text{'b}, (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election}) \text{ binary-fun}$ **and**
 $\psi :: (\text{'b}, \text{'a}) \text{ binary-fun}$ **and**
 $f :: \text{'a Result} \Rightarrow \text{'a set}$

defines

$\text{equivar} \equiv \text{action-induced-equivariance } G \ X \ \varphi \ (\text{set-action } \psi)$ **and**
 $\text{if-else-cons} \equiv (c, (\lambda \ V \ A \ p. \text{if } c \ (A, \ V, \ p) \text{ then } m \ V \ A \ p \text{ else } n \ V \ A \ p))$

assumes

$\text{equivar-m}: \text{is-symmetry } (f \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ m)$ **equivar** **and**
 $\text{equivar-n}: \text{is-symmetry } (f \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ n)$ **equivar** **and**
 $\text{invar-cons}: \text{is-symmetry } c \ (\text{Invariance } (\text{action-induced-rel } G \ X \ \varphi))$

shows $\text{is-symmetry } (f \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ \text{if-else-cons}))$

$(\text{action-induced-equivariance } G \ X \ \varphi \ (\text{set-action } \psi))$

proof $(\text{unfold rewrite-equivariance, intro ballI impI})$

fix

$E :: (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election}$ **and**
 $g :: \text{'b}$

assume

$g\text{-in-}G: g \in G$ **and**
 $E\text{-in-}X: E \in X$

show $(f \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ \text{if-else-cons})) \ (\varphi \ g \ E) =$

$\text{set-action } \psi \ g \ ((f \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ \text{if-else-cons})) \ E)$

proof $(\text{cases } c \ E)$

case *True*

hence $c \ (\varphi \ g \ E)$

using *invar-cons rewrite-invar-ind-by-act g-in-G E-in-X*

by *metis*

hence $(f \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ \text{if-else-cons})) \ (\varphi \ g \ E) =$

$(f \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ m) \ (\varphi \ g \ E)$

unfolding *if-else-cons-def*

by *simp*

also have $(f \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ m) \ (\varphi \ g \ E) =$

$\text{set-action } \psi \ g \ ((f \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ m) \ E)$

using *equivar-m E-in-X g-in-G rewrite-equivariance*

unfolding *equivar-def*

by $(\text{metis } (\text{mono-tags, lifting}))$

also have $(f \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ m) \ E =$

```

      (f ∘ funε (rule- $\mathcal{K}$  if-else-cons)) E
    using True E-in-X g-in-G invar-cons if-else-cons-def
    by simp
  finally show ?thesis
    by simp
next
  case False
  hence ¬ c (φ g E)
    using invar-cons rewrite-invar-ind-by-act g-in-G E-in-X
    by metis
  hence (f ∘ funε (rule- $\mathcal{K}$  if-else-cons)) (φ g E) =
    (f ∘ funε n) (φ g E)
    unfolding if-else-cons-def
    by simp
  also have (f ∘ funε n) (φ g E) =
    set-action ψ g ((f ∘ funε n) E)
    using equivar-n E-in-X g-in-G rewrite-equivariance
    unfolding equivar-def
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
  also have (f ∘ funε n) E =
    (f ∘ funε (rule- $\mathcal{K}$  if-else-cons)) E
    using False E-in-X g-in-G invar-cons
    unfolding if-else-cons-def
    by simp
  finally show ?thesis
    by simp
qed
qed

lemma consensus-choice-anonymous:
  fixes
    α β :: ('a, 'v) Consensus and
    m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    β' :: 'b ⇒ ('a, 'v) Consensus
  assumes
    beta-sat: β = (λ E. ∃ a. β' a E) and
    beta'-anon: ∀ x. consensus-anonymity (β' x) and
    anon-cons-cond: consensus-anonymity α and
    conditions-univ: ∀ x. well-formed (λ E. α E ∧ β' x E) m
  shows consensus-rule-anonymity (consensus-choice (λ E. α E ∧ β E) m)
proof (unfold consensus-rule-anonymity-def Let-def, safe)
  fix
    A A' :: 'a set and
    V V' :: 'v set and
    p q :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
    π :: 'v ⇒ 'v
  assume
    bij-π: bij π and
    prof-p: profile V A p and

```


prof-q: profile $V' A' q$ and
renamed: rename $\pi (A, V, p) = (A', V', q)$ and
consensus-cond:
consensus- \mathcal{K} (consensus-choice $(\lambda E. \alpha E \wedge \beta E) m$) (A, V, p)
hence $(\lambda E. \alpha E \wedge \beta E) (A, V, p)$
by simp
hence
alpha-Ap: $\alpha (A, V, p)$ and
beta-Ap: $\beta (A, V, p)$
by simp-all
have *alpha-A-perm-p: $\alpha (A', V', q)$*
using *anon-cons-cond alpha-Ap bij- π prof-p prof-q renamed*
unfolding *consensus-anonymity-def*
by fastforce
moreover have $\beta (A', V', q)$
using *beta'-anon beta-Ap beta-sat*
*ex-anon-cons-imp-cons-anonymous[*of* - β'] bij- π*
*prof-p renamed beta'-anon cons-anon-invariant[*of* β]*
unfolding *consensus-anonymity-def*
by blast
ultimately show *em-cond-perm:*
consensus- \mathcal{K} (consensus-choice $(\lambda E. \alpha E \wedge \beta E) m$) (A', V', q)
using *beta-Ap beta-sat ex-anon-cons-imp-cons-anonymous bij- π*
prof-p prof-q
by simp
have $\exists x. \beta' x (A, V, p)$
using *beta-Ap beta-sat*
by simp
then obtain $x :: 'b$ **where**
beta'-x-Ap: $\beta' x (A, V, p)$
by metis
hence *beta'-x-A-perm-p: $\beta' x (A', V', q)$*
using *beta'-anon bij- π prof-p renamed*
cons-anon-invariant prof-q
unfolding *consensus-anonymity-def*
by blast
have $m V A p = m V' A' q$
using *alpha-Ap alpha-A-perm-p beta'-x-Ap beta'-x-A-perm-p*
conditions-univ prof-p prof-q rename.simps prod.inject renamed
unfolding *well-formed-def*
by metis
thus *rule- \mathcal{K} (consensus-choice $(\lambda E. \alpha E \wedge \beta E) m$) $V A p =$*
rule- \mathcal{K} (consensus-choice $(\lambda E. \alpha E \wedge \beta E) m$) $V' A' q$
using *consensus-cond em-cond-perm*
by simp
qed

5.3.7 Theorems

Anonymity

lemma *unanimity-anonymous: consensus-rule-anonymity unanimity*

proof (*unfold unanimity-def*)

let *?ne-cond* = ($\lambda c. \text{nonempty-set}_C c \wedge \text{nonempty-profile}_C c$)

have *consensus-anonymity ?ne-cond*

using *nonempty-set-cons-anonymous nonempty-profile-cons-anonymous cons-anon-conj*
by *auto*

moreover have *equal-top_C* = ($\lambda c. \exists a. \text{equal-top}_C' a c$)

by *fastforce*

ultimately have *consensus-rule-anonymity*

(*consensus-choice*

($\lambda c. \text{nonempty-set}_C c \wedge \text{nonempty-profile}_C c \wedge \text{equal-top}_C c$) *elect-first-module*)

using *consensus-choice-anonymous[of equal-top_C] equal-top-cons'-anonymous*
unanimity'-consensus-imp-elect-fst-mod-well-formed

by *fastforce*

moreover have *consensus-choice*

($\lambda c. \text{nonempty-set}_C c \wedge \text{nonempty-profile}_C c \wedge \text{equal-top}_C c$)

elect-first-module =

consensus-choice unanimity_C elect-first-module

using *unanimity_C.simps*

by *metis*

ultimately show *consensus-rule-anonymity (consensus-choice unanimity_C elect-first-module)*

by (*metis (no-types)*)

qed

lemma *strong-unanimity-anonymous: consensus-rule-anonymity strong-unanimity*

proof (*unfold strong-unanimity-def*)

have *consensus-anonymity* ($\lambda c. \text{nonempty-set}_C c \wedge \text{nonempty-profile}_C c$)

using *nonempty-set-cons-anonymous nonempty-profile-cons-anonymous cons-anon-conj*

unfolding *consensus-anonymity-def*

by *simp*

moreover have *equal-vote_C* = ($\lambda c. \exists v. \text{equal-vote}_C' v c$)

by *fastforce*

ultimately have *consensus-rule-anonymity*

(*consensus-choice*

($\lambda c. \text{nonempty-set}_C c \wedge \text{nonempty-profile}_C c \wedge \text{equal-vote}_C c$) *elect-first-module*)

using *consensus-choice-anonymous[of equal-vote_C] nonempty-set-cons-anonymous*
nonempty-profile-cons-anonymous eq-vote-cons'-anonymous
strong-unanimity'consensus-imp-elect-fst-mod-well-formed

by *fastforce*

moreover have

consensus-choice ($\lambda c. \text{nonempty-set}_C c \wedge \text{nonempty-profile}_C c \wedge \text{equal-vote}_C c$)

elect-first-module =

consensus-choice strong-unanimity_C elect-first-module

unfolding *strong-unanimity_C.simps*

by *metis*

ultimately show

$\text{consensus-rule-anonymity } (\text{consensus-choice strong-unanimity}_C \text{ elect-first-module})$
 by (metis (no-types))
 qed

Neutrality

lemma *defer-winners-equivariant:*

fixes
 $G :: 'b \text{ set and}$
 $E :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election set and}$
 $\varphi :: ('b, ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}) \text{ binary-fun and}$
 $\psi :: ('b, 'a) \text{ binary-fun}$
shows $\text{is-symmetry } (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_E \text{ defer-module})$
 $(\text{action-induced-equivariance } G \ E \ \varphi \ (\text{set-action } \psi))$
using *rewrite-equivariance*
by *fastforce*

lemma *elect-first-winners-neutral: is-symmetry* $(\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_E \text{ elect-first-module})$
 $(\text{action-induced-equivariance } (\text{carrier bijection}_{AG}))$
 $\text{well-formed-elections } (\varphi\text{-neutral well-formed-elections})$
 $(\text{set-action } \psi\text{-neutral}_c))$

proof (*unfold rewrite-equivariance, clarify*)

fix
 $A :: 'a \text{ set and}$
 $V :: 'v :: \text{wellorder set and}$
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile and}$
 $\pi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$

assume
 $\text{bij-carrier-}\pi: \pi \in \text{carrier bijection}_{AG} \text{ and}$
 $\text{valid: } (A, V, p) \in \text{well-formed-elections}$

hence *bijective- π :* $\text{bij } \pi$
unfolding *bijection_{AG}-def*
using *rewrite-carrier*
by *blast*

hence *inv:* $\forall a. a = \pi (\text{the-inv } \pi \ a)$
by (*simp add: f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw*)

from *bij-carrier- π valid* **have**
 $(\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_E \text{ elect-first-module})$
 $(\varphi\text{-neutral well-formed-elections } \pi \ (A, V, p)) =$
 $\{a \in \pi \text{ ' } A. \text{ above } (\text{rel-rename } \pi \ (p \ (\text{least } V))) \ a = \{a\}\}$
by *simp*

moreover have
 $\{a \in \pi \text{ ' } A. \text{ above } (\text{rel-rename } \pi \ (p \ (\text{least } V))) \ a = \{a\}\} =$
 $\{a \in \pi \text{ ' } A. \{b. (a, b) \in \{(\pi \ a, \pi \ b) \mid a \ b. (a, b) \in p \ (\text{least } V)\}\} = \{a\}\}$
unfolding *above-def*
by *simp*

ultimately have *elect-simp:*
 $(\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_E \text{ elect-first-module})$
 $(\varphi\text{-neutral well-formed-elections } \pi \ (A, V, p)) =$

$\{a \in \pi \text{ ' } A. \{b. (a, b) \in \{(\pi a, \pi b) \mid a b. (a, b) \in p \text{ (least } V)\}\} = \{a\}\}$
by simp
have $\forall a \in \pi \text{ ' } A. \{b. (a, b) \in \{(\pi x, \pi y) \mid x y. (x, y) \in p \text{ (least } V)\}\} =$
 $\{\pi b \mid b. (a, \pi b) \in \{(\pi x, \pi y) \mid x y. (x, y) \in p \text{ (least } V)\}\}$
by blast
moreover have $\forall a \in \pi \text{ ' } A.$
 $\{\pi b \mid b. (a, \pi b) \in \{(\pi x, \pi y) \mid x y. (x, y) \in p \text{ (least } V)\}\} =$
 $\{\pi b \mid b. (\pi (\text{the-inv } \pi a), \pi b) \in \{(\pi x, \pi y) \mid x y. (x, y) \in p \text{ (least } V)\}\}$
using bijective- π
by (simp add: f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw)
moreover have $\forall a \in \pi \text{ ' } A. \forall b.$
 $((\pi (\text{the-inv } \pi a), \pi b) \in \{(\pi x, \pi y) \mid x y. (x, y) \in p \text{ (least } V)\}) =$
 $((\text{the-inv } \pi a, b) \in \{(x, y) \mid x y. (x, y) \in p \text{ (least } V)\})$
using bijective- π rel-rename-helper[*of* π]
by auto
moreover have $\{(x, y) \mid x y. (x, y) \in p \text{ (least } V)\} = p \text{ (least } V)$
by simp
ultimately have
 $\forall a \in \pi \text{ ' } A. (\{b. (a, b) \in \{(\pi a, \pi b) \mid a b. (a, b) \in p \text{ (least } V)\}\} = \{a\}) =$
 $(\{\pi b \mid b. (\text{the-inv } \pi a, b) \in p \text{ (least } V)\} = \{a\})$
by force
hence $\{a \in \pi \text{ ' } A.$
 $\{b. (a, b) \in \{(\pi a, \pi b) \mid a b. (a, b) \in p \text{ (least } V)\}\} = \{a\}\} =$
 $\{a \in \pi \text{ ' } A. \{\pi b \mid b. (\text{the-inv } \pi a, b) \in p \text{ (least } V)\} = \{a\}\}$
by blast
hence $(\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \text{ elect-first-module})$
 $(\varphi\text{-neutral well-formed-elections } \pi (A, V, p)) =$
 $\{a \in \pi \text{ ' } A. \{\pi b \mid b. (\text{the-inv } \pi a, b) \in p \text{ (least } V)\} = \{a\}\}$
using elect-simp
by simp
also have $\dots = \pi \text{ ' } \{a \in A. \pi \text{ ' } \{b \mid b. (a, b) \in p \text{ (least } V)\} = \pi \text{ ' } \{a\}\}$
using bijective- π inv bij-is-inj the-inv-f-f
by fastforce
finally have
 $(\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \text{ elect-first-module})$
 $(\varphi\text{-neutral well-formed-elections } \pi (A, V, p)) =$
 $\pi \text{ ' } \{a \in A. \pi \text{ ' } (\text{above } (p \text{ (least } V)) a) = \pi \text{ ' } \{a\}\}$
unfolding above-def
by simp
moreover have
 $\forall a. (\pi \text{ ' } (\text{above } (p \text{ (least } V)) a) = \pi \text{ ' } \{a\}) =$
 $(\text{the-inv } \pi \text{ ' } \pi \text{ ' } \text{above } (p \text{ (least } V)) a = \text{the-inv } \pi \text{ ' } \pi \text{ ' } \{a\})$
using bijective- π bij-betw-the-inv-into bij-def inj-image-eq-iff
by metis
moreover have
 $\forall a. (\text{the-inv } \pi \text{ ' } \pi \text{ ' } \text{above } (p \text{ (least } V)) a = \text{the-inv } \pi \text{ ' } \pi \text{ ' } \{a\}) =$
 $(\text{above } (p \text{ (least } V)) a = \{a\})$
using bijective- π bij-betw-imp-inj-on bij-betw-the-inv-into inj-image-eq-iff
by metis

ultimately have
 $(\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \text{ elect-first-module})$
 $(\varphi\text{-neutral well-formed-elections } \pi (A, V, p)) =$
 $\pi \text{ ' } \{a \in A. \text{ above } (p (\text{least } V)) a = \{a\}\}$
by presburger
moreover have
 $\text{elect elect-first-module } V A p = \{a \in A. \text{ above } (p (\text{least } V)) a = \{a\}\}$
by simp
moreover have *set-action* $\psi\text{-neutral}_c \pi$
 $((\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \text{ elect-first-module}) (A, V, p)) =$
 $\pi \text{ ' } (\text{elect elect-first-module } V A p)$
by auto
ultimately show
 $(\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \text{ elect-first-module})$
 $(\varphi\text{-neutral well-formed-elections } \pi (A, V, p)) =$
 $\text{set-action } \psi\text{-neutral}_c \pi$
 $((\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \text{ elect-first-module}) (A, V, p))$
by blast
qed

lemma *strong-unanimity-neutral*:
defines $\text{domain} \equiv \text{well-formed-elections} \cap \text{Collect strong-unanimity}_c$
 — We want to show neutrality on a set as general as possible, as this implies subset neutrality.
shows *SCF-properties.consensus-rule-neutrality domain strong-unanimity*
proof —
have *coincides*:
 $\forall \pi. \forall E \in \text{domain}. \varphi\text{-neutral domain } \pi E =$
 $\varphi\text{-neutral well-formed-elections } \pi E$
unfolding *domain-def* $\varphi\text{-neutral.simps}$
by auto
hence $\text{neutrality}_{\mathcal{R}} \text{ domain} \subseteq \text{neutrality}_{\mathcal{R}} \text{ well-formed-elections}$
unfolding *neutrality_R.simps action-induced-rel.simps*
using *domain-def*
by auto
hence *consensus-neutrality domain strong-unanimity_C*
using *strong-unanimity_C-neutral invar-under-subset-rel*
unfolding *consensus-neutrality.simps*
by blast
hence *is-symmetry strong-unanimity_C*
 $(\text{Invariance } (\text{action-induced-rel } (\text{carrier bijection}_{\mathcal{AG}})$
 $\text{domain } (\varphi\text{-neutral well-formed-elections})))$
unfolding *consensus-neutrality.simps neutrality_R.simps*
using *coincides coinciding-actions-ind-equal-rel*
bymetis
moreover have *is-symmetry* $(\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \text{ elect-first-module})$
 $(\text{action-induced-equivariance } (\text{carrier bijection}_{\mathcal{AG}})$
 $\text{domain } (\varphi\text{-neutral well-formed-elections}) (\text{set-action } \psi\text{-neutral}_c))$
using *elect-first-winners-neutral*

unfolding *domain-def action-induced-equivariance-def*
using *equivar-under-subset*
by *blast*
ultimately have *is-symmetry (elect-r \circ fun _{\mathcal{E}} (rule- \mathcal{K} strong-unanimity))*
(action-induced-equivariance (carrier bijection _{\mathcal{AG}}) domain
(φ -neutral well-formed-elections) (set-action ψ -neutral _{\mathcal{C}}))
using *defer-winners-equivariant[of - domain - ψ -neutral _{\mathcal{C}}]*
if-else-cons-equivar[of - - domain - ψ -neutral _{\mathcal{C}} - strong-unanimity _{\mathcal{C}}]
unfolding *strong-unanimity-def*
by *fastforce*
thus *?thesis*
unfolding *SCF-properties.consensus-rule-neutrality.simps*
using *coincides equivar-ind-by-act-coincide*
by *(metis (no-types, lifting))*
qed

lemma *strong-unanimity-neutral': SCF-properties.consensus-rule-neutrality*
(elections- \mathcal{K} strong-unanimity) strong-unanimity
proof –
have *elections- \mathcal{K} strong-unanimity \subseteq well-formed-elections \cap Collect strong-unanimity _{\mathcal{C}}*
unfolding *well-formed-elections-def $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}$.simps strong-unanimity-def*
by *force*
moreover from this have *coincide:*
 $\forall \pi. \forall E \in \text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \text{ strong-unanimity.}$
 $\varphi\text{-neutral (well-formed-elections} \cap \text{Collect strong-unanimity}_{\mathcal{C}}) \pi E =$
 $\varphi\text{-neutral (elections-}\mathcal{K} \text{ strong-unanimity) } \pi E$
unfolding *φ -neutral.simps*
using *extensional-continuation-subset*
by *(metis (no-types, lifting))*
ultimately have
is-symmetry (elect-r \circ fun _{\mathcal{E}} (rule- \mathcal{K} strong-unanimity))
(action-induced-equivariance (carrier bijection _{\mathcal{AG}}) (elections- \mathcal{K} strong-unanimity)
(φ -neutral (well-formed-elections \cap Collect strong-unanimity _{\mathcal{C}}))
(set-action ψ -neutral _{\mathcal{C}}))
using *strong-unanimity-neutral equivar-under-subset*
unfolding *action-induced-equivariance-def SCF-properties.consensus-rule-neutrality.simps*
by *blast*
thus *?thesis*
unfolding *SCF-properties.consensus-rule-neutrality.simps*
using *coincide equivar-ind-by-act-coincide*
by *(metis (no-types))*
qed

lemma *strong-unanimity-closed-under-neutrality: closed-restricted-rel*
(neutrality _{\mathcal{R}} well-formed-elections) well-formed-elections
(elections- \mathcal{K} strong-unanimity)
proof *(unfold closed-restricted-rel.simps restricted-rel.simps neutrality _{\mathcal{R}} .simps*
action-induced-rel.simps elections- \mathcal{K} .simps, safe)
fix

$A \ A' :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V \ V' :: 'b \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p \ p' :: ('a, 'b) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $\pi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **and**
 $a :: 'a$
assume
 $\text{prof}: (A, V, p) \in \text{well-formed-elections}$ **and**
 $\text{cons}: (A, V, p) \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}} \text{ strong-unanimity } a$ **and**
 $\text{bij-carrier-}\pi: \pi \in \text{carrier bijection}_{\mathcal{AG}}$ **and**
 $\text{img}: \varphi\text{-neutral well-formed-elections } \pi (A, V, p) = (A', V', p')$
hence $\text{fin}: (A, V, p) \in \text{finite-elections}$
unfolding $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}.\text{sims finite-elections-def}$
by simp
hence $\text{valid}': (A', V', p') \in \text{well-formed-elections}$
using $\text{bij-carrier-}\pi \ \text{img } \varphi\text{-neutral-action.group-action-axioms}$
 $\text{group-action.element-image prof}$
unfolding $\text{finite-elections-def}$
by $(\text{metis } (\text{mono-tags, lifting}))$
moreover **have** $V' = V \wedge A' = \pi \text{ ` } A$
using $\text{img fin alternatives-rewrite.elims fstI prof sndI}$
unfolding $\text{extensional-continuation.sims } \varphi\text{-neutral.sims}$
 $\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E}.\text{sims voters-}\mathcal{E}.\text{sims}$
by $(\text{metis } (\text{no-types, lifting}))$
ultimately **have** $\text{prof}': \text{finite-profile } V' \ A' \ p'$
using $\text{fin bij-carrier-}\pi \ \text{CollectD finite-imageI fst-eqD snd-eqD}$
unfolding $\text{finite-elections-def well-formed-elections-def alternatives-}\mathcal{E}.\text{sims}$
 $\text{voters-}\mathcal{E}.\text{sims profile-}\mathcal{E}.\text{sims}$
by $(\text{metis } (\text{no-types, lifting}))$
let $?domain = \text{well-formed-elections} \cap \text{Collect strong-unanimity}_{\mathcal{C}}$
have $((A, V, p), (A', V', p')) \in \text{neutrality}_{\mathcal{R}} \text{ well-formed-elections}$
using $\text{bij-carrier-}\pi \ \text{img fin valid'}$
unfolding $\text{neutrality}_{\mathcal{R}}.\text{sims action-induced-rel.sims}$
 $\text{finite-elections-def well-formed-elections-def}$
by blast
moreover **have** $\text{unanimous}: (A, V, p) \in ?domain$
using cons fin
unfolding $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}.\text{sims strong-unanimity-def well-formed-elections-def}$
by simp
ultimately **have** $\text{unanimous}': (A', V', p') \in ?domain$
using $\text{strong-unanimity}_{\mathcal{C}}\text{-neutral valid'}$
unfolding $\text{consensus-neutrality.sims}$
by force
have $\text{rewrite}: \forall \ \pi \in \text{carrier bijection}_{\mathcal{AG}}.$
 $\varphi\text{-neutral } ?domain \ \pi (A, V, p) \in ?domain$
 $\longrightarrow (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \text{ strong-unanimity}))$
 $(\varphi\text{-neutral } ?domain \ \pi (A, V, p)) =$
 $\text{set-action } \psi\text{-neutral}_{\mathcal{C}} \ \pi$
 $((\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \text{ strong-unanimity})) (A, V, p))$
using $\text{strong-unanimity-neutral unanimous}$

```

      rewrite-equivariance[of elect-r o funε (rule- $\mathcal{K}$  strong-unanimity) carrier
bijectionAG]
    unfolding SCF-properties.consensus-rule-neutrality.simps
    by metis
  have img':  $\varphi$ -neutral ?domain  $\pi$  (A, V, p) = (A', V', p')
    using img unanimous
    by simp
  hence elect (rule- $\mathcal{K}$  strong-unanimity) V' A' p' =
    (elect-r o funε (rule- $\mathcal{K}$  strong-unanimity))
    ( $\varphi$ -neutral ?domain  $\pi$  (A, V, p))
    by simp
  also have
    ... = set-action  $\psi$ -neutralc  $\pi$ 
      ((elect-r o funε (rule- $\mathcal{K}$  strong-unanimity)) (A, V, p))
    using bij-carrier- $\pi$  img' unanimous' rewrite
    by metis
  also have ... = set-action  $\psi$ -neutralc  $\pi$  {a}
    using cons
    unfolding  $\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E}$ .simps
    by simp
  finally have (A', V', p') ∈ elections- $\mathcal{K}$  strong-unanimity
    unfolding  $\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E}$ .simps strong-unanimity-def consensus-choice.simps
    using unanimous' prof'
    by simp
  hence ((A, V, p), (A', V', p'))
    ∈  $\bigcup$  (range ( $\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E}$  strong-unanimity)) ×  $\bigcup$  (range ( $\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E}$  strong-unanimity))
    unfolding elections- $\mathcal{K}$ .simps
    using cons
    by blast
  moreover have
    ∃  $\pi$  ∈ carrier bijectionAG.
       $\varphi$ -neutral well-formed-elections  $\pi$  (A, V, p) = (A', V', p')
    using img bij-carrier- $\pi$ 
    unfolding bijectionAG-def
    by blast
  ultimately show (A', V', p') ∈  $\bigcup$  (range ( $\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E}$  strong-unanimity))
    by blast
qed
end

```

5.4 Distance Rationalization

```

theory Distance-Rationalization
  imports Social-Choice-Types/Refined-Types/Preference-List
          Consensus-Class
          Distance

```


begin

A distance rationalization of a voting rule is its interpretation as a procedure that elects an uncontroversial winner if there is one, and otherwise elects the alternatives that are as close to becoming an uncontroversial winner as possible. Within general distance rationalization, a voting rule is characterized by a distance on profiles and a consensus class.

5.4.1 Definitions

Returns the distance of an election to the preimage of a unique winner under the given consensus elections and consensus rule.

fun *score* :: ('a, 'v) Election Distance \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'r Result) Consensus-Class \Rightarrow
 ('a, 'v) Election \Rightarrow 'r \Rightarrow ereal **where**
score *d* *K* *E* *w* = Inf (*d* *E* ' (\mathcal{K}_E *K* *w*))

fun (in result) \mathcal{R}_W :: ('a, 'v) Election Distance \Rightarrow
 ('a, 'v, 'r Result) Consensus-Class \Rightarrow 'v set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) Profile \Rightarrow
 'r set **where**
 \mathcal{R}_W *d* *K* *V* *A* *p* = arg-min-set (*score* *d* *K* (*A*, *V*, *p*)) (limit *A* UNIV)

fun (in result) distance- \mathcal{R} :: ('a, 'v) Election Distance \Rightarrow
 ('a, 'v, 'r Result) Consensus-Class \Rightarrow
 ('a, 'v, 'r Result) Electoral-Module **where**
 distance- \mathcal{R} *d* *K* *V* *A* *p* =
 (\mathcal{R}_W *d* *K* *V* *A* *p*, (limit *A* UNIV) - \mathcal{R}_W *d* *K* *V* *A* *p*, {})

5.4.2 Standard Definitions

definition *standard* :: ('a, 'v) Election Distance \Rightarrow bool **where**
standard *d* \equiv
 $\forall A A' V V' p p'. (V \neq V' \vee A \neq A') \longrightarrow d(A, V, p)(A', V', p') = \infty$

definition *voters-determine-distance* :: ('a, 'v) Election Distance \Rightarrow bool **where**
voters-determine-distance *d* \equiv
 $\forall A A' V V' p q p'.$
 $(\forall v \in V. p v = q v)$
 $\longrightarrow (d(A, V, p)(A', V', p') = d(A, V, q)(A', V', p')$
 $\wedge (d(A', V', p')(A, V, p) = d(A', V', p')(A, V, q)))$

Creates a set of all possible profiles on a finite alternative set that are empty everywhere outside of a given finite voter set.

fun *profiles* :: 'v set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow (('a, 'v) Profile) set **where**
profiles *V* *A* =
 (if (infinite *A* \vee infinite *V*)
 then {} else {*p*. *p* ' *V* \subseteq (pl- α ' permutations-of-set *A*)})

fun \mathcal{K}_E -std :: ('a, 'v, 'r Result) Consensus-Class \Rightarrow 'r \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'v set \Rightarrow

```

      ('a, 'v) Election set where
     $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}\text{-std } K w A V =$ 
      ( $\lambda p. (A, V, p)$ ) ‘ (Set.filter
        ( $\lambda p. (\text{consensus-}\mathcal{K} K) (A, V, p) \wedge \text{elect } (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} K) V A p = \{w\}$ )
        (profiles V A))

```

Returns those consensus elections on a given alternative and voter set from a given consensus that are mapped to the given unique winner by a given consensus rule.

```

fun score-std :: ('a, 'v) Election Distance  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v, 'r Result) Consensus-Class  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('a, 'v) Election  $\Rightarrow$  'r  $\Rightarrow$  ereal where
  score-std d K E w =
    (if  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}\text{-std } K w (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} E) (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} E) = \{\}$ 
      then  $\infty$  else Min (d E ‘ ( $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}\text{-std } K w (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} E) (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} E)$ )))

```

```

fun (in result)  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}\text{-std}$  :: ('a, 'v) Election Distance  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('a, 'v, 'r Result) Consensus-Class  $\Rightarrow$  'v set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Profile  $\Rightarrow$ 
  'r set where
   $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}\text{-std } d K V A p = \text{arg-min-set } (\text{score-std } d K (A, V, p)) (\text{limit } A \text{ UNIV})$ 

```

```

fun (in result) distance- $\mathcal{R}$ -std :: ('a, 'v) Election Distance  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('a, 'v, 'r Result) Consensus-Class  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('a, 'v, 'r Result) Electoral-Module where
  distance- $\mathcal{R}$ -std d K V A p =
    ( $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}\text{-std } d K V A p, (\text{limit } A \text{ UNIV}) - \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}\text{-std } d K V A p, \{\}$ )

```

5.4.3 Auxiliary Lemmas

```

lemma fin- $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}$ :
  fixes  $C :: ('a, 'v, 'r Result) \text{Consensus-Class}$ 
  shows elections- $\mathcal{K}$  C  $\subseteq$  finite-elections
proof
  fix  $E :: ('a, 'v) \text{Election}$ 
  assume  $E \in \text{elections-}\mathcal{K} C$ 
  hence finite-election E
    unfolding  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}.\text{sims}$ 
    by force
  thus  $E \in \text{finite-elections}$ 
    unfolding finite-elections-def
    by simp
qed

```

```

lemma univ- $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}$ :
  fixes  $C :: ('a, 'v, 'r Result) \text{Consensus-Class}$ 
  shows elections- $\mathcal{K}$  C  $\subseteq$  UNIV
  by simp

```

```

lemma listset-finiteness:
  fixes  $l :: 'a \text{ set list}$ 

```

```

assumes  $\forall i :: \text{nat}. i < \text{length } l \longrightarrow \text{finite } (!i)$ 
shows  $\text{finite } (\text{listset } l)$ 
using assms
proof (induct l)
  case Nil
  show  $\text{finite } (\text{listset } [])$ 
  by simp
next
  case (Cons a l)
  fix
     $a :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $l :: 'a \text{ set list}$ 
  assume  $\forall i :: \text{nat} < \text{length } (a \# l). \text{finite } ((a \# l)!i)$ 
  hence
     $\text{finite } a$  and
     $\forall i < \text{length } l. \text{finite } (!i)$ 
  by auto
  moreover assume
     $\forall i :: \text{nat} < \text{length } l. \text{finite } (!i) \implies \text{finite } (\text{listset } l)$ 
  ultimately have  $\text{finite } \{a' \# l' \mid a' l'. a' \in a \wedge l' \in (\text{listset } l)\}$ 
  using list-cons-presv-finiteness
  by blast
  thus  $\text{finite } (\text{listset } (a \# l))$ 
  by (simp add: set-Cons-def)
qed

lemma ls-entries-empty-imp-ls-set-empty:
  fixes  $l :: 'a \text{ set list}$ 
  assumes
     $0 < \text{length } l$  and
     $\forall i :: \text{nat}. i < \text{length } l \longrightarrow !i = \{\}$ 
  shows  $\text{listset } l = \{\}$ 
  using assms
proof (induct l)
  case Nil
  thus  $\text{listset } [] = \{\}$ 
  by simp
next
  case (Cons a l)
  fix
     $a :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $l :: 'a \text{ set list}$  and
     $l' :: 'a \text{ list}$ 
  assume all-elems-empty:  $\forall i :: \text{nat} < \text{length } (a \# l). (a \# l)!i = \{\}$ 
  hence  $a = \{\}$ 
  by auto
  moreover from all-elems-empty
  have  $\forall i < \text{length } l. !i = \{\}$ 
  by auto

```

ultimately have $\{a' \# l' \mid a' l'. a' \in a \wedge l' \in (\text{listset } l)\} = \{\}$
 by *simp*
 thus $\text{listset } (a \# l) = \{\}$
 by (*simp add: set-Cons-def*)
 qed

lemma *all-ls-elems-same-len*:
 fixes $l :: 'a \text{ set list}$
 shows $\forall l' :: 'a \text{ list}. l' \in \text{listset } l \longrightarrow \text{length } l' = \text{length } l$
proof (*induct l, safe*)
 case *Nil*
 fix $l :: 'a \text{ list}$
 assume $l \in \text{listset } []$
 thus $\text{length } l = \text{length } []$
 by *simp*
next
 case (*Cons a l*)
 fix
 $a :: 'a \text{ set}$ and
 $l :: 'a \text{ set list}$ and
 $l' :: 'a \text{ list}$
 assume
 $\forall l'. l' \in \text{listset } l \longrightarrow \text{length } l' = \text{length } l$ and
 $l' \in \text{listset } (a \# l)$
 moreover have
 $\forall a' l' :: 'a \text{ set list}.$
 $\text{listset } (a' \# l') = \{b \# m \mid b m. b \in a' \wedge m \in \text{listset } l'\}$
 by (*simp add: set-Cons-def*)
 ultimately show $\text{length } l' = \text{length } (a \# l)$
 using *local.Cons*
 by *fastforce*
 qed

lemma *fin-all-profs*:
 fixes
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ and
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ and
 $x :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$
 assumes
 $\text{fin-}A$: *finite A* and
 $\text{fin-}V$: *finite V*
 shows *finite (profiles V A $\cap \{p. \forall v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p v = x\})$*
proof (*cases A = \{\}*)
 let $?profs = \text{profiles } V A \cap \{p. \forall v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p v = x\}$
 case *True*
 hence *permutations-of-set A = \{\}*
 unfolding *permutations-of-set-def*
 by *fastforce*
 hence $\text{pl-}\alpha$ ‘ *permutations-of-set A = \{\{\}\}*

```

    unfolding pl- $\alpha$ -def
  by simp
hence  $\forall p \in \text{profiles } V A. \forall v. v \in V \longrightarrow p v = \{\}$ 
  by (simp add: image-subset-iff)
hence  $\forall p \in ?\text{profs}. (\forall v. v \in V \longrightarrow p v = \{\}) \wedge (\forall v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p v = x)$ 
  by simp
hence  $\forall p \in ?\text{profs}. p = (\lambda v. \text{if } v \in V \text{ then } \{\} \text{ else } x)$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))
hence  $?\text{profs} \subseteq \{\lambda v. \text{if } v \in V \text{ then } \{\} \text{ else } x\}$ 
  by blast
thus finite ?profs
  using finite.emptyI finite-insert finite-subset
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))
next
let ?profs = (profiles V A  $\cap$   $\{p. \forall v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p v = x\}$ )
case False
from fin-V obtain ord :: 'v rel where
  linear-order-on V ord
  using finite-list lin-ord-equiv lin-order-equiv-list-of-alts
  by metis
then obtain list-V :: 'v list where
  len: length list-V = card V and
  pl: ord = pl- $\alpha$  list-V and
  perm: list-V  $\in$  permutations-of-set V
  using lin-order-pl- $\alpha$  fin-V image-iff length-finite-permutations-of-set
  by metis
let ?map =  $\lambda p :: ('a, 'v) \text{Profile}. \text{map } p \text{ list-V}$ 
have  $\forall p \in \text{profiles } V A. \forall v \in V. p v \in (\text{pl-}\alpha \text{ 'permutations-of-set } A)$ 
  by (simp add: image-subset-iff)
hence  $\forall p \in \text{profiles } V A. (\forall v \in V. \text{linear-order-on } A (p v))$ 
  using pl- $\alpha$ -lin-order fin-A False
  by metis
moreover have  $\forall p \in ?\text{profs}. \forall i < \text{length } (?map p). (?map p)!i = p (\text{list-V}!i)$ 
  by simp
moreover have  $\forall i < \text{length list-V}. \text{list-V}!i \in V$ 
  using perm nth-mem
  unfolding permutations-of-set-def
  by safe
moreover have lens-eq:  $\forall p \in ?\text{profs}. \text{length } (?map p) = \text{length list-V}$ 
  by simp
ultimately have
   $\forall p \in ?\text{profs}. \forall i < \text{length } (?map p). \text{linear-order-on } A ((?map p)!i)$ 
  by simp
hence subset-map-profs:  $?\text{map} \text{ ' } ?\text{profs} \subseteq \{xs. \text{length } xs = \text{card } V \wedge$ 
   $(\forall i < \text{length } xs. \text{linear-order-on } A (xs!i))\}$ 
  using len lens-eq
  by fastforce
have  $\forall p1 p2. p1 \in ?\text{profs} \wedge p2 \in ?\text{profs} \wedge p1 \neq p2 \longrightarrow (\exists v \in V. p1 v \neq p2 v)$ 

```

by *fastforce*
 hence $\forall p1\ p2.$
 $p1 \in ?profs \wedge p2 \in ?profs \wedge p1 \neq p2$
 $\longrightarrow (\exists v \in \text{set } list\ V. p1\ v \neq p2\ v)$
 using *perm*
 unfolding *permutations-of-set-def*
 by *simp*
 hence $\forall p1\ p2. p1 \in ?profs \wedge p2 \in ?profs \wedge p1 \neq p2 \longrightarrow ?map\ p1 \neq ?map\ p2$
 by *simp*
 hence *inj-on* *?map* *?profs*
 unfolding *inj-on-def*
 by *blast*
 moreover have
 $finite\ \{xs. length\ xs = card\ V \wedge (\forall\ i < length\ xs. linear_order_on\ A\ (xs!i))\}$
 proof –
 have *finite* $\{r. linear_order_on\ A\ r\}$
 using *fin-A*
 unfolding *linear-order-on-def* *partial-order-on-def* *preorder-on-def* *refl-on-def*
 by *simp*
 hence *fin-supset*:
 $\forall n. finite\ \{xs. length\ xs = n \wedge set\ xs \subseteq \{r. linear_order_on\ A\ r\}\}$
 using *Collect-mono* *finite-lists-length-eq* *rev-finite-subset*
 by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*))
 have $\forall l \in \{xs. length\ xs = card\ V \wedge$
 $(\forall\ i < length\ xs. linear_order_on\ A\ (xs!i))\}.$
 $set\ l \subseteq \{r. linear_order_on\ A\ r\}$
 using *in-set-conv-nth* *mem-Collect-eq* *subsetI*
 by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*))
 hence $\{xs. length\ xs = card\ V \wedge$
 $(\forall\ i < length\ xs. linear_order_on\ A\ (xs!i))\}$
 $\subseteq \{xs. length\ xs = card\ V \wedge set\ xs \subseteq \{r. linear_order_on\ A\ r\}\}$
 by *blast*
 thus *?thesis*
 using *fin-supset* *rev-finite-subset*
 by *blast*
 qed
 moreover have $\forall f\ X\ Y. inj_on\ f\ X \wedge finite\ Y \wedge f\ ` X \subseteq Y \longrightarrow finite\ X$
 using *finite-imageD* *finite-subset*
 by *metis*
 ultimately show *finite* *?profs*
 using *subset-map-profs*
 by *blast*
 qed

 lemma *profile-permutation-set*:
 fixes
 $A :: 'a\ set$ and
 $V :: 'v\ set$
 shows *profiles* $V\ A = \{p :: ('a, 'v)\ Profile. finite_profile\ V\ A\ p\}$

```

proof (cases finite A ∧ finite V ∧ A ≠ {})
  case True
  assume finite A ∧ finite V ∧ A ≠ {}
  hence
    fin-A: finite A and
    fin-V: finite V and
    non-empty: A ≠ {}
  by safe
  show profiles V A = {p'. finite-profile V A p'}
  proof (standard, clarify)
    fix p :: 'v ⇒ 'a Preference-Relation
    assume p ∈ profiles V A
    hence ∀ v ∈ V. p v ∈ pl-α ' permutations-of-set A
      using fin-A fin-V
    by auto
    hence ∀ v ∈ V. linear-order-on A (p v)
      using fin-A pl-α-lin-order non-empty
    by metis
  thus finite-profile V A p
    unfolding profile-def
    using fin-A fin-V
    by blast
  next
  show {p. finite-profile V A p} ⊆ profiles V A
  proof (standard, clarify)
    fix p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
    assume prof: profile V A p
    have p ∈ {p. p ' V ⊆ (pl-α ' permutations-of-set A)}
      using fin-A lin-order-pl-α prof
    unfolding profile-def
    by blast
  thus p ∈ profiles V A
    using fin-A fin-V
    unfolding profiles.simps
    by metis
  qed
qed
next
  case False
  assume not-fin-empty: ¬ (finite A ∧ finite V ∧ A ≠ {})
  have finite A ∧ finite V ∧ A = {} ⟶ permutations-of-set A = {}
    unfolding permutations-of-set-def
    by fastforce
  hence pl-empty:
    finite A ∧ finite V ∧ A = {} ⟶ pl-α ' permutations-of-set A = {}
    unfolding pl-α-def
    by simp
  hence finite A ∧ finite V ∧ A = {} ⟶
    (∀ π ∈ {π. π ' V ⊆ (pl-α ' permutations-of-set A)}. ∀ v ∈ V. π v = {})

```

by *fastforce*
hence $\text{finite } A \wedge \text{finite } V \wedge A = \{\} \longrightarrow$
 $\{\pi. \pi \text{ ' } V \subseteq (\text{pl-}\alpha \text{ ' permutations-of-set } A)\} = \{\pi. \forall v \in V. \pi v = \{\}\}$
 using *image-subset-iff singletonD singletonI pl-empty*
 by *fastforce*
moreover have $\text{finite } A \wedge \text{finite } V \wedge A = \{\}$
 $\longrightarrow \text{profiles } V A = \{\pi. \pi \text{ ' } V \subseteq (\text{pl-}\alpha \text{ ' permutations-of-set } A)\}$
 by *simp*
ultimately have *all-prof-eq*: $\text{finite } A \wedge \text{finite } V \wedge A = \{\}$
 $\longrightarrow \text{profiles } V A = \{\pi. \forall v \in V. \pi v = \{\}\}$
 by *simp*
have $\text{finite } A \wedge \text{finite } V \wedge A = \{\}$
 $\longrightarrow (\forall p \in \{p. \text{finite-profile } V A p \wedge (\forall v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p v = \{\})\}.$
 $(\forall v \in V. \text{linear-order-on } \{\} (p v)))$
 unfolding *profile-def*
 by *simp*
moreover have $\forall r. \text{linear-order-on } \{\} r \longrightarrow r = \{\}$
 using *lin-ord-not-empty*
 by *metis*
ultimately have *non-voters*:
 $\text{finite } A \wedge \text{finite } V \wedge A = \{\}$
 $\longrightarrow (\forall p \in \{p. \text{finite-profile } V A p \wedge (\forall v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p v = \{\})\}.$
 $\forall v. p v = \{\})$
 by *blast*
hence $(\forall p. \text{profile } V \{\} p \wedge (\forall v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p v = \{\}))$
 $\longrightarrow (\forall v. p v = \{\})) \longrightarrow \text{finite } V \longrightarrow A = \{\}$
 $\longrightarrow \{p. \text{profile } V \{\} p\} = \{p. \forall v \in V. p v = \{\}\}$
 unfolding *profile-def*
 using *lin-ord-not-empty*
 by *auto*
hence $\text{finite } A \wedge \text{finite } V \wedge A = \{\}$
 $\longrightarrow (\{p. \text{finite-profile } V A p\} = \{p. \forall v \in V. p v = \{\}\})$
 using *non-voters*
 by *blast*
hence $\text{finite } A \wedge \text{finite } V \wedge A = \{\}$
 $\longrightarrow \text{profiles } V A = \{p. \text{finite-profile } V A p\}$
 using *all-prof-eq*
 by *simp*
moreover have $\text{infinite } A \vee \text{infinite } V \longrightarrow \text{profiles } V A = \{\}$
 by *simp*
moreover have $\text{infinite } A \vee \text{infinite } V \longrightarrow$
 $\{p. \text{finite-profile } V A p \wedge (\forall v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p v = \{\})\} = \{\}$
 by *auto*
moreover have $\text{infinite } A \vee \text{infinite } V \vee A = \{\}$
 using *not-fin-empty*
 by *simp*
ultimately show $\text{profiles } V A = \{p. \text{finite-profile } V A p\}$
 by *blast*
qed

5.4.4 Soundness

lemma (in result) *\mathcal{R} -sound:*

```

fixes
   $K :: ('a, 'v, 'r \text{ Result}) \text{ Consensus-Class}$  and
   $d :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election Distance}$ 
shows electoral-module (distance- $\mathcal{R}$   $d$   $K$ )
proof (unfold electoral-module.simps, safe)
fix
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
have  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} d K V A p \subseteq (\text{limit } A \text{ UNIV})$ 
  using  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}.\text{simps}$  arg-min-subset
  by metis
hence set-equals-partition (limit  $A$  UNIV) (distance- $\mathcal{R}$   $d$   $K$   $V$   $A$   $p$ )
  by auto
moreover have disjoint3 (distance- $\mathcal{R}$   $d$   $K$   $V$   $A$   $p$ )
  by simp
ultimately show well-formed  $A$  (distance- $\mathcal{R}$   $d$   $K$   $V$   $A$   $p$ )
  using result-axioms
  unfolding result-def
  by simp
qed

```

5.4.5 Properties

```

fun distance-decisiveness :: ('a, 'v) Election set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Election Distance  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('a, 'v, 'r Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  distance-decisiveness  $X$   $d$   $m =$ 
    ( $\nexists E. E \in X$ 
       $\wedge (\exists \delta > 0. \forall E' \in X. d E E' < \delta \longrightarrow \text{card } (\text{elect-r } (\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} m E')) > 1))$ 

```

5.4.6 Inference Rules

lemma (in result) *standard-distance-imp-equal-score:*

```

fixes
   $d :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election Distance}$  and
   $K :: ('a, 'v, 'r \text{ Result}) \text{ Consensus-Class}$  and
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
   $w :: 'r$ 
assumes
  irr-non-V: voters-determine-distance  $d$  and
  std: standard  $d$ 
shows score  $d$   $K$  ( $A$ ,  $V$ ,  $p$ )  $w = \text{score-std } d K$  ( $A$ ,  $V$ ,  $p$ )  $w$ 
proof –
  have profile-perm-set:
    profiles  $V$   $A =$ 

```

```

    {p' :: ('a, 'v) Profile. finite-profile V A p'}
  using profile-permutation-set
  by metis
hence eq-intersect:  $\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E}$ -std  $K w A V =$ 
     $\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w \cap \text{Pair } A \text{ ' Pair } V \text{ ' } \{p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile. finite-profile } V A p'\}$ 
  by force
have  $(\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w \cap \text{Pair } A \text{ ' Pair } V \text{ ' } \{p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile. finite-profile } V A p'\})$ 
     $\subseteq (\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w)$ 
  by simp
hence  $\text{Inf } (d (A, V, p) \text{ ' } (\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w)) \leq$ 
     $\text{Inf } (d (A, V, p) \text{ ' } (\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w \cap$ 
     $\text{Pair } A \text{ ' Pair } V \text{ ' } \{p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile. finite-profile } V A p'\}))$ 
  using INF-superset-mono dual-order.refl
  by metis
moreover have  $\text{Inf } (d (A, V, p) \text{ ' } (\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w)) \geq$ 
     $\text{Inf } (d (A, V, p) \text{ ' } (\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w \cap$ 
     $\text{Pair } A \text{ ' Pair } V \text{ ' } \{p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile. finite-profile } V A p'\}))$ 
  proof (rule INF-greatest)
    let ?inf =  $\text{Inf } (d (A, V, p) \text{ ' } (\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w \cap \text{Pair } A \text{ ' Pair } V \text{ ' } \{p'. \text{finite-profile } V A p'\}))$ 
    let ?compl =  $(\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w) - (\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w \cap \text{Pair } A \text{ ' Pair } V \text{ ' } \{p'. \text{finite-profile } V A p'\})$ 
    fix i :: ('a, 'v) Election
    assume el:  $i \in \mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w$ 
    have in-intersect:
       $i \in (\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w \cap \text{Pair } A \text{ ' Pair } V \text{ ' } \{p'. \text{finite-profile } V A p'\})$ 
       $\longrightarrow ?inf \leq d (A, V, p) i$ 
    using Complete-Lattices.complete-lattice-class.INF-lower
    by metis
  have compl-imp-neither-voter-nor-alt-nor-infinite-prof:
     $i \in ?compl \longrightarrow (V \neq \text{fst } (\text{snd } i)$ 
       $\vee A \neq \text{fst } i$ 
       $\vee \neg \text{finite-profile } V A (\text{snd } (\text{snd } i)))$ 
    by fastforce
  moreover have not-voters-imp-infty:  $V \neq \text{fst } (\text{snd } i) \longrightarrow d (A, V, p) i = \infty$ 
    using std prod.collapse
    unfolding standard-def
    by metis
  moreover have not-alt-imp-infty:  $A \neq \text{fst } i \longrightarrow d (A, V, p) i = \infty$ 
    using std prod.collapse
    unfolding standard-def
    by metis
  moreover have  $V = \text{fst } (\text{snd } i) \wedge A = \text{fst } i$ 
     $\wedge \neg \text{finite-profile } V A (\text{snd } (\text{snd } i)) \longrightarrow \text{False}$ 
    using el
    by fastforce
  hence  $i \in ?compl \longrightarrow d (A, V, p) i = \infty$ 
    using not-alt-imp-infty not-voters-imp-infty
    compl-imp-neither-voter-nor-alt-nor-infinite-prof

```

by *fastforce*
 ultimately have
 $i \in ?compl$
 $\longrightarrow \text{Inf } (d \ (A, V, p)$
 $\quad '(\mathcal{K}_E \ K \ w \cap \text{Pair } A \ ' \text{Pair } V \ ' \{p'. \text{finite-profile } V \ A \ p'\}))$
 $\leq d \ (A, V, p) \ i$
 using *ereal-less-eq*
 by *(metis (no-types, lifting))*
 thus $\text{Inf } (d \ (A, V, p) \ ' \$
 $\quad (\mathcal{K}_E \ K \ w \cap$
 $\quad \text{Pair } A \ ' \text{Pair } V \ ' \{p'. \text{finite-profile } V \ A \ p'\}))$
 $\leq d \ (A, V, p) \ i$
 using *in-intersect el*
 by *blast*
 qed
 ultimately have $\text{Inf } (d \ (A, V, p) \ ' \mathcal{K}_E \ K \ w) =$
 $\text{Inf } (d \ (A, V, p) \ ' \$
 $\quad (\mathcal{K}_E \ K \ w \cap \text{Pair } A \ ' \text{Pair } V \ ' \{p'. \text{finite-profile } V \ A \ p'\}))$
 using *order-antisym*
 by *simp*
 also have *inf-eq-min-for-std-cons*: $\dots = \text{score-std } d \ K \ (A, V, p) \ w$
 proof (cases $\mathcal{K}_E\text{-std } K \ w \ A \ V = \{\}$)
 case *True*
 hence $\text{Inf } (d \ (A, V, p) \ ' \$
 $\quad (\mathcal{K}_E \ K \ w \cap \text{Pair } A \ ' \text{Pair } V \ ' \$
 $\quad \{p'. \text{finite-profile } V \ A \ p'\})) = \infty$
 using *eq-intersect top-ereal-def*
 by *simp*
 also have $\text{score-std } d \ K \ (A, V, p) \ w = \infty$
 using *True*
 unfolding *Let-def*
 by *simp*
 finally show *?thesis*
 by *simp*
 next
 case *False*
 hence *fin*: $\text{finite } A \wedge \text{finite } V$
 using *eq-intersect*
 by *blast*
 have $\mathcal{K}_E\text{-std } K \ w \ A \ V =$
 $(\mathcal{K}_E \ K \ w) \cap \{(A, V, p') \mid p'. \text{finite-profile } V \ A \ p'\}$
 using *eq-intersect*
 by *blast*
 hence *subset-dist- $\mathcal{K}_E\text{-std}$* :
 $d \ (A, V, p) \ '(\mathcal{K}_E\text{-std } K \ w \ A \ V) \subseteq$
 $d \ (A, V, p) \ ' \{(A, V, p') \mid p'. \text{finite-profile } V \ A \ p'\}$
 by *blast*
 let $?finite\text{-prof} = \lambda p' v. (\text{if } (v \in V) \text{ then } p' \ v \text{ else } \{\})$
 have $\forall p'. \text{finite-profile } V \ A \ p' \longrightarrow$

$\text{finite-profile } V \ A \ (\text{?finite-prof } p')$
unfolding *If-def profile-def*
by *simp*
moreover have $\forall \ p'. (\forall \ v. v \notin V \longrightarrow \text{?finite-prof } p' \ v = \{\})$
by *simp*
ultimately have
 $\forall \ (A', V', p') \in \{(A', V', p'). A' = A \wedge V' = V \wedge \text{finite-profile } V \ A \ p'\}.$
 $(A', V', \text{?finite-prof } p') \in \{(A, V, p') \mid p'. \text{finite-profile } V \ A \ p'\}$
by *force*
moreover have
 $\forall \ p'. d \ (A, V, p) \ (A, V, p') = d \ (A, V, p) \ (A, V, \text{?finite-prof } p')$
using *irr-non-V*
unfolding *voters-determine-distance-def*
by *simp*
ultimately have
 $\forall \ (A', V', p') \in \{(A, V, p') \mid p'. \text{finite-profile } V \ A \ p'\}.$
 $(\exists \ (X, Y, z) \in \{(A, V, p') \mid p'. \text{finite-profile } V \ A \ p' \wedge (\forall \ v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p' \ v = \{\})\}.$
 $d \ (A, V, p) \ (A', V', p') = d \ (A, V, p) \ (X, Y, z))$
by *fastforce*
hence
 $\forall \ (A', V', p')$
 $\in \{(A', V', p'). A' = A \wedge V' = V \wedge \text{finite-profile } V \ A \ p'\}.$
 $d \ (A, V, p) \ (A', V', p') \in$
 $d \ (A, V, p) \ ' \{(A, V, p') \mid p'. \text{finite-profile } V \ A \ p' \wedge (\forall \ v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p' \ v = \{\})\}$
by *fastforce*
hence *subset-dist-restrict-non-voters:*
 $d \ (A, V, p) \ ' \{(A, V, p') \mid p'. \text{finite-profile } V \ A \ p'\}$
 $\subseteq d \ (A, V, p) \ ' \{(A, V, p') \mid p'. \text{finite-profile } V \ A \ p' \wedge (\forall \ v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p' \ v = \{\})\}$
by *fastforce*
have $\forall \ (A', V', p') \in \{(A, V, p') \mid p'. \text{finite-profile } V \ A \ p' \wedge (\forall \ v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p' \ v = \{\})\}.$
 $(\forall \ v \in V. \text{linear-order-on } A \ (p' \ v))$
 $\wedge (\forall \ v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p' \ v = \{\})$
using *fin*
unfolding *profile-def*
by *simp*
hence *subset-lin-ord:*
 $\{(A, V, p') \mid p'. \text{finite-profile } V \ A \ p' \wedge (\forall \ v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p' \ v = \{\})\}$
 $\subseteq \{(A, V, p') \mid p'. p' \in \{p'. (\forall \ v \in V. \text{linear-order-on } A \ (p' \ v)) \wedge (\forall \ v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p' \ v = \{\})\}\}$
by *blast*
have $\{p'. (\forall \ v \in V. \text{linear-order-on } A \ (p' \ v))$
 $\wedge (\forall \ v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p' \ v = \{\})\}$
 $\subseteq \text{profiles } V \ A \cap \{p. \forall \ v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p \ v = \{\}\}$
using *lin-order-pl- α fin*
by *fastforce*

moreover have $\text{finite } (\text{profiles } V \ A \cap \{p. \forall v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p \ v = \{\}\})$
using fin fin-all-profs
by blast
ultimately have
 $\text{finite } \{p'. (\forall v \in V. \text{linear-order-on } A \ (p' \ v)) \wedge (\forall v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p' \ v = \{\})\}$
using rev-finite-subset
by blast
hence $\text{finite } \{(A, V, p') \mid p'. p' \in \{p'. (\forall v \in V. \text{linear-order-on } A \ (p' \ v)) \wedge (\forall v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p' \ v = \{\})\}\}$
by simp
hence $\text{finite } \{(A, V, p') \mid p'. \text{finite-profile } V \ A \ p' \wedge (\forall v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p' \ v = \{\})\}$
using $\text{subset-lin-ord rev-finite-subset}$
by simp
hence $\text{finite } (d \ (A, V, p) \text{ ‘ } \{(A, V, p') \mid p'. \text{finite-profile } V \ A \ p' \wedge (\forall v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p' \ v = \{\})\})$
by simp
hence $\text{finite } (d \ (A, V, p) \text{ ‘ } \{(A, V, p') \mid p'. \text{finite-profile } V \ A \ p'\})$
using $\text{subset-dist-restrict-non-voters rev-finite-subset}$
by simp
hence $\text{finite } (d \ (A, V, p) \text{ ‘ } (\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}\text{-std } K \ w \ A \ V))$
using $\text{subset-dist-}\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}\text{-std rev-finite-subset}$
by blast
moreover have $d \ (A, V, p) \text{ ‘ } (\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}\text{-std } K \ w \ A \ V) \neq \{\}$
using False
by simp
ultimately have
 $\text{Inf } (d \ (A, V, p) \text{ ‘ } (\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}\text{-std } K \ w \ A \ V)) =$
 $\text{Min } (d \ (A, V, p) \text{ ‘ } (\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}\text{-std } K \ w \ A \ V))$
using Min-Inf False
by metis
also have $\dots = \text{score-std } d \ K \ (A, V, p) \ w$
using False
by simp
also have $\text{Inf } (d \ (A, V, p) \text{ ‘ } (\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}\text{-std } K \ w \ A \ V)) =$
 $\text{Inf } (d \ (A, V, p) \text{ ‘ } (\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}} \ K \ w \cap \text{Pair } A \text{ ‘ } \text{Pair } V \text{ ‘ } \{p'. \text{finite-profile } V \ A \ p'\}))$
using eq-intersect
by simp
ultimately show $?thesis$
by simp
qed
finally show $\text{score } d \ K \ (A, V, p) \ w = \text{score-std } d \ K \ (A, V, p) \ w$
by simp
qed

lemma (**in result**) *anonymous-distance-and-consensus-imp-rule-anonymity*:
fixes

$d :: ('a, 'v)$ Election Distance **and**
 $K :: ('a, 'v, 'r)$ Result Consensus-Class
assumes
 $d\text{-anon}$: distance-anonymity d **and**
 $K\text{-anon}$: consensus-rule-anonymity K
shows anonymity (distance- \mathcal{R} d K)
proof (unfold anonymity-def Let-def, safe)
show electoral-module (distance- \mathcal{R} d K)
using \mathcal{R} -sound
by metis
next
fix
 $A\ A' :: 'a$ set **and**
 $V\ V' :: 'v$ set **and**
 $p\ q :: ('a, 'v)$ Profile **and**
 $\pi :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$
assume
 bij : π **and**
 renamed : $\text{rename } \pi\ (A, V, p) = (A', V', q)$
hence eq-univ : $\text{limit } A\ \text{UNIV} = \text{limit } A'\ \text{UNIV}$
by simp
have dist-rename-inv :
 $\forall E :: ('a, 'v)$ Election. $d\ (A, V, p)\ E = d\ (A', V', q)\ (\text{rename } \pi\ E)$
using $d\text{-anon}$ bij renamed surj-pair
unfolding distance-anonymity-def
by metis
hence $\forall S :: ('a, 'v)$ Election set.
 $(d\ (A, V, p)\ 'S) \subseteq (d\ (A', V', q)\ '(\text{rename } \pi\ 'S))$
by blast
moreover have
 $\forall S :: ('a, 'v)$ Election set.
 $((d\ (A', V', q)\ '(\text{rename } \pi\ 'S)) \subseteq (d\ (A, V, p)\ 'S))$
proof (clarify)
fix
 $S :: ('a, 'v)$ Election set **and**
 $X\ X' :: 'a$ set **and**
 $Y\ Y' :: 'v$ set **and**
 $z\ z' :: ('a, 'v)$ Profile
assume $(X', Y', z') = \text{rename } \pi\ (X, Y, z)$
hence $d\ (A', V', q)\ (X', Y', z') = d\ (A, V, p)\ (X, Y, z)$
using dist-rename-inv
by metis
moreover assume $(X, Y, z) \in S$
ultimately show $d\ (A', V', q)\ (X', Y', z') \in d\ (A, V, p)\ 'S$
by simp
qed
ultimately have eq-range :
 $\forall S :: ('a, 'v)$ Election set.
 $(d\ (A, V, p)\ 'S) = (d\ (A', V', q)\ '(\text{rename } \pi\ 'S))$

by *blast*
 have $\forall w. \text{rename } \pi \text{ ' } (\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w) \subseteq (\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w)$
 proof (*clarify*)
 fix
 $w :: 'r$ and
 $A A' :: 'a \text{ set}$ and
 $V V' :: 'v \text{ set}$ and
 $p p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$
 assume $(A, V, p) \in \mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w$
 hence *cons*:
 $(\text{consensus-}\mathcal{K} K) (A, V, p) \wedge \text{finite-profile } V A p$
 $\wedge \text{elect } (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} K) V A p = \{w\}$
 by *simp*
 moreover assume *renamed*: $(A', V', p') = \text{rename } \pi (A, V, p)$
 ultimately have *finite-profile* $V' A' p'$
 using *bijective fst-conv rename-finite rename-prof*
 unfolding *rename.simps*
 by *metis*
 moreover from *this* have *cons-img*:
 $\text{consensus-}\mathcal{K} K (A', V', p') \wedge (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} K V A p = \text{rule-}\mathcal{K} K V' A' p')$
 using *K-anon renamed bijective cons*
 unfolding *consensus-rule-anonymity-def Let-def*
 by *simp*
 ultimately show $(A', V', p') \in \mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w$
 using *cons*
 by *simp*
 qed
 moreover have $\forall w. (\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w) \subseteq \text{rename } \pi \text{ ' } (\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w)$
 proof (*clarify*)
 fix
 $w :: 'r$ and
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ and
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ and
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$
 assume $(A, V, p) \in \mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w$
 hence *cons*:
 $(\text{consensus-}\mathcal{K} K) (A, V, p) \wedge \text{finite-profile } V A p$
 $\wedge \text{elect } (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} K) V A p = \{w\}$
 by *simp*
 let $?inv = \text{rename } (\text{the-inv } \pi) (A, V, p)$
 have *inv-inv-id*: $\text{the-inv } (\text{the-inv } \pi) = \pi$
 using *the-inv-f-f bijective bij-betw-imp-inj-on bij-betw-imp-surj*
 inj-on-the-inv-into surj-imp-inv-eq the-inv-into-onto
 by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting)*)
 hence $?inv = (A, ((\text{the-inv } \pi) \text{ ' } V), p \circ (\text{the-inv } (\text{the-inv } \pi)))$
 by *simp*
 moreover have $(p \circ (\text{the-inv } (\text{the-inv } \pi))) \circ (\text{the-inv } \pi) = p$
 using *bijective inv-inv-id*
 unfolding *bij-betw-def comp-def*

by (*simp add: f-the-inv-into-f*)
 moreover have $\pi \text{ ' (the-inv } \pi) \text{ ' } V = V$
 using *bijjective the-inv-f-f image-inv-into-cancel top-greatest*
 surj-imp-inv-eq
 unfolding *bij-betw-def*
 by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting)*)
 ultimately have *preimg: rename π ?inv = (A, V, p)*
 unfolding *Let-def*
 by *simp*
 have *bij (the-inv π)*
 using *bijjective bij-betw-the-inv-into*
 by *metis*
 moreover from *this* have *fin-preimg:*
 finite-profile (fst (snd ?inv)) (fst ?inv) (snd (snd ?inv))
 using *rename-prof cons*
 by *fastforce*
 ultimately have
 consensus- \mathcal{K} K ?inv \wedge
 (rule- \mathcal{K} K V A p =
 rule- \mathcal{K} K (fst (snd ?inv)) (fst ?inv) (snd (snd ?inv)))
 using *K-anon renamed bijjective cons*
 unfolding *consensus-rule-anonymity-def Let-def*
 by *simp*
 moreover from *this* have
 elect (rule- \mathcal{K} K) (fst (snd ?inv)) (fst ?inv) (snd (snd ?inv)) = {w}
 using *cons*
 by *simp*
 ultimately have *?inv $\in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}$ K w*
 using *fin-preimg*
 by *simp*
 thus $(A, V, p) \in \text{rename } \pi \text{ ' } \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}} K w$
 using *preimg image-eqI*
 by *metis*
 qed
 ultimately have $\forall w. (\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}} K w) = \text{rename } \pi \text{ ' } (\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}} K w)$
 by *blast*
 hence $\forall w. \text{score } d K (A, V, p) w = \text{score } d K (A', V', q) w$
 using *eq-range*
 by *simp*
 hence *arg-min-set (score d K (A, V, p)) (limit A UNIV) =*
 arg-min-set (score d K (A', V', q)) (limit A' UNIV)
 using *eq-univ*
 by *presburger*
 hence $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} d K V A p = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} d K V' A' q$
 by *simp*
 thus *distance- \mathcal{R} d K V A p = distance- \mathcal{R} d K V' A' q*
 using *eq-univ*
 by *simp*
 qed

end

5.5 Votewise Distance Rationalization

```

theory Votewise-Distance-Rationalization
  imports Distance-Rationalization
           Votewise-Distance
begin

```

A votewise distance rationalization of a voting rule is its distance rationalization with a distance function that depends on the submitted votes in a simple and a transparent manner by using a distance on individual orders and combining the components with a norm on \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{N} .

5.5.1 Common Rationalizations

```

fun swap- $\mathcal{R}$  :: ('a, 'v :: linorder, 'a Result) Consensus-Class  $\Rightarrow$ 
      ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  swap- $\mathcal{R}$  K = SCF-result.distance- $\mathcal{R}$  (votewise-distance swap l-one) K

```

5.5.2 Theorems

```

lemma votewise-non-voters-irrelevant:
  fixes
    d :: 'a Vote Distance and
    N :: Norm
  shows voters-determine-distance (votewise-distance d N)
proof (unfold voters-determine-distance-def, clarify)
  fix
    A A' :: 'a set and
    V V' :: 'v :: linorder set and
    p p' q :: ('a, 'v) Profile
  assume coincide:  $\forall v \in V. p\ v = q\ v$ 
  have  $\forall i < \text{length}(\text{sorted-list-of-set } V). (\text{sorted-list-of-set } V)!i \in V$ 
  using card-eq-0-iff not-less-zero nth-mem
        sorted-list-of-set.length-sorted-key-list-of-set
        sorted-list-of-set.set-sorted-key-list-of-set
  by metis
  hence  $(\text{to-list } V\ p) = (\text{to-list } V\ q)$ 
  using coincide length-map nth-equalityI to-list.simps
  by auto
  thus votewise-distance d N (A, V, p) (A', V', p') =
    votewise-distance d N (A, V, q) (A', V', p')  $\wedge$ 
    votewise-distance d N (A', V', p') (A, V, p) =
    votewise-distance d N (A', V', p') (A, V, q)

```

```

    unfolding votewise-distance.simps
    by presburger
qed

lemma swap-standard: standard (votewise-distance swap l-one)
proof (unfold standard-def, clarify)
  fix
    A A' :: 'a set and
    V V' :: 'v :: linorder set and
    p p' :: ('a, 'v) Profile
  assume assms: V ≠ V' ∨ A ≠ A'
  let ?l = (λ l1 l2. (map2 (λ q q'. swap (A, q) (A', q')) l1 l2))
  have A ≠ A' ∧ V = V' ∧ V ≠ {} ∧ finite V ⟶
    (∀ l1 l2. l1 ≠ [] ∧ l2 ≠ [] ⟶ (∀ i < length (?l l1 l2). (?l l1 l2)!i = ∞))
  by simp
  moreover have
    V = V' ∧ V ≠ {} ∧ finite V ⟶ (to-list V p) ≠ [] ∧ (to-list V' p') ≠ []
  using sorted-list-of-set.sorted-key-list-of-set-eq-Nil-iff
    to-list.simps Nil-is-map-conv
  by (metis (no-types))
  moreover have ∀ l. (∃ i < length l. !i = ∞) ⟶ l-one l = ∞
proof (safe)
  fix
    l :: ereal list and
    i :: nat
  assume
    i < length l and
    l ! i = ∞
  hence (∑ j < length l. |l[j]|) = ∞
  using sum-Pinfy finite-lessThan lessThan-iff abs-ereal.simps
  by metis
  thus l-one l = ∞
  by auto
qed
ultimately have A ≠ A' ∧ V = V' ∧ V ≠ {} ∧ finite V
  ⟶ l-one (?l (to-list V p) (to-list V' p')) = ∞
  using length-greater-0-conv map-is-Nil-conv zip-eq-Nil-iff
  by metis
hence A ≠ A' ∧ V = V' ∧ V ≠ {} ∧ finite V ⟶
  votewise-distance swap l-one (A, V, p) (A', V', p') = ∞
  by force
moreover have
  V ≠ V'
  ⟶ votewise-distance swap l-one (A, V, p) (A', V', p') = ∞
  by simp
moreover have
  A ≠ A' ∧ V = {}
  ⟶ votewise-distance swap l-one (A, V, p) (A', V', p') = ∞
  by simp

```

moreover have
 $(A \neq A' \wedge V = V' \wedge V \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } V)$
 $\vee \text{infinite } V \vee (A \neq A' \wedge V = \{\}) \vee V \neq V'$
using *assms*
by *blast*
ultimately show *votewise-distance swap l-one* $(A, V, p) (A', V', p') = \infty$
by *fastforce*
qed

5.5.3 Equivalence Lemmas

type-synonym $(a, v) \text{ score-type} = (a, v) \text{ Election Distance} \Rightarrow$
 $(a, v, a \text{ Result}) \text{ Consensus-Class} \Rightarrow (a, v) \text{ Election} \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow \text{ereal}$

type-synonym $(a, v) \text{ dist-rat-type} = (a, v) \text{ Election Distance} \Rightarrow$
 $(a, v, a \text{ Result}) \text{ Consensus-Class} \Rightarrow v \text{ set} \Rightarrow a \text{ set} \Rightarrow (a, v) \text{ Profile} \Rightarrow a \text{ set}$

type-synonym $(a, v) \text{ dist-rat-std-type} = (a, v) \text{ Election Distance} \Rightarrow$
 $(a, v, a \text{ Result}) \text{ Consensus-Class} \Rightarrow (a, v, a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$

type-synonym $(a, v) \text{ dist-type} = (a, v) \text{ Election Distance} \Rightarrow$
 $(a, v, a \text{ Result}) \text{ Consensus-Class} \Rightarrow (a, v, a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$

lemma *equal-score-swap*: $(\text{score} :: (a, v :: \text{linorder}) \text{ score-type})$
 $(\text{votewise-distance swap l-one}) = \text{score-std } (\text{votewise-distance swap l-one})$
using *votewise-non-voters-irrelevant swap-standard*
 $\text{SCF-result.standard-distance-imp-equal-score}$
by *fast*

lemma *swap- \mathcal{R} -code*[code]: $\text{swap-}\mathcal{R} =$
 $(\text{SCF-result.distance-}\mathcal{R}\text{-std} :: (a, v :: \text{linorder}) \text{ dist-rat-std-type})$
 $(\text{votewise-distance swap l-one})$

unfolding $\text{swap-}\mathcal{R}.\text{sims } \text{SCF-result.distance-}\mathcal{R}.\text{sims } \text{SCF-result.distance-}\mathcal{R}\text{-std.sims}$
 $\text{SCF-result.}\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}.\text{sims } \text{SCF-result.}\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}\text{-std.sims equal-score-swap}$
by *safe*

end

5.6 Symmetry in Distance-Rationalizable Rules

theory *Distance-Rationalization-Symmetry*
imports *Distance-Rationalization*
begin

5.6.1 Minimizer Function

fun *distance-infimum* :: $a \text{ Distance} \Rightarrow a \text{ set} \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow \text{ereal}$ **where**

```

distance-infimum d A a = Inf (d a ‘ A)

fun closest-preimg-distance :: ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a Distance ⇒
    'a ⇒ 'b ⇒ ereal where
    closest-preimg-distance f domainf d a b = distance-infimum d (preimg f domainf
b) a

fun minimizer :: ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a Distance ⇒ 'b set ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'b set where
    minimizer f domainf d A a = arg-min-set (closest-preimg-distance f domainf d
a) A

```

Auxiliary Lemmas

```

lemma rewrite-arg-min-set:
  fixes
    f :: 'a ⇒ 'b :: linorder and
    A :: 'a set
  shows arg-min-set f A = ⋃ (preimg f A ‘ {y ∈ f ‘ A. ∀ z ∈ f ‘ A. y ≤ z})
proof (safe)
  fix x :: 'a
  assume x ∈ arg-min-set f A
  thus x ∈ ⋃ (preimg f A ‘ {y ∈ f ‘ A. ∀ z ∈ f ‘ A. y ≤ z})
    by (simp add: is-arg-min-linorder)
next
  fix x y :: 'a
  assume
    x ∈ preimg f A (f y) and
    ∀ z ∈ f ‘ A. f y ≤ z
  thus x ∈ arg-min-set f A
    by (simp add: is-arg-min-linorder)
qed

```

Equivariance

```

abbreviation Restrp :: 'a rel ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a rel where
    Restrp r A ≡ r Int (A × UNIV)

lemma restr-induced-rel:
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    B B' :: 'b set and
    φ :: ('a, 'b) binary-fun
  assumes B' ⊆ B
  shows Restrp (action-induced-rel A B φ) B' = action-induced-rel A B' φ
  using assms
  by force

theorem group-action-invar-dist-and-equivar-f-imp-equivar-minimizer:
  fixes
    f :: 'a ⇒ 'b and

```

```

domain_f X :: 'a set and
d :: 'a Distance and
well-formed-img :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b set and
G :: 'c monoid and
 $\varphi$  :: ('c, 'a) binary-fun and
 $\psi$  :: ('c, 'b) binary-fun
defines equivar-prop-set-valued  $\equiv$ 
  action-induced-equivariance (carrier G) X  $\varphi$  (set-action  $\psi$ )
assumes
  action- $\varphi$ : group-action G X  $\varphi$  and
  group-action-res: group-action G UNIV  $\psi$  and
  dom-in-X: domain_f  $\subseteq$  X and
  closed-domain:
    closed-restricted-rel (action-induced-rel (carrier G) X  $\varphi$ ) X domain_f and
  equivar-img: is-symmetry well-formed-img equivar-prop-set-valued and
  invar-d: invariance_D d (carrier G) X  $\varphi$  and
  equivar-f:
    is-symmetry f (action-induced-equivariance (carrier G) domain_f  $\varphi$   $\psi$ )
shows is-symmetry ( $\lambda x. \text{minimizer } f \text{ domain}_f d (\text{well-formed-img } x) x$ ) equivar-prop-set-valued
proof (unfold action-induced-equivariance-def equivar-prop-set-valued-def is-symmetry.simps
  set-action.simps minimizer.simps, clarify)
fix
  x :: 'a and
  g :: 'c
assume
  group-elem:  $g \in \text{carrier } G$  and
  x-in-X:  $x \in X$ 
hence img-X:  $\varphi g x \in X$ 
  using action- $\varphi$  group-action.element-image
  by metis
let ?x' =  $\varphi g x$ 
let ?c = closest-preimg-distance f domain_f d x and
  ?c' = closest-preimg-distance f domain_f d ?x'
have  $\forall y. \text{preimg } f \text{ domain}_f y \subseteq X$ 
  using dom-in-X
  by fastforce
hence  $\forall y. d x ' (\text{preimg } f \text{ domain}_f y) = d ?x' ' (\varphi g ' (\text{preimg } f \text{ domain}_f y))$ 
  using x-in-X group-elem invar-dist-image invar-d action- $\varphi$ 
  by metis
hence  $\forall y. \text{Inf } (d ?x' ' \text{preimg } f \text{ domain}_f (\psi g y)) =$ 
  Inf (d x ' preimg f domain_f y)
  using assms group-action-equivar-f-imp-equivar-preimg[of G] group-elem
  by metis
hence comp:
  closest-preimg-distance f domain_f d x =
    (closest-preimg-distance f domain_f d ?x')  $\circ$  ( $\psi g$ )
  by auto
hence  $\forall Y A. \{\text{preimg } ?c' (\psi g ' Y) \alpha \mid \alpha. \alpha \in A\} =$ 
   $\{\psi g ' \text{preimg } ?c Y \alpha \mid \alpha. \alpha \in A\}$ 

```

```

    using preimg-comp
    by auto
  moreover have
     $\forall Y A. \{\psi g \text{ ' } preimg \text{ ?c } Y \alpha \mid \alpha. \alpha \in A\} = \{\psi g \text{ ' } \beta \mid \beta. \beta \in preimg \text{ ?c } Y \text{ ' } A\}$ 
    by blast
  moreover have
     $\forall Y A. preimg \text{ ?c' } (\psi g \text{ ' } Y) \text{ ' } A = \{preimg \text{ ?c' } (\psi g \text{ ' } Y) \alpha \mid \alpha. \alpha \in A\}$ 
    by blast
  ultimately have
     $\forall Y A. \bigcup (preimg \text{ ?c' } (\psi g \text{ ' } Y) \text{ ' } A) = \bigcup \{\psi g \text{ ' } \alpha \mid \alpha. \alpha \in preimg \text{ ?c } Y \text{ ' } A\}$ 
    by simp
  moreover have
     $\forall Y A. \bigcup \{\psi g \text{ ' } \alpha \mid \alpha. \alpha \in preimg \text{ ?c } Y \text{ ' } A\} = \psi g \text{ ' } \bigcup (preimg \text{ ?c } Y \text{ ' } A)$ 
    by blast
  ultimately have  $\forall Y. arg\_min\_set (closest\_preimg\_distance f domain_f d \text{ ?x' }) (\psi g \text{ ' } Y) =$ 
     $(\psi g) \text{ ' } (arg\_min\_set (closest\_preimg\_distance f domain_f d x) Y)$ 
    using rewrite-arg-min-set[of ?c'] rewrite-arg-min-set[of ?c] comp
    by auto
  moreover have  $well\_formed\_img (\varphi g x) = \psi g \text{ ' } well\_formed\_img x$ 
    using equivar-img x-in-X group-elem img-X rewrite-equivariance
    unfolding equivar-prop-set-valued-def set-action.simps
    by metis
  ultimately show
     $arg\_min\_set (closest\_preimg\_distance f domain_f d (\varphi g x))$ 
     $(well\_formed\_img (\varphi g x)) =$ 
     $\psi g \text{ ' } arg\_min\_set (closest\_preimg\_distance f domain_f d x)$ 
     $(well\_formed\_img x)$ 
    by presburger
qed

```

Invariance

lemma *closest-dist-invar-under-refl-rel-and-tot-invar-dist:*

fixes

$f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b$ **and**
 $domain_f :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $d :: 'a \text{ Distance}$ **and**
 $rel :: 'a \text{ rel}$

assumes

$reflp_on' domain_f (Restrp rel domain_f)$ **and**
 $total_invariance_{\mathcal{D}} d rel$

shows *is-symmetry (closest-preimg-distance f domain_f d) (Invariance rel)*

proof (*unfold is-symmetry.simps, intro allI impI ext*)

fix

$a b :: 'a$ **and**
 $y :: 'b$

assume $(a, b) \in rel$

hence $\forall c \in domain_f. d a c = d b c$

```

using assms
unfolding reflp-on'-def reflp-on-def rewrite-total-invarianceD
by blast
thus closest-preimg-distance f domainf d a y =
      closest-preimg-distance f domainf d b y
by simp
qed

```

lemma *refl-rel-and-tot-invar-dist-imp-invar-minimizer:*

```

fixes
  f :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b and
  domainf :: 'a set and
  d :: 'a Distance and
  rel :: 'a rel and
  img :: 'b set
assumes
  reflp-on' domainf (Restrp rel domainf) and
  total-invarianceD d rel
shows is-symmetry (minimizer f domainf d img) (Invariance rel)
proof –
  have is-symmetry (closest-preimg-distance f domainf d) (Invariance rel)
    using assms closest-dist-invar-under-refl-rel-and-tot-invar-dist
    by metis
  thus ?thesis
    by simp
qed

```

theorem *group-act-invar-dist-and-invar-f-imp-invar-minimizer:*

```

fixes
  f :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b and
  domainf A :: 'a set and
  d :: 'a Distance and
  img :: 'b set and
  G :: 'c monoid and
   $\varphi$  :: ('c, 'a) binary-fun
defines
  rel  $\equiv$  action-induced-rel (carrier G) A  $\varphi$  and
  rel'  $\equiv$  action-induced-rel (carrier G) domainf  $\varphi$ 
assumes
  action- $\varphi$ : group-action G A  $\varphi$  and
  dom-in-A: domainf  $\subseteq$  A and
  closed-domain: closed-restricted-rel rel A domainf and
  invar-d: invarianceD d (carrier G) A  $\varphi$  and
  invar-f: is-symmetry f (Invariance rel')
shows is-symmetry (minimizer f domainf d img) (Invariance rel)
proof –
  let
    ? $\psi$  =  $\lambda$  g. id and
    ?img =  $\lambda$  x. img

```

```

have is-symmetry  $f$  (action-induced-equivariance (carrier  $G$ )  $\text{domain}_f \varphi$   $? \psi$ )
  using invar-f rewrite-invar-as-equivar
  unfolding rel'-def
  by blast
moreover have group-action  $G$  UNIV  $? \psi$ 
  using const-id-is-group-action action- $\varphi$ 
  unfolding group-action-def group-hom-def
  by blast
moreover have
  is-symmetry  $? \text{img}$  (action-induced-equivariance (carrier  $G$ )  $A \varphi$  (set-action  $? \psi$ ))
  unfolding action-induced-equivariance-def
  by fastforce
ultimately have
  is-symmetry  $(\lambda x. \text{minimizer } f \text{ domain}_f d \text{ } (? \text{img } x) x)$ 
    (action-induced-equivariance (carrier  $G$ )  $A \varphi$  (set-action  $? \psi$ ))
  using group-action-invar-dist-and-equivar-f-imp-equivar-minimizer [of
    - - - -  $? \text{img}$ ] assms
  by blast
thus  $? \text{thesis}$ 
  unfolding rel-def set-action.simps
  using rewrite-invar-as-equivar image-id
  by metis
qed

```

5.6.2 Minimizer Translation

lemma *$\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}$ -is-preimg:*

```

fixes
   $d :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election Distance}$  and
   $C :: ('a, 'v, 'r) \text{ Result Consensus-Class}$  and
   $E :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}$  and
   $w :: 'r$ 
shows preimg (elect-r  $\circ$  fun $_{\mathcal{E}}$  (rule- $\mathcal{K}$   $C$ )) (elections- $\mathcal{K}$   $C$ )  $\{w\} = \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}} \ C \ w$ 
proof -
have preimg (elect-r  $\circ$  fun $_{\mathcal{E}}$  (rule- $\mathcal{K}$   $C$ )) (elections- $\mathcal{K}$   $C$ )  $\{w\} =$ 
   $\{E \in \text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C.$ 
    elect (rule- $\mathcal{K}$   $C$ ) (voters- $\mathcal{E}$   $E$ ) (alternatives- $\mathcal{E}$   $E$ ) (profile- $\mathcal{E}$   $E$ )  $= \{w\}\}$ 
  by simp
also have  $\dots =$ 
  elections- $\mathcal{K}$   $C$ 
     $\cap \{E. \text{elect } (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C) (\text{voters-}\mathcal{E} \ E) (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) (\text{profile-}\mathcal{E} \ E) = \{w\}\}$ 
  by blast
finally show preimg (elect-r  $\circ$  fun $_{\mathcal{E}}$  (rule- $\mathcal{K}$   $C$ )) (elections- $\mathcal{K}$   $C$ )  $\{w\} = \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}} \ C \ w$ 
  by force
qed

```

lemma *score-is-closest-preimg-dist:*

```

fixes
   $d :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election Distance}$  and

```


$C :: ('a, 'v, 'r \text{ Result}) \text{ Consensus-Class}$ **and**
 $E :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}$ **and**
 $w :: 'r$
shows $\text{score } d \ C \ E \ w =$
 $\text{closest-preimg-distance } (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ d \ E \ \{w\}$
proof –
have $\text{score } d \ C \ E \ w = \text{Inf } (d \ E \ ' (\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}} \ C \ w))$
by *simp*
moreover **have** $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}} \ C \ w = \text{preimg } (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ \{w\}$
using $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}\text{-is-preimg}$
by *metis*
moreover **have**
 $\text{Inf } (d \ E \ ' (\text{preimg } (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ \{w\})) =$
 $\text{closest-preimg-distance } (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ d \ E \ \{w\}$
by *simp*
ultimately show *?thesis*
by *simp*
qed

lemma (*in result*) $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}\text{-is-minimizer}$:

fixes

$d :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election Distance}$ **and**

$C :: ('a, 'v, 'r \text{ Result}) \text{ Consensus-Class}$

shows $\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ C) =$

$(\lambda E. \bigcup (\text{minimizer } (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ d$
 $(\text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV})) \ E))$

proof

fix $E :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}$

let $?min = (\text{minimizer } (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ d$
 $(\text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV})) \ E)$

have $?min =$

arg-min-set

$(\text{closest-preimg-distance } (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ d \ E)$
 $(\text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV}))$

by *simp*

also have

$\dots = \text{singleton-set-system}$

$(\text{arg-min-set } (\text{score } d \ C \ E) (\text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV}))$

proof (*safe*)

fix $R :: 'r \text{ set}$

assume

$\text{min: } R \in \text{arg-min-set}$

$(\text{closest-preimg-distance}$

$(\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ d \ E)$

$(\text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV}))$

hence $R \in \text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV})$

using $\text{arg-min-subset subsetD}$

by (*metis* *(no-types, lifting)*)

then obtain $r :: 'r$ **where**
res-singleton: $R = \{r\}$ **and**
r-in-lim-set: $r \in \text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ UNIV$
by auto
have $\nexists R'. R' \in \text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ UNIV)$
 $\wedge \text{closest-preimg-distance}$
 $(\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ d \ E \ R'$
 $< \text{closest-preimg-distance}$
 $(\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ d \ E \ R$
using *min arg-min-set.simps is-arg-min-def CollectD*
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting)*)
hence $\nexists r'. r' \in \text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ UNIV$
 $\wedge \text{closest-preimg-distance}$
 $(\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ d \ E \ \{r'\}$
 $< \text{closest-preimg-distance}$
 $(\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ d \ E \ \{r\}$
using *res-singleton*
by auto
hence $r \in \text{arg-min-set } (\text{score } d \ C \ E) (\text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ UNIV)$
using *score-is-closest-preimg-dist r-in-lim-set CollectI*
 $\text{arg-min-set.simps is-arg-min-def}$
by metis
thus $R \in \text{singleton-set-system}$
 $(\text{arg-min-set } (\text{score } d \ C \ E) (\text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ UNIV))$
using *res-singleton*
by simp
next
fix $R :: 'r \text{ set}$
assume
 $R \in \text{singleton-set-system}$
 $(\text{arg-min-set } (\text{score } d \ C \ E) (\text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ UNIV))$
then obtain $r :: 'r$ **where**
res-singleton: $R = \{r\}$ **and**
r-min-lim-set:
 $r \in \text{arg-min-set } (\text{score } d \ C \ E) (\text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ UNIV)$
by auto
hence $\nexists r'. r' \in \text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ UNIV$
 $\wedge \text{score } d \ C \ E \ r' < \text{score } d \ C \ E \ r$
using *CollectD arg-min-set.simps is-arg-min-def*
by metis
hence
 $\nexists r'. r' \in \text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ UNIV$
 $\wedge \text{closest-preimg-distance}$
 $(\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ d \ E \ \{r'\}$
 $< \text{closest-preimg-distance}$
 $(\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ d \ E \ \{r\}$
using *score-is-closest-preimg-dist*
by metis
moreover have

$\forall R' \in \text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV}).$
 $\exists r' \in \text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV}. R' = \{r'\}$
by auto
ultimately have
 $\nexists R'. R' \in \text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV})$
 $\wedge \text{closest-preimg-distance}$
 $(\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ d \ E \ R'$
 $< \text{closest-preimg-distance}$
 $(\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ d \ E \ R$
using res-singleton
by auto
moreover have
 $R \in \text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV})$
using r-min-lim-set res-singleton arg-min-subset
by fastforce
ultimately show
 $R \in \text{arg-min-set}$
 $(\text{closest-preimg-distance}$
 $(\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ d \ E)$
 $(\text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV})))$
using arg-min-set.simps is-arg-min-def CollectI
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
qed
also have
 $(\text{arg-min-set } (\text{score } d \ C \ E) (\text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV})) =$
 $\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ C) \ E$
by simp
finally have $\bigcup \ ?min = \bigcup (\text{singleton-set-system } (\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ C) \ E))$
by presburger
thus $\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ C) \ E = \bigcup \ ?min$
using un-left-inv-singleton-set-system
by auto
qed

Invariance

theorem (in result) tot-invar-dist-imp-invar-dr-rule:

fixes

$d :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election Distance}$ **and**

$C :: ('a, 'v, 'r \text{ Result}) \text{ Consensus-Class}$ **and**

$\text{rel} :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election rel}$

assumes

$r\text{-refl}: \text{reflp-on}' (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) (\text{Restrp rel } (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C))$ **and**

$\text{tot-invar-d}: \text{total-invariance}_{\mathcal{D}} \ d \ \text{rel}$ **and**

$\text{invar-res}:$

$\text{is-symmetry } (\lambda E. \text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV}) (\text{Invariance rel})$

shows $\text{is-symmetry } (\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{distance-}\mathcal{R} \ d \ C)) (\text{Invariance rel})$

proof —

let $\ ?min =$

$\lambda E. \bigcup \circ (\text{minimizer } (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ d$
 $(\text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV})))$
have $\forall E. \text{is-symmetry } (?min \ E) \ (\text{Invariance rel})$
using $r\text{-refl tot-invar-d invar-comp}$
 $\text{refl-rel-and-tot-invar-dist-imp-invar-minimizer}$
by *blast*
moreover have $\text{is-symmetry } ?min \ (\text{Invariance rel})$
using *invar-res*
by *auto*
ultimately have $\text{is-symmetry } (\lambda E. ?min \ E \ E) \ (\text{Invariance rel})$
using *invar-parameterized-fun[of ?min]*
by *blast*
also have $(\lambda E. ?min \ E \ E) = \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ C)$
using *$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}$ -is-minimizer*
unfolding *comp-def fun $_{\mathcal{E}}$.sims*
by *metis*
finally have $\text{invar-}\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}: \text{is-symmetry } (\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ C)) \ (\text{Invariance rel})$
by *simp*
hence
 $\text{is-symmetry } (\lambda E. \text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV} - \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ C) \ E)$
 (Invariance rel)
using *invar-res*
by *fastforce*
thus $\text{is-symmetry } (\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{distance-}\mathcal{R} \ d \ C)) \ (\text{Invariance rel})$
using *invar-}\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}*
by *auto*
qed

theorem (*in result*) *invar-dist-cons-imp-invar-dr-rule:*
fixes
 $d :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election Distance}$ **and**
 $C :: ('a, 'v, 'r \text{ Result}) \text{ Consensus-Class}$ **and**
 $G :: 'b \text{ monoid}$ **and**
 $\varphi :: ('b, ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}) \text{ binary-fun}$ **and**
 $B :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election set}$
defines
 $\text{rel} \equiv \text{action-induced-rel } (\text{carrier } G) \ B \ \varphi$ **and**
 $\text{rel}' \equiv \text{action-induced-rel } (\text{carrier } G) \ (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ \varphi$
assumes
 $\text{action-}\varphi: \text{group-action } G \ B \ \varphi$ **and**
 $\text{consensus-}C\text{-in-}B: \text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C \subseteq B$ **and**
closed-domain:
 $\text{closed-restricted-rel rel } B \ (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C)$ **and**
invar-res:
 $\text{is-symmetry } (\lambda E. \text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV}) \ (\text{Invariance rel})$ **and**
 $\text{invar-d: invariance}_{\mathcal{D}} \ d \ (\text{carrier } G) \ B \ \varphi$ **and**
 $\text{invar-}C\text{-winners: is-symmetry } (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) \ (\text{Invariance rel})$
shows $\text{is-symmetry } (\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{distance-}\mathcal{R} \ d \ C)) \ (\text{Invariance rel})$
proof –

let $?min =$
 $\lambda E. \bigcup \circ (\text{minimizer } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ d$
 $\quad (\text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV})))$
have $\forall E. \text{is-symmetry } (?min \ E) \ (\text{Invariance rel})$
using $\text{action-}\varphi \ \text{closed-domain} \ \text{consensus-}C\text{-in-}B \ \text{invar-}d \ \text{invar-}C\text{-winners}$
 $\text{group-act-invar-dist-and-invar-f-imp-invar-minimizer rel-def}$
 $\text{rel'-def invar-comp}$
by $(\text{metis } (\text{no-types, lifting}))$
moreover have $\text{is-symmetry } ?min \ (\text{Invariance rel})$
using invar-res
by auto
ultimately have $\text{is-symmetry } (\lambda E. ?min \ E \ E) \ (\text{Invariance rel})$
using $\text{invar-parameterized-fun}[of \ ?min]$
by blast
also have $(\lambda E. ?min \ E \ E) = \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ C)$
using $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}\text{-is-minimizer}$
unfolding $\text{comp-def fun}_{\mathcal{E}}.\text{simps}$
by metis
finally have $\text{invar-}\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}:$
 $\text{is-symmetry } (\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ C)) \ (\text{Invariance rel})$
by simp
hence $\text{is-symmetry } (\lambda E. \text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV} -$
 $\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ C) \ E) \ (\text{Invariance rel})$
using invar-res
by fastforce
thus $\text{is-symmetry } (\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{distance-}\mathcal{R} \ d \ C)) \ (\text{Invariance rel})$
using $\text{invar-}\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}$
by simp
qed

Equivariance

theorem (**in result**) $\text{invar-dist-equivar-cons-imp-equivar-dr-rule:}$

fixes

$d :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election Distance}$ **and**
 $C :: ('a, 'v, 'r) \text{ Result Consensus-Class}$ **and**
 $G :: 'b \text{ monoid}$ **and**
 $\varphi :: ('b, ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}) \text{ binary-fun}$ **and**
 $\psi :: ('b, 'r) \text{ binary-fun}$ **and**
 $B :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election set}$

defines

$\text{rel} \equiv \text{action-induced-rel } (\text{carrier } G) \ B \ \varphi$ **and**
 $\text{rel}' \equiv \text{action-induced-rel } (\text{carrier } G) \ (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ \varphi$ **and**
 $\text{equivar-prop} \equiv$
 $\text{action-induced-equivariance } (\text{carrier } G) \ (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C)$
 $\varphi \ (\text{set-action } \psi)$ **and**
 $\text{equivar-prop-global-set-valued} \equiv$
 $\text{action-induced-equivariance } (\text{carrier } G) \ B \ \varphi \ (\text{set-action } \psi)$ **and**
 $\text{equivar-prop-global-result-valued} \equiv$

action-induced-equivariance (*carrier* G) B φ (*result-action* ψ)
assumes
action- φ : *group-action* G B φ **and**
group-act-res: *group-action* G $UNIV$ ψ **and**
cons-elect-set: *elections- \mathcal{K}* $C \subseteq B$ **and**
closed-domain: *closed-restricted-rel* rel B (*elections- \mathcal{K}* C) **and**
equivar-res:
is-symmetry ($\lambda E. \text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ UNIV$)
equivar-prop-global-set-valued **and**
invar-d: *invariance \mathcal{D}* d (*carrier* G) B φ **and**
equivar- C -winners: *is-symmetry* (*elect-r* \circ *fun \mathcal{E}* (*rule- \mathcal{K}* C)) *equivar-prop*
shows *is-symmetry* (*fun \mathcal{E}* (*distance- \mathcal{R}* d C)) *equivar-prop-global-result-valued*
proof –
let $?min-E =$
 $\lambda E. \text{minimizer } (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ d$
 $(\text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ UNIV)) \ E$
let $?min =$
 $\lambda E. \bigcup \circ (\text{minimizer } (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ d$
 $(\text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ UNIV)))$
let $? \psi' = \text{set-action } (\text{set-action } \psi)$
let $?equivar-prop-global-set-valued' =$
action-induced-equivariance (*carrier* G) B φ $? \psi'$
have $\forall E \ g. g \in \text{carrier } G \longrightarrow E \in B \longrightarrow$
 $\text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ (\varphi \ g \ E)) \ UNIV) =$
 $\{\{r\} \mid r. r \in \text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ (\varphi \ g \ E)) \ UNIV\}$
by *simp*
moreover have
 $\forall E \ g. g \in \text{carrier } G \longrightarrow E \in B \longrightarrow$
 $\text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ (\varphi \ g \ E)) \ UNIV =$
 $\psi \ g \ ' (\text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ UNIV)$
using *equivar-res action- φ group-action.element-image*
unfolding *equivar-prop-global-set-valued-def action-induced-equivariance-def*
by *fastforce*
ultimately have $\forall E \ g. g \in \text{carrier } G \longrightarrow E \in B \longrightarrow$
 $\text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ (\varphi \ g \ E)) \ UNIV) =$
 $\{\{r\} \mid r. r \in \psi \ g \ ' (\text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ UNIV)\}$
by *simp*
moreover have
 $\forall E \ g. \{\{r\} \mid r. r \in \psi \ g \ ' (\text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ UNIV)\} =$
 $\{\psi \ g \ ' \{r\} \mid r. r \in \text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ UNIV\}$
by *blast*
moreover have
 $\forall E \ g. \{\psi \ g \ ' \{r\} \mid r. r \in \text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ UNIV\} =$
 $? \psi' \ g \ \{\{r\} \mid r. r \in \text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ UNIV\}$
unfolding *set-action.simps*
by *blast*
ultimately have
is-symmetry ($\lambda E. \text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ UNIV)$)
 $?equivar-prop-global-set-valued'$

using *rewrite-equivariance*[of
 $\lambda E. \text{ singleton-set-system } (\text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV})$
 $\text{carrier } G \ B \ \varphi \ ?\psi]$
by *force*
moreover have *group-action* $G \ \text{UNIV} \ (\text{set-action } \psi)$
unfolding *set-action.simps*
using *group-act-induces-set-group-act*[of - UNIV] *group-act-res*
by *simp*
ultimately have *is-symmetry* $?min\text{-}E \ ?\text{equivar-prop-global-set-valued}'$
using *action- φ invar-d cons-elect-set closed-domain equivar- C -winners*
group-action-invar-dist-and-equivar-f-imp-equivar-minimizer[of
 $G \ B \ \varphi \ \text{set-action } \psi \ \text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C$
 $\lambda E. \text{ singleton-set-system } (\text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV})$
 $d \ \text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)]$
unfolding *rel'-def rel-def equivar-prop-def*
by *metis*
moreover have
is-symmetry
 $\bigcup \ (\text{action-induced-equivariance}$
 $(\text{carrier } G) \ \text{UNIV} \ ?\psi' \ (\text{set-action } \psi))$
using *equivar-union-under-image-action*[of - ψ]
by *simp*
ultimately have *is-symmetry* $(\bigcup \circ ?min\text{-}E) \ \text{equivar-prop-global-set-valued}$
unfolding *equivar-prop-global-set-valued-def*
using *equivar-ind-by-action-comp*[of - UNIV]
by *simp*
moreover have $(\lambda E. \ ?min \ E \ E) = \bigcup \circ ?min\text{-}E$
unfolding *comp-def*
by *simp*
ultimately have
is-symmetry $(\lambda E. \ ?min \ E \ E) \ \text{equivar-prop-global-set-valued}$
by *simp*
moreover have $(\lambda E. \ ?min \ E \ E) = \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ C)$
using *$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}$ -is-minimizer*
unfolding *comp-def fun $_{\mathcal{E}}$.simps*
by *metis*
ultimately have *equivar- $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}$:*
is-symmetry $(\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ C)) \ \text{equivar-prop-global-set-valued}$
by *simp*
moreover have $\forall \ g \in \text{carrier } G. \ \text{bij } (\psi \ g)$
using *group-act-res*
unfolding *bij-betw-def*
by (*simp add: group-action.inj-prop group-action.surj-prop*)
ultimately have
is-symmetry $(\lambda E. \ \text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV} - \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ C) \ E)$
equivar-prop-global-set-valued
using *equivar-res equivar-set-minus*
unfolding *action-induced-equivariance-def set-action.simps*
equivar-prop-global-set-valued-def

by *blast*
 thus *is-symmetry* ($\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{distance-}\mathcal{R} \ d \ C)$) *equivar-prop-global-result-valued*
 using *equivar-}\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}
 unfolding *equivar-prop-global-result-valued-def*
 equivar-prop-global-set-valued-def
 rewrite-equivariance
 by *simp*
 qed*

5.6.3 Inference Rules

theorem (*in result*) *anon-dist-and-cons-imp-anon-dr*:
 fixes
 $d :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election Distance}$ **and**
 $C :: ('a, 'v, 'r \text{ Result}) \text{ Consensus-Class}$
 assumes
 anon-d: *distance-anonymity'* *well-formed-elections* d **and**
 anon-C: *consensus-rule-anonymity'* (*elections-}\mathcal{K} C) C **and**
 closed-C: *closed-restricted-rel* (*anonymity-}\mathcal{R} *well-formed-elections*)
 well-formed-elections (*elections-}\mathcal{K} C)
 shows *anonymity'* (*distance-}\mathcal{R} $d \ C$)
proof –
 have $\forall \pi. \forall E \in \text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C.$
 $\varphi\text{-anon} (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ \pi \ E = \varphi\text{-anon } \text{well-formed-elections} \ \pi \ E$
 using *cons-domain-valid extensional-continuation-subset*
 unfolding $\varphi\text{-anon.simps}$
 by *metis*
 hence *action-induced-rel* (*carrier bijection-}\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{G}}) (*elections-}\mathcal{K} C)
 $(\varphi\text{-anon } \text{well-formed-elections}) =$
 action-induced-rel (*carrier bijection-}\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{G}}) (*elections-}\mathcal{K} C)
 $(\varphi\text{-anon} (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C))$
 using *coinciding-actions-ind-equal-rel*
 by *metis*
 hence *is-symmetry* (*elect-r* $\circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)$)
 (*Invariance* (*action-induced-rel*
 (*carrier bijection-}\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{G}}) (*elections-}\mathcal{K} C) ($\varphi\text{-anon } \text{well-formed-elections}$)))
 using *anon-C*
 unfolding *consensus-rule-anonymity'.simps* *anonymity-}\mathcal{R}.simps*
 by *presburger*
 thus *?thesis*
 using *anon-d* *closed-C* *cons-domain-valid* *anonymous-group-action.group-action-axioms*
 anonymity-action-presv-symmetry *invar-dist-cons-imp-invar-dr-rule*
 unfolding *distance-anonymity'.simps* *anonymity-}\mathcal{R}.simps* *anonymity'.simps*
 anonymity-in.simps
 by *blast*
 qed**********

theorem (*in result-properties*) *neutr-dist-and-cons-imp-neutr-dr*:
 fixes

$d :: ('a, 'v)$ Election Distance **and**
 $C :: ('a, 'v, 'b \text{ Result})$ Consensus-Class
assumes
 $\text{neutral-d: distance-neutrality well-formed-elections } d$ **and**
 $\text{neutral-C: consensus-rule-neutrality (elections-}\mathcal{K}\text{ } C) C$ **and**
 $\text{closed-C: closed-restricted-rel (neutrality}_{\mathcal{R}} \text{ well-formed-elections)}$
 $\text{well-formed-elections (elections-}\mathcal{K}\text{ } C)$
shows $\text{neutrality (distance-}\mathcal{R}\text{ } d C)$
proof –
have $\forall \pi. \forall E \in \text{elections-}\mathcal{K}\text{ } C.$
 $\varphi\text{-neutral well-formed-elections } \pi E = \varphi\text{-neutral (elections-}\mathcal{K}\text{ } C) \pi E$
using $\text{cons-domain-valid extensional-continuation-subset}$
unfolding $\varphi\text{-neutral.simps}$
by metis
hence $\text{is-symmetry (elect-r } \circ \text{ fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \text{ (rule-}\mathcal{K}\text{ } C))$
 $\text{(action-induced-equivariance (carrier bijection}_{\mathcal{AG}}) \text{ (elections-}\mathcal{K}\text{ } C))}$
 $\text{(\varphi-neutral well-formed-elections) (set-action } \psi))$
using $\text{neutral-C equivar-ind-by-act-coincide}$
unfolding $\text{consensus-rule-neutrality.simps}$
by $(\text{metis (no-types, lifting)})$
thus $?thesis$
using $\text{neutral-d closed-C } \varphi\text{-neutral-action.group-action-axioms}$
 $\text{neutrality action-neutral cons-domain-valid[of } C]$
 $\text{invar-dist-equivar-cons-imp-equivar-dr-rule[of}$
 $\text{- } \varphi\text{-neutral well-formed-elections]}$
by simp
qed

theorem $\text{reversal-sym-dist-and-cons-imp-reversal-sym-dr:}$
fixes
 $d :: ('a, 'c)$ Election Distance **and**
 $C :: ('a, 'c, 'a \text{ rel Result})$ Consensus-Class
assumes
 $\text{reverse-sym-d: distance-reversal-symmetry well-formed-elections } d$ **and**
 $\text{reverse-sym-C: consensus-rule-reversal-symmetry (elections-}\mathcal{K}\text{ } C) C$ **and**
 $\text{closed-C: closed-restricted-rel (reversal}_{\mathcal{R}} \text{ well-formed-elections)}$
 $\text{well-formed-elections (elections-}\mathcal{K}\text{ } C)$
shows $\text{reversal-symmetry (SWF-result.distance-}\mathcal{R}\text{ } d C)$
proof –
have $\forall \pi. \forall E \in \text{elections-}\mathcal{K}\text{ } C.$
 $\varphi\text{-reverse well-formed-elections } \pi E = \varphi\text{-reverse (elections-}\mathcal{K}\text{ } C) \pi E$
using $\text{cons-domain-valid extensional-continuation-subset}$
unfolding $\varphi\text{-reverse.simps}$
by metis
hence $\text{is-symmetry (elect-r } \circ \text{ fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \text{ (rule-}\mathcal{K}\text{ } C))$
 $\text{(action-induced-equivariance (carrier reversal}_{\mathcal{G}}) \text{ (elections-}\mathcal{K}\text{ } C))}$
 $\text{(\varphi-reverse well-formed-elections) (set-action } \psi\text{-reverse))}$
using $\text{reverse-sym-C equivar-ind-by-act-coincide}$
unfolding $\text{consensus-rule-reversal-symmetry.simps}$

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*))
 thus ?*thesis*
 using *reverse-sym-d closed-C reversal-symmetry-action-presv-symmetry*
 SWF-result.invar-dist-equivar-cons-imp-equivar-dr-rule
 φ -*reverse-action.group-action-axioms cons-domain-valid*
 ψ -*reverse-action.group-action-axioms*
 unfolding *reversal-symmetry.simps reversal-symmetry-in-def*
 reversal_R.simps distance-reversal-symmetry.simps
 by *metis*
 qed

theorem (*in result*) *tot-hom-dist-imp-hom-dr*:
 fixes
 d :: ('*a*, *nat*) *Election Distance* and
 C :: ('*a*, *nat*, '*r Result*) *Consensus-Class*
 assumes *distance-homogeneity finite-elections-V d*
 shows *homogeneity (distance-R d C)*
proof –
 have *Restrp (homogeneity_R finite-elections-V) (elections-K C) =*
 homogeneity_R (elections-K C)
 using *cons-domain-finite*
 unfolding *homogeneity_R.simps finite-elections-V-def*
 by *blast*
 hence *reflp-on' (elections-K C)*
 (*Restrp (homogeneity_R finite-elections-V) (elections-K C)*)
 using *refl-homogeneity_R[of elections-K C] cons-domain-finite[of C]*
 by *presburger*
 moreover have
 is-symmetry (λ E. limit (alternatives-E E) UNIV)
 (*Invariance (homogeneity_R finite-elections-V)*)
 using *homogeneity-action-presv-symmetry*
 by *simp*
 ultimately show ?*thesis*
 using *assms tot-invar-dist-imp-invar-dr-rule*
 unfolding *distance-homogeneity-def homogeneity.simps homogeneity-in.simps*
 by *blast*
 qed

theorem (*in result*) *tot-hom-dist-imp-hom-dr'*:
 fixes
 d :: ('*a*, '*v* :: *linorder*) *Election Distance* and
 C :: ('*a*, '*v*, '*r Result*) *Consensus-Class*
 assumes *distance-homogeneity' finite-elections-V d*
 shows *homogeneity' (distance-R d C)*
proof (*unfold homogeneity'.simps homogeneity'-in.simps*)
 have *Restrp (homogeneity_R' finite-elections-V) (elections-K C) =*
 homogeneity_R' (elections-K C)
 using *cons-domain-finite*
 unfolding *homogeneity_R'.simps finite-elections-V-def*

```

    by blast
  hence reflp-on' (elections- $\mathcal{K}$  C)
    (Restrp (homogeneity $_{\mathcal{R}}$ ' finite-elections- $\mathcal{V}$ ) (elections- $\mathcal{K}$  C))
  using refl-homogeneity $_{\mathcal{R}}$ '[of elections- $\mathcal{K}$  C] cons-domain-finite[of C]
  by presburger
  moreover have
    is-symmetry ( $\lambda$  E. limit (alternatives- $\mathcal{E}$  E) UNIV)
      (Invariance (homogeneity $_{\mathcal{R}}$ ' finite-elections- $\mathcal{V}$ ))
  using homogeneity'-action-presv-symmetry
  by simp
  ultimately show
    is-symmetry (fun $_{\mathcal{E}}$  (distance- $\mathcal{R}$  d C)) (Invariance (homogeneity $_{\mathcal{R}}$ ' finite-elections- $\mathcal{V}$ ))
  using assms tot-invar-dist-imp-invar-dr-rule
  unfolding distance-homogeneity'-def
  by blast
qed

end

```

5.7 Distance Rationalization on Election Quotients

```

theory Quotient-Distance-Rationalization
  imports Quotient-Module
          Distance-Rationalization-Symmetry
begin

```

5.7.1 Distances

```

fun distance $_{\mathcal{Q}}$  :: 'x Distance  $\Rightarrow$  'x set Distance where
  distance $_{\mathcal{Q}}$  d A B = (if (A = {}  $\wedge$  B = {}) then 0 else
    (if (A = {}  $\vee$  B = {}) then  $\infty$  else
       $\pi_{\mathcal{Q}}$  (tup d) (A  $\times$  B)))

fun relation-paths :: 'x rel  $\Rightarrow$  'x list set where
  relation-paths r =
    {p.  $\exists$  k. (length p = 2 * k  $\wedge$  ( $\forall$  i < k. (p!(2 * i), p!(2 * i + 1))  $\in$  r))}

fun admissible-paths :: 'x rel  $\Rightarrow$  'x set  $\Rightarrow$  'x set  $\Rightarrow$  'x list set where
  admissible-paths r X Y =
    {x#p@[y] | x y p. x  $\in$  X  $\wedge$  y  $\in$  Y  $\wedge$  p  $\in$  relation-paths r}

fun path-length :: 'x list  $\Rightarrow$  'x Distance  $\Rightarrow$  ereal where
  path-length [] d = 0 |
  path-length [x] d = 0 |
  path-length (x#y#xs) d = d x y + path-length xs d

fun quotient-dist :: 'x rel  $\Rightarrow$  'x Distance  $\Rightarrow$  'x set Distance where

```

quotient-dist $r \ d \ A \ B =$
 $\text{Inf } (\bigcup \{ \{ \text{path-length } p \ d \mid p. p \in \text{admissible-paths } r \ A \ B \} \})$

fun *distance-infimum*_Q :: 'x Distance \Rightarrow 'x set Distance **where**
*distance-infimum*_Q $d \ A \ B = \text{Inf } \{ d \ a \ b \mid a \ b. a \in A \wedge b \in B \}$

fun *simple* :: 'x rel \Rightarrow 'x set \Rightarrow 'x Distance \Rightarrow bool **where**
simple $r \ X \ d =$
 $(\forall \ A \in X \ // \ r.$
 $(\exists \ a \in A. \forall \ B \in X \ // \ r.$
 $\text{distance-infimum}_Q \ d \ A \ B = \text{Inf } \{ d \ a \ b \mid b. b \in B \}))$

— We call a distance simple with respect to a relation if for all relation classes, there is an a in A that minimizes the infimum distance between A and all B such that the infimum distance between these sets coincides with the infimum distance over all b in B for a fixed a .

fun *product'* :: 'x rel \Rightarrow ('x * 'x) rel **where**
product' $r = \{ (p_1, p_2). ((fst \ p_1, fst \ p_2) \in r \wedge snd \ p_1 = snd \ p_2)$
 $\vee ((snd \ p_1, snd \ p_2) \in r \wedge fst \ p_1 = fst \ p_2) \}$

Auxiliary Lemmas

lemma *tot-dist-invariance-is-congruence*:

fixes

$d :: 'x \text{ Distance}$ **and**

$r :: 'x \text{ rel}$

shows (*total-invariance*_D $d \ r$) = (*tup* d respects (*product* r))

unfolding *total-invariance*_D.*simps is-symmetry.simps congruent-def*

by *blast*

lemma *product-helper*:

fixes

$r :: 'x \text{ rel}$ **and**

$X :: 'x \text{ set}$

shows

trans-imp: *Relation.trans* $r \longrightarrow \text{Relation.trans } (\text{product } r)$ **and**

refl-imp: *refl-on* $X \ r \longrightarrow \text{refl-on } (X \times X) (\text{product } r)$ **and**

sym: *sym-on* $X \ r \longrightarrow \text{sym-on } (X \times X) (\text{product } r)$

unfolding *Relation.trans-def refl-on-def sym-on-def product.simps*

by *auto*

theorem *dist-pass-to-quotient*:

fixes

$d :: 'x \text{ Distance}$ **and**

$r :: 'x \text{ rel}$ **and**

$X :: 'x \text{ set}$

assumes

equiv-X-r: *equiv* $X \ r$ **and**

tot-inv-dist-d-r: *total-invariance*_D $d \ r$

shows $\forall A B. A \in X // r \wedge B \in X // r$
 $\longrightarrow (\forall a b. a \in A \wedge b \in B \longrightarrow \text{distance}_{\mathcal{Q}} d A B = d a b)$
proof (*safe*)
fix
 $A B :: 'x \text{ set}$ **and**
 $a b :: 'x$
assume
 $a\text{-in-}A: a \in A$ **and**
 $A \in X // r$
moreover with *equiv-X-r quotient-eq-iff*
have $(a, a) \in r$
by *metis*
moreover with *equiv-X-r*
have $a\text{-in-}X: a \in X$
using *equiv-class-eq-iff*
by *metis*
ultimately have $A\text{-eq-r-}a: A = r `` \{a\}$
using *equiv-X-r quotient-eq-iff quotientI*
by *fast*
assume
 $b\text{-in-}B: b \in B$ **and**
 $B \in X // r$
moreover with *equiv-X-r quotient-eq-iff*
have $(b, b) \in r$
by *metis*
moreover with *equiv-X-r*
have $b\text{-in-}X: b \in X$
using *equiv-class-eq-iff*
by *metis*
ultimately have $B\text{-eq-r-}b: B = r `` \{b\}$
using *equiv-X-r quotient-eq-iff quotientI*
by *fast*
from $A\text{-eq-r-}a$ $B\text{-eq-r-}b$ $a\text{-in-}X$ $b\text{-in-}X$
have $A \times B \in (X \times X) // (\text{product } r)$
unfolding *quotient-def*
by *fastforce*
moreover have *equiv* $(X \times X)$ $(\text{product } r)$
using *equiv-X-r product-helper UNIV-Times-UNIV equivE equivI*
by *metis*
moreover have *tup d respects* $(\text{product } r)$
using *tot-inv-dist-d-r tot-dist-invariance-is-congruence*
by *metis*
ultimately show $\text{distance}_{\mathcal{Q}} d A B = d a b$
unfolding *distance_{\mathcal{Q}}.simps*
using *pass-to-quotient a-in-A b-in-B*
by *fastforce*
qed

lemma *relation-paths-subset:*

```

fixes
   $n :: \text{nat}$  and
   $p :: 'x \text{ list}$  and
   $r :: 'x \text{ rel}$  and
   $X :: 'x \text{ set}$ 
assumes  $r \subseteq X \times X$ 
shows  $\forall p. p \in \text{relation-paths } r \longrightarrow (\forall i < \text{length } p. p!i \in X)$ 
proof (safe)
fix
   $p :: 'x \text{ list}$  and
   $i :: \text{nat}$ 
assume  $p \in \text{relation-paths } r$ 
then obtain  $k :: \text{nat}$  where
   $\text{len-}p: \text{length } p = 2 * k$  and
   $\text{rel}: \forall i < k. (p!(2 * i), p!(2 * i + 1)) \in r$ 
by auto
moreover obtain  $k' :: \text{nat}$  where
   $i\text{-cases}: i = 2 * k' \vee i = 2 * k' + 1$ 
using diff-Suc-1 even-Suc oddE odd-two-times-div-two-nat
by metis
moreover assume  $i < \text{length } p$ 
ultimately have  $k' < k$ 
by linarith
thus  $p!i \in X$ 
using assms rel i-cases
by blast
qed

```

lemma *admissible-path-len:*

```

fixes
   $d :: 'x \text{ Distance}$  and
   $r :: 'x \text{ rel}$  and
   $X :: 'x \text{ set}$  and
   $a \ b :: 'x$  and
   $p :: 'x \text{ list}$ 
assumes refl-on X r
shows triangle-ineq  $X \ d \wedge p \in \text{relation-paths } r \wedge \text{total-invariance}_{\mathcal{D}} \ d \ r$ 
   $\wedge a \in X \wedge b \in X \longrightarrow \text{path-length } (a \# p @ [b]) \ d \geq d \ a \ b$ 
proof (clarify, induction p d arbitrary: a b rule: path-length.induct)
case (1  $d$ )
show  $d \ a \ b \leq \text{path-length } (a \# [] @ [b]) \ d$ 
by simp
next
case (2  $x \ d$ )
thus  $d \ a \ b \leq \text{path-length } (a \# [x] @ [b]) \ d$ 
by simp
next
case (3  $x \ y \ xs \ d$ )
assume

```

$ineq$: $triangle-ineq\ X\ d$ **and**
 $a-in-X$: $a \in X$ **and**
 $b-in-X$: $b \in X$ **and**
 rel : $x\#y\#xs \in relation-paths\ r$ **and**
 $invar$: $total-invariance_{\mathcal{D}}\ d\ r$ **and**
 hyp :
 $\bigwedge a\ b.\ triangle-ineq\ X\ d \implies xs \in relation-paths\ r$
 $\implies total-invariance_{\mathcal{D}}\ d\ r \implies a \in X \implies b \in X$
 $\implies d\ a\ b \leq path-length\ (a\#xs@[b])\ d$
then obtain $k :: nat$ **where**
 len : $length\ (x\#y\#xs) = 2 * k$
by $auto$
moreover have $\forall\ i < k - 1.\ (xs!(2 * i), xs!(2 * i + 1)) =$
 $((x\#y\#xs)!(2 * (i + 1)), (x\#y\#xs)!(2 * (i + 1) + 1))$
by $simp$
ultimately have $\forall\ i < k - 1.\ (xs!(2 * i), xs!(2 * i + 1)) \in r$
using $rel\ less-diff-conv$
unfolding $relation-paths.simps$
by $fastforce$
moreover have $length\ xs = 2 * (k - 1)$
using len
by $simp$
ultimately have $xs \in relation-paths\ r$
by $simp$
hence $\forall\ x\ y.\ x \in X \wedge y \in X \longrightarrow d\ x\ y \leq path-length\ (x\#xs@[y])\ d$
using $ineq\ invar\ hyp$
by $blast$
moreover have
 $path-length\ (a\#(x\#y\#xs)@[b])\ d = d\ a\ x + path-length\ (y\#xs@[b])\ d$
by $simp$
moreover have $x-rel-y$: $(x, y) \in r$
using rel
unfolding $relation-paths.simps$
by $fastforce$
ultimately have $path-length\ (a\#(x\#y\#xs)@[b])\ d \geq d\ a\ x + d\ y\ b$
using $assms\ add-left-mono\ assms\ refl-onD2\ b-in-X$
unfolding $refl-on-def$
by $metis$
moreover have $d\ a\ x + d\ y\ b = d\ a\ x + d\ x\ b$
using $invar\ x-rel-y\ rewrite-total-invariance_{\mathcal{D}}\ assms\ b-in-X$
unfolding $refl-on-def$
by $fastforce$
moreover have $d\ a\ x + d\ x\ b \geq d\ a\ b$
using $a-in-X\ b-in-X\ x-rel-y\ assms\ ineq$
unfolding $refl-on-def\ triangle-ineq-def$
by $auto$
ultimately show $d\ a\ b \leq path-length\ (a\#(x\#y\#xs)@[b])\ d$
by $simp$
qed

```

lemma quotient-dist-coincides-with-distQ:
  fixes
     $d :: 'x \text{ Distance}$  and
     $r :: 'x \text{ rel}$  and
     $X :: 'x \text{ set}$ 
  assumes
    equiv:  $\text{equiv } X \ r$  and
    tri:  $\text{triangle-ineq } X \ d$  and
    invar:  $\text{total-invariance}_{\mathcal{D}} \ d \ r$ 
  shows  $\forall A \in X \ // \ r. \forall B \in X \ // \ r. \text{quotient-dist } r \ d \ A \ B = \text{distance}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ d \ A \ B$ 
proof (clarify)
  fix  $A \ B :: 'x \text{ set}$ 
  assume
     $A\text{-in-quot-}X: A \in X \ // \ r$  and
     $B\text{-in-quot-}X: B \in X \ // \ r$ 
  then obtain
     $a \ b :: 'x$  where
       $el: a \in A \wedge b \in B$  and
       $def\text{-}dist: \text{distance}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ d \ A \ B = d \ a \ b$ 
  using dist-pass-to-quotient assms in-quotient-imp-non-empty ex-in-conv
  by (metis (full-types))
  have  $b \in X$ 
  using  $B\text{-in-quot-}X \ el \ \text{equiv} \ \text{quotient-eq-iff} \ \text{equiv} \ \text{equiv-class-eq-iff}$ 
  by metis
  hence  $B = r \text{ `` } \{b\}$ 
  using equiv-class-self  $B\text{-in-quot-}X \ el \ \text{equiv} \ \text{quotientI} \ \text{quotient-eq-iff}$ 
  by metis
  moreover have  $a \in X$ 
  using  $A\text{-in-quot-}X \ el \ \text{equiv} \ \text{quotient-eq-iff} \ \text{equiv} \ \text{equiv-class-eq-iff}$ 
  by metis
  ultimately have  $\text{equiv-class}: A = r \text{ `` } \{a\} \wedge B = r \text{ `` } \{b\}$ 
  using  $A\text{-in-quot-}X \ el \ \text{equiv} \ \text{quotientI} \ \text{quotient-eq-iff}$ 
  by slow
  have  $\forall p \in \text{admissible-paths } r \ A \ B.$ 
     $(\exists p' \ x \ y. x \in A \wedge y \in B \wedge p' \in \text{relation-paths } r \wedge p = x \# p' @ [y])$ 
  unfolding admissible-paths.simps
  by blast
  moreover have  $\forall x \ y. x \in A \wedge y \in B \longrightarrow d \ x \ y = d \ a \ b$ 
  using invar equiv-class
  by auto
  moreover have refl-on  $X \ r$ 
  using equiv
  unfolding equiv-def
  by blast
  moreover have  $r \subseteq X \times X \wedge A \subseteq X \wedge B \subseteq X$ 
  using assms  $A\text{-in-quot-}X \ B\text{-in-quot-}X \ \text{Union-quotient} \ \text{Union-upper}$ 
  unfolding equiv-def refl-on-def
  by metis

```


ultimately have $\forall p. p \in \text{admissible-paths } r \ A \ B \longrightarrow \text{path-length } p \ d \geq d \ a \ b$
using *admissible-path-len[of X r d] tri el invar in-mono*
by *metis*
hence $\forall l. l \in \bigcup \{ \{ \text{path-length } p \ d \mid p. p \in \text{admissible-paths } r \ A \ B \} \}$
 $\longrightarrow l \geq d \ a \ b$
by *blast*
hence *geq: quotient-dist r d A B \geq distance_Q d A B*
unfolding *quotient-dist.simps le-Inf-iff*
using *def-dist*
by *simp*
have $[a, b] \in \text{admissible-paths } r \ A \ B$
using *el*
by *simp*
moreover have *path-length [a, b] d = d a b*
by *simp*
ultimately have *quotient-dist r d A B \leq d a b*
unfolding *quotient-dist.simps*
using *CollectI Inf-lower ccpo-Sup-singleton*
by *(metis (mono-tags, lifting))*
thus *quotient-dist r d A B = distance_Q d A B*
using *geq def-dist nle-le*
by *metis*
qed

lemma *inf-dist-coincides-with-dist_Q:*

fixes
 $d :: 'x \ \text{Distance}$ **and**
 $r :: 'x \ \text{rel}$ **and**
 $X :: 'x \ \text{set}$
assumes
 $\text{equiv-}X\text{-}r$: *equiv X r* **and**
 $\text{tot-inv-d-}r$: *total-invariance_D d r*
shows $\forall A \in X // r. \forall B \in X // r.$
 $\text{distance-infimum}_Q \ d \ A \ B = \text{distance}_Q \ d \ A \ B$
proof *(clarify)*
fix $A \ B :: 'x \ \text{set}$
assume
 $A\text{-in-quot-}X$: $A \in X // r$ **and**
 $B\text{-in-quot-}X$: $B \in X // r$
then obtain
 $a \ b :: 'x$ **where**
 el : $a \in A \wedge b \in B$ **and**
 def-dist : $\text{distance}_Q \ d \ A \ B = d \ a \ b$
using *dist-pass-to-quotient equiv-X-r tot-inv-d-r*
 $\text{in-quotient-imp-non-empty ex-in-conv}$
by *(metis (full-types))*
from *def-dist equiv-X-r tot-inv-d-r*
have $\forall x \ y. x \in A \wedge y \in B \longrightarrow d \ x \ y = d \ a \ b$
using *dist-pass-to-quotient A-in-quot-X B-in-quot-X*

```

    by force
  hence  $\{d\ x\ y \mid x\ y.\ x \in A \wedge y \in B\} = \{d\ a\ b\}$ 
    using el
    by blast
  thus  $\text{distance-infimum}_{\mathcal{Q}}\ d\ A\ B = \text{distance}_{\mathcal{Q}}\ d\ A\ B$ 
    unfolding distance-infimum $_{\mathcal{Q}}$ .simps
    using def-dist
    by simp
qed

lemma inf-helper:
  fixes
    A B :: 'x set and
    d :: 'x Distance
  shows  $\text{Inf}\ \{d\ a\ b \mid a\ b.\ a \in A \wedge b \in B\} =$ 
     $\text{Inf}\ \{\text{Inf}\ \{d\ a\ b \mid b.\ b \in B\} \mid a.\ a \in A\}$ 
proof -
  have  $\forall\ a\ b.\ a \in A \wedge b \in B \longrightarrow \text{Inf}\ \{d\ a\ b \mid b.\ b \in B\} \leq d\ a\ b$ 
    using INF-lower Setcompr-eq-image
    by metis
  hence  $\forall\ \alpha \in \{d\ a\ b \mid a\ b.\ a \in A \wedge b \in B\}.$ 
     $\exists\ \beta \in \{\text{Inf}\ \{d\ a\ b \mid b.\ b \in B\} \mid a.\ a \in A\}.\ \beta \leq \alpha$ 
    by blast
  hence  $\text{Inf}\ \{\text{Inf}\ \{d\ a\ b \mid b.\ b \in B\} \mid a.\ a \in A\}$ 
     $\leq \text{Inf}\ \{d\ a\ b \mid a\ b.\ a \in A \wedge b \in B\}$ 
    using Inf-mono
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  moreover have
     $\neg (\text{Inf}\ \{\text{Inf}\ \{d\ a\ b \mid b.\ b \in B\} \mid a.\ a \in A\}$ 
       $< \text{Inf}\ \{d\ a\ b \mid a\ b.\ a \in A \wedge b \in B\})$ 
  proof (rule ccontr, safe)
    assume  $\text{Inf}\ \{\text{Inf}\ \{d\ a\ b \mid b.\ b \in B\} \mid a.\ a \in A\}$ 
       $< \text{Inf}\ \{d\ a\ b \mid a\ b.\ a \in A \wedge b \in B\}$ 
    then obtain  $\alpha :: \text{ereal}$  where
      inf:  $\alpha \in \{\text{Inf}\ \{d\ a\ b \mid b.\ b \in B\} \mid a.\ a \in A\}$  and
      less:  $\alpha < \text{Inf}\ \{d\ a\ b \mid a\ b.\ a \in A \wedge b \in B\}$ 
      using Inf-less-iff
      by (metis (no-types, lifting))
    then obtain  $a :: 'x$  where
      a-in-A:  $a \in A$  and
       $\alpha = \text{Inf}\ \{d\ a\ b \mid b.\ b \in B\}$ 
      by blast
    with less
      have inf-less:  $\text{Inf}\ \{d\ a\ b \mid b.\ b \in B\} < \text{Inf}\ \{d\ a\ b \mid a\ b.\ a \in A \wedge b \in B\}$ 
      by blast
    have  $\{d\ a\ b \mid b.\ b \in B\} \subseteq \{d\ a\ b \mid a\ b.\ a \in A \wedge b \in B\}$ 
      using a-in-A
      by blast
    hence  $\text{Inf}\ \{d\ a\ b \mid a\ b.\ a \in A \wedge b \in B\} \leq \text{Inf}\ \{d\ a\ b \mid b.\ b \in B\}$ 

```

```

    using Inf-superset-mono
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  with inf-less
  show False
    using linorder-not-less
    by simp
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
  by simp
qed

lemma invar-dist-simple:
  fixes
    d :: 'y Distance and
    G :: 'x monoid and
    Y :: 'y set and
     $\varphi :: ('x, 'y) \text{ binary-fun}$ 
  assumes
    action- $\varphi$ : group-action G Y  $\varphi$  and
    invar: invarianceD d (carrier G) Y  $\varphi$ 
  shows simple (action-induced-rel (carrier G) Y  $\varphi$ ) Y d
proof (unfold simple.simps, safe)
  fix A :: 'y set
  assume classY:  $A \in Y$  // action-induced-rel (carrier G) Y  $\varphi$ 
  moreover have equiv-rel:
    equiv Y (action-induced-rel (carrier G) Y  $\varphi$ )
  using assms rel-ind-by-group-act-equiv
  by blast
  ultimately obtain a :: 'y where
    a-in-A:  $a \in A$ 
  using equiv-Eps-in
  by blast
  have subset:  $\forall B \in Y // \text{action-induced-rel (carrier G) Y } \varphi. B \subseteq Y$ 
  using equiv-rel in-quotient-imp-subset
  by blast
  hence  $\forall B \in Y // \text{action-induced-rel (carrier G) Y } \varphi.$ 
     $\forall B' \in Y // \text{action-induced-rel (carrier G) Y } \varphi.$ 
       $\forall b \in B. \forall c \in B'. b \in Y \wedge c \in Y$ 
  using classY
  by blast
  hence eq-dist:
     $\forall B \in Y // \text{action-induced-rel (carrier G) Y } \varphi.$ 
       $\forall B' \in Y // \text{action-induced-rel (carrier G) Y } \varphi.$ 
         $\forall b \in B. \forall c \in B'. \forall g \in \text{carrier G}.$ 
           $d(\varphi g c)(\varphi g b) = d c b$ 
  using invar rewrite-invarianceD classY
  by metis
  have  $\forall b \in Y. \forall g \in \text{carrier G}.$ 
     $(b, \varphi g b) \in \text{action-induced-rel (carrier G) Y } \varphi$ 

```

```

unfolding action-induced-rel.simps
using group-action.element-image action-φ
by fastforce
hence  $\forall b \in Y. \forall g \in \text{carrier } G.$ 
 $\varphi g b \in \text{action-induced-rel } (\text{carrier } G) Y \varphi \text{ `` } \{b\}$ 
unfolding Image-def
by blast
moreover have equiv-class:
 $\forall B. B \in Y // \text{action-induced-rel } (\text{carrier } G) Y \varphi \longrightarrow$ 
 $(\forall b \in B. B = \text{action-induced-rel } (\text{carrier } G) Y \varphi \text{ `` } \{b\})$ 
using Image-singleton-iff equiv-class-eq-iff equiv-rel
 $\text{quotientI quotient-eq-iff}$ 
by meson
ultimately have closed-class:
 $\forall B \in Y // \text{action-induced-rel } (\text{carrier } G) Y \varphi.$ 
 $\forall b \in B. \forall g \in \text{carrier } G. \varphi g b \in B$ 
using equiv-rel subset
by blast
with eq-dist classY
have a-subset-A:
 $\forall B \in Y // \text{action-induced-rel } (\text{carrier } G) Y \varphi.$ 
 $\{d a b \mid b. b \in B\} \subseteq \{d a b \mid a b. a \in A \wedge b \in B\}$ 
using a-in-A
by blast
have  $\forall a' \in A. A = \text{action-induced-rel } (\text{carrier } G) Y \varphi \text{ `` } \{a'\}$ 
using classY equiv-rel equiv-class
by presburger
hence  $\forall a' \in A. (a', a) \in \text{action-induced-rel } (\text{carrier } G) Y \varphi$ 
using a-in-A
by blast
hence  $\forall a' \in A. \exists g \in \text{carrier } G. \varphi g a' = a$ 
by simp
hence  $\forall B \in Y // \text{action-induced-rel } (\text{carrier } G) Y \varphi.$ 
 $\forall a' b. a' \in A \wedge b \in B \longrightarrow (\exists g \in \text{carrier } G. d a' b = d a (\varphi g b))$ 
using eq-dist classY
by metis
hence  $\forall B \in Y // \text{action-induced-rel } (\text{carrier } G) Y \varphi.$ 
 $\forall a' b. a' \in A \wedge b \in B \longrightarrow d a' b \in \{d a b \mid b. b \in B\}$ 
using closed-class mem-Collect-eq
by fastforce
hence  $\forall B \in Y // \text{action-induced-rel } (\text{carrier } G) Y \varphi.$ 
 $\{d a b \mid b. b \in B\} \supseteq \{d a b \mid a b. a \in A \wedge b \in B\}$ 
using closed-class
by blast
with a-subset-A
have  $\forall B \in Y // \text{action-induced-rel } (\text{carrier } G) Y \varphi.$ 
 $\text{distance-infimum}_{\mathcal{Q}} d A B = \text{Inf } \{d a b \mid b. b \in B\}$ 
unfolding distance-infimum_{\mathcal{Q}}.simps
by fastforce

```

thus $\exists a \in A. \forall B \in Y // \text{action-induced-rel } (\text{carrier } G) Y \varphi.$
 $\text{distance-infimum}_{\mathcal{Q}} d A B = \text{Inf } \{d a b \mid b. b \in B\}$
using *a-in-A*
by *blast*
qed

lemma *tot-invar-dist-simple*:
fixes
 $d :: 'x \text{ Distance}$ **and**
 $r :: 'x \text{ rel}$ **and**
 $X :: 'x \text{ set}$
assumes
 $\text{equiv-on-}X$: $\text{equiv } X r$ **and**
 invar : $\text{total-invariance}_{\mathcal{D}} d r$
shows $\text{simple } r X d$
proof (*unfold simple.simps, safe*)
fix $A :: 'x \text{ set}$
assume $A\text{-quot-}X$: $A \in X // r$
then obtain $a :: 'x$ **where**
 $a\text{-in-}A$: $a \in A$
using $\text{equiv-on-}X \text{ equiv-Eps-in}$
by *blast*
have $\forall a \in A. A = r `` \{a\}$
using $A\text{-quot-}X \text{ Image-singleton-iff equiv-class-eq equiv-on-}X \text{ quotientE}$
by *metis*
hence $\forall a a'. a \in A \wedge a' \in A \longrightarrow (a, a') \in r$
by *blast*
moreover have $\forall B \in X // r. \forall b \in B. (b, b) \in r$
using $\text{equiv-on-}X \text{ quotient-eq-iff}$
by *metis*
ultimately have
 $\forall B \in X // r. \forall a a' b. a \in A \wedge a' \in A \wedge b \in B \longrightarrow d a b = d a' b$
using $\text{invar rewrite-total-invariance}_{\mathcal{D}}$
by *simp*
hence $\forall B \in X // r.$
 $\{d a b \mid a b. a \in A \wedge b \in B\} = \{d a b \mid a' b. a' \in A \wedge b \in B\}$
using $a\text{-in-}A$
by *blast*
moreover have
 $\forall B \in X // r. \{d a b \mid a' b. a' \in A \wedge b \in B\} =$
 $\{d a b \mid b. b \in B\}$
using $a\text{-in-}A$
by *blast*
ultimately have
 $\forall B \in X // r. \text{Inf } \{d a b \mid a b. a \in A \wedge b \in B\} =$
 $\text{Inf } \{d a b \mid b. b \in B\}$
by *simp*
hence $\forall B \in X // r. \text{distance-infimum}_{\mathcal{Q}} d A B =$
 $\text{Inf } \{d a b \mid b. b \in B\}$

```

    by simp
  thus  $\exists a \in A. \forall B \in X // r.$ 
    distance-infimumQ  $d A B = \text{Inf } \{d a b \mid b. b \in B\}$ 
    using a-in-A
    by blast
qed

```

5.7.2 Consensus and Results

```

fun elections- $\mathcal{K}_Q :: ('a, 'v)$  Election rel  $\Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'r)$  Result Consensus-Class  $\Rightarrow$ 
     $('a, 'v)$  Election set set where
    elections- $\mathcal{K}_Q$   $r C = (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} C) // r$ 

```

```

fun (in result) limitQ :: ('a, 'v) Election set  $\Rightarrow 'r$  set  $\Rightarrow 'r$  set where
    limitQ  $X \text{ res} = \bigcap \{ \text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} E) \text{ res} \mid E. E \in X \}$ 

```

Auxiliary Lemmas

```

lemma closed-under-equiv-rel-subset:
  fixes
     $X Y Z :: 'x$  set and
     $r :: 'x$  rel
  assumes
    equiv  $X r$  and
     $Y \subseteq X$  and
     $Z \subseteq X$  and
     $Z \in Y // r$  and
    closed-restricted-rel  $r X Y$ 
  shows  $Z \subseteq Y$ 
proof (safe)
  fix  $z :: 'x$ 
  assume  $z \in Z$ 
  then obtain  $y :: 'x$  where
     $y \in Y$  and
     $(y, z) \in r$ 
  using assms
  unfolding quotient-def Image-def
  by blast
  hence  $(y, z) \in r \cap Y \times X$ 
  using assms
  unfolding equiv-def refl-on-def
  by blast
  hence  $z \in \{z. \exists y \in Y. (y, z) \in r \cap Y \times X\}$ 
  by blast
  thus  $z \in Y$ 
  using assms
  unfolding closed-restricted-rel.simps restricted-rel.simps
  by blast
qed

```

lemma (in result) *limit-invar*:

fixes

$d :: ('a, 'v)$ Election Distance **and**

$r :: ('a, 'v)$ Election rel **and**

$C :: ('a, 'v, 'r)$ Result Consensus-Class **and**

$X A :: ('a, 'v)$ Election set

assumes

quot-class: $A \in X // r$ **and**

equiv-rel: *equiv* $X r$ **and**

cons-subset: *elections*- \mathcal{K} $C \subseteq X$ **and**

invar-res: *is-symmetry* $(\lambda E. \text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV})$ (*Invariance* r)

shows $\forall a \in A. \text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ a) \ \text{UNIV} = \text{limit}_{\mathcal{Q}} A \ \text{UNIV}$

proof

fix $a :: ('a, 'v)$ Election

assume *a-in-A*: $a \in A$

hence $\forall b \in A. (a, b) \in r$

using *quot-class equiv-rel quotient-eq-iff*

by *metis*

hence $\forall b \in A.$

$\text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ b) \ \text{UNIV} = \text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ a) \ \text{UNIV}$

using *invar-res*

unfolding *is-symmetry.simps*

by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting)*)

hence $\text{limit}_{\mathcal{Q}} A \ \text{UNIV} = \bigcap \{ \text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ a) \ \text{UNIV} \}$

unfolding *limit_Q.simps*

using *a-in-A*

by *blast*

thus $\text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ a) \ \text{UNIV} = \text{limit}_{\mathcal{Q}} A \ \text{UNIV}$

by *simp*

qed

lemma (in result) *preimg-invar*:

fixes

$f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$ **and**

$\text{domain}_f X :: 'x$ set **and**

$d :: 'x$ Distance **and**

$r :: 'x$ rel

assumes

equiv-rel: *equiv* $X r$ **and**

cons-subset: $\text{domain}_f \subseteq X$ **and**

closed-domain: *closed-restricted-rel* $r X \text{domain}_f$ **and**

invar-f: *is-symmetry* f (*Invariance* (*Restr* $r \text{domain}_f$))

shows $\forall y. (\text{preimg } f \ \text{domain}_f \ y) // r = \text{preimg } (\pi_{\mathcal{Q}} f) (\text{domain}_f // r) \ y$

proof (*safe*)

fix

$A :: 'x$ set **and**

$y :: 'y$

assume *preimg-quot*: $A \in \text{preimg } f \ \text{domain}_f \ y // r$

hence *A-in-dom*: $A \in \text{domain}_f // r$

```

    unfolding preimg.simps quotient-def
  by blast
obtain x :: 'x where
  x ∈ preimg f domainf y and
  A-eq-img-singleton-r: A = r “ {x}
  using equiv-rel preimg-quot quotientE
  unfolding quotient-def
  by blast
hence x-in-dom-and-f-x-y: x ∈ domainf ∧ f x = y
  unfolding preimg.simps
  by blast
moreover have r “ {x} ⊆ X
  using equiv-rel equiv-type
  by fastforce
ultimately have r “ {x} ⊆ domainf
  using closed-domain A-eq-img-singleton-r A-in-dom
  by fastforce
hence ∀ x' ∈ r “ {x}. (x, x') ∈ Restr r domainf
  using x-in-dom-and-f-x-y in-mono
  by blast
hence ∀ x' ∈ r “ {x}. f x' = y
  using invar-f x-in-dom-and-f-x-y
  unfolding is-symmetry.simps
  by metis
moreover have x ∈ A
  using equiv-rel cons-subset equiv-class-self in-mono
  A-eq-img-singleton-r x-in-dom-and-f-x-y
  by metis
ultimately have f ‘ A = {y}
  using A-eq-img-singleton-r
  by auto
hence πQ f A = y
  unfolding πQ.simps singleton-set.simps
  using insert-absorb insert-iff insert-not-empty singleton-set-def-if-card-one
  is-singletonI is-singleton-altdef singleton-set.simps
  by metis
thus A ∈ preimg (πQ f) (domainf // r) y
  using A-in-dom
  unfolding preimg.simps
  by blast
next
fix
  A :: 'x set and
  y :: 'y
assume quot-preimg: A ∈ preimg (πQ f) (domainf // r) y
hence A-in-dom-rel-r: A ∈ domainf // r
  using cons-subset equiv-rel
  by auto
hence A ⊆ X

```


using *equiv-rel cons-subset Image-subset equiv-type quotientE*
by *metis*
hence *A-in-dom*: $A \subseteq \text{domain}_f$
using *closed-under-equiv-rel-subset*
closed-domain cons-subset A-in-dom-rel-r equiv-rel
by *blast*
moreover obtain $x :: 'x$ **where**
x-in-A: $x \in A$ **and**
A-eq-r-img-single-x: $A = r `` \{x\}$
using *A-in-dom-rel-r equiv-rel cons-subset equiv-class-self in-mono quotientE*
by *metis*
ultimately have $\forall x' \in A. (x, x') \in \text{Restr } r \text{ domain}_f$
by *blast*
hence $\forall x' \in A. f x' = f x$
using *invar-f*
by *fastforce*
hence $f ` A = \{f x\}$
using *x-in-A*
by *blast*
hence $\pi_Q f A = f x$
unfolding *$\pi_Q.\text{simps singleton-set.simps}$*
using *is-singleton-altdef singleton-set-def-if-card-one*
by *fastforce*
also have $\pi_Q f A = y$
using *quot-preimg*
unfolding *preimg.simps*
by *blast*
finally have $f x = y$
by *simp*
moreover have $x \in \text{domain}_f$
using *x-in-A A-in-dom*
by *blast*
ultimately have $x \in \text{preimg } f \text{ domain}_f y$
by *simp*
thus $A \in \text{preimg } f \text{ domain}_f y // r$
using *A-eq-r-img-single-x*
unfolding *quotient-def*
by *blast*
qed

lemma *minimizer-helper*:

fixes

$f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$ **and**

$\text{domain}_f :: 'x \text{ set}$ **and**

$d :: 'x \text{ Distance}$ **and**

$Y :: 'y \text{ set}$ **and**

$x :: 'x$ **and**

$y :: 'y$

shows $y \in \text{minimizer } f \text{ domain}_f d Y x =$

$(y \in Y \wedge (\forall y' \in Y. \text{Inf } (d \ x \ ' (preimg \ f \ domain_f \ y)) \leq \text{Inf } (d \ x \ ' (preimg \ f \ domain_f \ y'))))$
unfolding *is-arg-min-def minimizer.simps arg-min-set.simps*
by *auto*

lemma *rewr-singleton-set-system-union:*

fixes
 $Y :: 'x \text{ set set}$ **and**
 $X :: 'x \text{ set}$
assumes $Y \subseteq \text{singleton-set-system } X$
shows
singleton-set-union: $x \in \bigcup Y \longleftrightarrow \{x\} \in Y$ **and**
obtain-singleton: $A \in \text{singleton-set-system } X \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \in X. A = \{x\})$
unfolding *singleton-set-system.simps*
using *assms*
by *auto*

lemma *union-inf:*

fixes $X :: \text{ereal set set}$
shows $\text{Inf } \{\text{Inf } A \mid A. A \in X\} = \text{Inf } (\bigcup X)$
proof –
let $?inf = \text{Inf } \{\text{Inf } A \mid A. A \in X\}$
have $\forall A \in X. \forall x \in A. ?inf \leq x$
using *INF-lower2 Inf-lower Setcompr-eq-image*
by *metis*
hence $\forall x \in \bigcup X. ?inf \leq x$
by *simp*
hence *le:* $?inf \leq \text{Inf } (\bigcup X)$
using *Inf-greatest*
by *blast*
have $\forall A \in X. \text{Inf } (\bigcup X) \leq \text{Inf } A$
using *Inf-superset-mono Union-upper*
by *metis*
hence $\text{Inf } (\bigcup X) \leq \text{Inf } \{\text{Inf } A \mid A. A \in X\}$
using *le-Inf-iff*
by *auto*
thus *?thesis*
using *le*
by *simp*
qed

5.7.3 Distance Rationalization

fun (in *result*) $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}} :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election rel} \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Election Distance} \Rightarrow$
 $('a, 'v, 'r \text{ Result}) \text{ Consensus-Class} \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Election set} \Rightarrow 'r \text{ set}$ **where**
 $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ r \ d \ C \ A =$
 $\bigcup (\text{minimizer } (\pi_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C))) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ r \ C))$
 $(\text{distance-infimum}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ d) (\text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ A \ \text{UNIV})) \ A)$

fun (in result) distance- $\mathcal{R}_Q :: ('a, 'v)$ Election rel $\Rightarrow ('a, 'v)$ Election Distance \Rightarrow
 ('a, 'v, 'r Result) Consensus-Class $\Rightarrow ('a, 'v)$ Election set $\Rightarrow 'r$ Result **where**
 distance- \mathcal{R}_Q r d C A =
 (\mathcal{R}_Q r d C A,
 $\pi_Q (\lambda E. \text{limit} (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} E) \text{UNIV}) A - \mathcal{R}_Q$ r d C A,
 $\{\}$)

Proposition 4.17 by Hadjibeyli and Wilson [3].

theorem (in result) invar-dr-simple-dist-imp-quotient-dr-winners:

fixes

d :: ('a, 'v) Election Distance **and**
 C :: ('a, 'v, 'r Result) Consensus-Class **and**
 r :: ('a, 'v) Election rel **and**
 X A :: ('a, 'v) Election set

assumes

simple: simple r X d **and**
 closed-domain: closed-restricted-rel r X (elections- \mathcal{K} C) **and**
 invar-res:
 is-symmetry ($\lambda E. \text{limit} (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} E) \text{UNIV}$) (Invariance r) **and**
 invar-C: is-symmetry (elect-r \circ fun $_{\mathcal{E}}$ (rule- \mathcal{K} C))
 (Invariance (Restr r (elections- \mathcal{K} C))) **and**
 invar-dr: is-symmetry (fun $_{\mathcal{E}}$ (\mathcal{R}_W d C)) (Invariance r) **and**
 quot-class: A \in X // r **and**
 equiv-rel: equiv X r **and**
 cons-subset: elections- \mathcal{K} C \subseteq X

shows π_Q (fun $_{\mathcal{E}}$ (\mathcal{R}_W d C)) A = \mathcal{R}_Q r d C A

proof –

have preimg-img-imp-cls:

$\forall y B. B \in \text{preimg} (\pi_Q (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} C))) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K}_Q r C) y$
 $\longrightarrow B \in (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} C) // r$

by simp

have $\forall y'. \forall E$

$\in \text{preimg} (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} C) y'. E \in r \text{ “ } \{E\}$

using equiv-rel cons-subset equiv-class-self equiv-rel in-mono

unfolding equiv-def preimg.simps

by fastforce

hence $\forall y'.$

$\bigcup (\text{preimg} (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} C) y' // r) \supseteq$
 $\text{preimg} (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} C) y'$

unfolding quotient-def

by blast

moreover have $\forall y'.$

$\bigcup (\text{preimg} (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} C) y' // r) \subseteq$
 $\text{preimg} (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} C) y'$

proof (intro allI subsetI)

fix

$Y' :: 'r$ set **and**

$E :: ('a, 'v)$ Election

assume $E \in \bigcup (\text{preimg} (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} C) Y' // r)$

then obtain $B :: ('a, 'v)$ Election set **where**
 $E\text{-in-}B$: $E \in B$ **and**
 $B \in \text{preimg } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ Y' // r$
by blast
then obtain $E' :: ('a, 'v)$ Election **where**
 $B = r \text{ `` } \{E'\}$ **and**
 $\text{map-to-}Y'$: $E' \in \text{preimg } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ Y'$
using quotientE
by blast
hence in-restr-rel : $(E', E) \in r \cap (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \times X$
using $E\text{-in-}B$ equiv-rel
unfolding $\text{preimg.simps equiv-def refl-on-def}$
by blast
hence $E \in \text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C$
using closed-domain
unfolding closed-restricted-rel.simps restricted-rel.simps Image-def
by blast
hence rel-cons-els : $(E', E) \in \text{Restr } r (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C)$
using in-restr-rel
by blast
hence $(\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) \ E = (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) \ E'$
using invar-C
unfolding is-symmetry.simps
by blast
hence $(\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) \ E = Y'$
using map-to- Y'
by simp
thus $E \in \text{preimg } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ Y'$
unfolding preimg.simps
using rel-cons-els
by blast
qed
ultimately have preimg-partition : $\forall \ y'.$
 $\bigcup (\text{preimg } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ y' // r) =$
 $\text{preimg } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ y'$
by blast
have $\text{quot-classes-subset}$: $(\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) // r \subseteq X // r$
using cons-subset
unfolding quotient-def
by blast
obtain $a :: ('a, 'v)$ Election **where**
 $a\text{-in-}A$: $a \in A$ **and**
 $a\text{-def-inf-dist}$:
 $\forall \ B \in X // r.$
 $\text{distance-infimum}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ d \ A \ B = \text{Inf } \{d \ a \ b \mid b. b \in B\}$
using simple quot-class
unfolding simple.simps
by blast
hence $\text{inf-dist-preimg-sets}$:

$\forall y' B. B \in \text{preimg } (\pi_Q (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_E (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C))) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K}_Q \ r \ C) \ y' \longrightarrow \text{distance-infimum}_Q \ d \ A \ B = \text{Inf } \{d \ a \ b \mid b. b \in B\}$
using *preimg-imp-cls quot-classes-subset*
by *blast*
have *wf-res-eq: singleton-set-system (limit (alternatives- \mathcal{E} a) UNIV) = singleton-set-system (limit_Q A UNIV)*
using *invar-res a-in-A quot-class cons-subset equiv-rel limit-invar*
by *metis*
have *inf-le-iff: $\forall x.$*
 $(\forall y' \in \text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ a) \ \text{UNIV}).$
 $\text{Inf } (d \ a \ ' \text{preimg } (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_E (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ \{x\})$
 $\leq \text{Inf } (d \ a \ ' \text{preimg } (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_E (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ y')$
 $= (\forall y' \in \text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit}_Q \ A \ \text{UNIV}).$
 $\text{Inf } (\text{distance-infimum}_Q \ d \ A \ ' \text{preimg } (\pi_Q (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_E (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)))$
 $(\text{elections-}\mathcal{K}_Q \ r \ C) \ \{x\})$
 $\leq \text{Inf } (\text{distance-infimum}_Q \ d \ A \ ' \text{preimg } (\pi_Q (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_E (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)))$
 $(\text{elections-}\mathcal{K}_Q \ r \ C) \ y'))$
proof –
have *preimg-partition-dist: $\forall y'.$*
 $\text{Inf } \{d \ a \ b \mid b. b \in$
 $\bigcup (\text{preimg } (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_E (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ y' // r)\} =$
 $\text{Inf } (d \ a \ ' \text{preimg } (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_E (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ y')$
using *Setcompr-eq-image preimg-partition*
by *metis*
have $\forall y'.$
 $\{\text{Inf } \{d \ a \ b \mid b. b \in B\}$
 $\mid B. B \in \text{preimg } (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_E (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ y' // r\}$
 $= \{\text{Inf } E \mid E. E \in \{\{d \ a \ b \mid b. b \in B\}$
 $\mid B. B \in \text{preimg } (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_E (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ y' // r\}\}$
by *blast*
hence $\forall y'.$
 $\text{Inf } \{\text{Inf } \{d \ a \ b \mid b. b \in B\} \mid B.$
 $B \in \text{preimg } (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_E (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ y' // r\} =$
 $\text{Inf } (\bigcup \{\{d \ a \ b \mid b. b \in B\} \mid B.$
 $B \in (\text{preimg } (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_E (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ y' // r)\})$
using *union-inf*
by *presburger*
moreover have
 $\forall y'.$
 $\{d \ a \ b \mid b. b \in \bigcup$
 $(\text{preimg } (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_E (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C))$
 $(\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ y' // r)\} =$
 $\bigcup \{\{d \ a \ b \mid b. b \in B\} \mid B.$
 $B \in (\text{preimg } (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_E (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C))$
 $(\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ y' // r)\}$
by *blast*
ultimately have *rewrite-inf-dist:*
 $\forall y'. \text{Inf } \{\text{Inf } \{d \ a \ b \mid b. b \in B\}$
 $\mid B. B \in \text{preimg}$

$(elect-r \circ fun_{\mathcal{E}} (rule-\mathcal{K} \ C)) (elections-\mathcal{K} \ C) \ y' // r \} =$
 $Inf \ \{d \ a \ b$
 $\mid b. \ b \in \bigcup (preimg$
 $(elect-r \circ fun_{\mathcal{E}} (rule-\mathcal{K} \ C)) (elections-\mathcal{K} \ C) \ y' // r)\}$
by *presburger*
have $\forall \ y'. \ distance-infimum_{\mathcal{Q}} \ d \ A \ ' \ preimg \ (\pi_{\mathcal{Q}} \ (elect-r \circ fun_{\mathcal{E}} (rule-\mathcal{K} \ C)))$
 $(elections-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ r \ C) \ y' =$
 $\{Inf \ \{d \ a \ b \mid b. \ b \in B\}$
 $\mid B. \ B \in preimg \ (\pi_{\mathcal{Q}} \ (elect-r \circ fun_{\mathcal{E}} (rule-\mathcal{K} \ C))) (elections-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ r \ C) \ y'\}$
using *inf-dist-preimg-sets*
unfolding *Image-def*
by *auto*
moreover have $\forall \ y'.$
 $\{Inf \ \{d \ a \ b \mid b. \ b \in B\} \mid B.$
 $B \in preimg \ (\pi_{\mathcal{Q}} \ (elect-r \circ fun_{\mathcal{E}} (rule-\mathcal{K} \ C))) (elections-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ r \ C) \ y'\} =$
 $\{Inf \ \{d \ a \ b \mid b. \ b \in B\} \mid B.$
 $B \in (preimg \ (elect-r \circ fun_{\mathcal{E}} (rule-\mathcal{K} \ C)) (elections-\mathcal{K} \ C) \ y') // r\}$
unfolding *elections-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{Q}}.simps*
using *preimg-invar closed-domain cons-subset equiv-rel invar-C*
by *blast*
ultimately have
 $\forall \ y'. \ Inf \ (distance-infimum_{\mathcal{Q}} \ d \ A \ ' \ preimg \ (\pi_{\mathcal{Q}} \ (elect-r \circ fun_{\mathcal{E}} (rule-\mathcal{K} \ C)))$
 $(elections-\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ r \ C) \ y') =$
 $Inf \ \{Inf \ \{d \ a \ b \mid b. \ b \in B\}$
 $\mid B. \ B \in preimg \ (elect-r \circ fun_{\mathcal{E}} (rule-\mathcal{K} \ C)) (elections-\mathcal{K} \ C) \ y' // r\}$
by *simp*
thus *?thesis*
using *wf-res-eq rewrite-inf-dist preimg-partition-dist*
by *presburger*
qed
from *a-in-A*
have $\pi_{\mathcal{Q}} \ (fun_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ C)) \ A = fun_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ C) \ a$
using *invar-dr equiv-rel quot-class pass-to-quotient invariance-is-congruence*
by *blast*
moreover have $\forall \ x. \ x \in fun_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ C) \ a \longleftrightarrow x \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ r \ d \ C \ A$
proof
fix $x :: 'r$
have $x \in fun_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ C) \ a =$
 $(x \in \bigcup (minimizer \ (elect-r \circ fun_{\mathcal{E}} \ (rule-\mathcal{K} \ C)) \ (elections-\mathcal{K} \ C) \ d$
 $(singleton-set-system \ (limit \ (alternatives-\mathcal{E} \ a) \ UNIV)) \ a))$
using *\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}-is-minimizer*
by *metis*
also have $\dots =$
 $(\{x\} \in minimizer \ (elect-r \circ fun_{\mathcal{E}} \ (rule-\mathcal{K} \ C)) \ (elections-\mathcal{K} \ C) \ d$
 $(singleton-set-system \ (limit \ (alternatives-\mathcal{E} \ a) \ UNIV)) \ a)$
using *singleton-set-union*
unfolding *minimizer.simps arg-min-set.simps is-arg-min-def*
by *auto*
also have $\dots = (\{x\} \in singleton-set-system \ (limit \ (alternatives-\mathcal{E} \ a) \ UNIV))$

$\wedge (\forall y' \in \text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ a) \ \text{UNIV}).$
 $\quad \text{Inf } (d \ a \ ' \text{preimg } (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) \ (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ \{x\})$
 $\quad \leq \text{Inf } (d \ a \ ' \text{preimg } (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) \ (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ y'))$
using *minimizer-helper*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*))
also have $\dots = (\{x\} \in \text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ A \ \text{UNIV})$
 $\wedge (\forall y' \in \text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ A \ \text{UNIV}).$
 $\quad \text{Inf } (\text{distance-infimum}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ d \ A \ ' \text{preimg } (\pi_{\mathcal{Q}} \ (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)))$
 $\quad \quad (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ r \ C) \ \{x\})$
 $\quad \leq \text{Inf } (\text{distance-infimum}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ d \ A \ ' \text{preimg } (\pi_{\mathcal{Q}} \ (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)))$
 $\quad \quad (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ r \ C) \ y'))$
using *wf-res-eq inf-le-iff*
by *blast*
also have $\dots =$
 $\quad (\{x\} \in \text{minimizer}$
 $\quad \quad (\pi_{\mathcal{Q}} \ (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C))) \ (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ r \ C)$
 $\quad \quad (\text{distance-infimum}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ d)$
 $\quad \quad (\text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ A \ \text{UNIV})) \ A)$
using *minimizer-helper*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*))
also have $\dots =$
 $\quad (x \in \bigcup (\text{minimizer}$
 $\quad \quad (\pi_{\mathcal{Q}} \ (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C))) \ (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ r \ C)$
 $\quad \quad (\text{distance-infimum}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ d)$
 $\quad \quad (\text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ A \ \text{UNIV})) \ A))$
using *singleton-set-union*
unfolding *minimizer.simps arg-min-set.simps is-arg-min-def*
by *auto*
finally show $x \in \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ C) \ a = (x \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ r \ d \ C \ A)$
unfolding *$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}}$.simps*
by *safe*
qed
ultimately show $\pi_{\mathcal{Q}} \ (\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ C)) \ A = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ r \ d \ C \ A$
by *blast*
qed

theorem (*in result*) *invar-dr-simple-dist-imp-quotient-dr*:

fixes

$d :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election Distance}$ **and**
 $C :: ('a, 'v, 'r) \text{ Result Consensus-Class}$ **and**
 $r :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election rel}$ **and**
 $X \ A :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election set}$

assumes

simple: *simple* $r \ X \ d$ **and**
closed-domain: *closed-restricted-rel* $r \ X \ (\text{elections-}\mathcal{K} \ C)$ **and**
invar-res:
 $\text{is-symmetry } (\lambda E. \text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV})$
 $(\text{Invariance } r)$ **and**
invar-C: *is-symmetry* $(\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C))$

(Invariance (Restr r (elections- \mathcal{K} C))) and
 invar-dr: is-symmetry ($\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}}$ ($\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}$ d C)) (Invariance r) and
 quot-class: $A \in X // r$ and
 equiv-rel: equiv X r and
 cons-subset: elections- \mathcal{K} $C \subseteq X$
 shows $\pi_{\mathcal{Q}}$ ($\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}}$ ($\text{distance-}\mathcal{R}$ d C)) $A = \text{distance-}\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ r d C A
proof –
 have $\forall E. \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}}$ ($\text{distance-}\mathcal{R}$ d C) $E =$
 ($\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}}$ ($\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}$ d C) E ,
 limit (alternatives- \mathcal{E} E) UNIV – $\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}}$ ($\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}$ d C) E ,
 { })
 by simp
 moreover have $\forall E \in A. \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}}$ ($\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}$ d C) $E = \pi_{\mathcal{Q}}$ ($\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}}$ ($\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}$ d C)) A
 using invar-dr invariance-is-congruence pass-to-quotient quot-class equiv-rel
 by blast
 moreover have $\pi_{\mathcal{Q}}$ ($\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}}$ ($\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}$ d C)) $A = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ r d C A
 using invar-dr-simple-dist-imp-quotient-dr-winners assms
 by blast
 moreover have
 $\forall E \in A. \text{limit}$ (alternatives- \mathcal{E} E) UNIV =
 $\pi_{\mathcal{Q}}$ ($\lambda E. \text{limit}$ (alternatives- \mathcal{E} E) UNIV) A
 using invar-res invariance-is-congruence' pass-to-quotient quot-class equiv-rel
 by blast
 ultimately have all-eq:
 $\forall E \in A. \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}}$ ($\text{distance-}\mathcal{R}$ d C) $E =$
 ($\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ r d C A ,
 $\pi_{\mathcal{Q}}$ ($\lambda E. \text{limit}$ (alternatives- \mathcal{E} E) UNIV) $A - \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ r d C A ,
 { })
 by fastforce
 hence
 { ($\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ r d C A ,
 $\pi_{\mathcal{Q}}$ ($\lambda E. \text{limit}$ (alternatives- \mathcal{E} E) UNIV) $A - \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ r d C A ,
 { }) } $\supseteq \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}}$ ($\text{distance-}\mathcal{R}$ d C) ‘ A
 by blast
 moreover have $A \neq \{ \}$
 using quot-class equiv-rel in-quotient-imp-non-empty
 by metis
 ultimately have single-img:
 { ($\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ r d C A ,
 $\pi_{\mathcal{Q}}$ ($\lambda E. \text{limit}$ (alternatives- \mathcal{E} E) UNIV) $A - \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ r d C A ,
 { }) } =
 $\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}}$ ($\text{distance-}\mathcal{R}$ d C) ‘ A
 using empty-is-image subset-singletonD
 by (metis (no-types, lifting))
 moreover from this
 have card ($\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}}$ ($\text{distance-}\mathcal{R}$ d C) ‘ A) = 1
 using is-singleton-altdef is-singletonI
 by (metis (no-types, lifting))
 moreover from this single-img


```

have the-inv ( $\lambda x. \{x\}$ ) (funE (distance- $\mathcal{R}$  d C) ‘A) =
  (RQ r d C A,
    $\pi_Q$  ( $\lambda E. \text{limit } (\text{alternatives-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ UNIV$ ) A – RQ r d C A,
    $\{\}$ )
using singleton-insert-inj-eq singleton-set.elims singleton-set-def-if-card-one
by (metis (no-types))
ultimately show ?thesis
  unfolding distance- $\mathcal{R}_Q$ .simps  $\pi_Q$ .simps singleton-set.simps
  by presburger
qed

end

```

5.8 Code Generation Interpretations for Results and Properties

```

theory Interpretation-Code
  imports Electoral-Module
           Distance-Rationalization
begin
setup Locale-Code.open-block

```

5.8.1 Code Lemmas

Lemmas stating the explicit instantiations of interpreted abstract functions from locales.

```

lemma electoral-module-SCF-code-lemma:
  fixes m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
  shows SCF-result.electoral-module m =
    ( $\forall A \ V \ p. \text{profile } V \ A \ p \longrightarrow \text{well-formed-SCF } A \ (m \ V \ A \ p)$ )
  unfolding SCF-result.electoral-module.simps
  by safe

```

```

lemma RW-SCF-code-lemma:
  fixes
    d :: ('a, 'v) Election Distance and
    K :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Consensus-Class and
    V :: 'v set and
    A :: 'a set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
  shows SCF-result.RW d K V A p =
    arg-min-set (score d K (A, V, p)) (limit-SCF A UNIV)
  unfolding SCF-result.RW.simps
  by safe

```

```

lemma distance- $\mathcal{R}$ -SCF-code-lemma:

```

fixes
 $d :: ('a, 'v)$ *Election Distance* **and**
 $K :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result})$ *Consensus-Class* **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v)$ *Profile*
shows $SCF\text{-result.distance-}\mathcal{R} \ d \ K \ V \ A \ p =$
 $(SCF\text{-result.}\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ K \ V \ A \ p,$
 $(limit\text{-}SCF \ A \ UNIV) - SCF\text{-result.}\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ K \ V \ A \ p,$
 $\{\})$
unfolding $SCF\text{-result.distance-}\mathcal{R}.simps$
by *safe*

lemma $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}\text{-std-}SCF\text{-code-lemma}$:
fixes
 $d :: ('a, 'v)$ *Election Distance* **and**
 $K :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result})$ *Consensus-Class* **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v)$ *Profile*
shows $SCF\text{-result.}\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}\text{-std} \ d \ K \ V \ A \ p =$
 $arg\text{-min-set} \ (score\text{-std} \ d \ K \ (A, V, p)) \ (limit\text{-}SCF \ A \ UNIV)$
unfolding $SCF\text{-result.}\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}\text{-std}.simps$
by *safe*

lemma $distance\text{-}\mathcal{R}\text{-std-}SCF\text{-code-lemma}$:
fixes
 $d :: ('a, 'v)$ *Election Distance* **and**
 $K :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result})$ *Consensus-Class* **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v)$ *Profile*
shows $SCF\text{-result.distance-}\mathcal{R}\text{-std} \ d \ K \ V \ A \ p =$
 $(SCF\text{-result.}\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}\text{-std} \ d \ K \ V \ A \ p,$
 $(limit\text{-}SCF \ A \ UNIV) - SCF\text{-result.}\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}\text{-std} \ d \ K \ V \ A \ p,$
 $\{\})$
unfolding $SCF\text{-result.distance-}\mathcal{R}\text{-std}.simps$
by *safe*

lemma $anonymity\text{-}SCF\text{-code-lemma}$: $SCF\text{-result.anonymity} =$
 $(\lambda \ m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module.}$
 $SCF\text{-result.electoral-module} \ m \wedge$
 $(\forall \ A \ V \ p \ \pi :: ('v \Rightarrow 'v).$
 $bij \ \pi \longrightarrow (let \ (A', V', q) = (rename \ \pi \ (A, V, p)) \ in$
 $profile \ V \ A \ p \wedge profile \ V' \ A' \ q \longrightarrow m \ V \ A \ p = m \ V' \ A' \ q)))$
unfolding $SCF\text{-result.anonymity-def}$
by *simp*

5.8.2 Interpretation Declarations and Constants

Declarations for replacing interpreted abstract functions from locales by their explicit instantiations.

```

declare [[lc-add SCF-result.electoral-module electoral-module-SCF-code-lemma]]
declare [[lc-add SCF-result. $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}$   $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}$ -SCF-code-lemma]]
declare [[lc-add SCF-result. $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}$ -std  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}$ -std-SCF-code-lemma]]
declare [[lc-add SCF-result.distance- $\mathcal{R}$  distance- $\mathcal{R}$ -SCF-code-lemma]]
declare [[lc-add SCF-result.distance- $\mathcal{R}$ -std distance- $\mathcal{R}$ -std-SCF-code-lemma]]
declare [[lc-add SCF-result.anonymity anonymity-SCF-code-lemma]]

```

Constant aliases to use instead of the interpreted functions.

```

definition  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}$ -SCF-code  $\equiv$  SCF-result. $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}$ 
definition  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}$ -std-SCF-code  $\equiv$  SCF-result. $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}$ -std
definition distance- $\mathcal{R}$ -SCF-code  $\equiv$  SCF-result.distance- $\mathcal{R}$ 
definition distance- $\mathcal{R}$ -std-SCF-code  $\equiv$  SCF-result.distance- $\mathcal{R}$ -std
definition electoral-module-SCF-code  $\equiv$  SCF-result.electoral-module
definition anonymity-SCF-code  $\equiv$  SCF-result.anonymity

```

```

setup Locale-Code.close-block

```

```

end

```

5.9 Drop Module

```

theory Drop-Module
  imports Component-Types/Electoral-Module
           Component-Types/Social-Choice-Types/Result
begin

```

This is a family of electoral modules. For a natural number n and a lexicon (linear order) r of all alternatives, the according drop module rejects the lexicographically first n alternatives (from A) and defers the rest. It is primarily used as counterpart to the pass module in a parallel composition, in order to segment the alternatives into two groups.

5.9.1 Definition

```

fun drop-module :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a Preference-Relation  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  drop-module  $n$   $r$   $V$   $A$   $p$  =
    ({},
     { $a \in A$ . rank (limit  $A$   $r$ )  $a \leq n$ },
     { $a \in A$ . rank (limit  $A$   $r$ )  $a > n$ })

```

5.9.2 Soundness

theorem *drop-mod-sound*[simp]:

fixes
 $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**
 $n :: \text{nat}$
shows *SCF-result.electoral-module* (*drop-module* n r)
proof (*unfold SCF-result.electoral-module.simps, safe*)
fix
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$
assume *profile* V A p
let $?mod = \text{drop-module } n \ r$
have $\forall a \in A. a \in \{x \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ x \leq n\} \vee$
 $a \in \{x \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ x > n\}$
by *auto*
hence $\{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \leq n\} \cup \{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a > n\} = A$
by *blast*
hence *set-partition: set-equals-partition* A (*drop-module* n r V A p)
by *simp*
have $\forall a \in A.$
 $\neg (a \in \{x \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ x \leq n\} \wedge$
 $a \in \{x \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ x > n\})$
by *simp*
hence $\{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \leq n\} \cap \{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a > n\} = \{\}$
by *blast*
thus *well-formed-SCF* A ($?mod$ V A p)
using *set-partition*
by *simp*
qed

lemma *voters-determine-drop-mod*:

fixes
 $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**
 $n :: \text{nat}$
shows *voters-determine-election* (*drop-module* n r)
unfolding *voters-determine-election.simps*
by *simp*

5.9.3 Non-Electing

The drop module is non-electing.

theorem *drop-mod-non-electing*[simp]:

fixes
 $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**
 $n :: \text{nat}$
shows *non-electing* (*drop-module* n r)
unfolding *non-electing-def*

by *auto*

5.9.4 Properties

The drop module is strictly defer-monotone.

```
theorem drop-mod-def-lift-inv[simp]:
  fixes
    r :: 'a Preference-Relation and
    n :: nat
  shows defer-lift-invariance (drop-module n r)
  unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
  by force

end
```

5.10 Pass Module

```
theory Pass-Module
  imports Component-Types/Electoral-Module
begin
```

This is a family of electoral modules. For a natural number n and a lexicon (linear order) r of all alternatives, the according pass module defers the lexicographically first n alternatives (from A) and rejects the rest. It is primarily used as counterpart to the drop module in a parallel composition in order to segment the alternatives into two groups.

5.10.1 Definition

```
fun pass-module :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a Preference-Relation  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  pass-module n r V A p =
    ({},
     {a  $\in$  A. rank (limit A r) a > n},
     {a  $\in$  A. rank (limit A r) a  $\leq$  n})
```

5.10.2 Soundness

```
theorem pass-mod-sound[simp]:
  fixes
    r :: 'a Preference-Relation and
    n :: nat
  shows SCF-result.electoral-module (pass-module n r)
proof (unfold SCF-result.electoral-module.simps, safe)
```

```

fix
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
let  $?mod = \text{pass-module } n \ r$ 
have  $\forall a \in A. a \in \{x \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ x > n\} \vee$ 
    $a \in \{x \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ x \leq n\}$ 
  using CollectI not-less
  by metis
hence  $\{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a > n\} \cup \{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \leq n\} = A$ 
  by blast
hence set-equals-partition  $A \ (\text{pass-module } n \ r \ V \ A \ p)$ 
  by simp
moreover have
   $\forall a \in A.$ 
   $\neg (a \in \{x \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ x > n\} \wedge$ 
    $a \in \{x \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ x \leq n\})$ 
  by simp
hence  $\{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a > n\} \cap \{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \leq n\} = \{\}$ 
  by blast
ultimately show well-formed-SCF  $A \ (?mod \ V \ A \ p)$ 
  by simp
qed

```

```

lemma voters-determine-pass-mod:
fixes
   $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$  and
   $n :: \text{nat}$ 
shows voters-determine-election  $(\text{pass-module } n \ r)$ 
unfolding voters-determine-election.simps pass-module.simps
by blast

```

5.10.3 Non-Blocking

The pass module is non-blocking.

```

theorem pass-mod-non-blocking[simp]:
fixes
   $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$  and
   $n :: \text{nat}$ 
assumes
  order: linear-order  $r$  and
  greater-zero:  $n > 0$ 
shows non-blocking  $(\text{pass-module } n \ r)$ 
proof (unfold non-blocking-def, safe)
  show SCF-result.electoral-module  $(\text{pass-module } n \ r)$ 
  using pass-mod-sound
  by metis
next
fix

```

$A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $a :: 'a$
assume
 $\text{fin-}A$: $\text{finite } A$ **and**
 $\text{rej-pass-}A$: $\text{reject } (\text{pass-module } n \ r) \ V \ A \ p = A$ **and**
 $\text{a-in-}A$: $a \in A$
moreover have lin : $\text{linear-order-on } A \ (\text{limit } A \ r)$
using $\text{limit-presv-lin-ord}$ $\text{order top-greatest}$
by metis
moreover have
 $\exists b \in A. \text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ b = \{b\}$
 $\wedge (\forall c \in A. \text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ c = \{c\} \longrightarrow c = b)$
using $\text{fin-}A \ \text{a-in-}A \ \text{lin} \ \text{above-one}$
by blast
moreover have $\{b \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ b > n\} \neq A$
using $\text{Suc-leI} \ \text{greater-zero} \ \text{leD} \ \text{mem-Collect-eq} \ \text{above-rank calculation}$
unfolding One-nat-def
by $(\text{metis } (\text{no-types}, \text{lifting}))$
hence reject $(\text{pass-module } n \ r) \ V \ A \ p \neq A$
by simp
thus $a \in \{\}$
using $\text{rej-pass-}A$
by simp
qed

5.10.4 Non-Electing

The pass module is non-electing.

theorem $\text{pass-mod-non-electing}[\text{simp}]$:
fixes
 $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**
 $n :: \text{nat}$
assumes $\text{linear-order } r$
shows $\text{non-electing } (\text{pass-module } n \ r)$
unfolding non-electing-def
using assms
by force

5.10.5 Properties

The pass module is strictly defer-monotone.

theorem $\text{pass-mod-dl-inv}[\text{simp}]$:
fixes
 $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**
 $n :: \text{nat}$
assumes $\text{linear-order } r$

```

shows defer-lift-invariance (pass-module n r)
unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
using assms pass-mod-sound
by simp

theorem pass-zero-mod-def-zero[simp]:
  fixes r :: 'a Preference-Relation
  assumes linear-order r
  shows defers 0 (pass-module 0 r)
proof (unfold defers-def, safe)
  show SCF-result.electoral-module (pass-module 0 r)
    using pass-mod-sound assms
    by metis
next
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
assume
  card-pos: 0 ≤ card A and
  finite-A: finite A and
  prof-A: profile V A p
have linear-order-on A (limit A r)
  using assms limit-presv-lin-ord
  by blast
hence limit-is-connex: connex A (limit A r)
  using lin-ord-imp-connex
  by simp
have ∀ n. (n :: nat) ≤ 0 → n = 0
  by blast
hence ∀ a A'. a ∈ A' ∧ a ∈ A → connex A' (limit A r) →
  ¬ rank (limit A r) a ≤ 0
  using above-connex above-presv-limit card-eq-0-iff equals0D finite-A
  assms rev-finite-subset
  unfolding rank.simps
  by (metis (no-types))
hence {a ∈ A. rank (limit A r) a ≤ 0} = {}
  using limit-is-connex
  by simp
hence card {a ∈ A. rank (limit A r) a ≤ 0} = 0
  using card.empty
  by metis
thus card (defer (pass-module 0 r) V A p) = 0
  by simp
qed

```

For any natural number *n* and any linear order, the according pass module defers *n* alternatives (if there are *n* alternatives). NOTE: The induction proof is still missing. The following are the proofs for *n*=1 and *n*=2.


```

theorem pass-one-mod-def-one[simp]:
  fixes  $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ 
  assumes linear-order  $r$ 
  shows defers 1 (pass-module 1 r)
proof (unfold defers-def, safe)
  show SCF-result.electoral-module (pass-module 1 r)
    using pass-mod-sound assms
    by simp
next
fix
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
assume
  card-pos: 1 ≤ card A and
  finite-A: finite A and
  prof-A: profile V A p
show card (defer (pass-module 1 r) V A p) = 1
proof –
  have  $A \neq \{\}$ 
    using card-pos
    by auto
moreover have lin-ord-on-A: linear-order-on A (limit A r)
    using assms limit-presv-lin-ord
    by blast
ultimately have winner-exists:
   $\exists a \in A. \text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a = \{a\} \wedge$ 
   $(\forall b \in A. \text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ b = \{b\} \longrightarrow b = a)$ 
    using finite-A above-one
    by simp
then obtain  $w :: 'a$  where
  w-unique-top:
   $\text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ w = \{w\} \wedge$ 
   $(\forall a \in A. \text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a = \{a\} \longrightarrow a = w)$ 
    using above-one
    by auto
hence  $\{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \leq 1\} = \{w\}$ 
proof
  assume
  w-top: above (limit A r) w = {w} and
  w-unique:  $\forall a \in A. \text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a = \{a\} \longrightarrow a = w$ 
have rank (limit A r) w ≤ 1
    using w-top
    by auto
hence  $\{w\} \subseteq \{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \leq 1\}$ 
    using winner-exists w-unique-top
    by blast
moreover have  $\{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \leq 1\} \subseteq \{w\}$ 
proof

```

```

fix a :: 'a
assume a-in-winner-set: a ∈ {b ∈ A. rank (limit A r) b ≤ 1}
hence a-in-A: a ∈ A
  by auto
hence connex-limit: connex A (limit A r)
  using lin-ord-imp-connex lin-ord-on-A
  by simp
hence let q = limit A r in a ≼q a
  using connex-limit above-connex pref-imp-in-above a-in-A
  by metis
hence (a, a) ∈ limit A r
  by simp
hence a-above-a: a ∈ above (limit A r) a
  unfolding above-def
  by simp
have above (limit A r) a ⊆ A
  using above-presv-limit assms
  by fastforce
hence above-finite: finite (above (limit A r) a)
  using finite-A finite-subset
  by simp
have rank (limit A r) a ≤ 1
  using a-in-winner-set
  by simp
moreover have rank (limit A r) a ≥ 1
  using Suc-leI above-finite card-eq-0-iff equals0D neq0-conv a-above-a
  unfolding rank.simps One-nat-def
  by metis
ultimately have rank (limit A r) a = 1
  by simp
hence {a} = above (limit A r) a
  using a-above-a lin-ord-on-A rank-one-imp-above-one
  by metis
hence a = w
  using w-unique a-in-A
  by simp
thus a ∈ {w}
  by simp
qed
ultimately have {w} = {a ∈ A. rank (limit A r) a ≤ 1}
  by auto
thus ?thesis
  by simp
qed
thus card (defer (pass-module 1 r) V A p) = 1
  by simp
qed
qed

```

```

theorem pass-two-mod-def-two:
  fixes  $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ 
  assumes linear-order  $r$ 
  shows defers 2 (pass-module 2 r)
proof (unfold defers-def, safe)
  show SCF-result.electoral-module (pass-module 2 r)
    using assms pass-mod-sound
    by metis
next
fix
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
assume
  min-card-two: 2 ≤ card A and
  fin-A: finite A and
  prof-A: profile V A p
from min-card-two
have not-empty-A: A ≠ {}
  by auto
moreover have limit-A-order: linear-order-on A (limit A r)
  using limit-presv-lin-ord assms
  by auto
ultimately obtain  $a :: 'a$  where
  above (limit A r) a = {a}
  using above-one min-card-two fin-A prof-A
  by blast
hence  $\forall b \in A. \text{let } q = \text{limit } A \text{ } r \text{ in } (b \preceq_q a)$ 
  using limit-A-order pref-imp-in-above empty-iff lin-ord-imp-connex
    insert-iff insert-subset above-presv-limit assms
  unfolding connex-def
  by metis
hence a-best:  $\forall b \in A. (b, a) \in \text{limit } A \text{ } r$ 
  by simp
hence a-above:  $\forall b \in A. a \in \text{above (limit } A \text{ } r) b$ 
  unfolding above-def
  by simp
hence  $a \in \{a \in A. \text{rank (limit } A \text{ } r) a \leq 2\}$ 
  using CollectI not-empty-A empty-iff fin-A insert-iff limit-A-order
    above-one above-rank one-le-numeral
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))
hence a-in-defer:  $a \in \text{defer (pass-module 2 } r) V A p$ 
  by simp
have finite (A - {a})
  using fin-A
  by simp
moreover have A-not-only-a: A - {a} ≠ {}
  using Diff-empty Diff-idemp Diff-insert0 not-empty-A insert-Diff finite.emptyI
    card.insert-remove card.empty min-card-two Suc-n-not-le-n numeral-2-eq-2

```

by *metis*
moreover have *limit-A-without-a-order*:
 linear-order-on $(A - \{a\})$ $(\text{limit } (A - \{a\}) \ r)$
 using *limit-presv-lin-ord assms top-greatest*
 by *blast*
ultimately obtain $b :: 'a$ **where**
 top-b: *above* $(\text{limit } (A - \{a\}) \ r)$ $b = \{b\}$
 using *above-one*
 by *metis*
hence $\forall c \in A - \{a\}. \text{let } q = \text{limit } (A - \{a\}) \ r \text{ in } (c \preceq_q b)$
 using *limit-A-without-a-order pref-imp-in-above empty-iff lin-ord-imp-connex*
 insert-iff insert-subset above-presv-limit assms
 unfolding *connex-def*
 by *metis*
hence *b-in-limit*: $\forall c \in A - \{a\}. (c, b) \in \text{limit } (A - \{a\}) \ r$
 by *simp*
hence *b-best*: $\forall c \in A - \{a\}. (c, b) \in \text{limit } A \ r$
 by *auto*
hence $\forall c \in A - \{a, b\}. c \notin \text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ b$
 using *top-b Diff-iff Diff-insert2 above-presv-limit insert-subset*
 assms limit-presv-above limit-rel-presv-above
 by *metis*
moreover have *above-subset*: $\text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ b \subseteq A$
 using *above-presv-limit assms*
 by *metis*
moreover have *b-above-b*: $b \in \text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ b$
 using *top-b b-best above-presv-limit mem-Collect-eq assms insert-subset*
 unfolding *above-def*
 by *metis*
ultimately have *above-b-eq-ab*: $\text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ b = \{a, b\}$
 using *a-above*
 by *auto*
hence *card-above-b-eq-two*: $\text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ b = 2$
 using *A-not-only-a b-in-limit*
 by *auto*
hence *b-in-defer*: $b \in \text{defer } (\text{pass-module } 2 \ r) \ V \ A \ p$
 using *b-above-b above-subset*
 by *auto*
have *b-above*: $\forall c \in A - \{a\}. b \in \text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ c$
 using *b-best mem-Collect-eq*
 unfolding *above-def*
 by *metis*
have *connex A* $(\text{limit } A \ r)$
 using *limit-A-order lin-ord-imp-connex*
 by *auto*
hence $\forall c \in A. c \in \text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ c$
 using *above-connex*
 by *metis*
hence $\forall c \in A - \{a, b\}. \{a, b, c\} \subseteq \text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ c$

```

    using a-above b-above
    by auto
  moreover have  $\forall c \in A - \{a, b\}. \text{card } \{a, b, c\} = 3$ 
    using DiffE Suc-1 above-b-eq-ab card-above-b-eq-two above-subset fin-A
      card-insert-disjoint finite-subset insert-commute numeral-3-eq-3
    unfolding One-nat-def rank.simps
    by metis
  ultimately have  $\forall c \in A - \{a, b\}. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ c \geq 3$ 
    using card-mono fin-A finite-subset above-presv-limit assms
    unfolding rank.simps
    by metis
  hence  $\forall c \in A - \{a, b\}. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ c > 2$ 
    using Suc-le-eq Suc-1 numeral-3-eq-3
    unfolding One-nat-def
    by metis
  hence  $\forall c \in A - \{a, b\}. c \notin \text{defer } (\text{pass-module } 2 \ r) \ V \ A \ p$ 
    by (simp add: not-le)
  moreover have  $\text{defer } (\text{pass-module } 2 \ r) \ V \ A \ p \subseteq A$ 
    by auto
  ultimately have  $\text{defer } (\text{pass-module } 2 \ r) \ V \ A \ p \subseteq \{a, b\}$ 
    by blast
  hence  $\text{defer } (\text{pass-module } 2 \ r) \ V \ A \ p = \{a, b\}$ 
    using a-in-defer b-in-defer
    by fastforce
  thus  $\text{card } (\text{defer } (\text{pass-module } 2 \ r) \ V \ A \ p) = 2$ 
    using above-b-eq-ab card-above-b-eq-two
    unfolding rank.simps
    by presburger
qed

end

```

5.11 Elect Module

```

theory Elect-Module
  imports Component-Types/Electoral-Module
begin

```

The elect module is not concerned about the voter's ballots, and just elects all alternatives. It is primarily used in sequence after an electoral module that only defers alternatives to finalize the decision, thereby inducing a proper voting rule in the social choice sense.

5.11.1 Definition

fun *elect-module* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) *Electoral-Module* **where**
 elect-module V A p = (A, {}, {})

5.11.2 Soundness

theorem *elect-mod-sound[simp]*: *SCF-result.electoral-module elect-module*
 by *simp*

lemma *elect-mod-only-voters: voters-determine-election elect-module*
 by *simp*

5.11.3 Electing

theorem *elect-mod-electing[simp]*: *electing elect-module*
 unfolding *electing-def*
 by *simp*

end

5.12 Plurality Module

theory *Plurality-Module*
 imports *Component-Types/Elimination-Module*
 begin

The plurality module implements the plurality voting rule. The plurality rule elects all modules with the maximum amount of top preferences among all alternatives, and rejects all the other alternatives. It is electing and induces the classical plurality (voting) rule from social-choice theory.

5.12.1 Definition

fun *plurality-score* :: ('a, 'v) *Evaluation-Function* **where**
 plurality-score V x A p = *win-count* V p x

fun *plurality* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) *Electoral-Module* **where**
 plurality V A p = *max-eliminator plurality-score* V A p

fun *plurality'* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) *Electoral-Module* **where**
 plurality' V A p =
 (*if finite* A
 then {},
 {a ∈ A. ∃ x ∈ A. *win-count* V p x > *win-count* V p a},

$\{a \in A. \forall x \in A. \text{win-count } V p x \leq \text{win-count } V p a\}$
else $(\{\}, \{\}, A)$)

lemma *enat-leq-enat-set-max:*

fixes
 $x :: \text{enat}$ **and**
 $X :: \text{enat set}$
assumes
 $x \in X$ **and**
finite X
shows $x \leq \text{Max } X$
using *assms*
by *simp*

lemma *plurality-mod-equiv:*

fixes
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$
shows $\text{plurality } V A p = \text{plurality}' V A p$
proof (*unfold plurality'.simps*)
have *no-winners-or-in-domain:*
 $\text{finite } \{\text{win-count } V p a \mid a. a \in A\} \longrightarrow$
 $\{\text{win-count } V p a \mid a. a \in A\} = \{\} \vee$
 $\text{Max } \{\text{win-count } V p a \mid a. a \in A\} \in \{\text{win-count } V p a \mid a. a \in A\}$
using *Max-in*
by *blast*
moreover have *only-one-max:*
 $\text{finite } A \longrightarrow$
 $\{a \in A. \exists x \in A. \text{win-count } V p a < \text{win-count } V p x\} =$
 $\{a \in A. \text{win-count } V p a < \text{Max } \{\text{win-count } V p x \mid x. x \in A\}\}$
proof
assume *fin-A: finite A*
hence *finite* $\{\text{win-count } V p x \mid x. x \in A\}$
by *simp*
hence
 $\forall a \in A. \forall b \in A. \text{win-count } V p a < \text{win-count } V p b \longrightarrow$
 $\text{win-count } V p a < \text{Max } \{\text{win-count } V p x \mid x. x \in A\}$
using *CollectI Max-gr-iff empty-Collect-eq*
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting)*)
hence
 $\{a \in A. \exists x \in A. \text{win-count } V p a < \text{win-count } V p x\}$
 $\subseteq \{a \in A. \text{win-count } V p a < \text{Max } \{\text{win-count } V p x \mid x. x \in A\}\}$
by *blast*
moreover have
 $\{a \in A. \text{win-count } V p a < \text{Max } \{\text{win-count } V p x \mid x. x \in A\}\}$
 $\subseteq \{a \in A. \exists x \in A. \text{win-count } V p a < \text{win-count } V p x\}$
using *fin-A no-winners-or-in-domain*
by *fastforce*

ultimately show
 $\{a \in A. \exists x \in A. \text{win-count } V p a < \text{win-count } V p x\} =$
 $\{a \in A. \text{win-count } V p a < \text{Max } \{\text{win-count } V p x \mid x. x \in A\}\}$
by *fastforce*
qed
ultimately have
 $\text{finite } A \longrightarrow$
 $\text{reject plurality } V A p = \{a \in A. \exists x \in A. \text{win-count } V p a < \text{win-count } V p$
 $x\}$
by *force*
moreover have
 $\text{finite } A \longrightarrow$
 $\text{defer plurality } V A p = \{a \in A. \forall b \in A. \text{win-count } V p b \leq \text{win-count } V p$
 $a\}$
proof
assume *fin-A: finite A*
have $\{a \in A. \exists x \in A. \text{win-count } V p x > \text{win-count } V p a\}$
 $\cap \{a \in A. \forall x \in A. \text{win-count } V p x \leq \text{win-count } V p a\} = \{\}$
by *force*
moreover have
 $\{a \in A. \exists x \in A. \text{win-count } V p x > \text{win-count } V p a\}$
 $\cup \{a \in A. \forall x \in A. \text{win-count } V p x \leq \text{win-count } V p a\} = A$
by *force*
ultimately have
 $\{a \in A. \forall b \in A. \text{win-count } V p b \leq \text{win-count } V p a\} =$
 $A - \{a \in A. \text{win-count } V p a < \text{Max } \{\text{win-count } V p x \mid x. x \in A\}\}$
using *fin-A only-one-max Diff-cancel Int-Diff-Un Un-Diff inf-commute*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
moreover have
 $\{a \in A. \text{win-count } V p a < \text{Max } \{\text{win-count } V p x \mid x. x \in A\}\} = A \longrightarrow$
 $\{a \in A. \forall b \in A. \text{win-count } V p b \leq \text{win-count } V p a\} = A$
using *fin-A no-winners-or-in-domain*
by *fastforce*
ultimately show
 $\text{defer plurality } V A p = \{a \in A. \forall b \in A. \text{win-count } V p b \leq \text{win-count } V p$
 $a\}$
using *fin-A*
by *force*
qed
moreover have *elect plurality V A p = {}*
unfolding *max-eliminator.simps less-eliminator.simps elimination-module.simps*
by *force*
ultimately have
 $\text{finite } A \longrightarrow$
 $\text{plurality } V A p =$
 $(\{\}, \{a \in A. \exists x \in A. \text{win-count } V p a < \text{win-count } V p x\},$
 $\{a \in A. \forall x \in A. \text{win-count } V p x \leq \text{win-count } V p a\})$
using *split-pairs*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)


```

thus plurality  $V A p =$ 
  (if finite  $A$ 
    then  $(\{\}, \{a \in A. \exists x \in A. \text{win-count } V p a < \text{win-count } V p x\},$ 
       $\{a \in A. \forall x \in A. \text{win-count } V p x \leq \text{win-count } V p a\})$ 
    else  $(\{\}, \{\}, A)$ )
  by force
qed

```

5.12.2 Soundness

```

theorem plurality-sound[simp]: SCF-result.electoral-module plurality
  unfolding plurality.simps
  using max-elim-sound
  by metis

```

```

theorem plurality'-sound[simp]: SCF-result.electoral-module plurality'

```

```

proof (unfold SCF-result.electoral-module.simps, safe)
  fix
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
  have disjoint3 (
     $\{\},$ 
     $\{a \in A. \exists a' \in A. \text{win-count } V p a < \text{win-count } V p a'\},$ 
     $\{a \in A. \forall a' \in A. \text{win-count } V p a' \leq \text{win-count } V p a\})$ 
  by auto
  moreover have
     $\{a \in A. \exists x \in A. \text{win-count } V p a < \text{win-count } V p x\} \cup$ 
     $\{a \in A. \forall x \in A. \text{win-count } V p x \leq \text{win-count } V p a\} = A$ 
  using not-le-imp-less
  by blast
  ultimately show well-formed-SCF  $A (plurality' V A p)$ 
  by simp
qed

```

```

lemma voters-determine-plurality-score: voters-determine-evaluation plurality-score

```

```

proof (unfold plurality-score.simps voters-determine-evaluation.simps, safe)

```

```

  fix
     $A :: 'b \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $p p' :: ('b, 'a) \text{ Profile}$  and
     $a :: 'b$ 

```

```

  assume
     $\forall v \in V. p v = p' v$  and
     $a \in A$ 

```

```

  hence finite  $V \longrightarrow$ 
     $\text{card } \{v \in V. \text{above } (p v) a = \{a\}\} = \text{card } \{v \in V. \text{above } (p' v) a = \{a\}\}$ 
  using Collect-cong
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))

```

```

thus win-count  $V$   $p$   $a$  = win-count  $V$   $p'$   $a$ 
  unfolding win-count.simps
  by presburger
qed

lemma voters-determine-plurality: voters-determine-election plurality
  unfolding plurality.simps
  using voters-determine-max-elim voters-determine-plurality-score
  by blast

lemma voters-determine-plurality': voters-determine-election plurality'
proof (unfold voters-determine-election.simps, safe)
  fix
     $A :: 'k$  set and
     $V :: 'v$  set and
     $p$   $p' :: ('k, 'v)$  Profile
  assume  $\forall v \in V. p\ v = p'\ v$ 
  thus plurality'  $V$   $A$   $p$  = plurality'  $V$   $A$   $p'$ 
    using voters-determine-plurality plurality-mod-equiv
    unfolding voters-determine-election.simps
    by (metis (full-types))
qed

```

5.12.3 Non-Blocking

The plurality module is non-blocking.

```

theorem plurality-mod-non-blocking[simp]: non-blocking plurality
  unfolding plurality.simps
  using max-elim-non-blocking
  by metis

theorem plurality'-mod-non-blocking[simp]: non-blocking plurality'
  using plurality-mod-non-blocking plurality-mod-equiv plurality'-sound
  unfolding non-blocking-def
  by metis

```

5.12.4 Non-Electing

The plurality module is non-electing.

```

theorem plurality-non-electing[simp]: non-electing plurality
  using max-elim-non-electing
  unfolding plurality.simps non-electing-def
  by metis

theorem plurality'-non-electing[simp]: non-electing plurality'
  unfolding non-electing-def
  using plurality'-sound
  by simp

```

5.12.5 Property

lemma *plurality-def-inv-mono-alts*:

fixes

$A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**

$p \ q :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**

$a :: 'a$

assumes

defer-a: $a \in \text{defer plurality } V \ A \ p$ **and**

lift-a: $\text{lifted } V \ A \ p \ q \ a$

shows $\text{defer plurality } V \ A \ q = \text{defer plurality } V \ A \ p$
 $\vee \text{defer plurality } V \ A \ q = \{a\}$

proof –

have *set-disj*: $\forall \ b \ c. \ b \notin \{c\} \vee b = c$

by *blast*

have *lifted-winner*: $\forall \ b \in A. \forall \ i \in V.$

$\text{above } (p \ i) \ b = \{b\} \longrightarrow (\text{above } (q \ i) \ b = \{b\} \vee \text{above } (q \ i) \ a = \{a\})$

using *lift-a lifted-above-winner-alts*

unfolding *Profile.lifted-def*

by *metis*

hence $\forall \ i \in V. (\text{above } (p \ i) \ a = \{a\} \longrightarrow \text{above } (q \ i) \ a = \{a\})$

using *defer-a lift-a*

unfolding *Profile.lifted-def*

by *metis*

hence *a-win-subset*:

$\{i \in V. \text{above } (p \ i) \ a = \{a\}\} \subseteq \{i \in V. \text{above } (q \ i) \ a = \{a\}\}$

by *blast*

moreover have *lifted-prof*: $\text{profile } V \ A \ q$

using *lift-a*

unfolding *Profile.lifted-def*

by *metis*

ultimately have *win-count-a*: $\text{win-count } V \ p \ a \leq \text{win-count } V \ q \ a$

by (*simp add: card-mono*)

have *fin-A*: $\text{finite } A$

using *lift-a*

unfolding *Profile.lifted-def*

by *blast*

hence $\forall \ b \in A - \{a\}.$

$\forall \ i \in V. (\text{above } (q \ i) \ a = \{a\} \longrightarrow \text{above } (q \ i) \ b \neq \{b\})$

using *DiffE above-one lift-a insertCI insert-absorb insert-not-empty*

unfolding *Profile.lifted-def profile-def*

by *metis*

with *lifted-winner*

have *above-QtoP*:

$\forall \ b \in A - \{a\}.$

$\forall \ i \in V. (\text{above } (q \ i) \ b = \{b\} \longrightarrow \text{above } (p \ i) \ b = \{b\})$

using *lifted-above-winner-other lift-a*

unfolding *Profile.lifted-def*

by *metis*

hence $\forall b \in A - \{a\}.$
 $\{i \in V. \text{above } (q \ i) \ b = \{b\}\} \subseteq \{i \in V. \text{above } (p \ i) \ b = \{b\}\}$
 by (*simp add: Collect-mono*)
 hence *win-count-other*: $\forall b \in A - \{a\}. \text{win-count } V \ p \ b \geq \text{win-count } V \ q \ b$
 by (*simp add: card-mono*)
 show *defer plurality* $V \ A \ q = \text{defer plurality } V \ A \ p$
 $\vee \text{defer plurality } V \ A \ q = \{a\}$
 proof (*cases*)
 assume *win-count* $V \ p \ a = \text{win-count } V \ q \ a$
 hence *card* $\{i \in V. \text{above } (p \ i) \ a = \{a\}\} = \text{card } \{i \in V. \text{above } (q \ i) \ a = \{a\}\}$
 using *win-count.simps Profile.lifted-def enat.inject lift-a*
 by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting)*)
 moreover have *finite* $\{i \in V. \text{above } (q \ i) \ a = \{a\}\}$
 using *Collect-mem-eq Profile.lifted-def finite-Collect-conjI lift-a*
 by (*metis (mono-tags)*)
 ultimately have $\{i \in V. \text{above } (p \ i) \ a = \{a\}\} = \{i \in V. \text{above } (q \ i) \ a = \{a\}\}$
 using *a-win-subset*
 by (*simp add: card-subset-eq*)
 hence *above-pq*: $\forall i \in V. (\text{above } (p \ i) \ a = \{a\}) = (\text{above } (q \ i) \ a = \{a\})$
 by *blast*
 moreover have
 $\forall b \in A - \{a\}. \forall i \in V.$
 $(\text{above } (p \ i) \ b = \{b\}) \longrightarrow (\text{above } (q \ i) \ b = \{b\} \vee \text{above } (q \ i) \ a = \{a\})$
 using *lifted-winner*
 by *auto*
 moreover have
 $\forall b \in A - \{a\}. \forall i \in V. (\text{above } (p \ i) \ b = \{b\}) \longrightarrow \text{above } (p \ i) \ a \neq \{a\}$
 proof (*intro ballI impI, safe*)
 fix
 $b :: 'a$ and
 $i :: 'v$
 assume
 $b \in A$ and
 $i \in V$
 moreover from *this* have *A-not-empty*: $A \neq \{\}$
 by *blast*
 ultimately have *linear-order-on* $A \ (p \ i)$
 using *lift-a*
 unfolding *lifted-def profile-def*
 by *metis*
 moreover assume
 $b \neq a$ and
 $\text{abv-b}: \text{above } (p \ i) \ b = \{b\}$ and
 $\text{abv-a}: \text{above } (p \ i) \ a = \{a\}$
 ultimately show *False*
 using *above-one-eq A-not-empty fin-A*
 by (*metis (no-types)*)
 qed
 ultimately have *above-PtoQ*:

$\forall b \in A - \{a\}. \forall i \in V. (\text{above } (p \ i) \ b = \{b\} \longrightarrow \text{above } (q \ i) \ b = \{b\})$
 by *simp*
 hence $\forall b \in A.$
 $\text{card } \{i \in V. \text{above } (p \ i) \ b = \{b\}\} =$
 $\text{card } \{i \in V. \text{above } (q \ i) \ b = \{b\}\}$
 proof (*safe*)
 fix $b :: 'a$
 assume $b \in A$
 thus $\text{card } \{i \in V. \text{above } (p \ i) \ b = \{b\}\} =$
 $\text{card } \{i \in V. \text{above } (q \ i) \ b = \{b\}\}$
 using *DiffI set-disj above-PtoQ above-QtoP above-pq*
 by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
 qed
 hence $\{b \in A. \forall c \in A. \text{win-count } V \ p \ c \leq \text{win-count } V \ p \ b\} =$
 $\{b \in A. \forall c \in A. \text{win-count } V \ q \ c \leq \text{win-count } V \ q \ b\}$
 by *auto*
 hence $\text{defer plurality}' \ V \ A \ q = \text{defer plurality}' \ V \ A \ p$
 $\vee \text{defer plurality}' \ V \ A \ q = \{a\}$
 by *simp*
 hence $\text{defer plurality } V \ A \ q = \text{defer plurality } V \ A \ p$
 $\vee \text{defer plurality } V \ A \ q = \{a\}$
 using *plurality-mod-equiv lift-a*
 unfolding *Profile.lifted-def*
 by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting)*)
 thus *?thesis*
 by *simp*
 next
 assume $\text{win-count } V \ p \ a \neq \text{win-count } V \ q \ a$
 hence *strict-less*: $\text{win-count } V \ p \ a < \text{win-count } V \ q \ a$
 using *win-count-a*
 by *simp*
 have $a \in \text{defer plurality } V \ A \ p$
 using *defer-a plurality.elims*
 by (*metis (no-types)*)
 moreover have *non-empty-A*: $A \neq \{\}$
 using *lift-a equals0D equiv-prof-except-a-def*
 $\text{lifted-imp-equiv-prof-except-a}$
 by *metis*
 moreover have *fin-A*: *finite-profile* $V \ A \ p$
 using *lift-a*
 unfolding *Profile.lifted-def*
 by *simp*
 ultimately have $a \in \text{defer plurality}' \ V \ A \ p$
 using *plurality-mod-equiv*
 by *metis*
 hence *a-in-win-p*:
 $a \in \{b \in A. \forall c \in A. \text{win-count } V \ p \ c \leq \text{win-count } V \ p \ b\}$
 using *fin-A*
 by *simp*

hence $\forall b \in A. \text{win-count } V p b \leq \text{win-count } V p a$
by *simp*
hence *less*: $\forall b \in A - \{a\}. \text{win-count } V q b < \text{win-count } V q a$
using *DiffD1 antisym dual-order.trans not-le-imp-less*
win-count-a strict-less win-count-other
by *metis*
hence $\forall b \in A - \{a\}. \neg (\forall c \in A. \text{win-count } V q c \leq \text{win-count } V q b)$
using *lift-a not-le*
unfolding *Profile.lifted-def*
by *metis*
hence $\forall b \in A - \{a\}. b \notin \{c \in A. \forall b \in A. \text{win-count } V q b \leq \text{win-count } V q c\}$
by *blast*
hence $\forall b \in A - \{a\}. b \notin \text{defer plurality}' V A q$
using *fin-A*
by *simp*
hence $\forall b \in A - \{a\}. b \notin \text{defer plurality } V A q$
using *lift-a non-empty-A plurality-mod-equiv*
unfolding *Profile.lifted-def*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
hence $\forall b \in A - \{a\}. b \notin \text{defer plurality } V A q$
by *simp*
moreover **have** $a \in \text{defer plurality } V A q$
proof –
have $\forall b \in A - \{a\}. \text{win-count } V q b \leq \text{win-count } V q a$
using *less less-imp-le*
by *metis*
moreover **have** $\text{win-count } V q a \leq \text{win-count } V q a$
by *simp*
ultimately **have** $\forall b \in A. \text{win-count } V q b \leq \text{win-count } V q a$
by *auto*
moreover **have** $a \in A$
using *a-in-win-p*
by *simp*
ultimately **have**
 $a \in \{b \in A. \forall c \in A. \text{win-count } V q c \leq \text{win-count } V q b\}$
by *simp*
hence $a \in \text{defer plurality}' V A q$
by *simp*
hence $a \in \text{defer plurality } V A q$
using *plurality-mod-equiv non-empty-A fin-A lift-a non-empty-A*
unfolding *Profile.lifted-def*
by (*metis (no-types)*)
thus *?thesis*
by *simp*
qed
moreover **have** $\text{defer plurality } V A q \subseteq A$
by *simp*
ultimately **show** *?thesis*

by blast
qed
qed

lemma *plurality'-def-inv-mono-alts*:

fixes

$A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**

$p \ q :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**

$a :: 'a$

assumes

$a \in \text{defer plurality}' V A p$ **and**

lifted $V A p \ q \ a$

shows $\text{defer plurality}' V A q = \text{defer plurality}' V A p$

$\vee \text{defer plurality}' V A q = \{a\}$

using *assms plurality-def-inv-mono-alts plurality-mod-equiv*

by (*metis (no-types)*)

The plurality rule is invariant-monotone.

theorem *plurality-mod-def-inv-mono[simp]: defer-invariant-monotonicity plurality*

proof (*unfold defer-invariant-monotonicity-def, intro conjI impI allI*)

show *SCF-result.electoral-module plurality*

using *plurality-sound*

by *metis*

next

show *non-electing plurality*

by *simp*

next

fix

$A :: 'b \text{ set}$ **and**

$V :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$p \ q :: ('b, 'a) \text{ Profile}$ **and**

$a :: 'b$

assume $a \in \text{defer plurality } V A p \wedge \text{Profile.lifted } V A p \ q \ a$

hence $\text{defer plurality } V A q = \text{defer plurality } V A p$

$\vee \text{defer plurality } V A q = \{a\}$

using *plurality-def-inv-mono-alts*

by *metis*

thus $\text{defer plurality } V A q = \text{defer plurality } V A p$

$\vee \text{defer plurality } V A q = \{a\}$

by *simp*

qed

theorem *plurality'-mod-def-inv-mono[simp]: defer-invariant-monotonicity plurality'*

using *plurality-mod-def-inv-mono plurality-mod-equiv*

plurality'-non-electing plurality'-sound

unfolding *defer-invariant-monotonicity-def*

by *metis*

end

5.13 Borda Module

```
theory Borda-Module
  imports Component-Types/Elimination-Module
begin
```

This is the Borda module used by the Borda rule. The Borda rule is a voting rule, where on each ballot, each alternative is assigned a score that depends on how many alternatives are ranked below. The sum of all such scores for an alternative is hence called their Borda score. The alternative with the highest Borda score is elected. The module implemented herein only rejects the alternatives not elected by the voting rule, and defers the alternatives that would be elected by the full voting rule.

5.13.1 Definition

```
fun borda-score :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function where
  borda-score V x A p = ( $\sum$  y  $\in$  A. (prefer-count V p x y))

fun borda :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  borda V A p = max-eliminator borda-score V A p
```

5.13.2 Soundness

```
theorem borda-sound: SCF-result.electoral-module borda
  unfolding borda.simps
  using max-elim-sound
  by metis
```

5.13.3 Non-Blocking

The Borda module is non-blocking.

```
theorem borda-mod-non-blocking[simp]: non-blocking borda
  unfolding borda.simps
  using max-elim-non-blocking
  by metis
```

5.13.4 Non-Electing

The Borda module is non-electing.

```
theorem borda-mod-non-electing[simp]: non-electing borda
```



```

using max-elim-non-electing
unfolding borda.simps non-electing-def
by metis

end

```

5.14 Condorcet Module

```

theory Condorcet-Module
  imports Component-Types/Elimination-Module
begin

```

This is the Condorcet module used by the Condorcet (voting) rule. The Condorcet rule is a voting rule that implements the Condorcet criterion, i.e., it elects the Condorcet winner if it exists, otherwise a tie remains between all alternatives. The module implemented herein only rejects the alternatives not elected by the voting rule, and defers the alternatives that would be elected by the full voting rule.

5.14.1 Definition

```

fun condorcet-score :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function where
  condorcet-score V x A p =
    (if (condorcet-winner V A p x) then 1 else 0)

fun condorcet :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  condorcet V A p = (max-eliminator condorcet-score) V A p

```

5.14.2 Soundness

```

theorem condorcet-sound: SCF-result.electoral-module condorcet
  unfolding condorcet.simps
  using max-elim-sound
  by metis

```

5.14.3 Property

```

theorem condorcet-score-is-condorcet-rating: condorcet-rating condorcet-score
proof (unfold condorcet-rating-def, safe)
  fix
    A :: 'b set and
    V :: 'a set and
    p :: ('b, 'a) Profile and
    w l :: 'b
  assume

```

```

    c-win: condorcet-winner V A p w and
    l-neq-w: l ≠ w
  have ¬ condorcet-winner V A p l
    using cond-winner-unique-eq c-win l-neq-w
    by metis
  thus condorcet-score V l A p < condorcet-score V w A p
    using c-win zero-less-one
    unfolding condorcet-score.simps
    by (metis (full-types))
qed

theorem condorcet-is-dcc: defer-condorcet-consistency condorcet
proof (unfold defer-condorcet-consistency-def SCF-result.electoral-module.simps,
      safe)
  fix
    A :: 'b set and
    V :: 'a set and
    p :: ('b, 'a) Profile
  assume profile V A p
  hence well-formed-SCF A (max-eliminator condorcet-score V A p)
    using max-elim-sound
    unfolding SCF-result.electoral-module.simps
    by metis
  thus well-formed-SCF A (condorcet V A p)
    by simp
next
  fix
    A :: 'b set and
    V :: 'a set and
    p :: ('b, 'a) Profile and
    a :: 'b
  assume c-win-w: condorcet-winner V A p a
  let ?m = (max-eliminator condorcet-score) :: ('b, 'a, 'b Result) Electoral-Module
  have defer-condorcet-consistency ?m
    using cr-eval-imp-dcc-max-elim condorcet-score-is-condorcet-rating
    by metis
  hence ?m V A p =
    ({}, A - defer ?m V A p, {b ∈ A. condorcet-winner V A p b})
    using c-win-w
    unfolding defer-condorcet-consistency-def
    by (metis (no-types))
  thus condorcet V A p =
    ({},
     A - defer condorcet V A p,
     {d ∈ A. condorcet-winner V A p d})
    by simp
qed
end

```

5.15 Copeland Module

```
theory Copeland-Module
imports Component-Types/Elimination-Module
begin
```

This is the Copeland module used by the Copeland voting rule. The Copeland rule elects the alternatives with the highest difference between the amount of simple-majority wins and the amount of simple-majority losses. The module implemented herein only rejects the alternatives not elected by the voting rule, and defers the alternatives that would be elected by the full voting rule.

5.15.1 Definition

```
fun copeland-score :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function where
  copeland-score V x A p =
    card {y ∈ A . wins V x p y} - card {y ∈ A . wins V y p x}

fun copeland :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  copeland V A p = max-eliminator copeland-score V A p
```

5.15.2 Soundness

```
theorem copeland-sound: SCF-result.electoral-module copeland
unfolding copeland.simps
using max-elim-sound
by metis
```

5.15.3 Lemmas

```
lemma voters-determine-copeland-score: voters-determine-evaluation copeland-score
proof (unfold copeland-score.simps voters-determine-evaluation.simps, safe)
fix
  A :: 'b set and
  V :: 'a set and
  p p' :: ('b, 'a) Profile and
  a :: 'b
assume
  ∀ v ∈ V. p v = p' v and
  a ∈ A
hence ∀ x y. {v ∈ V. (x, y) ∈ p v} = {v ∈ V. (x, y) ∈ p' v}
by blast
hence ∀ x y.
```

$\text{card } \{y \in A. \text{ wins } V x p y\} = \text{card } \{y \in A. \text{ wins } V x p' y\}$
 $\wedge \text{card } \{x \in A. \text{ wins } V x p y\} = \text{card } \{x \in A. \text{ wins } V x p' y\}$
 by *simp*
 thus $\text{card } \{y \in A. \text{ wins } V a p y\} - \text{card } \{y \in A. \text{ wins } V y p a\} =$
 $\text{card } \{y \in A. \text{ wins } V a p' y\} - \text{card } \{y \in A. \text{ wins } V y p' a\}$
 by *presburger*
 qed

theorem *voters-determine-copeland: voters-determine-election copeland*
unfolding *copeland.simps*
using *voters-determine-max-elim voters-determine-election.simps*
voters-determine-copeland-score
by *blast*

For a Condorcet winner w , we have: " $|\{y \in A. \text{ wins } V w p y\}| = |A| - 1$ ".

lemma *cond-winner-imp-win-count:*

fixes
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $w :: 'a$
assumes *condorcet-winner* $V A p w$
shows $\text{card } \{a \in A. \text{ wins } V w p a\} = \text{card } A - 1$
proof –
have $\forall a \in A - \{w\}. \text{ wins } V w p a$
using *assms*
by *auto*
hence $\{a \in A - \{w\}. \text{ wins } V w p a\} = A - \{w\}$
by *blast*
hence *winner-wins-against-all-others:*
 $\text{card } \{a \in A - \{w\}. \text{ wins } V w p a\} = \text{card } (A - \{w\})$
by *simp*
have $w \in A$
using *assms*
by *simp*
hence $\text{card } (A - \{w\}) = \text{card } A - 1$
using *card-Diff-singleton assms*
by *metis*
hence *winner-amount-one:* $\text{card } \{a \in A - \{w\}. \text{ wins } V w p a\} = \text{card } (A) - 1$
using *winner-wins-against-all-others*
by *linarith*
have *win-for-winner-not-reflexive:* $\forall a \in \{w\}. \neg \text{ wins } V a p a$
by (*simp add: wins-irreflex*)
hence $\{a \in \{w\}. \text{ wins } V w p a\} = \{\}$
by *blast*
hence *winner-amount-zero:* $\text{card } \{a \in \{w\}. \text{ wins } V w p a\} = 0$
by *simp*
have *union:*
 $\{a \in A - \{w\}. \text{ wins } V w p a\} \cup \{x \in \{w\}. \text{ wins } V w p x\} =$

```

      {a ∈ A. wins V w p a}
    using win-for-winner-not-reflexive
  by blast
have finite-defeated: finite {a ∈ A - {w}. wins V w p a}
  using assms
  by simp
have finite {a ∈ {w}. wins V w p a}
  by simp
hence card ({a ∈ A - {w}. wins V w p a} ∪ {a ∈ {w}. wins V w p a}) =
  card {a ∈ A - {w}. wins V w p a} + card {a ∈ {w}. wins V w p a}
  using finite-defeated card-Un-disjoint
  by blast
hence card {a ∈ A. wins V w p a} =
  card {a ∈ A - {w}. wins V w p a} + card {a ∈ {w}. wins V w p a}
  using union
  by simp
thus ?thesis
  using winner-amount-one winner-amount-zero
  by linarith
qed

```

For a Condorcet winner w , we have: " $|\{y \in A . \text{wins } V y p w\}| = 0$ ".

lemma *cond-winner-imp-loss-count*:

```

fixes
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  w :: 'a
assumes condorcet-winner V A p w
shows card {a ∈ A. wins V a p w} = 0
using Collect-empty-eq card-eq-0-iff insert-Diff insert-iff wins-antisym assms
unfolding condorcet-winner.simps
by (metis (no-types, lifting))

```

Copeland score of a Condorcet winner.

lemma *cond-winner-imp-copeland-score*:

```

fixes
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  w :: 'a
assumes condorcet-winner V A p w
shows copeland-score V w A p = card A - 1
proof (unfold copeland-score.simps)
  have card {a ∈ A. wins V w p a} = card A - 1
    using cond-winner-imp-win-count assms
    by metis
  moreover have card {a ∈ A. wins V a p w} = 0
    using cond-winner-imp-loss-count assms

```

```

    by (metis (no-types))
  ultimately show
    enat (card {a ∈ A. wins V w p a}
      - card {a ∈ A. wins V a p w}) = enat (card A - 1)
    by simp
qed

```

For a non-Condorcet winner l , we have: " $|\{y \in A . \text{wins } V l p y\}| = |A| - 2$ ".

```

lemma non-cond-winner-imp-win-count:
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
    w l :: 'a
  assumes
    winner: condorcet-winner V A p w and
    loser: l ≠ w and
    l-in-A: l ∈ A
  shows card {a ∈ A . wins V l p a} ≤ card A - 2
proof -
  have wins V w p l
    using assms
    by auto
  hence ¬ wins V l p w
    using wins-antisym
    by simp
  moreover have ¬ wins V l p l
    using wins-irreflex
    by simp
  ultimately have wins-of-loser-eq-without-winner:
    {y ∈ A . wins V l p y} = {y ∈ A - {l, w} . wins V l p y}
    by blast
  have ∀ M f. finite M ⟶ card {x ∈ M . f x} ≤ card M
    by (simp add: card-mono)
  moreover have finite (A - {l, w})
    using finite-Diff winner
    by simp
  ultimately have card {y ∈ A - {l, w} . wins V l p y} ≤ card (A - {l, w})
    using winner
    by (metis (full-types))
  thus ?thesis
    using assms wins-of-loser-eq-without-winner
    by simp
qed

```

5.15.4 Property

The Copeland score is Condorcet rating.

theorem *copeland-score-is-cr: condorcet-rating copeland-score*

proof (*unfold condorcet-rating-def, unfold copeland-score.simps, safe*)

fix

$A :: 'b$ set **and**

$V :: 'v$ set **and**

$p :: ('b, 'v)$ Profile **and**

$w l :: 'b$

assume

winner: condorcet-winner $V A p w$ **and**

l-in-A: $l \in A$ **and**

l-neq-w: $l \neq w$

hence $\text{card } \{y \in A. \text{wins } V l p y\} \leq \text{card } A - 2$

using *non-cond-winner-imp-win-count*

by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting)*)

hence $\text{card } \{y \in A. \text{wins } V l p y\} - \text{card } \{y \in A. \text{wins } V y p l\} \leq \text{card } A - 2$

using *diff-le-self order.trans*

by *simp*

moreover have $\text{card } A - 2 < \text{card } A - 1$

using *card-0-eq diff-less-mono2 empty-iff l-in-A l-neq-w neq0-conv less-one*

Suc-1 zero-less-diff add-diff-cancel-left' diff-is-0-eq Suc-eq-plus1

card-1-singleton-iff order-less-le singletonD le-zero-eq winner

unfolding *condorcet-winner.simps*

by *metis*

ultimately have

$\text{card } \{y \in A. \text{wins } V l p y\} - \text{card } \{y \in A. \text{wins } V y p l\} < \text{card } A - 1$

using *order-le-less-trans*

by *fastforce*

moreover have $\text{card } \{a \in A. \text{wins } V a p w\} = 0$

using *cond-winner-imp-loss-count winner*

by *metis*

moreover have $\text{card } A - 1 = \text{card } \{a \in A. \text{wins } V w p a\}$

using *cond-winner-imp-win-count winner*

by (*metis (full-types)*)

ultimately show

$\text{enat } (\text{card } \{y \in A. \text{wins } V l p y\} - \text{card } \{y \in A. \text{wins } V y p l\}) <$

$\text{enat } (\text{card } \{y \in A. \text{wins } V w p y\} - \text{card } \{y \in A. \text{wins } V y p w\})$

using *enat-ord-simps diff-zero*

by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)

qed

theorem *copeland-is-dcc: defer-condorcet-consistency copeland*

proof (*unfold defer-condorcet-consistency-def SCF-result.electoral-module.simps, safe*)

fix

$A :: 'b$ set **and**

$V :: 'a$ set **and**

$p :: ('b, 'a)$ Profile

assume *profile* $V A p$

moreover from *this*

```

have well-formed-SCF A (max-eliminator copeland-score V A p)
  using max-elim-sound
  unfolding SCF-result.electoral-module.simps
  by metis
ultimately show well-formed-SCF A (copeland V A p)
  using copeland-sound
  unfolding SCF-result.electoral-module.simps
  by metis
next
fix
  A :: 'b set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('b, 'v) Profile and
  w :: 'b
assume condorcet-winner V A p w
moreover have defer-condorcet-consistency (max-eliminator copeland-score)
  by (simp add: copeland-score-is-cr)
ultimately have
  max-eliminator copeland-score V A p =
    ({},
     A - defer (max-eliminator copeland-score) V A p,
     {d ∈ A. condorcet-winner V A p d})
  unfolding defer-condorcet-consistency-def
  by (metis (no-types))
moreover have copeland V A p = max-eliminator copeland-score V A p
  unfolding copeland.simps
  by safe
ultimately show
  copeland V A p =
    ({}, A - defer copeland V A p, {d ∈ A. condorcet-winner V A p d})
  by metis
qed

end

```

5.16 Minimax Module

```

theory Minimax-Module
  imports Component-Types/Elimination-Module
begin

```

This is the Minimax module used by the Minimax voting rule. The Minimax rule elects the alternatives with the highest Minimax score. The module implemented herein only rejects the alternatives not elected by the voting rule, and defers the alternatives that would be elected by the full voting rule.

5.16.1 Definition

fun *minimax-score* :: ('a, 'v) *Evaluation-Function* **where**
minimax-score $V\ x\ A\ p =$
 $\text{Min } \{\text{prefer-count } V\ p\ x\ y \mid y. y \in A - \{x\}\}$

fun *minimax* :: ('a, 'v, 'a *Result*) *Electoral-Module* **where**
minimax $A\ p = \text{max-eliminator } \text{minimax-score } A\ p$

5.16.2 Soundness

theorem *minimax-sound*: *SCF-result.electoral-module minimax*
unfolding *minimax.simps*
using *max-elim-sound*
by *metis*

5.16.3 Lemma

lemma *non-cond-winner-minimax-score*:
fixes
 $A :: 'a\ \text{set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v\ \text{set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v)\ \text{Profile}$ **and**
 $w\ l :: 'a$
assumes
 $\text{prof: profile } V\ A\ p$ **and**
 $\text{winner: condorcet-winner } V\ A\ p\ w$ **and**
 $\text{l-in-A: } l \in A$ **and**
 $\text{l-neq-w: } l \neq w$
shows $\text{minimax-score } V\ l\ A\ p \leq \text{prefer-count } V\ p\ l\ w$
proof (*unfold minimax-score.simps, intro Min-le*)
have *finite V*
using *winner*
by *simp*
moreover have $\forall\ E\ n. \text{infinite } E \longrightarrow (\exists\ e. \neg e \leq \text{enat } n \wedge e \in E)$
using *finite-enat-bounded*
by *blast*
ultimately show $\text{finite } \{\text{prefer-count } V\ p\ l\ y \mid y. y \in A - \{l\}\}$
using *pref-count-voter-set-card*
by *fastforce*
next
have $w \in A$
using *winner*
by *simp*
thus $\text{prefer-count } V\ p\ l\ w \in \{\text{prefer-count } V\ p\ l\ y \mid y. y \in A - \{l\}\}$
using *l-neq-w*
by *blast*
qed

5.16.4 Property

theorem *minimax-score-cond-rating: condorcet-rating minimax-score*

proof (*unfold condorcet-rating-def minimax-score.simps prefer-count.simps,*
safe, rule ccontr)

fix

$A :: 'b$ set **and**
 $V :: 'a$ set **and**
 $p :: ('b, 'a)$ Profile **and**
 $w l :: 'b$

assume

winner: condorcet-winner $V A p w$ **and**

l-in-A: $l \in A$ **and**

l-neq-w: $l \neq w$ **and**

min-leq:

\neg *Min* {if finite V
 then enat (card { $v \in V$. let $r = p v$ in $y \preceq_r l$ })
 else $\infty \mid y. y \in A - \{l\}$ }
 $<$ *Min* {if finite V
 then enat (card { $v \in V$. let $r = p v$ in $y \preceq_r w$ })
 else $\infty \mid y. y \in A - \{w\}$ }

hence *min-count-ineq:*

Min {prefer-count $V p l y \mid y. y \in A - \{l\}$ } \geq
Min {prefer-count $V p w y \mid y. y \in A - \{w\}$ }

by *simp*

have *pref-count-gte-min:*

prefer-count $V p l w \geq$ *Min* {prefer-count $V p l y \mid y. y \in A - \{l\}$ }

using *l-in-A l-neq-w condorcet-winner.simps winner non-cond-winner-minimax-score*
minimax-score.simps

by *metis*

have *l-in-A-without-w: $l \in A - \{w\}$*

using *l-in-A l-neq-w*

by *simp*

hence *pref-counts-non-empty: {prefer-count $V p w y \mid y. y \in A - \{w\}$ } $\neq \{\}$*

by *blast*

have *finite ($A - \{w\}$)*

using *condorcet-winner.simps winner finite-Diff*

by *metis*

hence *finite {prefer-count $V p w y \mid y. y \in A - \{w\}$ }*

by *simp*

hence $\exists n \in A - \{w\}. \text{prefer-count } V p w n =$

Min {prefer-count $V p w y \mid y. y \in A - \{w\}$ }

using *pref-counts-non-empty Min-in*

by *fastforce*

then obtain $n :: 'b$ **where**

pref-count-eq-min:

prefer-count $V p w n =$

Min {prefer-count $V p w y \mid y. y \in A - \{w\}$ } **and**

n-not-w: $n \in A - \{w\}$

by *metis*

```

hence n-in-A:  $n \in A$ 
  using DiffE
  by metis
have n-neq-w:  $n \neq w$ 
  using n-not-w
  by simp
have w-in-A:  $w \in A$ 
  using winner
  by simp
have pref-count-n-w-ineq:  $\text{prefer-count } V \ p \ w \ n > \text{prefer-count } V \ p \ n \ w$ 
  using n-not-w winner
  by auto
have pref-count-l-w-n-ineq:  $\text{prefer-count } V \ p \ l \ w \geq \text{prefer-count } V \ p \ w \ n$ 
  using pref-count-gte-min min-count-ineq pref-count-eq-min
  by auto
hence  $\text{prefer-count } V \ p \ n \ w \geq \text{prefer-count } V \ p \ w \ l$ 
  using n-in-A w-in-A l-in-A n-neq-w l-neq-w pref-count-sym winner
  unfolding condorcet-winner.simps
  by metis
hence  $\text{prefer-count } V \ p \ l \ w > \text{prefer-count } V \ p \ w \ l$ 
  using n-in-A w-in-A l-in-A n-neq-w l-neq-w pref-count-sym winner
  pref-count-n-w-ineq pref-count-l-w-n-ineq
  unfolding condorcet-winner.simps
  by auto
hence wins  $V \ l \ p \ w$ 
  by simp
thus False
  using l-in-A-without-w wins-antisym winner
  unfolding condorcet-winner.simps
  by metis
qed

theorem minimax-is-dcc: defer-condorcet-consistency minimax
proof (unfold defer-condorcet-consistency-def SCF-result.electoral-module.simps,
  safe)
  fix
     $A :: 'b \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('b, 'a) \text{ Profile}$ 
  assume profile  $V \ A \ p$ 
  hence well-formed-SCF  $A \ (\text{max-eliminator minimax-score } V \ A \ p)$ 
  using max-elim-sound par-comp-result-sound
  by metis
  thus well-formed-SCF  $A \ (\text{minimax } V \ A \ p)$ 
  by simp
next
  fix
     $A :: 'b \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'a \text{ set}$  and

```

```

     $p :: ('b, 'a) \text{ Profile}$  and
     $w :: 'b$ 
assume  $cwin-w: \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ p \ w$ 
have  $max-mmaxscore-dcc:$ 
     $defer\text{-}condorcet\text{-}consistency \ ((max\text{-}eliminator \ minimax\text{-}score)$ 
     $:: ('b, 'a, 'b \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module})$ 
using  $cr\text{-}eval\text{-}imp\text{-}dcc\text{-}max\text{-}elim \ minimax\text{-}score\text{-}cond\text{-}rating$ 
by  $metis$ 
hence
     $max\text{-}eliminator \ minimax\text{-}score \ V \ A \ p =$ 
     $(\{\},$ 
     $A - defer \ (max\text{-}eliminator \ minimax\text{-}score) \ V \ A \ p,$ 
     $\{a \in A. \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ p \ a\})$ 
using  $cwin-w$ 
unfolding  $defer\text{-}condorcet\text{-}consistency\text{-}def$ 
by  $blast$ 
thus
     $minimax \ V \ A \ p =$ 
     $(\{\},$ 
     $A - defer \ minimax \ V \ A \ p,$ 
     $\{d \in A. \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ p \ d\})$ 
by  $simp$ 
qed

end

```

Chapter 6

Compositional Structures

6.1 Drop- and Pass-Compatibility

```
theory Drop-And-Pass-Compatibility
imports Basic-Modules/Drop-Module
        Basic-Modules/Pass-Module
begin
```

This is a collection of properties about the interplay and compatibility of both the drop module and the pass module.

```
theorem drop-zero-mod-rej-zero[simp]:
  fixes  $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ 
  assumes  $\text{linear-order } r$ 
  shows  $\text{rejects } 0 \ (\text{drop-module } 0 \ r)$ 
proof (unfold rejects-def, safe)
  show  $\text{SCF-result.electoral-module } (\text{drop-module } 0 \ r)$ 
    using  $\text{assms drop-mod-sound}$ 
    by  $\text{metis}$ 
next
  fix
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
  assume
     $\text{fin-}A: \text{finite } A$  and
     $\text{prof-}A: \text{profile } V \ A \ p$ 
  have  $\text{connex UNIV } r$ 
    using  $\text{assms lin-ord-imp-connex}$ 
    by  $\text{auto}$ 
  hence  $\text{connex: connex } A \ (\text{limit } A \ r)$ 
    using  $\text{limit-presv-connex subset-UNIV}$ 
    by  $\text{metis}$ 
  have  $\forall B \ a. B \neq \{\} \vee (a :: 'a) \notin B$ 
    by  $\text{simp}$ 
  hence  $\forall a \ B. a \in A \wedge a \in B \longrightarrow \text{connex } B \ (\text{limit } A \ r) \longrightarrow$ 
```

```

       $\neg \text{card } (\text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a) \leq 0$ 
using above-connex above-presv-limit card-eq-0-iff
      fin-A finite-subset le-0-eq assms
by (metis (no-types))
hence  $\{a \in A. \text{card } (\text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a) \leq 0\} = \{\}$ 
using connex
by auto
hence  $\text{card } \{a \in A. \text{card } (\text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a) \leq 0\} = 0$ 
using card.empty
by (metis (full-types))
thus  $\text{card } (\text{reject } (\text{drop-module } 0 \ r) \ V \ A \ p) = 0$ 
by simp
qed

```

The drop module rejects n alternatives (if there are at least n alternatives).

```

theorem drop-two-mod-rej-n[simp]:
  fixes  $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ 
  assumes linear-order r
  shows rejects n (drop-module n r)
proof (unfold rejects-def, safe)
  show SCF-result.electoral-module (drop-module n r)
    using drop-mod-sound
    by metis
next
fix
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
assume
  card-n:  $n \leq \text{card } A$  and
  fin-A: finite A and
  prof: profile V A p
let  $?inv\text{-rank} = \text{the-inv-into } A \ (\text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r))$ 
have lin-ord-limit: linear-order-on A (limit A r)
  using assms limit-presv-lin-ord
  by auto
hence  $(\text{limit } A \ r) \subseteq A \times A$ 
  unfolding linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def preorder-on-def refl-on-def
  by simp
hence  $\forall a \in A. (\text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a) \subseteq A$ 
  unfolding above-def
  by auto
hence leg:  $\forall a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \leq \text{card } A$ 
  using fin-A
  by (simp add: card-mono)
have  $\forall a \in A. \{a\} \subseteq (\text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a)$ 
  using lin-ord-limit
  unfolding linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def
    preorder-on-def refl-on-def above-def

```

by *auto*
 hence $\forall a \in A. \text{card } \{a\} \leq \text{card } (\text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a)$
 using *card-mono fin-A rev-finite-subset above-presv-limit*
 by *metis*
 hence *rank-geq-one*: $\forall a \in A. 1 \leq \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a$
 by *simp*
 with *leq* have $\forall a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \in \{1 \ .. \ \text{card } A\}$
 by *simp*
 hence $\text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ 'A \subseteq \{1 \ .. \ \text{card } A\}$
 by *auto*
 moreover have *inj*: $\text{inj-on } (\text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r)) \ A$
 using *fin-A inj-onI rank-unique lin-ord-limit*
 by *metis*
 ultimately have *bij-A*: $\text{bij-betw } (\text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r)) \ A \ \{1 \ .. \ \text{card } A\}$
 using *bij-betw-def bij-betw-finite bij-betw-iff-card card-seteq*
 dual-order.refl ex-bij-betw-nat-finite-1 fin-A
 by *metis*
 hence *bij-inv*: $\text{bij-betw } ?\text{inv-rank } \{1 \ .. \ \text{card } A\} \ A$
 using *bij-betw-the-inv-into*
 by *blast*
 hence $\forall S \subseteq \{1 \ .. \ \text{card } A\}. \text{card } (? \text{inv-rank } 'S) = \text{card } S$
 using *fin-A bij-betw-same-card bij-betw-subset*
 by *metis*
 moreover have *subset*: $\{1 \ .. \ n\} \subseteq \{1 \ .. \ \text{card } A\}$
 using *card-n*
 by *simp*
 ultimately have $\text{card } (? \text{inv-rank } ' \{1 \ .. \ n\}) = n$
 using *numeral-One numeral-eq-iff card-atLeastAtMost diff-Suc-1*
 by *presburger*
 also have $? \text{inv-rank } ' \{1 \ .. \ n\} = \{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \in \{1 \ .. \ n\}\}$
 proof
 show $? \text{inv-rank } ' \{1 \ .. \ n\} \subseteq \{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \in \{1 \ .. \ n\}\}$
 proof
 fix $a :: 'a$
 assume $a \in ? \text{inv-rank } ' \{1 \ .. \ n\}$
 then obtain $b :: \text{nat}$ where
 $b \text{-img}: b \in \{1 \ .. \ n\} \wedge ? \text{inv-rank } b = a$
 by *auto*
 hence $\text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a = b$
 using *subset f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw subsetD bij-A*
 by *metis*
 hence $\text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \in \{1 \ .. \ n\}$
 using *b-img*
 by *simp*
 moreover have $a \in A$
 using *b-img bij-inv bij-betwE subset*
 by *blast*
 ultimately show $a \in \{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \in \{1 \ .. \ n\}\}$
 by *blast*

```

qed
next
show  $\{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \in \{1 \ .. \ n\}\}$ 
 $\subseteq \text{the-inv-into } A \ (\text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r)) \ ' \{1 \ .. \ n\}$ 
proof
  fix a :: 'a
  assume el:  $a \in \{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \in \{1 \ .. \ n\}\}$ 
  then obtain b :: nat where
    b-img:  $b \in \{1..n\} \wedge \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a = b$ 
  by auto
  moreover have  $a \in A$ 
  using el
  by simp
  ultimately have ?inv-rank b = a
  using inj the-inv-into-f-f
  by metis
  thus  $a \in ?inv\text{-rank } ' \{1 \ .. \ n\}$ 
  using b-img
  by auto
qed
qed
finally have  $\text{card } \{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \in \{1 \ .. \ n\}\} = n$ 
by blast
also have  $\{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \in \{1 \ .. \ n\}\} =$ 
 $\{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \leq n\}$ 
using rank-geq-one
by auto
also have  $\dots = \text{reject } (\text{drop-module } n \ r) \ V \ A \ p$ 
by simp
finally show  $\text{card } (\text{reject } (\text{drop-module } n \ r) \ V \ A \ p) = n$ 
by blast
qed

```

The pass and drop module are (disjoint-)compatible.

```

theorem drop-pass-disj-compat[simp]:
  fixes
    r :: 'a Preference-Relation and
    n :: nat
  assumes linear-order r
  shows disjoint-compatibility (drop-module n r) (pass-module n r)
proof (unfold disjoint-compatibility-def, safe)
  show SCF-result.electoral-module (drop-module n r)
  using assms drop-mod-sound
  by simp
next
  show SCF-result.electoral-module (pass-module n r)
  using assms pass-mod-sound
  by simp
next

```



```

fix
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'b \text{ set}$ 
have  $\text{linear-order-on } A \text{ (limit } A \text{ r)}$ 
  using  $\text{assms limit-presv-lin-ord}$ 
  by  $\text{blast}$ 
hence  $\text{profile } V \ A \ (\lambda v. \text{limit } A \text{ r})$ 
  using  $\text{profile-def}$ 
  by  $\text{blast}$ 
then obtain  $p :: ('a, 'b) \text{ Profile}$  where
   $\text{profile } V \ A \ p$ 
  by  $\text{blast}$ 
show  $\exists B \subseteq A. (\forall a \in B. \text{indep-of-alt (drop-module } n \text{ r) } V \ A \ a \wedge$ 
   $(\forall p. \text{profile } V \ A \ p \longrightarrow a \in \text{reject (drop-module } n \text{ r) } V \ A \ p)) \wedge$ 
   $(\forall a \in A - B. \text{indep-of-alt (pass-module } n \text{ r) } V \ A \ a \wedge$ 
   $(\forall p. \text{profile } V \ A \ p \longrightarrow a \in \text{reject (pass-module } n \text{ r) } V \ A \ p)))$ 
proof
  have  $\text{same-A:}$ 
   $\forall p \ q. (\text{profile } V \ A \ p \wedge \text{profile } V \ A \ q) \longrightarrow$ 
   $\text{reject (drop-module } n \text{ r) } V \ A \ p = \text{reject (drop-module } n \text{ r) } V \ A \ q$ 
  by  $\text{auto}$ 
  let  $?A = \text{reject (drop-module } n \text{ r) } V \ A \ p$ 
  have  $?A \subseteq A$ 
  by  $\text{auto}$ 
  moreover have  $\forall a \in ?A. \text{indep-of-alt (drop-module } n \text{ r) } V \ A \ a$ 
  using  $\text{assms drop-mod-sound}$ 
  unfolding  $\text{drop-module.simps indep-of-alt-def}$ 
  by  $(\text{metis (mono-tags, lifting)})$ 
  moreover have
   $\forall a \in ?A. \forall p. \text{profile } V \ A \ p$ 
   $\longrightarrow a \in \text{reject (drop-module } n \text{ r) } V \ A \ p$ 
  by  $\text{auto}$ 
  moreover have  $\forall a \in A - ?A. \text{indep-of-alt (pass-module } n \text{ r) } V \ A \ a$ 
  using  $\text{assms pass-mod-sound}$ 
  unfolding  $\text{pass-module.simps indep-of-alt-def}$ 
  by  $\text{metis}$ 
  moreover have
   $\forall a \in A - ?A. \forall p.$ 
   $\text{profile } V \ A \ p \longrightarrow a \in \text{reject (pass-module } n \text{ r) } V \ A \ p$ 
  by  $\text{auto}$ 
  ultimately show  $?A \subseteq A \wedge$ 
   $(\forall a \in ?A. \text{indep-of-alt (drop-module } n \text{ r) } V \ A \ a \wedge$ 
   $(\forall p. \text{profile } V \ A \ p \longrightarrow a \in \text{reject (drop-module } n \text{ r) } V \ A \ p)) \wedge$ 
   $(\forall a \in A - ?A. \text{indep-of-alt (pass-module } n \text{ r) } V \ A \ a \wedge$ 
   $(\forall p. \text{profile } V \ A \ p \longrightarrow a \in \text{reject (pass-module } n \text{ r) } V \ A \ p)))$ 
  by  $\text{simp}$ 
qed
qed

```

end

6.2 Revision Composition

theory *Revision-Composition*
imports *Basic-Modules/Component-Types/Electoral-Module*
begin

A revised electoral module rejects all originally rejected or deferred alternatives, and defers the originally elected alternatives. It does not elect any alternatives.

6.2.1 Definition

fun *revision-composition* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow
 ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module **where**
revision-composition m V A p = ({}, A - elect m V A p, elect m V A p)

abbreviation *rev* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow
 ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module (\downarrow 50) **where**
m \downarrow \equiv *revision-composition* m

6.2.2 Soundness

theorem *rev-comp-sound[simp]*:
fixes m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
assumes *SCF-result.electoral-module* m
shows *SCF-result.electoral-module* (*revision-composition* m)
proof -
from *assms*
have \forall A V p. *profile* V A p \longrightarrow *elect* m V A p \subseteq A
using *elect-in-alts*
by *metis*
hence \forall A V p. *profile* V A p \longrightarrow (A - *elect* m V A p) \cup *elect* m V A p = A
by *blast*
hence \forall A V p. *profile* V A p \longrightarrow
set-equals-partition A (*revision-composition* m V A p)
by *simp*
moreover **have** \forall A V p. *profile* V A p \longrightarrow (A - *elect* m V A p) \cap *elect* m V
A p = {}
by *blast*
hence \forall A V p. *profile* V A p \longrightarrow *disjoint3* (*revision-composition* m V A p)
by *simp*
ultimately show *?thesis*
by *simp*

qed

lemma *voters-determine-rev-comp*:
fixes $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$
assumes *voters-determine-election* m
shows *voters-determine-election* (*revision-composition* m)
using *assms*
unfolding *voters-determine-election.simps* *revision-composition.simps*
by *presburger*

6.2.3 Composition Rules

An electoral module received by revision is never electing.

theorem *rev-comp-non-electing[simp]*:
fixes $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$
assumes *SCF-result.electoral-module* m
shows *non-electing* ($m \downarrow$)
using *assms fstI rev-comp-sound revision-composition.simps*
using *non-electing-def*
by *metis*

Revising an electing electoral module results in a non-blocking electoral module.

theorem *rev-comp-non-blocking[simp]*:
fixes $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$
assumes *electing* m
shows *non-blocking* ($m \downarrow$)
proof (*unfold non-blocking-def, safe*)
show *SCF-result.electoral-module* ($m \downarrow$)
using *assms rev-comp-sound*
unfolding *electing-def*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
next
fix
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $x :: 'a$
assume
 $\text{fin-}A$: *finite* A **and**
 $\text{prof-}A$: *profile* $V A p$ **and**
 $\text{reject-}A$: *reject* ($m \downarrow$) $V A p = A$ **and**
 $\text{x-in-}A$: $x \in A$
hence *non-electing* m
using *assms empty-iff Diff-disjoint Int-absorb2*
 elect-in-alt s *prod.collapse prod.inject*
unfolding *electing-def* *revision-composition.simps*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)

```

thus  $x \in \{\}$ 
  using assms fin-A prof-A x-in-A
  unfolding electing-def non-electing-def
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))
qed

```

Revising an invariant monotone electoral module results in a defer-invariant-monotone electoral module.

```

theorem rev-comp-def-inv-mono[simp]:
  fixes  $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ 
  assumes invariant-monotonicity m
  shows defer-invariant-monotonicity (m↓)
proof (unfold defer-invariant-monotonicity-def, safe)
  show SCF-result.electoral-module (m↓)
    using assms rev-comp-sound
    unfolding invariant-monotonicity-def
    by metis
next
  show non-electing (m↓)
    using assms rev-comp-non-electing
    unfolding invariant-monotonicity-def
    by simp
next
  fix
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p \ q :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
     $a \ x \ x' :: 'a$ 
  assume
    rev-p-defer-a: a ∈ defer (m↓) V A p and
    a-lifted: lifted V A p q a and
    rev-q-defer-x: x ∈ defer (m↓) V A q and
    x-non-eq-a: x ≠ a and
    rev-q-defer-x': x' ∈ defer (m↓) V A q
  from rev-p-defer-a
  have elect-a-in-p: a ∈ elect m V A p
    by simp
  from rev-q-defer-x x-non-eq-a
  have elect-no-unique-a-in-q: elect m V A q ≠ {a}
    by force
  from assms
  have elect m V A q = elect m V A p
    using a-lifted elect-a-in-p elect-no-unique-a-in-q
    unfolding invariant-monotonicity-def
    by (metis (no-types))
  thus  $x' \in \text{defer } (m\downarrow) \ V \ A \ p$ 
    using rev-q-defer-x'
    by simp
next

```

```

fix
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p \ q :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
   $a \ x \ x' :: 'a$ 
assume
   $\text{rev-p-defer-a}: a \in \text{defer } (m\downarrow) \ V \ A \ p$  and
   $\text{a-lifted}: \text{lifted } V \ A \ p \ q \ a$  and
   $\text{rev-q-defer-x}: x \in \text{defer } (m\downarrow) \ V \ A \ q$  and
   $\text{x-non-eq-a}: x \neq a$  and
   $\text{rev-p-defer-x'}: x' \in \text{defer } (m\downarrow) \ V \ A \ p$ 
have  $\text{reject-and-defer}:$ 
   $(A - \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ q, \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ q) = \text{snd } ((m\downarrow) \ V \ A \ q)$ 
  by  $\text{force}$ 
have  $\text{elect-p-eq-defer-rev-p}: \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p = \text{defer } (m\downarrow) \ V \ A \ p$ 
  by  $\text{simp}$ 
hence  $\text{elect-a-in-p}: a \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
  using  $\text{rev-p-defer-a}$ 
  by  $\text{presburger}$ 
have  $\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ q \neq \{a\}$ 
  using  $\text{rev-q-defer-x } \text{x-non-eq-a}$ 
  by  $\text{force}$ 
with  $\text{assms}$ 
show  $x' \in \text{defer } (m\downarrow) \ V \ A \ q$ 
  using  $\text{a-lifted } \text{rev-p-defer-x'} \ \text{snd-conv } \text{elect-a-in-p}$ 
   $\text{elect-p-eq-defer-rev-p } \text{reject-and-defer}$ 
  unfolding  $\text{invariant-monotonicity-def}$ 
  by  $(\text{metis } (\text{no-types}))$ 
next
fix
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p \ q :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
   $a \ x \ x' :: 'a$ 
assume
   $a \in \text{defer } (m\downarrow) \ V \ A \ p$  and
   $\text{lifted } V \ A \ p \ q \ a$  and
   $x' \in \text{defer } (m\downarrow) \ V \ A \ q$ 
with  $\text{assms}$ 
show  $x' \in \text{defer } (m\downarrow) \ V \ A \ p$ 
  using  $\text{empty-iff } \text{insertE } \text{snd-conv } \text{revision-composition.elims}$ 
  unfolding  $\text{invariant-monotonicity-def}$ 
  by  $\text{metis}$ 
next
fix
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p \ q :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
   $a \ x \ x' :: 'a$ 

```

```

assume
  rev-p-defer-a:  $a \in \text{defer } (m \downarrow) \ V \ A \ p$  and
  a-lifted:  $\text{lifted } V \ A \ p \ q \ a$  and
  rev-q-not-defer-a:  $a \notin \text{defer } (m \downarrow) \ V \ A \ q$ 
moreover from assms
have lifted-inv:
   $\forall \ A \ V \ p \ q \ a. \ a \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \wedge \text{lifted } V \ A \ p \ q \ a \longrightarrow$ 
   $\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ q = \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \vee \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ q = \{a\}$ 
  unfolding invariant-monotonicity-def
  by (metis (no-types))
moreover have p-defer-rev-eq-elect:  $\text{defer } (m \downarrow) \ V \ A \ p = \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
  by simp
moreover have defer (m down) V A q = elect m V A q
  by simp
ultimately show  $x' \in \text{defer } (m \downarrow) \ V \ A \ q$ 
  using rev-p-defer-a rev-q-not-defer-a
  by blast
qed

end

```

6.3 Sequential Composition

```

theory Sequential-Composition
  imports Basic-Modules/Component-Types/Electoral-Module
begin

```

The sequential composition creates a new electoral module from two electoral modules. In a sequential composition, the second electoral module makes decisions over alternatives deferred by the first electoral module.

6.3.1 Definition

```

fun sequential-composition :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  sequential-composition m n V A p =
    (let new-A = defer m V A p;
     new-p = limit-profile new-A p in (
       (elect m V A p)  $\cup$  (elect n V new-A new-p),
       (reject m V A p)  $\cup$  (reject n V new-A new-p),
       defer n V new-A new-p))

abbreviation sequence :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$ 

```

```

      ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
    (infix  $\triangleright$  50) where
      m  $\triangleright$  n  $\equiv$  sequential-composition m n

fun sequential-composition' :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$ 
      ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$ 
      ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
      sequential-composition' m n V A p =
        (let (m-e, m-r, m-d) = m V A p; new-A = m-d;
            new-p = limit-profile new-A p;
            (n-e, n-r, n-d) = n V new-A new-p in
          (m-e  $\cup$  n-e, m-r  $\cup$  n-r, n-d))

lemma voters-determine-seq-comp:
  fixes m n :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
  assumes voters-determine-election m  $\wedge$  voters-determine-election n
  shows voters-determine-election (m  $\triangleright$  n)
proof (unfold voters-determine-election.simps, clarify)
  fix
    A :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v set and
    p p' :: ('a, 'v) Profile
  assume coincide:  $\forall v \in V. p v = p' v$ 
  hence eq: m V A p = m V A p'  $\wedge$  n V A p = n V A p'
    using assms
    unfolding voters-determine-election.simps
    by blast
  hence coincide-limit:
     $\forall v \in V. \text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \text{ V A } p) p v =$ 
       $\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \text{ V A } p') p' v$ 
    using coincide
    by simp
  moreover have
    elect m V A p
       $\cup$  elect n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p) =
    elect m V A p'
       $\cup$  elect n V (defer m V A p') (limit-profile (defer m V A p') p')
    using assms eq coincide-limit
    unfolding voters-determine-election.simps
    by metis
  moreover have
    reject m V A p
       $\cup$  reject n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p) =
    reject m V A p'
       $\cup$  reject n V (defer m V A p') (limit-profile (defer m V A p') p')
    using assms eq coincide-limit
    unfolding voters-determine-election.simps
    by metis
  moreover have

```

```

    defer n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p) =
    defer n V (defer m V A p') (limit-profile (defer m V A p') p')
  using assms eq coincide-limit
  unfolding voters-determine-election.simps
  by metis
ultimately show (m ▷ n) V A p = (m ▷ n) V A p'
  unfolding sequential-composition.simps
  by metis
qed

lemma seq-comp-presv-disj:
  fixes
    m n :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    A :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
  assumes
    module-m: SCF-result.electoral-module m and
    module-n: SCF-result.electoral-module n and
    prof: profile V A p
  shows disjoint3 ((m ▷ n) V A p)
proof -
  let ?new-A = defer m V A p
  let ?new-p = limit-profile ?new-A p
  have prof-def-lim: profile V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p)
    using def-presv-prof prof module-m
    by metis
  have defer-in-A:
    ∀ A' V' p' m' a.
      (profile V' A' p' ∧
       SCF-result.electoral-module m' ∧
       a ∈ defer m' V' A' p') →
      a ∈ A'
    using UnCI result-presv-alts
    by (metis (mono-tags))
  from module-m prof
  have disjoint-m: disjoint3 (m V A p)
    unfolding SCF-result.electoral-module.simps well-formed-SCF.simps
    by blast
  from module-m module-n def-presv-prof prof
  have disjoint-n: disjoint3 (n V ?new-A ?new-p)
    unfolding SCF-result.electoral-module.simps well-formed-SCF.simps
    by metis
  have disj-n:
    elect m V A p ∩ reject m V A p = {} ∧
    elect m V A p ∩ defer m V A p = {} ∧
    reject m V A p ∩ defer m V A p = {}
    using prof module-m
    by (simp add: result-disj)

```



```

have reject n V (defer m V A p)
  (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p)
   $\subseteq$  defer m V A p
using def-presv-prof reject-in-alts prof module-m module-n
by metis
with disjoint-m module-m module-n prof
have elect-reject-diff: elect m V A p  $\cap$  reject n V ?new-A ?new-p = {}
  using disj-n
  by blast
from prof module-m module-n
have elec-n-in-def-m:
  elect n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p)  $\subseteq$  defer m V A p
  using def-presv-prof elect-in-alts
  by metis
have elect-defer-diff: elect m V A p  $\cap$  defer n V ?new-A ?new-p = {}
proof -
  obtain f :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a where
     $\forall B B'.$ 
     $(\exists a b. a \in B' \wedge b \in B \wedge a = b) =$ 
     $(f B B' \in B' \wedge (\exists a. a \in B \wedge f B B' = a))$ 
    using disjoint-iff
    by metis
  then obtain g :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a where
     $\forall B B'.$ 
     $(B \cap B' = \{\})$ 
     $\longrightarrow (\forall a b. a \in B \wedge b \in B' \longrightarrow a \neq b)) \wedge$ 
     $(B \cap B' \neq \{\})$ 
     $\longrightarrow f B B' \in B \wedge g B B' \in B' \wedge f B B' = g B B')$ 
    by auto
  thus ?thesis
  using defer-in-A disj-n module-n prof-def-lim prof
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting))
qed
have rej-intersect-new-elect-empty:
  reject m V A p  $\cap$  elect n V ?new-A ?new-p = {}
  using disj-n disjoint-m disjoint-n def-presv-prof prof
  module-m module-n elec-n-in-def-m
  by blast
have (elect m V A p  $\cup$  elect n V ?new-A ?new-p)  $\cap$ 
  (reject m V A p  $\cup$  reject n V ?new-A ?new-p) = {}
proof (safe)
  fix x :: 'a
  assume
    x  $\in$  elect m V A p and
    x  $\in$  reject m V A p
  hence x  $\in$  elect m V A p  $\cap$  reject m V A p
  by simp
  thus x  $\in$  {}
  using disj-n

```

```

    by simp
next
  fix x :: 'a
  assume
    x ∈ elect m V A p and
    x ∈ reject n V (defer m V A p)
    (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p)
  thus x ∈ {}
  using elect-reject-diff
  by blast
next
  fix x :: 'a
  assume
    x ∈ elect n V (defer m V A p)
    (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p) and
    x ∈ reject m V A p
  thus x ∈ {}
  using rej-intersect-new-elect-empty
  by blast
next
  fix x :: 'a
  assume
    x ∈ elect n V (defer m V A p)
    (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p) and
    x ∈ reject n V (defer m V A p)
    (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p)
  thus x ∈ {}
  using disjoint-iff-not-equal module-n prof-def-lim result-disj prof
  by metis
qed
moreover have
  (elect m V A p ∪ elect n V ?new-A ?new-p)
  ∩ (defer n V ?new-A ?new-p) = {}
  using Int-Un-distrib2 Un-empty elect-defer-diff module-n
  prof-def-lim result-disj prof
  by (metis (no-types))
moreover have
  (reject m V A p ∪ reject n V ?new-A ?new-p)
  ∩ (defer n V ?new-A ?new-p) = {}
proof (safe)
  fix x :: 'a
  assume x ∈ defer n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p)
  hence x ∈ defer m V A p
  using defer-in-A module-n prof-def-lim prof
  by metis
  moreover assume x ∈ reject m V A p
  ultimately have x ∈ reject m V A p ∩ defer m V A p
  by fastforce
  thus x ∈ {}

```

```

    using disj-n
    by blast
next
fix x :: 'a
assume
  x ∈ defer n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p) and
  x ∈ reject n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p)
thus x ∈ {}
  using module-n prof-def-lim reject-not-elected-or-deferred
  by blast
qed
ultimately have
  disjoint3 (elect m V A p ∪ elect n V ?new-A ?new-p,
    reject m V A p ∪ reject n V ?new-A ?new-p,
    defer n V ?new-A ?new-p)
  by simp
thus ?thesis
  unfolding sequential-composition.simps
  by metis
qed

lemma seq-comp-presv-alts:
fixes
  m n :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
assumes
  module-m: SCF-result.electoral-module m and
  module-n: SCF-result.electoral-module n and
  prof: profile V A p
shows set-equals-partition A ((m ▷ n) V A p)
proof -
let ?new-A = defer m V A p
let ?new-p = limit-profile ?new-A p
have elect-reject-diff: elect m V A p ∪ reject m V A p ∪ ?new-A = A
  using module-m prof
  by (simp add: result-presv-alts)
have elect n V ?new-A ?new-p ∪
  reject n V ?new-A ?new-p ∪
  defer n V ?new-A ?new-p = ?new-A
  using module-m module-n prof def-presv-prof result-presv-alts
  by metis
hence (elect m V A p ∪ elect n V ?new-A ?new-p) ∪
  (reject m V A p ∪ reject n V ?new-A ?new-p) ∪
  defer n V ?new-A ?new-p = A
  using elect-reject-diff
  by blast
hence set-equals-partition A

```

```

      (elect m V A p  $\cup$  elect n V ?new-A ?new-p,
       reject m V A p  $\cup$  reject n V ?new-A ?new-p,
       defer n V ?new-A ?new-p)
    by simp
  thus ?thesis
    unfolding sequential-composition.simps
    by metis
qed

lemma seq-comp-alt-eq[fundef-cong, code]: sequential-composition = sequential-composition'
proof (unfold sequential-composition'.simps sequential-composition.simps)
  have  $\forall m n V A E.$ 
    (case m V A E of (e, r, d)  $\Rightarrow$ 
     case n V d (limit-profile d E) of (e', r', d')  $\Rightarrow$ 
     (e  $\cup$  e', r  $\cup$  r', d')) =
    (elect m V A E
      $\cup$  elect n V (defer m V A E) (limit-profile (defer m V A E) E),
     reject m V A E
      $\cup$  reject n V (defer m V A E) (limit-profile (defer m V A E) E),
     defer n V (defer m V A E) (limit-profile (defer m V A E) E))
  using case-prod-beta'
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  thus
    ( $\lambda m n V A p.$ 
     let A' = defer m V A p; p' = limit-profile A' p in
     (elect m V A p  $\cup$  elect n V A' p',
      reject m V A p  $\cup$  reject n V A' p',
      defer n V A' p')) =
    ( $\lambda m n V A pr.$ 
     let (e, r, d) = m V A pr; A' = d; p' = limit-profile A' pr;
     (e', r', d') = n V A' p' in
     (e  $\cup$  e', r  $\cup$  r', d'))
  by metis
qed

```

6.3.2 Soundness

```

theorem seq-comp-sound[simp]:
  fixes m n :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
  assumes
    SCF-result.electoral-module m and
    SCF-result.electoral-module n
  shows SCF-result.electoral-module (m  $\triangleright$  n)
proof (unfold SCF-result.electoral-module.simps, safe)
  fix
    A :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
  assume profile V A p

```

moreover have $\forall r. \text{well-formed-SCF } (A :: 'a \text{ set}) \ r =$
 $(\text{disjoint3 } r \wedge \text{set-equals-partition } A \ r)$
by *simp*
ultimately show $\text{well-formed-SCF } A \ ((m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p)$
using *assms seq-comp-presv-disj seq-comp-presv-alts*
by *metis*
qed

6.3.3 Lemmas

lemma *seq-comp-decrease-only-defer*:

fixes
 $m \ n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$
assumes
 $\text{module-}m: \text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m$ **and**
 $\text{module-}n: \text{SCF-result.electoral-module } n$ **and**
 $\text{prof: profile } V \ A \ p$ **and**
 $\text{empty-defer: defer } m \ V \ A \ p = \{\}$
shows $(m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = m \ V \ A \ p$
proof –
have $\forall m' \ A' \ V' \ p'.$
 $(\text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m' \wedge \text{profile } V' \ A' \ p') \longrightarrow$
 $\text{profile } V' \ (\text{defer } m' \ V' \ A' \ p') \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m' \ V' \ A' \ p') \ p')$
using *def-presv-prof prof*
by *metis*
hence $\text{prof-no-alt: profile } V \ \{\} \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)$
using *empty-defer prof module-m*
by *metis*
show *?thesis*
proof
have $(\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p)$
 $\cup (\text{elect } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)) =$
 $\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p$
using *elect-in-alts[of - - limit-profile (defer m V A p) p]*
 $\text{empty-defer module-n prof prof-no-alt}$
by *auto*
thus $\text{elect } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p$
using *fst-conv*
unfolding *sequential-composition.simps*
by *metis*
next
have *rej-empty*:
 $\forall m' \ V' \ p'.$
 $(\text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m'$
 $\wedge \text{profile } V' \ \{\} \ p') \longrightarrow \text{reject } m' \ V' \ \{\} \ p' = \{\}$
using *bot.extremum-uniqueI reject-in-alts*

```

    by metis
  have (reject m V A p, defer n V {} (limit-profile {} p)) = snd (m V A p)
    using bot.extremum-uniqueI defer-in-alts empty-defer
      module-n prod.collapse prof-no-alt
    by (metis (no-types))
  thus snd ((m ▷ n) V A p) = snd (m V A p)
    unfolding sequential-composition.simps
    using rej-empty empty-defer module-n prof-no-alt prof sndI sup-bot-right
    by metis
qed
qed

lemma seq-comp-def-then-elect:
  fixes
    m n :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    A :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
  assumes
    n-electing-m: non-electing m and
    def-one-m: defers 1 m and
    electing-n: electing n and
    f-prof: finite-profile V A p
  shows elect (m ▷ n) V A p = defer m V A p
proof (cases)
  assume A = {}
  with electing-n n-electing-m f-prof
  show ?thesis
    using bot.extremum-uniqueI defer-in-alts elect-in-alts seq-comp-sound
    unfolding electing-def non-electing-def
    by metis
next
  assume non-empty-A: A ≠ {}
  from n-electing-m f-prof
  have ele: elect m V A p = {}
    unfolding non-electing-def
    by simp
  from non-empty-A def-one-m f-prof finite
  have def-card: card (defer m V A p) = 1
    unfolding defers-def
    by (simp add: Suc-leI card-gt-0-iff)
  with n-electing-m f-prof
  have def: ∃ a ∈ A. defer m V A p = {a}
    using card-1-singletonE defer-in-alts singletonI subsetCE
    unfolding non-electing-def
    by metis
  from ele def n-electing-m
  have rej: ∃ a ∈ A. reject m V A p = A - {a}
    using Diff-empty def-one-m f-prof reject-not-elected-or-deferred

```

```

    unfolding defers-def
    by metis
  from ele rej def n-electing-m f-prof
  have res-m:  $\exists a \in A. m \vee A p = (\{\}, A - \{a\}, \{a\})$ 
    using Diff-empty elect-rej-def-combination reject-not-elected-or-deferred
    unfolding non-electing-def
    by metis
  hence  $\exists a \in A. \text{elect } (m \triangleright n) \vee A p = \text{elect } n \vee \{a\} \text{ (limit-profile } \{a\} p)$ 
    using prod.sel sup-bot.left-neutral
    unfolding sequential-composition.simps
    by metis
  with def-card def electing-n n-electing-m f-prof
  have  $\exists a \in A. \text{elect } (m \triangleright n) \vee A p = \{a\}$ 
    using electing-for-only-alt fst-conv def-presv-prof sup-bot.left-neutral
    unfolding non-electing-def sequential-composition.simps
    by metis
  with def def-card electing-n n-electing-m f-prof res-m
  show ?thesis
    using def-presv-prof electing-for-only-alt fst-conv sup-bot.left-neutral
    unfolding non-electing-def sequential-composition.simps
    by metis
qed

```

lemma *seq-comp-def-card-bounded*:

```

  fixes
     $m \ n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
  assumes
    SCF-result.electoral-module  $m$  and
    SCF-result.electoral-module  $n$  and
    finite-profile  $V \ A \ p$ 
  shows  $\text{card } (\text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \vee A p) \leq \text{card } (\text{defer } m \vee A p)$ 
    using card-mono defer-in-alts assms def-presv-prof snd-conv finite-subset
    unfolding sequential-composition.simps
    by metis

```

lemma *seq-comp-def-set-bounded*:

```

  fixes
     $m \ n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
  assumes
    SCF-result.electoral-module  $m$  and
    SCF-result.electoral-module  $n$  and
    profile  $V \ A \ p$ 
  shows  $\text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \vee A p \subseteq \text{defer } m \vee A p$ 

```

using *defer-in-alts assms snd-conv def-presv-prof*
unfolding *sequential-composition.simps*
by *metis*

lemma *seq-comp-defers-def-set:*

fixes
 $m\ n :: ('a, 'v, 'a\ Result)\ Electoral\ Module$ **and**
 $A :: 'a\ set$ **and**
 $V :: 'v\ set$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v)\ Profile$
shows $defer\ (m \triangleright n)\ V\ A\ p =$
 $defer\ n\ V\ (defer\ m\ V\ A\ p)\ (limit\ profile\ (defer\ m\ V\ A\ p)\ p)$
using *snd-conv*
unfolding *sequential-composition.simps*
by *metis*

lemma *seq-comp-def-then-elect-elec-set:*

fixes
 $m\ n :: ('a, 'v, 'a\ Result)\ Electoral\ Module$ **and**
 $A :: 'a\ set$ **and**
 $V :: 'v\ set$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v)\ Profile$
shows $elect\ (m \triangleright n)\ V\ A\ p =$
 $elect\ n\ V\ (defer\ m\ V\ A\ p)$
 $(limit\ profile\ (defer\ m\ V\ A\ p)\ p) \cup (elect\ m\ V\ A\ p)$
using *Un-commute fst-conv*
unfolding *sequential-composition.simps*
by *metis*

lemma *seq-comp-elim-one-red-def-set:*

fixes
 $m\ n :: ('a, 'v, 'a\ Result)\ Electoral\ Module$ **and**
 $A :: 'a\ set$ **and**
 $V :: 'v\ set$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v)\ Profile$
assumes
 $SCF\ result.electoral\ module\ m$ **and**
 $eliminates\ 1\ n$ **and**
 $profile\ V\ A\ p$ **and**
 $card\ (defer\ m\ V\ A\ p) > 1$
shows $defer\ (m \triangleright n)\ V\ A\ p \subset defer\ m\ V\ A\ p$
using *assms snd-conv def-presv-prof single-elim-imp-red-def-set*
unfolding *sequential-composition.simps*
by *metis*

lemma *seq-comp-def-set-trans:*

fixes
 $m\ n :: ('a, 'v, 'a\ Result)\ Electoral\ Module$ **and**
 $A :: 'a\ set$ **and**

$V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $a :: 'a$
assumes
 $a \in (\text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p)$ **and**
 $\text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m \wedge \text{SCF-result.electoral-module } n$ **and**
 $\text{profile } V \ A \ p$
shows $a \in \text{defer } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p) \wedge$
 $a \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$
using *seq-comp-def-set-bounded assms in-mono seq-comp-defers-def-set*
by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting)*)

6.3.4 Composition Rules

The sequential composition preserves the non-blocking property.

theorem *seq-comp-presv-non-blocking[simp]*:
fixes $m \ n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$
assumes
 $\text{non-blocking-m: non-blocking } m$ **and**
 $\text{non-blocking-n: non-blocking } n$
shows $\text{non-blocking } (m \triangleright n)$
proof –
fix
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$
let $?input\text{-sound} = A \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite-profile } V \ A \ p$
from non-blocking-m
have $?input\text{-sound} \longrightarrow \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \neq A$
unfolding non-blocking-def
by *simp*
with non-blocking-m
have $A\text{-reject-diff: } ?input\text{-sound} \longrightarrow A - \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \neq \{\}$
using *Diff-eq-empty-iff reject-in-alts subset-antisym*
unfolding non-blocking-def
by *metis*
from non-blocking-m
have $?input\text{-sound} \longrightarrow \text{well-formed-SCF } A \ (m \ V \ A \ p)$
unfolding $\text{SCF-result.electoral-module.simps non-blocking-def}$
by *simp*
hence $?input\text{-sound} \longrightarrow \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p = A - \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$
using $\text{non-blocking-m elec-and-def-not-rej}$
unfolding non-blocking-def
by *metis*
with $A\text{-reject-diff}$
have $?input\text{-sound} \longrightarrow \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p \neq \{\}$
by *simp*
hence $?input\text{-sound} \longrightarrow (\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \neq \{\} \vee \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p \neq \{\})$
by *simp*

```

with non-blocking-m non-blocking-n
show ?thesis
proof (unfold non-blocking-def)
  assume
    emod-reject-m:
    SCF-result.electoral-module m
     $\wedge (\forall A V p. A \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } A \wedge \text{profile } V A p$ 
       $\longrightarrow \text{reject } m V A p \neq A)$  and
    emod-reject-n:
    SCF-result.electoral-module n
     $\wedge (\forall A V p. A \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } A \wedge \text{profile } V A p$ 
       $\longrightarrow \text{reject } n V A p \neq A)$ 
  show
    SCF-result.electoral-module (m  $\triangleright$  n)
     $\wedge (\forall A V p. A \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } A \wedge \text{profile } V A p$ 
       $\longrightarrow \text{reject } (m \triangleright n) V A p \neq A)$ 
  proof (safe)
    show SCF-result.electoral-module (m  $\triangleright$  n)
      using emod-reject-m emod-reject-n seq-comp-sound
      by metis
  next
  fix
    A :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
    x :: 'a
  assume
    fin-A: finite A and
    prof-A: profile V A p and
    rej-mn: reject (m  $\triangleright$  n) V A p = A and
    x-in-A: x  $\in$  A
  from emod-reject-m fin-A prof-A
  have fin-defer:
    finite (defer m V A p)
     $\wedge \text{profile } V (\text{defer } m V A p) (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m V A p) p)$ 
    using def-presv-prof defer-in-alts finite-subset
    by (metis (no-types))
  from emod-reject-m emod-reject-n fin-A prof-A
  have seq-elect:
    elect (m  $\triangleright$  n) V A p =
    elect n V (defer m V A p)
     $(\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m V A p) p) \cup \text{elect } m V A p$ 
    using seq-comp-def-then-elect-elec-set
    by metis
  from emod-reject-n emod-reject-m fin-A prof-A
  have def-limit:
    defer (m  $\triangleright$  n) V A p =
    defer n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p)
    using seq-comp-defers-def-set

```

```

    by metis
  from emod-reject-n emod-reject-m fin-A prof-A
  have elect (m ▷ n) V A p ∪ defer (m ▷ n) V A p =
    A - reject (m ▷ n) V A p
    using elec-and-def-not-rej seq-comp-sound
    by metis
  hence elect-def-disj:
    elect n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p) ∪
    elect m V A p ∪
    defer n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p) = {}
    using def-limit seq-elect Diff-cancel rej-mn
    by auto
  have rej-def-eq-set:
    defer n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p) -
    defer n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p) = {} →
    reject n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p) =
    defer m V A p
    using elect-def-disj emod-reject-n fin-defer
    by (simp add: reject-not-elected-or-deferred)
  have
    defer n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p) -
    defer n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p) = {} →
    elect m V A p = elect m V A p ∩ defer m V A p
    using elect-def-disj
    by blast
  thus x ∈ {}
    using rej-def-eq-set result-disj fin-defer Diff-cancel Diff-empty fin-A prof-A
    emod-reject-m emod-reject-n reject-not-elected-or-deferred x-in-A
    by metis
qed
qed
qed

```

Sequential composition preserves the non-electing property.

```

theorem seq-comp-presv-non-electing[simp]:
  fixes m n :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
  assumes
    non-electing m and
    non-electing n
  shows non-electing (m ▷ n)
proof (unfold non-electing-def, safe)
  have SCF-result.electoral-module m ∧ SCF-result.electoral-module n
    using assms
    unfolding non-electing-def
    by blast
  thus SCF-result.electoral-module (m ▷ n)
    using seq-comp-sound
    by metis
next

```

```

fix
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
   $x :: 'a$ 
assume
   $\text{profile } V \ A \ p$  and
   $x \in \text{elect } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p$ 
thus  $x \in \{\}$ 
using assms
unfolding non-electing-def
using seq-comp-def-then-elect-elec-set def-presv-prof Diff-empty Diff-partition
  empty-subsetI
by metis
qed

```

Composing an electoral module that defers exactly 1 alternative in sequence after an electoral module that is electing results (still) in an electing electoral module.

```

theorem seq-comp-electing[simp]:
  fixes  $m \ n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ 
  assumes
     $\text{def-one-}m$ :  $\text{defers } 1 \ m$  and
     $\text{electing-}n$ :  $\text{electing } n$ 
  shows  $\text{electing } (m \triangleright n)$ 
proof –
  have defer-card-eq-one:
     $\forall \ A \ V \ p. (\text{card } A \geq 1 \wedge \text{finite } A \wedge \text{profile } V \ A \ p) \longrightarrow \text{card } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) = 1$ 
    using def-one-m
    unfolding defers-def
    by metis
  hence def-m-not-empty:
     $\forall \ A \ V \ p. (A \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } A \wedge \text{profile } V \ A \ p) \longrightarrow \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p \neq \{\}$ 
    using One-nat-def Suc-leI card-eq-0-iff card-gt-0-iff zero-neq-one
    by metis
  thus ?thesis
proof –
  have  $\forall \ m'.$ 
     $(\neg \text{electing } m' \vee \text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m'$ 
       $\wedge (\forall \ A' \ V' \ p'. (A' \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } A' \wedge \text{profile } V' \ A' \ p') \longrightarrow \text{elect } m' \ V' \ A' \ p' \neq \{\}))$ 
       $\wedge (\text{electing } m' \vee \neg \text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m' \vee$ 
         $(\exists \ A \ V \ p. (A \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } A \wedge \text{profile } V \ A \ p \wedge \text{elect } m' \ V \ A \ p = \{\})))$ 
    unfolding electing-def
    by blast
  hence  $\forall \ m'.$ 
     $(\neg \text{electing } m' \vee \text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m'$ 
       $\wedge (\forall \ A' \ V' \ p'. (A' \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } A' \wedge \text{profile } V' \ A' \ p') \longrightarrow \text{elect } m' \ V' \ A' \ p' \neq \{\}))$ 

```

$\longrightarrow \text{elect } m' \ V' \ A' \ p' \neq \{\})$
 $\wedge (\exists \ A \ V \ p. (\text{electing } m' \vee \neg \text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m' \vee A \neq \{}$
 $\wedge \text{finite } A \wedge \text{profile } V \ A \ p \wedge \text{elect } m' \ V \ A \ p = \{\}))$
by simp
then obtain
 $A :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **where**
f-mod:
 $\forall \ m' :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module.}$
 $(\neg \text{electing } m' \vee \text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m' \wedge$
 $(\forall \ A' \ V' \ p'. (A' \neq \{ \} \wedge \text{finite } A' \wedge \text{profile } V' \ A' \ p'))$
 $\longrightarrow \text{elect } m' \ V' \ A' \ p' \neq \{\}))$
 $\wedge (\text{electing } m' \vee \neg \text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m' \vee A \ m' \neq \{ \}$
 $\wedge \text{finite } (A \ m') \wedge \text{profile } (V \ m') \ (A \ m') \ (p \ m')$
 $\wedge \text{elect } m' \ (V \ m') \ (A \ m') \ (p \ m') = \{\})$
by metis
hence f-elect:
 $\text{SCF-result.electoral-module } n \wedge$
 $(\forall \ A \ V \ p. (A \neq \{ \} \wedge \text{finite } A \wedge \text{profile } V \ A \ p) \longrightarrow \text{elect } n \ V \ A \ p \neq \{\})$
using electing-n
unfolding electing-def
by metis
have def-card-one:
 $\text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m$
 $\wedge (\forall \ A \ V \ p. (1 \leq \text{card } A \wedge \text{finite } A \wedge \text{profile } V \ A \ p)$
 $\longrightarrow \text{card } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) = 1)$
using def-one-m defer-card-eq-one
unfolding defers-def
by blast
hence SCF-result.electoral-module $(m \triangleright n)$
using f-elect seq-comp-sound
by metis
with f-mod f-elect def-card-one
show ?thesis
using seq-comp-def-then-elect-elec-set def-presv-prof defer-in-alts
 $\text{def-m-not-empty bot-eq-sup-iff finite-subset}$
unfolding electing-def
by metis
qed
qed
lemma def-lift-inv-seq-comp-help:
fixes
 $m \ n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p \ q :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $a :: 'a$

assumes
monotone-m: defer-lift-invariance m and
monotone-n: defer-lift-invariance n and
voters-determine-n: voters-determine-election n and
def-and-lifted: $a \in (\text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p) \wedge \text{lifted } V \ A \ p \ q \ a$
shows $(m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ q$
proof –
let $?new\text{-}Ap = \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$
let $?new\text{-}Aq = \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q$
let $?new\text{-}p = \text{limit-profile } ?new\text{-}Ap \ p$
let $?new\text{-}q = \text{limit-profile } ?new\text{-}Aq \ q$
from *monotone-m monotone-n*
have *modules: SCF-result.electoral-module m \wedge SCF-result.electoral-module n*
unfolding *defer-lift-invariance-def*
by *simp*
hence $\text{profile } V \ A \ p \longrightarrow \text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p \subseteq \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$
using *seq-comp-def-set-bounded*
by *metis*
moreover **have** *profile-p: lifted V A p q a \longrightarrow finite-profile V A p*
unfolding *lifted-def*
by *simp*
ultimately **have** *defer-subset: defer (m \triangleright n) V A p \subseteq defer m V A p*
using *def-and-lifted*
by *blast*
hence *mono-m: m V A p = m V A q*
using *monotone-m def-and-lifted modules profile-p*
seq-comp-def-set-trans
unfolding *defer-lift-invariance-def*
by *metis*
hence *new-A-eq: ?new-Ap = ?new-Aq*
by *presburger*
have *defer-eq: defer (m \triangleright n) V A p = defer n V ?new-Ap ?new-p*
using *snd-conv*
unfolding *sequential-composition.simps*
by *metis*
have *mono-n: n V ?new-Ap ?new-p = n V ?new-Aq ?new-q*
proof (*cases*)
assume *lifted V ?new-Ap ?new-p ?new-q a*
thus *?thesis*
using *defer-eq mono-m monotone-n def-and-lifted*
unfolding *defer-lift-invariance-def*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
next
assume *unlifted-a: $\neg \text{lifted } V \ ?new\text{-}Ap \ ?new\text{-}p \ ?new\text{-}q \ a$*
from *def-and-lifted*
have *finite-profile V A q*
unfolding *lifted-def*
by *simp*
with *modules new-A-eq*

```

have prof-p: profile V ?new-Ap ?new-q
  using def-presv-prof
  by (metis (no-types))
moreover from modules profile-p def-and-lifted
have prof-q: profile V ?new-Ap ?new-p
  using def-presv-prof
  by (metis (no-types))
moreover from defer-subset def-and-lifted
have a ∈ ?new-Ap
  by blast
ultimately have lifted-stmt:
  (∃ v ∈ V.
    Preference-Relation.lifted ?new-Ap (?new-p v) (?new-q v) a) →
  (∃ v ∈ V.
    ¬ Preference-Relation.lifted ?new-Ap (?new-p v) (?new-q v) a ∧
    (?new-p v) ≠ (?new-q v))
  using unlifted-a def-and-lifted defer-in-alts infinite-super modules profile-p
  unfolding lifted-def
  by metis
from def-and-lifted modules
have ∀ v ∈ V. (Preference-Relation.lifted A (p v) (q v) a ∨ (p v) = (q v))
  unfolding Profile.lifted-def
  by metis
with def-and-lifted modules mono-m
have ∀ v ∈ V.
  (Preference-Relation.lifted ?new-Ap (?new-p v) (?new-q v) a ∨
   (?new-p v) = (?new-q v))
  using limit-lifted-imp-eq-or-lifted defer-in-alts
  unfolding Profile.lifted-def limit-profile.simps
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))
with lifted-stmt
have ∀ v ∈ V. (?new-p v) = (?new-q v)
  by blast
with mono-m
show ?thesis
  using leI not-less-zero nth-equalityI voters-determine-n
  unfolding voters-determine-election.simps
  by presburger
qed
from mono-m mono-n
show ?thesis
  unfolding sequential-composition.simps
  by (metis (full-types))
qed

```

Sequential composition preserves the property defer-lift-invariance.

```

theorem seq-comp-presv-def-lift-inv[simp]:
  fixes m n :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
  assumes

```

```

    defer-lift-invariance m and
    defer-lift-invariance n and
    voters-determine-election n
  shows defer-lift-invariance (m  $\triangleright$  n)
proof (unfold defer-lift-invariance-def, safe)
  show SCF-result.electoral-module (m  $\triangleright$  n)
    using assms seq-comp-sound
    unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
    by blast
next
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p q :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  a :: 'a
assume
  a  $\in$  defer (m  $\triangleright$  n) V A p and
  Profile.lifted V A p q a
thus (m  $\triangleright$  n) V A p = (m  $\triangleright$  n) V A q
  unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
  using assms def-lift-inv-seq-comp-help
  by metis
qed

```

Composing a non-blocking, non-electing electoral module in sequence with an electoral module that defers exactly one alternative results in an electoral module that defers exactly one alternative.

```

theorem seq-comp-def-one[simp]:
  fixes m n :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
  assumes
    non-blocking-m: non-blocking m and
    non-electing-m: non-electing m and
    def-one-n: defers 1 n
  shows defers 1 (m  $\triangleright$  n)
proof (unfold defers-def, safe)
  have SCF-result.electoral-module m
    using non-electing-m
    unfolding non-electing-def
    by simp
  moreover have SCF-result.electoral-module n
    using def-one-n
    unfolding defers-def
    by simp
  ultimately show SCF-result.electoral-module (m  $\triangleright$  n)
    using seq-comp-sound
    by metis
next
fix
  A :: 'a set and

```



```

  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
assume
  pos-card: 1 ≤ card A and
  fin-A: finite A and
  prof-A: profile V A p
from pos-card
have A ≠ {}
  by auto
with fin-A prof-A
have reject m V A p ≠ A
  using non-blocking-m
  unfolding non-blocking-def
  by simp
hence ∃ a. a ∈ A ∧ a ∉ reject m V A p
  using non-electing-m reject-in-alts fin-A prof-A
  card-seteq infinite-super subsetI upper-card-bound-for-reject
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by metis
hence defer m V A p ≠ {}
  using electoral-mod-defer-elem empty-iff non-electing-m fin-A prof-A
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by (metis (no-types))
hence card (defer m V A p) ≥ 1
  using Suc-leI card-gt-0-iff fin-A prof-A
  non-blocking-m defer-in-alts infinite-super
  unfolding One-nat-def non-blocking-def
  by metis
moreover have
  ∀ i m'. defers i m' =
    (SCF-result.electoral-module m' ∧
     (∀ A' V' p'. (i ≤ card A' ∧ finite A' ∧ profile V' A' p') →
      card (defer m' V' A' p') = i))
  unfolding defers-def
  by simp
ultimately have
  card (defer n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p)) = 1
  using def-one-n fin-A prof-A non-blocking-m def-presv-prof
  card.infinite not-one-le-zero
  unfolding non-blocking-def
  by metis
moreover have
  defer (m ▷ n) V A p =
    defer n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p)
  using seq-comp-defers-def-set
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting))
ultimately show card (defer (m ▷ n) V A p) = 1
  by simp
qed

```

Composing a defer-lift invariant and a non-electing electoral module that defers exactly one alternative in sequence with an electing electoral module results in a monotone electoral module.

theorem *disj-compat-seq[simp]*:
fixes $m\ m'\ n :: ('a, 'v, 'a\ Result)\ Electoral\ Module$
assumes
 compatible: disjoint-compatibility $m\ n$ **and**
 module-m': SCF-result.electoral-module m' **and**
 voters-determine-m': voters-determine-election m'
shows *disjoint-compatibility* $(m \triangleright m')\ n$
proof (*unfold disjoint-compatibility-def, safe*)
show *SCF-result.electoral-module* $(m \triangleright m')$
 using *compatible module-m' seq-comp-sound*
 unfolding *disjoint-compatibility-def*
 by *metis*
next
show *SCF-result.electoral-module* n
 using *compatible*
 unfolding *disjoint-compatibility-def*
 by *metis*
next
fix
 $S :: 'a\ set$ **and**
 $V :: 'v\ set$
have *modules:*
 SCF-result.electoral-module $(m \triangleright m') \wedge$ *SCF-result.electoral-module* n
 using *compatible module-m' seq-comp-sound*
 unfolding *disjoint-compatibility-def*
 by *metis*
obtain $A :: 'a\ set$ **where**
 rej-A:
 $A \subseteq S \wedge$
 $(\forall a \in A.$
 $indep\ of\ alt\ m\ V\ S\ a \wedge (\forall p. profile\ V\ S\ p \longrightarrow a \in reject\ m\ V\ S\ p)) \wedge$
 $(\forall a \in S - A.$
 $indep\ of\ alt\ n\ V\ S\ a \wedge (\forall p. profile\ V\ S\ p \longrightarrow a \in reject\ n\ V\ S\ p))$
 using *compatible*
 unfolding *disjoint-compatibility-def*
 by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
show
 $\exists A \subseteq S.$
 $(\forall a \in A. indep\ of\ alt\ (m \triangleright m')\ V\ S\ a \wedge$
 $(\forall p. profile\ V\ S\ p \longrightarrow a \in reject\ (m \triangleright m')\ V\ S\ p)) \wedge$
 $(\forall a \in S - A.$
 $indep\ of\ alt\ n\ V\ S\ a \wedge (\forall p. profile\ V\ S\ p \longrightarrow a \in reject\ n\ V\ S\ p))$
proof
 have $\forall a\ p\ q. a \in A \wedge equiv\ prof\ except\ a\ V\ S\ p\ q\ a \longrightarrow$
 $(m \triangleright m')\ V\ S\ p = (m \triangleright m')\ V\ S\ q$
 proof (*safe*)

```

fix
  a :: 'a and
  p q :: ('a, 'v) Profile
assume
  a-in-A: a ∈ A and
  lifting-equiv-p-q: equiv-prof-except-a V S p q a
hence eq-defer: defer m V S p = defer m V S q
  using rej-A
  unfolding indep-of-alt-def
  by metis
have profiles: profile V S p ∧ profile V S q
  using lifting-equiv-p-q
  unfolding equiv-prof-except-a-def
  by simp
hence (defer m V S p) ⊆ S
  using compatible defer-in-alts
  unfolding disjoint-compatibility-def
  by metis
moreover have a ∉ defer m V S q
  using a-in-A compatible profiles rej-A IntI emptyE result-disj
  unfolding disjoint-compatibility-def
  by metis
ultimately have
  ∀ v ∈ V. limit-profile (defer m V S p) p v =
    limit-profile (defer m V S q) q v
  using lifting-equiv-p-q negl-diff-imp-eq-limit-prof[of - S]
  unfolding eq-defer limit-profile.simps
  by blast
hence m' V (defer m V S p) (limit-profile (defer m V S p) p) =
  m' V (defer m V S q) (limit-profile (defer m V S q) q)
  using eq-defer voters-determine-m'
  by simp
moreover have m V S p = m V S q
  using rej-A a-in-A lifting-equiv-p-q
  unfolding indep-of-alt-def
  by metis
ultimately show (m ▷ m') V S p = (m ▷ m') V S q
  unfolding sequential-composition.simps
  by (metis (full-types))
qed
moreover have ∀ a' ∈ A. ∀ p'. profile V S p' ⟶ a' ∈ reject (m ▷ m') V S p'
  using rej-A UnI1 prod.sel
  unfolding sequential-composition.simps
  by metis
ultimately show A ⊆ S ∧
  (∀ a' ∈ A. indep-of-alt (m ▷ m') V S a' ∧
    (∀ p'. profile V S p' ⟶ a' ∈ reject (m ▷ m') V S p')) ∧
  (∀ a' ∈ S - A. indep-of-alt n V S a' ∧
    (∀ p'. profile V S p' ⟶ a' ∈ reject n V S p'))

```

```

    using rej-A indep-of-alt-def modules
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
qed
qed

theorem seq-comp-cond-compat[simp]:
  fixes m n :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
  assumes
    dcc-m: defer-condorcet-consistency m and
    nb-n: non-blocking n and
    ne-n: non-electing n
  shows condorcet-compatibility (m ▷ n)
proof (unfold condorcet-compatibility-def, safe)
  have SCF-result.electoral-module m
    using dcc-m
    unfolding defer-condorcet-consistency-def
    by presburger
  moreover have SCF-result.electoral-module n
    using nb-n
    unfolding non-blocking-def
    by presburger
  ultimately have SCF-result.electoral-module (m ▷ n)
    using seq-comp-sound
    by metis
  thus SCF-result.electoral-module (m ▷ n)
    by presburger
next
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  a :: 'a
assume
  cw-a: condorcet-winner V A p a and
  a-in-rej-seq-m-n: a ∈ reject (m ▷ n) V A p
hence  $\exists a'. \text{defer-condorcet-consistency } m \wedge \text{condorcet-winner } V A p a'$ 
  using dcc-m
  by blast
hence  $m \ V A \ p = (\{\}, A - (\text{defer } m \ V A \ p), \{a\})$ 
  using defer-condorcet-consistency-def cw-a cond-winner-unique
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))
have sound-m: SCF-result.electoral-module m
  using dcc-m
  unfolding defer-condorcet-consistency-def
  by presburger
moreover have SCF-result.electoral-module n
  using nb-n
  unfolding non-blocking-def
  by presburger

```

ultimately have *sound-seq-m-n*: *SCF-result.electoral-module* ($m \triangleright n$)
using *seq-comp-sound*
by *metis*
have *def-m*: $\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p = \{a\}$
using *cw-a cond-winner-unique dcc-m snd-conv*
unfolding *defer-condorcet-consistency-def*
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*))
have *rej-m*: $\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p = A - \{a\}$
using *cw-a cond-winner-unique dcc-m prod.sel*
unfolding *defer-condorcet-consistency-def*
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*))
have *elect-m*: $\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p = \{\}$
using *cw-a def-m rej-m dcc-m fst-conv*
unfolding *defer-condorcet-consistency-def*
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*))
hence *diff-elect-m*: $A - \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p = A$
using *Diff-empty*
by (*metis* (*full-types*))
have *cond-win*:
 $\text{finite } A \wedge \text{finite } V \wedge \text{profile } V \ A \ p$
 $\wedge a \in A \wedge (\forall a'. a' \in A - \{a\} \longrightarrow \text{wins } V \ a \ p \ a')$
using *cw-a condorcet-winner.simps DiffD2 singletonI*
by (*metis* (*no-types*))
have $\forall a' A'. (a' :: 'a) \in A' \longrightarrow \text{insert } a' (A' - \{a'\}) = A'$
by *blast*
have *nb-n-full*:
 $\text{SCF-result.electoral-module } n \wedge$
 $(\forall A' V' p'. A' \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } A' \wedge \text{finite } V' \wedge \text{profile } V' \ A' \ p'$
 $\longrightarrow \text{reject } n \ V' \ A' \ p' \neq A')$
using *nb-n non-blocking-def*
by *metis*
have *def-seq-diff*:
 $\text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = A - \text{elect } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p - \text{reject } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p$
using *defer-not-elec-or-rej cond-win sound-seq-m-n*
by *metis*
have *set-ins*: $\forall a' A'. (a' :: 'a) \in A' \longrightarrow \text{insert } a' (A' - \{a'\}) = A'$
by *fastforce*
have $\forall p' A' p''. p' = (A' :: 'a \text{ set}, p'' :: 'a \text{ set} \times 'a \text{ set}) \longrightarrow \text{snd } p' = p''$
by *simp*
hence
 $\text{snd } (\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p$
 $\cup \text{elect } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p),$
 $\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$
 $\cup \text{reject } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p),$
 $\text{defer } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)) =$
 $(\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$
 $\cup \text{reject } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p),$
 $\text{defer } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p))$

```

by blast
hence seq-snd-simplified:
  snd ((m ▷ n) V A p) =
    (reject m V A p
     ∪ reject n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p),
     defer n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p))
using sequential-composition.simps
by metis
hence seq-rej-union-eq-rej:
  reject m V A p
  ∪ reject n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p) =
    reject (m ▷ n) V A p
by simp
hence seq-rej-union-subset-A:
  reject m V A p
  ∪ reject n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p) ⊆ A
using sound-seq-m-n cond-win reject-in-alts
by (metis (no-types))
hence A - {a} = reject (m ▷ n) V A p - {a}
using seq-rej-union-eq-rej defer-not-elec-or-rej cond-win def-m diff-elect-m
  double-diff rej-m sound-m sup-ge1
by (metis (no-types))
hence reject (m ▷ n) V A p ⊆ A - {a}
using seq-rej-union-subset-A seq-snd-simplified set-ins def-seq-diff nb-n-full
  cond-win fst-conv Diff-empty Diff-eq-empty-iff a-in-rej-seq-m-n def-m
  def-presv-prof sound-m ne-n diff-elect-m insert-not-empty defer-in-alts
  reject-not-elected-or-deferred seq-comp-def-then-elect-elec-set finite-subset
  seq-comp-defers-def-set sup-bot.left-neutral
unfolding non-electing-def
by (metis (no-types, lifting))
thus False
using a-in-rej-seq-m-n
by blast
next
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  a a' :: 'a
assume
  cw-a: condorcet-winner V A p a and
  not-cw-a': ¬ condorcet-winner V A p a' and
  a'-in-elect-seq-m-n: a' ∈ elect (m ▷ n) V A p
hence ∃ a''. defer-condorcet-consistency m ∧ condorcet-winner V A p a''
using dcc-m
by blast
hence result-m: m V A p = ({}, A - (defer m V A p), {a})
using defer-condorcet-consistency-def cw-a cond-winner-unique
by (metis (no-types, lifting))

```

have *sound-m*: *SCF-result.electoral-module m*
using *dcc-m*
unfolding *defer-condorcet-consistency-def*
by *presburger*
moreover have *SCF-result.electoral-module n*
using *nb-n*
unfolding *non-blocking-def*
by *presburger*
ultimately have *sound-seq-m-n*: *SCF-result.electoral-module (m ▷ n)*
using *seq-comp-sound*
by *metis*
have *reject m V A p = A - {a}*
using *cw-a dcc-m prod.sel result-m*
unfolding *defer-condorcet-consistency-def*
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting)*)
hence *a'-in-rej*: *a' ∈ reject m V A p*
using *Diff-iff cw-a not-cw-a' a'-in-elect-seq-m-n subset-iff*
elect-in-alts singleton-iff sound-seq-m-n
unfolding *condorcet-winner.simps*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
have $\forall p' A' p''. p' = (A' :: 'a \text{ set}, p'' :: 'a \text{ set} \times 'a \text{ set}) \longrightarrow \text{snd } p' = p''$
by *simp*
hence *m-seq-n*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{snd } (\text{elect } m \text{ V } A \text{ } p \\ & \quad \cup \text{elect } n \text{ V } (\text{defer } m \text{ V } A \text{ } p) (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \text{ V } A \text{ } p) \text{ } p), \\ & \quad \text{reject } m \text{ V } A \text{ } p \\ & \quad \cup \text{reject } n \text{ V } (\text{defer } m \text{ V } A \text{ } p) (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \text{ V } A \text{ } p) \text{ } p), \\ & \quad \text{defer } n \text{ V } (\text{defer } m \text{ V } A \text{ } p) (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \text{ V } A \text{ } p) \text{ } p)) = \\ & \quad (\text{reject } m \text{ V } A \text{ } p \\ & \quad \cup \text{reject } n \text{ V } (\text{defer } m \text{ V } A \text{ } p) (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \text{ V } A \text{ } p) \text{ } p), \\ & \quad \text{defer } n \text{ V } (\text{defer } m \text{ V } A \text{ } p) (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \text{ V } A \text{ } p) \text{ } p)) \\ & \text{by } \textit{blast} \end{aligned}$$
have *a' ∈ elect m V A p*
using *a'-in-elect-seq-m-n condorcet-winner.simps cw-a def-presv-prof ne-n*
seq-comp-def-then-elect-elec-set sound-m sup-bot.left-neutral
unfolding *non-electing-def*
by (*metis (no-types)*)
hence *a-in-rej-union*:

$$\begin{aligned} & a \in \text{reject } m \text{ V } A \text{ } p \\ & \cup \text{reject } n \text{ V } (\text{defer } m \text{ V } A \text{ } p) (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \text{ V } A \text{ } p) \text{ } p) \\ & \text{using } \textit{Diff-iff a'-in-rej condorcet-winner.simps cw-a} \\ & \quad \textit{reject-not-elected-or-deferred sound-m} \\ & \text{by } (\textit{metis (no-types)}) \end{aligned}$$
have *m-seq-n-full*:

$$\begin{aligned} & (m \triangleright n) \text{ V } A \text{ } p = \\ & \quad (\text{elect } m \text{ V } A \text{ } p \\ & \quad \cup \text{elect } n \text{ V } (\text{defer } m \text{ V } A \text{ } p) (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \text{ V } A \text{ } p) \text{ } p), \\ & \quad \text{reject } m \text{ V } A \text{ } p \\ & \quad \cup \text{reject } n \text{ V } (\text{defer } m \text{ V } A \text{ } p) (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \text{ V } A \text{ } p) \text{ } p), \end{aligned}$$

```

    defer n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p))
  unfolding sequential-composition.simps
  by metis
have  $\forall A' A''. (A' :: 'a \text{ set}) = \text{fst } (A', A'' :: 'a \text{ set})$ 
  by simp
hence  $a \in \text{reject } (m \triangleright n) V A p$ 
  using a-in-rej-union m-seq-n m-seq-n-full
  by presburger
moreover have
  finite A  $\wedge$  finite V  $\wedge$  profile V A p
 $\wedge a \in A \wedge (\forall a''. a'' \in A - \{a\} \longrightarrow \text{wins } V a p a'')$ 
  using cw-a m-seq-n-full a'-in-elect-seq-m-n a'-in-rej ne-n sound-m
  unfolding condorcet-winner.simps
  by metis
ultimately show False
  using a'-in-elect-seq-m-n IntI empty-iff result-disj sound-seq-m-n a'-in-rej def-presv-prof
    fst-conv m-seq-n-full ne-n non-electing-def sound-m sup-bot.right-neutral
  by metis
next
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  a a' :: 'a
assume
  cw-a: condorcet-winner V A p a and
  a'-in-A:  $a' \in A$  and
  not-cw-a':  $\neg \text{condorcet-winner } V A p a'$ 
have  $\text{reject } m V A p = A - \{a\}$ 
  using cw-a cond-winner-unique dcc-m prod.sel
  unfolding defer-condorcet-consistency-def
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
moreover have  $a \neq a'$ 
  using cw-a not-cw-a'
  by safe
ultimately have  $a' \in \text{reject } m V A p$ 
  using DiffI a'-in-A singletonD
  by (metis (no-types))
hence  $a' \in \text{reject } m V A p$ 
   $\cup \text{reject } n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p)$ 
  by blast
moreover have
   $(m \triangleright n) V A p =$ 
   $(\text{elect } m V A p$ 
   $\cup \text{elect } n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p),$ 
   $\text{reject } m V A p$ 
   $\cup \text{reject } n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p),$ 
   $\text{defer } n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p))$ 
  unfolding sequential-composition.simps

```



```

    by metis
  moreover have
    snd (elect m V A p
      ∪ elect n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p),
      reject m V A p
      ∪ reject n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p),
      defer n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p)) =
      (reject m V A p
        ∪ reject n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p),
        defer n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p))
    using snd-conv
  by metis
  ultimately show  $a' \in \text{reject } (m \triangleright n) V A p$ 
    using fst-eqD
    by (metis (no-types))
qed

```

Composing a defer-condorcet-consistent electoral module in sequence with a non-blocking and non-electing electoral module results in a defer-condorcet-consistent module.

```

theorem seq-comp-dcc[simp]:
  fixes  $m\ n :: ('a, 'v, 'a\ Result)\ Electoral\ Module$ 
  assumes
    dcc-m: defer-condorcet-consistency m and
    nb-n: non-blocking n and
    ne-n: non-electing n
  shows defer-condorcet-consistency  $(m \triangleright n)$ 
proof (unfold defer-condorcet-consistency-def, safe)
  have SCF-result.electoral-module m
    using dcc-m
  unfolding defer-condorcet-consistency-def
  by metis
  thus SCF-result.electoral-module  $(m \triangleright n)$ 
    using ne-n seq-comp-sound
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by metis
next
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  a :: 'a
  assume cw-a: condorcet-winner V A p a
  hence  $\exists\ a'. \text{defer-condorcet-consistency } m \wedge \text{condorcet-winner } V A p a'$ 
    using dcc-m
  by blast
  hence result-m:  $m\ V\ A\ p = (\{\}, A - (\text{defer } m\ V\ A\ p), \{a\})$ 
    using defer-condorcet-consistency-def cw-a cond-winner-unique
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))

```

```

hence elect-m-empty: elect  $m \ V \ A \ p = \{\}$ 
  using eq-fst-iff
  by metis
have sound-m: SCF-result.electoral-module  $m$ 
  using dcc-m
  unfolding defer-condorcet-consistency-def
  by metis
hence sound-seq-m-n: SCF-result.electoral-module  $(m \triangleright n)$ 
  using ne-n seq-comp-sound
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by metis
have defer-eq-a: defer  $(m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = \{a\}$ 
proof (safe)
  fix  $a' :: 'a$ 
  assume a'-in-def-seq-m-n:  $a' \in \text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p$ 
  have  $\{a\} = \{a \in A. \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ p \ a\}$ 
    using cond-winner-unique cw-a
    by metis
  moreover have defer-condorcet-consistency  $m \longrightarrow$ 
     $m \ V \ A \ p = (\{\}, A - \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p, \{a \in A. \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ p \ a\})$ 
    using cw-a defer-condorcet-consistency-def
    by (metis (no-types))
  ultimately have defer  $m \ V \ A \ p = \{a\}$ 
    using dcc-m snd-conv
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  hence defer  $(m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = \{a\}$ 
    using cw-a a'-in-def-seq-m-n empty-iff sound-m nb-n
    seq-comp-def-set-bounded subset-singletonD
    unfolding condorcet-winner.simps non-blocking-def
    by metis
  thus  $a' = a$ 
    using a'-in-def-seq-m-n
    by blast
next
  have  $\exists \ a'. \text{defer-condorcet-consistency } m \wedge \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ p \ a'$ 
    using cw-a dcc-m
    by blast
  hence  $m \ V \ A \ p = (\{\}, A - (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p), \{a\})$ 
    using defer-condorcet-consistency-def cw-a cond-winner-unique
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  hence elect-m-empty: elect  $m \ V \ A \ p = \{\}$ 
    using eq-fst-iff
    by metis
  have profile  $V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)$ 
    using condorcet-winner.simps cw-a def-presv-prof sound-m
    by (metis (no-types))
  hence elect  $n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p) = \{\}$ 
    using ne-n non-electing-def
    by metis

```

hence $\text{elect } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = \{\}$
using *elect-m-empty seq-comp-def-then-elect-elec-set sup-bot.right-neutral*
by (*metis (no-types)*)
moreover have *condorcet-compatibility* $(m \triangleright n)$
using *dcc-m nb-n ne-n*
by *simp*
hence $a \notin \text{reject } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p$
unfolding *condorcet-compatibility-def*
using *cw-a*
by *metis*
ultimately show $a \in \text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p$
using *cw-a electoral-mod-defer-elem empty-iff*
sound-seq-m-n condorcet-winner.simps
by *metis*
qed
have *profile* $V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)$
using *condorcet-winner.simps cw-a def-presv-prof sound-m*
by (*metis (no-types)*)
hence $\text{elect } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p) = \{\}$
using *ne-n*
unfolding *non-electing-def*
by *metis*
hence $\text{elect } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = \{\}$
using *elect-m-empty seq-comp-def-then-elect-elec-set sup-bot.right-neutral*
by (*metis (no-types)*)
moreover have *def-seq-m-n-eq-a*: $\text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = \{a\}$
using *cw-a defer-eq-a*
by (*metis (no-types)*)
ultimately have $(m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = (\{\}, A - \{a\}, \{a\})$
using *Diff-empty cw-a elect-rej-def-combination*
reject-not-elected-or-deferred sound-seq-m-n condorcet-winner.simps
by (*metis (no-types)*)
moreover have $\{a' \in A. \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ p \ a'\} = \{a\}$
using *cw-a cond-winner-unique*
by *metis*
ultimately show $(m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p$
 $= (\{\}, A - \text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p, \{a' \in A. \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ p \ a'\})$
using *def-seq-m-n-eq-a*
by *metis*
qed

Composing a defer-lift invariant and a non-electing electoral module that defers exactly one alternative in sequence with an electing electoral module results in a monotone electoral module.

theorem *seq-comp-mono[simp]*:
fixes $m \ n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{Electoral-Module}$
assumes
def-monotone-m: defer-lift-invariance m **and**
non-ele-m: non-electing m **and**

```

    def-one-m: defers 1 m and
    electing-n: electing n
  shows monotonicity (m ▷ n)
proof (unfold monotonicity-def, safe)
  have SCF-result.electoral-module m
    using non-ele-m
    unfolding non-electing-def
    by simp
  moreover have SCF-result.electoral-module n
    using electing-n
    unfolding electing-def
    by simp
  ultimately show SCF-result.electoral-module (m ▷ n)
    using seq-comp-sound
    by metis
next
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p q :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  w :: 'a
assume
  elect-w-in-p: w ∈ elect (m ▷ n) V A p and
  lifted-w: Profile.lifted V A p q w
thus w ∈ elect (m ▷ n) V A q
  unfolding lifted-def
  using seq-comp-def-then-elect lifted-w assms
  unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
  by metis
qed

```

Composing a defer-invariant-monotone electoral module in sequence before a non-electing, defer-monotone electoral module that defers exactly 1 alternative results in a defer-lift-invariant electoral module.

```

theorem def-inv-mono-imp-def-lift-inv[simp]:
  fixes m n :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
  assumes
    strong-def-mon-m: defer-invariant-monotonicity m and
    non-electing-n: non-electing n and
    defers-one: defers 1 n and
    defer-monotone-n: defer-monotonicity n and
    voters-determine-n: voters-determine-election n
  shows defer-lift-invariance (m ▷ n)
proof (unfold defer-lift-invariance-def, safe)
  have SCF-result.electoral-module m
    using strong-def-mon-m
    unfolding defer-invariant-monotonicity-def
    by metis
  moreover have SCF-result.electoral-module n

```

```

    using defers-one
    unfolding defers-def
    by metis
ultimately show SCF-result.electoral-module ( $m \triangleright n$ )
    using seq-comp-sound
    by metis
next
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p q :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  a :: 'a
assume
  defer-a-p:  $a \in \text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p$  and
  lifted-a:  $\text{Profile.lifted } V \ A \ p \ q \ a$ 
have non-electing-m: non-electing m
    using strong-def-mon-m
    unfolding defer-invariant-monotonicity-def
    by simp
have electoral-mod-m: SCF-result.electoral-module m
    using strong-def-mon-m
    unfolding defer-invariant-monotonicity-def
    by metis
have electoral-mod-n: SCF-result.electoral-module n
    using defers-one
    unfolding defers-def
    by metis
have finite-profile-p: finite-profile V A p
    using lifted-a
    unfolding Profile.lifted-def
    by simp
have finite-profile-q: finite-profile V A q
    using lifted-a
    unfolding Profile.lifted-def
    by simp
have 1 ≤ card A
    using Profile.lifted-def card-eq-0-iff emptyE less-one lifted-a linorder-le-less-linear
    by metis
hence n-defers-exactly-one-p:  $\text{card } (\text{defer } n \ V \ A \ p) = 1$ 
    using finite-profile-p defers-one
    unfolding defers-def
    by (metis (no-types))
have fin-prof-def-m-q:
  profile V (defer m V A q) (limit-profile (defer m V A q) q)
    using def-presv-prof electoral-mod-m finite-profile-q
    by (metis (no-types))
have def-seq-m-n-q:
  defer (m  $\triangleright$  n) V A q =
    defer n V (defer m V A q) (limit-profile (defer m V A q) q)

```

```

using seq-comp-defers-def-set
by simp
have prof-def-m: profile  $V$  (defer  $m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ ) (limit-profile (defer  $m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ )  $p$ )
  using def-presv-prof electoral-mod-m finite-profile-p
  by (metis (no-types))
hence prof-seq-comp-m-n:
  profile  $V$  (defer  $n$   $V$  (defer  $m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ ) (limit-profile (defer  $m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ )  $p$ ))
    (limit-profile (defer  $n$   $V$  (defer  $m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ ) (limit-profile (defer  $m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ )  $p$ ))
      (limit-profile (defer  $m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ )  $p$ ))
  using def-presv-prof electoral-mod-n
  by (metis (no-types))
have a-non-empty:  $a \notin \{\}$ 
  by simp
have def-seq-m-n:
  defer ( $m \triangleright n$ )  $V$   $A$   $p$  =
    defer  $n$   $V$  (defer  $m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ ) (limit-profile (defer  $m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ )  $p$ )
  using seq-comp-defers-def-set
  by simp
have  $1 \leq \text{card}$  (defer  $n$   $V$  (defer  $m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ ) (limit-profile (defer  $m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ )  $p$ ))
  using a-non-empty card-gt-0-iff defer-a-p electoral-mod-n prof-def-m
    seq-comp-defers-def-set One-nat-def Suc-leI defer-in-alts
    electoral-mod-m finite-profile-p finite-subset
  by (metis (mono-tags))
hence card (defer  $n$   $V$  (defer  $n$   $V$  (defer  $m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ )
  (limit-profile (defer  $m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ )  $p$ ))
  (limit-profile (defer  $n$   $V$  (defer  $m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ ) (limit-profile (defer  $m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ )  $p$ ))
  (limit-profile (defer  $m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ )  $p$ ))
  (limit-profile (defer  $m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ )  $p$ ))) = 1
  using n-defers-exactly-one-p prof-seq-comp-m-n defers-one defer-in-alts
    electoral-mod-m finite-profile-p finite-subset prof-def-m
  unfolding defers-def
  by metis
hence defer-seq-m-n-eq-one: card (defer ( $m \triangleright n$ )  $V$   $A$   $p$ ) = 1
  using One-nat-def Suc-leI a-non-empty card-gt-0-iff def-seq-m-n defer-a-p
    defers-one electoral-mod-m prof-def-m finite-profile-p
    seq-comp-def-set-trans defer-in-alts rev-finite-subset
  unfolding defers-def
  by metis
hence def-seq-m-n-eq-a: defer ( $m \triangleright n$ )  $V$   $A$   $p$  =  $\{a\}$ 
  using defer-a-p is-singleton-altdef is-singleton-the-elem singletonD
  by (metis (no-types))
show ( $m \triangleright n$ )  $V$   $A$   $p$  = ( $m \triangleright n$ )  $V$   $A$   $q$ 
proof (cases)
  assume defer  $m$   $V$   $A$   $q$   $\neq$  defer  $m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ 
  hence defer  $m$   $V$   $A$   $q$  =  $\{a\}$ 
  using defer-a-p electoral-mod-n finite-profile-p lifted-a seq-comp-def-set-trans
    strong-def-mon-m
  unfolding defer-invariant-monotonicity-def
  by (metis (no-types))

```

moreover from *this*
have $(a \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \longrightarrow \text{card } (\text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ q) = 1$
using *card-eq-0-iff card-insert-disjoint defers-one electoral-mod-m empty-iff*
order-refl finite.emptyI seq-comp-defers-def-set def-presv-prof
finite-profile-q finite.insertI
unfolding *One-nat-def defers-def*
by *metis*
moreover have $a \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$
using *electoral-mod-m electoral-mod-n defer-a-p seq-comp-def-set-bounded*
finite-profile-p finite-profile-q
by *blast*
ultimately have $\text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ q = \{a\}$
using *Collect-mem-eq card-1-singletonE empty-Collect-eq insertCI subset-singletonD*
def-seq-m-n-q defer-in-alts electoral-mod-n fin-prof-def-m-q
by *(metis (no-types, lifting))*
hence $\text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = \text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ q$
using *def-seq-m-n-eq-a*
by *presburger*
moreover have $\text{elect } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = \text{elect } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ q$
using *prof-def-m fin-prof-def-m-q finite-profile-p finite-profile-q non-electing-def*
non-electing-m non-electing-n seq-comp-def-then-elect-elec-set
by *metis*
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *electoral-mod-m electoral-mod-n eq-def-and-elect-imp-eq*
finite-profile-p finite-profile-q seq-comp-sound
by *(metis (no-types))*
next
assume $\neg (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q \neq \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p)$
hence *def-eq: defer m V A q = defer m V A p*
by *presburger*
have $\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p = \{\}$
using *finite-profile-p non-electing-m*
unfolding *non-electing-def*
by *simp*
moreover have $\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ q = \{\}$
using *finite-profile-q non-electing-m*
unfolding *non-electing-def*
by *simp*
ultimately have *elect-m-equal:*
 $\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p = \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ q$
by *simp*
have $(\forall v \in V. (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p) \ v =$
 $(\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q) \ q) \ v)$
 $\vee \text{lifted } V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)$
 $(\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ q) \ a)$
using *def-eq defer-in-alts electoral-mod-m lifted-a finite-profile-q*
limit-prof-eq-or-lifted
by *metis*
moreover have

$(\forall v \in V. (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p) \ v =$
 $\quad (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ q) \ v)$
 $\longrightarrow n \ V (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p) =$
 $\quad n \ V (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q) (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q) \ q)$
using *voters-determine-n def-eq*
unfolding *voters-determine-election.simps*
by *presburger*
moreover have
 $\text{lifted } V (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q) (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)$
 $\quad (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ q) \ a$
 $\longrightarrow \text{defer } n \ V (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p) =$
 $\quad \text{defer } n \ V (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q) (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q) \ q)$
proof (*intro impI*)
assume *lifted*:
 $\text{Profile.lifted } V (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q) (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)$
 $\quad (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ q) \ a$
hence $a \in \text{defer } n \ V (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q) (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q) \ q)$
using *lifted-a def-seq-m-n defer-a-p defer-monotone-n*
 $\text{fin-prof-def-m-q def-eq}$
unfolding *defer-monotonicity-def*
by *metis*
hence $a \in \text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ q$
using *def-seq-m-n-q*
by *simp*
moreover have $\text{card } (\text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ q) = 1$
using *def-seq-m-n-q defers-one def-eq defer-seq-m-n-eq-one defers-def lifted*
 $\text{electoral-mod-m fin-prof-def-m-q finite-profile-p seq-comp-def-card-bounded}$
 $\text{Profile.lifted-def}$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
ultimately have $\text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ q = \{a\}$
using *a-non-empty card-1-singletonE insertE*
by *metis*
thus $\text{defer } n \ V (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)$
 $= \text{defer } n \ V (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q) (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q) \ q)$
using *def-seq-m-n-eq-a def-seq-m-n-q def-seq-m-n*
by *presburger*
qed
ultimately have $\text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = \text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ q$
using *def-seq-m-n def-seq-m-n-q*
by *presburger*
hence $\text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = \text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ q$
using *a-non-empty def-eq def-seq-m-n def-seq-m-n-q*
 $\text{defer-a-p defer-monotone-n finite-profile-p}$
 $\text{defer-seq-m-n-eq-one defers-one electoral-mod-m}$
 fin-prof-def-m-q
unfolding *defers-def*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
moreover from *this*
have $\text{reject } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = \text{reject } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ q$


```

using electoral-mod-m electoral-mod-n finite-profile-p finite-profile-q non-electing-def
      non-electing-m non-electing-n eq-def-and-elect-imp-eq seq-comp-presv-non-electing
by (metis (no-types))
ultimately have  $\text{snd } ((m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p) = \text{snd } ((m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ q)$ 
using prod-eqI
by metis
moreover have  $\text{elect } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = \text{elect } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ q$ 
using prof-def-m fin-prof-def-m-q non-electing-n finite-profile-p finite-profile-q
      non-electing-def def-eq elect-m-equal fst-conv
unfolding sequential-composition.simps
by (metis (no-types))
ultimately show  $(m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ q$ 
using prod-eqI
by metis
qed
qed
end

```

6.4 Parallel Composition

```

theory Parallel-Composition
imports Basic-Modules/Component-Types/Aggregator
        Basic-Modules/Component-Types/Electoral-Module
begin

```

The parallel composition composes a new electoral module from two electoral modules combined with an aggregator. Therein, the two modules each make a decision and the aggregator combines them to a single (aggregated) result.

6.4.1 Definition

```

fun parallel-composition :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$  'a Aggregator  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
    parallel-composition m n agg V A p = agg A (m V A p) (n V A p)

abbreviation parallel :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$  'a Aggregator  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
    (- ||- - [50, 1000, 51] 50) where
     $m \parallel_a n \equiv \text{parallel-composition } m \ n \ a$ 

```

6.4.2 Soundness

```

theorem par-comp-sound[simp]:

```

fixes
 $m\ n :: ('a, 'v, 'a\ Result)\ Electoral\ Module$ **and**
 $a :: 'a\ Aggregator$
assumes
 $SCF\text{-}result.electoral\ module\ m$ **and**
 $SCF\text{-}result.electoral\ module\ n$ **and**
 $aggregator\ a$
shows $SCF\text{-}result.electoral\ module\ (m \parallel_a n)$
proof (*unfold* $SCF\text{-}result.electoral\ module.simps$, *safe*)
fix
 $A :: 'a\ set$ **and**
 $V :: 'v\ set$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v)\ Profile$
assume $profile\ V\ A\ p$
moreover have
 $\forall\ a'. aggregator\ a' =$
 $(\forall\ A'\ e\ r\ d\ e'\ r'\ d'.$
 $(well\text{-}formed\text{-}SCF\ (A' :: 'a\ set)\ (e, r', d)$
 $\wedge well\text{-}formed\text{-}SCF\ A'\ (r, d', e'))$
 $\longrightarrow well\text{-}formed\text{-}SCF\ A'\ (a'\ A'\ (e, r', d)\ (r, d', e')))$
unfolding $aggregator\text{-}def$
by *blast*
moreover have
 $\forall\ m'\ V'\ A'\ p'.$
 $(SCF\text{-}result.electoral\ module\ m' \wedge finite\ (A' :: 'a\ set)$
 $\wedge finite\ (V' :: 'v\ set) \wedge profile\ V'\ A'\ p')$
 $\longrightarrow well\text{-}formed\text{-}SCF\ A'\ (m'\ V'\ A'\ p')$
using *par-comp-result-sound*
by (*metis* (*no-types*))
ultimately have $well\text{-}formed\text{-}SCF\ A\ (a\ A\ (m\ V\ A\ p)\ (n\ V\ A\ p))$
using *elect-rej-def-combination assms*
by (*metis* *par-comp-result-sound*)
thus $well\text{-}formed\text{-}SCF\ A\ ((m \parallel_a n)\ V\ A\ p)$
by *simp*
qed

6.4.3 Composition Rule

Using a conservative aggregator, the parallel composition preserves the property non-electing.

theorem *conserv-agg-presv-non-electing[simp]*:

fixes
 $m\ n :: ('a, 'v, 'a\ Result)\ Electoral\ Module$ **and**
 $a :: 'a\ Aggregator$
assumes
 $non\text{-}electing\text{-}m: non\text{-}electing\ m$ **and**
 $non\text{-}electing\text{-}n: non\text{-}electing\ n$ **and**
 $conservative: agg\text{-}conservative\ a$
shows $non\text{-}electing\ (m \parallel_a n)$

```

proof (unfold non-electing-def, safe)
  have SCF-result.electoral-module m
    using non-electing-m
    unfolding non-electing-def
    by simp
  moreover have SCF-result.electoral-module n
    using non-electing-n
    unfolding non-electing-def
    by simp
  moreover have aggregator a
    using conservative
    unfolding agg-conservative-def
    by simp
  ultimately show SCF-result.electoral-module (m ||a n)
    using par-comp-sound
    by simp
next
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  w :: 'a
assume
  prof-A: profile V A p and
  w-wins: w ∈ elect (m ||a n) V A p
have emod-m: SCF-result.electoral-module m
  using non-electing-m
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by simp
have emod-n: SCF-result.electoral-module n
  using non-electing-n
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by simp
have  $\forall r r' d d' e e' A' f.$ 
  
$$\begin{aligned}
 & ((\text{well-formed-SCF } (A' :: 'a \text{ set}) (e', r', d') \wedge \\
 & \text{well-formed-SCF } A' (e, r, d)) \longrightarrow \\
 & \text{elect-}r (f A' (e', r', d') (e, r, d)) \subseteq e' \cup e \wedge \\
 & \text{reject-}r (f A' (e', r', d') (e, r, d)) \subseteq r' \cup r \wedge \\
 & \text{defer-}r (f A' (e', r', d') (e, r, d)) \subseteq d' \cup d) = \\
 & ((\text{well-formed-SCF } A' (e', r', d') \wedge \\
 & \text{well-formed-SCF } A' (e, r, d)) \longrightarrow \\
 & \text{elect-}r (f A' (e', r', d') (e, r, d)) \subseteq e' \cup e \wedge \\
 & \text{reject-}r (f A' (e', r', d') (e, r, d)) \subseteq r' \cup r \wedge \\
 & \text{defer-}r (f A' (e', r', d') (e, r, d)) \subseteq d' \cup d)
 \end{aligned}$$

  by linarith
hence  $\forall a'. \text{agg-conservative } a' =$ 
  
$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\text{aggregator } a' \wedge \\
 & (\forall A' e e' d d' r r'. \\
 & (\text{well-formed-SCF } (A' :: 'a \text{ set}) (e, r, d) \wedge
 \end{aligned}$$


```

```

    well-formed-SCF A' (e', r', d') →
    elect-r (a' A' (e, r, d) (e', r', d')) ⊆ e ∪ e' ∧
    reject-r (a' A' (e, r, d) (e', r', d')) ⊆ r ∪ r' ∧
    defer-r (a' A' (e, r, d) (e', r', d')) ⊆ d ∪ d')
  unfolding agg-conservative-def
  by simp
  hence aggregator a ∧
    (∀ A' e e' d d' r r'.
      (well-formed-SCF A' (e, r, d) ∧
        well-formed-SCF A' (e', r', d')) →
        elect-r (a A' (e, r, d) (e', r', d')) ⊆ e ∪ e' ∧
        reject-r (a A' (e, r, d) (e', r', d')) ⊆ r ∪ r' ∧
        defer-r (a A' (e, r, d) (e', r', d')) ⊆ d ∪ d'))
  using conservative
  by presburger
  hence let c = (a A (m V A p) (n V A p)) in
    (elect-r c ⊆ ((elect m V A p) ∪ (elect n V A p)))
  using emod-m emod-n par-comp-result-sound
    prod.collapse prof-A
  by metis
  hence w ∈ ((elect m V A p) ∪ (elect n V A p))
  using w-wins
  by auto
  thus w ∈ {}
  using sup-bot-right prof-A
    non-electing-m non-electing-n
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))
qed
end

```

6.5 Loop Composition

```

theory Loop-Composition
  imports Basic-Modules/Component-Types/Termination-Condition
          Basic-Modules/Defer-Module
          Sequential-Composition
begin

```

The loop composition uses the same module in sequence, combined with a termination condition, until either

- the termination condition is met or

- no new decisions are made (i.e., a fixed point is reached).

6.5.1 Definition

lemma *loop-termination-helper*:

fixes

$m \text{ acc} :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**

$t :: 'a \text{ Termination-Condition}$ **and**

$A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**

$p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$

assumes

$\neg t \text{ (acc } V \text{ A } p)$ **and**

$\text{defer (acc } \triangleright m) \text{ V A } p \subset \text{defer acc V A } p$ **and**

$\text{finite (defer acc V A } p)$

shows $((\text{acc } \triangleright m, m, t, V, A, p), (\text{acc}, m, t, V, A, p)) \in$

$\text{measure } (\lambda (\text{acc}, m, t, V, A, p). \text{card (defer acc V A } p))$

using *assms psubset-card-mono*

by *simp*

This function handles the accumulator for the following loop composition function.

function *loop-comp-helper* :: $('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow$

$('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ Termination-Condition} \Rightarrow$

$('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **where**

loop-comp-helper-finite:

$\text{finite (defer acc V A } p) \wedge (\text{defer (acc } \triangleright m) \text{ V A } p) \subset (\text{defer acc V A } p)$

$\longrightarrow t \text{ (acc V A } p) \Longrightarrow$

$\text{loop-comp-helper acc m t V A } p = \text{acc V A } p \mid$

loop-comp-helper-infinite:

$\neg (\text{finite (defer acc V A } p) \wedge (\text{defer (acc } \triangleright m) \text{ V A } p) \subset (\text{defer acc V A } p))$

$\longrightarrow t \text{ (acc V A } p) \Longrightarrow$

$\text{loop-comp-helper acc m t V A } p = \text{loop-comp-helper (acc } \triangleright m) m t V A } p$

proof –

fix

$P :: \text{bool}$ **and**

$\text{accum} ::$

$('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \times ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$

$\times 'a \text{ Termination-Condition} \times 'v \text{ set} \times 'a \text{ set} \times ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$

have *accum-exists*: $\exists m \ n \ t \ V \ A \ p. (m, n, t, V, A, p) = \text{accum}$

using *prod-cases5*

by *metis*

assume

$\bigwedge \text{acc V A } p \ m \ t.$

$\text{finite (defer acc V A } p) \wedge \text{defer (acc } \triangleright m) \text{ V A } p \subset \text{defer acc V A } p$

$\longrightarrow t \text{ (acc V A } p) \Longrightarrow \text{accum} = (\text{acc}, m, t, V, A, p) \Longrightarrow P$ **and**

$\bigwedge \text{acc V A } p \ m \ t.$

$\neg (\text{finite (defer acc V A } p) \wedge \text{defer (acc } \triangleright m) \text{ V A } p \subset \text{defer acc V A } p)$

```

       $\longrightarrow t (acc \ V \ A \ p)) \Longrightarrow accum = (acc, m, t, V, A, p) \Longrightarrow P$ 
thus  $P$ 
  using accum-exists
  by metis
next
fix
   $t \ t' :: 'a \ Termination-Condition$  and
   $acc \ acc' :: ('a, 'v, 'a \ Result) \ Electoral-Module$  and
   $A \ A' :: 'a \ set$  and
   $V \ V' :: 'v \ set$  and
   $p \ p' :: ('a, 'v) \ Profile$  and
   $m \ m' :: ('a, 'v, 'a \ Result) \ Electoral-Module$ 
assume
   $finite \ (defer \ acc \ V \ A \ p)$ 
   $\wedge \ defer \ (acc \triangleright m) \ V \ A \ p \subset defer \ acc \ V \ A \ p$ 
   $\longrightarrow t \ (acc \ V \ A \ p)$  and
   $finite \ (defer \ acc' \ V' \ A' \ p')$ 
   $\wedge \ defer \ (acc' \triangleright m') \ V' \ A' \ p' \subset defer \ acc' \ V' \ A' \ p'$ 
   $\longrightarrow t' \ (acc' \ V' \ A' \ p')$  and
   $(acc, m, t, V, A, p) = (acc', m', t', V', A', p')$ 
thus  $acc \ V \ A \ p = acc' \ V' \ A' \ p'$ 
  by fastforce
next
fix
   $t \ t' :: 'a \ Termination-Condition$  and
   $acc \ acc' :: ('a, 'v, 'a \ Result) \ Electoral-Module$  and
   $A \ A' :: 'a \ set$  and
   $V \ V' :: 'v \ set$  and
   $p \ p' :: ('a, 'v) \ Profile$  and
   $m \ m' :: ('a, 'v, 'a \ Result) \ Electoral-Module$ 
assume
   $finite \ (defer \ acc \ V \ A \ p)$ 
   $\wedge \ defer \ (acc \triangleright m) \ V \ A \ p \subset defer \ acc \ V \ A \ p$ 
   $\longrightarrow t \ (acc \ V \ A \ p)$  and
   $\neg \ (finite \ (defer \ acc' \ V' \ A' \ p'))$ 
   $\wedge \ defer \ (acc' \triangleright m') \ V' \ A' \ p' \subset defer \ acc' \ V' \ A' \ p'$ 
   $\longrightarrow t' \ (acc' \ V' \ A' \ p')$  and
   $(acc, m, t, V, A, p) = (acc', m', t', V', A', p')$ 
thus  $acc \ V \ A \ p = loop-comp-helper-sumC \ (acc' \triangleright m', m', t', V', A', p')$ 
  by force
next
fix
   $t \ t' :: 'a \ Termination-Condition$  and
   $acc \ acc' :: ('a, 'v, 'a \ Result) \ Electoral-Module$  and
   $A \ A' :: 'a \ set$  and
   $V \ V' :: 'v \ set$  and
   $p \ p' :: ('a, 'v) \ Profile$  and
   $m \ m' :: ('a, 'v, 'a \ Result) \ Electoral-Module$ 
assume

```

\neg (*finite* (*defer* *acc* *V* *A* *p*)
 \wedge *defer* (*acc* \triangleright *m*) *V* *A* *p* \subset *defer* *acc* *V* *A* *p*
 \longrightarrow *t* (*acc* *V* *A* *p*)) **and**
 \neg (*finite* (*defer* *acc'* *V'* *A'* *p'*)
 \wedge *defer* (*acc'* \triangleright *m'*) *V'* *A'* *p'* \subset *defer* *acc'* *V'* *A'* *p'*
 \longrightarrow *t'* (*acc'* *V'* *A'* *p'*)) **and**
(*acc*, *m*, *t*, *V*, *A*, *p*) = (*acc'*, *m'*, *t'*, *V'*, *A'*, *p'*)
thus *loop-comp-helper-sumC* (*acc* \triangleright *m*, *m*, *t*, *V*, *A*, *p*) =
loop-comp-helper-sumC (*acc'* \triangleright *m'*, *m'*, *t'*, *V'*, *A'*, *p'*)

by *force*

qed

termination

proof (*safe*)

fix

m n :: ('b, 'a, 'b *Result*) *Electoral-Module* **and**
t :: 'b *Termination-Condition* **and**
A :: 'b *set* **and**
V :: 'a *set* **and**
p :: ('b, 'a) *Profile*

have *term-rel*:

\exists *R*. *wf* *R* \wedge
(*finite* (*defer* *m* *V* *A* *p*)
 \wedge *defer* (*m* \triangleright *n*) *V* *A* *p* \subset *defer* *m* *V* *A* *p*
 \longrightarrow *t* (*m* *V* *A* *p*)
 \vee ((*m* \triangleright *n*, *n*, *t*, *V*, *A*, *p*), (*m*, *n*, *t*, *V*, *A*, *p*)) \in *R*)

using *loop-termination-helper wf-measure termination*

by (*metis* (*no-types*))

obtain

R :: (((('b, 'a, 'b *Result*) *Electoral-Module*
 \times ('b, 'a, 'b *Result*) *Electoral-Module*
 \times ('b *Termination-Condition*) \times 'a *set* \times 'b *set*
 \times ('b, 'a) *Profile*)
 \times ('b, 'a, 'b *Result*) *Electoral-Module*
 \times ('b, 'a, 'b *Result*) *Electoral-Module*
 \times ('b *Termination-Condition*) \times 'a *set* \times 'b *set*
 \times ('b, 'a) *Profile*) *set* **where**

wf *R* \wedge

(*finite* (*defer* *m* *V* *A* *p*)
 \wedge *defer* (*m* \triangleright *n*) *V* *A* *p* \subset *defer* *m* *V* *A* *p*
 \longrightarrow *t* (*m* *V* *A* *p*)
 \vee ((*m* \triangleright *n*, *n*, *t*, *V*, *A*, *p*), *m*, *n*, *t*, *V*, *A*, *p*) \in *R*)

using *term-rel*

by *presburger*

have \forall *R'*.

All (*loop-comp-helper-dom* ::

('b, 'a, 'b *Result*) *Electoral-Module* \times ('b, 'a, 'b *Result*) *Electoral-Module*
 \times 'b *Termination-Condition* \times 'a *set* \times 'b *set* \times ('b, 'a) *Profile* \Rightarrow *bool*) \vee
(\exists *t' m' A' V' p' n'*. *wf* *R'* \longrightarrow
((*m'* \triangleright *n'*, *n'*, *t'*, *V'* :: 'a *set*, *A'* :: 'b *set*, *p'*), *m'*, *n'*, *t'*, *V'*, *A'*, *p'*) \notin *R'*)

```

       $\wedge \text{finite } (\text{defer } m' \ V' \ A' \ p') \wedge \text{defer } (m' \triangleright n') \ V' \ A' \ p' \subset \text{defer } m' \ V' \ A' \ p'$ 
       $\wedge \neg t' (m' \ V' \ A' \ p')$ 
    using termination
    by metis
  thus loop-comp-helper-dom (m, n, t, V, A, p)
    using loop-termination-helper wf-measure
    by metis
qed

```

```

lemma loop-comp-code-helper[code]:
  fixes
    m acc :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    t :: 'a Termination-Condition and
    A :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
  shows
    loop-comp-helper acc m t V A p =
      (if (t (acc V A p)  $\vee \neg ((\text{defer } (\text{acc} \triangleright m) \ V \ A \ p) \subset (\text{defer } \text{acc} \ V \ A \ p))$ 
         $\vee \text{infinite } (\text{defer } \text{acc} \ V \ A \ p)$ )
        then (acc V A p) else (loop-comp-helper (acc  $\triangleright$  m) m t V A p))
    using loop-comp-helper.simps
    by (metis (no-types))

function loop-composition :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$ 
  'a Termination-Condition  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  t ({}, {}, A)
     $\Rightarrow$  loop-composition m t V A p = defer-module V A p |
   $\neg(t \ (\{\}, \{\}, A))$ 
     $\Rightarrow$  loop-composition m t V A p = (loop-comp-helper m m t) V A p
  by (fastforce, simp-all)

termination
  using termination wf-empty
  by blast

```

```

abbreviation loop :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$ 
  'a Termination-Condition  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
  (-  $\odot$  50) where
  m  $\odot_t \equiv \text{loop-composition } m \ t$ 

```

```

lemma loop-comp-code[code]:
  fixes
    m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    t :: 'a Termination-Condition and
    A :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
  shows loop-composition m t V A p =
    (if (t ({}, {}, A))

```


$\text{then } (\text{defer-module } V \ A \ p) \text{ else } (\text{loop-comp-helper } m \ m \ t) \ V \ A \ p)$
by *simp*

lemma *loop-comp-helper-imp-partit*:
fixes
 $m \text{ acc} :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $t :: 'a \text{ Termination-Condition}$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $n :: \text{nat}$
assumes
 $\text{module-m: } \text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m$ **and**
 $\text{profile: profile } V \ A \ p$ **and**
 $\text{module-acc: } \text{SCF-result.electoral-module } \text{acc}$ **and**
 $\text{defer-card-n: } n = \text{card } (\text{defer acc } V \ A \ p)$
shows $\text{well-formed-SCF } A \ (\text{loop-comp-helper acc } m \ t \ V \ A \ p)$
using *assms*
proof (*induct arbitrary: acc rule: less-induct*)
case (*less*)
have $\forall \ m' \ n'.$
 $(\text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m' \wedge \text{SCF-result.electoral-module } n')$
 $\longrightarrow \text{SCF-result.electoral-module } (m' \triangleright n')$
using *seq-comp-sound*
by *metis*
hence $\text{SCF-result.electoral-module } (\text{acc} \triangleright m)$
using *less.premis module-m*
by *blast*
hence $\neg t \ (\text{acc } V \ A \ p) \wedge \text{defer } (\text{acc} \triangleright m) \ V \ A \ p \subset \text{defer acc } V \ A \ p \wedge$
 $\text{finite } (\text{defer acc } V \ A \ p) \longrightarrow$
 $\text{well-formed-SCF } A \ (\text{loop-comp-helper acc } m \ t \ V \ A \ p)$
using *less.hyps less.premis loop-comp-helper-infinite*
 psubset-card-mono
by *metis*
moreover have $\text{well-formed-SCF } A \ (\text{acc } V \ A \ p)$
using *less.premis profile*
unfolding *SCF-result.electoral-module.simps*
by *metis*
ultimately show *?case*
using *loop-comp-code-helper*
by (*metis (no-types)*)
qed

6.5.2 Soundness

theorem *loop-comp-sound*:
fixes
 $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $t :: 'a \text{ Termination-Condition}$

```

assumes SCF-result.electoral-module m
shows SCF-result.electoral-module (m  $\odot_t$ )
using def-mod-sound loop-composition.simps
      loop-comp-helper-imp-partit assms
unfolding SCF-result.electoral-module.simps
by metis

lemma loop-comp-helper-imp-no-def-incr:
fixes
  m acc :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
  t :: 'a Termination-Condition and
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  n :: nat
assumes
  module-m: SCF-result.electoral-module m and
  profile: profile V A p and
  mod-acc: SCF-result.electoral-module acc and
  card-n-defer-acc: n = card (defer acc V A p)
shows defer (loop-comp-helper acc m t) V A p  $\subseteq$  defer acc V A p
using assms
proof (induct arbitrary: acc rule: less-induct)
case (less)
have emod-acc-m: SCF-result.electoral-module (acc  $\triangleright$  m)
  using less.prems module-m seq-comp-sound
by blast
have  $\forall A A'. (finite\ A \wedge A' \subset A) \longrightarrow card\ A' < card\ A$ 
  using psubset-card-mono
by metis
hence  $\neg t\ (acc\ V\ A\ p) \wedge defer\ (acc\ \triangleright\ m)\ V\ A\ p \subset defer\ acc\ V\ A\ p \wedge$ 
  finite (defer acc V A p)  $\longrightarrow$ 
  defer (loop-comp-helper (acc  $\triangleright$  m) m t) V A p  $\subseteq$  defer acc V A p
using emod-acc-m less.hyps less.prems
by blast
hence  $\neg t\ (acc\ V\ A\ p) \wedge defer\ (acc\ \triangleright\ m)\ V\ A\ p \subset defer\ acc\ V\ A\ p \wedge$ 
  finite (defer acc V A p)  $\longrightarrow$ 
  defer (loop-comp-helper acc m t) V A p  $\subseteq$  defer acc V A p
using loop-comp-helper-infinite
by (metis (no-types))
thus ?case
  using eq-iff loop-comp-code-helper
by (metis (no-types))
qed

```

6.5.3 Lemmas

```

lemma loop-comp-helper-def-lift-inv-helper:
fixes

```

```

  m acc :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
  t :: 'a Termination-Condition and
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  n :: nat
assumes
  monotone-m: defer-lift-invariance m and
  prof: profile V A p and
  dli-acc: defer-lift-invariance acc and
  card-n-defer: n = card (defer acc V A p) and
  defer-finite: finite (defer acc V A p) and
  voters-determine-m: voters-determine-election m
shows
   $\forall q a. a \in (\text{defer } (\text{loop-comp-helper } \text{acc } m \ t) \ V \ A \ p) \wedge \text{lifted } V \ A \ p \ q \ a \longrightarrow$ 
     $(\text{loop-comp-helper } \text{acc } m \ t) \ V \ A \ p = (\text{loop-comp-helper } \text{acc } m \ t) \ V \ A \ q$ 
using assms
proof (induct n arbitrary: acc rule: less-induct)
case (less n)
have defer-card-comp:
  defer-lift-invariance acc  $\longrightarrow$ 
     $(\forall q a. a \in (\text{defer } (\text{acc } \triangleright m) \ V \ A \ p) \wedge \text{lifted } V \ A \ p \ q \ a \longrightarrow$ 
       $\text{card } (\text{defer } (\text{acc } \triangleright m) \ V \ A \ p) = \text{card } (\text{defer } (\text{acc } \triangleright m) \ V \ A \ q))$ 
using monotone-m def-lift-inv-seq-comp-help voters-determine-m
by metis
have defer-lift-invariance acc  $\longrightarrow$ 
     $(\forall q a. a \in (\text{defer } \text{acc } V \ A \ p) \wedge \text{lifted } V \ A \ p \ q \ a \longrightarrow$ 
       $\text{card } (\text{defer } \text{acc } V \ A \ p) = \text{card } (\text{defer } \text{acc } V \ A \ q))$ 
unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
by simp
hence defer-card-acc:
  defer-lift-invariance acc  $\longrightarrow$ 
     $(\forall q a. (a \in (\text{defer } (\text{acc } \triangleright m) \ V \ A \ p) \wedge \text{lifted } V \ A \ p \ q \ a) \longrightarrow$ 
       $\text{card } (\text{defer } \text{acc } V \ A \ p) = \text{card } (\text{defer } \text{acc } V \ A \ q))$ 
using assms seq-comp-def-set-trans
unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
by metis
thus ?case
proof (cases)
assume card-unchanged:
  card (defer (acc  $\triangleright$  m) V A p) = card (defer acc V A p)
have defer-lift-invariance acc  $\longrightarrow$ 
     $(\forall q a. a \in (\text{defer } \text{acc } V \ A \ p) \wedge \text{lifted } V \ A \ p \ q \ a \longrightarrow$ 
       $(\text{loop-comp-helper } \text{acc } m \ t) \ V \ A \ q = \text{acc } V \ A \ q)$ 
proof (safe)
fix
  q :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  a :: 'a
assume

```

$dli\text{-}acc$: $defer\text{-}lift\text{-}invariance\ acc$ and
 $a\text{-}in\text{-}def\text{-}acc$: $a \in defer\ acc\ V\ A\ p$ and
 $lifted\text{-}A$: $Profile.lifted\ V\ A\ p\ q\ a$
moreover have $SCF\text{-}result.electoral\text{-}module\ m$
using $monotone\text{-}m$
unfolding $defer\text{-}lift\text{-}invariance\text{-}def$
by $simp$
moreover have $emod\text{-}acc$: $SCF\text{-}result.electoral\text{-}module\ acc$
using $dli\text{-}acc$
unfolding $defer\text{-}lift\text{-}invariance\text{-}def$
by $simp$
moreover have $acc\text{-}eq\text{-}pq$: $acc\ V\ A\ q = acc\ V\ A\ p$
using $a\text{-}in\text{-}def\text{-}acc\ dli\text{-}acc\ lifted\text{-}A$
unfolding $defer\text{-}lift\text{-}invariance\text{-}def$
by $(metis\ (full\text{-}types))$
ultimately have $finite\ (defer\ acc\ V\ A\ p)$
 $\longrightarrow loop\text{-}comp\text{-}helper\ acc\ m\ t\ V\ A\ q = acc\ V\ A\ q$
using $card\text{-}unchanged\ defer\text{-}card\text{-}comp\ prof\ loop\text{-}comp\text{-}code\text{-}helper$
 $p\text{-}subset\text{-}card\text{-}mono\ dual\text{-}order.strict\text{-}iff\text{-}order$
 $seq\text{-}comp\text{-}def\text{-}set\text{-}bounded\ less$
by $(metis\ (mono\text{-}tags,\ lifting))$
thus $loop\text{-}comp\text{-}helper\ acc\ m\ t\ V\ A\ q = acc\ V\ A\ q$
using $acc\text{-}eq\text{-}pq\ loop\text{-}comp\text{-}code\text{-}helper$
by $(metis\ (full\text{-}types))$
qed
moreover from $card\text{-}unchanged$
have $(loop\text{-}comp\text{-}helper\ acc\ m\ t)\ V\ A\ p = acc\ V\ A\ p$
using $loop\text{-}comp\text{-}code\text{-}helper\ order.strict\text{-}iff\text{-}order\ p\text{-}subset\text{-}card\text{-}mono$
by $metis$
ultimately have
 $defer\text{-}lift\text{-}invariance\ (acc \triangleright m) \wedge defer\text{-}lift\text{-}invariance\ acc$
 $\longrightarrow (\forall\ q\ a.\ a \in (defer\ (loop\text{-}comp\text{-}helper\ acc\ m\ t)\ V\ A\ p)$
 $\wedge lifted\ V\ A\ p\ q\ a$
 $\longrightarrow (loop\text{-}comp\text{-}helper\ acc\ m\ t)\ V\ A\ p =$
 $(loop\text{-}comp\text{-}helper\ acc\ m\ t)\ V\ A\ q)$
unfolding $defer\text{-}lift\text{-}invariance\text{-}def$
by $metis$
moreover have $defer\text{-}lift\text{-}invariance\ (acc \triangleright m)$
using $less\ monotone\text{-}m\ seq\text{-}comp\text{-}presv\text{-}def\text{-}lift\text{-}inv$
by $safe$
ultimately show $?thesis$
using $less\ monotone\text{-}m$
by $metis$
next
assume $card\text{-}changed$:
 $\neg (card\ (defer\ (acc \triangleright m)\ V\ A\ p) = card\ (defer\ acc\ V\ A\ p))$
with $prof$
have $card\text{-}smaller\text{-}for\text{-}p$:
 $SCF\text{-}result.electoral\text{-}module\ acc \wedge finite\ A \longrightarrow$

$\text{card } (\text{defer } (\text{acc} \triangleright m) \ V \ A \ p) < \text{card } (\text{defer } \text{acc} \ V \ A \ p)$
using *monotone-m order.not-eq-order-implies-strict*
 $\text{card-mono less.premis seq-comp-def-set-bounded}$
unfolding *defer-lift-invariance-def*
by *metis*
with *defer-card-acc defer-card-comp*
have *card-changed-for-q*:
 $\text{defer-lift-invariance } \text{acc} \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall \ q \ a. \ a \in (\text{defer } (\text{acc} \triangleright m) \ V \ A \ p) \wedge \text{lifted } V \ A \ p \ q \ a \longrightarrow$
 $\text{card } (\text{defer } (\text{acc} \triangleright m) \ V \ A \ q) < \text{card } (\text{defer } \text{acc} \ V \ A \ q))$
using *lifted-def less*
unfolding *defer-lift-invariance-def*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
thus *?thesis*
proof (*cases*)
assume *t-not-satisfied-for-p*: $\neg t \ (\text{acc} \ V \ A \ p)$
hence *t-not-satisfied-for-q*:
 $\text{defer-lift-invariance } \text{acc} \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall \ q \ a. \ a \in (\text{defer } (\text{acc} \triangleright m) \ V \ A \ p) \wedge \text{lifted } V \ A \ p \ q \ a$
 $\longrightarrow \neg t \ (\text{acc} \ V \ A \ q))$
using *monotone-m prof seq-comp-def-set-trans*
unfolding *defer-lift-invariance-def*
by *metis*
have *dli-card-defer*:
 $\text{defer-lift-invariance } (\text{acc} \triangleright m) \wedge \text{defer-lift-invariance } \text{acc}$
 $\longrightarrow (\forall \ q \ a. \ a \in (\text{defer } (\text{acc} \triangleright m) \ V \ A \ p) \wedge \text{Profile.lifted } V \ A \ p \ q \ a$
 $\longrightarrow \text{card } (\text{defer } (\text{acc} \triangleright m) \ V \ A \ q) \neq (\text{card } (\text{defer } \text{acc} \ V \ A \ q)))$
proof –
have
 $\forall \ m'.$
 $(\neg \text{defer-lift-invariance } m' \wedge \text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m'$
 $\longrightarrow (\exists \ V' \ A' \ p' \ q' \ a.$
 $m' \ V' \ A' \ p' \neq m' \ V' \ A' \ q' \wedge \text{lifted } V' \ A' \ p' \ q' \ a$
 $\wedge a \in \text{defer } m' \ V' \ A' \ p'))$
 $\wedge (\text{defer-lift-invariance } m'$
 $\longrightarrow \text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m'$
 $\wedge (\forall \ V' \ A' \ p' \ q' \ a.$
 $m' \ V' \ A' \ p' \neq m' \ V' \ A' \ q'$
 $\longrightarrow \text{lifted } V' \ A' \ p' \ q' \ a \longrightarrow a \notin \text{defer } m' \ V' \ A' \ p'))$
unfolding *defer-lift-invariance-def*
by *blast*
thus *?thesis*
using *card-changed monotone-m prof seq-comp-def-set-trans*
by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting)*)
qed
hence *dli-def-subset*:
 $\text{defer-lift-invariance } (\text{acc} \triangleright m) \wedge \text{defer-lift-invariance } \text{acc}$
 $\longrightarrow (\forall \ p' \ a. \ a \in (\text{defer } (\text{acc} \triangleright m) \ V \ A \ p) \wedge \text{lifted } V \ A \ p \ p' \ a$
 $\longrightarrow \text{defer } (\text{acc} \triangleright m) \ V \ A \ p' \subset \text{defer } \text{acc} \ V \ A \ p')$

```

using Profile.lifted-def dli-card-defer defer-lift-invariance-def
      monotone-m psubsetI seq-comp-def-set-bounded
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting))
with t-not-satisfied-for-p
have rec-step-q:
  defer-lift-invariance (acc  $\triangleright$  m)  $\wedge$  defer-lift-invariance acc
   $\longrightarrow$  ( $\forall$  q a. a  $\in$  (defer (acc  $\triangleright$  m) V A p)  $\wedge$  lifted V A p q a
     $\longrightarrow$  loop-comp-helper acc m t V A q =
      loop-comp-helper (acc  $\triangleright$  m) m t V A q)
proof (safe)
  fix
    q :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
    a :: 'a
  assume
    a-in-def-imp-def-subset:
     $\forall$  q' a'. a'  $\in$  defer (acc  $\triangleright$  m) V A p  $\wedge$  lifted V A p q' a'  $\longrightarrow$ 
      defer (acc  $\triangleright$  m) V A q'  $\subseteq$  defer acc V A q' and
    dli-acc: defer-lift-invariance acc and
    a-in-def-seq-acc-m: a  $\in$  defer (acc  $\triangleright$  m) V A p and
    lifted-pq-a: lifted V A p q a
  hence defer (acc  $\triangleright$  m) V A q  $\subseteq$  defer acc V A q
    by metis
  moreover have SCF-result.electoral-module acc
    using dli-acc
    unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
    by simp
  moreover have  $\neg$  t (acc V A q)
    using dli-acc a-in-def-seq-acc-m lifted-pq-a t-not-satisfied-for-q
    by metis
  ultimately show loop-comp-helper acc m t V A q
    = loop-comp-helper (acc  $\triangleright$  m) m t V A q
    using loop-comp-code-helper defer-in-alts finite-subset lifted-pq-a
    unfolding lifted-def
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
qed
have rec-step-p:
  SCF-result.electoral-module acc  $\longrightarrow$ 
    loop-comp-helper acc m t V A p = loop-comp-helper (acc  $\triangleright$  m) m t V A p
proof (safe)
  assume emod-acc: SCF-result.electoral-module acc
  have sound-imp-def-subset:
    SCF-result.electoral-module m
     $\longrightarrow$  defer (acc  $\triangleright$  m) V A p  $\subseteq$  defer acc V A p
    using emod-acc prof seq-comp-def-set-bounded
    by blast
  hence card-ineq: card (defer (acc  $\triangleright$  m) V A p) < card (defer acc V A p)
    using card-changed card-mono less order-neq-le-trans
    unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
    by metis

```

```

have def-limited-acc:
  profile V (defer acc V A p) (limit-profile (defer acc V A p) p)
  using def-presv-prof emod-acc prof
  by metis
have defer (acc ▷ m) V A p ⊆ defer acc V A p
  using sound-imp-defer-subset defer-lift-invariance-def monotone-m
  by blast
hence defer (acc ▷ m) V A p ⊂ defer acc V A p
  using def-limited-acc card-ineq card-psubset less
  by metis
with def-limited-acc
show loop-comp-helper acc m t V A p =
  loop-comp-helper (acc ▷ m) m t V A p
  using loop-comp-code-helper t-not-satisfied-for-p less
  by (metis (no-types))
qed
show ?thesis
proof (safe)
  fix
    q :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
    a :: 'a
  assume
    a-in-defer-lch: a ∈ defer (loop-comp-helper acc m t) V A p and
    a-lifted: Profile.lifted V A p q a
  have mod-acc: SCF-result.electoral-module acc
    using less.premis
    unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
    by simp
  hence loop-comp-equiv:
    loop-comp-helper acc m t V A p = loop-comp-helper (acc ▷ m) m t V A p
    using rec-step-p
    by blast
  hence a ∈ defer (loop-comp-helper (acc ▷ m) m t) V A p
    using a-in-defer-lch
    by presburger
  moreover have l-inv: defer-lift-invariance (acc ▷ m)
    using less.premis monotone-m voters-determine-m
    seq-comp-presv-def-lift-inv
    by blast
  ultimately have a ∈ defer (acc ▷ m) V A p
    using prof monotone-m in-mono loop-comp-helper-imp-no-def-incr
    unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  with l-inv loop-comp-equiv show
    loop-comp-helper acc m t V A p = loop-comp-helper acc m t V A q
proof –
  assume
    dli-acc-seq-m: defer-lift-invariance (acc ▷ m) and
    a-in-def-seq: a ∈ defer (acc ▷ m) V A p

```

```

moreover from this have SCF-result.electoral-module (acc  $\triangleright$  m)
  unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
  by blast
moreover have a  $\in$  defer (loop-comp-helper (acc  $\triangleright$  m) m t) V A p
  using loop-comp-equiv a-in-defer-lch
  by presburger
ultimately have
  loop-comp-helper (acc  $\triangleright$  m) m t V A p
    = loop-comp-helper (acc  $\triangleright$  m) m t V A q
  using monotone-m mod-acc less a-lifted card-smaller-for-p
    defer-in-altis infinite-super less
  unfolding lifted-def
  by (metis (no-types))
moreover have loop-comp-helper acc m t V A q
    = loop-comp-helper (acc  $\triangleright$  m) m t V A q
  using dli-acc-seq-m a-in-def-seq less a-lifted rec-step-q
  by blast
ultimately show ?thesis
  using loop-comp-equiv
  by presburger
qed
qed
next
assume  $\neg \neg t$  (acc V A p)
thus ?thesis
  using loop-comp-code-helper less
  unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
  by metis
qed
qed
qed

lemma loop-comp-helper-def-lift-inv:
fixes
  m acc :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
  t :: 'a Termination-Condition and
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p q :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  a :: 'a
assumes
  defer-lift-invariance m and
  voters-determine-election m and
  defer-lift-invariance acc and
  profile V A p and
  lifted V A p q a and
  a  $\in$  defer (loop-comp-helper acc m t) V A p
shows (loop-comp-helper acc m t) V A p = (loop-comp-helper acc m t) V A q
using assms loop-comp-helper-def-lift-inv-helper lifted-def

```



```

    defer-in-alts defer-lift-invariance-def finite-subset
  by metis

lemma lifted-imp-fin-prof:
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v set and
    p q :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
    a :: 'a
  assumes lifted V A p q a
  shows finite-profile V A p
  using assms
  unfolding lifted-def
  by simp

lemma loop-comp-helper-presv-def-lift-inv:
  fixes
    m acc :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    t :: 'a Termination-Condition
  assumes
    defer-lift-invariance m and
    voters-determine-election m and
    defer-lift-invariance acc
  shows defer-lift-invariance (loop-comp-helper acc m t)
proof (unfold defer-lift-invariance-def, safe)
  show SCF-result.electoral-module (loop-comp-helper acc m t)
    using loop-comp-helper-imp-partit assms
    unfolding SCF-result.electoral-module.simps
    defer-lift-invariance-def
  by metis
next
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p q :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  a :: 'a
assume
  a ∈ defer (loop-comp-helper acc m t) V A p and
  lifted V A p q a
thus loop-comp-helper acc m t V A p = loop-comp-helper acc m t V A q
  using lifted-imp-fin-prof loop-comp-helper-def-lift-inv assms
  by metis
qed

lemma loop-comp-presv-non-electing-helper:
  fixes
    m acc :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    t :: 'a Termination-Condition and
    A :: 'a set and

```

$V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $n :: \text{nat}$
assumes
 $\text{non-electing-m: non-electing } m$ **and**
 $\text{non-electing-acc: non-electing } acc$ **and**
 $\text{prof: profile } V \ A \ p$ **and**
 $\text{acc-defer-card: } n = \text{card } (\text{defer } acc \ V \ A \ p)$
shows $\text{elect } (\text{loop-comp-helper } acc \ m \ t) \ V \ A \ p = \{\}$
using $\text{acc-defer-card non-electing-acc}$
proof ($\text{induct } n \text{ arbitrary: acc rule: less-induct}$)
case ($\text{less } n$)
thus $?case$
proof (safe)
fix $x :: 'a$
assume
 acc-no-elect:
 $(\bigwedge i \ acc'. i < \text{card } (\text{defer } acc \ V \ A \ p) \implies$
 $i = \text{card } (\text{defer } acc' \ V \ A \ p) \implies \text{non-electing } acc' \implies$
 $\text{elect } (\text{loop-comp-helper } acc' \ m \ t) \ V \ A \ p = \{\})$ **and**
 $\text{acc-non-elect: non-electing } acc$ **and**
 $\text{x-in-acc-elect: } x \in \text{elect } (\text{loop-comp-helper } acc \ m \ t) \ V \ A \ p$
have $\forall \ m' \ n'. \text{non-electing } m' \wedge \text{non-electing } n' \longrightarrow \text{non-electing } (m' \triangleright n')$
by simp
hence $\text{seq-acc-m-non-elect: non-electing } (acc \triangleright m)$
using $\text{acc-non-elect non-electing-m}$
by blast
have $\forall \ i \ m'.$
 $i < \text{card } (\text{defer } acc \ V \ A \ p) \wedge i = \text{card } (\text{defer } m' \ V \ A \ p) \wedge$
 $\text{non-electing } m' \longrightarrow$
 $\text{elect } (\text{loop-comp-helper } m' \ m \ t) \ V \ A \ p = \{\}$
using acc-no-elect
by blast
hence $\forall \ m'.$
 $\text{finite } (\text{defer } acc \ V \ A \ p) \wedge \text{defer } m' \ V \ A \ p \subset \text{defer } acc \ V \ A \ p \wedge$
 $\text{non-electing } m' \longrightarrow$
 $\text{elect } (\text{loop-comp-helper } m' \ m \ t) \ V \ A \ p = \{\}$
using psubset-card-mono
by metis
hence $\neg t \ (acc \ V \ A \ p) \wedge \text{defer } (acc \triangleright m) \ V \ A \ p \subset \text{defer } acc \ V \ A \ p \wedge$
 $\text{finite } (\text{defer } acc \ V \ A \ p) \longrightarrow$
 $\text{elect } (\text{loop-comp-helper } acc \ m \ t) \ V \ A \ p = \{\}$
using $\text{loop-comp-code-helper seq-acc-m-non-elect}$
by (metis (no-types))
moreover have $\text{elect } acc \ V \ A \ p = \{\}$
using $\text{acc-non-elect prof non-electing-def}$
by blast
ultimately show $x \in \{\}$
using $\text{loop-comp-code-helper x-in-acc-elect}$

by (*metis* (*no-types*))
qed
qed

lemma *loop-comp-helper-iter-elim-def-n-helper*:

fixes

m acc :: ('a, 'v, 'a *Result*) *Electoral-Module* **and**

t :: 'a *Termination-Condition* **and**

A :: 'a *set* **and**

V :: 'v *set* **and**

p :: ('a, 'v) *Profile* **and**

n x :: *nat*

assumes

non-electing-m: *non-electing m* **and**

single-elimination: *eliminates 1 m* **and**

terminate-if-n-left: $\forall r. t\ r = (\text{card } (\text{defer-r } r) = x)$ **and**

x-greater-zero: $x > 0$ **and**

prof: *profile V A p* **and**

n-acc-defer-card: $n = \text{card } (\text{defer } \text{acc } V\ A\ p)$ **and**

n-ge-x: $n \geq x$ **and**

def-card-gt-one: $\text{card } (\text{defer } \text{acc } V\ A\ p) > 1$ **and**

acc-nonelect: *non-electing acc*

shows $\text{card } (\text{defer } (\text{loop-comp-helper } \text{acc } m\ t)\ V\ A\ p) = x$

using *n-ge-x def-card-gt-one acc-nonelect n-acc-defer-card*

proof (*induct n arbitrary: acc rule: less-induct*)

case (*less n*)

have *mod-acc*: *SCF-result.electoral-module acc*

using *less*

unfolding *non-electing-def*

by *metis*

hence *step-reduces-defer-set*: $\text{defer } (\text{acc } \triangleright m)\ V\ A\ p \subset \text{defer } \text{acc } V\ A\ p$

using *seq-comp-elim-one-red-def-set single-elimination prof less*

by *metis*

thus *?case*

proof (*cases t (acc V A p)*)

case *True*

assume *term-satisfied*: $t\ (\text{acc } V\ A\ p)$

thus $\text{card } (\text{defer-r } (\text{loop-comp-helper } \text{acc } m\ t\ V\ A\ p)) = x$

using *loop-comp-code-helper term-satisfied terminate-if-n-left*

by *metis*

next

case *False*

hence *card-not-eq-x*: $\text{card } (\text{defer } \text{acc } V\ A\ p) \neq x$

using *terminate-if-n-left*

by *metis*

have *fin-def-acc*: *finite (defer acc V A p)*

using *prof mod-acc less card.infinite not-one-less-zero*

by *metis*

```

hence rec-step:
  loop-comp-helper acc m t V A p = loop-comp-helper (acc ▷ m) m t V A p
  using False step-reduces-defer-set
  by simp
have card-too-big: card (defer acc V A p) > x
  using card-not-eq-x dual-order.order-iff-strict less
  by simp
hence enough-leftover: card (defer acc V A p) > 1
  using x-greater-zero
  by simp
obtain k :: nat where
  new-card-k: k = card (defer (acc ▷ m) V A p)
  by metis
have defer acc V A p ⊆ A
  using defer-in-alts prof mod-acc
  by metis
hence step-profile:
  profile V (defer acc V A p) (limit-profile (defer acc V A p) p)
  using prof limit-profile-sound
  by metis
hence
  card (defer m V (defer acc V A p) (limit-profile (defer acc V A p) p)) =
    card (defer acc V A p) − 1
  using enough-leftover non-electing-m
    single-elimination single-elim-decr-def-card'
  by blast
hence k-card: k = card (defer acc V A p) − 1
  using mod-acc prof new-card-k non-electing-m seq-comp-defers-def-set
  by metis
hence new-card-still-big-enough: x ≤ k
  using card-too-big
  by linarith
show ?thesis
proof (cases x < k)
  case True
  hence 1 < card (defer (acc ▷ m) V A p)
  using new-card-k x-greater-zero
  by linarith
  moreover have k < n
  using step-reduces-defer-set step-profile psubset-card-mono
    new-card-k less fin-def-acc
  by metis
  moreover have SCF-result.electoral-module (acc ▷ m)
  using mod-acc eliminates-def seq-comp-sound single-elimination
  by metis
  moreover have non-electing (acc ▷ m)
  using less non-electing-m
  by simp
  ultimately have card (defer (loop-comp-helper (acc ▷ m) m t) V A p) = x

```

```

    using new-card-k new-card-still-big-enough less
    by metis
  thus ?thesis
    using rec-step
    by presburger
next
case False
thus ?thesis
  using dual-order.strict-iff-order new-card-k
    new-card-still-big-enough rec-step
    terminate-if-n-left
  by simp
qed
qed
qed

lemma loop-comp-helper-iter-elim-def-n:
  fixes
    m acc :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    t :: 'a Termination-Condition and
    A :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
    x :: nat
  assumes
    non-electing m and
    eliminates 1 m and
     $\forall r. (t\ r) = (\text{card } (\text{defer-}r\ r) = x)$  and
     $x > 0$  and
    profile V A p and
     $\text{card } (\text{defer } acc\ V\ A\ p) \geq x$  and
    non-electing acc
  shows  $\text{card } (\text{defer } (\text{loop-comp-helper } acc\ m\ t)\ V\ A\ p) = x$ 
  using assms gr-implies-not0 le-neq-implies-less less-one linorder-neqE-nat nat-neq-iff
    less-le loop-comp-helper-iter-elim-def-n-helper loop-comp-code-helper
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))

lemma iter-elim-def-n-helper:
  fixes
    m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    t :: 'a Termination-Condition and
    A :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
    x :: nat
  assumes
    non-electing-m: non-electing m and
    single-elimination: eliminates 1 m and
    terminate-if-n-left:  $\forall r. (t\ r) = (\text{card } (\text{defer-}r\ r) = x)$  and

```

```

    x-greater-zero:  $x > 0$  and
    prof: profile  $V\ A\ p$  and
    enough-alternatives:  $\text{card } A \geq x$ 
shows  $\text{card } (\text{defer } (m \circ_t) V\ A\ p) = x$ 
proof (cases)
  assume  $\text{card } A = x$ 
  thus ?thesis
    using terminate-if-n-left
    by simp
next
  assume card-not-x:  $\neg \text{card } A = x$ 
  thus ?thesis
proof (cases)
  assume  $\text{card } A < x$ 
  thus ?thesis
    using enough-alternatives not-le
    by blast
next
  assume  $\neg \text{card } A < x$ 
  hence  $\text{card } A > x$ 
    using card-not-x
    by linarith
  moreover from this
  have  $\text{card } (\text{defer } m\ V\ A\ p) = \text{card } A - 1$ 
    using non-electing-m single-elimination single-elim-decr-def-card'
    prof x-greater-zero
    by fastforce
  ultimately have  $\text{card } (\text{defer } m\ V\ A\ p) \geq x$ 
    by linarith
  moreover have  $(m \circ_t) V\ A\ p = (\text{loop-comp-helper } m\ m\ t) V\ A\ p$ 
    using card-not-x terminate-if-n-left
    by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using non-electing-m prof single-elimination terminate-if-n-left x-greater-zero
    loop-comp-helper-iter-elim-def-n
    by metis
qed
qed

```

6.5.4 Composition Rules

The loop composition preserves defer-lift-invariance.

```

theorem loop-comp-presv-def-lift-inv[simp]:
  fixes
     $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a\ \text{Result})\ \text{Electoral-Module}$  and
     $t :: 'a\ \text{Termination-Condition}$ 
  assumes
    defer-lift-invariance m and
    voters-determine-election m

```

```

shows defer-lift-invariance ( $m \circ_t$ )
proof (unfold defer-lift-invariance-def, safe)
  have SCF-result.electoral-module  $m$ 
    using assms
    unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
    by simp
  thus SCF-result.electoral-module ( $m \circ_t$ )
    using loop-comp-sound
    by blast
next
fix
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p \ q :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
   $a :: 'a$ 
assume
   $a \in \text{defer } (m \circ_t) \ V \ A \ p$  and
  lifted  $V \ A \ p \ q \ a$ 
moreover have
   $\forall \ p' \ q' \ a'. \ a' \in (\text{defer } (m \circ_t) \ V \ A \ p') \wedge \text{lifted } V \ A \ p' \ q' \ a' \longrightarrow$ 
     $(m \circ_t) \ V \ A \ p' = (m \circ_t) \ V \ A \ q'$ 
  using assms lifted-imp-fin-prof loop-comp-helper-def-lift-inv
    loop-composition.simps defer-module.simps
  by (metis (full-types))
ultimately show  $(m \circ_t) \ V \ A \ p = (m \circ_t) \ V \ A \ q$ 
  by metis
qed

```

The loop composition preserves the property non-electing.

```

theorem loop-comp-presv-non-electing[simp]:
  fixes
     $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
     $t :: 'a \text{ Termination-Condition}$ 
  assumes non-electing  $m$ 
  shows non-electing ( $m \circ_t$ )
proof (unfold non-electing-def, safe)
  show SCF-result.electoral-module ( $m \circ_t$ )
    using loop-comp-sound assms
    unfolding non-electing-def
    by metis
next
fix
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
   $a :: 'a$ 
assume
  profile  $V \ A \ p$  and
   $a \in \text{elect } (m \circ_t) \ V \ A \ p$ 

```

```

thus  $a \in \{\}$ 
  using def-mod-non-electing loop-comp-presv-non-electing-helper
    assms empty-iff loop-comp-code
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))
qed

theorem iter-elim-def-n[simp]:
  fixes
     $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
     $t :: 'a \text{ Termination-Condition}$  and
     $n :: \text{nat}$ 
  assumes
    non-electing-m: non-electing m and
    single-elimination: eliminates 1 m and
    terminate-if-n-left:  $\forall r. t\ r = (\text{card} (\text{defer-r } r) = n)$  and
    x-greater-zero:  $n > 0$ 
  shows defers n (m  $\circ_t$ )
proof (unfold defers-def, safe)
  show SCF-result.electoral-module (m  $\circ_t$ )
    using loop-comp-sound non-electing-m
    unfolding non-electing-def
    by metis
next
  fix
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
  assume
     $n \leq \text{card } A$  and
    profile V A p
  thus  $\text{card} (\text{defer } (m \circ_t) V A p) = n$ 
    using iter-elim-def-n-helper assms
    by metis
qed

end

```

6.6 Maximum Parallel Composition

```

theory Maximum-Parallel-Composition
  imports Basic-Modules/Component-Types/Maximum-Aggregator
    Parallel-Composition
begin

```


This is a family of parallel compositions. It composes a new electoral module from two electoral modules combined with the maximum aggregator. Therein, the two modules each make a decision and then a partition is returned where every alternative receives the maximum result of the two input partitions. This means that, if any alternative is elected by at least one of the modules, then it gets elected, if any non-elected alternative is deferred by at least one of the modules, then it gets deferred, only alternatives rejected by both modules get rejected.

6.6.1 Definition

fun *maximum-parallel-composition* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow
 ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow
 ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module **where**
 maximum-parallel-composition *m n* =
 (*let* *a* = *max-aggregator* *in* (*m* \parallel_a *n*))

abbreviation *max-parallel* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow
 ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow
 ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module (**infix** \parallel_{\uparrow} 50) **where**
 m \parallel_{\uparrow} *n* \equiv *maximum-parallel-composition* *m n*

6.6.2 Soundness

theorem *max-par-comp-sound*:
 fixes *m n* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
 assumes
 SCF-result.electoral-module m **and**
 SCF-result.electoral-module n
 shows *SCF-result.electoral-module* (*m* \parallel_{\uparrow} *n*)
 using *assms max-agg-sound par-comp-sound*
 unfolding *maximum-parallel-composition.simps*
 by *metis*

lemma *voters-determine-max-par-comp*:
 fixes *m n* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
 assumes
 voters-determine-election m **and**
 voters-determine-election n
 shows *voters-determine-election* (*m* \parallel_{\uparrow} *n*)
 using *max-aggregator.simps assms*
 unfolding *Let-def maximum-parallel-composition.simps*
 parallel-composition.simps
 voters-determine-election.simps
 by *presburger*

6.6.3 Lemmas

lemma *max-agg-eq-result*:

fixes
 $m\ n :: ('a, 'v, 'a\ Result)\ Electoral\text{-}Module$ **and**
 $A :: 'a\ set$ **and**
 $V :: 'v\ set$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v)\ Profile$ **and**
 $a :: 'a$
assumes
 $module\text{-}m: SCF\text{-}result.electoral\text{-}module\ m$ **and**
 $module\text{-}n: SCF\text{-}result.electoral\text{-}module\ n$ **and**
 $prof\text{-}p: profile\ V\ A\ p$ **and**
 $a\text{-in}\text{-}A: a \in A$
shows $mod\text{-}contains\text{-}result\ (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n)\ m\ V\ A\ p\ a \vee$
 $mod\text{-}contains\text{-}result\ (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n)\ n\ V\ A\ p\ a$
proof (cases)
assume $a\text{-elect}: a \in elect\ (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n)\ V\ A\ p$
hence $let\ (e, r, d) = m\ V\ A\ p;$
 $(e', r', d') = n\ V\ A\ p\ in$
 $a \in e \cup e'$
by *auto*
hence $a \in (elect\ m\ V\ A\ p) \cup (elect\ n\ V\ A\ p)$
by *auto*
moreover have
 $\forall\ m'\ n'\ V'\ A'\ p'\ a'.$
 $mod\text{-}contains\text{-}result\ m'\ n'\ V'\ A'\ p'\ (a' :: 'a) =$
 $(SCF\text{-}result.electoral\text{-}module\ m'$
 $\wedge\ SCF\text{-}result.electoral\text{-}module\ n'$
 $\wedge\ profile\ V'\ A'\ p' \wedge a' \in A'$
 $\wedge\ (a' \notin elect\ m'\ V'\ A'\ p' \vee a' \in elect\ n'\ V'\ A'\ p')$
 $\wedge\ (a' \notin reject\ m'\ V'\ A'\ p' \vee a' \in reject\ n'\ V'\ A'\ p')$
 $\wedge\ (a' \notin defer\ m'\ V'\ A'\ p' \vee a' \in defer\ n'\ V'\ A'\ p'))$
unfolding $mod\text{-}contains\text{-}result\text{-}def$
by *simp*
moreover have $module\text{-}mn: SCF\text{-}result.electoral\text{-}module\ (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n)$
using $module\text{-}m\ module\text{-}n\ max\text{-}par\text{-}comp\text{-}sound$
by *metis*
moreover have $a \notin defer\ (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n)\ V\ A\ p$
using $module\text{-}mn\ IntI\ a\text{-elect}\ empty\text{-}iff\ prof\text{-}p\ result\text{-}disj$
by (*metis* (*no-types*))
moreover have $a \notin reject\ (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n)\ V\ A\ p$
using $module\text{-}mn\ IntI\ a\text{-elect}\ empty\text{-}iff\ prof\text{-}p\ result\text{-}disj$
by (*metis* (*no-types*))
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *assms*
by *blast*
next
assume $not\text{-}a\text{-elect}: a \notin elect\ (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n)\ V\ A\ p$
thus *?thesis*
proof (cases)
assume $a\text{-in}\text{-}defer: a \in defer\ (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n)\ V\ A\ p$

```

thus ?thesis
proof (safe)
  assume not-mod-cont-mn:  $\neg \text{mod-contains-result } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ n \ V \ A \ p \ a$ 
  have par-emod:  $\forall \ m' \ n'.$ 
    SCF-result.electoral-module  $m' \wedge$ 
    SCF-result.electoral-module  $n' \longrightarrow$ 
    SCF-result.electoral-module  $(m' \parallel_{\uparrow} n')$ 
    using max-par-comp-sound
    by blast
  have set-intersect:  $\forall \ a' \ A' \ A''. (a' \in A' \cap A'') = (a' \in A' \wedge a' \in A'')$ 
    by blast
  have wf-n: well-formed-SCF  $A \ (n \ V \ A \ p)$ 
    using prof-p module-n
    unfolding SCF-result.electoral-module.simps
    by blast
  have wf-m: well-formed-SCF  $A \ (m \ V \ A \ p)$ 
    using prof-p module-m
    unfolding SCF-result.electoral-module.simps
    by blast
  have e-mod-par: SCF-result.electoral-module  $(m \parallel_{\uparrow} n)$ 
    using par-emod module-m module-n
    by blast
  hence SCF-result.electoral-module  $(m \parallel_{\text{max-aggregator}} n)$ 
    by simp
  hence result-disj-max:
    elect  $(m \parallel_{\text{max-aggregator}} n) \ V \ A \ p \cap$ 
    reject  $(m \parallel_{\text{max-aggregator}} n) \ V \ A \ p = \{\}$   $\wedge$ 
    elect  $(m \parallel_{\text{max-aggregator}} n) \ V \ A \ p \cap$ 
    defer  $(m \parallel_{\text{max-aggregator}} n) \ V \ A \ p = \{\}$   $\wedge$ 
    reject  $(m \parallel_{\text{max-aggregator}} n) \ V \ A \ p \cap$ 
    defer  $(m \parallel_{\text{max-aggregator}} n) \ V \ A \ p = \{\}$ 
    using prof-p result-disj
    by metis
  have a-not-elect:  $a \notin \text{elect } (m \parallel_{\text{max-aggregator}} n) \ V \ A \ p$ 
    using result-disj-max a-in-defer
    by force
  have result-m:  $(\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p, \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p, \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) = m \ V \ A \ p$ 
    by auto
  have result-n:  $(\text{elect } n \ V \ A \ p, \text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p, \text{defer } n \ V \ A \ p) = n \ V \ A \ p$ 
    by auto
  have max-pq:
     $\forall \ (A' :: 'a \text{ set}) \ m' \ n'.$ 
    elect-r  $(\text{max-aggregator } A' \ m' \ n') = \text{elect-r } m' \cup \text{elect-r } n'$ 
    by force
  have a  $\notin \text{elect } (m \parallel_{\text{max-aggregator}} n) \ V \ A \ p$ 
    using a-not-elect
    by blast
  hence a  $\notin \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{elect } n \ V \ A \ p$ 
    using max-pq

```

by *simp*
 hence *a-not-elect-mn*: $a \notin \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \wedge a \notin \text{elect } n \ V \ A \ p$
 by *blast*
 have *a-not-mpar-rej*: $a \notin \text{reject } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p$
 using *result-disj-max a-in-defer*
 by *fastforce*
 have *mod-cont-res-fg*:
 $\forall m' n' A' V' p' (a' :: 'a).$
 $\text{mod-contains-result } m' n' V' A' p' a' =$
 $(\text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m'$
 $\wedge \text{SCF-result.electoral-module } n'$
 $\wedge \text{profile } V' A' p' \wedge a' \in A'$
 $\wedge (a' \in \text{elect } m' V' A' p' \longrightarrow a' \in \text{elect } n' V' A' p')$
 $\wedge (a' \in \text{reject } m' V' A' p' \longrightarrow a' \in \text{reject } n' V' A' p')$
 $\wedge (a' \in \text{defer } m' V' A' p' \longrightarrow a' \in \text{defer } n' V' A' p'))$
 unfolding *mod-contains-result-def*
 by *simp*
 have *max-agg-res*:
 $\text{max-aggregator } A \ (\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p, \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p, \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p)$
 $(\text{elect } n \ V \ A \ p, \text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p, \text{defer } n \ V \ A \ p) =$
 $(m \parallel_{\text{max-aggregator}} n) \ V \ A \ p$
 by *simp*
 have *well-f-max*:
 $\forall r' r'' e' e'' d' d'' A'.$
 $\text{well-formed-SCF } A' (e', r', d') \wedge$
 $\text{well-formed-SCF } A' (e'', r'', d'') \longrightarrow$
 $\text{reject-r } (\text{max-aggregator } A' (e', r', d') (e'', r'', d'')) =$
 $r' \cap r''$
 using *max-agg-rej-set*
 by *metis*
 have *e-mod-disj*:
 $\forall m' (V' :: 'v \text{ set}) (A' :: 'a \text{ set}) p'.$
 $\text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m' \wedge \text{profile } V' A' p'$
 $\longrightarrow \text{elect } m' V' A' p' \cup \text{reject } m' V' A' p' \cup \text{defer } m' V' A' p' = A'$
 using *result-presv-alts*
 by *blast*
 hence *e-mod-disj-n*: $\text{elect } n \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{defer } n \ V \ A \ p = A$
 using *prof-p module-n*
 by *metis*
 have $\forall m' n' A' V' p' (b :: 'a).$
 $\text{mod-contains-result } m' n' V' A' p' b =$
 $(\text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m'$
 $\wedge \text{SCF-result.electoral-module } n'$
 $\wedge \text{profile } V' A' p' \wedge b \in A'$
 $\wedge (b \in \text{elect } m' V' A' p' \longrightarrow b \in \text{elect } n' V' A' p')$
 $\wedge (b \in \text{reject } m' V' A' p' \longrightarrow b \in \text{reject } n' V' A' p')$
 $\wedge (b \in \text{defer } m' V' A' p' \longrightarrow b \in \text{defer } n' V' A' p'))$
 unfolding *mod-contains-result-def*
 by *simp*

hence $a \notin \text{defer } n \ V \ A \ p$
using $a\text{-not-mpar-rej } a\text{-in-}A \ e\text{-mod-par } \text{module-}n \ \text{not-}a\text{-elect}$
 $\text{not-mod-cont-mn } \text{prof-}p$
by blast
hence $a \in \text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p$
using $a\text{-in-}A \ a\text{-not-elect-mn } \text{module-}n \ \text{not-rej-imp-elec-or-defer } \text{prof-}p$
by metis
hence $a \notin \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$
using $\text{well-f-max } \text{max-agg-res } \text{result-}m \ \text{result-}n \ \text{set-intersect}$
 $\text{wf-}m \ \text{wf-}n \ a\text{-not-mpar-rej}$
unfolding $\text{maximum-parallel-composition.simps}$
by $(\text{metis } (\text{no-types}))$
hence $a \notin \text{defer } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p \vee a \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$
using $e\text{-mod-disj } \text{prof-}p \ a\text{-in-}A \ \text{module-}m \ a\text{-not-elect-mn}$
by blast
thus $\text{mod-contains-result } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ m \ V \ A \ p \ a$
using $a\text{-not-mpar-rej } \text{mod-cont-res-fg } e\text{-mod-par } \text{prof-}p \ a\text{-in-}A$
 $\text{module-}m \ a\text{-not-elect}$
unfolding $\text{maximum-parallel-composition.simps}$
by metis
qed
next
assume $\text{not-}a\text{-defer}: a \notin \text{defer } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p$
have $\text{el-rej-defer}: (\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p, \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p, \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) = m \ V \ A \ p$
by auto
from $\text{not-}a\text{-elect } \text{not-}a\text{-defer}$
have $a\text{-reject}: a \in \text{reject } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p$
using $\text{electoral-mod-defer-elem } a\text{-in-}A \ \text{module-}m$
 $\text{module-}n \ \text{prof-}p \ \text{max-par-comp-sound}$
by metis
hence $\text{case snd } (m \ V \ A \ p) \text{ of } (r, d) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{case } n \ V \ A \ p \text{ of } (e', r', d') \Rightarrow$
 $a \in \text{reject-}r \ (\text{max-aggregator } A \ (\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p, r, d) \ (e', r', d'))$
using el-rej-defer
by force
hence $\text{let } (e, r, d) = m \ V \ A \ p;$
 $(e', r', d') = n \ V \ A \ p \text{ in}$
 $a \in \text{reject-}r \ (\text{max-aggregator } A \ (e, r, d) \ (e', r', d'))$
unfolding case-prod-unfold
by simp
hence $\text{let } (e, r, d) = m \ V \ A \ p;$
 $(e', r', d') = n \ V \ A \ p \text{ in}$
 $a \in A - (e \cup e' \cup d \cup d')$
by simp
hence $a \notin \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \cup (\text{defer } n \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p)$
by force
thus $?thesis$
using $\text{mod-contains-result-comm } \text{mod-contains-result-def } \text{Un-iff}$
 $a\text{-reject } \text{prof-}p \ a\text{-in-}A \ \text{module-}m \ \text{module-}n \ \text{max-par-comp-sound}$

by (*metis* (*no-types*))
 qed
 qed

lemma *max-agg-rej-iff-both-reject*:
 fixes
 $m\ n :: ('a, 'v, 'a\ Result)\ Electoral\ Module$ and
 $A :: 'a\ set$ and
 $V :: 'v\ set$ and
 $p :: ('a, 'v)\ Profile$ and
 $a :: 'a$
 assumes
finite-profile $V\ A\ p$ and
SCF-result.electoral-module m and
SCF-result.electoral-module n
 shows $(a \in reject\ (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n)\ V\ A\ p) =$
 $(a \in reject\ m\ V\ A\ p \wedge a \in reject\ n\ V\ A\ p)$

proof
 assume *rej-a*: $a \in reject\ (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n)\ V\ A\ p$
 hence *case n* $V\ A\ p$ of $(e, r, d) \Rightarrow$
 $a \in reject\text{-}r\ (max\text{-}aggregator\ A$
 $(elect\ m\ V\ A\ p, reject\ m\ V\ A\ p, defer\ m\ V\ A\ p)\ (e, r, d))$
 by *auto*
 hence *case snd* $(m\ V\ A\ p)$ of $(r, d) \Rightarrow$
 $case\ n\ V\ A\ p$ of $(e', r', d') \Rightarrow$
 $a \in reject\text{-}r\ (max\text{-}aggregator\ A\ (elect\ m\ V\ A\ p, r, d)\ (e', r', d'))$
 by *force*
 with *rej-a*
 have *let* $(e, r, d) = m\ V\ A\ p;$
 $(e', r', d') = n\ V\ A\ p$ in
 $a \in reject\text{-}r\ (max\text{-}aggregator\ A\ (e, r, d)\ (e', r', d'))$
 unfolding *prod.case-eq-if*
 by *simp*
 hence *let* $(e, r, d) = m\ V\ A\ p;$
 $(e', r', d') = n\ V\ A\ p$ in
 $a \in A - (e \cup e' \cup d \cup d')$
 by *simp*
 hence
 $a \in A - (elect\ m\ V\ A\ p \cup elect\ n\ V\ A\ p \cup defer\ m\ V\ A\ p \cup defer\ n\ V\ A\ p)$
 by *auto*
 thus $a \in reject\ m\ V\ A\ p \wedge a \in reject\ n\ V\ A\ p$
 using *Diff-iff Un-iff electoral-mod-defer-elem assms*
 by *metis*

next
 assume $a \in reject\ m\ V\ A\ p \wedge a \in reject\ n\ V\ A\ p$
 moreover from *this*
 have $a \notin elect\ m\ V\ A\ p \wedge a \notin defer\ m\ V\ A\ p$
 $\wedge a \notin elect\ n\ V\ A\ p \wedge a \notin defer\ n\ V\ A\ p$
 using *IntI empty-iff assms result-disj*

```

    by metis
  ultimately show  $a \in \text{reject } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p$ 
  using DiffD1 max-agg-eq-result mod-contains-result-comm mod-contains-result-def
    reject-not-elected-or-deferred assms
  by (metis (no-types))
qed

lemma max-agg-rej-fst-imp-seq-contained:
  fixes
     $m \ n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
     $a :: 'a$ 
  assumes
     $f\text{-prof}: \text{finite-profile } V \ A \ p$  and
     $\text{module-}m: \text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m$  and
     $\text{module-}n: \text{SCF-result.electoral-module } n$  and
     $\text{rejected}: a \in \text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p$ 
  shows  $\text{mod-contains-result } m \ (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p \ a$ 
  using assms
proof (unfold mod-contains-result-def, safe)
  show  $\text{SCF-result.electoral-module } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n)$ 
  using module-m module-n max-par-comp-sound
  by metis
next
  show  $a \in A$ 
  using  $f\text{-prof}$  module-n rejected reject-in-alts
  by blast
next
  assume  $a\text{-in-elect}: a \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
  hence  $a\text{-not-reject}: a \notin \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
  using disjoint-iff-not-equal  $f\text{-prof}$  module-m result-disj
  by metis
  have  $\text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p \subseteq A$ 
  using  $f\text{-prof}$  module-n
  by (simp add: reject-in-alts)
  hence  $a \in A$ 
  using in-mono rejected
  by metis
  with  $a\text{-in-elect}$   $a\text{-not-reject}$ 
  show  $a \in \text{elect } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p$ 
  using  $f\text{-prof}$  max-agg-eq-result module-m module-n rejected
    max-agg-rej-iff-both-reject mod-contains-result-comm
    mod-contains-result-def
  by metis
next
  assume  $a \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
  hence  $a \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \wedge a \in \text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p$ 

```

```

    using rejected
    by simp
  thus  $a \in \text{reject } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p$ 
    using f-prof max-agg-rej-iff-both-reject module-m module-n
    by (metis (no-types))
next
  assume a-in-defer:  $a \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
  then obtain  $d :: 'a$  where
    defer-a:  $a = d \wedge d \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
    by metis
  have a-not-rej:  $a \notin \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
    using disjoint-iff-not-equal f-prof defer-a module-m result-disj
    by (metis (no-types))
  have
     $\forall m' A' V' p'. \text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m' \wedge \text{finite } A' \wedge \text{finite } V' \wedge \text{profile } V' \ A' \ p' \rightarrow$ 
     $\text{elect } m' \ V' \ A' \ p' \cup \text{reject } m' \ V' \ A' \ p' \cup \text{defer } m' \ V' \ A' \ p' = A'$ 
    using result-presv-alts
    by metis
  hence  $a \in A$ 
    using a-in-defer f-prof module-m
    by blast
  with defer-a a-not-rej
  show  $a \in \text{defer } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p$ 
    using f-prof max-agg-eq-result max-agg-rej-iff-both-reject
    mod-contains-result-comm mod-contains-result-def
    module-m module-n rejected
    by metis
qed

```

lemma *max-agg-rej-fst-equiv-seq-contained:*

```

  fixes
     $m \ n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
     $a :: 'a$ 
  assumes
    finite-profile  $V \ A \ p$  and
    SCF-result.electoral-module  $m$  and
    SCF-result.electoral-module  $n$  and
     $a \in \text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p$ 
  shows mod-contains-result-sym  $(m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ m \ V \ A \ p \ a$ 
    using assms
  proof (unfold mod-contains-result-sym-def, safe)
    assume  $a \in \text{reject } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p$ 
    thus  $a \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
      using assms max-agg-rej-iff-both-reject
      by (metis (no-types))
  qed

```



```

next
  have mod-contains-result  $m \parallel_{\uparrow} n$   $V A p a$ 
    using assms max-agg-rej-fst-imp-seq-contained
    by (metis (full-types))
  thus
     $a \in \text{elect } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) V A p \implies a \in \text{elect } m V A p$  and
     $a \in \text{defer } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) V A p \implies a \in \text{defer } m V A p$ 
    using mod-contains-result-comm
    unfolding mod-contains-result-def
    by (metis (full-types), metis (full-types))
next
  show
    SCF-result.electoral-module  $(m \parallel_{\uparrow} n)$  and
     $a \in A$ 
    using assms max-agg-rej-fst-imp-seq-contained
    unfolding mod-contains-result-def
    by (metis (full-types), metis (full-types))
next
  show
     $a \in \text{elect } m V A p \implies a \in \text{elect } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) V A p$  and
     $a \in \text{reject } m V A p \implies a \in \text{reject } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) V A p$  and
     $a \in \text{defer } m V A p \implies a \in \text{defer } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) V A p$ 
    using assms max-agg-rej-fst-imp-seq-contained
    unfolding mod-contains-result-def
    by (metis (no-types), metis (no-types), metis (no-types))
qed

lemma max-agg-rej-snd-imp-seq-contained:
  fixes
     $m n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
     $a :: 'a$ 
  assumes
     $f\text{-prof}: \text{finite-profile } V A p$  and
     $\text{module-}m: \text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m$  and
     $\text{module-}n: \text{SCF-result.electoral-module } n$  and
     $\text{rejected}: a \in \text{reject } m V A p$ 
  shows mod-contains-result  $n (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) V A p a$ 
  using assms
proof (unfold mod-contains-result-def, safe)
  show SCF-result.electoral-module  $(m \parallel_{\uparrow} n)$ 
    using module-m module-n max-par-comp-sound
    by metis
next
  show  $a \in A$ 
    using  $f\text{-prof in-mono module-}m \text{ reject-in-alts rejected}$ 
    by (metis (no-types))

```

```

next
  assume  $a \in \text{elect } n \ V \ A \ p$ 
  thus  $a \in \text{elect } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p$ 
  using  $\text{max-aggregator.simps[of}$ 
    -  $\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \ \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \ \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
    -  $\text{elect } n \ V \ A \ p \ \text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p \ \text{defer } n \ V \ A \ p]$ 
  by  $\text{simp}$ 
next
  assume  $a \in \text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p$ 
  thus  $a \in \text{reject } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p$ 
  using  $\text{f-prof max-agg-rej-iff-both-reject module-m module-n rejected}$ 
  by  $\text{metis}$ 
next
  assume  $a \in \text{defer } n \ V \ A \ p$ 
  moreover have  $a \in A$ 
  using  $\text{f-prof max-agg-rej-fst-imp-seq-contained module-m rejected}$ 
  unfolding  $\text{mod-contains-result-def}$ 
  by  $\text{metis}$ 
  ultimately show  $a \in \text{defer } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p$ 
  using  $\text{disjoint-iff-not-equal max-agg-eq-result max-agg-rej-iff-both-reject}$ 
     $\text{f-prof mod-contains-result-comm mod-contains-result-def}$ 
     $\text{module-m module-n rejected result-disj}$ 
  by  $(\text{metis (no-types, opaque-lifting)})$ 
qed

lemma  $\text{max-agg-rej-snd-equiv-seq-contained}$ :
  fixes
     $m \ n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \ \text{Result}) \ \text{Electoral-Module}$  and
     $A :: 'a \ \text{set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \ \text{set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \ \text{Profile}$  and
     $a :: 'a$ 
  assumes
     $\text{finite-profile } V \ A \ p$  and
     $\text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m$  and
     $\text{SCF-result.electoral-module } n$  and
     $a \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
  shows  $\text{mod-contains-result-sym } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ n \ V \ A \ p \ a$ 
  using  $\text{assms}$ 
proof (unfold  $\text{mod-contains-result-sym-def}$ , safe)
  assume  $a \in \text{reject } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p$ 
  thus  $a \in \text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p$ 
  using  $\text{assms max-agg-rej-iff-both-reject}$ 
  by  $(\text{metis (no-types)})$ 
next
  have  $\text{mod-contains-result } n \ (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p \ a$ 
  using  $\text{assms max-agg-rej-snd-imp-seq-contained}$ 
  by  $(\text{metis (full-types)})$ 
  thus

```

```

     $a \in \text{elect } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p \implies a \in \text{elect } n \ V \ A \ p$  and
     $a \in \text{defer } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p \implies a \in \text{defer } n \ V \ A \ p$ 
    using mod-contains-result-comm
    unfolding mod-contains-result-def
    by (metis (full-types), metis (full-types))
  next
  show
    SCF-result.electoral-module ( $m \parallel_{\uparrow} n$ ) and
     $a \in A$ 
    using assms max-agg-rej-snd-imp-seq-contained
    unfolding mod-contains-result-def
    by (metis (full-types), metis (full-types))
  next
  show
     $a \in \text{elect } n \ V \ A \ p \implies a \in \text{elect } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p$  and
     $a \in \text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p \implies a \in \text{reject } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p$  and
     $a \in \text{defer } n \ V \ A \ p \implies a \in \text{defer } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p$ 
    using assms max-agg-rej-snd-imp-seq-contained
    unfolding mod-contains-result-def
    by (metis (no-types), metis (no-types), metis (no-types))
qed

lemma max-agg-rej-intersect:
  fixes
     $m \ n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
  assumes
    SCF-result.electoral-module  $m$  and
    SCF-result.electoral-module  $n$  and
    profile  $V \ A \ p$  and
    finite  $A$ 
  shows  $\text{reject } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p = (\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p) \cap (\text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p)$ 
proof –
  have  $A = (\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p) \cup (\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p) \cup (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p)$ 
     $\wedge A = (\text{elect } n \ V \ A \ p) \cup (\text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p) \cup (\text{defer } n \ V \ A \ p)$ 
    using assms result-presv-alts
    by metis
  hence  $A - ((\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p) \cup (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p)) = (\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p)$ 
     $\wedge A - ((\text{elect } n \ V \ A \ p) \cup (\text{defer } n \ V \ A \ p)) = (\text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p)$ 
    using assms reject-not-elected-or-deferred
    by fastforce
  hence
     $A - ((\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p) \cup (\text{elect } n \ V \ A \ p))$ 
     $\cup (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \cup (\text{defer } n \ V \ A \ p) =$ 
     $(\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p) \cap (\text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p)$ 
    by blast
  hence let  $(e, r, d) = m \ V \ A \ p;$ 

```

```

      (e', r', d') = n V A p in
      A - (e ∪ e' ∪ d ∪ d') = r ∩ r'
    by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
    by auto
qed

lemma dcompat-dec-by-one-mod:
  fixes
    m n :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    A :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v set and
    a :: 'a
  assumes
    disjoint-compatibility m n and
    a ∈ A
  shows
    (∀ p. finite-profile V A p ⟶ mod-contains-result m (m ||↑ n) V A p a)
    ∨ (∀ p. finite-profile V A p ⟶ mod-contains-result n (m ||↑ n) V A p a)
  using DiffI assms max-agg-rej-fst-imp-seq-contained max-agg-rej-snd-imp-seq-contained
  unfolding disjoint-compatibility-def
  by metis

```

6.6.4 Composition Rules

Using a conservative aggregator, the parallel composition preserves the property non-electing.

```

theorem conserv-max-agg-presv-non-electing[simp]:
  fixes m n :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
  assumes
    non-electing m and
    non-electing n
  shows non-electing (m ||↑ n)
  using assms
  by simp

```

Using the max aggregator, composing two compatible electoral modules in parallel preserves defer-lift-invariance.

```

theorem par-comp-def-lift-inv[simp]:
  fixes m n :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
  assumes
    compatible: disjoint-compatibility m n and
    monotone-m: defer-lift-invariance m and
    monotone-n: defer-lift-invariance n
  shows defer-lift-invariance (m ||↑ n)
proof (unfold defer-lift-invariance-def, safe)
  have mod-m: SCF-result.electoral-module m
  using monotone-m

```

```

    unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
  by simp
moreover have mod-n: SCF-result.electoral-module n
  using monotone-n
  unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
  by simp
ultimately show SCF-result.electoral-module (m ||↑ n)
  using max-par-comp-sound
  by metis
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p q :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  a :: 'a
assume
  defer-a: a ∈ defer (m ||↑ n) V A p and
  lifted-a: Profile.lifted V A p q a
hence f-profs: finite-profile V A p ∧ finite-profile V A q
  unfolding lifted-def
  by simp
from compatible
obtain B :: 'a set where
  alts: B ⊆ A
    ∧ (∀ b ∈ B. indep-of-alt m V A b ∧
      (∀ p'. finite-profile V A p' ⟶ b ∈ reject m V A p'))
    ∧ (∀ b ∈ A - B. indep-of-alt n V A b ∧
      (∀ p'. finite-profile V A p' ⟶ b ∈ reject n V A p'))
  using f-profs
  unfolding disjoint-compatibility-def
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))
have ∀ b ∈ A. prof-contains-result (m ||↑ n) V A p q b
proof (cases)
  assume a-in-B: a ∈ B
  hence a ∈ reject m V A p
    using alts f-profs
    by blast
  with defer-a
  have defer-n: a ∈ defer n V A p
    using compatible f-profs max-agg-rej-snd-equiv-seq-contained
    unfolding disjoint-compatibility-def mod-contains-result-sym-def
    by metis
  have ∀ b ∈ B. mod-contains-result-sym (m ||↑ n) n V A p b
    using alts compatible max-agg-rej-snd-equiv-seq-contained f-profs
    unfolding disjoint-compatibility-def
    by metis
  moreover have ∀ b ∈ A. prof-contains-result n V A p q b
proof (unfold prof-contains-result-def, clarify)
  fix b :: 'a
  assume b-in-A: b ∈ A

```

```

show SCF-result.electoral-module  $n \wedge \text{profile } V A p$ 
   $\wedge \text{profile } V A q \wedge b \in A \wedge$ 
   $(b \in \text{elect } n V A p \longrightarrow b \in \text{elect } n V A q) \wedge$ 
   $(b \in \text{reject } n V A p \longrightarrow b \in \text{reject } n V A q) \wedge$ 
   $(b \in \text{defer } n V A p \longrightarrow b \in \text{defer } n V A q)$ 
proof (safe)
  show SCF-result.electoral-module  $n$ 
    using monotone-n
    unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
    by metis
next
show
  profile  $V A p$  and
  profile  $V A q$  and
   $b \in A$ 
  using f-profs b-in-A
  by (simp, simp, simp)
next
show
   $b \in \text{elect } n V A p \implies b \in \text{elect } n V A q$  and
   $b \in \text{reject } n V A p \implies b \in \text{reject } n V A q$  and
   $b \in \text{defer } n V A p \implies b \in \text{defer } n V A q$ 
  using defer-n lifted-a monotone-n f-profs
  unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
  by (metis, metis, metis)
qed
qed
moreover have  $\forall b \in B. \text{mod-contains-result } n (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) V A q b$ 
  using alts compatible max-agg-rej-snd-imp-seq-contained f-profs
  unfolding disjoint-compatibility-def
  by metis
ultimately have prof-contains-result-of-comps-for-elems-in-B:
   $\forall b \in B. \text{prof-contains-result } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) V A p q b$ 
  unfolding mod-contains-result-def mod-contains-result-sym-def
  prof-contains-result-def
  by simp
have  $\forall b \in A - B. \text{mod-contains-result-sym } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) m V A p b$ 
  using alts max-agg-rej-fst-equiv-seq-contained monotone-m monotone-n f-profs
  unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
  by metis
moreover have  $\forall b \in A. \text{prof-contains-result } m V A p q b$ 
proof (unfold prof-contains-result-def, clarify)
  fix  $b :: 'a$ 
  assume b-in-A: b ∈ A
  show SCF-result.electoral-module  $m \wedge \text{profile } V A p \wedge$ 
    profile  $V A q \wedge b \in A \wedge$ 
     $(b \in \text{elect } m V A p \longrightarrow b \in \text{elect } m V A q) \wedge$ 
     $(b \in \text{reject } m V A p \longrightarrow b \in \text{reject } m V A q) \wedge$ 
     $(b \in \text{defer } m V A p \longrightarrow b \in \text{defer } m V A q)$ 

```

```

proof (safe)
  show SCF-result.electoral-module m
    using monotone-m
    unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
    by metis
next
  show
    profile V A p and
    profile V A q and
    b ∈ A
    using f-profs b-in-A
    by (simp, simp, simp)
next
  show
    b ∈ elect m V A p ⇒ b ∈ elect m V A q and
    b ∈ reject m V A p ⇒ b ∈ reject m V A q and
    b ∈ defer m V A p ⇒ b ∈ defer m V A q
    using alts a-in-B lifted-a lifted-imp-equiv-prof-except-a
    unfolding indep-of-alt-def
    by (metis, metis, metis)
qed
qed
moreover have  $\forall b \in A - B. \text{mod-contains-result } m (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) V A q b$ 
  using alts max-agg-rej-fst-imp-seq-contained monotone-m monotone-n f-profs
  unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
  by metis
ultimately have  $\forall b \in A - B. \text{prof-contains-result } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) V A p q b$ 
  unfolding mod-contains-result-def mod-contains-result-sym-def
    prof-contains-result-def
  by simp
thus ?thesis
  using prof-contains-result-of-comps-for-elems-in-B
  by blast
next
  assume  $a \notin B$ 
  hence a-in-set-diff: a ∈ A - B
    using DiffI lifted-a compatible f-profs
    unfolding Profile.lifted-def
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  hence reject-n: a ∈ reject n V A p
    using alts f-profs
    by blast
  hence defer-m: a ∈ defer m V A p
    using mod-m mod-n defer-a f-profs max-agg-rej-fst-equiv-seq-contained
    unfolding mod-contains-result-sym-def
    by (metis (no-types))
  have  $\forall b \in B. \text{mod-contains-result } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) n V A p b$ 
  using alts compatible f-profs max-agg-rej-snd-imp-seq-contained mod-contains-result-comm
  unfolding disjoint-compatibility-def

```

by *metis*
have $\forall b \in B. \text{mod-contains-result-sym } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ n \ V \ A \ p \ b$
using *alts max-agg-rej-snd-equiv-seq-contained monotone-m monotone-n f-profs*
unfolding *defer-lift-invariance-def*
 by *metis*
moreover have $\forall b \in A. \text{prof-contains-result } n \ V \ A \ p \ q \ b$
proof (*unfold prof-contains-result-def, clarify*)
 fix $b :: 'a$
assume $b \text{-in-} A: b \in A$
show $SCF\text{-result.electoral-module } n \wedge \text{profile } V \ A \ p \wedge$
 $\text{profile } V \ A \ q \wedge b \in A \wedge$
 $(b \in \text{elect } n \ V \ A \ p \longrightarrow b \in \text{elect } n \ V \ A \ q) \wedge$
 $(b \in \text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p \longrightarrow b \in \text{reject } n \ V \ A \ q) \wedge$
 $(b \in \text{defer } n \ V \ A \ p \longrightarrow b \in \text{defer } n \ V \ A \ q)$
proof (*safe*)
show $SCF\text{-result.electoral-module } n$
using *monotone-n*
unfolding *defer-lift-invariance-def*
 by *metis*
next
show
 $\text{profile } V \ A \ p$ **and**
 $\text{profile } V \ A \ q$ **and**
 $b \in A$
using *f-profs b-in-A*
by (*simp, simp, simp*)
next
show
 $b \in \text{elect } n \ V \ A \ p \implies b \in \text{elect } n \ V \ A \ q$ **and**
 $b \in \text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p \implies b \in \text{reject } n \ V \ A \ q$ **and**
 $b \in \text{defer } n \ V \ A \ p \implies b \in \text{defer } n \ V \ A \ q$
using *alts a-in-set-diff lifted-a lifted-imp-equiv-prof-except-a*
unfolding *indep-of-alt-def*
by (*metis, metis, metis*)
qed
qed
moreover have $\forall b \in B. \text{mod-contains-result } n \ (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ q \ b$
using *alts compatible max-agg-rej-snd-imp-seq-contained f-profs*
unfolding *disjoint-compatibility-def*
 by *metis*
ultimately have *prof-contains-result-of-comps-for-elems-in-B:*
 $\forall b \in B. \text{prof-contains-result } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p \ q \ b$
unfolding *mod-contains-result-def mod-contains-result-sym-def*
 $\text{prof-contains-result-def}$
 by *simp*
have $\forall b \in A - B. \text{mod-contains-result-sym } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ m \ V \ A \ p \ b$
using *alts max-agg-rej-fst-equiv-seq-contained monotone-m monotone-n f-profs*
unfolding *defer-lift-invariance-def*
 by *metis*


```

moreover have  $\forall b \in A. \text{prof-contains-result } m \ V \ A \ p \ q \ b$ 
proof (unfold prof-contains-result-def, clarify)
  fix  $b :: 'a$ 
  assume  $b\text{-in-}A: b \in A$ 
  show  $SCF\text{-result.electoral-module } m \wedge \text{profile } V \ A \ p$ 
     $\wedge \text{profile } V \ A \ q \wedge b \in A$ 
     $\wedge (b \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \longrightarrow b \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ q)$ 
     $\wedge (b \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \longrightarrow b \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ q)$ 
     $\wedge (b \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p \longrightarrow b \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q)$ 
  proof (safe)
    show  $SCF\text{-result.electoral-module } m$ 
      using monotone-m
      unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
      by simp
    next
      show
         $\text{profile } V \ A \ p$  and
         $\text{profile } V \ A \ q$  and
         $b \in A$ 
        using f-profs b-in-A
        by (simp, simp, simp)
      next
        show
           $b \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \Longrightarrow b \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ q$  and
           $b \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \Longrightarrow b \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ q$  and
           $b \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p \Longrightarrow b \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q$ 
          using defer-m lifted-a monotone-m
          unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
          by (metis, metis, metis)
        qed
      qed
    moreover have  $\forall x \in A - B. \text{mod-contains-result } m \ (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ q \ x$ 
      using alts max-agg-rej-fst-imp-seq-contained monotone-m monotone-n f-profs
      unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
      by metis
    ultimately have  $\forall x \in A - B. \text{prof-contains-result } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p \ q \ x$ 
      unfolding mod-contains-result-def mod-contains-result-sym-def
        prof-contains-result-def
      by simp
    thus ?thesis
      using prof-contains-result-of-comps-for-elems-in-B
      by blast
    qed
  thus  $(m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p = (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ q$ 
    using compatible f-profs eq-alts-in-profs-imp-eq-results max-par-comp-sound
    unfolding disjoint-compatibility-def
    by metis
  qed

```

lemma *par-comp-rej-card*:
fixes
 $m\ n :: ('a, 'v, 'a\ Result)\ Electoral\ Module$ **and**
 $A :: 'a\ set$ **and**
 $V :: 'v\ set$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v)\ Profile$ **and**
 $c :: nat$
assumes
 $compatible: disjoint-compatibility\ m\ n$ **and**
 $prof: profile\ V\ A\ p$ **and**
 $fin-A: finite\ A$ **and**
 $reject-sum: card\ (reject\ m\ V\ A\ p) + card\ (reject\ n\ V\ A\ p) = card\ A + c$
shows $card\ (reject\ (m\ \parallel_{\uparrow}\ n)\ V\ A\ p) = c$
proof –
obtain $B :: 'a\ set$ **where**
 $alt-set: B \subseteq A$
 $\wedge (\forall\ a \in B. indep-of-alt\ m\ V\ A\ a \wedge$
 $\quad (\forall\ q. profile\ V\ A\ q \longrightarrow a \in reject\ m\ V\ A\ q))$
 $\wedge (\forall\ a \in A - B. indep-of-alt\ n\ V\ A\ a \wedge$
 $\quad (\forall\ q. profile\ V\ A\ q \longrightarrow a \in reject\ n\ V\ A\ q))$
using *compatible prof*
unfolding *disjoint-compatibility-def*
by *metis*
have *reject-representation*:
 $reject\ (m\ \parallel_{\uparrow}\ n)\ V\ A\ p = (reject\ m\ V\ A\ p) \cap (reject\ n\ V\ A\ p)$
using *prof fin-A compatible max-agg-rej-intersect*
unfolding *disjoint-compatibility-def*
by *metis*
have *SCF-result.electoral-module m* \wedge *SCF-result.electoral-module n*
using *compatible*
unfolding *disjoint-compatibility-def*
by *simp*
hence *subsets*: $(reject\ m\ V\ A\ p) \subseteq A \wedge (reject\ n\ V\ A\ p) \subseteq A$
using *prof*
by *(simp add: reject-in-alts)*
hence $finite\ (reject\ m\ V\ A\ p) \wedge finite\ (reject\ n\ V\ A\ p)$
using *rev-finite-subset prof fin-A*
by *metis*
hence *card-difference*:
 $card\ (reject\ (m\ \parallel_{\uparrow}\ n)\ V\ A\ p)$
 $= card\ A + c - card\ ((reject\ m\ V\ A\ p) \cup (reject\ n\ V\ A\ p))$
using *card-Un-Int reject-representation reject-sum*
by *fastforce*
have $\forall\ a \in A. a \in (reject\ m\ V\ A\ p) \vee a \in (reject\ n\ V\ A\ p)$
using *alt-set prof fin-A*
by *blast*
hence $A = reject\ m\ V\ A\ p \cup reject\ n\ V\ A\ p$
using *subsets*
by *force*

```

thus  $\text{card } (\text{reject } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p) = c$ 
using card-difference
by simp
qed

```

Using the max-aggregator for composing two compatible modules in parallel, whereof the first one is non-electing and defers exactly one alternative, and the second one rejects exactly two alternatives, the composition results in an electoral module that eliminates exactly one alternative.

```

theorem par-comp-elim-one[simp]:
fixes  $m \ n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ 
assumes
  defers-m-one: defers 1 m and
  non-elec-m: non-electing m and
  rejec-n-two: rejects 2 n and
  disj-comp: disjoint-compatibility m n
shows eliminates 1 (m  $\parallel_{\uparrow}$  n)
proof (unfold eliminates-def, safe)
have SCF-result.electoral-module m
using non-elec-m
unfolding non-electing-def
by simp
moreover have SCF-result.electoral-module n
using rejec-n-two
unfolding rejects-def
by simp
ultimately show SCF-result.electoral-module (m  $\parallel_{\uparrow}$  n)
using max-par-comp-sound
by metis
next
fix
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
assume
  min-card-two:  $1 < \text{card } A$  and
  prof: profile V A p
hence card-geq-one:  $\text{card } A \geq 1$ 
by presburger
have fin-A: finite A
using min-card-two card.infinite not-one-less-zero
by metis
have module: SCF-result.electoral-module m
using non-elec-m
unfolding non-electing-def
by simp
have elect-card-zero:  $\text{card } (\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p) = 0$ 
using prof non-elec-m card-eq-0-iff
unfolding non-electing-def

```

```

    by simp
  moreover from card-geq-one
  have def-card-one: card (defer m V A p) = 1
    using defers-m-one module prof fin-A
    unfolding defers-def
    by blast
  ultimately have card-reject-m: card (reject m V A p) = card A - 1
  proof -
    have well-formed-SCF A (elect m V A p, reject m V A p, defer m V A p)
      using prof module
      unfolding SCF-result.electoral-module.simps
      by simp
    hence card A =
      card (elect m V A p) + card (reject m V A p) + card (defer m V A p)
      using result-count fin-A
      by blast
    thus ?thesis
      using def-card-one elect-card-zero
      by simp
  qed
  have card A ≥ 2
    using min-card-two
    by simp
  hence card (reject n V A p) = 2
    using prof rejec-n-two fin-A
    unfolding rejects-def
    by blast
  moreover from this
  have card (reject m V A p) + card (reject n V A p) = card A + 1
    using card-reject-m card-geq-one
    by linarith
  ultimately show card (reject (m ||↑ n) V A p) = 1
    using disj-comp prof card-reject-m par-comp-rej-card fin-A
    by blast
  qed
end

```

6.7 Elect Composition

```

theory Elect-Composition
  imports Basic-Modules/ Elect-Module
          Sequential-Composition
begin

```

The elect composition sequences an electoral module and the elect module. It finalizes the module's decision as it simply elects all their non-rejected alternatives. Thereby, any such elect-composed module induces a proper voting rule in the social choice sense, as all alternatives are either rejected or elected.

6.7.1 Definition

```
fun elector :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  elector m = (m  $\triangleright$  elect-module)
```

6.7.2 Auxiliary Lemmas

```
lemma elector-seqcomp-assoc:
  fixes a b :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
  shows (a  $\triangleright$  (elector b)) = (elector (a  $\triangleright$  b))
  unfolding elector.simps elect-module.simps sequential-composition.simps
  using boolean-algebra-cancel.sup2 sup-commute fst-conv snd-conv
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting))
```

6.7.3 Soundness

```
theorem elector-sound[simp]:
  fixes m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
  assumes SCF-result.electoral-module m
  shows SCF-result.electoral-module (elector m)
  using assms elect-mod-sound seq-comp-sound
  unfolding elector.simps
  by metis
```

```
lemma voters-determine-elector:
  fixes m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
  assumes voters-determine-election m
  shows voters-determine-election (elector m)
  using assms elect-mod-only-voters voters-determine-seq-comp
  unfolding elector.simps
  by metis
```

6.7.4 Electing

```
theorem elector-electing[simp]:
  fixes m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
  assumes
    module-m: SCF-result.electoral-module m and
    non-block-m: non-blocking m
  shows electing (elector m)
proof –
  have  $\forall$  m'.
```

$(\neg \text{electing } m' \vee \text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m' \wedge$
 $(\forall A' V' p'. (A' \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } A' \wedge \text{profile } V' A' p') \longrightarrow \text{elect } m' V' A' p' \neq \{\})) \wedge$
 $(\text{electing } m' \vee \neg \text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m'$
 $\vee (\exists A V p. (A \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } A \wedge \text{profile } V A p \wedge \text{elect } m' V A p = \{\})))$
unfolding *electing-def*
by *blast*
hence $\forall m'.$
 $(\neg \text{electing } m' \vee \text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m' \wedge$
 $(\forall A' V' p'. (A' \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } A' \wedge \text{profile } V' A' p') \longrightarrow \text{elect } m' V' A' p' \neq \{\})) \wedge$
 $(\exists A V p. (\text{electing } m' \vee \neg \text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m' \vee A \neq \{\}$
 $\wedge \text{finite } A \wedge \text{profile } V A p \wedge \text{elect } m' V A p = \{\})))$
by *simp*
then obtain
 $A :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **where**
electing-mod:
 $\forall m' :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}.$
 $(\neg \text{electing } m' \vee \text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m' \wedge$
 $(\forall A' V' p'. (A' \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } A' \wedge \text{profile } V' A' p') \longrightarrow \text{elect } m' V' A' p' \neq \{\})) \wedge$
 $(\text{electing } m' \vee \neg \text{SCF-result.electoral-module } m'$
 $\vee A m' \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } (A m') \wedge \text{profile } (V m') (A m') (p m')$
 $\wedge \text{elect } m' (V m') (A m') (p m') = \{\})$
by *metis*
moreover have *non-block*:
non-blocking $(\text{elect-module} :: 'v \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ Result})$
by *(simp add: electing-imp-non-blocking)*
moreover obtain
 $e :: 'a \text{ Result} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $r :: 'a \text{ Result} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $d :: 'a \text{ Result} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
result: $\forall s. (e s, r s, d s) = s$
using *disjoint3.cases*
by *(metis (no-types))*
moreover from this
have $\forall s. (\text{elect-r } s, r s, d s) = s$
by *simp*
moreover from this
have
 $\text{profile } (V (\text{elector } m)) (A (\text{elector } m)) (p (\text{elector } m)) \wedge \text{finite } (A (\text{elector } m))$
 $\longrightarrow d (\text{elector } m) (V (\text{elector } m)) (A (\text{elector } m)) (p (\text{elector } m)) = \{\}$
by *simp*
moreover have *SCF-result.electoral-module (elector m)*
using *elector-sound module-m*
by *simp*
moreover from *electing-mod result*

```

have finite (A (elector m))  $\wedge$ 
      profile (V (elector m)) (A (elector m)) (p (elector m))  $\wedge$ 
      elect (elector m) (V (elector m)) (A (elector m)) (p (elector m)) =  $\{\}$   $\wedge$ 
      d (elector m (V (elector m)) (A (elector m)) (p (elector m))) =  $\{\}$   $\wedge$ 
      reject (elector m) (V (elector m)) (A (elector m)) (p (elector m)) =
      r (elector m (V (elector m)) (A (elector m)) (p (elector m)))  $\longrightarrow$ 
      electing (elector m)
using Diff-empty elector.simps non-block-m snd-conv non-blocking-def
      reject-not-elected-or-deferred non-block seq-comp-presv-non-blocking
by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting))
ultimately show ?thesis
using non-block-m
unfolding elector.simps
by auto
qed

```

6.7.5 Composition Rule

If *m* is defer-Condorcet-consistent, then *elector(m)* is Condorcet consistent.

```

lemma dcc-imp-cc-elect:
  fixes m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
  assumes defer-condorcet-consistency m
  shows condorcet-consistency (elector m)
proof (unfold defer-condorcet-consistency-def condorcet-consistency-def, safe)
  show SCF-result.electoral-module (elector m)
    using assms elector-sound
    unfolding defer-condorcet-consistency-def
    by metis
next
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  w :: 'a
assume c-win: condorcet-winner V A p w
have fin-A: finite A
  using condorcet-winner.simps c-win
  by metis
have fin-V: finite V
  using condorcet-winner.simps c-win
  by metis
have prof-A: profile V A p
  using c-win
  by simp
have max-card-w:  $\forall y \in A - \{w\}.$ 
      card  $\{i \in V. (w, y) \in (p i)\}$ 
      < card  $\{i \in V. (y, w) \in (p i)\}$ 
  using c-win fin-V
  by simp

```

have *rej-is-complement*:
 $\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p = A - (\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p)$
using *double-diff sup-bot.left-neutral Un-upper2 assms fin-A prof-A fin-V*
defer-condorcet-consistency-def elec-and-def-not-rej reject-in-alts
by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting)*)
have *subset-in-win-set*: $\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p \subseteq$
 $\{e \in A. e \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A - \{e\}.$
 $\text{card } \{i \in V. (e, x) \in p \ i\} < \text{card } \{i \in V. (x, e) \in p \ i\})\}$
proof (*safe-step*)
fix $x :: 'a$
assume *x-in-elect-or-defer*: $x \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$
hence *x-eq-w*: $x = w$
using *Diff-empty Diff-iff assms cond-winner-unique c-win fin-A fin-V insert-iff*
snd-conv sup-bot.left-neutral fst-eqD
unfolding *defer-condorcet-consistency-def*
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting)*)
have $\forall x. x \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \longrightarrow x \in A$
using *fin-A prof-A fin-V assms elect-in-alts in-mono*
unfolding *defer-condorcet-consistency-def*
by *metis*
moreover have $\forall x. x \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p \longrightarrow x \in A$
using *fin-A prof-A fin-V assms defer-in-alts in-mono*
unfolding *defer-condorcet-consistency-def*
by *metis*
ultimately have $x \in A$
using *x-in-elect-or-defer*
by *auto*
thus $x \in \{e \in A. e \in A \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in A - \{e\}.$
 $\text{card } \{i \in V. (e, x) \in p \ i\}$
 $< \text{card } \{i \in V. (x, e) \in p \ i\})\}$
using *x-eq-w max-card-w*
by *auto*
qed
moreover have
 $\{e \in A. e \in A \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in A - \{e\}.$
 $\text{card } \{i \in V. (e, x) \in p \ i\} <$
 $\text{card } \{i \in V. (x, e) \in p \ i\})\}$
 $\subseteq \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$
proof (*safe*)
fix $x :: 'a$
assume
 $x \text{ not in defer } m \ V \ A \ p$ **and**
 $x \in A$ **and**
 $\forall x' \in A - \{x\}.$
 $\text{card } \{i \in V. (x, x') \in p \ i\}$
 $< \text{card } \{i \in V. (x', x) \in p \ i\}$
hence *c-win-x: condorcet-winner V A p x*


```

    using fin-A prof-A fin-V
    by simp
  have (SCF-result.electoral-module m  $\wedge$   $\neg$  defer-condorcet-consistency m  $\longrightarrow$ 
    ( $\exists$  A V rs a. condorcet-winner V A rs a  $\wedge$ 
      m V A rs  $\neq$  ( $\{\}$ , A - defer m V A rs,
        {a  $\in$  A. condorcet-winner V A rs a})))
     $\wedge$  (defer-condorcet-consistency m  $\longrightarrow$ 
      ( $\forall$  A V rs a. finite A  $\longrightarrow$  finite V  $\longrightarrow$  condorcet-winner V A rs a  $\longrightarrow$ 
        m V A rs =
          ( $\{\}$ , A - defer m V A rs, {a  $\in$  A. condorcet-winner V A rs a})))
    unfolding defer-condorcet-consistency-def
    by blast
  hence
    m V A p = ( $\{\}$ , A - defer m V A p, {a  $\in$  A. condorcet-winner V A p a})
    using c-win-x assms fin-A fin-V
    by blast
  thus x  $\in$  elect m V A p
    using assms x-not-in-defer fin-A fin-V cond-winner-unique
      defer-condorcet-consistency-def insertCI snd-conv c-win-x
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
qed
ultimately have
  elect m V A p  $\cup$  defer m V A p =
    {e  $\in$  A. e  $\in$  A  $\wedge$ 
      ( $\forall$  x  $\in$  A - {e}.
        card {i  $\in$  V. (e, x)  $\in$  p i} <
          card {i  $\in$  V. (x, e)  $\in$  p i})}
    by blast
  thus elector m V A p =
    ({e  $\in$  A. condorcet-winner V A p e}, A - elect (elector m) V A p, { })
    using fin-A prof-A fin-V rej-is-complement
    by simp
qed
end

```

6.8 Defer-One Loop Composition

```

theory Defer-One-Loop-Composition
  imports Basic-Modules/Component-Types/Defer-Equal-Condition
    Loop-Composition
    Elect-Composition
begin

```

This is a family of loop compositions. It uses the same module in sequence

until either no new decisions are made or only one alternative is remaining in the defer-set. The second family herein uses the above family and subsequently elects the remaining alternative.

```
fun iter :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  iter m =
    (let t = defer-equal-condition 1 in
     (m  $\odot_t$ ))
```

```
abbreviation defer-one-loop :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module ( $-\odot_{\exists!d}$  50) where
  m  $\odot_{\exists!d} \equiv$  iter m
```

```
fun iter-elect :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  iter-elect m = elector (m  $\odot_{\exists!d}$ )
```

6.8.1 Soundness

theorem *defer-one-loop-comp-sound*:

fixes

m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module **and**

t :: 'a Termination-Condition

assumes *SCF-result.electoral-module* *m*

shows *SCF-result.electoral-module* (*m* $\odot_{\exists!d}$)

using *assms loop-comp-sound*

unfolding *Defer-One-Loop-Composition.iter.simps*

by *metis*

end

Chapter 7

Voting Rules

7.1 Plurality Rule

```
theory Plurality-Rule
  imports Compositional-Structures/Basic-Modules/Plurality-Module
           Compositional-Structures/Revision-Composition
           Compositional-Structures/Elect-Composition
begin
```

This is a definition of the plurality voting rule as elimination module as well as directly. In the former one, the max operator of the set of the scores of all alternatives is evaluated and is used as the threshold value.

7.1.1 Definition

```
fun plurality-rule :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  plurality-rule V A p = elector plurality V A p

fun plurality-rule' :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  plurality-rule' V A p =
    (if finite A
     then ({a ∈ A. ∀ x ∈ A. win-count V p x ≤ win-count V p a},
           {a ∈ A. ∃ x ∈ A. win-count V p x > win-count V p a},
           {}))
     else (A, {}, {}))

lemma plurality-revision-equiv:
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
  shows plurality V A p = (plurality-rule↓) V A p
  by fastforce

lemma plurality'-revision-equiv:
```

```

fixes
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
shows  $\text{plurality}' V A p = (\text{plurality-rule}' \downarrow) V A p$ 
proof ( $\text{unfold } \text{plurality}'.\text{simps}$   $\text{revision-composition}.simps$ ,
   $\text{intro } \text{prod-eqI}$   $\text{equalityI}$   $\text{subsetI}$   $\text{prod.sel}$ )
fix  $b :: 'a$ 
assume
   $\text{assm}: b \in \text{fst}$ 
    ( $\text{if } \text{finite } A$ 
       $\text{then } (\{\}, \{a \in A. \exists x \in A. \text{win-count } V p a < \text{win-count } V p x\},$ 
         $\{a \in A. \forall x \in A. \text{win-count } V p x \leq \text{win-count } V p a\})$ 
       $\text{else } (\{\}, \{\}, A)$ )
have  $\text{finite } A \longrightarrow b \in \{\}$ 
  using  $\text{assm}$ 
  by  $\text{force}$ 
moreover have  $\text{infinite } A \longrightarrow b \in \{\}$ 
  using  $\text{assm}$ 
  by  $\text{fastforce}$ 
ultimately show
   $b \in \text{fst } (\{\}, A - \text{elect } \text{plurality-rule}' V A p, \text{elect } \text{plurality-rule}' V A p)$ 
  by  $\text{safe}$ 
next
fix  $b :: 'a$ 
assume  $b \in \text{fst } (\{\}, A - \text{elect } \text{plurality-rule}' V A p, \text{elect } \text{plurality-rule}' V A p)$ 
thus  $b \in \text{fst}$ 
  ( $\text{if } \text{finite } A$ 
     $\text{then } (\{\}, \{a \in A. \exists x \in A. \text{win-count } V p a < \text{win-count } V p x\},$ 
       $\{a \in A. \forall x \in A. \text{win-count } V p x \leq \text{win-count } V p a\})$ 
     $\text{else } (\{\}, \{\}, A)$ )
  by  $\text{force}$ 
next
fix  $b :: 'a$ 
assume
   $\text{assm}: b \in \text{fst } (\text{snd}$ 
    ( $\text{if } \text{finite } A$ 
       $\text{then } (\{\}, \{a \in A. \exists x \in A. \text{win-count } V p a < \text{win-count } V p x\},$ 
         $\{a \in A. \forall x \in A. \text{win-count } V p x \leq \text{win-count } V p a\})$ 
       $\text{else } (\{\}, \{\}, A)))$ 
  have  $\text{finite } A \longrightarrow$ 
     $b \in A - \{a \in A. \forall x \in A. \text{win-count } V p x \leq \text{win-count } V p a\}$ 
  using  $\text{assm}$ 
  by  $\text{fastforce}$ 
moreover have  $\text{infinite } A \longrightarrow b \in \{\}$ 
  using  $\text{assm}$ 
  by  $\text{fastforce}$ 
ultimately show
   $b \in \text{fst } (\text{snd } (\{\}, A - \text{elect } \text{plurality-rule}' V A p, \text{elect } \text{plurality-rule}' V A p))$ 

```

```

    by force
next
  fix  $b :: 'a$ 
  assume
     $assm: b \in$ 
       $fst (snd (\{\}, A - elect\ plurality-rule'\ V\ A\ p, elect\ plurality-rule'\ V\ A\ p))$ 
  have  $finite\ A \longrightarrow$ 
     $b \in A - \{a \in A. \forall\ x \in A. win-count\ V\ p\ x \leq win-count\ V\ p\ a\}$ 
    using  $assm$ 
    by fastforce
  moreover have  $infinite\ A \longrightarrow b \in \{\}$ 
    using  $assm$ 
    by fastforce
  ultimately show
     $b \in fst (snd$ 
      ( $if\ finite\ A$ 
        then  $(\{\}, \{a \in A. \exists\ x \in A. win-count\ V\ p\ a < win-count\ V\ p\ x\},$ 
           $\{a \in A. \forall\ x \in A. win-count\ V\ p\ x \leq win-count\ V\ p\ a\})$ 
        else  $(\{\}, \{\}, A))$ )
      using  $linorder-not-less$ 
    by force
next
  fix  $b :: 'a$ 
  assume
     $assm: b \in snd (snd$ 
      ( $if\ finite\ A$ 
        then  $(\{\}, \{a \in A. \exists\ x \in A. win-count\ V\ p\ a < win-count\ V\ p\ x\},$ 
           $\{a \in A. \forall\ x \in A. win-count\ V\ p\ x \leq win-count\ V\ p\ a\})$ 
        else  $(\{\}, \{\}, A))$ )
  have  $finite\ A \longrightarrow$ 
     $b \in A - \{a \in A. \exists\ x \in A. win-count\ V\ p\ a < win-count\ V\ p\ x\}$ 
    using  $assm$ 
    by fastforce
  moreover have  $infinite\ A \longrightarrow b \in A$ 
    using  $assm$ 
    by fastforce
  ultimately have  $b \in elect\ plurality-rule'\ V\ A\ p$ 
    by force
  thus  $b \in snd (snd$ 
    ( $\{\}, A - elect\ plurality-rule'\ V\ A\ p, elect\ plurality-rule'\ V\ A\ p)$ )
    by simp
next
  fix  $b :: 'a$ 
  assume  $assm:$ 
     $b \in snd (snd (\{\}, A - elect\ plurality-rule'\ V\ A\ p, elect\ plurality-rule'\ V\ A\ p))$ 
  have  $finite\ A \longrightarrow$ 
     $b \in A - \{a \in A. \exists\ x \in A. win-count\ V\ p\ a < win-count\ V\ p\ x\}$ 
    using  $assm$ 
    by fastforce

```

```

moreover have infinite  $A \longrightarrow b \in A$ 
  using assm
  by fastforce
ultimately show  $b \in \text{snd} (\text{snd}$ 
  (if finite  $A$ 
    then  $(\{\}, \{a \in A. \exists x \in A. \text{win-count } V p a < \text{win-count } V p x\},$ 
       $\{a \in A. \forall x \in A. \text{win-count } V p x \leq \text{win-count } V p a\})$ 
    else  $(\{\}, \{\}, A))$ 
  by force
qed

lemma plurality-rule-equiv:
  fixes
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
  shows  $\text{plurality-rule } V A p = \text{plurality-rule}' V A p$ 
proof (unfold plurality-rule.simps)
  have plurality-rule'-rev-equiv-plurality:
     $\text{plurality } V A p = (\text{plurality-rule}' \downarrow) V A p$ 
  using plurality-mod-equiv plurality'-revision-equiv
  by metis
  have defer (elector plurality) V A p = defer plurality-rule' V A p
  by force
  moreover have reject (elector plurality) V A p = reject plurality-rule' V A p
  using plurality-rule'-rev-equiv-plurality
  by force
  moreover have elect (elector plurality) V A p = elect plurality-rule' V A p
  using plurality-rule'-rev-equiv-plurality
  by force
  ultimately show  $\text{elector plurality } V A p = \text{plurality-rule}' V A p$ 
  using prod-eqI
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
qed

```

7.1.2 Soundness

```

theorem plurality-rule-sound[simp]: SCF-result.electoral-module plurality-rule
  unfolding plurality-rule.simps
  using elector-sound plurality-sound
  by metis

theorem plurality-rule'-sound[simp]: SCF-result.electoral-module plurality-rule'
proof (unfold SCF-result.electoral-module.simps, safe)
  fix
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
  have disjoint3 (

```

```

    {a ∈ A. ∀ a' ∈ A. win-count V p a' ≤ win-count V p a},
    {a ∈ A. ∃ a' ∈ A. win-count V p a < win-count V p a'},
    {}))
  by auto
moreover have
  {a ∈ A. ∀ x ∈ A. win-count V p x ≤ win-count V p a} ∪
  {a ∈ A. ∃ x ∈ A. win-count V p a < win-count V p x} = A
using not-le-imp-less
by auto
ultimately show well-formed-SCF A (plurality-rule' V A p)
by simp
qed

lemma voters-determine-plurality-rule: voters-determine-election plurality-rule
  unfolding plurality-rule.simps
  using voters-determine-electors voters-determine-plurality
  by blast

lemma voters-determine-plurality-rule': voters-determine-election plurality-rule'
proof (unfold voters-determine-election.simps, safe)
  fix
    A :: 'k set and
    V :: 'v set and
    p p' :: ('k, 'v) Profile
  assume ∀ v ∈ V. p v = p' v
  thus plurality-rule' V A p = plurality-rule' V A p'
  using voters-determine-plurality-rule plurality-rule-equiv
  unfolding voters-determine-election.simps
  by (metis (full-types))
qed

```

7.1.3 Electing

```

lemma plurality-rule-elect-non-empty:
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
  assumes
    A ≠ {} and
    finite A
  shows elect plurality-rule V A p ≠ {}
proof
  assume plurality-elect-none: elect plurality-rule V A p = {}
  obtain max :: enat where
    max: max = Max (win-count V p ` A)
  by simp
  then obtain a :: 'a where
    max-a: win-count V p a = max ∧ a ∈ A

```

```

    using Max-in assms empty-is-image finite-imageI imageE
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  hence  $\forall a' \in A. \text{win-count } V p a' \leq \text{win-count } V p a$ 
    using assms max
    by simp
  moreover have  $a \in A$ 
    using max-a
    by simp
  ultimately have  $a \in \{a' \in A. \forall c \in A. \text{win-count } V p c \leq \text{win-count } V p a'\}$ 
    by blast
  hence  $a \in \text{elect plurality-rule}' V A p$ 
    by simp
  moreover have  $\text{elect plurality-rule}' V A p = \text{defer plurality } V A p$ 
    using plurality-revision-equiv plurality-rule-equiv snd-conv
    unfolding revision-composition.simps
    by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting))
  ultimately have  $a \in \text{defer plurality } V A p$ 
    by blast
  hence  $a \in \text{elect plurality-rule } V A p$ 
    by simp
  thus False
    using plurality-elect-none all-not-in-conv
    by metis
qed

```

```

lemma plurality-rule'-elect-non-empty:
  fixes
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
  assumes
     $A \neq \{\}$  and
     $\text{profile } V A p$  and
     $\text{finite } A$ 
  shows  $\text{elect plurality-rule}' V A p \neq \{\}$ 
    using assms plurality-rule-elect-non-empty plurality-rule-equiv
    by metis

```

The plurality module is electing.

theorem *plurality-rule-electing[simp]: electing plurality-rule*

proof (*unfold electing-def, safe*)

show *SCF-result.electoral-module plurality-rule*

using *plurality-rule-sound*

by *simp*

next

fix

$A :: 'b \text{ set}$ and

$V :: 'a \text{ set}$ and

$p :: ('b, 'a) \text{ Profile}$ and


```

  a :: 'b
assume
  fin-A: finite A and
  prof-p: profile V A p and
  elect-none: elect plurality-rule V A p = {} and
  a-in-A: a ∈ A
have ∀ B W q. B ≠ {} ∧ finite B ∧ profile W B q
  → elect plurality-rule W B q ≠ {}
  using plurality-rule-elect-non-empty
  by (metis (no-types))
hence empty-A: A = {}
  using fin-A prof-p elect-none
  by (metis (no-types))
thus a ∈ {}
  using a-in-A
  by simp
qed

theorem plurality-rule'-electing[simp]: electing plurality-rule'
proof (unfold electing-def, safe)
  show SCF-result.electoral-module plurality-rule'
    using plurality-rule'-sound
    by metis
next
fix
  A :: 'b set and
  V :: 'a set and
  p :: ('b, 'a) Profile and
  a :: 'b
assume
  fin-A: finite A and
  prof-p: profile V A p and
  no-elect': elect plurality-rule' V A p = {} and
  a-in-A: a ∈ A
have A-nonempty: A ≠ {}
  using a-in-A
  by blast
have elect plurality-rule V A p = {}
  using no-elect' plurality-rule-equiv
  by metis
moreover have elect plurality-rule V A p ≠ {}
  using fin-A prof-p A-nonempty plurality-rule'-elect-non-empty plurality-rule-equiv
  by metis
ultimately show a ∈ {}
  by force
qed

```

7.1.4 Properties

lemma *plurality-rule-inv-mono-eq*:

fixes

$A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**

$p \ q :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**

$a :: 'a$

assumes

elect-a: $a \in \text{elect } \text{plurality-rule } V \ A \ p$ **and**

lift-a: *lifted* $V \ A \ p \ q \ a$

shows $\text{elect } \text{plurality-rule } V \ A \ q = \text{elect } \text{plurality-rule } V \ A \ p$
 $\vee \text{elect } \text{plurality-rule } V \ A \ q = \{a\}$

proof –

have $a \in \text{elect } (\text{elector plurality}) \ V \ A \ p$

using *elect-a*

by *simp*

moreover have eq-p : $\text{elect } (\text{elector plurality}) \ V \ A \ p = \text{defer plurality } V \ A \ p$

by *simp*

ultimately have $a \in \text{defer plurality } V \ A \ p$

by *blast*

hence $\text{defer plurality } V \ A \ q = \text{defer plurality } V \ A \ p$

$\vee \text{defer plurality } V \ A \ q = \{a\}$

using *lift-a plurality-def-inv-mono-alts*

by *metis*

moreover have $\text{elect } (\text{elector plurality}) \ V \ A \ q = \text{defer plurality } V \ A \ q$

by *simp*

ultimately show

$\text{elect } \text{plurality-rule } V \ A \ q = \text{elect } \text{plurality-rule } V \ A \ p$

$\vee \text{elect } \text{plurality-rule } V \ A \ q = \{a\}$

using *eq-p*

by *simp*

qed

lemma *plurality-rule'-inv-mono-eq*:

fixes

$A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**

$p \ q :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**

$a :: 'a$

assumes

$a \in \text{elect } \text{plurality-rule}' \ V \ A \ p$ **and**

lifted $V \ A \ p \ q \ a$

shows $\text{elect } \text{plurality-rule}' \ V \ A \ q = \text{elect } \text{plurality-rule}' \ V \ A \ p$
 $\vee \text{elect } \text{plurality-rule}' \ V \ A \ q = \{a\}$

using *assms plurality-rule-equiv plurality-rule-inv-mono-eq*

by (*metis (no-types)*)

The plurality rule is invariant-monotone.

theorem *plurality-rule-inv-mono[simp]*: *invariant-monotonicity plurality-rule*

```

proof (unfold invariant-monotonicity-def, intro conjI impI allI)
  show SCF-result.electoral-module plurality-rule
    using plurality-rule-sound
    by metis
next
  fix
    A :: 'b set and
    V :: 'a set and
    p q :: ('b, 'a) Profile and
    a :: 'b
  assume a ∈ elect plurality-rule V A p ∧ Profile.lifted V A p q a
  thus elect plurality-rule V A q = elect plurality-rule V A p
    ∨ elect plurality-rule V A q = {a}
    using plurality-rule-inv-mono-eq
    by metis
qed

theorem plurality-rule'-inv-mono[simp]: invariant-monotonicity plurality-rule'
proof –
  have (plurality-rule::('k, 'v, 'k Result) Electoral-Module) = plurality-rule'
    using plurality-rule-equiv
    by blast
  thus ?thesis
    using plurality-rule-inv-mono
    by (metis (full-types))
qed

(Weak) Monotonicity

theorem plurality-rule-monotone: monotonicity plurality-rule
proof (unfold monotonicity-def, safe)
  show SCF-result.electoral-module plurality-rule
    using plurality-rule-sound
    by (metis (no-types))
next
  fix
    A :: 'b set and
    V :: 'a set and
    p q :: ('b, 'a) Profile and
    a :: 'b
  assume
    a ∈ elect plurality-rule V A p and
    Profile.lifted V A p q a
  thus a ∈ elect plurality-rule V A q
    using insertI1 plurality-rule-inv-mono-eq
    by (metis (no-types))
qed

end

```

7.2 Borda Rule

theory *Borda-Rule*

imports *Compositional-Structures/Basic-Modules/Borda-Module*

Compositional-Structures/Basic-Modules/Component-Types/Votewise-Distance-Rationalization

Compositional-Structures/Elect-Composition

begin

This is the Borda rule. On each ballot, each alternative is assigned a score that depends on how many alternatives are ranked below. The sum of all such scores for an alternative is hence called their Borda score. The alternative with the highest Borda score is elected.

7.2.1 Definition

fun *borda-rule* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) *Electoral-Module* **where**
borda-rule V A p = *elector borda* V A p

fun *borda-rule_R* :: ('a, 'v :: *wellorder*, 'a Result) *Electoral-Module* **where**
borda-rule_R V A p = *swap-R unanimity* V A p

7.2.2 Soundness

theorem *borda-rule-sound*: *SCF-result.electoral-module borda-rule*
unfolding *borda-rule.simps*
using *elector-sound borda-sound*
by *metis*

theorem *borda-rule_R-sound*: *SCF-result.electoral-module borda-rule_R*
unfolding *borda-rule_R.simps swap-R.simps*
using *SCF-result.R-sound*
by *metis*

7.2.3 Anonymity

theorem *borda-rule_R-anonymous*: *SCF-result.anonymity borda-rule_R*

proof (*unfold borda-rule_R.simps swap-R.simps*)

let *?swap-dist* = *votewise-distance swap l-one*

from *l-one-is-sym*

have *distance-anonymity ?swap-dist*

using *symmetric-norm-imp-distance-anonymous[of l-one]*

by *simp*

with *unanimity-anonymous*

show *SCF-result.anonymity (SCF-result.distance-R ?swap-dist unanimity)*

using *SCF-result.anonymous-distance-and-consensus-imp-rule-anonymity*

```

    by metis
qed

end

```

7.3 Pairwise Majority Rule

```

theory Pairwise-Majority-Rule
  imports Compositional-Structures/Basic-Modules/Condorcet-Module
           Compositional-Structures/Defer-One-Loop-Composition
begin

```

This is the pairwise majority rule, a voting rule that implements the Condorcet criterion, i.e., it elects the Condorcet winner if it exists, otherwise a tie remains between all alternatives.

7.3.1 Definition

```

fun pairwise-majority-rule :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  pairwise-majority-rule V A p = elector condorcet V A p

fun condorcet' :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  condorcet' V A p = ((min-eliminator condorcet-score)  $\circ$   $\exists!$ d) V A p

fun pairwise-majority-rule' :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  pairwise-majority-rule' V A p = iter-elect condorcet' V A p

```

7.3.2 Soundness

```

theorem pairwise-majority-rule-sound: SCF-result.electoral-module pairwise-majority-rule
  unfolding pairwise-majority-rule.simps
  using condorcet-sound elector-sound
  by metis

theorem condorcet'-sound: SCF-result.electoral-module condorcet'
  using Defer-One-Loop-Composition.iter.elims loop-comp-sound min-elim-sound
  unfolding condorcet'.simps loop-comp-sound
  by metis

theorem pairwise-majority-rule'-sound: SCF-result.electoral-module pairwise-majority-rule'
  unfolding pairwise-majority-rule'.simps
  using condorcet'-sound elector-sound iter.simps iter-elect.simps loop-comp-sound
  by metis

```

7.3.3 Condorcet Consistency

theorem *condorcet-condorcet: condorcet-consistency pairwise-majority-rule*

proof (*unfold pairwise-majority-rule.simps*)

show *condorcet-consistency (elector condorcet)*

using *condorcet-is-dcc dcc-imp-cc-elector*

by *metis*

qed

end

7.4 Copeland Rule

theory *Copeland-Rule*

imports *Compositional-Structures/Basic-Modules/Copeland-Module*
 Compositional-Structures/Elect-Composition

begin

This is the Copeland voting rule. The idea is to elect the alternatives with the highest difference between the amount of simple-majority wins and the amount of simple-majority losses.

7.4.1 Definition

fun *copeland-rule* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module **where**

copeland-rule V A p = *elector copeland* V A p

7.4.2 Soundness

theorem *copeland-rule-sound: SCF-result.electoral-module copeland-rule*

unfolding *copeland-rule.simps*

using *elector-sound copeland-sound*

by *metis*

7.4.3 Condorcet Consistency

theorem *copeland-condorcet: condorcet-consistency copeland-rule*

proof (*unfold copeland-rule.simps*)

show *condorcet-consistency (elector copeland)*

using *copeland-is-dcc dcc-imp-cc-elector*

by *metis*

qed

end

7.5 Minimax Rule

```
theory Minimax-Rule
  imports Compositional-Structures/Basic-Modules/Minimax-Module
           Compositional-Structures/Elect-Composition
begin
```

This is the Minimax voting rule. It elects the alternatives with the highest Minimax score.

7.5.1 Definition

```
fun minimax-rule :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  minimax-rule V A p = elector minimax V A p
```

7.5.2 Soundness

```
theorem minimax-rule-sound: SCF-result.electoral-module minimax-rule
  unfolding minimax-rule.simps
  using elector-sound minimax-sound
  by metis
```

7.5.3 Condorcet Consistency

```
theorem minimax-condorcet: condorcet-consistency minimax-rule
proof (unfold minimax-rule.simps)
  show condorcet-consistency (elector minimax)
    using minimax-is-dcc dcc-imp-cc-elect
    by metis
qed

end
```

7.6 Black's Rule

```
theory Blacks-Rule
  imports Pairwise-Majority-Rule
           Borda-Rule
begin
```

This is Black's voting rule. It is composed of a function that determines the Condorcet winner, i.e., the Pairwise Majority rule, and the Borda rule. Whenever there exists no Condorcet winner, it elects the choice made by the Borda rule, otherwise the Condorcet winner is elected.

7.6.1 Definition

fun *black* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module **where**
 black A p = (condorcet \triangleright borda) A p

fun *blacks-rule* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module **where**
 blacks-rule A p = elector *black* A p

7.6.2 Soundness

theorem *blacks-sound*: SCF-result.electoral-module *black*
 unfolding *black.simps*
 using *seq-comp-sound condorcet-sound borda-sound*
 by *metis*

theorem *blacks-rule-sound*: SCF-result.electoral-module *blacks-rule*
 unfolding *blacks-rule.simps*
 using *blacks-sound elector-sound*
 by *metis*

7.6.3 Condorcet Consistency

theorem *black-is-dcc*: defer-condorcet-consistency *black*
 unfolding *black.simps*
 using *condorcet-is-dcc borda-mod-non-blocking borda-mod-non-electing seq-comp-dcc*
 by *metis*

theorem *black-condorcet*: condorcet-consistency *blacks-rule*
 unfolding *blacks-rule.simps*
 using *black-is-dcc dcc-imp-cc-elect*
 by *metis*

end

7.7 Nanson-Baldwin Rule

theory *Nanson-Baldwin-Rule*
 imports *Compositional-Structures/Basic-Modules/Borda-Module*
 Compositional-Structures/Defer-One-Loop-Composition
begin

This is the Nanson-Baldwin voting rule. It excludes alternatives with the lowest Borda score from the set of possible winners and then adjusts the Borda score to the new (remaining) set of still eligible alternatives.

7.7.1 Definition

fun *nanson-baldwin-rule* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module **where**
nanson-baldwin-rule A p =
 ((*min-eliminator borda-score*) $\odot_{\exists!d}$) A p

7.7.2 Soundness

theorem *nanson-baldwin-rule-sound*: *SCF-result.electoral-module nanson-baldwin-rule*
using *min-elim-sound loop-comp-sound*
unfolding *nanson-baldwin-rule.simps Defer-One-Loop-Composition.iter.simps*
by *metis*
end

7.8 Classic Nanson Rule

theory *Classic-Nanson-Rule*
imports *Compositional-Structures/Basic-Modules/Borda-Module*
Compositional-Structures/Defer-One-Loop-Composition
begin

This is the classic Nanson's voting rule, i.e., the rule that was originally invented by Nanson, but not the Nanson-Baldwin rule. The idea is similar, however, as alternatives with a Borda score less or equal than the average Borda score are excluded. The Borda scores of the remaining alternatives are hence adjusted to the new set of (still) eligible alternatives.

7.8.1 Definition

fun *classic-nanson-rule* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module **where**
classic-nanson-rule V A p =
 ((*leq-average-eliminator borda-score*) $\odot_{\exists!d}$) V A p

7.8.2 Soundness

theorem *classic-nanson-rule-sound*: *SCF-result.electoral-module classic-nanson-rule*
using *leq-avg-elim-sound loop-comp-sound*
unfolding *classic-nanson-rule.simps Defer-One-Loop-Composition.iter.simps*
by *metis*
end

7.9 Schwartz Rule

```
theory Schwartz-Rule
  imports Compositional-Structures/Basic-Modules/Borda-Module
           Compositional-Structures/Defer-One-Loop-Composition
begin
```

This is the Schwartz voting rule. Confusingly, it is sometimes also referred as Nanson's rule. The Schwartz rule proceeds as in the classic Nanson's rule, but excludes alternatives with a Borda score that is strictly less than the average Borda score.

7.9.1 Definition

```
fun schwartz-rule :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  schwartz-rule V A p =
    ((less-average-eliminator borda-score)  $\odot_{\exists!d}$ ) V A p
```

7.9.2 Soundness

```
theorem schwartz-rule-sound: SCF-result.electoral-module schwartz-rule
  using less-avg-elim-sound loop-comp-sound
  unfolding schwartz-rule.simps Defer-One-Loop-Composition.iter.simps
  by metis

end
```

7.10 Sequential Majority Comparison

```
theory Sequential-Majority-Comparison
  imports Plurality-Rule
           Compositional-Structures/Drop-And-Pass-Compatibility
           Compositional-Structures/Revision-Composition
           Compositional-Structures/Maximum-Parallel-Composition
           Compositional-Structures/Defer-One-Loop-Composition
begin
```

Sequential majority comparison compares two alternatives by plurality voting. The loser gets rejected, and the winner is compared to the next alternative. This process is repeated until only a single alternative is left, which is then elected.

7.10.1 Definition

```
fun smc :: 'a Preference-Relation  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
```

```

smc x V A p =
  ((elector (((pass-module 2 x) ▷ ((plurality-rule↓) ▷ (pass-module 1 x))) ||↑
    (drop-module 2 x)) ∘∃!d)) V A p)

```

7.10.2 Soundness

As all base components are electoral modules (, aggregators, or termination conditions), and all used compositional structures create electoral modules, sequential majority comparison unsurprisingly is an electoral module.

theorem *smc-sound*:

```

fixes x :: 'a Preference-Relation
shows SCF-result.electoral-module (smc x)
proof (unfold SCF-result.electoral-module.simps well-formed-SCF.simps, safe)
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
assume profile V A p
thus
  disjoint3 (smc x V A p) and
  set-equals-partition A (smc x V A p)
unfolding iter.simps smc.simps elector.simps
using drop-mod-sound elect-mod-sound loop-comp-sound max-par-comp-sound
  pass-mod-sound plurality-rule-sound rev-comp-sound seq-comp-sound
by (metis (no-types) seq-comp-presv-disj, metis (no-types) seq-comp-presv-alts)
qed

```

7.10.3 Electing

The sequential majority comparison electoral module is electing. This property is needed to convert electoral modules to a social choice function. Apart from the very last proof step, it is a part of the monotonicity proof below.

theorem *smc-electing*:

```

fixes x :: 'a Preference-Relation
assumes linear-order x
shows electing (smc x)
proof –
  let ?pass2 = pass-module 2 x
  let ?tie-breaker = (pass-module 1 x)
  let ?plurality-defer = (plurality-rule↓) ▷ ?tie-breaker
  let ?compare-two = ?pass2 ▷ ?plurality-defer
  let ?drop2 = drop-module 2 x
  let ?eliminator = ?compare-two ||↑ ?drop2
  let ?loop =
    let t = defer-equal-condition 1 in (?eliminator ∘t)

  have 00011: non-electing (plurality-rule↓)
    using plurality-rule-sound rev-comp-non-electing

```

```

    by metis
have 00012: non-electing ?tie-breaker
  using assms
  by simp
have 00013: defers 1 ?tie-breaker
  using assms pass-one-mod-def-one
  by simp
have 20000: non-blocking (plurality-rule↓)
  by simp
have 0020: disjoint-compatibility ?pass2 ?drop2
  using assms
  by simp
have 1000: non-electing ?pass2
  using assms
  by simp
have 1001: non-electing ?plurality-defer
  using 00011 00012 seq-comp-presv-non-electing
  by blast
have 2000: non-blocking ?pass2
  using assms
  by simp
have 2001: defers 1 ?plurality-defer
  using 20000 00011 00013 seq-comp-def-one
  by blast
have 002: disjoint-compatibility ?compare-two ?drop2
  using assms 0020 disj-compat-seq pass-mod-sound plurality-rule-sound
    rev-comp-sound seq-comp-sound voters-determine-pass-mod
    voters-determine-plurality-rule voters-determine-seq-comp
    voters-determine-rev-comp
  by metis
have 100: non-electing ?compare-two
  using 1000 1001 seq-comp-presv-non-electing
  by simp
have 101: non-electing ?drop2
  using assms
  by simp
have 102: agg-conservative max-aggregator
  by simp
have 200: defers 1 ?compare-two
  using 2000 1000 2001 seq-comp-def-one
  by simp
have 201: rejects 2 ?drop2
  using assms
  by simp
have 10: non-electing ?eliminator
  using 100 101 102 conserv-max-agg-presv-non-electing
  by blast
have 20: eliminates 1 ?eliminator
  using 200 100 201 002 par-comp-elim-one

```

```

  by simp
have 2: defers 1 ?loop
  using 10 20 iter-elim-def-n zero-less-one prod.exhaust-sel
    defer-equal-condition.simps
  by metis
have 3: electing elect-module
  by simp
show ?thesis
  using 2 3 assms seq-comp-electing smc-sound
  unfolding Defer-One-Loop-Composition.iter.simps
    smc.simps elector.simps electing-def
  by metis
qed

```

7.10.4 (Weak) Monotonicity

The following proof is a fully modular proof for weak monotonicity of sequential majority comparison. It is composed of many small steps.

theorem *smc-monotone*:

fixes $x :: 'a$ *Preference-Relation*

assumes *linear-order* x

shows *monotonicity* (*smc* x)

proof –

let $?pass2 = pass\text{-}module\ 2\ x$

let $?tie\text{-}breaker = pass\text{-}module\ 1\ x$

let $?plurality\text{-}defer = (plurality\text{-}rule\downarrow) \triangleright ?tie\text{-}breaker$

let $?compare\text{-}two = ?pass2 \triangleright ?plurality\text{-}defer$

let $?drop2 = drop\text{-}module\ 2\ x$

let $?eliminator = ?compare\text{-}two \parallel_{\uparrow} ?drop2$

let $?loop =$

let $t = defer\text{-}equal\text{-}condition\ 1\ in\ (?eliminator \circ_t)$

have 00010: *defer-invariant-monotonicity* (*plurality-rule* \downarrow)

by *simp*

have 00011: *non-electing* (*plurality-rule* \downarrow)

using *rev-comp-non-electing plurality-rule-sound*

by *blast*

have 00012: *non-electing* $?tie\text{-}breaker$

using *assms*

by *simp*

have 00013: *defers* 1 $?tie\text{-}breaker$

using *assms pass-one-mod-def-one*

by *simp*

have 00014: *defer-monotonicity* $?tie\text{-}breaker$

using *assms*

by *simp*

have 20000: *non-blocking* (*plurality-rule* \downarrow)

by *simp*

have 0000: *defer-lift-invariance* $?pass2$

```

    using assms
  by simp
have 0001: defer-lift-invariance ?plurality-defer
  using 00010 00012 00013 00014 def-inv-mono-imp-def-lift-inv
  unfolding pass-module.simps voters-determine-election.simps
  by blast
have 0020: disjoint-compatibility ?pass2 ?drop2
  using assms
  by simp
have 1000: non-electing ?pass2
  using assms
  by simp
have 1001: non-electing ?plurality-defer
  using 00011 00012 seq-comp-presv-non-electing
  by blast
have 2000: non-blocking ?pass2
  using assms
  by simp
have 2001: defers 1 ?plurality-defer
  using 20000 00011 00013 seq-comp-def-one
  by blast
have 000: defer-lift-invariance ?compare-two
  using 0000 0001 seq-comp-presv-def-lift-inv
    voters-determine-plurality-rule voters-determine-pass-mod
    voters-determine-rev-comp voters-determine-seq-comp
  by blast
have 001: defer-lift-invariance ?drop2
  using assms
  by simp
have 002: disjoint-compatibility ?compare-two ?drop2
  using assms 0020 disj-compat-seq pass-mod-sound plurality-rule-sound
    voters-determine-pass-mod rev-comp-sound seq-comp-sound voters-determine-seq-comp
    voters-determine-plurality-rule voters-determine-pass-mod voters-determine-rev-comp
  by metis
have 100: non-electing ?compare-two
  using 1000 1001 seq-comp-presv-non-electing
  by simp
have 101: non-electing ?drop2
  using assms
  by simp
have 102: agg-conservative max-aggregator
  by simp
have 200: defers 1 ?compare-two
  using 2000 1000 2001 seq-comp-def-one
  by simp
have 201: rejects 2 ?drop2
  using assms
  by simp
have 00: defer-lift-invariance ?eliminator

```

```

    using 000 001 002 par-comp-def-lift-inv
    by blast
  have 10: non-electing ?eliminator
    using 100 101 conserv-max-agg-presv-non-electing
    by blast
  have 20: eliminates 1 ?eliminator
    using 200 100 201 002 par-comp-elim-one
    by simp
  have 0: defer-lift-invariance ?loop
    using 00 loop-comp-presv-def-lift-inv
      voters-determine-plurality-rule voters-determine-pass-mod voters-determine-drop-mod
      voters-determine-rev-comp voters-determine-seq-comp voters-determine-max-par-comp
    by metis
  have 1: non-electing ?loop
    using 10 loop-comp-presv-non-electing
    by simp
  have 2: defers 1 ?loop
    using 10 20 iter-elim-def-n prod.exhaust-sel zero-less-one defer-equal-condition.simps
    by metis
  have 3: electing elect-module
    by simp
  show ?thesis
    using 0 1 2 3 assms seq-comp-mono
    unfolding Electoral-Module.monotonicity-def elector.simps
      Defer-One-Loop-Composition.iter.simps
      smc-sound smc.simps
    by (metis (full-types))
qed

end

```

7.11 Kemeny Rule

```

theory Kemeny-Rule
  imports
    Compositional-Structures/Basic-Modules/Component-Types/Votewise-Distance-Rationalization
    Compositional-Structures/Basic-Modules/Component-Types/Distance-Rationalization-Symmetry
begin

```

This is the Kemeny rule. It creates a complete ordering of alternatives and evaluates each ordering of the alternatives in terms of the sum of preference reversals on each ballot that would have to be performed in order to produce that transitive ordering. The complete ordering which requires the fewest preference reversals is the final result of the method.

7.11.1 Definition

fun *kemeny-rule* :: ('a, 'v :: wellorder, 'a Result) Electoral-Module **where**
 kemeny-rule V A p = *swap- \mathcal{R} strong-unanimity* V A p

7.11.2 Soundness

theorem *kemeny-rule-sound*: *SCF-result.electoral-module kemeny-rule*
 unfolding *kemeny-rule.simps swap- \mathcal{R} .simps*
 using *SCF-result. \mathcal{R} -sound*
 by *metis*

7.11.3 Anonymity

theorem *kemeny-rule-anonymous*: *SCF-result.anonymity kemeny-rule*
proof (*unfold kemeny-rule.simps swap- \mathcal{R} .simps*)
 let ?*swap-dist* = *votewise-distance swap l-one*
 have *distance-anonymity ?swap-dist*
 using *l-one-is-sym symmetric-norm-imp-distance-anonymous[of l-one]*
 by *simp*
 thus *SCF-result.anonymity*
 (*SCF-result.distance- \mathcal{R} ?swap-dist strong-unanimity*)
 using *strong-unanimity-anonymous*
 SCF-result.anonymous-distance-and-consensus-imp-rule-anonymity
 by *metis*
qed

7.11.4 Neutrality

lemma *swap-dist-neutral*: *distance-neutrality well-formed-elections*
 (*votewise-distance swap l-one*)
 using *neutral-dist-imp-neutral-votewise-dist swap-neutral*
 by *blast*

theorem *kemeny-rule-neutral*: *SCF-properties.neutrality kemeny-rule*
 using *strong-unanimity-neutral' swap-dist-neutral strong-unanimity-closed-under-neutrality*
 SCF-properties.neutr-dist-and-cons-imp-neutr-dr
 unfolding *kemeny-rule.simps swap- \mathcal{R} .simps*
 by *blast*

end

Bibliography

- [1] Karsten Diekhoff, Michael Kirsten, and Jonas Krämer. Formal property-oriented design of voting rules using composable modules. In Saša Pekeč and Kristen Brent Venable, editors, *6th International Conference on Algorithmic Decision Theory (ADT 2019)*, volume 11834 of *Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence*, pages 164–166. Springer, 2019. [doi:10.1007/978-3-030-31489-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-31489-7).
- [2] Karsten Diekhoff, Michael Kirsten, and Jonas Krämer. Verified construction of fair voting rules. In Maurizio Gabbrielli, editor, *29th International Symposium on Logic-Based Program Synthesis and Transformation (LOPSTR 2019), Revised Selected Papers*, volume 12042 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 90–104. Springer, 2020. [doi:10.1007/978-3-030-45260-5_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-45260-5_6).
- [3] Benjamin Hadjibeyli and Mark C. Wilson. Distance rationalization of social rules. *Computing Research Repository (CoRR)*, abs/1610.01902, 2016. [arXiv:1610.01902](https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.01902).