

Verified Construction of Fair Voting Rules

Michael Kirsten

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Karlsruhe, Germany
`kirsten@kit.edu`

January 18, 2024

Abstract

Voting rules aggregate multiple individual preferences in order to make a collective decision. Commonly, these mechanisms are expected to respect a multitude of different notions of fairness and reliability, which must be carefully balanced to avoid inconsistencies.

This article contains a formalisation of a framework for the construction of such fair voting rules using composable modules [1, 2]. The framework is a formal and systematic approach for the flexible and verified construction of voting rules from individual composable modules to respect such social-choice properties by construction. Formal composition rules guarantee resulting social-choice properties from properties of the individual components which are of generic nature to be reused for various voting rules. We provide proofs for a selected set of structures and composition rules. The approach can be readily extended in order to support more voting rules, e.g., from the literature by extending the sets of modules and composition rules.

Contents

1	Social-Choice Types	9
1.1	Preference Relation	9
1.1.1	Definition	9
1.1.2	Ranking	10
1.1.3	Limited Preference	10
1.1.4	Auxiliary Lemmas	16
1.1.5	Lifting Property	26
1.2	Norm	36
1.2.1	Definition	36
1.2.2	Auxiliary Lemmas	36
1.2.3	Common Norms	38
1.2.4	Properties	38
1.2.5	Theorems	38
1.3	Preference Profile	39
1.3.1	Definition	39
1.3.2	Vote Count	41
1.3.3	Voter Permutations	44
1.3.4	List Representation for Ordered Voter Types	48
1.3.5	Preference Counts and Comparisons	54
1.3.6	Condorcet Winner	58
1.3.7	Limited Profile	59
1.3.8	Lifting Property	60
1.4	Electoral Result	63
1.4.1	Auxiliary Functions	64
1.4.2	Definition	64
1.5	Social Choice Result	65
1.5.1	Social Choice Result	65
1.5.2	Auxiliary Lemmas	65
1.6	Social Welfare Result	68
1.6.1	Social Welfare Result	68
1.7	Specific Electoral Result Types	68
1.8	Function Symmetry Properties	70
1.8.1	Functions	70

1.8.2	Invariance and Equivariance	71
1.8.3	Auxiliary Lemmas	71
1.8.4	Rewrite Rules	74
1.8.5	Group Actions	78
1.8.6	Invariance and Equivariance	81
1.9	Symmetry Properties of Voting Rules	89
1.9.1	Definitions	89
1.9.2	Auxiliary Lemmas	91
1.9.3	Anonymity Lemmas	102
1.9.4	Neutrality Lemmas	110
1.9.5	Homogeneity Lemmas	123
1.9.6	Reversal Symmetry Lemmas	123
1.10	Result-Dependent Voting Rule Properties	129
1.10.1	Properties Dependent on the Result Type	129
1.10.2	Interpretations	129
1.11	Preference List	130
1.11.1	Well-Formedness	130
1.11.2	Auxiliary Lemmas About Lists	130
1.11.3	Ranking	134
1.11.4	Definition	134
1.11.5	Limited Preference	142
1.11.6	Auxiliary Definitions	147
1.11.7	Auxiliary Lemmas	148
1.11.8	First Occurrence Indices	151
1.12	Preference (List) Profile	152
1.12.1	Definition	152
1.13	Ordered Relation Type	153
1.14	Alternative Election Type	156
2	Quotient Rules	158
2.1	Quotients of Equivalence Relations	158
2.1.1	Definitions	158
2.1.2	Well-Definedness	158
2.1.3	Equivalence Relations	161
2.2	Quotients of Equivalence Relations on Election Sets	163
2.2.1	Auxiliary Lemmas	163
2.2.2	Anonymity Quotient - Grid	166
2.2.3	Homogeneity Quotient - Simplex	172
3	Component Types	196
3.1	Electoral Module	196
3.1.1	Definition	196
3.1.2	Auxiliary Definitions	197
3.1.3	Properties	198

3.1.4	Reversal Symmetry of Social Welfare Rules	199
3.1.5	Social Choice Modules	200
3.1.6	Equivalence Definitions	201
3.1.7	Auxiliary Lemmas	202
3.1.8	Non-Blocking	213
3.1.9	Electing	213
3.1.10	Properties	215
3.1.11	Inference Rules	218
3.1.12	Social Choice Properties	221
3.2	Electoral Modules on Election Quotients	223
3.3	Consensus	224
3.3.1	Definition	224
3.3.2	Consensus Conditions	224
3.3.3	Properties	225
3.3.4	Auxiliary Lemmas	225
3.3.5	Theorems	228
4	Basic Modules	233
4.1	Defer Module	233
4.1.1	Definition	233
4.1.2	Soundness	233
4.1.3	Properties	233
4.2	Elect First Module	234
4.2.1	Definition	234
4.2.2	Soundness	234
4.3	Consensus Class	235
4.3.1	Definition	235
4.3.2	Consensus Choice	235
4.3.3	Auxiliary Lemmas	236
4.3.4	Consensus Rules	239
4.3.5	Properties	239
4.3.6	Inference Rules	240
4.3.7	Theorems	243
4.4	Distance	250
4.4.1	Definition	251
4.4.2	Conditions	251
4.4.3	Standard Distance Property	251
4.4.4	Auxiliary Lemmas	251
4.4.5	Swap Distance	252
4.4.6	Spearman Distance	253
4.4.7	Properties	254
4.5	Distance Rationalization	259
4.5.1	Definitions	260
4.5.2	Standard Definitions	260

4.5.3	Auxiliary Lemmas	261
4.5.4	Soundness	269
4.5.5	Inference Rules	269
4.6	Symmetry in Distance-Rationalizable Rules	278
4.6.1	Minimizer function	278
4.6.2	Distance Rationalization as Minimizer	284
4.6.3	Symmetry Property Inference Rules	292
4.6.4	Further Properties	295
4.7	Distance Rationalization on Election Quotients	295
4.7.1	Quotient Distances	295
4.7.2	Quotient Consensus and Results	306
4.7.3	Quotient Distance Rationalization	311
4.8	Votewise Distance	317
4.8.1	Definition	318
4.8.2	Inference Rules	318
4.9	Evaluation Function	326
4.9.1	Definition	326
4.9.2	Property	326
4.9.3	Theorems	326
4.10	Elimination Module	328
4.10.1	General Definitions	328
4.10.2	Social Choice Definitions	329
4.10.3	Common Social Choice Eliminators	329
4.10.4	Soundness	329
4.10.5	Only participating voters impact the result	330
4.10.6	Non-Blocking	334
4.10.7	Non-Electing	335
4.10.8	Inference Rules	336
4.11	Aggregator	338
4.11.1	Definition	339
4.11.2	Properties	339
4.12	Maximum Aggregator	339
4.12.1	Definition	340
4.12.2	Auxiliary Lemma	340
4.12.3	Soundness	340
4.12.4	Properties	341
4.13	Termination Condition	343
4.13.1	Definition	343
4.14	Defer Equal Condition	343
4.14.1	Definition	344
4.15	Result + Property Locale Code Generation	344
4.16	Votewise Distance Rationalization	345
4.16.1	Common Rationalizations	346
4.16.2	Theorems	346

4.16.3	Equivalence Lemmas	348
4.17	Drop Module	349
4.17.1	Definition	349
4.17.2	Soundness	349
4.17.3	Non-Electing	350
4.17.4	Properties	350
4.18	Pass Module	350
4.18.1	Definition	351
4.18.2	Soundness	351
4.18.3	Non-Blocking	352
4.18.4	Non-Electing	352
4.18.5	Properties	353
4.19	Elect Module	359
4.19.1	Definition	359
4.19.2	Soundness	359
4.19.3	Electing	359
4.20	Plurality Module	359
4.20.1	Definition	359
4.20.2	Soundness	363
4.20.3	Non-Blocking	363
4.20.4	Non-Electing	363
4.20.5	Property	363
4.21	Borda Module	368
4.21.1	Definition	369
4.21.2	Soundness	369
4.21.3	Non-Blocking	369
4.21.4	Non-Electing	369
4.22	Condorcet Module	369
4.22.1	Definition	370
4.22.2	Soundness	370
4.22.3	Property	370
4.23	Copeland Module	371
4.23.1	Definition	372
4.23.2	Soundness	372
4.23.3	Only participating voters impact the result	372
4.23.4	Lemmas	373
4.23.5	Property	375
4.24	Minimax Module	377
4.24.1	Definition	377
4.24.2	Soundness	377
4.24.3	Lemma	378
4.24.4	Property	379

5	Compositional Structures	383
5.1	Drop And Pass Compatibility	383
5.1.1	Properties	383
5.2	Revision Composition	388
5.2.1	Definition	388
5.2.2	Soundness	388
5.2.3	Composition Rules	389
5.3	Sequential Composition	392
5.3.1	Definition	392
5.3.2	Soundness	398
5.3.3	Lemmas	399
5.3.4	Composition Rules	403
5.4	Parallel Composition	428
5.4.1	Definition	428
5.4.2	Soundness	428
5.4.3	Composition Rule	429
5.5	Loop Composition	431
5.5.1	Definition	431
5.5.2	Soundness	436
5.5.3	Lemmas	437
5.5.4	Composition Rules	450
5.6	Maximum Parallel Composition	452
5.6.1	Definition	452
5.6.2	Soundness	452
5.6.3	Lemmas	452
5.6.4	Composition Rules	463
5.7	Elect Composition	473
5.7.1	Definition	473
5.7.2	Auxiliary Lemmas	473
5.7.3	Soundness	473
5.7.4	Electing	474
5.7.5	Composition Rule	475
5.8	Defer One Loop Composition	478
5.8.1	Definition	478
6	Voting Rules	479
6.1	Plurality Rule	479
6.1.1	Definition	479
6.1.2	Soundness	481
6.1.3	Electing	481
6.1.4	Property	483
6.2	Borda Rule	484
6.2.1	Definition	484
6.2.2	Soundness	484

6.2.3	Anonymity Property	485
6.3	Pairwise Majority Rule	485
6.3.1	Definition	485
6.3.2	Soundness	485
6.3.3	Condorcet Consistency Property	486
6.4	Copeland Rule	486
6.4.1	Definition	486
6.4.2	Soundness	486
6.4.3	Condorcet Consistency Property	487
6.5	Minimax Rule	487
6.5.1	Definition	487
6.5.2	Soundness	487
6.5.3	Condorcet Consistency Property	487
6.6	Black's Rule	488
6.6.1	Definition	488
6.6.2	Soundness	488
6.6.3	Condorcet Consistency Property	488
6.7	Nanson-Baldwin Rule	489
6.7.1	Definition	489
6.7.2	Soundness	489
6.8	Classic Nanson Rule	489
6.8.1	Definition	490
6.8.2	Soundness	490
6.9	Schwartz Rule	490
6.9.1	Definition	490
6.9.2	Soundness	490
6.10	Sequential Majority Comparison	491
6.10.1	Definition	491
6.10.2	Soundness	491
6.10.3	Electing	494
6.10.4	(Weak) Monotonicity Property	496
6.11	Kemeny Rule	498
6.11.1	Definition	499
6.11.2	Soundness	499
6.11.3	Anonymity Property	499
6.11.4	Neutrality Property	499
6.11.5	Datatype Instantiation	499

Chapter 1

Social-Choice Types

1.1 Preference Relation

```
theory Preference-Relation
  imports Main
begin
```

The very core of the composable modules voting framework: types and functions, derivations, lemmas, operations on preference relations, etc.

1.1.1 Definition

Each voter expresses pairwise relations between all alternatives, thereby inducing a linear order.

```
type-synonym 'a Preference-Relation = 'a rel

type-synonym 'a Vote = 'a set × 'a Preference-Relation

fun is-less-preferred-than ::
  'a ⇒ 'a Preference-Relation ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool (- ≤- - [50, 1000, 51] 50) where
    a ≤r b = ((a, b) ∈ r)

fun alts- $\mathcal{V}$  :: 'a Vote ⇒ 'a set where alts- $\mathcal{V}$  V = fst V

fun pref- $\mathcal{V}$  :: 'a Vote ⇒ 'a Preference-Relation where pref- $\mathcal{V}$  V = snd V

lemma lin-imp-antisym:
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    r :: 'a Preference-Relation
  assumes linear-order-on A r
  shows antisym r
  using assms
  unfolding linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def
```

by *simp*

lemma *lin-imp-trans*:

fixes

$A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$

assumes *linear-order-on A r*

shows *trans r*

using *assms order-on-defs*

by *blast*

1.1.2 Ranking

fun *rank* :: $'a \text{ Preference-Relation} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**
 $\text{rank } r \ a = \text{card } (\text{above } r \ a)$

lemma *rank-gt-zero*:

fixes

$r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**

$a :: 'a$

assumes

refl: $a \preceq_r a$ **and**

fin: *finite r*

shows $\text{rank } r \ a \geq 1$

proof (*unfold rank.simps above-def*)

have $a \in \{b \in \text{Field } r. (a, b) \in r\}$

using *FieldI2 refl*

by *fastforce*

hence $\{b \in \text{Field } r. (a, b) \in r\} \neq \{\}$

by *blast*

hence $\text{card } \{b \in \text{Field } r. (a, b) \in r\} \neq 0$

by (*simp add: fin finite-Field*)

thus $1 \leq \text{card } \{b. (a, b) \in r\}$

using *Collect-cong FieldI2 less-one not-le-imp-less*

by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)

qed

1.1.3 Limited Preference

definition *limited* :: $'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ Preference-Relation} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{limited } A \ r \equiv r \subseteq A \times A$

lemma *limited-dest*:

fixes

$A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**

$a :: 'a$ **and**

$b :: 'a$

assumes

$a \preceq_r b$ **and**

```

    limited A r
  shows  $a \in A \wedge b \in A$ 
  using assms
  unfolding limited-def
  by auto

fun limit :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a Preference-Relation  $\Rightarrow$  'a Preference-Relation where
  limit A r =  $\{(a, b) \in r. a \in A \wedge b \in A\}$ 

definition connex :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a Preference-Relation  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  connex A r  $\equiv$  limited A r  $\wedge$   $(\forall a \in A. \forall b \in A. a \preceq_r b \vee b \preceq_r a)$ 

lemma connex-imp-refl:
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    r :: 'a Preference-Relation
  assumes connex A r
  shows refl-on A r
proof
  from assms
  show  $r \subseteq A \times A$ 
    unfolding connex-def limited-def
    by simp
next
  fix a :: 'a
  assume  $a \in A$ 
  with assms
  have  $a \preceq_r a$ 
    unfolding connex-def
    by metis
  thus  $(a, a) \in r$ 
    by simp
qed

lemma lin-ord-imp-connex:
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    r :: 'a Preference-Relation
  assumes linear-order-on A r
  shows connex A r
proof (unfold connex-def limited-def, safe)
  fix
    a :: 'a and
    b :: 'a
  assume  $(a, b) \in r$ 
  moreover have refl-on A r
    using assms partial-order-onD
  unfolding linear-order-on-def
  by safe

```

```

    ultimately show  $a \in A$ 
      by (simp add: refl-on-domain)
next
fix
   $a :: 'a$  and
   $b :: 'a$ 
  assume  $(a, b) \in r$ 
  moreover have refl-on  $A$   $r$ 
    using assms partial-order-onD
    unfolding linear-order-on-def
    by safe
  ultimately show  $b \in A$ 
    by (simp add: refl-on-domain)
next
fix
   $a :: 'a$  and
   $b :: 'a$ 
  assume
     $a \in A$  and
     $b \in A$  and
     $\neg b \preceq_r a$ 
  moreover from this
  have  $(b, a) \notin r$ 
    by simp
  moreover from this
  have refl-on  $A$   $r$ 
    using assms partial-order-onD
    unfolding linear-order-on-def
    by blast
  ultimately have  $(a, b) \in r$ 
    using assms refl-onD
    unfolding linear-order-on-def total-on-def
    by metis
  thus  $a \preceq_r b$ 
    by simp
qed

lemma connex-antisym-and-trans-imp-lin-ord:
  fixes
     $A :: 'a$  set and
     $r :: 'a$  Preference-Relation
  assumes
    connex- $r$ : connex  $A$   $r$  and
    antisym- $r$ : antisym  $r$  and
    trans- $r$ : trans  $r$ 
  shows linear-order-on  $A$   $r$ 
proof (unfold connex-def linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def
  preorder-on-def refl-on-def total-on-def, safe)
  fix

```

```

    a :: 'a and
    b :: 'a
  assume (a, b) ∈ r
  thus a ∈ A
    using connex-r refl-on-domain connex-imp-refl
    by metis
next
fix
  a :: 'a and
  b :: 'a
  assume (a, b) ∈ r
  thus b ∈ A
    using connex-r refl-on-domain connex-imp-refl
    by metis
next
fix a :: 'a
  assume a ∈ A
  thus (a, a) ∈ r
    using connex-r connex-imp-refl refl-onD
    by metis
next
  from trans-r
  show trans r
    by simp
next
  from antisym-r
  show antisym r
    by simp
next
fix
  a :: 'a and
  b :: 'a
  assume
    a ∈ A and
    b ∈ A and
    (b, a) ∉ r
  moreover from this
  have a ≼r b ∨ b ≼r a
    using connex-r
    unfolding connex-def
    by metis
  hence (a, b) ∈ r ∨ (b, a) ∈ r
    by simp
  ultimately show (a, b) ∈ r
    by metis
qed

```

lemma *limit-to-limits*:
 fixes

```

    A :: 'a set and
    r :: 'a Preference-Relation
  shows limited A (limit A r)
  unfolding limited-def
  by fastforce

lemma limit-presv-connex:
  fixes
    B :: 'a set and
    A :: 'a set and
    r :: 'a Preference-Relation
  assumes
    connex: connex B r and
    subset: A  $\subseteq$  B
  shows connex A (limit A r)
proof (unfold connex-def limited-def, simp, safe)
  let ?s = {(a, b). (a, b)  $\in$  r  $\wedge$  a  $\in$  A  $\wedge$  b  $\in$  A}
  fix
    a :: 'a and
    b :: 'a
  assume
    a-in-A: a  $\in$  A and
    b-in-A: b  $\in$  A and
    not-b-pref-r-a: (b, a)  $\notin$  r
  have b  $\preceq_r$  a  $\vee$  a  $\preceq_r$  b
    using a-in-A b-in-A connex connex-def in-mono subset
    by metis
  hence a  $\preceq_{?s}$  b  $\vee$  b  $\preceq_{?s}$  a
    using a-in-A b-in-A
    by auto
  hence a  $\preceq_{?s}$  b
    using not-b-pref-r-a
    by simp
  thus (a, b)  $\in$  r
    by simp
qed

lemma limit-presv-antisym:
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    r :: 'a Preference-Relation
  assumes antisym r
  shows antisym (limit A r)
  using assms
  unfolding antisym-def
  by simp

lemma limit-presv-trans:
  fixes

```

```

    A :: 'a set and
    r :: 'a Preference-Relation
  assumes trans r
  shows trans (limit A r)
  unfolding trans-def
  using transE assms
  by auto

lemma limit-presv-lin-ord:
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    B :: 'a set and
    r :: 'a Preference-Relation
  assumes
    linear-order-on B r and
    A  $\subseteq$  B
  shows linear-order-on A (limit A r)
  using assms connex-antisym-and-trans-imp-lin-ord limit-presv-antisym limit-presv-connex
    limit-presv-trans lin-ord-imp-connex
  unfolding preorder-on-def partial-order-on-def linear-order-on-def
  by metis

lemma limit-presv-prefs:
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    r :: 'a Preference-Relation and
    a :: 'a and
    b :: 'a
  assumes
    a  $\preceq_r$  b and
    a  $\in$  A and
    b  $\in$  A
  shows let s = limit A r in a  $\preceq_s$  b
  using assms
  by simp

lemma limit-rel-presv-prefs:
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    r :: 'a Preference-Relation and
    a :: 'a and
    b :: 'a
  assumes (a, b)  $\in$  limit A r
  shows a  $\preceq_r$  b
  using mem-Collect-eq assms
  by simp

lemma limit-trans:
  fixes

```

```

    A :: 'a set and
    B :: 'a set and
    r :: 'a Preference-Relation
  assumes A ⊆ B
  shows limit A r = limit A (limit B r)
  using assms
  by auto

lemma lin-ord-not-empty:
  fixes r :: 'a Preference-Relation
  assumes r ≠ {}
  shows ¬ linear-order-on {} r
  using assms connex-imp-refl lin-ord-imp-connex refl-on-domain subrelI
  by fastforce

lemma lin-ord-singleton:
  fixes a :: 'a
  shows ∀ r. linear-order-on {a} r ⟶ r = {(a, a)}
proof (clarify)
  fix r :: 'a Preference-Relation
  assume lin-ord-r-a: linear-order-on {a} r
  hence a ≤r a
    using lin-ord-imp-connex singletonI
    unfolding connex-def
    by metis
  moreover from lin-ord-r-a
  have ∀ (b, c) ∈ r. b = a ∧ c = a
    using connex-imp-refl lin-ord-imp-connex refl-on-domain split-beta
    by fastforce
  ultimately show r = {(a, a)}
    by auto
qed

```

1.1.4 Auxiliary Lemmas

```

lemma above-trans:
  fixes
    r :: 'a Preference-Relation and
    a :: 'a and
    b :: 'a
  assumes
    trans r and
    (a, b) ∈ r
  shows above r b ⊆ above r a
  using Collect-mono assms transE
  unfolding above-def
  by metis

```

```

lemma above-refl:

```


fixes
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**
 $a :: 'a$
assumes
 $\text{refl-on } A \ r$ **and**
 $a \in A$
shows $a \in \text{above } r \ a$
using assms refl-onD
unfolding above-def
by simp

lemma $\text{above-subset-geq-one}$:

fixes
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**
 $r' :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**
 $a :: 'a$
assumes
 $\text{linear-order-on } A \ r$ **and**
 $\text{linear-order-on } A \ r'$ **and**
 $\text{above } r \ a \subseteq \text{above } r' \ a$ **and**
 $\text{above } r' \ a = \{a\}$
shows $\text{above } r \ a = \{a\}$
using $\text{assms connex-imp-refl above-refl insert-absorb lin-ord-imp-connex mem-Collect-eq}$
 $\text{refl-on-domain singletonI subset-singletonD}$
unfolding above-def
by metis

lemma above-connex :

fixes
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**
 $a :: 'a$
assumes
 $\text{connex } A \ r$ **and**
 $a \in A$
shows $a \in \text{above } r \ a$
using $\text{assms connex-imp-refl above-refl}$
by metis

lemma pref-imp-in-above :

fixes
 $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**
 $a :: 'a$ **and**
 $b :: 'a$
shows $(a \preceq_r b) = (b \in \text{above } r \ a)$
unfolding above-def
by simp

lemma *limit-presv-above*:

fixes

$A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**

$a :: 'a$ **and**

$b :: 'a$

assumes

$b \in \text{above } r \ a$ **and**

$a \in A$ **and**

$b \in A$

shows $b \in \text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a$

using *assms pref-imp-in-above limit-presv-prefs*

by *metis*

lemma *limit-rel-presv-above*:

fixes

$A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$B :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**

$a :: 'a$ **and**

$b :: 'a$

assumes $b \in \text{above } (\text{limit } B \ r) \ a$

shows $b \in \text{above } r \ a$

using *assms limit-rel-presv-prefs mem-Collect-eq pref-imp-in-above*

unfolding *above-def*

by *metis*

lemma *above-one*:

fixes

$A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$

assumes

lin-ord-r: *linear-order-on* $A \ r$ **and**

fin-A: *finite* A **and**

non-empty-A: $A \neq \{\}$

shows $\exists a \in A. \text{above } r \ a = \{a\} \wedge (\forall a' \in A. \text{above } r \ a' = \{a'\} \longrightarrow a' = a)$

proof $-$

obtain $n :: \text{nat}$ **where**

len-n-plus-one: $n + 1 = \text{card } A$

using *Suc-eq-plus1 antisym-conv2 fin-A non-empty-A card-eq-0-iff*

gr0-implies-Suc le0

by *metis*

have *linear-order-on* $A \ r \wedge \text{finite } A \wedge A \neq \{\} \wedge n + 1 = \text{card } A \longrightarrow$

$(\exists a. a \in A \wedge \text{above } r \ a = \{a\})$

proof (*induction n arbitrary: A r*)

case 0

show *?case*

proof (*clarify*)

```

fix
  A' :: 'a set and
  r' :: 'a Preference-Relation
assume
  lin-ord-r: linear-order-on A' r' and
  len-A-is-one: 0 + 1 = card A'
then obtain a where A' = {a}
  using card-1-singletonE add.left-neutral
  by metis
hence a ∈ A' ∧ above r' a = {a}
  using above-def lin-ord-r connex-imp-refl above-refl lin-ord-imp-connex
  refl-on-domain
  by fastforce
thus ∃ a'. a' ∈ A' ∧ above r' a' = {a'}
  by metis
qed
next
case (Suc n)
show ?case
proof (clarify)
fix
  A' :: 'a set and
  r' :: 'a Preference-Relation
assume
  lin-ord-r: linear-order-on A' r' and
  fin-A: finite A' and
  A-not-empty: A' ≠ {} and
  len-A-n-plus-one: Suc n + 1 = card A'
then obtain B where
  subset-B-card: card B = n + 1 ∧ B ⊆ A'
  using Suc-inject add-Suc card.insert-remove finite.cases insert-Diff-single
  subset-insertI
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
then obtain a where
  a: A' - B = {a}
using Suc-eq-plus1 add-diff-cancel-left' fin-A len-A-n-plus-one card-1-singletonE
  card-Diff-subset finite-subset
  by metis
have ∃ a' ∈ B. above (limit B r') a' = {a'}
using subset-B-card Suc.IH add-diff-cancel-left' lin-ord-r card-eq-0-iff diff-le-self
  leD lessI limit-presv-lin-ord
  unfolding One-nat-def
  by metis
then obtain b where
  alt-b: above (limit B r') b = {b}
  by blast
hence b-above: {a'. (b, a') ∈ limit B r'} = {b}
  unfolding above-def
  by metis

```

hence $b\text{-pref-}b: b \preceq_{r'} b$
 using *CollectD limit-rel-presv-prefs singletonI*
 by (*metis (lifting)*)
 show $\exists a'. a' \in A' \wedge \text{above } r' a' = \{a'\}$
 proof (*cases*)
 assume $a\text{-pref-}r\text{-}b: a \preceq_{r'} b$
 have *refl-A*:
 $\forall A'' r'' a' a''. \text{refl-on } A'' r'' \wedge (a'::'a, a'') \in r'' \longrightarrow a' \in A'' \wedge a'' \in A''$
 using *refl-on-domain*
 by *metis*
 have *connex-refl*: $\forall A'' r''. \text{connex } (A''::'a \text{ set}) r'' \longrightarrow \text{refl-on } A'' r''$
 using *connex-imp-refl*
 by *metis*
 have $\forall A'' r''. \text{linear-order-on } (A''::'a \text{ set}) r'' \longrightarrow \text{connex } A'' r''$
 by (*simp add: lin-ord-imp-connex*)
 hence *refl-A'*: $\text{refl-on } A' r'$
 using *connex-refl lin-ord-r*
 by *metis*
 hence $a \in A' \wedge b \in A'$
 using *refl-A a-pref-r-b*
 by *simp*
 hence $b\text{-in-}r: \forall a'. a' \in A' \longrightarrow b = a' \vee (b, a') \in r' \vee (a', b) \in r'$
 using *lin-ord-r*
 unfolding *linear-order-on-def total-on-def*
 by *metis*
 have $b\text{-in-lim-}B\text{-}r: (b, b) \in \text{limit } B r'$
 using *alt-b mem-Collect-eq singletonI*
 unfolding *above-def*
 by *metis*
 have $b\text{-wins}: \{a'. (b, a') \in \text{limit } B r'\} = \{b\}$
 using *alt-b*
 unfolding *above-def*
 by (*metis (no-types)*)
 have $b\text{-refl}: (b, b) \in \{(a', a''). (a', a'') \in r' \wedge a' \in B \wedge a'' \in B\}$
 using *b-in-lim-B-r*
 by *simp*
 moreover have $b\text{-wins-}B: \forall b' \in B. b \in \text{above } r' b'$
 using *subset-B-card b-in-r b-wins b-refl CollectI Product-Type.Collect-case-prodD*
 unfolding *above-def*
 by *fastforce*
 moreover have $b \in \text{above } r' a$
 using *a-pref-r-b pref-imp-in-above*
 by *metis*
 ultimately have $b\text{-wins}: \forall a' \in A'. b \in \text{above } r' a'$
 using *Diff-iff a empty-iff insert-iff*
 by (*metis (no-types)*)
 hence $\forall a' \in A'. a' \in \text{above } r' b \longrightarrow a' = b$
 using *CollectD lin-ord-r lin-imp-antisym*
 unfolding *above-def antisym-def*

by *metis*
 hence $\forall a' \in A'. (a' \in \text{above } r' b) = (a' = b)$
 using *b-wins*
 by *blast*
 moreover have *above-b-in-A*: $\text{above } r' b \subseteq A'$
 unfolding *above-def*
 using *refl-A' refl-A*
 by *auto*
 ultimately have $\text{above } r' b = \{b\}$
 using *alt-b*
 unfolding *above-def*
 by *fastforce*
 thus ?thesis
 using *above-b-in-A*
 by *blast*
 next
 assume $\neg a \preceq_{r'} b$
 hence $b \preceq_{r'} a$
 using *subset-B-card DiffE a lin-ord-r alt-b limit-to-limits limited-dest*
singletonI subset-iff lin-ord-imp-connex pref-imp-in-above
 unfolding *connex-def*
 by *metis*
 hence *b-smaller-a*: $(b, a) \in r'$
 by *simp*
 have *lin-ord-subset-A*:
 $\forall B' B'' r''. \text{linear-order-on } (B''::'a \text{ set}) r'' \wedge B' \subseteq B'' \longrightarrow$
 $\text{linear-order-on } B' (\text{limit } B' r'')$
 using *limit-presv-lin-ord*
 by *metis*
 have $\{a'. (b, a') \in \text{limit } B r'\} = \{b\}$
 using *alt-b*
 unfolding *above-def*
 by *metis*
 hence *b-in-B*: $b \in B$
 by *auto*
 have *limit-B*: $\text{partial-order-on } B (\text{limit } B r') \wedge \text{total-on } B (\text{limit } B r')$
 using *lin-ord-subset-A subset-B-card lin-ord-r*
 unfolding *linear-order-on-def*
 by *metis*
 have
 $\forall A'' r''. \text{total-on } A'' r'' =$
 $(\forall a'. (a'::'a) \notin A'' \vee$
 $(\forall a''. a'' \notin A'' \vee a' = a'' \vee (a', a'') \in r'' \vee (a'', a') \in r''))$
 unfolding *total-on-def*
 by *metis*
 hence $\forall a' a''. a' \in B \longrightarrow a'' \in B \longrightarrow$
 $a' = a'' \vee (a', a'') \in \text{limit } B r' \vee (a'', a') \in \text{limit } B r'$

```

    using limit-B
    by simp
  hence  $\forall a' \in B. b \in \text{above } r' a'$ 
    using limit-rel-presv-prefs pref-imp-in-above singletonD mem-Collect-eq
      lin-ord-r alt-b b-above b-pref-b subset-B-card b-in-B
    by (metis (lifting))
  hence  $\forall a' \in B. a' \preceq_{r'} b$ 
    unfolding above-def
    by simp
  hence  $b\text{-wins}: \forall a' \in B. (a', b) \in r'$ 
    by simp
  have trans  $r'$ 
    using lin-ord-r lin-imp-trans
    by metis
  hence  $\forall a' \in B. (a', a) \in r'$ 
    using transE b-smaller-a b-wins
    by metis
  hence  $\forall a' \in B. a' \preceq_{r'} a$ 
    by simp
  hence nothing-above-a:  $\forall a' \in A'. a' \preceq_{r'} a$ 
  using a lin-ord-r lin-ord-imp-connex above-connex Diff-iff empty-iff insert-iff
    pref-imp-in-above
    by metis
  have  $\forall a' \in A'. (a' \in \text{above } r' a) = (a' = a)$ 
    using lin-ord-r lin-imp-antisym nothing-above-a pref-imp-in-above CollectD
    unfolding antisym-def above-def
    by metis
  moreover have above-a-in-A:  $\text{above } r' a \subseteq A'$ 
  using lin-ord-r connex-imp-refl lin-ord-imp-connex mem-Collect-eq refl-on-domain
    unfolding above-def
    by fastforce
  ultimately have  $\text{above } r' a = \{a\}$ 
    using a
    unfolding above-def
    by blast
  thus ?thesis
    using above-a-in-A
    by blast
qed
qed
qed
  hence  $\exists a. a \in A \wedge \text{above } r a = \{a\}$ 
    using fin-A non-empty-A lin-ord-r len-n-plus-one
    by blast
  thus ?thesis
    using assms lin-ord-imp-connex pref-imp-in-above singletonD
    unfolding connex-def
    by metis
qed

```

lemma *above-one-eq*:
fixes
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**
 $a :: 'a$ **and**
 $b :: 'a$
assumes
 $\text{lin-ord: linear-order-on } A \ r$ **and**
 $\text{fin-A: finite } A$ **and**
 $\text{not-empty-A: } A \neq \{\}$ **and**
 $\text{above-a: above } r \ a = \{a\}$ **and**
 $\text{above-b: above } r \ b = \{b\}$
shows $a = b$
proof –
have $a \preceq_r a$
using *above-a singletonI pref-imp-in-above*
by *metis*
also have $b \preceq_r b$
using *above-b singletonI pref-imp-in-above*
by *metis*
moreover have
 $\exists a' \in A. \text{above } r \ a' = \{a'\} \wedge (\forall a'' \in A. \text{above } r \ a'' = \{a''\} \longrightarrow a'' = a')$
using *lin-ord fin-A not-empty-A*
by (*simp add: above-one*)
moreover have *connex* $A \ r$
using *lin-ord*
by (*simp add: lin-ord-imp-connex*)
ultimately show $a = b$
using *above-a above-b limited-dest*
unfolding *connex-def*
by *metis*
qed

lemma *above-one-imp-rank-one*:
fixes
 $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**
 $a :: 'a$
assumes $\text{above } r \ a = \{a\}$
shows $\text{rank } r \ a = 1$
using *assms*
by *simp*

lemma *rank-one-imp-above-one*:
fixes
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**
 $a :: 'a$
assumes

```

    lin-ord: linear-order-on  $A$   $r$  and
    rank-one:  $\text{rank } r \ a = 1$ 
shows  $\text{above } r \ a = \{a\}$ 
proof –
  from lin-ord
  have refl-on  $A$   $r$ 
    using linear-order-on-def partial-order-onD
    by blast
  moreover from assms
  have  $a \in A$ 
    unfolding rank.simps above-def linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def
      preorder-on-def total-on-def
    using card-1-singletonE insertI1 mem-Collect-eq refl-onD1
    by metis
  ultimately have  $a \in \text{above } r \ a$ 
    using above-refl
    by fastforce
  with rank-one
  show  $\text{above } r \ a = \{a\}$ 
    using card-1-singletonE rank.simps singletonD
    by metis
qed

```

```

theorem above-rank:
  fixes
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$  and
     $a :: 'a$ 
  assumes linear-order-on  $A$   $r$ 
  shows  $(\text{above } r \ a = \{a\}) = (\text{rank } r \ a = 1)$ 
  using assms above-one-imp-rank-one rank-one-imp-above-one
  by metis

```

```

lemma rank-unique:
  fixes
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$  and
     $a :: 'a$  and
     $b :: 'a$ 
  assumes
    lin-ord: linear-order-on  $A$   $r$  and
    fin-A: finite  $A$  and
    a-in-A:  $a \in A$  and
    b-in-A:  $b \in A$  and
    a-neq-b:  $a \neq b$ 
  shows  $\text{rank } r \ a \neq \text{rank } r \ b$ 
proof (unfold rank.simps above-def, clarify)
  assume card-eq:  $\text{card } \{a'. (a, a') \in r\} = \text{card } \{a'. (b, a') \in r\}$ 
  have refl-r: refl-on  $A$   $r$ 

```



```

    using lin-ord
    by (simp add: lin-ord-imp-connex connex-imp-refl)
  hence rel-refl-b:  $(b, b) \in r$ 
    using b-in-A
    unfolding refl-on-def
    by (metis (no-types))
  have rel-refl-a:  $(a, a) \in r$ 
    using a-in-A refl-r refl-onD
    by (metis (full-types))
  obtain  $p :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where
    rel-b:  $\forall y. p\ y = ((b, y) \in r)$ 
    using is-less-preferred-than.simps
    by metis
  hence finite (Collect p)
    using refl-r refl-on-domain fin-A rev-finite-subset mem-Collect-eq subsetI
    by metis
  hence finite  $\{a'. (b, a') \in r\}$ 
    using rel-b
    by (simp add: Collect-mono rev-finite-subset)
  moreover with this
  have finite  $\{a'. (a, a') \in r\}$ 
    using card-eq card-gt-0-iff rel-refl-b
    by force
  moreover have trans r
    using lin-ord lin-imp-trans
    by metis
  moreover have  $(a, b) \in r \vee (b, a) \in r$ 
    using lin-ord a-in-A b-in-A a-neq-b
    unfolding linear-order-on-def total-on-def
    by metis
  ultimately have sets-eq:  $\{a'. (a, a') \in r\} = \{a'. (b, a') \in r\}$ 
    using card-eq above-trans card-seteq order-refl
    unfolding above-def
    by metis
  hence  $(b, a) \in r$ 
    using rel-refl-a sets-eq
    by blast
  hence  $(a, b) \notin r$ 
    using lin-ord lin-imp-antisym a-neq-b antisymD
    by metis
  thus False
    using lin-ord partial-order-onD sets-eq b-in-A
    unfolding linear-order-on-def refl-on-def
    by blast
qed

```

lemma above-presv-limit:
 fixes
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ and

$r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**
 $a :: 'a$
shows $\text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \subseteq A$
unfolding above-def
by auto

1.1.5 Lifting Property

definition $\text{equiv-rel-except-a} :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ Preference-Relation} \Rightarrow$
 $'a \text{ Preference-Relation} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{equiv-rel-except-a } A \ r \ r' \ a \equiv$
 $\text{linear-order-on } A \ r \wedge \text{linear-order-on } A \ r' \wedge a \in A \wedge$
 $(\forall a' \in A - \{a\}. \forall b' \in A - \{a\}. (a' \preceq_r b') = (a' \preceq_{r'} b'))$

definition $\text{lifted} :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ Preference-Relation} \Rightarrow$
 $'a \text{ Preference-Relation} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{lifted } A \ r \ r' \ a \equiv$
 $\text{equiv-rel-except-a } A \ r \ r' \ a \wedge (\exists a' \in A - \{a\}. a \preceq_r a' \wedge a' \preceq_{r'} a)$

lemma $\text{trivial-equiv-rel}:$
fixes
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$
assumes $\text{linear-order-on } A \ r$
shows $\forall a \in A. \text{equiv-rel-except-a } A \ r \ r \ a$
unfolding $\text{equiv-rel-except-a-def}$
using assms
by simp

lemma $\text{lifted-imp-equiv-rel-except-a}:$
fixes
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**
 $r' :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**
 $a :: 'a$
assumes $\text{lifted } A \ r \ r' \ a$
shows $\text{equiv-rel-except-a } A \ r \ r' \ a$
using assms
unfolding $\text{lifted-def equiv-rel-except-a-def}$
by simp

lemma $\text{lifted-imp-switched}:$
fixes
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**
 $r' :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**
 $a :: 'a$
assumes $\text{lifted } A \ r \ r' \ a$
shows $\forall a' \in A - \{a\}. \neg (a' \preceq_r a \wedge a \preceq_{r'} a')$

```

proof (safe)
  fix  $b :: 'a$ 
  assume
     $b\text{-in-}A$ :  $b \in A$  and
     $b\text{-neq-}a$ :  $b \neq a$  and
     $b\text{-pref-}a$ :  $b \preceq_r a$  and
     $a\text{-pref-}b$ :  $a \preceq_{r'} b$ 
  hence  $b\text{-pref-}a\text{-rel}$ :  $(b, a) \in r$ 
    by simp
  have  $a\text{-pref-}b\text{-rel}$ :  $(a, b) \in r'$ 
    using  $a\text{-pref-}b$ 
    by simp
  have antisym  $r$ 
    using assms lifted-imp-equiv-rel-except-a lin-imp-antisym
    unfolding equiv-rel-except-a-def
    by metis
  hence  $\forall a' b'. (a', b') \in r \longrightarrow (b', a') \in r \longrightarrow a' = b'$ 
    unfolding antisym-def
    by metis
  hence  $\text{imp-}b\text{-eq-}a$ :  $(b, a) \in r \implies (a, b) \in r \implies b = a$ 
    by simp
  have  $\exists a' \in A - \{a\}. a \preceq_r a' \wedge a' \preceq_{r'} a$ 
    using assms
    unfolding lifted-def
    by metis
  then obtain  $c :: 'a$  where
     $c \in A - \{a\} \wedge a \preceq_r c \wedge c \preceq_{r'} a$ 
    by metis
  hence  $c\text{-eq-}r\text{-s-exc-}a$ :  $c \in A - \{a\} \wedge (a, c) \in r \wedge (c, a) \in r'$ 
    by simp
  have  $\text{equiv-}r\text{-s-exc-}a$ : equiv-rel-except-a  $A$   $r$   $r'$   $a$ 
    using assms
    unfolding lifted-def
    by metis
  hence  $\forall a' \in A - \{a\}. \forall b' \in A - \{a\}. (a' \preceq_r b') = (a' \preceq_{r'} b')$ 
    unfolding equiv-rel-except-a-def
    by metis
  hence  $\text{equiv-}r\text{-s-exc-}a\text{-rel}$ :
     $\forall a' \in A - \{a\}. \forall b' \in A - \{a\}. ((a', b') \in r) = ((a', b') \in r')$ 
    by simp
  have  $\forall a' b' c'. (a', b') \in r \longrightarrow (b', c') \in r \longrightarrow (a', c') \in r$ 
    using  $\text{equiv-}r\text{-s-exc-}a$ 
    unfolding equiv-rel-except-a-def linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def
    preorder-on-def trans-def
    by metis
  hence  $(b, c) \in r'$ 
    using  $b\text{-in-}A$   $b\text{-neq-}a$   $b\text{-pref-}a\text{-rel}$   $c\text{-eq-}r\text{-s-exc-}a$   $\text{equiv-}r\text{-s-exc-}a$   $\text{equiv-}r\text{-s-exc-}a\text{-rel}$ 
    insertE insert-Diff
    unfolding equiv-rel-except-a-def

```

by *metis*
 hence $(a, c) \in r'$
 using *a-pref-b-rel b-pref-a-rel imp-b-eq-a b-neq-a equiv-r-s-exc-a*
 lin-imp-trans transE
 unfolding *equiv-rel-except-a-def*
 by *metis*
 thus *False*
 using *c-eq-r-s-exc-a equiv-r-s-exc-a antisymD DiffD2 lin-imp-antisym singletonI*
 unfolding *equiv-rel-except-a-def*
 by *metis*
 qed

lemma *lifted-mono*:

fixes
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ and
 $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ and
 $r' :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ and
 $a :: 'a$ and
 $a' :: 'a$
 assumes
 lifted: *lifted A r r' a* and
 $a'\text{-pref-a}$: $a' \preceq_r a$
 shows $a' \preceq_{r'} a$
 proof (*simp*)
 have $a'\text{-pref-a-rel}$: $(a', a) \in r$
 using *a'-pref-a*
 by *simp*
 hence $a'\text{-in-A}$: $a' \in A$
 using *lifted connex-imp-refl lin-ord-imp-connex refl-on-domain*
 unfolding *equiv-rel-except-a-def lifted-def*
 by *metis*
 have $\forall b \in A - \{a\}. \forall b' \in A - \{a\}. (b \preceq_r b') = (b \preceq_{r'} b')$
 using *lifted*
 unfolding *lifted-def equiv-rel-except-a-def*
 by *metis*
 hence *rest-eq*:
 $\forall b \in A - \{a\}. \forall b' \in A - \{a\}. ((b, b') \in r) = ((b, b') \in r')$
 by *simp*
 have $\exists b \in A - \{a\}. a \preceq_r b \wedge b \preceq_{r'} a$
 using *lifted*
 unfolding *lifted-def*
 by *metis*
 hence *ex-lifted*: $\exists b \in A - \{a\}. (a, b) \in r \wedge (b, a) \in r'$
 by *simp*
 show $(a', a) \in r'$
 proof (*cases a' = a*)
 case *True*
 thus ?thesis
 using *connex-imp-refl refl-onD lifted lin-ord-imp-connex*

```

    unfolding equiv-rel-except-a-def lifted-def
    by metis
next
case False
thus ?thesis
  using a'-pref-a-rel a'-in-A rest-eq ex-lifted insertE insert-Diff
        lifted lin-imp-trans lifted-imp-equiv-rel-except-a
  unfolding equiv-rel-except-a-def trans-def
  by metis
qed
qed

lemma lifted-above-subset:
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    r :: 'a Preference-Relation and
    r' :: 'a Preference-Relation and
    a :: 'a
  assumes lifted A r r' a
  shows above r' a  $\subseteq$  above r a
proof (unfold above-def, safe)
  fix a' :: 'a
  assume a-pref-x: (a, a')  $\in$  r'
  from assms
  have  $\exists b \in A - \{a\}. a \preceq_r b \wedge b \preceq_{r'} a$ 
    unfolding lifted-def
    by metis
  hence lifted-r:  $\exists b \in A - \{a\}. (a, b) \in r \wedge (b, a) \in r'$ 
    by simp
  from assms
  have  $\forall b \in A - \{a\}. \forall b' \in A - \{a\}. (b \preceq_r b') = (b \preceq_{r'} b')$ 
    unfolding lifted-def equiv-rel-except-a-def
    by metis
  hence rest-eq:  $\forall b \in A - \{a\}. \forall b' \in A - \{a\}. ((b, b') \in r) = ((b, b') \in r')$ 
    by simp
  from assms
  have trans-r:  $\forall b c d. (b, c) \in r \longrightarrow (c, d) \in r \longrightarrow (b, d) \in r$ 
    using lin-imp-trans
    unfolding trans-def lifted-def equiv-rel-except-a-def
    by metis
  from assms
  have trans-s:  $\forall b c d. (b, c) \in r' \longrightarrow (c, d) \in r' \longrightarrow (b, d) \in r'$ 
    using lin-imp-trans
    unfolding trans-def lifted-def equiv-rel-except-a-def
    by metis
  from assms
  have refl-r: (a, a)  $\in$  r
    using connex-imp-refl lin-ord-imp-connex refl-onD
    unfolding equiv-rel-except-a-def lifted-def

```

by *metis*
 from *a-pref-x assms*
 have $a' \in A$
 using *connex-imp-refl lin-ord-imp-connex refl-onD2*
 unfolding *equiv-rel-except-a-def lifted-def*
 by *metis*
 with *a-pref-x lifted-r rest-eq trans-r trans-s refl-r*
 show $(a, a') \in r$
 using *Diff-iff singletonD*
 by (*metis (full-types)*)
 qed

lemma *lifted-above-mono*:

fixes
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ and
 $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ and
 $r' :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ and
 $a :: 'a$ and
 $a' :: 'a$
 assumes
 $\text{lifted-}a: \text{lifted } A \ r \ r' \ a$ and
 $a'\text{-in-}A\text{-sub-}a: a' \in A - \{a\}$
 shows $\text{above } r \ a' \subseteq \text{above } r' \ a' \cup \{a\}$
 proof (*safe, simp*)
 fix $b :: 'a$
 assume
 $b\text{-in-above-}r: b \in \text{above } r \ a'$ and
 $b\text{-not-in-above-}s: b \notin \text{above } r' \ a'$
 have $\forall b' \in A - \{a\}. (a' \preceq_r b') = (a' \preceq_{r'} b')$
 using $a'\text{-in-}A\text{-sub-}a$ *lifted-}a*
 unfolding *lifted-def equiv-rel-except-a-def*
 by *metis*
 hence $\forall b' \in A - \{a\}. (b' \in \text{above } r \ a') = (b' \in \text{above } r' \ a')$
 unfolding *above-def*
 by *simp*
 hence $(b \in \text{above } r \ a') = (b \in \text{above } r' \ a')$
 using *lifted-}a b\text{-not-in-above-}s* *lifted-mono limited-dest lifted-def lin-ord-imp-connex*
 $\text{member-remove pref-imp-in-above}$
 unfolding *equiv-rel-except-a-def remove-def connex-def*
 by *metis*
 thus $b = a$
 using $b\text{-in-above-}r \ b\text{-not-in-above-}s$
 by *simp*
 qed

lemma *limit-lifted-imp-eq-or-lifted*:

fixes
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ and
 $A' :: 'a \text{ set}$ and

$r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**
 $r' :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**
 $a :: 'a$
assumes
 $\text{lifted: lifted } A' r r' a$ **and**
 $\text{subset: } A \subseteq A'$
shows $\text{limit } A r = \text{limit } A r' \vee \text{lifted } A (\text{limit } A r) (\text{limit } A r') a$
proof –
have $\forall a' \in A - \{a\}. \forall b' \in A - \{a\}. (a' \preceq_r b') = (a' \preceq_{r'} b')$
using lifted subset
unfolding $\text{lifted-def equiv-rel-except-a-def}$
by auto
hence eql-rs:
 $\forall a' \in A - \{a\}. \forall b' \in A - \{a\}. ((a', b') \in (\text{limit } A r)) = ((a', b') \in (\text{limit } A r'))$
using $\text{DiffD1 limit-presv-prefs limit-rel-presv-prefs}$
by simp
have $\text{lin-ord-r-s: linear-order-on } A (\text{limit } A r) \wedge \text{linear-order-on } A (\text{limit } A r')$
using $\text{lifted subset lifted-def equiv-rel-except-a-def limit-presv-lin-ord}$
by metis
show $?thesis$
proof (cases)
assume $a\text{-in-}A: a \in A$
thus $?thesis$
proof (cases)
assume $\exists a' \in A - \{a\}. a \preceq_r a' \wedge a' \preceq_{r'} a$
hence $\exists a' \in A - \{a\}. (let q = \text{limit } A r \text{ in } a \preceq_q a') \wedge (let u = \text{limit } A r' \text{ in } a' \preceq_u a)$
using $\text{DiffD1 limit-presv-prefs a-in-}A$
by simp
thus $?thesis$
using $a\text{-in-}A \text{ eql-rs lin-ord-r-s}$
unfolding $\text{lifted-def equiv-rel-except-a-def}$
by simp
next
assume $\neg (\exists a' \in A - \{a\}. a \preceq_r a' \wedge a' \preceq_{r'} a)$
hence $\text{strict-pref-to-}a: \forall a' \in A - \{a\}. \neg (a \preceq_r a' \wedge a' \preceq_{r'} a)$
by simp
moreover **have** $\text{not-worse: } \forall a' \in A - \{a\}. \neg (a' \preceq_r a \wedge a \preceq_{r'} a')$
using $\text{lifted subset lifted-imp-switched}$
by fastforce
moreover **have** $\text{connex: connex } A (\text{limit } A r) \wedge \text{connex } A (\text{limit } A r')$
using $\text{lifted subset limit-presv-lin-ord lin-ord-imp-connex}$
unfolding $\text{lifted-def equiv-rel-except-a-def}$
by metis
moreover **have**
 $\forall A'' r''. \text{connex } A'' r'' =$
 $(\text{limited } A'' r'' \wedge$
 $(\forall b b'. (b::'a) \in A'' \longrightarrow b' \in A'' \longrightarrow (b \preceq_{r''} b' \vee b' \preceq_{r''} b)))$

```

    unfolding connex-def
  by (simp add: Ball-def-raw)
hence limit-rel-r:
  limited A (limit A r) ∧
  (∀ b b'. b ∈ A ∧ b' ∈ A ⟶ (b, b') ∈ limit A r ∨ (b', b) ∈ limit A r)
  using connex
  by simp
have limit-imp-rel: ∀ b b' A'' r''. (b::'a, b') ∈ limit A'' r'' ⟶ b ≼r'' b'
  using limit-rel-presv-prefs
  by metis
have limit-rel-s:
  limited A (limit A r') ∧
  (∀ b b'. b ∈ A ∧ b' ∈ A ⟶ (b, b') ∈ limit A r' ∨ (b', b) ∈ limit A r')
  using connex
  unfolding connex-def
  by simp
ultimately have
  ∀ a' ∈ A - {a}. a ≼r a' ∧ a ≼r' a' ∨ a' ≼r a ∧ a' ≼r' a
  using DiffD1 limit-rel-r limit-rel-presv-prefs a-in-A
  by metis
have ∀ a' ∈ A - {a}. ((a, a') ∈ (limit A r)) = ((a, a') ∈ (limit A r'))
  using DiffD1 limit-imp-rel limit-rel-r limit-rel-s a-in-A
  strict-pref-to-a not-worse
  by metis
hence
  ∀ a' ∈ A - {a}.
  (let q = limit A r in a ≼q a') = (let q = limit A r' in a ≼q a')
  by simp
moreover have
  ∀ a' ∈ A - {a}. ((a', a) ∈ (limit A r)) = ((a', a) ∈ (limit A r'))
  using a-in-A strict-pref-to-a not-worse DiffD1 limit-rel-presv-prefs
  limit-rel-s limit-rel-r
  by metis
moreover have (a, a) ∈ (limit A r) ∧ (a, a) ∈ (limit A r')
  using a-in-A connex connex-imp-refl refl-onD
  by metis
ultimately show ?thesis
  using eql-rs
  by auto
qed
next
assume a ∉ A
thus ?thesis
  using limit-to-limits limited-dest subrelI subset-antisym eql-rs
  by auto
qed
qed
lemma negl-diff-imp-eq-limit:

```



```

fixes
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $A' :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$  and
   $r' :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$  and
   $a :: 'a$ 
assumes
  change: equiv-rel-except-a  $A' r r' a$  and
  subset:  $A \subseteq A'$  and
  not-in-A:  $a \notin A$ 
shows limit  $A r = \text{limit } A r'$ 
proof -
  have  $A \subseteq A' - \{a\}$ 
    unfolding subset-Diff-insert
    using not-in-A subset
    by simp
  hence  $\forall b \in A. \forall b' \in A. (b \preceq_r b') = (b \preceq_{r'} b')$ 
    using change in-mono
    unfolding equiv-rel-except-a-def
    by metis
  thus ?thesis
    by auto
qed

theorem lifted-above-winner-alts:
  fixes
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$  and
     $r' :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$  and
     $a :: 'a$  and
     $a' :: 'a$ 
  assumes
    lifted-a: lifted  $A r r' a$  and
    a'-above-a': above  $r a' = \{a'\}$  and
    fin-A: finite  $A$ 
  shows above  $r' a' = \{a'\} \vee \text{above } r' a = \{a\}$ 
proof (cases)
  assume  $a = a'$ 
  thus ?thesis
    using above-subset-geq-one lifted-a a'-above-a' lifted-above-subset
    unfolding lifted-def equiv-rel-except-a-def
    by metis
next
  assume a-neq-a':  $a \neq a'$ 
  thus ?thesis
proof (cases)
  assume above  $r' a' = \{a'\}$ 
  thus ?thesis
    by simp

```

```

next
  assume a'-not-above-a': above  $r'$   $a' \neq \{a'\}$ 
  have  $\forall a'' \in A. a'' \preceq_r a'$ 
  proof (safe)
    fix  $b :: 'a$ 
    assume y-in-A:  $b \in A$ 
    hence  $A \neq \{\}$ 
    by blast
    moreover have linear-order-on  $A$   $r$ 
    using lifted-a
    unfolding equiv-rel-except-a-def lifted-def
    by simp
    ultimately show  $b \preceq_r a'$ 
    using y-in-A a'-above-a' lin-ord-imp-connex pref-imp-in-above
      singletonD limited-dest singletonI
    unfolding connex-def
    by (metis (no-types))
  qed
  moreover have equiv-rel-except-a  $A$   $r$   $r'$   $a$ 
  using lifted-a
  unfolding lifted-def
  by metis
  moreover have  $a' \in A - \{a\}$ 
  using a-neq-a' calculation member-remove
    limited-dest lin-ord-imp-connex
  using equiv-rel-except-a-def remove-def connex-def
  by metis
  ultimately have  $\forall a'' \in A - \{a\}. a'' \preceq_{r'} a'$ 
  using DiffD1 lifted-a
  unfolding equiv-rel-except-a-def
  by metis
  hence  $\forall a'' \in A - \{a\}. \text{above } r' a'' \neq \{a''\}$ 
  using a'-not-above-a' empty-iff insert-iff pref-imp-in-above
  by metis
  hence above  $r'$   $a = \{a\}$ 
  using Diff-iff all-not-in-conv lifted-a above-one singleton-iff fin-A
  unfolding lifted-def equiv-rel-except-a-def
  by metis
  thus above  $r'$   $a' = \{a'\} \vee \text{above } r' a = \{a\}$ 
  by simp
  qed
qed

theorem lifted-above-winner-single:
  fixes
     $A :: 'a$  set and
     $r :: 'a$  Preference-Relation and
     $r' :: 'a$  Preference-Relation and
     $a :: 'a$ 

```

```

assumes
  lifted  $A$   $r$   $r'$   $a$  and
  above  $r$   $a = \{a\}$  and
  finite  $A$ 
shows above  $r'$   $a = \{a\}$ 
using assms lifted-above-winner-alts
by metis

theorem lifted-above-winner-other:
fixes
   $A :: 'a$  set and
   $r :: 'a$  Preference-Relation and
   $r' :: 'a$  Preference-Relation and
   $a :: 'a$  and
   $a' :: 'a$ 
assumes
  lifted-a: lifted  $A$   $r$   $r'$   $a$  and
  a'-above-a': above  $r'$   $a' = \{a'\}$  and
  fin-A: finite  $A$  and
  a-not-a':  $a \neq a'$ 
shows above  $r$   $a' = \{a'\}$ 
proof (rule ccontr)
assume not-above-x: above  $r$   $a' \neq \{a'\}$ 
then obtain  $b$  where
  b-above-b: above  $r$   $b = \{b\}$ 
using lifted-a fin-A insert-Diff insert-not-empty above-one
unfolding lifted-def equiv-rel-except-a-def
by metis
hence above  $r'$   $b = \{b\} \vee$  above  $r'$   $a = \{a\}$ 
using lifted-a fin-A lifted-above-winner-alts
by metis
moreover have  $\forall a''. \text{above } r' a'' = \{a''\} \longrightarrow a'' = a'$ 
using all-not-in-conv lifted-a a'-above-a' fin-A above-one-eq
unfolding lifted-def equiv-rel-except-a-def
by metis
ultimately have  $b = a'$ 
using a-not-a'
by presburger
moreover have  $b \neq a'$ 
using not-above-x b-above-b
by blast
ultimately show False
by simp
qed

end

```

1.2 Norm

```

theory Norm
  imports HOL-Library.Extended-Real
           HOL-Combinatorics.List-Permutation
begin

```

A norm on R to n is a mapping $N: R \mapsto n$ on R that has the following properties:

- positive scalability: $N(a * u) = |a| * N(u)$ for all u in R to n and all a in R ;
- positive semidefiniteness: $N(u) \geq 0$ for all u in R to n , and $N(u) = 0$ if and only if $u = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$;
- triangle inequality: $N(u + v) \leq N(u) + N(v)$ for all u and v in R to n .

1.2.1 Definition

type-synonym $Norm = ereal\ list \Rightarrow ereal$

definition $norm :: Norm \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $norm\ n \equiv \forall\ (x :: ereal\ list).\ n\ x \geq 0 \wedge (\forall\ i < length\ x.\ (x[i] = 0) \longrightarrow n\ x = 0)$

1.2.2 Auxiliary Lemmas

```

lemma sum-over-image-of-bijection:
  fixes
     $A :: 'a\ set$  and
     $A' :: 'b\ set$  and
     $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b$  and
     $g :: 'a \Rightarrow ereal$ 
  assumes  $bij\_betw\ f\ A\ A'$ 
  shows  $(\sum\ a \in A.\ g\ a) = (\sum\ a' \in A'.\ g\ (the\_inv\_into\ A\ f\ a'))$ 
  using  $assms$ 
proof ( $induction\ card\ A\ arbitrary:\ A\ A'$ )
  case 0
  hence  $card\ A' = 0$ 
  using  $bij\_betw\_same\_card\ assms$ 
  by  $metis$ 
  hence  $(\sum\ a \in A.\ g\ a) = 0 \wedge (\sum\ a' \in A'.\ g\ (the\_inv\_into\ A\ f\ a')) = 0$ 
  using  $0\ card\_0\_eq\ sum.empty\ sum.infinite$ 
  by  $metis$ 
  thus  $?case$ 
  by  $simp$ 
next

```

```

case (Suc x)
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  A' :: 'b set and
  x :: nat
assume
  IH:  $\bigwedge A A'. x = \text{card } A \implies$ 
       $\text{bij-betw } f A A' \implies \text{sum } g A = (\sum a \in A'. g (\text{the-inv-into } A f a))$  and
  suc: Suc x = card A and
  bij-A-A':  $\text{bij-betw } f A A'$ 
obtain a where
  a-in-A:  $a \in A$ 
  using suc card-eq-SucD insertI1
  by metis
have a-compl-A:  $\text{insert } a (A - \{a\}) = A$ 
  using a-in-A
  by blast
have inj-on-A-A':  $\text{inj-on } f A \wedge A' = f^{-1} A$ 
  using bij-A-A'
  unfolding bij-betw-def
  by simp
hence inj-on-A:  $\text{inj-on } f A$ 
  by simp
have img-of-A:  $A' = f^{-1} A$ 
  using inj-on-A-A'
  by simp
have inj-on f (insert a A)
  using inj-on-A a-compl-A
  by simp
hence A'-sub-fa:  $A' - \{f a\} = f^{-1} (A - \{a\})$ 
  using img-of-A
  by blast
hence bij-without-a:  $\text{bij-betw } f (A - \{a\}) (A' - \{f a\})$ 
  using inj-on-A a-compl-A inj-on-insert
  unfolding bij-betw-def
  by (metis (no-types))
have  $\forall f A A'. \text{bij-betw } f (A::'a \text{ set}) (A'::'b \text{ set}) = (\text{inj-on } f A \wedge f^{-1} A = A')$ 
  unfolding bij-betw-def
  by simp
hence inv-without-a:
   $\forall a' \in A' - \{f a\}. \text{the-inv-into } (A - \{a\}) f a' = \text{the-inv-into } A f a'$ 
  using inj-on-A A'-sub-fa
  by (simp add: inj-on-diff the-inv-into-f-eq)
have card-without-a:  $\text{card } (A - \{a\}) = x$ 
  using suc a-in-A Diff-empty card-Diff-insert diff-Suc-1 empty-iff
  by simp
hence card-A'-from-x:  $\text{card } A' = \text{Suc } x \wedge \text{card } (A' - \{f a\}) = x$ 
  using suc bij-A-A' bij-without-a
  by (simp add: bij-betw-same-card)

```

hence $(\sum a \in A. g a) = (\sum a \in (A - \{a\}). g a) + g a$
 using *suc add commute card-Diff1-less-iff insert-Diff insert-Diff-single lessI*
 sum.insert-remove card-without-a
 by *metis*
 also have $\dots = (\sum a' \in (A' - \{f a\}). g (the-inv-into (A - \{a\}) f a')) + g a$
 using *IH bij-without-a card-without-a*
 by *simp*
 also have $\dots = (\sum a' \in (A' - \{f a\}). g (the-inv-into A f a')) + g a$
 using *inv-without-a*
 by *simp*
 also have $\dots = (\sum a' \in (A' - \{f a\}). g (the-inv-into A f a')) +$
 $g (the-inv-into A f (f a))$
 using *a-in-A bij-A-A'*
 by *(simp add: bij-betw-imp-inj-on the-inv-into-f-f)*
 also have $\dots = (\sum a' \in A'. g (the-inv-into A f a'))$
 using *add commute card-Diff1-less-iff insert-Diff insert-Diff-single lessI*
 sum.insert-remove card-A'-from-x
 by *metis*
 finally show $(\sum a \in A. g a) = (\sum a' \in A'. g (the-inv-into A f a'))$
 by *simp*
 qed

1.2.3 Common Norms

fun *l-one* :: Norm where
 l-one $x = (\sum i < length\ x. |x[i]|)$

1.2.4 Properties

definition *symmetry* :: Norm \Rightarrow bool where
 symmetry $n \equiv \forall\ x\ y. x <\sim\sim> y \longrightarrow n\ x = n\ y$

1.2.5 Theorems

theorem *l-one-is-sym*: *symmetry l-one*

proof (*unfold symmetry-def, safe*)

fix

l :: ereal list and

l' :: ereal list

assume *perm*: $l <\sim\sim> l'$

from *perm* obtain π

where

$perm_\pi$: π permutes $\{..< length\ l\}$ and

l_π : permute-list $\pi\ l = l'$

using *mset-eq-permutation*

by *metis*

from $perm_\pi\ l_\pi$

have $(\sum i < length\ l. |l[i]|) = (\sum i < length\ l. |l'(\pi\ i)|)$

using *permute-list-nth*

by *fastforce*

```

also have ... = ( $\sum i < \text{length } l. |l(\pi (\text{inv } \pi i))|$ )
  using permπ permutes-inv-eq f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw permutes-imp-bij
    sum.cong sum-over-image-of-bijection
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG))
also have ... = ( $\sum i < \text{length } l. |l!i|$ )
  using permπ permutes-inv-eq
  by metis
finally have ( $\sum i < \text{length } l. |l!i|$ ) = ( $\sum i < \text{length } l. |l!i|$ )
  by simp
moreover have length l = length l'
  using perm perm-length
  by metis
ultimately show l-one l = l-one l'
  using l-one.elims
  by metis
qed

end

```

1.3 Preference Profile

```

theory Profile
  imports Preference-Relation
    HOL.Finite-Set
    HOL-Library.Extended-Nat
    HOL-Combinatorics.List-Permutation
begin

```

Preference profiles denote the decisions made by the individual voters on the eligible alternatives. They are represented in the form of one preference relation (e.g., selected on a ballot) per voter, collectively captured in a mapping of voters onto their respective preference relations. If there are finitely many voters, they can be enumerated and the mapping can be interpreted as a list of preference relations. Unlike the common preference profiles in the social-choice sense, the profiles described here consider only the (sub-)set of alternatives that are received.

1.3.1 Definition

A profile contains one ballot for each voter. An election consists of a set of participating voters, a set of eligible alternatives and a corresponding profile.

type-synonym (*'a*, *'v*) *Profile* = *'v* \Rightarrow (*'a* *Preference-Relation*)

type-synonym $(\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election} = \text{'a set} \times \text{'v set} \times (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Profile}$

fun *election-equality* :: $(\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election} \Rightarrow (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
election-equality $(A, V, p) (A', V', p') = (A = A' \wedge V = V' \wedge (\forall v \in V. p \ v = p' \ v))$

abbreviation *alts- \mathcal{E}* :: $(\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election} \Rightarrow \text{'a set}$ **where** *alts- \mathcal{E}* $E \equiv \text{fst } E$

abbreviation *votrs- \mathcal{E}* :: $(\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election} \Rightarrow \text{'v set}$ **where** *votrs- \mathcal{E}* $E \equiv \text{fst } (\text{snd } E)$

abbreviation *prof- \mathcal{E}* :: $(\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election} \Rightarrow (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Profile}$ **where** *prof- \mathcal{E}* $E \equiv \text{snd } (\text{snd } E)$

A profile on a set of alternatives A and a voter set V consists of ballots that are linear orders on A for all voters in V. A finite profile is one with finitely many alternatives and voters.

definition *profile* :: $\text{'v set} \Rightarrow \text{'a set} \Rightarrow (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Profile} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
profile $V \ A \ p \equiv \forall v \in V. \text{linear-order-on } A \ (p \ v)$

abbreviation *finite-profile* :: $\text{'v set} \Rightarrow \text{'a set} \Rightarrow (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Profile} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
finite-profile $V \ A \ p \equiv \text{finite } A \wedge \text{finite } V \wedge \text{profile } V \ A \ p$

abbreviation *finite-election* :: $(\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
finite-election $E \equiv \text{finite-profile } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E) (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E)$

definition *finite-voter-elections* :: $(\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election set}$ **where**
finite-voter-elections =
 $\{el :: (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election}. \text{finite } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ el)\}$

definition *finite-elections* :: $(\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election set}$ **where**
finite-elections =
 $\{el :: (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election}. \text{finite-profile } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ el) (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ el) (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ el)\}$

definition *valid-elections* :: $(\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election set}$ **where**
valid-elections = $\{E. \text{profile } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E) (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E)\}$

— Elections with fixed alternatives, finite voters and a default value for the profile value on non-voters.

fun *fixed-alt-elections* :: $\text{'a set} \Rightarrow (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election set}$ **where**
fixed-alt-elections $A = \text{valid-elections} \cap$
 $\{E. \text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E = A \wedge \text{finite } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \wedge (\forall v. v \notin \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E \longrightarrow \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = \{\})\}$

— Counts the occurrences of a ballot in an election, i.e. how many voters chose that exact ballot.

fun *vote-count* :: $\text{'a Preference-Relation} \Rightarrow (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**
vote-count $p \ E = \text{card } \{v \in (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E). (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ v = p\}$

1.3.2 Vote Count

lemma *sum-comp*:

fixes

$f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'z::comm-monoid-add$ **and**

$g :: 'y \Rightarrow 'x$ **and**

$X :: 'x \text{ set}$ **and**

$Y :: 'y \text{ set}$

assumes

$bij\text{-}betw\ g\ Y\ X$

shows

$sum\ f\ X = sum\ (f \circ g)\ Y$

using *assms*

proof (*induction card X arbitrary: X Y f g*)

case 0

assume $bij\text{-}betw\ g\ Y\ X$

hence $card\ Y = 0$

by (*simp add: 0.hyps bij-betw-same-card*)

hence $sum\ f\ X = 0 \wedge sum\ (f \circ g)\ Y = 0$

using *assms 0*

by (*metis card-0-eq sum.empty sum.infinite*)

thus *?case*

by *simp*

next

case (*Suc n*)

assume

$Suc\ n = card\ X$ **and** bij : $bij\text{-}betw\ g\ Y\ X$ **and**

hyp: $\bigwedge X\ Y\ f\ g.\ n = card\ X \implies bij\text{-}betw\ g\ Y\ X \implies sum\ f\ X = sum\ (f \circ g)\ Y$

then obtain $x :: 'x$ **where** $x \in X$ **by** *fastforce*

with bij **have** $bij\text{-}betw\ g\ (Y - \{the\text{-}inv\text{-}into\ Y\ g\ x\})\ (X - \{x\})$

using *bij-betw-DiffI bij-betw-apply bij-betw-singletonI bij-betw-the-inv-into empty-subsetI f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw insert-subsetI*

by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting)*)

moreover have $n = card\ (X - \{x\})$

using $\langle Suc\ n = card\ X \rangle \langle x \in X \rangle$

by *fastforce*

ultimately have $sum\ f\ (X - \{x\}) = sum\ (f \circ g)\ (Y - \{the\text{-}inv\text{-}into\ Y\ g\ x\})$

using *hyp Suc*

by *blast*

moreover have

$sum\ (f \circ g)\ Y = f\ (g\ (the\text{-}inv\text{-}into\ Y\ g\ x)) + sum\ (f \circ g)\ (Y - \{the\text{-}inv\text{-}into\ Y\ g\ x\})$

using *Suc.hyps(2) $\langle x \in X \rangle$ bij bij-betw-def calculation card.infinite*

f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw nat.discI sum.reindex sum.remove

by *metis*

moreover have $f\ (g\ (the\text{-}inv\text{-}into\ Y\ g\ x)) + sum\ (f \circ g)\ (Y - \{the\text{-}inv\text{-}into\ Y\ g\ x\}) =$

$f\ x + sum\ (f \circ g)\ (Y - \{the\text{-}inv\text{-}into\ Y\ g\ x\})$

by (*metis $\langle x \in X \rangle$ bij f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw*)

moreover have $sum\ f\ X = f\ x + sum\ f\ (X - \{x\})$

by (metis Suc.hyps(2) Zero-neq-Suc $\langle x \in X \rangle$ card.infinite sum.remove)
 ultimately show ?case
 by simp
 qed

lemma *vote-count-sum*:

fixes

$E :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}$

assumes

$\text{finite } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \text{ and}$

$\text{finite } (\text{UNIV} :: ('a \times 'a) \text{ set})$

shows

$\text{sum } (\lambda p. \text{vote-count } p \ E) \ \text{UNIV} = \text{card } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E)$

proof (simp)

have $\forall p. \text{finite } \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\}$

using *assms*

by *force*

moreover have

$\text{disjoint } \{\{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV}\}$

unfolding *disjoint-def*

by *blast*

moreover have *partition*:

$\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E = \bigcup \{\{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV}\}$

using *Union-eq*[of $\{\{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV}\}$]

by *blast*

ultimately have *card-eq-sum'*:

$\text{card } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E) = \text{sum card } \{\{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV}\}$

using *card-Union-disjoint*[of $\{\{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV}\}$]

by *auto*

have $\text{finite } \{\{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV}\}$

using *partition assms*

by (simp add: *finite-UnionD*)

moreover have

$\{\{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV}\} =$

$\{\{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p.$

$p \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}\} \cup$

$\{\{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p.$

$p \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} = \{\}\}$

by *blast*

moreover have

$\{\} = \{\{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p.$

$p \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}\} \cap$

$\{\{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p.$

$p \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} = \{\}\}$

by *blast*

ultimately have $\text{sum card } \{\{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV}\} =$

$\text{sum card } \{\{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p.$

$p \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}\} +$

$\text{sum card } \{\{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p.$

$p \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} = \{\}$
using *sum.union-disjoint*[of
 $\{\{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p.$
 $p \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}$
 $\{\{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p.$
 $p \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} = \{\}\}$
by *simp*
moreover have
 $\forall X \in \{\{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p.$
 $p \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} = \{\}. \text{card } X = 0$
using *card-eq-0-iff*
by *fastforce*
ultimately have *card-eq-sum*:
 $\text{card } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E) = \text{sum card } \{\{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p.$
 $p \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}$
using *card-eq-sum'*
by *simp*
have *inj-on* $(\lambda p. \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\})$
 $\{p. \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}$
unfolding *inj-on-def*
by *blast*
moreover have
 $(\lambda p. \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\}) \text{ ' } \{p. \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\}$
 $\neq \{\} \subseteq$
 $\{\{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p.$
 $p \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}$
by *blast*
moreover have
 $(\lambda p. \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\}) \text{ ' } \{p. \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\}$
 $\neq \{\} \supseteq$
 $\{\{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p.$
 $p \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}$
by *blast*
ultimately have *bij-betw* $(\lambda p. \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\})$
 $\{p. \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}$
 $\{\{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p.$
 $p \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}$
unfolding *bij-betw-def*
by *simp*
hence *sum-rewrite*:
 $(\sum x \in \{p. \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}.$
 $\text{card } \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = x\}) =$
 $\text{sum card } \{\{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p.$
 $p \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}$
using *sum-comp*[of $\lambda p. \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\}$
 $\{p. \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}$
 $\{\{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p.$
 $p \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}$
card]

```

unfolding comp-def
by simp
have  $\{p. \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} = \{\}\} \cap$ 
 $\{p. \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}\} = \{\}$ 
by blast
moreover have  $\{p. \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} = \{\}\} \cup$ 
 $\{p. \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}\} = \text{UNIV}$ 
by blast
ultimately have  $(\sum p \in \text{UNIV}. \text{card } \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\}) =$ 
 $(\sum x \in \{p. \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}\}. \text{card } \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E}$ 
 $E \ v = x\}) +$ 
 $(\sum x \in \{p. \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} = \{\}\}. \text{card } \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E}$ 
 $E \ v = x\})$ 
using assms sum.union-disjoint[of
 $\{p. \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} = \{\}\}$ 
 $\{p. \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \neq \{\}\}$ 
 $\lambda p. \text{card } \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\}$ 
 $\text{by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Finite-Set.finite-set add.commute finite-Un)}$ 
moreover have  $\forall x \in \{p. \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} = \{\}\}.$ 
 $\text{card } \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = x\} = 0$ 
using card-eq-0-iff
by fastforce
ultimately show  $(\sum p \in \text{UNIV}. \text{card } \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\}) = \text{card}$ 
 $(\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E)$ 
using card-eq-sum sum-rewrite
by simp
qed

```

1.3.3 Voter Permutations

A common action of interest on elections is renaming the voters, e.g. when talking about anonymity.

```

fun rename ::  $('v \Rightarrow 'v) \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Election} \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}$  where
  rename  $\pi (A, V, p) = (A, \pi \text{ ` } V, p \circ (\text{the-inv } \pi))$ 

```

lemma *rename-sound*:

```

fixes
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
   $\pi :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$ 
assumes
  prof: profile  $V \ A \ p$  and
  renamed:  $(A, V', q) = \text{rename } \pi (A, V, p)$  and
  bij: bij  $\pi$ 
shows profile  $V' \ A \ q$ 
proof (unfold profile-def, safe)
fix
   $v' :: 'v$ 

```

```

assume  $v' \in V'$ 
let  $?q\text{-img} = (((the\text{-}inv) \pi) v')$ 
have  $V' = \pi \text{ ' } V$  using renamed by simp
hence  $?q\text{-img} \in V$ 
  using UNIV-I  $\langle v' \in V' \rangle$  bij bij-is-inj bij-is-surj
    f-the-inv-into-f inj-image-mem-iff
  by (metis)
hence linear-order-on A ( $p ?q\text{-img}$ )
  using prof
  by (simp add: profile-def)
moreover have  $q v' = p ?q\text{-img}$  using renamed bij by simp
ultimately show linear-order-on A ( $q v'$ ) by simp
qed

```

```

lemma rename-finite:
  fixes
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
     $\pi :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$ 
  assumes
    prof: finite-profile V A p and
    renamed: (A, V', q) = rename  $\pi$  (A, V, p) and
    bij: bij  $\pi$ 
  shows finite-profile V' A q
proof (safe)
  show finite A
    using prof
    by auto
  show finite V'
    using bij renamed prof
    by simp
  show profile V' A q
    using assms rename-sound
    by metis
qed

```

```

lemma rename-inv:
  fixes
     $\pi :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$  and
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
  assumes
    bij  $\pi$ 
  shows
     $rename \pi (rename (the\text{-}inv \pi) (A, V, p)) = (A, V, p)$ 
proof –
  have  $rename \pi (rename (the\text{-}inv \pi) (A, V, p)) =$ 

```

$(A, \pi \text{ ‘ } (the\text{-}inv \ \pi) \text{ ‘ } V, p \circ (the\text{-}inv \ (the\text{-}inv \ \pi)) \circ (the\text{-}inv \ \pi))$
 by *simp*
 moreover have $\pi \text{ ‘ } (the\text{-}inv \ \pi) \text{ ‘ } V = V$
 using *assms*
 by (*simp add: f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw image-comp*)
 moreover have $(the\text{-}inv \ (the\text{-}inv \ \pi)) = \pi$
 using *assms bij-betw-def inj-on-the-inv-into surj-def surj-imp-inv-eq the-inv-f-f*
 by (*metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting)*)
 moreover have $\pi \circ (the\text{-}inv \ \pi) = id$
 using *assms f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw*
 by *fastforce*
 ultimately show $rename \ \pi \ (rename \ (the\text{-}inv \ \pi) \ (A, V, p)) = (A, V, p)$
 by (*simp add: rewriteR-comp-comp*)
 qed

lemma *rename-inj*:

fixes
 $\pi :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$
 assumes
 $bij: bij \ \pi$
 shows *inj* (*rename* π)
 proof (*unfold inj-def, clarsimp*)
 fix
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ and $V' :: 'v \text{ set}$ and
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ and $p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$
 assume
 $eq\text{-}V: \pi \text{ ‘ } V = \pi \text{ ‘ } V'$ and
 $p \circ the\text{-}inv \ \pi = p' \circ the\text{-}inv \ \pi$
 hence $p \circ the\text{-}inv \ \pi \circ \pi = p' \circ the\text{-}inv \ \pi \circ \pi$
 by *simp*
 hence $p = p'$
 using $\langle bij \ \pi \rangle$
 by (*metis bij-betw-the-inv-into bij-is-surj surj-fun-eq*)
 moreover have $V = V'$
 using $\langle bij \ \pi \rangle eq\text{-}V$
 by (*simp add: bij-betw-imp-inj-on inj-image-eq-iff*)
 ultimately show $V = V' \wedge p = p'$
 by *blast*
 qed

lemma *rename-surj*:

fixes
 $\pi :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$
 assumes
 $bij \ \pi$
 shows
on-valid-els: $rename \ \pi \text{ ‘ } valid\text{-elections} = valid\text{-elections}$ and
on-finite-els: $rename \ \pi \text{ ‘ } finite\text{-elections} = finite\text{-elections}$
 proof (*safe*)

```

fix
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and  $A' :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and  $V' :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and  $p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
assume
   $\text{valid}: (A, V, p) \in \text{valid-elections}$ 
have  $\text{bij } (\text{the-inv } \pi)$ 
  using  $\langle \text{bij } \pi \rangle \text{ bij-betw-the-inv-into}$ 
  by blast
hence
   $\text{rename } (\text{the-inv } \pi) (A, V, p) \in \text{valid-elections}$ 
  using rename-sound valid
  unfolding valid-elections-def
  by fastforce
thus  $(A, V, p) \in \text{rename } \pi \text{ `valid-elections}$ 
  using assms image-eqI rename-inv[of  $\pi$  A V p]
  by metis
assume  $(A', V', p') = \text{rename } \pi (A, V, p)$ 
thus  $(A', V', p') \in \text{valid-elections}$ 
  using rename-sound valid assms
  unfolding valid-elections-def
  by fastforce
next
fix
   $A :: 'b \text{ set}$  and  $A' :: 'b \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and  $V' :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('b, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and  $p' :: ('b, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
assume
   $\text{finite}: (A, V, p) \in \text{finite-elections}$ 
have  $\text{bij } (\text{the-inv } \pi)$ 
  using  $\langle \text{bij } \pi \rangle \text{ bij-betw-the-inv-into}$ 
  by blast
hence
   $\text{rename } (\text{the-inv } \pi) (A, V, p) \in \text{finite-elections}$ 
  using rename-finite finite
  unfolding finite-elections-def
  by fastforce
thus  $(A, V, p) \in \text{rename } \pi \text{ `finite-elections}$ 
  using assms image-eqI rename-inv[of  $\pi$  A V p]
  by metis
assume  $(A', V', p') = \text{rename } \pi (A, V, p)$ 
thus  $(A', V', p') \in \text{finite-elections}$ 
  using rename-sound finite assms
  unfolding finite-elections-def
  by fastforce
qed

```

1.3.4 List Representation for Ordered Voter Types

A profile on a voter set that has a natural order can be viewed as a list of ballots.

```
fun to-list :: 'v::linorder set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Profile
       $\Rightarrow$  ('a Preference-Relation) list where
  to-list V p = (if (finite V)
                  then (map p (sorted-list-of-set V))
                  else [])
```

lemma map2-helper:

```
fixes
  f :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  'y  $\Rightarrow$  'z and
  g :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  'x and
  h :: 'y  $\Rightarrow$  'y and
  l1 :: 'x list and
  l2 :: 'y list
shows
  map2 f (map g l1) (map h l2) = map2 ( $\lambda x y. f (g x) (h y)$ ) l1 l2
proof -
  have map2 f (map g l1) (map h l2) = map ( $\lambda(x, y). f x y$ ) (zip (map g l1) (map
  h l2))
    by simp
  moreover have map ( $\lambda(x, y). f x y$ ) (zip (map g l1) (map h l2)) =
    map ( $\lambda(x, y). f x y$ ) (map ( $\lambda(x, y). (g x, h y)$ ) (zip l1 l2))
    using zip-map-map
    by metis
  moreover have map ( $\lambda(x, y). f x y$ ) (map ( $\lambda(x, y). (g x, h y)$ ) (zip l1 l2)) =
    map (( $\lambda(x, y). f x y$ )  $\circ$  ( $\lambda(x, y). (g x, h y)$ )) (zip l1 l2)
    by simp
  moreover have map (( $\lambda(x, y). f x y$ )  $\circ$  ( $\lambda(x, y). (g x, h y)$ )) (zip l1 l2) =
    map ( $\lambda(x, y). f (g x) (h y)$ ) (zip l1 l2)
    by auto
  moreover have map ( $\lambda(x, y). f (g x) (h y)$ ) (zip l1 l2) = map2 ( $\lambda x y. f (g x)$ 
  (h y)) l1 l2
    by simp
  ultimately show
    map2 f (map g l1) (map h l2) = map2 ( $\lambda x y. f (g x) (h y)$ ) l1 l2
    by simp
qed
```

lemma to-list-simp:

```
fixes
  i :: nat and
  V :: 'v::linorder set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
assumes
  i < card V
shows (to-list V p)!i = p ((sorted-list-of-set V)!i)
```



```

proof –
  have (to-list V p)!i = (map p (sorted-list-of-set V))!i
    by auto
  also have ... = p ((sorted-list-of-set V)!i)
    by (simp add: assms)
  finally show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma to-list-comp:
  fixes
    V :: 'v::linorder set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
    f :: 'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  'a rel
  shows to-list V (f  $\circ$  p) = map f (to-list V p)
proof –
  have  $\forall i < \text{card } V. (to-list V (f \circ p))!i = (f \circ p) ((sorted-list-of-set V)!i)$ 
    using to-list-simp
    by blast
  moreover have
     $\forall i < \text{card } V. (f \circ p) ((sorted-list-of-set V)!i) = (map (f \circ p) (sorted-list-of-set V))!i$ 
unfolding map-def
  by simp
  moreover have
     $\forall i < \text{card } V. (map (f \circ p) (sorted-list-of-set V))!i =$ 
     $(map f (map p (sorted-list-of-set V)))!i$ 
  by simp
  moreover have map p (sorted-list-of-set V) = to-list V p
    using to-list-simp
    by (simp add: list-eq-iff-nth-eq)
  ultimately have  $\forall i < \text{card } V. (to-list V (f \circ p))!i = (map f (to-list V p))!i$ 
    by presburger
  moreover have length (map f (to-list V p)) = card V
    by simp
  moreover have length (to-list V (f  $\circ$  p)) = card V
    by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (simp add: nth-equalityI)
qed

lemma set-card-upper-bound:
  fixes i::nat and V :: nat set
  assumes finite V and ( $\forall v \in V. i > v$ )
  shows ( $i \geq \text{card } V$ )
proof (cases V = {})
  case True
    thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    case False

```

```

have Max  $V \in V$  using ⟨finite  $V$ ⟩
  by (simp add: False)
moreover have Max  $V \geq (\text{card } V) - 1$ 
  by (metis False Max-ge-iff assms(1) calculation card-Diff1-less
    card-Diff-singleton finite-enumerate-in-set finite-le-enumerate)
ultimately show ?thesis
  using assms
  by fastforce
qed

lemma sorted-list-of-set-nth-equals-card:
  fixes
     $V :: 'v::\text{linorder set}$  and
     $x :: 'v$ 
  assumes
    fin-V: finite  $V$  and
    x-V:  $x \in V$ 
  shows sorted-list-of-set  $V$  ! card  $\{v \in V. v < x\} = x$ 
proof -
  let ?c = card  $\{v \in V. v < x\}$  and
    ?set =  $\{v \in V. v < x\}$ 
  have ex-index:  $\forall v \in V. \exists n. (n < \text{card } V \wedge (\text{sorted-list-of-set } V ! n) = v)$ 
  using distinct-Ex1 fin-V
    sorted-list-of-set.set-sorted-key-list-of-set
    sorted-list-of-set.distinct-sorted-key-list-of-set
    sorted-list-of-set.length-sorted-key-list-of-set
  by metis
  then obtain  $\varphi$  where index- $\varphi$ :  $\forall v \in V. \varphi v < \text{card } V \wedge (\text{sorted-list-of-set } V$ 
! ( $\varphi v$ )) =  $v$ 
  by metis

  let ?i =  $\varphi x$ 
  have inj- $\varphi$ : inj-on  $\varphi$   $V$ 
  by (metis inj-onI index- $\varphi$ )
  have mono- $\varphi$ :  $\forall v v'. (v \in V \wedge v' \in V \wedge v < v' \longrightarrow \varphi v < \varphi v')$ 
  using dual-order.strict-trans2 fin-V index- $\varphi$ 
    finite-sorted-distinct-unique linorder-neqE-nat
    order-less-irrefl sorted-list-of-set.idem-if-sorted-distinct
    sorted-list-of-set.length-sorted-key-list-of-set sorted-wrt-iff-nth-less
  by (metis (full-types))
  have  $\forall v \in ?set. v < x$  by simp
  hence  $\forall v \in ?set. \varphi v < ?i$ 
  by (metis Collect-subset mono- $\varphi$  subsetD x-V)
  hence  $\forall j \in \{\varphi v \mid v. v \in ?set\}. ?i > j$ 
  by blast
  moreover have fin-img: finite ?set using fin-V by simp
  ultimately have  $?i \geq \text{card } \{\varphi v \mid v. v \in ?set\}$ 
  using set-card-upper-bound
  by simp

```

also have $\text{card } \{\varphi \ v \mid v. v \in ?\text{set}\} = ?c$
using *inj- φ*
by (*simp add: card-image inj-on-subset setcompr-eq-image*)
finally have *geq: ?i \geq ?c* **by** *simp*
have *sorted- φ :*
 $\forall i\ j. (i < \text{card } V \wedge j < \text{card } V \wedge i < j$
 $\longrightarrow (\text{sorted-list-of-set } V ! i) < (\text{sorted-list-of-set } V ! j))$
by (*simp add: sorted-wrt-nth-less*)
have *leq: ?i \leq ?c*
proof (*rule ccontr, cases ?c < card V*)
case *True*
let *?A = $\lambda j. \{\text{sorted-list-of-set } V ! j\}$*
assume $\neg ?i \leq ?c$
hence *?i > ?c* **by** *simp*
hence $\forall j \leq ?c. (\text{sorted-list-of-set } V ! j \in V \wedge \text{sorted-list-of-set } V ! j < x)$
using *sorted- φ dual-order.strict-trans2 geq index- φ x-V fin-V*
nth-mem sorted-list-of-set.length-sorted-key-list-of-set
sorted-list-of-set.set-sorted-key-list-of-set
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting)*)
hence $\{\text{sorted-list-of-set } V ! j \mid j. j \leq ?c\} \subseteq \{v \in V. v < x\}$
by *blast*
also have $\{\text{sorted-list-of-set } V ! j \mid j. j \leq ?c\}$
 $= \{\text{sorted-list-of-set } V ! j \mid j. j \in \{0..<(?c+1)\}\}$
using *add.commute*
by *auto*
also have $\{\text{sorted-list-of-set } V ! j \mid j. j \in \{0..<(?c+1)\}\}$
 $= (\bigcup j \in \{0..<(?c+1)\}. \{\text{sorted-list-of-set } V ! j\})$
by *blast*
finally have *subset: $(\bigcup j \in \{0..<(?c+1)\}. (?A\ j)) \subseteq \{v \in V. v < x\}$*
by *simp*
have $\forall i \leq ?c. \forall j \leq ?c. (i \neq j \longrightarrow \text{sorted-list-of-set } V ! i \neq \text{sorted-list-of-set } V ! j)$
using *True*
by (*simp add: nth-eq-iff-index-eq*)
hence $\forall i \in \{0..<(?c+1)\}. \forall j \in \{0..<(?c+1)\}.$
 $(i \neq j \longrightarrow \{\text{sorted-list-of-set } V ! i\} \cap \{\text{sorted-list-of-set } V ! j\} = \{\})$
by *fastforce*
hence *disjoint-family-on ?A $\{0..<(?c+1)\}$*
by (*meson disjoint-family-on-def*)
moreover have *finite $\{0..<(?c+1)\}$*
by *simp*
moreover have $\forall j \in \{0..<(?c+1)\}. \text{card } (?A\ j) = 1$
by *simp*
ultimately have $\text{card } (\bigcup j \in \{0..<(?c+1)\}. (?A\ j)) = (\sum j \in \{0..<(?c+1)\}.$
1) $\text{card } (?A\ j))$
using *card-UN-disjoint'*
by *fastforce*
also have $(\sum j \in \{0..<(?c+1)\}. 1) = ?c + 1$
by *auto*

```

    finally have card (⋃ j ∈ {0.. $(?c+1)$ }. ( $?A\ j$ )) =  $?c + 1$ 
      by simp
    hence  $?c + 1 \leq ?c$ 
      using subset card-mono fin-img
      by (metis (no-types, lifting))
    thus False by simp
  next
    case False
    assume  $\neg ?i \leq ?c$ 
    thus False
      using False  $x\text{-}V\text{ index-}\varphi\text{ geq order-le-less-trans}$ 
      by blast
  qed
  thus  $?thesis$  using geq leq
    by (simp add:  $x\text{-}V\text{ index-}\varphi$ )
qed

lemma to-list-permutes-under-bij:
  fixes
     $\pi :: 'v::linorder \Rightarrow 'v$  and
     $V :: 'v\text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v)\text{ Profile}$ 
  assumes
     $bij: bij\ \pi$ 
  shows
    let  $\varphi = (\lambda i. (card\ (\{v \in (\pi\text{ ' } V). v < \pi\ ((sorted\text{-list-of-set } V)!i)\}))$ 
      in  $(to\text{-list } V\ p) = permute\text{-list } \varphi\ (to\text{-list } (\pi\text{ ' } V)\ (\lambda x. p\ ((the\text{-inv } \pi)\ x)))$ 
  proof (cases finite V)
    case False
      hence  $to\text{-list } V\ p = []$  by simp
      moreover have  $(to\text{-list } (\pi\text{ ' } V)\ (\lambda x. p\ (the\text{-inv } \pi\ x))) = []$ 
      proof -
        have infinite  $(\pi\text{ ' } V)$ 
          by (meson False assms bij-betw-finite bij-betw-subset top-greatest)
        thus  $?thesis$  by simp
      qed
    ultimately show  $?thesis$  by simp
  next
    case True
    let  $?q = (\lambda x. p\ ((the\text{-inv } \pi)\ x))$  and
         $?img = (\pi\text{ ' } V)$  and
         $?n = length\ (to\text{-list } V\ p)$  and
         $?perm = (\lambda i. (card\ (\{v \in (\pi\text{ ' } V). v < \pi\ ((sorted\text{-list-of-set } V)!i)\}))$ 

    have card-eq:  $card\ ?img = card\ V$ 
      using assms bij-betw-same-card bij-betw-subset top-greatest
      by metis
    also have card-length-V:  $?n = card\ V$ 

```

```

using True to-list.simps
      sorted-list-of-set.length-sorted-key-list-of-set
by simp
also have card-length-img:
  length (to-list ?img ?q) = card ?img
using True assms card-eq to-list.simps
      sorted-list-of-set.length-sorted-key-list-of-set
      card.infinite list.size(3)
by simp
finally have eq-length: length (to-list ?img ?q) = ?n
by auto
show ?thesis
proof (unfold Let-def permute-list-def, rule nth-equalityI)

  show length (to-list V p)
    = length
      (map (λi. to-list ?img ?q ! card {v ∈ ?img. v < π (sorted-list-of-set V
! i)))
      (0..<length (to-list ?img ?q)))
    using eq-length
    by auto
next

fix
  i :: nat
assume
  in-bnds: i < ?n
let ?c = card {v ∈ ?img. v < π (sorted-list-of-set V ! i)}
have map (λi. (to-list ?img ?q) ! ?c) [0..<?n] ! i = p ((sorted-list-of-set V)!i)
proof –
  have  $\forall v. v \in ?img \longrightarrow \{v' \in ?img. v' < v\} \subseteq ?img - \{v\}$  by blast
moreover have elem-of-img:  $\pi (sorted-list-of-set V ! i) \in ?img$ 
    using True in-bnds image-eqI nth-mem card-length-V
      sorted-list-of-set.length-sorted-key-list-of-set
      sorted-list-of-set.set-sorted-key-list-of-set
    by metis
ultimately have  $\{v \in ?img. v < \pi (sorted-list-of-set V ! i)\}$ 
   $\subseteq ?img - \{\pi (sorted-list-of-set V ! i)\}$ 
    by auto
hence  $\{v \in ?img. v < \pi (sorted-list-of-set V ! i)\} \subset ?img$ 
    using elem-of-img by blast
moreover have img-card-eq-V-length: card ?img = ?n
    using True bij subset-UNIV to-list.simps
      bij-betw-same-card bij-betw-subset card-eq card-length-V
      sorted-list-of-set.length-sorted-key-list-of-set
    by presburger
ultimately have card-in-bnds: ?c < ?n
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) True finite-imageI psubset-card-mono)
moreover have img-list-map: map (λi. to-list ?img ?q ! ?c) [0..<?n] ! i

```

```

      = to-list ?img ?q ! ?c
    using in-bnds
    by auto
  also have img-list-card-eq-inv-img-list:
    to-list ?img ?q ! ?c = ?q ((sorted-list-of-set ?img) ! ?c)
    using in-bnds to-list-simp in-bnds img-card-eq-V-length card-in-bnds
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  also have img-card-eq-img-list-i:
    (sorted-list-of-set ?img) ! ?c =  $\pi$  (sorted-list-of-set V ! i)
    using True elem-of-img sorted-list-of-set-nth-equals-card
    by blast
  finally show ?thesis
    using assms bij-betw-imp-inj-on the-inv-f-f
      img-list-map img-card-eq-img-list-i
      img-list-card-eq-inv-img-list
    by metis
qed
also have to-list V p ! i = p ((sorted-list-of-set V)!i)
  using True to-list.simps to-list-simp in-bnds
    sorted-list-of-set.length-sorted-key-list-of-set
  by simp
finally show to-list V p ! i
  = map ( $\lambda i. (to-list ?img ?q)$ 
    ! card {v  $\in$  ?img. v <  $\pi$  (sorted-list-of-set V ! i)})
    [0.. $\text{length } (to-list ?img ?q)$ ] ! i
  using in-bnds eq-length Collect-cong card-eq
  by auto
qed
qed

```

1.3.5 Preference Counts and Comparisons

The win count for an alternative a with respect to a finite voter set V in a profile p is the amount of ballots from V in p that rank alternative a in first position. If the voter set is infinite, counting is not generally possible.

```

fun win-count :: 'v set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Profile  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  enat where
  win-count V p a = (if (finite V)
    then card {v  $\in$  V. above (p v) a = {a}} else infinity)

```

```

fun prefer-count :: 'v set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Profile  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  enat where
  prefer-count V p x y = (if (finite V)
    then card {v  $\in$  V. (let r = (p v) in (y  $\preceq_r$  x))} else infinity)

```

```

lemma pref-count-voter-set-card:
fixes
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  a :: 'a and
  b :: 'a

```

assumes $\text{fin } V$: $\text{finite } V$
shows $\text{prefer-count } V \text{ } p \text{ } a \text{ } b \leq \text{card } V$
proof (*simp*)
have $\{v \in V. (b, a) \in p \text{ } v\} \subseteq V$ **by** *auto*
hence $\text{card } \{v \in V. (b, a) \in p \text{ } v\} \leq \text{card } V$
using $\text{fin } V \text{ Finite-Set.card-mono}$
by *metis*
thus $(\text{finite } V \longrightarrow \text{card } \{v \in V. (b, a) \in p \text{ } v\} \leq \text{card } V) \wedge \text{finite } V$
by (*simp add: fin V*)
qed

lemma *set-compr*:
fixes
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$
shows $\{f \text{ } x \mid x. x \in A\} = f \text{ } A$
by *auto*

lemma *pref-count-set-compr*:
fixes
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $a :: 'a$
shows $\{\text{prefer-count } V \text{ } p \text{ } a \text{ } a' \mid a'. a' \in A - \{a\}\} = (\text{prefer-count } V \text{ } p \text{ } a) \text{ } (A - \{a\})$
by *auto*

lemma *pref-count*:
fixes
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $a :: 'a$ **and**
 $b :: 'a$
assumes
 $\text{prof: profile } V \text{ } A \text{ } p$ **and**
 $\text{fin: finite } V$ **and**
 $\text{a-in-A: } a \in A$ **and**
 $\text{b-in-A: } b \in A$ **and**
 $\text{neg: } a \neq b$
shows $\text{prefer-count } V \text{ } p \text{ } a \text{ } b = \text{card } V - (\text{prefer-count } V \text{ } p \text{ } b \text{ } a)$
proof –
have $\forall v \in V. \text{connex } A \text{ } (p \text{ } v)$
using *prof*
unfolding *profile-def*
by (*simp add: lin-ord-imp-connex*)
hence *asym*: $\forall v \in V. \neg (\text{let } r = (p \text{ } v) \text{ in } (b \preceq_r a)) \longrightarrow (\text{let } r = (p \text{ } v) \text{ in } (a \preceq_r b))$

```

using a-in-A b-in-A
unfolding connex-def
by metis
have  $\forall v \in V. ((b, a) \in (p \ v) \longrightarrow (a, b) \notin (p \ v))$ 
using antisymD neq lin-imp-antisym prof
unfolding profile-def
by metis
hence  $\{v \in V. (\text{let } r = (p \ v) \text{ in } (b \preceq_r a))\} =$ 
 $V - \{v \in V. (\text{let } r = (p \ v) \text{ in } (a \preceq_r b))\}$ 
using asym
by auto
thus ?thesis
by (simp add: card-Diff-subset Collect-mono fin)
qed

```

lemma *pref-count-sym*:

```

fixes
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  V :: 'v set and
  a :: 'a and
  b :: 'a and
  c :: 'a
assumes
  pref-count-ineq: prefer-count V p a c  $\geq$  prefer-count V p c b and
  prof: profile V A p and
  a-in-A: a  $\in A$  and
  b-in-A: b  $\in A$  and
  c-in-A: c  $\in A$  and
  a-neq-c: a  $\neq c$  and
  c-neq-b: c  $\neq b$ 
shows prefer-count V p b c  $\geq$  prefer-count V p c a
proof (cases)
assume finV: finite V
have nat1: prefer-count V p c a  $\in \mathbb{N}$ 
by (simp add: Nats-def of-nat-eq-enat finV)
have nat2: prefer-count V p b c  $\in \mathbb{N}$ 
by (simp add: Nats-def of-nat-eq-enat finV)
have smaller: prefer-count V p c a  $\leq$  card V
using prof finV pref-count-voter-set-card
by metis
have prefer-count V p a c = card V - (prefer-count V p c a)
using pref-count prof a-in-A c-in-A a-neq-c finV
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting))
moreover have pref-count-b-eq:
  prefer-count V p c b = card V - (prefer-count V p b c)
using pref-count prof a-in-A c-in-A a-neq-c b-in-A c-neq-b finV
by metis
hence ineq: card V - (prefer-count V p b c)  $\leq$  card V - (prefer-count V p c a)
using calculation pref-count-ineq

```



```

    by simp
  hence  $\text{card } V - (\text{prefer-count } V \text{ } p \text{ } b \text{ } c) + (\text{prefer-count } V \text{ } p \text{ } c \text{ } a) \leq$ 
     $\text{card } V - (\text{prefer-count } V \text{ } p \text{ } c \text{ } a) + (\text{prefer-count } V \text{ } p \text{ } c \text{ } a)$ 
    using pref-count-b-eq pref-count-ineq
    by auto
  hence  $\text{card } V + (\text{prefer-count } V \text{ } p \text{ } c \text{ } a) \leq \text{card } V + (\text{prefer-count } V \text{ } p \text{ } b \text{ } c)$ 
    using nat1 nat2 fin V smaller
    by simp
  thus ?thesis by simp
next
  assume infV: infinite V
  have  $\text{prefer-count } V \text{ } p \text{ } c \text{ } a = \text{infinity}$ 
    using infV
    by simp
  moreover have  $\text{prefer-count } V \text{ } p \text{ } b \text{ } c = \text{infinity}$ 
    using infV
    by simp
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed

```

lemma *empty-prof-imp-zero-pref-count:*

```

  fixes
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $a :: 'a$  and
     $b :: 'a$ 
  assumes  $V = \{\}$ 
  shows  $\text{prefer-count } V \text{ } p \text{ } a \text{ } b = 0$ 
  by (simp add: zero-enat-def assms)

```

```

fun wins ::  $'v \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where
  wins  $V \text{ } a \text{ } p \text{ } b =$ 
     $(\text{prefer-count } V \text{ } p \text{ } a \text{ } b > \text{prefer-count } V \text{ } p \text{ } b \text{ } a)$ 

```

lemma *wins-inf-voters:*

```

  fixes
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
     $a :: 'a$  and
     $b :: 'a$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ 
  assumes infinite V
  shows  $\text{wins } V \text{ } b \text{ } p \text{ } a = \text{False}$ 
  using assms
  by simp

```

Alternative a wins against b implies that b does not win against a.

lemma *wins-antisym:*

```

fixes
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
   $a :: 'a$  and
   $b :: 'a$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ 
assumes  $\text{wins } V a p b$ 
shows  $\neg \text{wins } V b p a$ 
using  $\text{assms}$ 
by  $\text{simp}$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{wins-irreflex}$ :
fixes
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
   $a :: 'a$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ 
shows  $\neg \text{wins } V a p a$ 
using  $\text{wins-antisym}$ 
by  $\text{metis}$ 

```

1.3.6 Condorcet Winner

```

fun  $\text{condorcet-winner} :: 'v \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where
   $\text{condorcet-winner } V A p a =$ 
     $(\text{finite-profile } V A p \wedge a \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A - \{a\}. \text{wins } V a p x))$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{cond-winner-unique-eq}$ :
fixes
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
   $a :: 'a$  and
   $b :: 'a$ 
assumes
   $\text{condorcet-winner } V A p a$  and
   $\text{condorcet-winner } V A p b$ 
shows  $b = a$ 
proof ( $\text{rule ccontr}$ )
assume  $b \neq a$ 
have  $\text{wins } V b p a$ 
  using  $b \neq a \text{ insert-Diff insert-iff assms}$ 
  by  $\text{simp}$ 
hence  $\neg \text{wins } V a p b$ 
  by ( $\text{simp add: wins-antisym}$ )
moreover have  $a \text{ wins against } b: \text{wins } V a p b$ 
  using  $\text{Diff-iff } b \neq a \text{ singletonD assms}$ 
  by  $\text{auto}$ 
ultimately show  $\text{False}$ 
  by  $\text{simp}$ 

```

qed

lemma *cond-winner-unique*:

```

fixes
  A :: 'a set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  a :: 'a
assumes condorcet-winner V A p a
shows {a' ∈ A. condorcet-winner V A p a'} = {a}
proof (safe)
  fix a' :: 'a
  assume condorcet-winner V A p a'
  thus a' = a
    using assms cond-winner-unique-eq
    by metis
next
  show a ∈ A
    using assms
    unfolding condorcet-winner.simps
    by (metis (no-types))
next
  show condorcet-winner V A p a
    using assms
    by presburger
qed

```

lemma *cond-winner-unique-2*:

```

fixes
  V :: 'v set and
  A :: 'a set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  a :: 'a and
  b :: 'a
assumes
  condorcet-winner V A p a and
  b ≠ a
shows ¬ condorcet-winner V A p b
using cond-winner-unique-eq assms
by metis

```

1.3.7 Limited Profile

This function restricts a profile p to a set A of alternatives and a set V of voters s.t. voters outside of V don't have any preferences/ do not cast a vote. Keeps all of A 's preferences.

```

fun limit-profile :: 'a set ⇒ ('a, 'v) Profile ⇒ ('a, 'v) Profile where
  limit-profile A p = (λv. limit A (p v))

```

lemma *limit-prof-trans*:

```

fixes
  A :: 'a set and
  B :: 'a set and
  C :: 'a set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
assumes
  B  $\subseteq$  A and
  C  $\subseteq$  B
shows limit-profile C p = limit-profile C (limit-profile B p)
using assms
by auto

```

lemma *limit-profile-sound*:

```

fixes
  A :: 'a set and
  B :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
assumes
  profile: profile V B p and
  subset: A  $\subseteq$  B
shows profile V A (limit-profile A p)
proof –
  have  $\forall v \in V. \text{linear-order-on } A \text{ (limit } A \text{ (p } v))$ 
    by (metis profile profile-def subset limit-presv-lin-ord)
  hence  $\forall v \in V. \text{linear-order-on } A \text{ ((limit-profile } A \text{ p) } v)$ 
    by simp
  thus ?thesis
    using profile-def
    by auto
qed

```

1.3.8 Lifting Property

definition *equiv-prof-except-a* ::

```

'v set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Profile  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Profile  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  equiv-prof-except-a V A p p' a  $\equiv$ 
    profile V A p  $\wedge$  profile V A p'  $\wedge$  a  $\in$  A  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\forall v \in V. \text{equiv-rel-except-a } A \text{ (p } v) \text{ (p' } v) \text{ a}$ )

```

An alternative gets lifted from one profile to another iff its ranking increases in at least one ballot, and nothing else changes.

definition *lifted* :: 'v set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) Profile \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) Profile \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool **where**

```

  lifted V A p p' a  $\equiv$ 
    finite-profile V A p  $\wedge$  finite-profile V A p'  $\wedge$  a  $\in$  A
     $\wedge$  ( $\forall v \in V. \neg \text{Preference-Relation.lifted } A \text{ (p } v) \text{ (p' } v) \text{ a} \longrightarrow (p \text{ } v) = (p' \text{ } v)$ )
     $\wedge$  ( $\exists v \in V. \text{Preference-Relation.lifted } A \text{ (p } v) \text{ (p' } v) \text{ a}$ )

```

```

lemma lifted-imp-equiv-prof-except-a:
  fixes
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
     $p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
     $a :: 'a$ 
  assumes lifted  $V \ A \ p \ p' \ a$ 
  shows equiv-prof-except-a  $V \ A \ p \ p' \ a$ 
proof (unfold equiv-prof-except-a-def, safe)
  from assms
  show profile  $V \ A \ p$ 
    unfolding lifted-def
    by metis
next
  from assms
  show profile  $V \ A \ p'$ 
    unfolding lifted-def
    by metis
next
  from assms
  show  $a \in A$ 
    unfolding lifted-def
    by metis
next
  fix  $v :: 'v$ 
  assume  $v \in V$ 
  with assms
  show equiv-rel-except-a  $A \ (p \ v) \ (p' \ v) \ a$ 
    using lifted-imp-equiv-rel-except-a trivial-equiv-rel
    unfolding lifted-def profile-def
    by (metis (no-types))
qed

```

```

lemma negl-diff-imp-eq-limit-prof:
  fixes
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $A' :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
     $p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
     $a :: 'a$ 
  assumes
    change: equiv-prof-except-a  $V \ A' \ p \ q \ a$  and
    subset:  $A \subseteq A'$  and
    not-in-A:  $a \notin A$ 
  shows  $\forall v \in V. (\text{limit-profile } A \ p) \ v = (\text{limit-profile } A \ q) \ v$ 
proof (clarify)

```

```

fix
   $v :: 'v$ 
assume  $v \in V$ 
hence  $\text{equiv-rel-except-}a \ A' \ (p \ v) \ (q \ v) \ a$ 
  using  $\text{change equiv-prof-except-}a\text{-def}$ 
  by  $\text{metis}$ 
hence  $\text{limit } A \ (p \ v) = \text{limit } A \ (q \ v)$ 
  using  $\text{not-in-}A \ \text{negl-diff-imp-eq-limit subset}$ 
  by  $\text{metis}$ 
thus  $\text{limit-profile } A \ p \ v = \text{limit-profile } A \ q \ v$ 
  by  $\text{simp}$ 
qed

lemma  $\text{limit-prof-eq-or-lifted}$ :
  fixes
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $A' :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
     $p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
     $a :: 'a$ 
  assumes
     $\text{lifted-}a$ :  $\text{lifted } V \ A' \ p \ p' \ a$  and
     $\text{subset}$ :  $A \subseteq A'$ 
  shows  $(\forall v \in V. \text{limit-profile } A \ p \ v = \text{limit-profile } A \ p' \ v) \vee$ 
     $\text{lifted } V \ A \ (\text{limit-profile } A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } A \ p') \ a$ 
proof ( $\text{cases}$ )
  assume  $a\text{-in-}A$ :  $a \in A$ 
  have  $\forall v \in V. (\text{Preference-Relation.lifted } A' \ (p \ v) \ (p' \ v) \ a \vee (p \ v) = (p' \ v))$ 
    using  $\text{lifted-}a$ 
    unfolding  $\text{lifted-def}$ 
    by  $\text{metis}$ 
  hence  $\text{one}$ :
     $\forall v \in V.$ 
       $(\text{Preference-Relation.lifted } A \ (\text{limit } A \ (p \ v)) \ (\text{limit } A \ (p' \ v)) \ a \vee$ 
         $(\text{limit } A \ (p \ v)) = (\text{limit } A \ (p' \ v)))$ 
    using  $\text{limit-lifted-imp-eq-or-lifted subset}$ 
    by  $\text{metis}$ 
  thus  $?thesis$ 
proof ( $\text{cases}$ )
  assume  $\forall v \in V. (\text{limit } A \ (p \ v)) = (\text{limit } A \ (p' \ v))$ 
  thus  $?thesis$ 
    by  $\text{simp}$ 
next
  assume  $\text{forall-limit-p-q}$ :
     $\neg (\forall v \in V. (\text{limit } A \ (p \ v)) = (\text{limit } A \ (p' \ v)))$ 
  let  $?p = \text{limit-profile } A \ p$ 
  let  $?q = \text{limit-profile } A \ p'$ 
  have  $\text{profile } V \ A \ ?p \wedge \text{profile } V \ A \ ?q$ 

```

```

    using lifted-a limit-profile-sound subset
    unfolding lifted-def
    by metis
  moreover have
     $\exists v \in V. \text{Preference-Relation.lifted } A \text{ } (?p \ v) \text{ } (?q \ v) \ a$ 
    using forall-limit-p-q lifted-a limit-profile.simps one
    unfolding lifted-def
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  moreover have
     $\forall v \in V. (\neg \text{Preference-Relation.lifted } A \text{ } (?p \ v) \text{ } (?q \ v) \ a) \longrightarrow (?p \ v) = (?q \ v)$ 
    using lifted-a limit-profile.simps one
    unfolding lifted-def
    by metis
  ultimately have lifted V A ?p ?q a
    using a-in-A lifted-a rev-finite-subset subset
    unfolding lifted-def
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  thus ?thesis
    by simp
qed
next
  assume  $a \notin A$ 
  thus ?thesis
    using lifted-a negl-diff-imp-eq-limit-prof subset lifted-imp-equiv-prof-except-a
    by metis
qed
end

```

1.4 Electoral Result

```

theory Result
  imports Main
           Profile
begin

```

An electoral result is the principal result type of the composable modules voting framework, as it is a generalization of the set of winning alternatives from social choice functions. Electoral results are selections of the received (possibly empty) set of alternatives into the three disjoint groups of elected, rejected and deferred alternatives. Any of those sets, e.g., the set of winning (elected) alternatives, may also be left empty, as long as they collectively still hold all the received alternatives.

1.4.1 Auxiliary Functions

type-synonym *'r Result* = *'r set* * *'r set* * *'r set*

A partition of a set A are pairwise disjoint sets that "set equals partition" A. For this specific predicate, we have three disjoint sets in a three-tuple.

fun *disjoint3* :: *'r Result* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

disjoint3 (*e*, *r*, *d*) =
 ((*e* \cap *r* = {}) \wedge
 (*e* \cap *d* = {}) \wedge
 (*r* \cap *d* = {}))

fun *set-equals-partition* :: *'r set* \Rightarrow *'r Result* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

set-equals-partition *X* (*r1*, *r2*, *r3*) = (*r1* \cup *r2* \cup *r3* = *X*)

1.4.2 Definition

A result generally is related to the alternative set A (of type 'a). A result should be well-formed on the alternatives. Also it should be possible to limit a well-formed result to a subset of the alternatives.

Specific result types like social choice results (sets of alternatives) can be realized via sublocales of the result locale.

locale *result* =

fixes *well-formed* :: *'a set* \Rightarrow (*'r Result*) \Rightarrow *bool*

and *limit-set* :: *'a set* \Rightarrow *'r set* \Rightarrow *'r set*

assumes $\bigwedge A r. (set-equals-partition (limit-set A UNIV) r \wedge disjoint3 r)$
 $\implies well-formed A r$

These three functions return the elect, reject, or defer set of a result.

fun (**in** *result*) *limit-res* :: *'a set* \Rightarrow *'r Result* \Rightarrow *'r Result* **where**

limit-res *A* (*e*, *r*, *d*) = (*limit-set* *A* *e*, *limit-set* *A* *r*, *limit-set* *A* *d*)

abbreviation *elect-r* :: *'r Result* \Rightarrow *'r set* **where**

elect-r *r* $\equiv fst$ *r*

abbreviation *reject-r* :: *'r Result* \Rightarrow *'r set* **where**

reject-r *r* $\equiv fst$ (*snd* *r*)

abbreviation *defer-r* :: *'r Result* \Rightarrow *'r set* **where**

defer-r *r* $\equiv snd$ (*snd* *r*)

end

1.5 Social Choice Result

```
theory Social-Choice-Result
  imports Result
begin
```

1.5.1 Social Choice Result

A social choice result contains three sets of alternatives: elected, rejected, and deferred alternatives.

```
fun well-formed-soc-choice :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a Result  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  well-formed-soc-choice A res = (disjoint3 res  $\wedge$  set-equals-partition A res)
```

```
fun limit-set-soc-choice :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set where
  limit-set-soc-choice A r = A  $\cap$  r
```

1.5.2 Auxiliary Lemmas

```
lemma result-imp-rej:
```

```
  fixes
```

```
    A :: 'a set and
```

```
    e :: 'a set and
```

```
    r :: 'a set and
```

```
    d :: 'a set
```

```
  assumes well-formed-soc-choice A (e, r, d)
```

```
  shows A - (e  $\cup$  d) = r
```

```
proof (safe)
```

```
  fix a :: 'a
```

```
  assume
```

```
    a  $\in$  A and
```

```
    a  $\notin$  r and
```

```
    a  $\notin$  d
```

```
  moreover have
```

```
    (e  $\cap$  r = {})  $\wedge$  (e  $\cap$  d = {})  $\wedge$  (r  $\cap$  d = {})  $\wedge$  (e  $\cup$  r  $\cup$  d = A)
```

```
  using assms
```

```
  by simp
```

```
  ultimately show a  $\in$  e
```

```
    by auto
```

```
next
```

```
  fix a :: 'a
```

```
  assume a  $\in$  r
```

```
  moreover have
```

```
    (e  $\cap$  r = {})  $\wedge$  (e  $\cap$  d = {})  $\wedge$  (r  $\cap$  d = {})  $\wedge$  (e  $\cup$  r  $\cup$  d = A)
```

```
  using assms
```

```
  by simp
```

```
  ultimately show a  $\in$  A
```

```
    by auto
```

```
next
```

```
  fix a :: 'a
```

```

assume
   $a \in r$  and
   $a \in e$ 
moreover have
   $(e \cap r = \{\}) \wedge (e \cap d = \{\}) \wedge (r \cap d = \{\}) \wedge (e \cup r \cup d = A)$ 
using assms
by simp
ultimately show False
by auto
next
fix  $a :: 'a$ 
assume
   $a \in r$  and
   $a \in d$ 
moreover have
   $(e \cap r = \{\}) \wedge (e \cap d = \{\}) \wedge (r \cap d = \{\}) \wedge (e \cup r \cup d = A)$ 
using assms
by simp
ultimately show False
by auto
qed

lemma result-count:
fixes
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $e :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $r :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $d :: 'a \text{ set}$ 
assumes
  wf-result: well-formed-soc-choice A (e, r, d) and
  fin-A: finite A
shows  $\text{card } A = \text{card } e + \text{card } r + \text{card } d$ 
proof –
have  $e \cup r \cup d = A$ 
using wf-result
by simp
moreover have  $(e \cap r = \{\}) \wedge (e \cap d = \{\}) \wedge (r \cap d = \{\})$ 
using wf-result
by simp
ultimately show ?thesis
using fin-A Int-Un-distrib2 finite-Un card-Un-disjoint sup-bot.right-neutral
by metis
qed

lemma defer-subset:
fixes
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $r :: 'a \text{ Result}$ 
assumes well-formed-soc-choice A r

```

shows $\text{defer-}r\ r \subseteq A$
proof (*safe*)
fix $a :: 'a$
assume $a \in \text{defer-}r\ r$
moreover obtain
 $f :: 'a\ \text{Result} \Rightarrow 'a\ \text{set} \Rightarrow 'a\ \text{set}$ **and**
 $g :: 'a\ \text{Result} \Rightarrow 'a\ \text{set} \Rightarrow 'a\ \text{Result}$ **where**
 $A = f\ r\ A \wedge r = g\ r\ A \wedge \text{disjoint3}\ (g\ r\ A) \wedge \text{set-equals-partition}\ (f\ r\ A)\ (g\ r\ A)$
using *assms*
by *simp*
moreover have
 $\forall p. \exists E\ R\ D. \text{set-equals-partition}\ A\ p \longrightarrow (E, R, D) = p \wedge E \cup R \cup D = A$
by *simp*
ultimately show $a \in A$
using *UnCI snd-conv*
by *metis*
qed

lemma *elect-subset*:
fixes
 $A :: 'a\ \text{set}$ **and**
 $r :: 'a\ \text{Result}$
assumes *well-formed-soc-choice* $A\ r$
shows $\text{elect-}r\ r \subseteq A$
proof (*safe*)
fix $a :: 'a$
assume $a \in \text{elect-}r\ r$
moreover obtain
 $f :: 'a\ \text{Result} \Rightarrow 'a\ \text{set} \Rightarrow 'a\ \text{set}$ **and**
 $g :: 'a\ \text{Result} \Rightarrow 'a\ \text{set} \Rightarrow 'a\ \text{Result}$ **where**
 $A = f\ r\ A \wedge r = g\ r\ A \wedge \text{disjoint3}\ (g\ r\ A) \wedge \text{set-equals-partition}\ (f\ r\ A)\ (g\ r\ A)$
using *assms*
by *simp*
moreover have
 $\forall p. \exists E\ R\ D. \text{set-equals-partition}\ A\ p \longrightarrow (E, R, D) = p \wedge E \cup R \cup D = A$
by *simp*
ultimately show $a \in A$
using *UnCI assms fst-conv*
by *metis*
qed

lemma *reject-subset*:
fixes
 $A :: 'a\ \text{set}$ **and**
 $r :: 'a\ \text{Result}$
assumes *well-formed-soc-choice* $A\ r$
shows $\text{reject-}r\ r \subseteq A$
proof (*safe*)
fix $a :: 'a$

```

assume  $a \in \text{reject-}r\ r$ 
moreover obtain
   $f :: 'a\ \text{Result} \Rightarrow 'a\ \text{set} \Rightarrow 'a\ \text{set}$  and
   $g :: 'a\ \text{Result} \Rightarrow 'a\ \text{set} \Rightarrow 'a\ \text{Result}$  where
   $A = f\ r\ A \wedge r = g\ r\ A \wedge \text{disjoint3}\ (g\ r\ A) \wedge \text{set-equals-partition}\ (f\ r\ A)\ (g\ r\ A)$ 
using assms
by simp
moreover have
   $\forall\ p.\ \exists\ E\ R\ D.\ \text{set-equals-partition}\ A\ p \longrightarrow (E,\ R,\ D) = p \wedge E \cup R \cup D = A$ 
by simp
ultimately show  $a \in A$ 
using UnCI assms fst-conv snd-conv disjoint3.cases
by metis
qed

end

```

1.6 Social Welfare Result

```

theory Social-Welfare-Result
  imports Result
begin

```

1.6.1 Social Welfare Result

A social welfare result contains three sets of relations: elected, rejected, and deferred. A well-formed social welfare result consists only of linear orders on the alternatives.

```

fun well-formed-welfare ::  $'a\ \text{set} \Rightarrow ('a\ \text{Preference-Relation})\ \text{Result} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where
  well-formed-welfare  $A\ \text{res} = (\text{disjoint3}\ \text{res} \wedge$ 
     $\text{set-equals-partition}\ \{r.\ \text{linear-order-on}\ A\ r\}\ \text{res})$ 

```

```

fun limit-set-welfare ::
   $'a\ \text{set} \Rightarrow ('a\ \text{Preference-Relation})\ \text{set} \Rightarrow ('a\ \text{Preference-Relation})\ \text{set}$  where
  limit-set-welfare  $A\ \text{res} = \{\text{limit}\ A\ r \mid r.\ r \in \text{res} \wedge \text{linear-order-on}\ A\ (\text{limit}\ A\ r)\}$ 

```

```

end

```

1.7 Specific Electoral Result Types

```

theory Result-Interpretations
  imports Result
    Social-Choice-Result
    Social-Welfare-Result
    Collections.Locale-Code
begin

```

Interpretations of the result locale are placed inside a Locale-Code block in order to enable code generation of later definitions in the locale. Those definitions need to be added via a Locale-Code block as well.

setup *Locale-Code.open-block*

global-interpretation *social-choice-result:*
result well-formed-soc-choice limit-set-soc-choice
proof (*unfold-locales, auto*) **qed**

global-interpretation *committee-result:*
result $\lambda A r. \text{set-equals-partition } (\text{Pow } A) r \wedge \text{disjoint3 } r \lambda A R. \{r \cap A \mid r. r \in R\}$
proof (*unfold-locales, safe, auto*) **qed**

global-interpretation *social-welfare-result:*
result well-formed-welfare limit-set-welfare
proof (*unfold-locales, safe*)

fix

A :: 'a set **and**

r1 :: ('a Preference-Relation) set **and**

r2 :: ('a Preference-Relation) set **and**

r3 :: ('a Preference-Relation) set

assume

partition: set-equals-partition (limit-set-welfare A UNIV) (r1, r2, r3) and

disj: disjoint3 (r1, r2, r3)

have *limit-set-welfare A UNIV =*

{limit A r | r. r ∈ UNIV ∧ linear-order-on A (limit A r)}

by *simp*

also have ... = *{limit A r | r. r ∈ UNIV} ∩*

{limit A r | r. linear-order-on A (limit A r)}

by *auto*

also have ... = *{limit A r | r. linear-order-on A (limit A r)}*

by *auto*

also have ... = *{r. linear-order-on A r}*

proof (*safe*)

fix

r :: 'a Preference-Relation

assume

lin-ord: linear-order-on A r

hence $\forall a b. (a, b) \in r \longrightarrow (a, b) \in \text{limit } A r$

unfolding *linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def preorder-on-def refl-on-def*

by *auto*

hence $r \subseteq \text{limit } A r$ **by** *auto*

moreover have $\text{limit } A r \subseteq r$ **by** *auto*

ultimately have $r = \text{limit } A r$ **by** *simp*

thus $\exists x. r = \text{limit } A x \wedge \text{linear-order-on } A (\text{limit } A x)$

using *lin-ord*

by *metis*

qed

```

thus well-formed-welfare  $A$  ( $r1$ ,  $r2$ ,  $r3$ )
  using partition disj
  by simp
qed

setup Locale-Code.close-block

end

```

1.8 Function Symmetry Properties

```

theory Symmetry-Of-Functions
  imports HOL.Equiv-Relations
           HOL-Algebra.Bij
           HOL-Algebra.Group-Action
           HOL-Algebra.Generated-Groups
begin

```

1.8.1 Functions

```

type-synonym ('x, 'y) binary-fun = 'x  $\Rightarrow$  'y  $\Rightarrow$  'y

```

```

fun extensional-continuation :: ('x  $\Rightarrow$  'y)  $\Rightarrow$  'x set  $\Rightarrow$  ('x  $\Rightarrow$  'y) where
  extensional-continuation  $f$   $S$  = ( $\lambda x$ . if ( $x \in S$ ) then ( $f$   $x$ ) else undefined)

```

```

fun preimg :: ('x  $\Rightarrow$  'y)  $\Rightarrow$  'x set  $\Rightarrow$  'y  $\Rightarrow$  'x set where
  preimg  $f$   $X$   $y$  = { $x \in X$ .  $f$   $x$  =  $y$ }

```

Relations

```

fun restr-rel :: 'x rel  $\Rightarrow$  'x set  $\Rightarrow$  'x set  $\Rightarrow$  'x rel where
  restr-rel  $r$   $F$   $S$  =  $r \cap F \times S$ 

```

```

fun closed-under-restr-rel :: 'x rel  $\Rightarrow$  'x set  $\Rightarrow$  'x set  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  closed-under-restr-rel  $r$   $X$   $Y$  = ((restr-rel  $r$   $Y$   $X$ ) “  $Y \subseteq Y$ )

```

```

fun rel-induced-by-action :: 'x set  $\Rightarrow$  'y set  $\Rightarrow$  ('x, 'y) binary-fun  $\Rightarrow$  'y rel where
  rel-induced-by-action  $X$   $Y$   $\varphi$  = {( $y1$ ,  $y2$ )  $\in Y \times Y$ .  $\exists x \in X$ .  $\varphi$   $x$   $y1$  =  $y2$ }

```

```

fun product-rel :: 'x rel  $\Rightarrow$  ('x * 'x) rel where
  product-rel  $r$  = {( $pair1$ ,  $pair2$ ). ( $fst$   $pair1$ ,  $fst$   $pair2$ )  $\in r \wedge$  ( $snd$   $pair1$ ,  $snd$   $pair2$ )  $\in r$ }

```

```

fun equivariance-rel :: 'x set  $\Rightarrow$  'y set  $\Rightarrow$  ('x, 'y) binary-fun  $\Rightarrow$  ('y * 'y) rel where
  equivariance-rel  $X$   $Y$   $\varphi$  = {(( $a, b$ ), ( $c, d$ )). ( $a, b$ )  $\in Y \times Y \wedge$  ( $\exists x \in X$ .  $c = \varphi$   $x$   $a \wedge d = \varphi$   $x$   $b$ )}

```

```

fun set-closed-under-rel :: 'x set  $\Rightarrow$  'x rel  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  set-closed-under-rel  $X$   $r$  = ( $\forall$   $x$   $y$ . ( $x$ ,  $y$ )  $\in r \longrightarrow x \in X \longrightarrow y \in X$ )

```

```
fun singleton-set-system :: 'x set  $\Rightarrow$  'x set set where
  singleton-set-system X =  $\{\{x\} \mid x. x \in X\}$ 
```

```
fun set-action :: ('x, 'r) binary-fun  $\Rightarrow$  ('x, 'r set) binary-fun where
  set-action  $\psi$  x = image ( $\psi$  x)
```

1.8.2 Invariance and Equivariance

Invariance and equivariance are symmetry properties of functions: Invariance means that related preimages have identical images and equivariance denotes consistent changes.

```
datatype ('x, 'y) property =
  Invariance 'x rel |
  Equivariance 'x set (('x  $\Rightarrow$  'x)  $\times$  ('y  $\Rightarrow$  'y)) set
```

```
fun satisfies :: ('x  $\Rightarrow$  'y)  $\Rightarrow$  ('x, 'y) property  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  satisfies f (Invariance r) =  $(\forall a. \forall b. ((a, b) \in r \longrightarrow f a = f b))$  |
  satisfies f (Equivariance X Act) =
     $(\forall (\varphi, \psi) \in Act. \forall x \in X. \varphi x \in X \longrightarrow f (\varphi x) = \psi (f x))$ 
```

```
definition equivar-ind-by-act ::
  'z set  $\Rightarrow$  'x set  $\Rightarrow$  ('z, 'x) binary-fun  $\Rightarrow$  ('z, 'y) binary-fun  $\Rightarrow$  ('x, 'y) property
where
  equivar-ind-by-act Param X  $\varphi$   $\psi$  = Equivariance X  $\{(\varphi g, \psi g) \mid g. g \in Param\}$ 
```

1.8.3 Auxiliary Lemmas

```
lemma bij-imp-bij-on-set-system:
```

```
  fixes
```

```
    f :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  'y
```

```
  assumes
```

```
    bij f
```

```
  shows
```

```
    bij  $(\lambda \mathcal{A}. \{f ' A \mid A. A \in \mathcal{A}\})$ 
```

```
proof (unfold bij-def inj-def surj-def, safe)
```

```
{
```

```
  fix
```

```
     $\mathcal{A} :: 'x$  set set and  $\mathcal{B} :: 'x$  set set and  $A :: 'x$  set
```

```
  assume
```

```
     $\{f ' A \mid A. A \in \mathcal{A}\} = \{f ' B \mid B. B \in \mathcal{B}\}$  and  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ 
```

```
  hence  $f ' A \in \{f ' B \mid B. B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ 
```

```
    by blast
```

```
  then obtain B :: 'x set where el-Y':  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $f ' B = f ' A$ 
```

```
    by auto
```

```
  hence the-inv f ' f ' B = the-inv f ' f ' A
```

```
    by simp
```

```
  hence B = A
```

```
    using image-inv-f-f assms  $\langle f ' B = f ' A \rangle$  bij-betw-def
```

```
    by metis
```

```

    thus  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ 
      using  $el-Y'$ 
      by  $simp$ 
  }
  note  $img-set-eq-imp-subs =$ 
     $\langle \bigwedge \mathcal{A} \ \mathcal{B} \ A. \ \{f \ ' A \mid A. A \in \mathcal{A}\} = \{f \ ' B \mid B. B \in \mathcal{B}\} \implies A \in \mathcal{A} \implies A \in \mathcal{B} \rangle$ 
  fix
     $\mathcal{A} :: 'x \ set \ set$  and  $\mathcal{B} :: 'x \ set \ set$  and  $A :: 'x \ set$ 
  assume
     $\{f \ ' A \mid A. A \in \mathcal{A}\} = \{f \ ' B \mid B. B \in \mathcal{B}\}$  and  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ 
  thus  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ 
    using  $img-set-eq-imp-subs[of \ \mathcal{B} \ \mathcal{A} \ A]$  — Symmetry of "="
    by  $presburger$ 
next
  fix
     $\mathcal{A} :: 'y \ set \ set$ 
  have  $\forall A. f \ ' (the-inv \ f) \ ' A = A$ 
    using  $image-f-inv-f[of \ f] \ assms$ 
    by  $(metis \ bij-betw-def \ surj-imp-inv-eq \ the-inv-f-f)$ 
  hence  $\{A \mid A. A \in \mathcal{A}\} = \{f \ ' (the-inv \ f) \ ' A \mid A. A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ 
    by  $presburger$ 
  hence  $\mathcal{A} = \{f \ ' (the-inv \ f) \ ' A \mid A. A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ 
    by  $simp$ 
  also have  $\{f \ ' (the-inv \ f) \ ' A \mid A. A \in \mathcal{A}\} =$ 
     $\{f \ ' A \mid A. A \in \{(the-inv \ f) \ ' A \mid A. A \in \mathcal{A}\}\}$ 
    by  $blast$ 
  finally show  $\exists \mathcal{B}. \mathcal{A} = \{f \ ' B \mid B. B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ 
    by  $blast$ 
qed

lemma  $un-left-inv-singleton-set-system$ :
   $\bigcup \circ singleton-set-system = id$ 
proof
  fix
     $X :: 'x \ set$ 
  have  $(\bigcup \circ singleton-set-system) \ X = \{x. \exists x' \in singleton-set-system \ X. x \in x'\}$ 
    by  $auto$ 
  also have  $\{x. \exists x' \in singleton-set-system \ X. x \in x'\} = \{x. \{x\} \in singleton-set-system \ X\}$ 
    by  $auto$ 
  also have  $\{x. \{x\} \in singleton-set-system \ X\} = \{x. \{x\} \in \{\{x\} \mid x. x \in X\}\}$ 
    by  $simp$ 
  also have  $\{x. \{x\} \in \{\{x\} \mid x. x \in X\}\} = \{x. x \in X\}$ 
    by  $simp$ 
  finally show  $(\bigcup \circ singleton-set-system) \ X = id \ X$ 
    by  $simp$ 
qed

lemma  $the-inv-comp$ :

```



```

fixes
   $f :: 'y \Rightarrow 'z$  and
   $g :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$  and
   $X :: 'x \text{ set}$  and
   $Y :: 'y \text{ set}$  and
   $Z :: 'z \text{ set}$  and
   $z :: 'z$ 
assumes
   $\text{bij-betw } f \ Y \ Z$  and
   $\text{bij-betw } g \ X \ Y$  and
   $z \in Z$ 
shows  $\text{the-inv-into } X \ (f \circ g) \ z = ((\text{the-inv-into } X \ g) \circ (\text{the-inv-into } Y \ f)) \ z$ 
proof (clarsimp)
  have  $\text{el-}Y: \text{the-inv-into } Y \ f \ z \in Y$ 
    using assms
    by (meson bij-betw-apply bij-betw-the-inv-into)
  hence  $g \ (\text{the-inv-into } X \ g \ (\text{the-inv-into } Y \ f \ z)) = \text{the-inv-into } Y \ f \ z$ 
    using assms
    by (simp add: f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw)
  moreover have  $f \ (\text{the-inv-into } Y \ f \ z) = z$ 
    using el-Y assms
    by (simp add: f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw)
  ultimately have  $(f \circ g) \ (\text{the-inv-into } X \ g \ (\text{the-inv-into } Y \ f \ z)) = z$ 
    by simp
  hence
     $\text{the-inv-into } X \ (f \circ g) \ z =$ 
     $\text{the-inv-into } X \ (f \circ g) \ ((f \circ g) \ (\text{the-inv-into } X \ g \ (\text{the-inv-into } Y \ f \ z)))$ 
    by presburger
  also have
     $\text{the-inv-into } X \ (f \circ g) \ ((f \circ g) \ (\text{the-inv-into } X \ g \ (\text{the-inv-into } Y \ f \ z))) =$ 
     $\text{the-inv-into } X \ g \ (\text{the-inv-into } Y \ f \ z)$ 
    using assms
    by (meson bij-betw-apply bij-betw-imp-inj-on bij-betw-the-inv-into
      bij-betw-trans the-inv-into-f-eq)
  finally show  $\text{the-inv-into } X \ (f \circ g) \ z = \text{the-inv-into } X \ g \ (\text{the-inv-into } Y \ f \ z)$ 
    by blast
qed

```

```

lemma preimg-comp:
  fixes
     $f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$  and
     $g :: 'x \Rightarrow 'x$  and
     $X :: 'x \text{ set}$  and
     $y :: 'y$ 
  shows
     $\text{preimg } f \ (g \text{ ` } X) \ y = g \text{ ` } \text{preimg } (f \circ g) \ X \ y$ 
proof (safe)
  fix
     $x :: 'x$ 

```

assume
 $x \in \text{preimg } f (g \text{ ' } X) y$
hence $f x = y \wedge x \in g \text{ ' } X$
by *simp*
then obtain $x' :: 'x$ **where** $x' \in X$ **and** $g x' = x$ **and** $x' \in \text{preimg } (f \circ g) X y$
unfolding *comp-def*
by *force*
thus $x \in g \text{ ' } \text{preimg } (f \circ g) X y$
by *blast*
next
fix
 $x :: 'x$
assume
 $x \in \text{preimg } (f \circ g) X y$
hence $f (g x) = y \wedge x \in X$
by *simp*
thus $g x \in \text{preimg } f (g \text{ ' } X) y$
by *simp*
qed

1.8.4 Rewrite Rules

theorem *rewrite-invar-as-equivar*:
fixes
 $f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$ **and**
 $X :: 'x \text{ set}$ **and**
 $G :: 'z \text{ set}$ **and**
 $\varphi :: ('z, 'x) \text{ binary-fun}$
shows
 $\text{satisfies } f (\text{Invariance } (\text{rel-induced-by-action } G X \varphi)) =$
 $\text{satisfies } f (\text{equivar-ind-by-act } G X \varphi (\lambda g. \text{id}))$
proof (*unfold equivar-ind-by-act-def, simp, safe*)
fix
 $x :: 'x$ **and** $g :: 'z$
assume
 $x \in X$ **and** $g \in G$ **and** $\varphi g x \in X$ **and**
 $\forall a b. a \in X \wedge b \in X \wedge (\exists x \in G. \varphi x a = b) \longrightarrow f a = f b$
thus $f (\varphi g x) = \text{id } (f x)$
by (*metis id-def*)
next
fix
 $x :: 'x$ **and** $g :: 'z$
assume
 $x \in X$ **and** $\varphi g x \in X$ **and** $g \in G$ **and**
 $\text{equivar}: \forall a b. (\exists g. a = \varphi g \wedge b = \text{id} \wedge g \in G) \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall x \in X. a x \in X \longrightarrow f (a x) = b (f x))$
hence $\varphi g = \varphi g \wedge \text{id} = \text{id} \wedge g \in G$
by *blast*
hence $\forall x \in X. \varphi g x \in X \longrightarrow f (\varphi g x) = \text{id } (f x)$

```

    using equivar
    by blast
  thus  $f\ x = f\ (\varphi\ g\ x)$ 
    using  $\langle x \in X \rangle \langle \varphi\ g\ x \in X \rangle$ 
    by (metis id-def)
qed

```

lemma *rewrite-invar-ind-by-act:*

```

  fixes
     $f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$  and
     $G :: 'z\ set$  and
     $X :: 'x\ set$  and
     $\varphi :: ('z, 'x)\ binary\_fun$ 
  shows
    satisfies  $f\ (Invariance\ (rel\_induced\_by\_action\ G\ X\ \varphi)) =$ 
       $(\forall a \in X. \forall g \in G. \varphi\ g\ a \in X \longrightarrow f\ a = f\ (\varphi\ g\ a))$ 
  proof (safe)
    fix
       $a :: 'x$  and  $g :: 'z$ 
    assume
      invar: satisfies  $f\ (Invariance\ (rel\_induced\_by\_action\ G\ X\ \varphi))$  and
       $a \in X$  and  $g \in G$  and  $\varphi\ g\ a \in X$ 
    hence  $(a, \varphi\ g\ a) \in rel\_induced\_by\_action\ G\ X\ \varphi$ 
      unfolding rel-induced-by-action.simps
      by blast
    thus  $f\ a = f\ (\varphi\ g\ a)$ 
      using invar
      by simp
  next
    assume
      invar:  $\forall a \in X. \forall g \in G. \varphi\ g\ a \in X \longrightarrow f\ a = f\ (\varphi\ g\ a)$ 
    have  $\forall (a,b) \in rel\_induced\_by\_action\ G\ X\ \varphi. a \in X \wedge b \in X \wedge (\exists g \in G. b = \varphi\ g\ a)$ 
      by auto
    hence  $\forall (a,b) \in rel\_induced\_by\_action\ G\ X\ \varphi. f\ a = f\ b$ 
      using invar
      by fastforce
    thus satisfies  $f\ (Invariance\ (rel\_induced\_by\_action\ G\ X\ \varphi))$ 
      by simp
  qed

```

lemma *rewrite-equivar-ind-by-act:*

```

  fixes
     $f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$  and
     $G :: 'z\ set$  and
     $X :: 'x\ set$  and
     $\varphi :: ('z, 'x)\ binary\_fun$  and
     $\psi :: ('z, 'y)\ binary\_fun$ 
  shows

```

satisfies f (equivar-ind-by-act $G X \varphi \psi$) =
 $(\forall g \in G. \forall x \in X. \varphi g x \in X \longrightarrow f (\varphi g x) = \psi g (f x))$
unfolding equivar-ind-by-act-def
by auto

lemma *rewrite-grp-act-img*:
fixes
 $G :: 'x \text{ monoid}$ **and**
 $Y :: 'y \text{ set}$ **and**
 $\varphi :: ('x, 'y) \text{ binary-fun}$
assumes
 $\text{grp-act: group-action } G Y \varphi$
shows
 $\forall Z g h. Z \subseteq Y \longrightarrow g \in \text{carrier } G \longrightarrow h \in \text{carrier } G \longrightarrow$
 $\varphi (g \otimes_G h) 'Z = \varphi g ' \varphi h 'Z$

proof (*safe*)
fix
 $Z :: 'y \text{ set}$ **and** $z :: 'y$ **and**
 $g :: 'x$ **and** $h :: 'x$
assume
 $g \in \text{carrier } G$ **and** $h \in \text{carrier } G$ **and** $z \in Z$ **and** $Z \subseteq Y$
hence $\text{eq: } \varphi (g \otimes_G h) z = \varphi g (\varphi h z)$
using $\text{grp-act group-action.composition-rule[of } G Y \varphi z g h]$ $\langle Z \subseteq Y \rangle$
by blast
thus $\varphi (g \otimes_G h) z \in \varphi g ' \varphi h 'Z$
using $\langle z \in Z \rangle$
by blast
show $\varphi g (\varphi h z) \in \varphi (g \otimes_G h) 'Z$
using $\langle z \in Z \rangle$ eq
by force
qed

lemma *rewrite-sym-group*:
shows
 $\text{rewrite-carrier: carrier (BijGroup UNIV)} = \{f. \text{bij } f\}$ **and**
 $\text{bij-car-el: } \bigwedge f. f \in \text{carrier (BijGroup UNIV)} \Longrightarrow \text{bij } f$ **and**
 rewrite-mult:
 $\bigwedge S x y. x \in \text{carrier (BijGroup } S) \Longrightarrow$
 $y \in \text{carrier (BijGroup } S) \Longrightarrow$
 $x \otimes_{\text{BijGroup } S} y = \text{extensional-continuation } (x \circ y) S$ **and**
 $\text{rewrite-mult-univ:}$
 $\bigwedge x y. x \in \text{carrier (BijGroup UNIV)} \Longrightarrow$
 $y \in \text{carrier (BijGroup UNIV)} \Longrightarrow$
 $x \otimes_{\text{BijGroup UNIV}} y = x \circ y$

proof –
show $\text{rew: carrier (BijGroup UNIV)} = \{f. \text{bij } f\}$
unfolding $\text{BijGroup-def Bij-def}$
by simp
fix

```

    f :: 'b ⇒ 'b
  assume
    f ∈ carrier (BijGroup UNIV)
  thus bij f
    using rew
    by blast
next
fix
  S :: 'c set and
  x :: 'c ⇒ 'c and
  y :: 'c ⇒ 'c
  assume
    x ∈ carrier (BijGroup S) and
    y ∈ carrier (BijGroup S)
  thus x ⊗BijGroup S y = extensional-continuation (x ∘ y) S
    unfolding BijGroup-def compose-def comp-def
    by (simp add: restrict-def)
next
fix
  x :: 'd ⇒ 'd and
  y :: 'd ⇒ 'd
  assume
    x ∈ carrier (BijGroup UNIV) and
    y ∈ carrier (BijGroup UNIV)
  thus x ⊗BijGroup UNIV y = x ∘ y
    unfolding BijGroup-def compose-def comp-def
    by (simp add: restrict-def)
qed

lemma simp-extensional-univ:
  extensional-continuation f UNIV = f
  unfolding If-def
  by simp

lemma extensional-continuation-subset:
  fixes
    f :: 'x ⇒ 'y and
    X :: 'x set and
    Y :: 'x set
  assumes
    Y ⊆ X
  shows
    ∀ y ∈ Y. extensional-continuation f X y = extensional-continuation f Y y
  unfolding extensional-continuation.simps
  using assms
  by (simp add: subset-iff)

lemma rel-ind-by-coinciding-action-on-subset-eq-restr:
  fixes

```

```

  X :: 'x set and
  Y :: 'y set and
  Z :: 'y set and
  φ :: ('x, 'y) binary-fun and
  φ' :: ('x, 'y) binary-fun
assumes
  Z ⊆ Y and
  ∀ x ∈ X. ∀ z ∈ Z. φ' x z = φ x z
shows
  rel-induced-by-action X Z φ' = Restr (rel-induced-by-action X Y φ) Z
proof (unfold rel-induced-by-action.simps)
have
  {(y1, y2). (y1, y2) ∈ Z × Z ∧ (∃ x ∈ X. φ' x y1 = y2)} =
  {(y1, y2). (y1, y2) ∈ Z × Z ∧ (∃ x ∈ X. φ x y1 = y2)}
using assms
by auto
also have
  ... = Restr {(y1, y2). (y1, y2) ∈ Y × Y ∧ (∃ x ∈ X. φ x y1 = y2)} Z
using assms
by blast
finally show
  {(y1, y2). (y1, y2) ∈ Z × Z ∧ (∃ x ∈ X. φ' x y1 = y2)} =
  Restr {(y1, y2). (y1, y2) ∈ Y × Y ∧ (∃ x ∈ X. φ x y1 = y2)} Z
by simp
qed

```

lemma *coinciding-actions-ind-equal-rel*:

```

fixes
  X :: 'x set and
  Y :: 'y set and
  φ :: ('x, 'y) binary-fun and
  φ' :: ('x, 'y) binary-fun
assumes
  ∀ x ∈ X. ∀ y ∈ Y. φ x y = φ' x y
shows
  rel-induced-by-action X Y φ = rel-induced-by-action X Y φ'
unfolding extensional-continuation.simps
using assms
by auto

```

1.8.5 Group Actions

lemma *const-id-is-grp-act*:

```

fixes
  G :: 'x monoid
assumes
  group G
shows
  group-action G UNIV (λg. id)

```

```

proof (unfold group-action-def group-hom-def group-hom-axioms-def hom-def, safe)
  show group  $G$ 
    using assms
    by blast
next
  show group (BijGroup UNIV)
    by (rule group-BijGroup)
next
  show  $id \in \text{carrier (BijGroup UNIV)}$ 
    unfolding BijGroup-def Bij-def
    by simp
  thus  $id = id \otimes_{\text{BijGroup UNIV}} id$ 
    using rewrite-mult-univ
    by (metis comp-id)
qed

theorem grp-act-induces-set-grp-act:
  fixes
     $G :: 'x \text{ monoid}$  and
     $Y :: 'y \text{ set}$  and
     $\varphi :: ('x, 'y) \text{ binary-fun}$ 
  defines
     $\varphi\text{-img} \equiv (\lambda g. \text{extensional-continuation (image } (\varphi g)) (\text{Pow } Y))$ 
  assumes
    grp-act: group-action  $G$   $Y$   $\varphi$ 
  shows
    group-action  $G$  (Pow  $Y$ )  $\varphi\text{-img}$ 
proof (unfold group-action-def group-hom-def group-hom-axioms-def hom-def, safe)
  show group  $G$ 
    using assms
    unfolding group-action-def group-hom-def
    by simp
next
  show group (BijGroup (Pow  $Y$ ))
    by (rule group-BijGroup)
next
  {
    fix
       $g :: 'x$ 
    assume  $g \in \text{carrier } G$ 
    hence bij-betw  $(\varphi g)$   $Y$   $Y$ 
      using grp-act
      by (simp add: bij-betw-def group-action.inj-prop group-action.surj-prop)
    hence bij-betw (image  $(\varphi g)$ ) (Pow  $Y$ ) (Pow  $Y$ )
      by (rule bij-betw-Pow)
    moreover have  $\forall A \in \text{Pow } Y. \varphi\text{-img } g \ A = \text{image } (\varphi g) \ A$ 
      unfolding  $\varphi\text{-img-def}$ 
      by simp
    ultimately have bij-betw  $(\varphi\text{-img } g)$  (Pow  $Y$ ) (Pow  $Y$ )
  }

```

```

    using bij-betw-cong
    by fastforce
  moreover have  $\varphi\text{-img } g \in \text{extensional } (\text{Pow } Y)$ 
    unfolding  $\varphi\text{-img-def}$ 
    by (simp add: extensional-def)
  ultimately show  $\varphi\text{-img } g \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup } (\text{Pow } Y))$ 
    unfolding BijGroup-def Bij-def
    by simp
}
note car-el =
   $\langle \bigwedge g. g \in \text{carrier } G \implies \varphi\text{-img } g \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup } (\text{Pow } Y)) \rangle$ 
fix
   $g :: 'x$  and  $h :: 'x$ 
assume
  car-g:  $g \in \text{carrier } G$  and car-h:  $h \in \text{carrier } G$ 
  hence car-els:  $\varphi\text{-img } g \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup } (\text{Pow } Y)) \wedge \varphi\text{-img } h \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup } (\text{Pow } Y))$ 
    using car-el
    by blast
  hence h-closed:  $\forall A. A \in \text{Pow } Y \longrightarrow \varphi\text{-img } h \ A \in \text{Pow } Y$ 
    unfolding BijGroup-def Bij-def
    using bij-betw-apply
    by (metis Int-Collect partial-object.select-convs(1))
  from car-els have
     $\varphi\text{-img } g \otimes_{\text{BijGroup } (\text{Pow } Y)} \varphi\text{-img } h =$ 
     $\text{extensional-continuation } (\varphi\text{-img } g \circ \varphi\text{-img } h) (\text{Pow } Y)$ 
    using rewrite-mult
    by blast
  moreover have
     $\forall A. A \notin \text{Pow } Y \longrightarrow \text{extensional-continuation } (\varphi\text{-img } g \circ \varphi\text{-img } h) (\text{Pow } Y)$ 
 $A = \text{undefined}$ 
    by simp
  moreover have  $\forall A. A \notin \text{Pow } Y \longrightarrow \varphi\text{-img } (g \otimes_G h) \ A = \text{undefined}$ 
    unfolding  $\varphi\text{-img-def}$ 
    by simp
  moreover have
     $\forall A. A \in \text{Pow } Y \longrightarrow \text{extensional-continuation } (\varphi\text{-img } g \circ \varphi\text{-img } h) (\text{Pow } Y)$ 
 $A = \varphi \ g \ ' \ \varphi \ h \ ' \ A$ 
    using h-closed
    by (simp add:  $\varphi\text{-img-def}$ )
  moreover have
     $\forall A. A \in \text{Pow } Y \longrightarrow \varphi\text{-img } (g \otimes_G h) \ A = \varphi \ g \ ' \ \varphi \ h \ ' \ A$ 
    unfolding  $\varphi\text{-img-def}$  extensional-continuation.simps
    using rewrite-grp-act-img[of  $G \ Y \ \varphi$ ] car-g car-h grp-act
    by (metis PowD)
  ultimately have  $\forall A. \varphi\text{-img } (g \otimes_G h) \ A = (\varphi\text{-img } g \otimes_{\text{BijGroup } (\text{Pow } Y)} \varphi\text{-img } h) \ A$ 
    by metis
  thus  $\varphi\text{-img } (g \otimes_G h) = \varphi\text{-img } g \otimes_{\text{BijGroup } (\text{Pow } Y)} \varphi\text{-img } h$ 

```


by *blast*
qed

1.8.6 Invariance and Equivariance

It suffices to show invariance under the group action of a generating set of a group to show invariance under the group action of the whole group. For example, it is enough to show invariance under transpositions to show invariance under a complete finite symmetric group.

theorem *invar-generating-system-imp-invar*:

fixes
 $f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$ **and**
 $G :: 'z \text{ monoid}$ **and**
 $H :: 'z \text{ set}$ **and**
 $X :: 'x \text{ set}$ **and**
 $\varphi :: ('z, 'x) \text{ binary-fun}$
assumes
 $\text{invar: satisfies } f \text{ (Invariance (rel-induced-by-action } H \ X \ \varphi))$ **and**
 $\text{grp-act: group-action } G \ X \ \varphi$ **and** $\text{gen: carrier } G = \text{generate } G \ H$
shows $\text{satisfies } f \text{ (Invariance (rel-induced-by-action (carrier } G) \ X \ \varphi))$
proof (*unfold satisfies.simps rel-induced-by-action.simps, safe*)
fix
 $x :: 'x$ **and** $g :: 'z$
assume
 $\text{grp-el: } g \in \text{carrier } G$ **and** $x \in X$
interpret $\text{grp-act: group-action } G \ X \ \varphi$ **using** *grp-act* **by** *blast*
have $g \in \text{generate } G \ H$
using *grp-el gen*
by *blast*
hence $\forall x \in X. f \ x = f \ (\varphi \ g \ x)$
proof (*induct g rule: generate.induct*)
case *one*
hence $\forall x \in X. \varphi \ 1_G \ x = x$
using *grp-act*
by (*metis group-action.id-eq-one restrict-apply*)
thus *?case*
by *simp*
next
case (*incl g*)
hence $\forall x \in X. (x, \varphi \ g \ x) \in \text{rel-induced-by-action } H \ X \ \varphi$
using *gen grp-act generate.incl group-action.element-image*
unfolding *rel-induced-by-action.simps*
by *fastforce*
thus *?case*
using *invar*
unfolding *satisfies.simps*
by *blast*
next

```

case (inv g)
hence  $\forall x \in X. \varphi (\text{inv}_G g) x \in X$ 
  using grp-act
  by (metis gen generate.inv group-action.element-image)
hence  $\forall x \in X. f (\varphi g (\varphi (\text{inv}_G g) x)) = f (\varphi (\text{inv}_G g) x)$ 
  using gen generate.incl group-action.element-image grp-act
  invar local.inv rewrite-invar-ind-by-act
  by metis
moreover have  $\forall x \in X. \varphi g (\varphi (\text{inv}_G g) x) = x$ 
  using grp-act
by (metis (full-types) gen generate.incl group.inv-closed group-action.orbit-sym-aux
  group.inv-inv group-hom.axioms(1) grp-act.group-hom
local.inv)
  ultimately show ?case
    by simp
next
case (eng g1 g2)
assume
  invar1:  $\forall x \in X. f x = f (\varphi g1 x)$  and invar2:  $\forall x \in X. f x = f (\varphi g2 x)$  and
  gen1:  $g1 \in \text{generate } G H$  and gen2:  $g2 \in \text{generate } G H$ 
hence  $\forall x \in X. \varphi g2 x \in X$ 
  using gen grp-act.element-image
  by blast
hence  $\forall x \in X. f (\varphi g1 (\varphi g2 x)) = f (\varphi g2 x)$ 
  by (auto simp add: invar1)
moreover have  $\forall x \in X. f (\varphi g2 x) = f x$ 
  by (simp add: invar2)
moreover have  $\forall x \in X. f (\varphi (g1 \otimes_G g2) x) = f (\varphi g1 (\varphi g2 x))$ 
  using grp-act gen grp-act.composition-rule gen1 gen2
  by simp
ultimately show ?case
  by simp
qed
thus  $f x = f (\varphi g x)$ 
  using  $\langle x \in X \rangle$ 
  by blast
qed

lemma invar-parameterized-fun:
fixes
  f :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  ('x  $\Rightarrow$  'y) and
  rel :: 'x rel
assumes
  param-invar:  $\forall x. \text{satisfies } (f x) (\text{Invariance rel})$  and
  invar:  $\text{satisfies } f (\text{Invariance rel})$ 
shows
  satisfies ( $\lambda x. f x x$ ) (\text{Invariance rel})
using invar param-invar
by auto

```

lemma *invar-under-subset-rel*:

fixes

$f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$ **and**

$rel' :: 'x \text{ rel}$

assumes

$subset: rel' \subseteq rel$ **and**

$invar: \text{satisfies } f \text{ (Invariance } rel)$

shows

$\text{satisfies } f \text{ (Invariance } rel')$

using *assms satisfies.simps*

by *auto*

lemma *equivar-ind-by-act-coincide*:

fixes

$X :: 'x \text{ set}$ **and**

$Y :: 'y \text{ set}$ **and**

$f :: 'y \Rightarrow 'z$ **and**

$\varphi :: ('x, 'y) \text{ binary-fun}$ **and**

$\varphi' :: ('x, 'y) \text{ binary-fun}$ **and**

$\psi :: ('x, 'z) \text{ binary-fun}$

assumes

$\forall x \in X. \forall y \in Y. \varphi x y = \varphi' x y$

shows

$\text{satisfies } f \text{ (equivar-ind-by-act } X Y \varphi \psi) = \text{satisfies } f \text{ (equivar-ind-by-act } X Y \varphi' \psi)$

using *assms*

by (*auto simp add: rewrite-equivar-ind-by-act*)

lemma *equivar-under-subset*:

fixes

$f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$ **and**

$G :: 'z \text{ set}$ **and**

$X :: 'x \text{ set}$ **and**

$Y :: 'x \text{ set}$ **and**

$Act :: (('x \Rightarrow 'x) \times ('y \Rightarrow 'y)) \text{ set}$

assumes

$\text{satisfies } f \text{ (Equivariance } X Act)$ **and**

$Y \subseteq X$

shows

$\text{satisfies } f \text{ (Equivariance } Y Act)$

using *assms*

unfolding *satisfies.simps*

by *blast*

lemma *equivar-under-subset'*:

fixes

$f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$ **and**

$G :: 'z \text{ set}$ **and**

```

  X :: 'x set and
  Act :: (('x ⇒ 'x) × ('y ⇒ 'y)) set and
  Act' :: (('x ⇒ 'x) × ('y ⇒ 'y)) set
assumes
  satisfies f (Equivariance X Act) and
  Act' ⊆ Act
shows
  satisfies f (Equivariance X Act')
using assms
unfolding satisfies.simps
by blast

theorem grp-act-equivar-f-imp-equivar-preimg:
  fixes
    f :: 'x ⇒ 'y and
    domain_f :: 'x set and
    X :: 'x set and
    G :: 'z monoid and
    φ :: ('z, 'x) binary-fun and
    ψ :: ('z, 'y) binary-fun and
    g :: 'z
  defines
    equivar-prop ≡ equivar-ind-by-act (carrier G) domain_f φ ψ
  assumes
    grp-act: group-action G X φ and
    grp-act-res: group-action G UNIV ψ and
    domain_f ⊆ X and
    closed-domain:
      closed-under-restr-rel (rel-induced-by-action (carrier G) X φ) X domain_f and
    equivar-f: satisfies f equivar-prop and
    grp-el: g ∈ carrier G
  shows ∀ y. preimg f domain_f (ψ g y) = (φ g) ` (preimg f domain_f y)
proof (safe)
  interpret grp-act: group-action G X φ by (rule grp-act)
  interpret grp-act-results: group-action G UNIV ψ by (rule grp-act-res)
  have grp-el-inv: (inv_G g) ∈ carrier G
  by (meson group.inv-closed group-hom.axioms(1) grp-act.group-hom grp-el)
  fix
    y :: 'y and x :: 'x
  assume
    preimg-el: x ∈ preimg f domain_f (ψ g y)
  obtain x' where img: x' = φ (inv_G g) x
  by simp
  have domain: x ∈ domain_f ∧ x ∈ X
  using preimg-el ⟨domain_f ⊆ X⟩
  by auto
  hence x' ∈ X
  using ⟨domain_f ⊆ X⟩ grp-act grp-el-inv preimg-el img grp-act.element-image
  by auto

```

hence $(x, x') \in (\text{rel-induced-by-action } (\text{carrier } G) \ X \ \varphi) \cap (\text{domain}_f \times X)$
using *img preimg-el domain grp-el-inv*
by *auto*
hence $x' \in ((\text{rel-induced-by-action } (\text{carrier } G) \ X \ \varphi) \cap (\text{domain}_f \times X))$ “ *do-*
main_f
using *img preimg-el domain grp-el-inv*
by *auto*
hence *domain'*: $x' \in \text{domain}_f$
using *closed-domain*
unfolding *closed-under-restr-rel.simps restr-rel.simps*
by *auto*
moreover **have** $(\varphi (\text{inv}_G g), \psi (\text{inv}_G g)) \in \{(\varphi g, \psi g) \mid g. g \in \text{carrier } G\}$
using *grp-el-inv*
by *auto*
ultimately **have** $f x' = \psi (\text{inv}_G g) (f x)$
using *domain equivar-f img*
unfolding *equivar-prop-def equivar-ind-by-act-def satisfies.simps*
by *blast*
also **have** $f x = \psi g y$
using *preimg-el*
by *simp*
also **have** $\psi (\text{inv}_G g) (\psi g y) = y$
using *grp-act-results.group-hom*
by (*simp add: grp-act-results.orbit-sym-aux grp-el*)
finally **have** $f x' = y$
by *simp*
hence $x' \in \text{preimg } f \text{ domain}_f y$
using *domain'*
by *simp*
moreover **have** $x = \varphi g x'$
using *img domain domain' grp-el grp-el-inv*
by (*metis group.inv-inv group-hom.axioms(1) grp-act.group-hom grp-act.orbit-sym-aux*)
ultimately **show** $x \in (\varphi g) \text{ ‘ } (\text{preimg } f \text{ domain}_f y)$
by *blast*
next
fix
 $y :: 'y$ **and** $x :: 'x$
assume
 $\text{preimg-el: } x \in \text{preimg } f \text{ domain}_f y$
hence *domain*: $f x = y \wedge x \in \text{domain}_f \wedge x \in X$
using $\langle \text{domain}_f \subseteq X \rangle$
by *auto*
hence $\varphi g x \in X$
using *grp-el*
by (*meson group-action.element-image grp-act*)
hence $(x, \varphi g x) \in (\text{rel-induced-by-action } (\text{carrier } G) \ X \ \varphi) \cap (\text{domain}_f \times X) \cap$
 $\text{domain}_f \times X$
using *grp-el domain*
by *auto*

```

hence  $\varphi \ g \ x \in \text{domain}_f$ 
using closed-domain
unfolding closed-under-restr-rel.simps restr-rel.simps
by auto
moreover have  $(\varphi \ g, \psi \ g) \in \{(\varphi \ g, \psi \ g) \mid g. \ g \in \text{carrier } G\}$ 
using grp-el
by blast
ultimately show  $\varphi \ g \ x \in \text{preimg } f \ \text{domain}_f \ (\psi \ g \ y)$ 
using equivar-f domain
unfolding equivar-prop-def equivar-ind-by-act-def
by auto
qed

```

Invariance and Equivariance Function Composition

lemma *invar-comp:*

```

fixes
   $f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$  and
   $g :: 'y \Rightarrow 'z$  and
   $rel :: 'x \text{ rel}$ 
assumes
  invar: satisfies f (Invariance rel)
shows
  satisfies (g o f) (Invariance rel)
using assms satisfies.simps
by auto

```

lemma *equivar-comp:*

```

fixes
   $f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$  and
   $g :: 'y \Rightarrow 'z$  and
   $X :: 'x \text{ set}$  and
   $Y :: 'y \text{ set}$  and
   $\text{Act-}f :: (('x \Rightarrow 'x) \times ('y \Rightarrow 'y)) \text{ set}$  and
   $\text{Act-}g :: (('y \Rightarrow 'y) \times ('z \Rightarrow 'z)) \text{ set}$ 
defines
  transitive-acts  $\equiv$ 
     $\{(\varphi, \psi). \exists \psi' :: 'y \Rightarrow 'y. (\varphi, \psi') \in \text{Act-}f \wedge (\psi', \psi) \in \text{Act-}g \wedge \psi' \text{ ' } f \text{ ' } X \subseteq Y\}$ 
assumes
   $f \text{ ' } X \subseteq Y$  and
  satisfies f (Equivariance X Act-f) and
  satisfies g (Equivariance Y Act-g)
shows
  satisfies (g o f) (Equivariance X transitive-acts)
proof (unfold transitive-acts-def, simp, safe)
fix
   $\varphi :: 'x \Rightarrow 'x$  and  $\psi' :: 'y \Rightarrow 'y$  and  $\psi :: 'z \Rightarrow 'z$  and  $x :: 'x$ 
assume
   $x \in X$  and  $\varphi \ x \in X$  and  $\psi' \text{ ' } f \text{ ' } X \subseteq Y$  and

```

$act-f: (\varphi, \psi') \in Act-f$ **and** $act-g: (\psi', \psi) \in Act-g$
hence $f x \in Y \wedge \psi' (f x) \in Y$
using *assms*
by *blast*
hence $\psi (g (f x)) = g (\psi' (f x))$
using *act-g assms*
by *fastforce*
also have $g (f (\varphi x)) = g (\psi' (f x))$
using *assms act-f $\langle x \in X \rangle \langle \varphi x \in X \rangle$*
by *fastforce*
finally show $g (f (\varphi x)) = \psi (g (f x))$
by *simp*
qed

lemma *equivar-ind-by-act-comp:*

fixes
 $f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$ **and**
 $g :: 'y \Rightarrow 'z$ **and**
 $G :: 'w \text{ set}$ **and**
 $X :: 'x \text{ set}$ **and**
 $Y :: 'y \text{ set}$ **and**
 $\varphi :: ('w, 'x) \text{ binary-fun}$ **and**
 $\psi' :: ('w, 'y) \text{ binary-fun}$ **and**
 $\psi :: ('w, 'z) \text{ binary-fun}$
assumes
 $f ' X \subseteq Y$ **and** $\forall g \in G. \psi' g ' f ' X \subseteq Y$ **and**
satisfies f (*equivar-ind-by-act* $G X \varphi \psi'$) **and**
satisfies g (*equivar-ind-by-act* $G Y \psi' \psi$)
shows *satisfies* $(g \circ f)$ (*equivar-ind-by-act* $G X \varphi \psi$)
proof –
let $?Act-f = \{(\varphi g, \psi' g) \mid g. g \in G\}$ **and**
 $?Act-g = \{(\psi' g, \psi g) \mid g. g \in G\}$
have $\forall g \in G. (\varphi g, \psi' g) \in \{(\varphi g, \psi' g) \mid g. g \in G\} \wedge$
 $(\psi' g, \psi g) \in \{(\psi' g, \psi g) \mid g. g \in G\} \wedge \psi' g ' f ' X \subseteq Y$
using *assms*
by *auto*
hence
 $\{(\varphi g, \psi g) \mid g. g \in G\} \subseteq$
 $\{(\varphi, \psi). \exists \psi'. (\varphi, \psi') \in ?Act-f \wedge (\psi', \psi) \in ?Act-g \wedge \psi' ' f ' X \subseteq Y\}$
by *blast*
hence *satisfies* $(g \circ f)$ (*Equivariance* $X \{(\varphi g, \psi g) \mid g. g \in G\}$)
using *assms equivar-comp[of f X Y ?Act-f g ?Act-g] equivar-under-subset'*
unfolding *equivar-ind-by-act-def*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
thus *?thesis*
unfolding *equivar-ind-by-act-def*
by *blast*
qed

lemma *equivar-set-minus*:

fixes

$f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$ **set** **and**

$h :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$ **set** **and**

$G :: 'z$ **set** **and**

$X :: 'x$ **set** **and**

$\varphi :: ('z, 'x)$ **binary-fun** **and**

$\psi :: ('z, 'y)$ **binary-fun**

assumes

satisfies f (*equivar-ind-by-act* G X φ (*set-action* ψ)) **and**

satisfies h (*equivar-ind-by-act* G X φ (*set-action* ψ)) **and**

$\forall g \in G.$ *bij* (ψ g)

shows *satisfies* $(\lambda x. f\ x - h\ x)$ (*equivar-ind-by-act* G X φ (*set-action* ψ))

proof $-$

have $\forall g \in G. \forall x \in X. \varphi\ g\ x \in X \longrightarrow f\ (\varphi\ g\ x) = \psi\ g\ ' (f\ x)$

using *assms*

by (*simp add: rewrite-equivar-ind-by-act*)

moreover have $\forall g \in G. \forall x \in X. \varphi\ g\ x \in X \longrightarrow h\ (\varphi\ g\ x) = \psi\ g\ ' (h\ x)$

using *assms*

by (*simp add: rewrite-equivar-ind-by-act*)

ultimately have

$\forall g \in G. \forall x \in X. \varphi\ g\ x \in X \longrightarrow f\ (\varphi\ g\ x) - h\ (\varphi\ g\ x) = \psi\ g\ ' (f\ x) - \psi\ g\ ' (h\ x)$

($h\ x$)

by *blast*

moreover have $\forall g \in G. \forall A\ B. \psi\ g\ ' A - \psi\ g\ ' B = \psi\ g\ ' (A - B)$

using *assms*

by (*simp add: bij-def image-set-diff*)

ultimately show *?thesis*

using *rewrite-equivar-ind-by-act*

unfolding *set-action.simps*

by *fastforce*

qed

lemma *equivar-union-under-img-act*:

fixes

$f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$ **and**

$G :: 'z$ **set** **and**

$\varphi :: ('z, 'x)$ **binary-fun**

shows

satisfies \bigcup (*equivar-ind-by-act* G *UNIV* (*set-action* (*set-action* φ)) (*set-action* φ))

proof (*unfold equivar-ind-by-act-def, clarsimp, safe*)

fix

$g :: 'z$ **and** $\mathcal{X} :: 'x$ **set set** **and** $X :: 'x$ **set** **and** $x :: 'x$

assume

$x \in X$ **and** $X \in \mathcal{X}$ **and** $g \in G$

thus $\varphi\ g\ x \in \varphi\ g\ ' \bigcup \mathcal{X}$

by *blast*

have $\varphi\ g\ ' X \in (\cdot)\ (\varphi\ g)\ ' \mathcal{X}$


```

    using  $\langle X \in \mathcal{X} \rangle$ 
    by simp
  thus  $\varphi \ g \ x \in \bigcup ((\cdot) (\varphi \ g) \cdot \mathcal{X})$ 
    using  $\langle x \in X \rangle$ 
    by blast
qed

end

```

1.9 Symmetry Properties of Voting Rules

```

theory Voting-Symmetry
  imports Symmetry-Of-Functions
          Profile
          Result-Interpretations
begin

```

1.9.1 Definitions

```

fun (in result) results-closed-under-rel :: ('a, 'v) Election rel  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  results-closed-under-rel r =
    ( $\forall (E, E') \in r. \text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \text{ UNIV} = \text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E') \text{ UNIV}$ )

```

```

fun result-action :: ('x, 'r) binary-fun  $\Rightarrow$  ('x, 'r) Result binary-fun where
  result-action  $\psi \ x = (\lambda r. (\psi \ x \cdot \text{elect-}r \ r, \psi \ x \cdot \text{reject-}r \ r, \psi \ x \cdot \text{defer-}r \ r))$ 

```

Anonymity

```

definition anonymityG :: ('v  $\Rightarrow$  'v) monoid where
  anonymityG = BijGroup (UNIV::'v set)

```

```

fun  $\varphi$ -anon ::
  ('a, 'v) Election set  $\Rightarrow$  ('v  $\Rightarrow$  'v)  $\Rightarrow$  (('a, 'v) Election  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Election) where
   $\varphi$ -anon X  $\pi = \text{extensional-continuation } (\text{rename } \pi) \ X$ 

```

```

fun anonymityR :: ('a, 'v) Election set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Election rel where
  anonymityR X = rel-induced-by-action (carrier anonymityG) X ( $\varphi$ -anon X)

```

Neutrality

```

fun rel-rename :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a, 'a Preference-Relation) binary-fun where
  rel-rename  $\pi \ r = \{(\pi \ a, \pi \ b) \mid a \ b. (a, b) \in r\}$ 

```

```

fun alts-rename :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a, ('a, 'v) Election) binary-fun where
  alts-rename  $\pi \ E = (\pi \cdot (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E), \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E, (\text{rel-rename } \pi) \circ (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E))$ 

```

```

definition neutralityG :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a) monoid where
  neutralityG = BijGroup (UNIV::'a set)

```

fun $\varphi\text{-neutr} :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election set} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a, ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}) \text{ binary-fun}$ **where**
 $\varphi\text{-neutr } X \ \pi = \text{extensional-continuation } (\text{alts-rename } \pi) \ X$

fun $\text{neutrality}_{\mathcal{R}} :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election set} \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Election rel}$ **where**
 $\text{neutrality}_{\mathcal{R}} \ X = \text{rel-induced-by-action } (\text{carrier neutrality}_{\mathcal{G}}) \ X \ (\varphi\text{-neutr } X)$

fun $\psi\text{-neutr}_{\text{c}} :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a) \text{ binary-fun}$ **where**
 $\psi\text{-neutr}_{\text{c}} \ \pi \ r = \pi \ r$

fun $\psi\text{-neutr}_{\text{w}} :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \text{ rel}) \text{ binary-fun}$ **where**
 $\psi\text{-neutr}_{\text{w}} \ \pi \ r = \text{rel-rename } \pi \ r$

Homogeneity

fun $\text{homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election set} \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Election rel}$ **where**
 $\text{homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} \ X =$
 $\{(E, E') \in X \times X.$
 $\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E = \text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \wedge \text{finite } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \wedge \text{finite } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E') \wedge$
 $(\exists n > 0. \forall r :: ('a \text{ Preference-Relation}). \text{vote-count } r \ E = n * (\text{vote-count } r \ E'))\}$

fun $\text{copy-list} :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'x \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'x \text{ list}$ **where**
 $\text{copy-list } 0 \ l = []$
 $\text{copy-list } (\text{Suc } n) \ l = \text{copy-list } n \ l @ l$

fun $\text{homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}}' :: ('a, 'v :: \text{linorder}) \text{ Election set} \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Election rel}$ **where**
 $\text{homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}}' \ X =$
 $\{(E, E') \in X \times X. \text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E = \text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \wedge \text{finite } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \wedge \text{finite } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E') \wedge$
 $(\exists n > 0. \text{to-list } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E') \ (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E') = \text{copy-list } n \ (\text{to-list } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E)))\}$

Reversal Symmetry

fun $\text{rev-rel} :: 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel}$ **where**
 $\text{rev-rel } r = \{(a, b). (b, a) \in r\}$

fun $\text{rel-app} :: ('a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel}) \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Election} \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}$ **where**
 $\text{rel-app } f \ (A, V, p) = (A, V, f \circ p)$

definition $\text{reversal}_{\mathcal{G}} :: ('a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel}) \text{ monoid}$ **where**
 $\text{reversal}_{\mathcal{G}} = (\text{carrier} = \{\text{rev-rel}, \text{id}\}, \text{monoid.mult} = \text{comp}, \text{one} = \text{id})$

fun $\varphi\text{-rev} :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election set} \Rightarrow ('a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel}, ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}) \text{ binary-fun}$
where
 $\varphi\text{-rev } X \ \varphi =$
 $\text{extensional-continuation } (\text{rel-app } \varphi) \ X$

fun $\psi\text{-rev} :: ('a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel}, 'a \text{ rel}) \text{ binary-fun}$ **where**
 $\psi\text{-rev } \varphi \ r = \varphi \ r$

fun reversal_R :: ('a, 'v) Election set ⇒ ('a, 'v) Election rel **where**
 reversal_R X = rel-induced-by-action (carrier reversal_G) X (φ-rev X)

1.9.2 Auxiliary Lemmas

fun n-app :: nat ⇒ ('x ⇒ 'x) ⇒ ('x ⇒ 'x) **where**
 n-app 0 f = id |
 n-app (Suc n) f = f ∘ n-app n f

lemma n-app-rewrite:

fixes
 f :: 'x ⇒ 'x **and**
 n :: nat **and**
 x :: 'x
shows (f ∘ n-app n f) x = (n-app n f ∘ f) x
proof (simp, induction n f arbitrary: x rule: n-app.induct)
case (1 f)
show f (n-app 0 f x) = n-app 0 f (f x)
by simp
next
case (2 n f)
fix
 x :: 'x
assume
 hyp: ∧x. f (n-app n f x) = n-app n f (f x)
have f (n-app (Suc n) f x) = f (f (n-app n f x))
by simp
also have ... = f ((n-app n f ∘ f) x)
using hyp
by simp
also have ... = f (n-app n f (f x))
by simp
also have ... = n-app (Suc n) f (f x)
by simp
finally show f (n-app (Suc n) f x) = n-app (Suc n) f (f x)
by simp
qed

lemma n-app-leaves-set:

fixes
 A :: 'x set **and**
 B :: 'x set **and**
 f :: 'x ⇒ 'x **and**
 x :: 'x
assumes
 fin-A: finite A **and**
 fin-B: finite B **and**
 x-el: x ∈ A − B **and**

bij: bij-betw f A B
obtains $n :: \text{nat}$ **where** $n > 0$ **and**
 $n\text{-app } n \ f \ x \in B - A$ **and**
 $\forall m > 0. m < n \longrightarrow n\text{-app } m \ f \ x \in A \cap B$
proof –
assume
existence-witness:
 $\bigwedge n. 0 < n \implies n\text{-app } n \ f \ x \in B - A \implies \forall m > 0. m < n \longrightarrow n\text{-app } m \ f \ x \in A \cap B \implies \text{thesis}$
have *ex-A:* $\exists n > 0. n\text{-app } n \ f \ x \in B - A \wedge (\forall m > 0. m < n \longrightarrow n\text{-app } m \ f \ x \in A)$
proof (*rule ccontr, clarsimp*)
assume
nex:
 $\forall n. n\text{-app } n \ f \ x \in B \longrightarrow n = 0 \vee n\text{-app } n \ f \ x \in A \vee (\exists m > 0. m < n \wedge n\text{-app } m \ f \ x \notin A)$
hence
 $\forall n > 0. n\text{-app } n \ f \ x \in B \longrightarrow n\text{-app } n \ f \ x \in A \vee (\exists m > 0. m < n \wedge n\text{-app } m \ f \ x \notin A)$
by *blast*
moreover have
 $(\forall n > 0. n\text{-app } n \ f \ x \in B \longrightarrow n\text{-app } n \ f \ x \in A) \longrightarrow \text{False}$
proof (*safe*)
assume
in-A: $\forall n > 0. n\text{-app } n \ f \ x \in B \longrightarrow n\text{-app } n \ f \ x \in A$
hence
 $\forall n > 0. n\text{-app } n \ f \ x \in A \longrightarrow n\text{-app } (\text{Suc } n) \ f \ x \in A$
using *n-app.simps bij*
unfolding *bij-betw-def*
by *force*
hence *in-AB-imp-in-AB:*
 $\forall n > 0. n\text{-app } n \ f \ x \in A \cap B \longrightarrow n\text{-app } (\text{Suc } n) \ f \ x \in A \cap B$
using *n-app.simps bij*
unfolding *bij-betw-def*
by *auto*
have *in-int:* $\forall n > 0. n\text{-app } n \ f \ x \in A \cap B$
proof (*clarify*)
fix
 $n :: \text{nat}$
assume
 $n > 0$
thus $n\text{-app } n \ f \ x \in A \cap B$
proof (*induction n*)
case 0
have *False*
using 0
by *blast*
thus *?case*
by *simp*

```

next
  case (Suc n)
  assume
    0 < Suc n and
    hyp: 0 < n  $\implies$  n-app n f x  $\in$  A  $\cap$  B
  have n = 0  $\longrightarrow$  n-app (Suc n) f x = f x
  by auto
  hence n = 0  $\longrightarrow$  n-app (Suc n) f x  $\in$  A  $\cap$  B
  using x-el bij in-A
  unfolding bij-betw-def
  by blast
  moreover have n > 0  $\longrightarrow$  n-app (Suc n) f x  $\in$  A  $\cap$  B
  using hyp in-AB-imp-in-AB
  by blast
  ultimately show n-app (Suc n) f x  $\in$  A  $\cap$  B
  by blast
qed
qed
hence {n-app n f x | n. n > 0}  $\subseteq$  A  $\cap$  B
  by blast
moreover have finite (A  $\cap$  B)
  using fin-A fin-B
  by blast
ultimately have finite {n-app n f x | n. n > 0}
  by (meson rev-finite-subset)
moreover have
  inj-on ( $\lambda$ n. n-app n f x) {n. n > 0}  $\longrightarrow$  infinite (( $\lambda$ n. n-app n f x) ‘ {n. n
> 0})
  by (metis diff-is-0-eq' finite-imageD finite-nat-set-iff-bounded
      lessI less-imp-diff-less mem-Collect-eq nless-le)
moreover have
  ( $\lambda$ n. n-app n f x) ‘ {n. n > 0} = {n-app n f x | n. n > 0}
  by auto
ultimately have
   $\neg$  inj-on ( $\lambda$ n. n-app n f x) {n. n > 0}
  by metis
hence  $\exists$  n. n > 0  $\wedge$  ( $\exists$  m > n. n-app n f x = n-app m f x)
  by (metis linorder-inj-onI' mem-Collect-eq)
hence
   $\exists$  n-min. 0 < n-min  $\wedge$  ( $\exists$  m > n-min. n-app n-min f x = n-app m f x)  $\wedge$ 
  ( $\forall$  n < n-min.  $\neg$ (0 < n  $\wedge$  ( $\exists$  m > n. n-app n f x = n-app m f x)))
  using exists-least-iff[of  $\lambda$ n. n > 0  $\wedge$  ( $\exists$  m > n. n-app n f x = n-app m f x)]
  by presburger
then obtain n-min :: nat where
  n-min > 0 and  $\exists$  m > n-min. n-app n-min f x = n-app m f x and
  neq:  $\forall$  n < n-min.  $\neg$ (n > 0  $\wedge$  ( $\exists$  m > n. n-app n f x = n-app m f x))
  by blast
then obtain m :: nat where
  m > n-min and n-app n-min f x = f (n-app (m - 1) f x)

```

```

    using n-app.simps
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) comp-apply diff-Suc-1 less-nat-zero-code
n-app.elims)
  moreover have n-app n-min f x = f (n-app (n-min - 1) f x)
    using n-app.simps
    by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) Suc-pred' 0 < n-min comp-eq-id-dest
id-comp)
  moreover have n-app (m - 1) f x ∈ A ∧ n-app (n-min - 1) f x ∈ A
    using in-int x-el ⟨n-min > 0⟩ ⟨m > n-min⟩ n-app.simps
    by (metis Diff-iff IntD1 cancel-comm-monoid-add-class.diff-cancel
diff-le-self id-apply nless-le)
  ultimately have eq: n-app (m - 1) f x = n-app (n-min - 1) f x
    using bij
    unfolding bij-betw-def inj-def inj-on-def
    by simp
  moreover have m - 1 > n-min - 1
    using ⟨m > n-min⟩
    by (simp add: Suc-leI 0 < n-min diff-less-mono)
  ultimately have case-greater-0: n-min - 1 > 0 ⟶ False
    using neq
    by (metis 0 < n-min diff-less zero-less-one)
  have n-app (m - 1) f x ∈ B
    using in-int ⟨m > n-min⟩ ⟨n-min > 0⟩
    by auto
  moreover have n-min - 1 = 0 ⟶ n-app (n-min - 1) f x ∉ B
    using x-el n-app.simps
    by simp
  ultimately have n-min - 1 = 0 ⟶ False
    using eq
    by auto
  thus False
    using case-greater-0
    by blast
qed
ultimately have ∃ n > 0. ∃ m > 0. m < n ∧ n-app m f x ∉ A
  by blast
hence ∃ n. n > 0 ∧ n-app n f x ∉ A
  by blast
hence ∃ n. n > 0 ∧ n-app n f x ∉ A ∧ (∀ m < n. ¬(m > 0 ∧ n-app m f x ∉
A))
  using exists-least-iff[of λn. n > 0 ∧ n-app n f x ∉ A]
  by presburger
then obtain n :: nat where
  n > 0 and
  not-in-A: n-app n f x ∉ A and
  less-in-A: ∀ m. (0 < m ∧ m < n) ⟶ n-app m f x ∈ A
  by blast
moreover have n-app 0 f x ∈ A
  using x-el n-app.simps

```

```

    by simp
  ultimately have  $n\text{-app } (n - 1) f x \in A$ 
    by (metis bot-nat-0.not-eq-extremum diff-less less-numeral-extra(1))
  moreover have  $n\text{-app } n f x = f (n\text{-app } (n - 1) f x)$ 
    using  $n\text{-app.simps}$ 
    by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) Suc-pred'  $\langle 0 < n \rangle$  comp-eq-id-dest
fun.map-id)
  ultimately have  $n\text{-app } n f x \in B$ 
    using  $bij\ n\text{-app.simps}$ 
    unfolding  $bij\text{-betw-def}$ 
    by blast
  thus False
    using  $nex\ not\text{-in-}A\ \langle n > 0 \rangle\ less\text{-in-}A$ 
    by blast
qed
moreover have  $n\text{-app } 0 f x \in A$ 
  using  $x\text{-el } n\text{-app.simps}$ 
  by simp
ultimately have
   $\forall n. (\forall m > 0. m < n \longrightarrow n\text{-app } m f x \in A) \longrightarrow (\forall m > 0. m < n \longrightarrow n\text{-app } (m - 1) f x \in A)$ 
  using  $n\text{-app.simps}$ 
  by (metis bot-nat-0.not-eq-extremum less-imp-diff-less)
  moreover have  $\forall m > 0. n\text{-app } m f x = f (n\text{-app } (m - 1) f x)$ 
    using  $n\text{-app.simps}$ 
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) bot-nat-0.not-eq-extremum comp-apply diff-Suc-1
n-app.elims)
  ultimately have
   $\forall n. (\forall m > 0. m < n \longrightarrow n\text{-app } m f x \in A) \longrightarrow (\forall m > 0. m \leq n \longrightarrow n\text{-app } m f x \in B)$ 
    using  $bij\ n\text{-app.simps}\ \langle n\text{-app } 0 f x \in A \rangle\ diff\text{-Suc-1}\ gr0\text{-conv-Suc}$ 
    imageI linorder-not-le nless-le not-less-eq-eq
    unfolding  $bij\text{-betw-def}$ 
    by metis
  hence
   $\exists n > 0. n\text{-app } n f x \in B - A \wedge (\forall m > 0. m < n \longrightarrow n\text{-app } m f x \in A \cap B)$ 
    using  $ex\text{-}A$ 
    by (metis IntI nless-le)
  thus thesis
    using existence-witness
    by blast
qed

lemma  $n\text{-app-rev}$ :
  fixes
     $A :: 'x\ set$  and
     $B :: 'x\ set$  and
     $f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'x$  and
     $n :: nat$  and  $m :: nat$  and

```

```

  x :: 'x and y :: 'x
assumes
  x ∈ A and y ∈ A and n ≥ m and
  n-app n f x = n-app m f y and
  ∀ n' < n. n-app n' f x ∈ A and
  ∀ m' < m. n-app m' f y ∈ A and
  finite A and
  finite B and
  bij-betw f A B
shows
  n-app (n - m) f x = y
using assms
proof (induction n f arbitrary: m x y rule: n-app.induct)
  case (1 f)
  fix
    f :: 'x ⇒ 'x and
    m :: nat and
    x :: 'x and y :: 'x
  assume
    m ≤ 0 and
    n-app 0 f x = n-app m f y
  thus n-app (0 - m) f x = y
    by simp
next
  case (2 n f)
  fix
    f :: 'x ⇒ 'x and
    n :: nat and m :: nat and
    x :: 'x and y :: 'x
  assume
    bij: bij-betw f A B and
    x ∈ A and y ∈ A and m ≤ Suc n and
    x-dom: ∀ n' < Suc n. n-app n' f x ∈ A and
    y-dom: ∀ m' < m. n-app m' f y ∈ A and
    eq: n-app (Suc n) f x = n-app m f y and
    hyp:
      ∧ m x y.
        x ∈ A ⇒
        y ∈ A ⇒
        m ≤ n ⇒
        n-app n f x = n-app m f y ⇒
        ∀ n' < n. n-app n' f x ∈ A ⇒
        ∀ m' < m. n-app m' f y ∈ A ⇒
        finite A ⇒ finite B ⇒ bij-betw f A B ⇒ n-app (n - m) f x = y
  hence m > 0 ⇒ f (n-app n f x) = f (n-app (m - 1) f y)
    using n-app.simps
  by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) Suc-pred' comp-apply)
moreover have n-app n f x ∈ A
  using ⟨x ∈ A⟩ x-dom

```


by *blast*
 moreover have $m > 0 \longrightarrow n\text{-app } (m - 1) f y \in A$
 using *y-dom*
 by *simp*
 ultimately have
 $m > 0 \longrightarrow n\text{-app } n f x = n\text{-app } (m - 1) f y$
 using *bij*
 unfolding *bij-betw-def inj-on-def*
 by *blast*
 moreover have $m - 1 \leq n$
 using $\langle m \leq \text{Suc } n \rangle$
 by *simp*
 hence
 $m > 0 \longrightarrow n\text{-app } (n - (m - 1)) f x = y$
 using *hyp*[of $x y m - 1$] $\langle x \in A \rangle \langle y \in A \rangle x\text{-dom } y\text{-dom}$
 by (*metis One-nat-def Suc-pred assms(7) assms(8) bij calculation less-SucI*)
 hence $m > 0 \longrightarrow n\text{-app } (\text{Suc } n - m) f x = y$
 using *Suc-diff-eq-diff-pred*
 by *presburger*
 moreover have $m = 0 \longrightarrow n\text{-app } (\text{Suc } n - m) f x = y$
 using *eq*
 by *simp*
 ultimately show $n\text{-app } (\text{Suc } n - m) f x = y$
 by *blast*
 qed

lemma *n-app-inv*:

fixes
 $A :: 'x \text{ set}$ and
 $B :: 'x \text{ set}$ and
 $f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'x$ and
 $n :: \text{nat}$ and
 $x :: 'x$
 assumes
 $x \in B$ and
 $\forall m \geq 0. m < n \longrightarrow n\text{-app } m (\text{the-inv-into } A f) x \in B$
 $\text{bij-betw } f A B$
 shows
 $n\text{-app } n f (n\text{-app } n (\text{the-inv-into } A f) x) = x$
 using *assms*
 proof (*induction n f arbitrary: x rule: n-app.induct*)
 case (1 *f*)
 show ?case
 by *simp*
 next
 case (2 *n f*)
 fix
 $n :: \text{nat}$ and
 $f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'x$ and

```

  x :: 'x
assume
  x ∈ B and bij: bij-betw f A B and
  stays-in-B: ∀ m ≥ 0. m < Suc n ⟶ n-app m (the-inv-into A f) x ∈ B and
  hyp:
    ∧x. x ∈ B ⟹
      ∀ m ≥ 0. m < n ⟶ n-app m (the-inv-into A f) x ∈ B ⟹
        bij-betw f A B ⟹ n-app n f (n-app n (the-inv-into A f) x) = x
have n-app (Suc n) f (n-app (Suc n) (the-inv-into A f) x) =
  n-app n f (f (n-app (Suc n) (the-inv-into A f) x))
using n-app-rewrite
by simp
also have
  ... = n-app n f (f (the-inv-into A f (n-app n (the-inv-into A f) x)))
using n-app.simps
by auto
also have
  f (the-inv-into A f (n-app n (the-inv-into A f) x)) = n-app n (the-inv-into A
f) x
using stays-in-B bij
by (simp add: f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw)
finally have
  n-app (Suc n) f (n-app (Suc n) (the-inv-into A f) x) =
  n-app n f (n-app n (the-inv-into A f) x)
by simp
thus n-app (Suc n) f (n-app (Suc n) (the-inv-into A f) x) = x
using hyp[of x] bij stays-in-B
by (simp add: ⟨x ∈ B⟩)
qed

```

lemma *bij-betw-finite-ind-global-bij*:

```

fixes
  A :: 'x set and
  B :: 'x set and
  f :: 'x ⟹ 'x
assumes
  fin-A: finite A and
  fin-B: finite B and
  bij: bij-betw f A B
obtains g :: 'x ⟹ 'x where
  bij g and
  ∀ a ∈ A. g a = f a and
  ∀ b ∈ B - A. g b ∈ A - B ∧ (∃ n > 0. n-app n f (g b) = b) and
  ∀ x ∈ UNIV - A - B. g x = x
proof -
assume
  existence-witness:
    ∧g. bij g ⟹
      ∀ a ∈ A. g a = f a ⟹

```

$\forall b \in B - A. g \ b \in A - B \wedge (\exists n > 0. n\text{-app } n \ f \ (g \ b) = b) \implies$
 $\forall x \in UNIV - A - B. g \ x = x \implies thesis$
have *bij-inv*: *bij-betw* (*the-inv-into* *A f*) *B A*
using *bij* *bij-betw-the-inv-into*
by *blast*
then obtain $g' :: 'x \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
greater-0: $\forall x \in B - A. g' \ x > 0$ **and**
in-set-diff: $\forall x \in B - A. n\text{-app } (g' \ x) \ (the\text{-inv-into } A \ f) \ x \in A - B$ **and**
minimal: $\forall x \in B - A. \forall n > 0. n < g' \ x \longrightarrow n\text{-app } n \ (the\text{-inv-into } A \ f) \ x \in$
 $B \cap A$
using *n-app-leaves-set*[*of* $B \ A - the\text{-inv-into } A \ f \ False$] *fin-A fin-B*
by *metis*
obtain $g :: 'x \Rightarrow 'x$ **where**
def-g:
 $g = (\lambda x. \text{if } x \in A \text{ then } f \ x \text{ else}$
 $\quad (if \ x \in B - A \text{ then } n\text{-app } (g' \ x) \ (the\text{-inv-into } A \ f) \ x \text{ else } x))$
by *simp*
hence *coincide*:
 $\forall a \in A. g \ a = f \ a$
by *simp*
have *id*:
 $\forall x \in UNIV - A - B. g \ x = x$
using *def-g*
by *simp*
have $\forall x \in B - A. n\text{-app } 0 \ (the\text{-inv-into } A \ f) \ x \in B$
by *simp*
moreover have $\forall x \in B - A. \forall n > 0. n < g' \ x \longrightarrow n\text{-app } n \ (the\text{-inv-into } A \ f)$
 $x \in B$
using *minimal*
by *blast*
ultimately have
 $\forall x \in B - A. n\text{-app } (g' \ x) \ f \ (n\text{-app } (g' \ x) \ (the\text{-inv-into } A \ f) \ x) = x$
using *n-app-inv*[*of* $- B - A \ f$] *bij*
by (*metis DiffD1 antisym-conv2*)
hence $\forall x \in B - A. n\text{-app } (g' \ x) \ f \ (g \ x) = x$
using *def-g*
by *simp*
with *greater-0 in-set-diff* **have** *reverse*:
 $\forall x \in B - A. g \ x \in A - B \wedge (\exists n > 0. n\text{-app } n \ f \ (g \ x) = x)$
using *def-g*
by *auto*
have $\forall x \in UNIV - A - B. g \ x = id \ x$
using *def-g*
by *simp*
hence $g \ ' \ (UNIV - A - B) = id \ ' \ (UNIV - A - B)$
by *simp*
hence $g \ ' \ (UNIV - A - B) = UNIV - A - B$
by *simp*
moreover have $g \ ' \ A = B$

```

using def-g bij
unfolding bij-betw-def
by auto
moreover have
   $A \cup (UNIV - A - B) = UNIV - (B - A) \wedge B \cup (UNIV - A - B) = UNIV$ 
  -  $(A - B)$ 
  by blast
ultimately have surj-cases-13:
   $g \text{ ' } (UNIV - (B - A)) = UNIV - (A - B)$ 
  by (metis image-Un)
have inj-on g A  $\wedge$  inj-on g (UNIV - A - B)
  using def-g bij
  unfolding bij-betw-def inj-on-def
  by simp
hence inj-cases-13: inj-on g (UNIV - (B - A))
  unfolding inj-on-def
  by (metis DiffD2 DiffI bij bij-betwE def-g)
have card A = card B
  using fin-A fin-B bij bij-betw-same-card
  by blast
with fin-A fin-B have
  finite (B - A)  $\wedge$  finite (A - B)  $\wedge$  card (B - A) = card (A - B)
  by (metis card-le-sym-Diff finite-Diff2 nle-le)
moreover have  $(\lambda x. n\text{-app } (g' x) (the\text{-inv-into } A f) x) \text{ ' } (B - A) \subseteq A - B$ 
  using in-set-diff
  by blast
moreover have inj-on  $(\lambda x. n\text{-app } (g' x) (the\text{-inv-into } A f) x) (B - A)$ 
  proof (unfold inj-on-def, safe)
  fix
     $x :: 'x$  and  $y :: 'x$ 
  assume
     $x \in B$  and  $x \notin A$  and  $y \in B$  and  $y \notin A$  and
     $n\text{-app } (g' x) (the\text{-inv-into } A f) x = n\text{-app } (g' y) (the\text{-inv-into } A f) y$ 
  moreover have
     $\forall n < g' x. n\text{-app } n (the\text{-inv-into } A f) x \in B$ 
    using  $\langle x \in B \rangle \langle x \notin A \rangle$  minimal
    by (metis Diff-iff Int-iff bot-nat-0.not-eq-extremum eq-id-iff n-app.simps(1))
  moreover have
     $\forall n < g' y. n\text{-app } n (the\text{-inv-into } A f) y \in B$ 
    using  $\langle y \in B \rangle \langle y \notin A \rangle$  minimal
    by (metis Diff-iff Int-iff bot-nat-0.not-eq-extremum eq-id-iff n-app.simps(1))
  ultimately have x-to-y:
     $n\text{-app } (g' x - g' y) (the\text{-inv-into } A f) x = y \vee$ 
     $n\text{-app } (g' y - g' x) (the\text{-inv-into } A f) y = x$ 
    using  $\langle x \in B \rangle \langle y \in B \rangle$  bij-inv fin-A fin-B
     $n\text{-app-rev}[of x B y g' y g' x the\text{-inv-into } A f A]$ 
     $n\text{-app-rev}[of y B x g' x g' y the\text{-inv-into } A f A]$ 
    by fastforce
  hence  $g' x \neq g' y \longrightarrow$ 

```

```

    (( $\exists n > 0. n < g' x \wedge n\text{-app } n \text{ (the-inv-into } A f) x \in B - A$ )  $\vee$ 
     ( $\exists n > 0. n < g' y \wedge n\text{-app } n \text{ (the-inv-into } A f) y \in B - A$ ))
    using greater-0  $\langle x \in B \rangle \langle x \notin A \rangle \langle y \in B \rangle \langle y \notin A \rangle$ 
    by (metis (full-types) Diff-iff diff-less-mono2 diff-zero id-apply
        less-Suc-eq-0-disj n-app.elims)
  hence  $g' x = g' y$ 
    using minimal  $\langle x \in B \rangle \langle x \notin A \rangle \langle y \in B \rangle \langle y \notin A \rangle$ 
    by blast
  thus  $x = y$ 
    using x-to-y n-app.simps
    by force
qed
ultimately have bij-betw ( $\lambda x. n\text{-app } (g' x) \text{ (the-inv-into } A f) x$ ) ( $B - A$ ) ( $A - B$ )
  by (simp add: bij-betw-def card-image card-subset-eq)
hence bij-case2: bij-betw  $g$  ( $B - A$ ) ( $A - B$ )
  using def-g
  unfolding bij-betw-def inj-on-def
  by auto
hence  $g \text{ ' } UNIV = UNIV$ 
  using surj-cases-13
  unfolding bij-betw-def
  by (metis Un-Diff-cancel2 image-Un sup-top-left)
moreover have inj  $g$ 
  using inj-cases-13 bij-case2
  unfolding bij-betw-def inj-def inj-on-def
  by (metis DiffD2 DiffI imageI surj-cases-13)
ultimately have bij  $g$ 
  unfolding bij-def
  by blast
with coincide id reverse have
   $\exists g. \text{bij } g \wedge (\forall a \in A. g a = f a) \wedge$ 
   $(\forall b \in B - A. g b \in A - B \wedge (\exists n > 0. n\text{-app } n f (g b) = b)) \wedge$ 
   $(\forall x \in UNIV - A - B. g x = x)$ 
  by blast
thus thesis
  using existence-witness
  by blast
qed

lemma bij-betw-ext:
  fixes
     $f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$  and
     $X :: 'x \text{ set}$  and
     $Y :: 'y \text{ set}$ 
  assumes
     $\text{bij-betw } f X Y$ 
  shows
     $\text{bij-betw (extensional-continuation } f X) X Y$ 

```

proof –
have $\forall x \in X. \text{extensional-continuation } f \ X \ x = f \ x$
by *simp*
thus *?thesis*
using *assms*
by (*metis* *bij-betw-cong*)
qed

1.9.3 Anonymity Lemmas

lemma *anon-rel-vote-count*:

fixes
 $X :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election set}$ **and**
 $E :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}$ **and**
 $E' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}$
assumes
 $\text{finite } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E)$ **and**
 $(E, E') \in \text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}} \ X$
shows
 $\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E = \text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \wedge (E, E') \in X \times X \wedge (\forall p. \text{vote-count } p \ E = \text{vote-count } p \ E')$
proof –
from *assms* **have** $\text{rel}': (E, E') \in X \times X$
unfolding *anonymity_R.sims* *rel-induced-by-action.sims*
by *blast*
hence $E \in X$
by *simp*
with *assms* **obtain** $\pi :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$ **where** *bij* π **and**
 $\text{renamed: } E' = \text{rename } \pi \ E$
unfolding *anonymity_R.sims* *rel-induced-by-action.sims* *anonymity_G-def* *φ -anon.sims*
 $\text{extensional-continuation.sims}$
using *bij-car-el*
by *auto*
hence *eq-alts*: $\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E' = \text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E$
by (*metis* *eq-fst-iff* *rename.sims*)
from *renamed* **have**
 $\forall v \in (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E'). (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E') \ v = (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E) (\text{the-inv } \pi \ v)$
using *rename.sims*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *comp-apply* *prod.collapse* *snd-conv*)
hence *rewrite*:
 $\forall p. \{v \in (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E'). (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E') \ v = p\} = \{v \in (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E'). (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E) (\text{the-inv } \pi \ v) = p\}$
by *blast*
from *renamed* **have**
 $\forall v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \text{the-inv } \pi \ v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E$
using *UNIV-I* $\langle \text{bij } \pi \rangle$ *bij-betw-imp-surj* *bij-is-inj* *f-the-inv-into-f*
 fst-conv *inj-image-mem-iff* *prod.collapse* *rename.sims* *snd-conv*
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*))
hence

$\forall p. \forall v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E) (\text{the-inv } \pi \ v) = p \longrightarrow$
 $v \in \pi \text{ ' } \{v \in (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E). (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ v = p\}$
using $\langle \text{bij } \pi \rangle \text{ f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw image-iff}$
by *fastforce*
hence subset:
 $\forall p. \{v \in (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E'). (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E) (\text{the-inv } \pi \ v) = p\} \subseteq$
 $\pi \text{ ' } \{v \in (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E). (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ v = p\}$
by *blast*
from renamed have
 $\forall v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \pi \ v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E'$
using $\langle \text{bij } \pi \rangle \text{ bij-is-inj fst-conv inj-image-mem-iff prod.collapse rename.simps}$
snd-conv
by *(metis (mono-tags, lifting))*
hence
 $\forall p. \pi \text{ ' } \{v \in (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E). (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ v = p\} \subseteq$
 $\{v \in (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E'). (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E) (\text{the-inv } \pi \ v) = p\}$
using $\langle \text{bij } \pi \rangle \text{ bij-is-inj the-inv-f-f}$
by *fastforce*
with subset rewrite have
 $\forall p. \{v \in (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E'). (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E') \ v = p\} = \pi \text{ ' } \{v \in (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E). (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E)$
 $v = p\}$
by *(simp add: subset-antisym)*
moreover have
 $\forall p. \text{card } (\pi \text{ ' } \{v \in (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E). (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ v = p\}) = \text{card } \{v \in (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E).$
 $(\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ v = p\}$
by *(metis (no-types, lifting) \langle \text{bij } \pi \rangle \text{ bij-betw-same-card bij-betw-subset top-greatest})*
ultimately have $\forall p. \text{vote-count } p \ E = \text{vote-count } p \ E'$
unfolding *vote-count.simps*
by *presburger*
thus
 $\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E = \text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \wedge (E, E') \in X \times X \wedge (\forall p. \text{vote-count } p \ E = \text{vote-count}$
 $p \ E')$
using *eq-alts assms*
by *simp*
qed

lemma *vote-count-anon-rel:*

fixes
 $X :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election set and}$
 $E :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election and}$
 $E' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}$
assumes
 $\text{finite } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \text{ and}$
 $\text{finite } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E') \text{ and}$
 $\text{default-non-v: } \forall v. v \notin \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E \longrightarrow \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = \{\}$ **and**
 $\text{default-non-v': } \forall v. v \notin \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \longrightarrow \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \ v = \{\}$ **and**
 $\text{eq: } \text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E = \text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \wedge (E, E') \in X \times X \wedge (\forall p. \text{vote-count } p \ E = \text{vote-count}$
 $p \ E')$
shows $(E, E') \in \text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}} \ X$

proof –
from *eq* **have**
 $\forall p. \text{card } \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} = \text{card } \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \ v = p\}$
unfolding *vote-count.simps*
by *blast*
moreover have
 $\forall p. \text{finite } \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \wedge \text{finite } \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \ v = p\}$
using *assms*
by *simp*
ultimately have
 $\forall p. \exists \pi. \text{bij-betw } \pi \text{-} p \ \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \ \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \ v = p\}$
using *bij-betw-iff-card*
by *blast*
then obtain $\pi :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation} \Rightarrow ('v \Rightarrow 'v)$ **where**
 $\text{bij: } \forall p. \text{bij-betw } (\pi \ p) \ \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \ \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \ v = p\}$
by (*metis (no-types)*)
obtain $\pi' :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$ **where**
 $\pi'\text{-def: } \forall v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \pi' \ v = \pi \ (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v) \ v$
by *fastforce*
hence $\forall v \ v'. v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E \wedge v' \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E \longrightarrow \pi' \ v = \pi' \ v' \longrightarrow \pi \ (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v) \ v = \pi \ (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v') \ v'$
by *simp*
moreover have
 $\forall w \ w'. w \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E \wedge w' \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E \longrightarrow \pi \ (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ w) \ w = \pi \ (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ w') \ w' \longrightarrow$
 $\{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \ v = \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ w\} \cap \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \ v = \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ w'\} \neq \{\}$
using *bij*
unfolding *bij-betw-def*
by *blast*
moreover have
 $\forall w \ w'. \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \ v = \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ w\} \cap \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \ v = \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ w'\} \neq \{\}$
 $\longrightarrow \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ w = \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ w'$
by *blast*
ultimately have *eq-prof*:
 $\forall v \ v'. v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E \wedge v' \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E \longrightarrow \pi' \ v = \pi' \ v' \longrightarrow \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v'$
by *presburger*
hence
 $\forall v \ v'. v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E \wedge v' \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E \longrightarrow \pi' \ v = \pi' \ v' \longrightarrow \pi \ (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v) \ v = \pi \ (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v') \ v'$
using $\pi'\text{-def}$
by *metis*

hence
 $\forall v v'. v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E \wedge v' \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E \longrightarrow \pi' v = \pi' v' \longrightarrow v = v'$
using *bij eq-prof*
unfolding *bij-betw-def inj-on-def*
by *simp*
hence *inj: inj-on* $\pi' (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E)$
unfolding *inj-on-def*
by *simp*
have $\pi' \text{ ' votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E = \{\pi (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v) \ v \mid v. v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E\}$
using $\pi' \text{-def}$
by (*simp add: Setcompr-eq-image*)
also have
 $\{\pi (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v) \ v \mid v. v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E\} = \{\pi \ p \ v \mid p \ v. v \in \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\}\}$
by *blast*
also have
 $\{\pi \ p \ v \mid p \ v. v \in \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\}\} =$
 $\{x \mid p \ x. p \in \text{UNIV} \wedge x \in \pi \ p \ \text{' } \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\}\}$
by *blast*
also have
 $\{x \mid p \ x. p \in \text{UNIV} \wedge x \in \pi \ p \ \text{' } \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\}\} =$
 $\{x \mid x. \exists p \in \text{UNIV}. x \in \pi \ p \ \text{' } \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\}\}$
by *blast*
also have
 $\{x \mid x. \exists p \in \text{UNIV}. x \in \pi \ p \ \text{' } \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\}\} =$
 $\{x \mid x. \exists A \in \{\pi \ p \ \text{' } \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV}\}. x \in A\}$
by *auto*
also have
 $\{x \mid x. \exists A \in \{\pi \ p \ \text{' } \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV}\}. x \in A\} =$
 $\bigcup \{\pi \ p \ \text{' } \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV}\}$
by (*simp add: Union-eq*)
also have
 $\bigcup \{\pi \ p \ \text{' } \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v = p\} \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV}\} =$
 $\bigcup \{\{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \ v = p\} \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV}\}$
using *bij*
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) bij-betw-def*)
also have
 $\bigcup \{\{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \ v = p\} \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV}\} = \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E'$
by *blast*
finally have
 $\pi' \text{ ' votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E = \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E'$
by *simp*
with *inj* **have** *bij'*: *bij-betw* $\pi' (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E) (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E')$
using *bij*
unfolding *bij-betw-def*
by *blast*
then obtain $\pi\text{-global} :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$ **where**
bij $\pi\text{-global}$ **and**
 $\pi\text{-global-def: } \forall v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \pi\text{-global } v = \pi' v$ **and**

π -global-def':
 $\forall v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E' - \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E.$
 π -global $v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E - \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \wedge$
 $(\exists n > 0. n\text{-app } n \ \pi' (\pi\text{-global } v) = v)$ **and**
 π -global-non-voters: $\forall v \in \text{UNIV} - \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E - \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \ \pi\text{-global } v = v$
using $\langle \text{finite } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \rangle \ \langle \text{finite } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E') \rangle \ \text{bij-betw-finite-ind-global-bij}$
by blast
hence inv:
 $\forall v \ v'. (\pi\text{-global } v' = v) = (v' = \text{the-inv } \pi\text{-global } v)$
by (*metis UNIV-I bij-betw-imp-inj-on bij-betw-imp-surj-on f-the-inv-into-f the-inv-f-f*)
have
 $\forall v \in \text{UNIV} - (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E' - \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E). \ \pi\text{-global } v \in \text{UNIV} - (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E -$
 $\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E')$
using π -global-def π -global-non-voters *bij'* $\langle \text{bij } \pi\text{-global} \rangle$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) DiffD1 DiffD2 DiffI bij-betwE*)
hence
 $\forall v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E - \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \ \exists v' \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E' - \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E.$
 $\pi\text{-global } v' = v \wedge (\exists n > 0. n\text{-app } n \ \pi' v = v')$
using $\langle \text{bij } \pi\text{-global} \rangle \ \pi$ -global-def'
by (*metis DiffD2 DiffI UNIV-I local.inv*)
with inv have
 $\forall v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E - \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \ \text{the-inv } \pi\text{-global } v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E' - \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E$
by simp
hence
 $\forall v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E - \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \ \forall n > 0. \ \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E (\text{the-inv } \pi\text{-global } v) = \{\}$
using *default-non-v*
by simp
moreover have
 $\forall v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E - \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \ \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E' v = \{\}$
using *default-non-v'*
by simp
ultimately have case-1:
 $\forall v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E - \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \ \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E' v = (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \circ \text{the-inv } \pi\text{-global}) v$
by auto
have
 $\forall v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \ \exists v' \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \ \pi\text{-global } v' = v \wedge \pi' v' = v$
using *bij' imageE* π -global-def
unfolding *bij-betw-def*
by (*metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting)*)
with inv have
 $\forall v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \ \exists v' \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \ v' = \text{the-inv } \pi\text{-global } v \wedge \pi' v' = v$
by presburger
hence
 $\forall v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \ \text{the-inv } \pi\text{-global } v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E \wedge \pi' (\text{the-inv } \pi\text{-global } v) = v$
by blast
moreover have
 $\forall v' \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \ \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E' (\pi' v') = \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E v'$
using π' -def *bij bij-betwE mem-Collect-eq*
by fastforce

ultimately have *case-2*:
 $\forall v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \ v = (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \circ \text{the-inv } \pi\text{-global}) \ v$
unfolding *comp-def*
by *metis*
from $\pi\text{-global-non-voters}$ **have**
 $\forall v \in \text{UNIV} - \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E - \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E'. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E' \ v = (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \circ \text{the-inv } \pi\text{-global}) \ v$
using *default-non-v default-non-v' inv*
by *auto*
with *case-1 case-2* **have**
 $\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E' = \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \circ \text{the-inv } \pi\text{-global}$
by *blast*
moreover have $\pi\text{-global} \text{ ' } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E) = \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E'$
using *$\pi\text{-global-def}$ bij' $\text{bij-betw-imp-surj-on}$*
by *fastforce*
ultimately have $E' = \text{rename } \pi\text{-global} \ E$
using *rename.simps [of $\pi\text{-global}$ $\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E$ $\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E$ $\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E$] *eq**
by (*metis prod.collapse*)
thus *?thesis*
unfolding *extensional-continuation.simps anonymity_R.simps*
rel-induced-by-action.simps φ -anon.simps anonymity_G-def
using *eq* $\langle \text{bij } \pi\text{-global} \rangle$ *case-prodI rewrite-carrier*
by *auto*
qed

lemma *rename-comp*:
fixes
 $\pi :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v \text{ and } \pi' :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$
assumes
 $\text{bij } \pi \text{ and } \text{bij } \pi'$
shows
 $\text{rename } \pi \circ \text{rename } \pi' = \text{rename } (\pi \circ \pi')$
proof
fix
 $E :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}$
have $\text{rename } \pi' \ E = (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E, \pi' \text{ ' } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E), (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \circ (\text{the-inv } \pi'))$
by (*metis prod.collapse rename.simps*)
hence
 $(\text{rename } \pi \circ \text{rename } \pi') \ E = \text{rename } \pi \ (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E, \pi' \text{ ' } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E), (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \circ (\text{the-inv } \pi'))$
 $\circ (\text{the-inv } \pi')$
unfolding *comp-def*
by *simp*
also have $\text{rename } \pi \ (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E, \pi' \text{ ' } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E), (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \circ (\text{the-inv } \pi'))$
 $= (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E, \pi \text{ ' } \pi' \text{ ' } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E), (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \circ (\text{the-inv } \pi') \circ (\text{the-inv } \pi))$
by *simp*
also have $\pi \text{ ' } \pi' \text{ ' } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E) = (\pi \circ \pi') \text{ ' } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E)$
unfolding *comp-def*
by *auto*
also have $(\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \circ (\text{the-inv } \pi') \circ (\text{the-inv } \pi) = (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \circ \text{the-inv } (\pi \circ \pi')$

```

    using assms the-inv-comp[of  $\pi$  UNIV UNIV  $\pi'$  UNIV]
    by auto
  also have
    ( $\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E, (\pi \circ \pi') \text{ ' } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E), (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \circ (\text{the-inv } (\pi \circ \pi'))$ ) = rename ( $\pi$ 
 $\circ \pi'$ )  $E$ 
    by (metis prod.collapse rename.simps)
  finally show (rename  $\pi \circ$  rename  $\pi'$ )  $E$  = rename ( $\pi \circ \pi'$ )  $E$ 
    by simp
qed

interpretation anon-grp-act:
  group-action anonymityG valid-elections  $\varphi$ -anon valid-elections
proof (unfold group-action-def group-hom-def anonymityG-def group-hom-axioms-def
hom-def,
  safe, (rule group-BijGroup)+)
{
  fix
     $\pi :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$ 
  assume
     $\pi \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup UNIV})$ 
  hence bij: bij  $\pi$ 
    using rewrite-carrier
    by blast
  hence rename  $\pi \text{ ' } \text{valid-elections} = \text{valid-elections}$ 
    using rename-surj bij
    by blast
  moreover have inj-on (rename  $\pi$ ) valid-elections
    using rename-inj bij subset-inj-on
    by blast
  ultimately have bij-betw (rename  $\pi$ ) valid-elections valid-elections
    unfolding bij-betw-def
    by blast
  hence bij-betw ( $\varphi$ -anon valid-elections  $\pi$ ) valid-elections valid-elections
    unfolding  $\varphi$ -anon.simps extensional-continuation.simps
    using bij-betw-ext
    by simp
  moreover have  $\varphi$ -anon valid-elections  $\pi \in \text{extensional valid-elections}$ 
    unfolding extensional-def
    by force
  ultimately show  $\varphi$ -anon valid-elections  $\pi \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup valid-elections})$ 
    unfolding BijGroup-def Bij-def
    by simp
}
note bij-car-el =
   $\langle \bigwedge \pi. \pi \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup UNIV}) \implies$ 
 $\varphi$ -anon valid-elections  $\pi \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup valid-elections}) \rangle$ 
fix
   $\pi :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$  and  $\pi' :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$ 
assume

```

bij: $\pi \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup UNIV})$ **and** $\text{bij}': \pi' \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup UNIV})$
hence car-els : $\varphi\text{-anon valid-elections } \pi \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup valid-elections}) \wedge$
 $\varphi\text{-anon valid-elections } \pi' \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup valid-elections})$
using bij-car-el
by metis
hence $\text{bij-betw } (\varphi\text{-anon valid-elections } \pi') \text{ valid-elections valid-elections}$
unfolding $\text{BijGroup-def Bij-def extensional-def}$
by auto
hence valid-closed' : $\varphi\text{-anon valid-elections } \pi' \text{ ' valid-elections } \subseteq \text{valid-elections}$
using $\text{bij-betw-imp-surj-on}$
by blast
from car-els **have**
 $\varphi\text{-anon valid-elections } \pi \otimes_{\text{BijGroup valid-elections}} (\varphi\text{-anon valid-elections}) \pi' =$
 $\text{extensional-continuation}$
 $(\varphi\text{-anon valid-elections } \pi \circ \varphi\text{-anon valid-elections } \pi') \text{ valid-elections}$
using rewrite-mult
by blast
moreover **have**
 $\forall E. E \in \text{valid-elections} \longrightarrow$
 $\text{extensional-continuation}$
 $(\varphi\text{-anon valid-elections } \pi \circ \varphi\text{-anon valid-elections } \pi') \text{ valid-elections } E =$
 $(\varphi\text{-anon valid-elections } \pi \circ \varphi\text{-anon valid-elections } \pi') E$
by simp
moreover **have**
 $\forall E. E \in \text{valid-elections} \longrightarrow$
 $(\varphi\text{-anon valid-elections } \pi \circ \varphi\text{-anon valid-elections } \pi') E = \text{rename } \pi$
 $(\text{rename } \pi' E)$
unfolding $\varphi\text{-anon.simps}$
using valid-closed'
by auto
moreover **have** $\forall E. E \in \text{valid-elections} \longrightarrow \text{rename } \pi (\text{rename } \pi' E) = \text{rename}$
 $(\pi \circ \pi') E$
using $\text{rename-comp bij bij' Symmetry-Of-Functions.bij-car-el comp-apply}$
by metis
moreover **have**
 $\forall E. E \in \text{valid-elections} \longrightarrow$
 $\text{rename } (\pi \circ \pi') E = \varphi\text{-anon valid-elections } (\pi \otimes_{\text{BijGroup UNIV}} \pi') E$
using $\text{rewrite-mult-univ bij bij'}$
unfolding $\varphi\text{-anon.simps}$
by force
moreover **have**
 $\forall E. E \notin \text{valid-elections} \longrightarrow$
 $\text{extensional-continuation}$
 $(\varphi\text{-anon valid-elections } \pi \circ \varphi\text{-anon valid-elections } \pi') \text{ valid-elections } E =$
 undefined
by simp
moreover **have**
 $\forall E. E \notin \text{valid-elections} \longrightarrow \varphi\text{-anon valid-elections } (\pi \otimes_{\text{BijGroup UNIV}} \pi') E$
 $= \text{undefined}$

by *simp*
 ultimately have
 $\forall E. \varphi\text{-anon valid-elections } (\pi \otimes_{\text{BijGroup UNIV}} \pi') E =$
 $(\varphi\text{-anon valid-elections } \pi \otimes_{\text{BijGroup valid-elections}} \varphi\text{-anon valid-elections}$
 $\pi') E$
 by *metis*
 thus
 $\varphi\text{-anon valid-elections } (\pi \otimes_{\text{BijGroup UNIV}} \pi') =$
 $\varphi\text{-anon valid-elections } \pi \otimes_{\text{BijGroup valid-elections}} \varphi\text{-anon valid-elections } \pi'$
 by *blast*
 qed

lemma (in *result*) *well-formed-res-anon:*
satisfies ($\lambda E. \text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV}$) (*Invariance* (*anonymity* _{\mathcal{R}} *valid-elections*))
proof (*unfold anonymity _{\mathcal{R}} .simps, simp, safe*) **qed**

1.9.4 Neutrality Lemmas

lemma *rel-rename-helper:*
 fixes
 $r :: 'a \text{ rel}$ and
 $\pi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ and
 $a :: 'a$ and $b :: 'a$
 assumes
 $\text{bij } \pi$
 shows
 $(\pi \ a, \pi \ b) \in \{(\pi \ x, \pi \ y) \mid x \ y. (x, y) \in r\} \longleftrightarrow (a, b) \in \{(x, y) \mid x \ y. (x, y) \in r\}$
proof (*safe, simp*)
 fix
 $x :: 'a$ and $y :: 'a$
 assume
 $(x, y) \in r$ and $\pi \ a = \pi \ x$ and $\pi \ b = \pi \ y$
 hence $a = x \wedge b = y$
 using $\langle \text{bij } \pi \rangle$
 by (*metis bij-is-inj the-inv-f-f*)
 thus $(a, b) \in r$
 using $\langle (x, y) \in r \rangle$
 by *simp*
 next
 fix
 $x :: 'a$ and $y :: 'a$
 assume
 $(a, b) \in r$
 thus $\exists x \ y. (\pi \ a, \pi \ b) = (\pi \ x, \pi \ y) \wedge (x, y) \in r$
 by *auto*
 qed

lemma *rel-rename-comp:*

```

fixes
   $\pi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$  and
   $\pi' :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ 
shows  $\text{rel-rename } (\pi \circ \pi') = \text{rel-rename } \pi \circ \text{rel-rename } \pi'$ 
proof
  fix
     $r :: 'a \text{ rel}$ 
  have  $\text{rel-rename } (\pi \circ \pi') \ r = \{(\pi (\pi' a), \pi (\pi' b)) \mid a \ b. (a, b) \in r\}$ 
    by auto
  also have
     $\{(\pi (\pi' a), \pi (\pi' b)) \mid a \ b. (a, b) \in r\} = \{(\pi a, \pi b) \mid a \ b. (a, b) \in \text{rel-rename } \pi' r\}$ 
    unfolding rel-rename.simps
    by blast
  also have
     $\{(\pi a, \pi b) \mid a \ b. (a, b) \in \text{rel-rename } \pi' r\} = (\text{rel-rename } \pi \circ \text{rel-rename } \pi') \ r$ 
    unfolding comp-def
    by simp
  finally show  $\text{rel-rename } (\pi \circ \pi') \ r = (\text{rel-rename } \pi \circ \text{rel-rename } \pi') \ r$ 
    by simp
qed

```

lemma *rel-rename-sound*:

```

fixes
   $\pi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$  and
   $r :: 'a \text{ rel}$  and
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ 
assumes
  inj  $\pi$ 
shows
   $\text{refl-on } A \ r \longrightarrow \text{refl-on } (\pi \text{ ` } A) \ (\text{rel-rename } \pi \ r)$  and
   $\text{antisym } r \longrightarrow \text{antisym } (\text{rel-rename } \pi \ r)$  and
   $\text{total-on } A \ r \longrightarrow \text{total-on } (\pi \text{ ` } A) \ (\text{rel-rename } \pi \ r)$  and
   $\text{Relation.trans } r \longrightarrow \text{Relation.trans } (\text{rel-rename } \pi \ r)$ 
proof (unfold antisym-def total-on-def Relation.trans-def, safe)
  assume
     $\text{refl-on } A \ r$ 
  hence  $r \subseteq A \times A \wedge (\forall a \in A. (a, a) \in r)$ 
    unfolding refl-on-def
    by simp
  hence  $\text{rel-rename } \pi \ r \subseteq (\pi \text{ ` } A) \times (\pi \text{ ` } A) \wedge (\forall a \in A. (\pi a, \pi a) \in \text{rel-rename } \pi \ r)$ 
    unfolding rel-rename.simps
    by blast
  hence  $\text{rel-rename } \pi \ r \subseteq (\pi \text{ ` } A) \times (\pi \text{ ` } A) \wedge (\forall a \in \pi \text{ ` } A. (a, a) \in \text{rel-rename } \pi \ r)$ 
    by fastforce
  thus  $\text{refl-on } (\pi \text{ ` } A) \ (\text{rel-rename } \pi \ r)$ 
    unfolding refl-on-def

```

```

    by simp
next
fix
  a :: 'a and b :: 'a
assume
  antisym:  $\forall a b. (a, b) \in r \longrightarrow (b, a) \in r \longrightarrow a = b$  and
   $(a, b) \in \text{rel-rename } \pi r$  and  $(b, a) \in \text{rel-rename } \pi r$ 
then obtain c :: 'a and d :: 'a and c' :: 'a and d' :: 'a where
   $(c, d) \in r$  and  $(d', c') \in r$  and
   $\pi c = a$  and  $\pi c' = a$  and  $\pi d = b$  and  $\pi d' = b$ 
  unfolding rel-rename.simps
  by auto
hence c = c'  $\wedge$  d = d'
  using <inj  $\pi$ >
  unfolding inj-def
  by presburger
hence c = d
  using antisym <(d', c')  $\in r$ > <(c, d)  $\in r$ >
  by simp
thus a = b
  using < $\pi c = a$ > < $\pi d = b$ >
  by simp
next
fix
  a :: 'a and b :: 'a
assume
  total:  $\forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. x \neq y \longrightarrow (x, y) \in r \vee (y, x) \in r$  and
  a  $\in A$  and b  $\in A$  and  $\pi a \neq \pi b$  and  $(\pi b, \pi a) \notin \text{rel-rename } \pi r$ 
hence (b, a)  $\notin r \wedge a \neq b$ 
  unfolding rel-rename.simps
  by blast
hence (a, b)  $\in r$ 
  using <a  $\in A$ > <b  $\in A$ > total
  by blast
thus ( $\pi a, \pi b$ )  $\in \text{rel-rename } \pi r$ 
  unfolding rel-rename.simps
  by blast
next
fix
  a :: 'a and b :: 'a and c :: 'a
assume
  trans:  $\forall x y z. (x, y) \in r \longrightarrow (y, z) \in r \longrightarrow (x, z) \in r$  and
  (a, b)  $\in \text{rel-rename } \pi r$  and (b, c)  $\in \text{rel-rename } \pi r$ 
then obtain d :: 'a and e :: 'a and s :: 'a and t :: 'a where
  (d, e)  $\in r$  and (s, t)  $\in r$  and
   $\pi d = a$  and  $\pi s = b$  and  $\pi t = c$  and  $\pi e = b$ 
  using rel-rename.simps
  by auto
hence s = e

```



```

    using <inj  $\pi$ >
    by (metis rangeI range-ex1-eq)
  hence  $(d, e) \in r \wedge (e, t) \in r$ 
    using <math>(d, e) \in r> <math>(s, t) \in r>
    by simp
  hence  $(d, t) \in r$ 
    using trans
    by blast
  thus  $(a, c) \in \text{rel-rename } \pi \ r$ 
    unfolding rel-rename.simps
    using <math>\pi \ d = a> <math>\pi \ t = c>
    by blast
qed

```

lemma rel-rename-bij:

```

  fixes
     $\pi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ 
  assumes
    bij  $\pi$ 
  shows
    bij (rel-rename  $\pi$ )
proof (unfold bij-def inj-def surj-def, safe)
{
  fix
     $r :: 'a \text{ rel}$  and  $s :: 'a \text{ rel}$  and  $a :: 'a$  and  $b :: 'a$ 
  assume
    rel-rename  $\pi \ r = \text{rel-rename } \pi \ s$  and  $(a, b) \in r$ 
  hence  $(\pi \ a, \pi \ b) \in \{(\pi \ a, \pi \ b) \mid a \ b. (a, b) \in s\}$ 
    unfolding rel-rename.simps
    by blast
  hence  $\exists c \ d. (c, d) \in s \wedge \pi \ c = \pi \ a \wedge \pi \ d = \pi \ b$ 
    by fastforce
  moreover have  $\forall c \ d. \pi \ c = \pi \ d \longrightarrow c = d$ 
    using <bij  $\pi$ >
    by (metis bij-pointE)
  ultimately show  $(a, b) \in s$ 
    by blast
}
note subset =
  <math>\bigwedge r \ s \ a \ b. \text{rel-rename } \pi \ r = \text{rel-rename } \pi \ s \implies (a, b) \in r \implies (a, b) \in s>
fix
   $r :: 'a \text{ rel}$  and  $s :: 'a \text{ rel}$  and  $a :: 'a$  and  $b :: 'a$ 
assume
  rel-rename  $\pi \ r = \text{rel-rename } \pi \ s$  and  $(a, b) \in s$ 
thus
   $(a, b) \in r$ 
  using subset
  by presburger
next

```

```

fix
   $r :: 'a \text{ rel}$ 
have
   $\text{rel-rename } (\text{the-inv } \pi) \ r = \{((\text{the-inv } \pi) \ a, (\text{the-inv } \pi) \ b) \mid a \ b. (a,b) \in r\}$ 
  by simp
also have  $\text{rel-rename } \pi \ \{((\text{the-inv } \pi) \ a, (\text{the-inv } \pi) \ b) \mid a \ b. (a,b) \in r\} =$ 
 $\{(\pi \ ((\text{the-inv } \pi) \ a), \pi \ ((\text{the-inv } \pi) \ b)) \mid a \ b. (a,b) \in r\}$ 
  by auto
also have  $\{(\pi \ ((\text{the-inv } \pi) \ a), \pi \ ((\text{the-inv } \pi) \ b)) \mid a \ b. (a,b) \in r\} =$ 
 $\{(a, b) \mid a \ b. (a,b) \in r\}$ 
  using the-inv-f-f <bij <math>\pi</math>>
  by (simp add: f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw)
also have  $\{(a, b) \mid a \ b. (a,b) \in r\} = r$ 
  by simp
finally have  $\text{rel-rename } \pi \ (\text{rel-rename } (\text{the-inv } \pi) \ r) = r$ 
  by simp
thus  $\exists s. r = \text{rel-rename } \pi \ s$ 
  by blast
qed

```

lemma *alts-rename-comp*:

```

fixes
   $\pi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$  and  $\pi' :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ 
assumes
  bij <math>\pi</math> and bij <math>\pi'</math>
shows
   $\text{alts-rename } \pi \circ \text{alts-rename } \pi' = \text{alts-rename } (\pi \circ \pi')$ 
proof
fix
   $E :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}$ 
have  $(\text{alts-rename } \pi \circ \text{alts-rename } \pi') \ E = \text{alts-rename } \pi \ (\text{alts-rename } \pi' \ E)$ 
  by simp
also have  $\text{alts-rename } \pi \ (\text{alts-rename } \pi' \ E) =$ 
 $\text{alts-rename } \pi \ (\pi' \ '(\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E), \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E, (\text{rel-rename } \pi') \circ (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E))$ 
  by simp
also have  $\text{alts-rename } \pi \ (\pi' \ '(\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E), \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E, (\text{rel-rename } \pi') \circ (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E))$ 
 $= (\pi \ ' \pi' \ '(\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E), \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E, (\text{rel-rename } \pi) \circ (\text{rel-rename } \pi') \circ (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E))$ 
  by (simp add: fun.map-comp)
also have
 $(\pi \ ' \pi' \ '(\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E), \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E, (\text{rel-rename } \pi) \circ (\text{rel-rename } \pi') \circ (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E))$ 
 $=$ 
 $((\pi \circ \pi') \ '(\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E), \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E, (\text{rel-rename } (\pi \circ \pi')) \circ (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E))$ 
  using rel-rename-comp image-comp
  by metis
also have
 $((\pi \circ \pi') \ '(\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E), \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E, (\text{rel-rename } (\pi \circ \pi')) \circ (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E)) =$ 
 $\text{alts-rename } (\pi \circ \pi') \ E$ 

```

```

    by simp
    finally show (alts-rename  $\pi \circ \text{alts-rename } \pi'$ )  $E = \text{alts-rename } (\pi \circ \pi') E$ 
    by blast
qed

lemma alts-rename-bij:
  fixes
     $\pi :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a)$ 
  assumes
     $\text{bij } \pi$ 
  shows
     $\text{bij-betw } (\text{alts-rename } \pi) \text{ valid-elections valid-elections}$ 
proof (unfold bij-betw-def, safe, intro inj-onI, clarsimp)
  fix
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and  $A' :: 'a \text{ set}$  and  $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and  $p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
  assume
     $(A, V, p) \in \text{valid-elections}$  and  $(A', V, p') \in \text{valid-elections}$  and
     $\pi \text{ ` } A = \pi \text{ ` } A'$  and  $\text{eq: rel-rename } \pi \circ p = \text{rel-rename } \pi \circ p'$ 
  hence  $(\text{the-inv } (\text{rel-rename } \pi)) \circ \text{rel-rename } \pi \circ p =$ 
     $(\text{the-inv } (\text{rel-rename } \pi)) \circ \text{rel-rename } \pi \circ p'$ 
    by (metis fun.map-comp)
  also have  $(\text{the-inv } (\text{rel-rename } \pi)) \circ \text{rel-rename } \pi = \text{id}$ 
    using  $\langle \text{bij } \pi \rangle \text{ rel-rename-bij}$ 
    by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) bij-betw-def inv-o-cancel surj-imp-inv-eq
    the-inv-f-f)
  finally have  $p = p'$ 
    by simp
  moreover have  $A = A'$ 
    using  $\langle \text{bij } \pi \rangle \langle \pi \text{ ` } A = \pi \text{ ` } A' \rangle$ 
    by (simp add: bij-betw-imp-inj-on inj-image-eq-iff)
  ultimately show  $A = A' \wedge p = p'$ 
    by blast
next
{
  fix
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and  $A' :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and  $V' :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and  $p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
     $\pi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ 
  assume
     $\text{prof: } (A, V, p) \in \text{valid-elections}$  and  $\text{bij } \pi$  and
     $\text{renamed: } (A', V', p') = \text{alts-rename } \pi (A, V, p)$ 
  hence  $\text{rewr: } V = V' \wedge A' = \pi \text{ ` } A$ 
    by simp
  hence  $\forall v \in V'. \text{linear-order-on } A (p \ v)$ 
    using prof
    unfolding valid-elections-def profile-def
    by simp

```

```

moreover have  $\forall v \in V'. p' v = \text{rel-rename } \pi (p v)$ 
  using renamed
  by simp
ultimately have  $\forall v \in V'. \text{linear-order-on } A' (p' v)$ 
  unfolding linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def preorder-on-def
  using rewr rel-rename-sound[of  $\pi$ ] <bij  $\pi$ > bij-is-inj
  by metis
hence  $(A', V', p') \in \text{valid-elections}$ 
  unfolding valid-elections-def profile-def
  by simp
}
note valid-els-closed =
   $\langle \bigwedge A A' V V' p p' \pi. (A, V, p) \in \text{valid-elections} \implies$ 
     $\text{bij } \pi \implies (A', V', p') = \text{alts-rename } \pi (A, V, p) \implies$ 
     $(A', V', p') \in \text{valid-elections} \rangle$ 
thus  $\bigwedge a aa b ab ac ba.$ 
   $(a, aa, b) = \text{alts-rename } \pi (ab, ac, ba) \implies$ 
   $(ab, ac, ba) \in \text{valid-elections} \implies (a, aa, b) \in \text{valid-elections}$ 
  using <bij  $\pi$ >
  by blast
fix
   $A :: 'a \text{ set and } V :: 'v \text{ set and } p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
assume
   $\text{prof}: (A, V, p) \in \text{valid-elections}$ 
have
   $\text{alts-rename } (\text{the-inv } \pi) (A, V, p) = ((\text{the-inv } \pi) ' A, V, \text{rel-rename } (\text{the-inv}$ 
 $\pi) \circ p)$ 
  by simp
also have
   $\text{alts-rename } \pi ((\text{the-inv } \pi) ' A, V, \text{rel-rename } (\text{the-inv } \pi) \circ p) =$ 
   $(\pi ' (\text{the-inv } \pi) ' A, V, \text{rel-rename } \pi \circ \text{rel-rename } (\text{the-inv } \pi) \circ p)$ 
  by auto
also have
   $(\pi ' (\text{the-inv } \pi) ' A, V, \text{rel-rename } \pi \circ \text{rel-rename } (\text{the-inv } \pi) \circ p)$ 
   $= (A, V, \text{rel-rename } (\pi \circ \text{the-inv } \pi) \circ p)$ 
  using <bij  $\pi$ > rel-rename-comp[of  $\pi$  the-inv  $\pi$ ] the-inv-f-f
  by (simp add: bij-betw-imp-surj-on bij-is-inj f-the-inv-into-f image-comp)
also have  $(A, V, \text{rel-rename } (\pi \circ \text{the-inv } \pi) \circ p) = (A, V, \text{rel-rename } \text{id} \circ p)$ 
  by (metis UNIV-I assms comp-apply f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw id-apply)
also have  $\text{rel-rename } \text{id} \circ p = p$ 
  unfolding rel-rename.simps
  by auto
finally have  $\text{alts-rename } \pi (\text{alts-rename } (\text{the-inv } \pi) (A, V, p)) = (A, V, p)$ 
  by simp
moreover have  $\text{alts-rename } (\text{the-inv } \pi) (A, V, p) \in \text{valid-elections}$ 
  using valid-els-closed[of A V p the-inv  $\pi$ ] <bij  $\pi$ >
  by (simp add: bij-betw-the-inv-into prof)
ultimately show  $(A, V, p) \in \text{alts-rename } \pi ' \text{valid-elections}$ 
  by (metis image-eqI)

```

qed

interpretation φ -neutr-act:

group-action neutrality_G valid-elections φ -neutr valid-elections

proof (unfold group-action-def group-hom-def group-hom-axioms-def hom-def neutrality_G-def,

safe, (rule group-BijGroup)+)

{

fix

$\pi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$

assume

$\pi \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup UNIV})$

hence *bij* π

using *bij-car-el*

by *blast*

hence *bij-betw* (*alts-rename* π) *valid-elections valid-elections*

using *alts-rename-bij*

by *blast*

hence *bij-betw* (φ -neutr *valid-elections* π) *valid-elections valid-elections*

unfolding φ -neutr.simps

using *bij-betw-ext*

by *blast*

thus φ -neutr *valid-elections* $\pi \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup valid-elections})$

unfolding φ -neutr.simps *BijGroup-def Bij-def extensional-def*

by *simp*

}

note *bij-car-el* =

$\langle \bigwedge \pi. \pi \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup UNIV}) \implies \varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup valid-elections}) \rangle$

fix

$\pi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **and** $\pi' :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$

assume

bij: $\pi \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup UNIV})$ **and** *bij'*: $\pi' \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup UNIV})$

hence *car-els*: $\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup valid-elections}) \wedge$
 $\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi' \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup valid-elections})$

using *bij-car-el*

by *metis*

hence *bij-betw* (φ -neutr *valid-elections* π') *valid-elections valid-elections*

unfolding *BijGroup-def Bij-def extensional-def*

by *auto*

hence *valid-closed'*: $\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi' \text{ ' valid-elections } \subseteq \text{valid-elections}$

using *bij-betw-imp-surj-on*

by *blast*

from *car-els* **have**

$\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi \otimes \text{BijGroup valid-elections } \varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi' =$
extensional-continuation

$(\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi \circ \varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi') \text{ valid-elections}$

using *rewrite-mult*

by *auto*

moreover have
 $\forall E. E \in \text{valid-elections} \longrightarrow$
extensional-continuation
 $(\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi \circ \varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi') \text{ valid-elections } E =$
 $(\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi \circ \varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi') E$
by simp
moreover have
 $\forall E. E \in \text{valid-elections} \longrightarrow (\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi \circ \varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi') E =$
 $\text{alts-rename } \pi (\text{alts-rename } \pi' E)$
unfolding $\varphi\text{-neutr.simps}$
using *valid-closed'*
by auto
moreover have
 $\forall E. E \in \text{valid-elections} \longrightarrow \text{alts-rename } \pi (\text{alts-rename } \pi' E) = \text{alts-rename}$
 $(\pi \circ \pi') E$
using *alts-rename-comp bij bij' Symmetry-Of-Functions.bij-car-el comp-apply*
by metis
moreover have
 $\forall E. E \in \text{valid-elections} \longrightarrow \text{alts-rename } (\pi \circ \pi') E = \varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections}$
 $(\pi \otimes_{\text{BijGroup UNIV}} \pi') E$
using *rewrite-mult-univ bij bij'*
unfolding $\varphi\text{-anon.simps}$
by force
moreover have
 $\forall E. E \notin \text{valid-elections} \longrightarrow$
extensional-continuation
 $(\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi \circ \varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi') \text{ valid-elections } E =$
undefined
by simp
moreover have
 $\forall E. E \notin \text{valid-elections} \longrightarrow \varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } (\pi \otimes_{\text{BijGroup UNIV}} \pi') E$
 $= \text{undefined}$
by simp
ultimately have
 $\forall E. \varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } (\pi \otimes_{\text{BijGroup UNIV}} \pi') E =$
 $(\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi \otimes_{\text{BijGroup valid-elections}} \varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi')$
 E
by metis
thus
 $\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } (\pi \otimes_{\text{BijGroup UNIV}} \pi') =$
 $\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi \otimes_{\text{BijGroup valid-elections}} \varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi'$
by blast
qed

interpretation $\psi\text{-neutr}_c\text{-act:}$

group-action neutrality_G UNIV $\psi\text{-neutr}_c$

proof (*unfold group-action-def group-hom-def hom-def neutrality_G-def group-hom-axioms-def,*

safe, (rule group-BijGroup)+)

```

{
  fix
     $\pi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ 
  assume
     $\pi \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup } \text{UNIV})$ 
  hence bij  $\pi$ 
    unfolding BijGroup-def Bij-def
    by simp
  hence bij  $(\psi\text{-neutr}_c \pi)$ 
    unfolding  $\psi\text{-neutr}_c.\text{simps}$ 
    by simp
  thus  $\psi\text{-neutr}_c \pi \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup } \text{UNIV})$ 
    using rewrite-carrier
    by blast
}
fix
   $\pi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$  and  $\pi' :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ 
assume
   $\pi \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup } \text{UNIV})$  and  $\pi' \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup } \text{UNIV})$ 
show  $\psi\text{-neutr}_c (\pi \otimes_{\text{BijGroup UNIV}} \pi') =$ 
   $\psi\text{-neutr}_c \pi \otimes_{\text{BijGroup UNIV}} \psi\text{-neutr}_c \pi'$ 
  unfolding  $\psi\text{-neutr}_c.\text{simps}$ 
  by simp
qed

interpretation  $\psi\text{-neutr}_w\text{-act}$ :
  group-action neutralityG UNIV  $\psi\text{-neutr}_w$ 
proof (unfold group-action-def group-hom-def hom-def neutralityG-def group-hom-axioms-def,
  safe, (rule group-BijGroup)+)
{
  fix
     $\pi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ 
  assume
     $\pi \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup } \text{UNIV})$ 
  hence bij  $\pi$ 
    unfolding neutralityG-def BijGroup-def Bij-def
    by simp
  hence bij  $(\psi\text{-neutr}_w \pi)$ 
    unfolding  $\psi\text{-neutr}_w.\text{simps}$ 
    using rel-rename-bij
    by blast
  thus  $\psi\text{-neutr}_w \pi \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup } \text{UNIV})$ 
    using rewrite-carrier
    by blast
}
note grp-el =
   $\langle \bigwedge \pi. \pi \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup } \text{UNIV}) \implies \psi\text{-neutr}_w \pi \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup } \text{UNIV}) \rangle$ 

```

```

fix
   $\pi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$  and  $\pi' :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ 
assume
  bij:  $\pi \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup UNIV})$  and bij':  $\pi' \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup UNIV})$ 
hence  $\psi\text{-neutr}_w \pi \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup UNIV}) \wedge$ 
   $\psi\text{-neutr}_w \pi' \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup UNIV})$ 
using grp-el
by blast
thus  $\psi\text{-neutr}_w (\pi \otimes_{\text{BijGroup UNIV}} \pi') =$ 
   $\psi\text{-neutr}_w \pi \otimes_{\text{BijGroup UNIV}} \psi\text{-neutr}_w \pi'$ 
unfolding  $\psi\text{-neutr}_w.\text{sims}$ 
using rel-rewrite-comp[of  $\pi$   $\pi'$ ] rewrite-mult-univ bij bij'
by metis
qed

lemma wf-res-neutr-soc-choice:
  satisfies ( $\lambda E. \text{limit-set-soc-choice } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV}$ )
    (equivar-ind-by-act (carrier neutralityG) valid-elections
      ( $\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections}$ ) (set-action  $\psi\text{-neutr}_c$ ))
proof (simp del: limit-set-soc-choice.sims  $\varphi\text{-neutr.sims}$   $\psi\text{-neutr}_w.\text{sims}$ 
  add: rewrite-equivar-ind-by-act, safe, auto) qed

lemma wf-res-neutr-soc-welfare:
  satisfies ( $\lambda E. \text{limit-set-welfare } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV}$ )
    (equivar-ind-by-act (carrier neutralityG) valid-elections
      ( $\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections}$ ) (set-action  $\psi\text{-neutr}_w$ ))
proof (simp del: limit-set-welfare.sims  $\varphi\text{-neutr.sims}$   $\psi\text{-neutr}_w.\text{sims}$ 
  add: rewrite-equivar-ind-by-act, safe)
{
  fix
     $\pi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$  and
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
     $r :: 'a \text{ rel}$ 
  let ?r-inv =  $\psi\text{-neutr}_w (\text{the-inv } \pi) \ r$ 
  assume
     $\pi \in \text{carrier neutrality}_G$  and
    prof:  $(A, V, p) \in \text{valid-elections}$  and
     $\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi \ (A, V, p) \in \text{valid-elections}$  and
    lim-el:  $r \in \text{limit-set-welfare } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ (\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi \ (A, V, p)))$ 
  UNIV
  hence the-inv  $\pi \in \text{carrier neutrality}_G$ 
  unfolding neutralityG-def
  by (simp add: bij-betw-the-inv-into rewrite-carrier)
moreover have the-inv  $\pi \circ \pi = \text{id}$ 
  using  $\langle \pi \in \text{carrier neutrality}_G \rangle \text{bij-car-el}$ [of  $\pi$ ] bij-is-inj the-inv-f-f
  unfolding neutralityG-def
  by fastforce

```



```

moreover have  $1_{\text{neutrality}_G} = \text{id}$ 
  unfolding neutralityG-def BijGroup-def
  by auto
ultimately have  $\text{the-inv } \pi \otimes_{\text{neutrality}_G} \pi = 1_{\text{neutrality}_G}$ 
  using  $\langle \pi \in \text{carrier neutrality}_G \rangle$ 
  unfolding neutralityG-def
  using rewrite-mult-univ[of the-inv  $\pi$   $\pi$ ]
  by metis
hence  $\text{inv}_{\text{neutrality}_G} \pi = \text{the-inv } \pi$ 
  using  $\langle \pi \in \text{carrier neutrality}_G \rangle \langle \text{the-inv } \pi \in \text{carrier neutrality}_G \rangle$ 
     $\psi\text{-neutr}_c\text{-act.group-hom group.inv-closed group.inv-solve-right}$ 
     $\text{group.l-inv group-BijGroup group-hom.hom-one group-hom.one-closed}$ 
neutralityG-def
  by metis
have  $r \in \text{limit-set-welfare } (\pi \text{ ' } A) \text{ UNIV}$ 
  unfolding  $\varphi\text{-neutr.simps}$ 
  using prof lim-el
  by simp
hence lin: linear-order-on  $(\pi \text{ ' } A) \text{ } r$ 
  by auto
have bij-inv: bij  $(\text{the-inv } \pi)$ 
  using  $\langle \pi \in \text{carrier neutrality}_G \rangle \text{bij-betw-the-inv-into bij-car-el}$ 
  unfolding neutralityG-def
  by blast
hence  $(\text{the-inv } \pi) \text{ ' } \pi \text{ ' } A = A$ 
  using  $\langle \pi \in \text{carrier neutrality}_G \rangle$ 
  unfolding neutralityG-def
  by  $(\text{metis UNIV-I bij-betw-imp-surj bij-car-el}$ 
     $\text{f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw image-f-inv-f surj-imp-inv-eq})$ 
hence lin-inv: linear-order-on  $A \text{ } ?r\text{-inv}$ 
  using rel-rename-sound[of the-inv  $\pi$ ] bij-inv lin bij-is-inj
unfolding  $\psi\text{-neutr}_w\text{.simps linear-order-on-def preorder-on-def partial-order-on-def}$ 
  by metis
hence  $\forall a \ b. (a, b) \in ?r\text{-inv} \longrightarrow a \in A \wedge b \in A$ 
  using linear-order-on-def partial-order-onD(1) refl-on-def
  by blast
hence  $\text{limit } A \text{ } ?r\text{-inv} = \{(a, b). (a, b) \in ?r\text{-inv}\}$ 
  by auto
also have  $\{(a, b). (a, b) \in ?r\text{-inv}\} = ?r\text{-inv}$ 
  by blast
finally have  $?r\text{-inv} = \text{limit } A \text{ } ?r\text{-inv}$ 
  by blast
hence  $?r\text{-inv} \in \text{limit-set-welfare } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} (A, V, p)) \text{ UNIV}$ 
  unfolding limit-set-welfare.simps
  using lin-inv
  by  $(\text{metis (mono-tags, lifting) UNIV-I fst-conv mem-Collect-eq})$ 
moreover have  $r = \psi\text{-neutr}_w \pi \text{ } ?r\text{-inv}$ 
  using  $\langle \pi \in \text{carrier neutrality}_G \rangle \langle \text{inv}_{\text{neutrality}_G} \pi = \text{the-inv } \pi \rangle$ 
     $\langle \text{the-inv } \pi \in \text{carrier neutrality}_G \rangle \text{iso-tuple-UNIV-I}$ 

```

$\psi\text{-neutr}_w\text{-act.orbit-sym-aux}$
by *metis*
ultimately show
 $r \in \psi\text{-neutr}_w \pi \text{ ‘ limit-set-welfare (alts-}\mathcal{E} (A, V, p)) \text{ UNIV}$
by *blast*
}
note $\text{lim-el-}\pi =$
 $\langle \bigwedge \pi A V p r. \pi \in \text{carrier neutrality}_{\mathcal{G}} \implies (A, V, p) \in \text{valid-elections} \implies$
 $\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi (A, V, p) \in \text{valid-elections} \implies$
 $r \in \text{limit-set-welfare (alts-}\mathcal{E} (\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi (A, V, p))) \text{ UNIV}$
 \implies
 $r \in \psi\text{-neutr}_w \pi \text{ ‘ limit-set-welfare (alts-}\mathcal{E} (A, V, p)) \text{ UNIV} \rangle$
fix
 $\pi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ and}$
 $A :: 'a \text{ set and}$
 $V :: 'v \text{ set and}$
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile and}$
 $r :: 'a \text{ rel}$
let $?r\text{-inv} = \psi\text{-neutr}_w (\text{the-inv } \pi) r$
assume
 $\pi \in \text{carrier neutrality}_{\mathcal{G}} \text{ and}$
 $\text{prof}: (A, V, p) \in \text{valid-elections and}$
 $\text{prof-}\pi: \varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi (A, V, p) \in \text{valid-elections and}$
 $r \in \text{limit-set-welfare (alts-}\mathcal{E} (A, V, p)) \text{ UNIV}$
hence
 $r \in \text{limit-set-welfare (alts-}\mathcal{E} (\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections (inv}_{\text{neutrality}_{\mathcal{G}}} \pi)$
 $(\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi (A, V, p)))) \text{ UNIV}$
by (*metis* $\varphi\text{-neutr-act.orbit-sym-aux}$)
moreover have $\text{inv-grp-el: inv}_{\text{neutrality}_{\mathcal{G}}} \pi \in \text{carrier neutrality}_{\mathcal{G}}$
using $\langle \pi \in \text{carrier neutrality}_{\mathcal{G}} \rangle \psi\text{-neutr}_c\text{-act.group-hom}$
 $\text{group.inv-closed group-hom-def}$
by *meson*
moreover have
 $\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections (inv}_{\text{neutrality}_{\mathcal{G}}} \pi)$
 $(\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi (A, V, p)) \in \text{valid-elections}$
using $\text{prof } \varphi\text{-neutr-act.element-image inv-grp-el prof-}\pi$
by *blast*
ultimately have
 $r \in \psi\text{-neutr}_w (\text{inv}_{\text{neutrality}_{\mathcal{G}}} \pi) \text{ ‘}$
 $\text{limit-set-welfare (alts-}\mathcal{E} (\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi (A, V, p))) \text{ UNIV}$
using $\text{prof-}\pi \text{ lim-el-}\pi$
by (*metis* prod.collapse)
thus
 $\psi\text{-neutr}_w \pi r \in \text{limit-set-welfare (alts-}\mathcal{E} (\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi (A, V, p)))$
 UNIV
using $\langle \pi \in \text{carrier neutrality}_{\mathcal{G}} \rangle \psi\text{-neutr}_w\text{-act.group-action-axioms}$
 $\psi\text{-neutr}_w\text{-act.inj-prop group-action.orbit-sym-aux}$
 $\text{inj-image-mem-iff inv-grp-el iso-tuple-UNIV-I}$
by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*))

qed

1.9.5 Homogeneity Lemmas

lemma *refl-homogeneity_R*:
 fixes
 $X :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election set}$
 assumes
 $X \subseteq \text{finite-voter-elections}$
 shows
 $\text{refl-on } X \text{ (homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} \text{ } X)$
 using *assms*
 unfolding *refl-on-def finite-voter-elections-def homogeneity_R.simps*
 by *auto*

lemma (*in result*) *well-formed-res-homogeneity*:
 satisfies $(\lambda E. \text{limit-set (alts-}\mathcal{E} \text{ } E) \text{ UNIV}) (\text{Invariance (homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}} \text{ } \text{UNIV}))$
 unfolding *satisfies.simps homogeneity_R.simps*
 by *simp*

lemma *refl-homogeneity_R'*:
 fixes
 $X :: ('a, 'v::\text{linorder}) \text{ Election set}$
 assumes
 $X \subseteq \text{finite-voter-elections}$
 shows
 $\text{refl-on } X \text{ (homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}}' \text{ } X)$
 using *assms*
 unfolding *homogeneity_R'.simps refl-on-def finite-voter-elections-def*
 by *auto*

lemma (*in result*) *well-formed-res-homogeneity'*:
 satisfies $(\lambda E. \text{limit-set (alts-}\mathcal{E} \text{ } E) \text{ UNIV}) (\text{Invariance (homogeneity}_{\mathcal{R}}' \text{ } \text{UNIV}))$
 unfolding *satisfies.simps homogeneity_R'.simps*
 by *simp*

1.9.6 Reversal Symmetry Lemmas

lemma *rev-rev-id*:
 $\text{rev-rel} \circ \text{rev-rel} = \text{id}$
 by *auto*

lemma *rev-rel-limit*:
 fixes
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $r :: 'a \text{ rel}$
 shows
 $\text{rev-rel (limit } A \text{ } r) = \text{limit } A \text{ (rev-rel } r)$
 unfolding *rev-rel.simps limit.simps*
 by *auto*

```

lemma rev-rel-lin-ord:
  fixes
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $r :: 'a \text{ rel}$ 
  assumes
    linear-order-on A r
  shows
    linear-order-on A (rev-rel r)
  using assms
  unfolding rev-rel.simps linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def
    total-on-def antisym-def preorder-on-def refl-on-def trans-def
  by blast

interpretation reversalG-group: group reversalG
proof
  show  $1_{\text{reversal}_G} \in \text{carrier reversal}_G$ 
    unfolding reversalG-def
    by simp
  next
  show  $\text{carrier reversal}_G \subseteq \text{Units reversal}_G$ 
    unfolding reversalG-def Units-def
    using rev-rev-id
    by auto
  next
  fix
     $x :: 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel}$ 
  assume
     $x\text{-el}: x \in \text{carrier reversal}_G$ 
  thus
     $1_{\text{reversal}_G} \otimes_{\text{reversal}_G} x = x$ 
    unfolding reversalG-def
    by auto
  show
     $x \otimes_{\text{reversal}_G} 1_{\text{reversal}_G} = x$ 
    unfolding reversalG-def
    by auto
  fix
     $y :: 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel}$ 
  assume
     $y\text{-el}: y \in \text{carrier reversal}_G$ 
  thus  $x \otimes_{\text{reversal}_G} y \in \text{carrier reversal}_G$ 
    using x-el rev-rev-id
    unfolding reversalG-def
    by auto
  fix
     $z :: 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel}$ 
  assume
     $z\text{-el}: z \in \text{carrier reversal}_G$ 

```

```

thus
   $x \otimes_{\text{reversal}_G} y \otimes_{\text{reversal}_G} z = x \otimes_{\text{reversal}_G} (y \otimes_{\text{reversal}_G} z)$ 
  using  $x\text{-el } y\text{-el}$ 
  unfolding  $\text{reversal}_G\text{-def}$ 
  by auto
qed

interpretation  $\varphi\text{-rev-act}$ :
   $\text{group-action reversal}_G \text{ valid-elections } \varphi\text{-rev valid-elections}$ 
proof ( $\text{unfold group-action-def group-hom-def group-hom-axioms-def hom-def,}$ 
   $\text{safe, rule group-BijGroup}$ )
{
  fix
     $\pi :: 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel}$ 
  assume
     $\pi \in \text{carrier reversal}_G$ 
  hence  $\pi\text{-cases}: \pi \in \{id, \text{rev-rel}\}$ 
    unfolding  $\text{reversal}_G\text{-def}$ 
    by auto
  hence  $\text{rel-app } \pi \circ \text{rel-app } \pi = id$ 
    using  $\text{rev-rev-id}$ 
    by fastforce
  hence  $id: \forall E. \text{rel-app } \pi (\text{rel-app } \pi E) = E$ 
    unfolding  $\text{comp-def}$ 
    — Weirdly doesn't seem to work without adding the previous equation like
    this.
    by ( $\text{simp add: } \langle \text{rel-app } \pi \circ \text{rel-app } \pi = id \rangle \text{ pointfree-idE}$ )
  have
     $\forall E \in \text{valid-elections}. \text{rel-app } \pi E \in \text{valid-elections}$ 
    unfolding  $\text{valid-elections-def profile-def}$ 
    using  $\pi\text{-cases rev-rel-lin-ord rel-app.simps fun.map-id}$ 
    by fastforce
  hence  $\text{rel-app } \pi \text{ ' valid-elections } \subseteq \text{valid-elections}$ 
    by blast
  with  $id$  have
     $\text{bij-betw } (\text{rel-app } \pi) \text{ valid-elections valid-elections}$ 
    using  $\text{bij-betw-byWitness}[of \text{ valid-elections rel-app } \pi \text{ rel-app } \pi \text{ valid-elections}]$ 
    by blast
  hence
     $\text{bij-betw } (\varphi\text{-rev valid-elections } \pi) \text{ valid-elections valid-elections}$ 
    unfolding  $\varphi\text{-rev.simps}$ 
    using  $\text{bij-betw-ext}$ 
    by blast
  moreover have  $\varphi\text{-rev valid-elections } \pi \in \text{extensional valid-elections}$ 
    unfolding  $\text{extensional-def}$ 
    by simp
  ultimately show  $\varphi\text{-rev valid-elections } \pi \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup valid-elections})$ 
    unfolding  $\text{BijGroup-def Bij-def}$ 
    by simp

```

```

}
note car-el =
  ⟨ $\bigwedge \pi. \pi \in \text{carrier reversal}_{\mathcal{G}} \implies \varphi\text{-rev valid-elections } \pi \in \text{carrier } (\text{BijGroup valid-elections})$ ⟩
fix
   $\pi :: 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel}$  and
   $\pi' :: 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel}$ 
assume
   $\text{rev}: \pi \in \text{carrier reversal}_{\mathcal{G}}$  and
   $\text{rev}': \pi' \in \text{carrier reversal}_{\mathcal{G}}$ 
hence  $\pi \otimes_{\text{reversal}_{\mathcal{G}}} \pi' = \pi \circ \pi'$ 
  unfolding reversalG-def
  by simp
hence
   $\varphi\text{-rev valid-elections } (\pi \otimes_{\text{reversal}_{\mathcal{G}}} \pi') =$ 
     $\text{extensional-continuation } (\text{rel-app } (\pi \circ \pi')) \text{ valid-elections}$ 
  by simp
also have
   $\text{rel-app } (\pi \circ \pi') = \text{rel-app } \pi \circ \text{rel-app } \pi'$ 
  using rel-app.simps
  by fastforce
finally have rewrite:
   $\varphi\text{-rev valid-elections } (\pi \otimes_{\text{reversal}_{\mathcal{G}}} \pi') =$ 
     $\text{extensional-continuation } (\text{rel-app } \pi \circ \text{rel-app } \pi') \text{ valid-elections}$ 
  by blast
have bij-betw  $(\varphi\text{-rev valid-elections } \pi') \text{ valid-elections valid-elections}$ 
  using car-el rev'
  unfolding BijGroup-def Bij-def
  by auto
hence  $\forall E \in \text{valid-elections}. \varphi\text{-rev valid-elections } \pi' E \in \text{valid-elections}$ 
  unfolding bij-betw-def
  by blast
hence
   $\text{extensional-continuation}$ 
     $(\varphi\text{-rev valid-elections } \pi \circ \varphi\text{-rev valid-elections } \pi') \text{ valid-elections} =$ 
     $\text{extensional-continuation } (\text{rel-app } \pi \circ \text{rel-app } \pi') \text{ valid-elections}$ 
  unfolding extensional-continuation.simps  $\varphi\text{-rev.simps}$ 
  by fastforce
also have
   $\text{extensional-continuation } (\varphi\text{-rev valid-elections } \pi \circ \varphi\text{-rev valid-elections } \pi')$ 
   $\text{valid-elections}$ 
     $= \varphi\text{-rev valid-elections } \pi \otimes_{\text{BijGroup valid-elections}} \varphi\text{-rev valid-elections } \pi'$ 
  using car-el rewrite-mult rev rev'
  by metis
finally show
   $\varphi\text{-rev valid-elections } (\pi \otimes_{\text{reversal}_{\mathcal{G}}} \pi') =$ 
     $\varphi\text{-rev valid-elections } \pi \otimes_{\text{BijGroup valid-elections}} \varphi\text{-rev valid-elections } \pi'$ 
  using rewrite
  by metis

```

qed

interpretation ψ -rev-act:

group-action reversal_G UNIV ψ -rev

proof (*unfold group-action-def group-hom-def group-hom-axioms-def hom-def ψ -rev.simps,*

safe, rule group-BijGroup)

```

{
  fix
     $\pi :: 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel}$ 
  assume
     $\pi \in \text{carrier reversal}_G$ 
  hence  $\pi \in \{id, \text{rev-rel}\}$ 
  unfolding reversalG-def
  by auto
  hence bij  $\pi$ 
  using rev-rev-id
  by (metis bij-id insertE o-bij singleton-iff)
  thus  $\pi \in \text{carrier (BijGroup UNIV)}$ 
  using rewrite-carrier
  by blast
}
note bij =
   $\langle \bigwedge \pi. \pi \in \text{carrier reversal}_G \implies \pi \in \text{carrier (BijGroup UNIV)} \rangle$ 
fix
   $\pi :: 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel}$  and
   $\pi' :: 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel}$ 
assume
  rev:  $\pi \in \text{carrier reversal}_G$  and
  rev':  $\pi' \in \text{carrier reversal}_G$ 
hence  $\pi \otimes_{\text{BijGroup UNIV}} \pi' = \pi \circ \pi'$ 
  using bij rewrite-mult-univ
  by blast
also have  $\pi \circ \pi' = \pi \otimes_{\text{reversal}_G} \pi'$ 
  unfolding reversalG-def
  using rev rev'
  by simp
finally show
   $\pi \otimes_{\text{reversal}_G} \pi' = \pi \otimes_{\text{BijGroup UNIV}} \pi'$ 
  by simp

```

qed

lemma φ - ψ -rev-well-formed:

shows

satisfies ($\lambda E. \text{limit-set-welfare (alts-}\mathcal{E} \text{ } E) \text{ UNIV}$
 (*equivar-ind-by-act (carrier reversal_G) valid-elections*
 (φ -rev valid-elections) (set-action ψ -rev))

proof (*simp only: rewrite-equivar-ind-by-act, clarify*)

fix

$\pi :: 'a \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rel}$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$
assume
 $\text{rev}: \pi \in \text{carrier reversal}_{\mathcal{G}}$ **and**
 $\text{valid}: (A, V, p) \in \text{valid-elections}$
hence cases: $\pi \in \{\text{id}, \text{rev-rel}\}$
unfolding $\text{reversal}_{\mathcal{G}}\text{-def}$
by *auto*
have $\text{eq-}A: \text{alts-}\mathcal{E} (\varphi\text{-rev valid-elections } \pi (A, V, p)) = A$
using rev valid
by *simp*
have
 $\forall r \in \{\text{limit } A \ r \mid r. r \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \text{linear-order-on } A (\text{limit } A \ r)\}. \exists r' \in \text{UNIV}.$
 $\text{rev-rel } r = \text{limit } A (\text{rev-rel } r') \wedge$
 $\text{rev-rel } r' \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \text{linear-order-on } A (\text{limit } A (\text{rev-rel } r'))$
using $\text{rev-rel-limit[of } A] \text{ rev-rel-lin-ord[of } A]$
by *force*
hence
 $\forall r \in \{\text{limit } A \ r \mid r. r \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \text{linear-order-on } A (\text{limit } A \ r)\}.$
 $\text{rev-rel } r \in$
 $\{\text{limit } A (\text{rev-rel } r') \mid r'. \text{rev-rel } r' \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \text{linear-order-on } A (\text{limit } A$
 $(\text{rev-rel } r'))\}$
by *blast*
moreover have
 $\{\text{limit } A (\text{rev-rel } r') \mid r'. \text{rev-rel } r' \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \text{linear-order-on } A (\text{limit } A (\text{rev-rel}$
 $r'))\} \subseteq$
 $\{\text{limit } A \ r \mid r. r \in \text{UNIV} \wedge \text{linear-order-on } A (\text{limit } A \ r)\}$
by *blast*
ultimately have $\forall r \in \text{limit-set-welfare } A \ \text{UNIV}. \text{rev-rel } r \in \text{limit-set-welfare } A$
 UNIV
unfolding $\text{limit-set-welfare.simps}$
by *blast*
hence subset: $\forall r \in \text{limit-set-welfare } A \ \text{UNIV}. \pi \ r \in \text{limit-set-welfare } A \ \text{UNIV}$
using *cases*
by *fastforce*
hence $\forall r \in \text{limit-set-welfare } A \ \text{UNIV}. r \in \pi \text{ ' limit-set-welfare } A \ \text{UNIV}$
using rev-rev-id
by *(metis comp-apply empty-iff id-apply image-eqI insert-iff local.cases)*
with subset have $\pi \text{ ' limit-set-welfare } A \ \text{UNIV} = \text{limit-set-welfare } A \ \text{UNIV}$
by *blast*
hence
 $\text{set-action } \psi\text{-rev } \pi (\text{limit-set-welfare } A \ \text{UNIV}) = \text{limit-set-welfare } A \ \text{UNIV}$
unfolding $\text{set-action.simps } \psi\text{-rev.simps}$
by *blast*
also have
 $\text{limit-set-welfare } A \ \text{UNIV} =$
 $\text{limit-set-welfare } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} (\varphi\text{-rev valid-elections } \pi (A, V, p))) \ \text{UNIV}$


```

    using eq-A
    by simp
  finally show
    limit-set-welfare (alts- $\mathcal{E}$  ( $\varphi$ -rev valid-elections  $\pi$  ( $A$ ,  $V$ ,  $p$ ))) UNIV =
      set-action  $\psi$ -rev  $\pi$  (limit-set-welfare (alts- $\mathcal{E}$  ( $A$ ,  $V$ ,  $p$ )) UNIV)
    by simp
qed
end

```

1.10 Result-Dependent Voting Rule Properties

```

theory Property-Interpretations
  imports Voting-Symmetry
begin

```

1.10.1 Properties Dependent on the Result Type

The interpretation of equivariance properties generally depends on the result type. For example, neutrality for social choice rules means that single winners are renamed when the candidates in the votes are consistently renamed. For social welfare results, the complete result rankings must be renamed. New result-type-dependent definitions for properties can be added here.

```

locale result-properties = result +
  fixes
     $\psi$ -neutr :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a, 'b) binary-fun
  assumes
    act-neutr: group-action neutralityG UNIV  $\psi$ -neutr and
    well-formed-res-neutr:
      satisfies ( $\lambda$ ( $E::('a, 'c)$  Election). limit-set (alts- $\mathcal{E}$   $E$ ) UNIV)
        (equivar-ind-by-act (carrier neutralityG)
          valid-elections ( $\varphi$ -neutr valid-elections) (set-action  $\psi$ -neutr))

sublocale result-properties  $\subseteq$  result by (rule result-axioms)

```

1.10.2 Interpretations

```

global-interpretation social-choice-properties:
  result-properties well-formed-soc-choice limit-set-soc-choice  $\psi$ -neutrc
  unfolding result-properties-def result-properties-axioms-def
  using wf-res-neutr-soc-choice  $\psi$ -neutrc-act.group-action-axioms
    social-choice-result.result-axioms
  by blast

```

```

global-interpretation social-welfare-properties:
  result-properties well-formed-welfare limit-set-welfare  $\psi$ -neutrw
  unfolding result-properties-def result-properties-axioms-def
  using wf-res-neutr-soc-welfare  $\psi$ -neutrw-act.group-action-axioms

```

```

      social-welfare-result.result-axioms
    by blast

end

```

1.11 Preference List

```

theory Preference-List
  imports ../Preference-Relation
          HOL-Combinatorics.Multiset-Permutations
          List-Index.List-Index
begin

```

Preference lists derive from preference relations, ordered from most to least preferred alternative.

1.11.1 Well-Formedness

```

type-synonym 'a Preference-List = 'a list

```

```

abbreviation well-formed-l :: 'a Preference-List  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  well-formed-l l  $\equiv$  distinct l

```

1.11.2 Auxiliary Lemmas About Lists

```

lemma is-arg-min-equal:
  fixes
    f :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b::ord and
    g :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b and
    S :: 'a set and
    x :: 'a
  assumes  $\forall x \in S. f x = g x$ 
  shows is-arg-min f ( $\lambda s. s \in S$ ) x = is-arg-min g ( $\lambda s. s \in S$ ) x
proof (unfold is-arg-min-def, cases x  $\notin$  S, clarsimp)
  case x-in-S: False
  thus (x  $\in$  S  $\wedge$  ( $\nexists y. y \in S \wedge f y < f x$ )) = (x  $\in$  S  $\wedge$  ( $\nexists y. y \in S \wedge g y < g x$ ))
  proof (cases  $\exists y. (\lambda s. s \in S) y \wedge f y < f x$ )
    case y: True
    then obtain y :: 'a where
      ( $\lambda s. s \in S$ ) y  $\wedge$  f y < f x
    by metis
    hence ( $\lambda s. s \in S$ ) y  $\wedge$  g y < g x
    using x-in-S assms
    by metis
  thus ?thesis
    using y
    by metis

```

```

next
case not-y: False
have  $\neg (\exists y. (\lambda s. s \in S) y \wedge g y < g x)$ 
proof (safe)
  fix y :: 'a
  assume
    y-in-S:  $y \in S$  and
    g-y-lt-g-x:  $g y < g x$ 
  have f-eq-g-for-elems-in-S:  $\forall a. a \in S \longrightarrow f a = g a$ 
    using assms
    by simp
  hence  $g x = f x$ 
    using x-in-S
    by presburger
  thus False
    using f-eq-g-for-elems-in-S g-y-lt-g-x not-y y-in-S
    by (metis (no-types))
qed
thus ?thesis
  using x-in-S not-y
  by simp
qed
qed

```

lemma list-cons-presv-finiteness:

```

fixes
  A :: 'a set and
  S :: 'a list set
assumes
  fin-A: finite A and
  fin-B: finite S
shows finite {a#l | a l. a ∈ A ∧ l ∈ S}
proof -
  let ?P =  $\lambda A. \text{finite } \{a\#l \mid a l. a \in A \wedge l \in S\}$ 
  have  $\forall a A'. \text{finite } A' \longrightarrow a \notin A' \longrightarrow ?P A' \longrightarrow ?P (\text{insert } a A')$ 
  proof (safe)
    fix
      a :: 'a and
      A' :: 'a set
    assume finite {a#l | a l. a ∈ A' ∧ l ∈ S}
    moreover have
       $\{a'\#l \mid a' l. a' \in \text{insert } a A' \wedge l \in S\} =$ 
       $\{a\#l \mid a l. a \in A' \wedge l \in S\} \cup \{a\#l \mid l. l \in S\}$ 
      by blast
    moreover have finite {a#l | l. l ∈ S}
      using fin-B
      by simp
    ultimately have finite {a'#l | a' l. a' ∈ insert a A' ∧ l ∈ S}
      by simp
  qed

```

```

    thus ?P (insert a A')
    by simp
qed
moreover have ?P {}
  by simp
ultimately show ?P A
  using finite-induct[of A ?P] fin-A
  by simp
qed

```

lemma *listset-finiteness*:

```

  fixes l :: 'a set list
  assumes  $\forall i::nat. i < \text{length } l \longrightarrow \text{finite } (!i)$ 
  shows finite (listset l)
  using assms
proof (induct l, simp)
  case (Cons a l)
  fix
    a :: 'a set and
    l :: 'a set list
  assume
    elems-fin-then-set-fin:  $\forall i::nat < \text{length } l. \text{finite } (!i) \implies \text{finite } (\text{listset } l)$  and
    fin-all-elems:  $\forall i::nat < \text{length } (a\#l). \text{finite } ((a\#l)!i)$ 
  hence finite a
    by auto
  moreover from fin-all-elems
  have  $\forall i < \text{length } l. \text{finite } (!i)$ 
    by auto
  hence finite (listset l)
    using elems-fin-then-set-fin
    by simp
  ultimately have finite {a'#l' | a' l'. a' ∈ a ∧ l' ∈ (listset l)}
    using list-cons-presv-finiteness
    by auto
  thus finite (listset (a#l))
    by (simp add: set-Cons-def)
qed

```

lemma *all-ls-elems-same-len*:

```

  fixes l :: 'a set list
  shows  $\forall l':('a \text{ list}). l' \in \text{listset } l \longrightarrow \text{length } l' = \text{length } l$ 
proof (induct l, simp)
  case (Cons a l)
  fix
    a :: 'a set and
    l :: 'a set list
  assume  $\forall l'. l' \in \text{listset } l \longrightarrow \text{length } l' = \text{length } l$ 
  moreover have
     $\forall a' l':('a \text{ set list}). \text{listset } (a'\#l') = \{b\#m \mid b \in a' \wedge m \in \text{listset } l'\}$ 

```

by (*simp add: set-Cons-def*)
 ultimately show $\forall l'. l' \in \text{listset } (a\#l) \longrightarrow \text{length } l' = \text{length } (a\#l)$
 using *local.Cons*
 by *force*
 qed

lemma *all-ls-elems-in-ls-set*:
 fixes $l :: 'a \text{ set list}$
 shows $\forall l' i::\text{nat}. l' \in \text{listset } l \wedge i < \text{length } l' \longrightarrow l'!i \in !i$
proof (*induct l, simp, safe*)
 case (*Cons a l*)
 fix
 $a :: 'a \text{ set}$ and
 $l :: 'a \text{ set list}$ and
 $l' :: 'a \text{ list}$ and
 $i :: \text{nat}$
 assume *elems-in-set-then-elems-pos*:
 $\forall l' i::\text{nat}. l' \in \text{listset } l \wedge i < \text{length } l' \longrightarrow l'!i \in !i$ and
 l-prime-in-set-a-l: $l' \in \text{listset } (a\#l)$ and
 i-lt-len-l-prime: $i < \text{length } l'$
 have $l' \in \text{set-Cons } a (\text{listset } l)$
 using *l-prime-in-set-a-l*
 by *simp*
 hence $l' \in \{m. \exists b m'. m = b\#m' \wedge b \in a \wedge m' \in (\text{listset } l)\}$
 unfolding *set-Cons-def*
 by *simp*
 hence $\exists b m. l' = b\#m \wedge b \in a \wedge m \in (\text{listset } l)$
 by *simp*
 thus $l'!i \in (a\#l)!i$
 using *elems-in-set-then-elems-pos i-lt-len-l-prime nth-Cons-Suc*
 Suc-less-eq gr0-conv-Suc length-Cons nth-non-equal-first-eq
 by *metis*
 qed

lemma *all-ls-in-ls-set*:
 fixes $l :: 'a \text{ set list}$
 shows $\forall l'. \text{length } l' = \text{length } l \wedge (\forall i < \text{length } l'. l'!i \in !i) \longrightarrow l' \in \text{listset } l$
proof (*induction l, safe, simp*)
 case (*Cons a l*)
 fix
 $l :: 'a \text{ set list}$ and
 $l' :: 'a \text{ list}$ and
 $s :: 'a \text{ set}$
 assume
 all-ls-in-ls-set-induct:
 $\forall m. \text{length } m = \text{length } l \wedge (\forall i < \text{length } m. m!i \in !i) \longrightarrow m \in \text{listset } l$ and
 len-eq: $\text{length } l' = \text{length } (s\#l)$ and
 elems-pos-in-cons-ls-pos: $\forall i < \text{length } l'. l'!i \in (s\#l)!i$
 then obtain t and x where

```

    l'-cons: l' = x#t
    using length-Suc-conv
    by metis
  hence x ∈ s
    using elems-pos-in-cons-ls-pos
    by force
  moreover have t ∈ listset l
    using l'-cons all-ls-in-ls-set-induct len-eq diff-Suc-1 diff-Suc-eq-diff-pred
      elems-pos-in-cons-ls-pos length-Cons nth-Cons-Suc zero-less-diff
    by metis
  ultimately show l' ∈ listset (s#l)
    using l'-cons
    unfolding listset-def set-Cons-def
    by simp
qed

```

1.11.3 Ranking

Rank 1 is the top preference, rank 2 the second, and so on. Rank 0 does not exist.

```

fun rank-l :: 'a Preference-List ⇒ 'a ⇒ nat where
  rank-l l a = (if a ∈ set l then index l a + 1 else 0)

```

```

fun rank-l-idx :: 'a Preference-List ⇒ 'a ⇒ nat where
  rank-l-idx l a =
    (let i = index l a in
     if i = length l then 0 else i + 1)

```

```

lemma rank-l-equiv: rank-l = rank-l-idx
by (simp add: ext index-size-conv member-def)

```

```

lemma rank-zero-imp-not-present:
fixes
  p :: 'a Preference-List and
  a :: 'a
assumes rank-l p a = 0
shows a ∉ set p
using assms
by force

```

```

definition above-l :: 'a Preference-List ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a Preference-List where
  above-l r a ≡ take (rank-l r a) r

```

1.11.4 Definition

```

fun is-less-preferred-than-l ::
  'a ⇒ 'a Preference-List ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool (- ⋖l - [50, 1000, 51] 50) where
  a ⋖l b = (a ∈ set l ∧ b ∈ set l ∧ index l a ≥ index l b)

```

lemma *rank-gt-zero*:

fixes

$l :: 'a \text{ Preference-List}$ **and**

$a :: 'a$

assumes $a \lesssim_l a$

shows $\text{rank-}l \ l \ a \geq 1$

using *assms*

by *simp*

definition $pl\text{-}\alpha :: 'a \text{ Preference-List} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **where**

$pl\text{-}\alpha \ l \equiv \{(a, b). a \lesssim_l b\}$

lemma *rel-trans*:

fixes $l :: 'a \text{ Preference-List}$

shows *Relation.trans* ($pl\text{-}\alpha \ l$)

unfolding *Relation.trans-def* $pl\text{-}\alpha\text{-def}$

by *simp*

lemma $pl\text{-}\alpha\text{-lin-order}$:

fixes

$A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$r :: 'a \text{ rel}$

assumes

$el: r \in pl\text{-}\alpha \text{ 'permutations-of-set } A$

shows *linear-order-on* $A \ r$

proof (*cases* $A = \{\}$)

case *True*

hence *permutations-of-set* $A = \{\}$

by *simp*

hence $r = pl\text{-}\alpha \ \square$

using *assms*

by *simp*

hence $r = \{\}$

unfolding *pl- α -def is-less-preferred-than-l.simps*

by *simp*

thus *?thesis*

using *True*

by *simp*

next

case *False*

thus *?thesis*

proof (*unfold linear-order-on-def total-on-def antisym-def partial-order-on-def preorder-on-def, safe*)

have $A \neq \{\}$

using *False*

by *simp*

hence $\forall l \in \text{permutations-of-set } A. l \neq \square$

using *assms permutations-of-setD(1)*

by *force*

```

hence  $\forall a \in A. \forall l \in \text{permutations-of-set } A. a \lesssim_l a$ 
  using is-less-preferred-than-l.simps
  unfolding permutations-of-set-def
  by simp
hence  $\forall a \in A. \forall l \in \text{permutations-of-set } A. (a, a) \in \text{pl-}\alpha \text{ } l$ 
  unfolding pl-}\alpha \text{-def}
  by simp
hence  $\forall a \in A. (a, a) \in r$ 
  using el
  by auto
moreover have  $r \subseteq A \times A$ 
  using el
  unfolding pl-}\alpha \text{-def permutations-of-set-def}
  by auto
ultimately show refl-on A r
  unfolding refl-on-def
  by simp
next
  show Relation.trans r
  using el rel-trans
  by auto
next
  fix
     $x :: 'a$  and
     $y :: 'a$ 
  assume
     $x\text{-rel-}y: (x, y) \in r$  and
     $y\text{-rel-}x: (y, x) \in r$ 
  have  $\forall x y. \forall l \in \text{permutations-of-set } A. (x \lesssim_l y \wedge y \lesssim_l x \longrightarrow x = y)$ 
    using is-less-preferred-than-l.simps index-eq-index-conv nle-le
    unfolding permutations-of-set-def
    by metis
  hence  $\forall x y. \forall l \in \text{pl-}\alpha \text{ } l. ((x, y) \in l \wedge (y, x) \in l \longrightarrow x$ 
     $= y)$ 
    unfolding pl-}\alpha \text{-def permutations-of-set-def antisym-on-def}
    by blast
  thus  $x = y$ 
    using y-rel-x x-rel-y el
    by auto
next
  fix
     $x :: 'a$  and
     $y :: 'a$ 
  assume
     $x \in A$  and
     $y \in A$  and
     $x \neq y$  and
     $(y, x) \notin r$ 
  have  $\forall x y. \forall l \in \text{permutations-of-set } A. (x \in A \wedge y \in A \wedge x \neq y \wedge (\neg y \lesssim_l$ 

```



```

x)  $\longrightarrow x \lesssim_l y$ 
  using is-less-preferred-than-l.simps
  unfolding permutations-of-set-def
  by auto
hence  $\forall x y. \forall l \in pl\text{-}\alpha \text{ 'permutations-of-set } A.$ 
       $(x \in A \wedge y \in A \wedge x \neq y \wedge (y, x) \notin l \longrightarrow (x, y) \in l)$ 
  unfolding pl- $\alpha$ -def permutations-of-set-def
  by blast
thus  $(x, y) \in r$ 
  using  $\langle x \in A \rangle \langle y \in A \rangle \langle x \neq y \rangle \langle (y, x) \notin r \rangle el$ 
  by auto
qed
qed

lemma lin-order-pl- $\alpha$ :
  fixes
    r :: 'a rel and
    A :: 'a set
  assumes
    lin-order: linear-order-on A r and
    fin: finite A
  shows r  $\in pl\text{-}\alpha \text{ 'permutations-of-set } A$ 
  proof -
    let ? $\varphi$  =  $(\lambda a. \text{card } ((\text{underS } r \ a) \cap A))$ 
    let ?inv =  $(\text{the-inv-into } A \ ?\varphi)$ 
    let ?l =  $\text{map } (\lambda x. \ ?\text{inv } x) (\text{rev } [0..<(\text{card } A)])$ 
    have antisym:  $\forall a b. (a \in ((\text{underS } r \ b) \cap A) \wedge b \in ((\text{underS } r \ a) \cap A) \longrightarrow$ 
      False)
    using lin-order
    unfolding underS-def linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def antisym-def
    by auto
    hence  $\forall a b c. (a \in (\text{underS } r \ b) \cap A \longrightarrow b \in (\text{underS } r \ c) \cap A$ 
       $\longrightarrow a \in (\text{underS } r \ c) \cap A)$ 
    using lin-order CollectD CollectI transD IntE IntI
    unfolding underS-def linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def preorder-on-def
    trans-def
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
    hence  $\forall a b. (a \in (\text{underS } r \ b) \cap A \longrightarrow (\text{underS } r \ a) \cap A \subset (\text{underS } r \ b) \cap A)$ 
    using antisym
    by blast
    hence mon:  $\forall a b. (a \in (\text{underS } r \ b) \cap A \longrightarrow ?\varphi \ a < ?\varphi \ b)$ 
    by (simp add: fin psubset-card-mono)
    moreover have total-underS:  $\forall a b. (a \in A \wedge b \in A \wedge a \neq b)$ 
       $\longrightarrow (a \in ((\text{underS } r \ b) \cap A) \vee b \in ((\text{underS } r \ a) \cap A))$ 
    using lin-order totalp-onD totalp-on-total-on-eq
    unfolding underS-def linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def antisym-def
    by fastforce
    ultimately have  $\forall a b. (a \in A \wedge b \in A \wedge a \neq b) \longrightarrow ?\varphi \ a \neq ?\varphi \ b$ 
    by (metis order-less-imp-not-eq2)

```

```

hence inj: inj-on ? $\varphi$  A
  using inj-on-def
  by blast
have in-bounds:  $\forall a \in A. ?\varphi a < \text{card } A$ 
  using CollectD IntD1 card-seteq fin inf-sup-ord(2) linorder-le-less-linear
  unfolding underS-def
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
hence ? $\varphi$  '  $A \subseteq \{0..<(\text{card } A)\}$ 
  using atLeast0LessThan
  by blast
moreover have  $\text{card } (? \varphi \text{ ' } A) = \text{card } A$ 
  using inj fin card-image
  by blast
ultimately have ? $\varphi$  '  $A = \{0..<(\text{card } A)\}$ 
  by (simp add: card-subset-eq)
hence bij: bij-betw ? $\varphi$  A  $\{0..<(\text{card } A)\}$ 
  using inj bij-betw-def
  by fastforce
hence bij-inv: bij-betw ?inv  $\{0..<(\text{card } A)\}$  A
  by (rule bij-betw-the-inv-into)
hence ?inv '  $\{0..<(\text{card } A)\} = A$ 
  using bij-inv bij-betw-def
  by meson
hence set ?l = A by simp
moreover have distinct ?l
  using bij-inv
  by (simp add: bij-betw-imp-inj-on distinct-map)
ultimately have ?l  $\in$  permutations-of-set A by auto
moreover have index-eq:  $\forall a \in A. (\text{index } ?l \ a = \text{card } A - 1 - ?\varphi \ a)$ 
proof
  fix
    a :: 'a
  assume a  $\in A$ 
  have  $\forall xs. \forall i < \text{length } xs. (\text{rev } xs)!i = xs!(\text{length } xs - 1 - i)$ 
    using rev-nth
    by auto
  hence  $\forall i < \text{length } [0..<\text{card } A]. (\text{rev } [0..<\text{card } A])!i$ 
     $= [0..<\text{card } A]!(\text{length } [0..<\text{card } A] - 1 - i)$ 
    by blast
  moreover have  $\forall i < \text{card } A. [0..<\text{card } A]!i = i$  by simp
  moreover have  $\text{length } [0..<\text{card } A] = \text{card } A$  by simp
  ultimately have  $\forall i < (\text{card } A). (\text{rev } [0..<\text{card } A])!i = \text{card } A - 1 - i$ 
    using diff-Suc-eq-diff-pred diff-less diff-self-eq-0 less-imp-diff-less zero-less-Suc
    by metis
  moreover have  $\forall i < (\text{card } A). ?l!i = ?inv ((\text{rev } [0..<\text{card } A])!i)$ 
    by simp
  ultimately have  $\forall i < (\text{card } A). ?l!i = ?inv (\text{card } A - 1 - i)$ 
    by presburger
  moreover have  $(\text{card } A - 1 - (\text{card } A - 1 - \text{card } (\text{underS } r \ a \cap A))) = \text{card}$ 

```

```

(underS r a  $\cap$  A)
  using in-bounds  $\langle a \in A \rangle$ 
  by auto
moreover have ?inv (card (underS r a  $\cap$  A)) = a
  using  $\langle a \in A \rangle$  inj the-inv-into-f-f
  by fastforce
ultimately have ?l!(card A - 1 - card (underS r a  $\cap$  A)) = a
  using in-bounds  $\langle a \in A \rangle$  card-Diff-singleton card-Suc-Diff1 diff-less-Suc fin
  by metis
thus index ?l a = (card A - 1 - card (underS r a  $\cap$  A))
  using bij-inv  $\langle$ distinct ?l $\rangle$   $\langle a \in A \rangle$   $\langle$ length [0.. $\text{card } A$ ] = card A $\rangle$ 
    card-Diff-singleton card-Suc-Diff1 diff-less-Suc fin index-nth-id
    length-map length-rev
  by metis
qed
moreover have pl- $\alpha$  ?l = r
proof
  show  $r \subseteq \text{pl-}\alpha \text{ ?l}$ 
  proof (unfold pl- $\alpha$ -def, auto)
    fix
      a :: 'a and
      b :: 'a
    assume
      (a, b)  $\in$  r
    hence a  $\in$  A
      using lin-order
    unfolding linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def preorder-on-def refl-on-def
      by auto
    thus a  $\in$  ?inv ' {0.. $\text{card } A$ }
      using bij-inv bij-betw-def
      by metis
  next
    fix
      a :: 'a and
      b :: 'a
    assume
      (a, b)  $\in$  r
    hence b  $\in$  A
      using lin-order
    unfolding linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def preorder-on-def refl-on-def
      by auto
    thus b  $\in$  ?inv ' {0.. $\text{card } A$ }
      using bij-inv bij-betw-def
      by metis
  next
    fix
      a :: 'a and
      b :: 'a
    assume

```

```

    rel: (a, b) ∈ r
  hence el-A: a ∈ A ∧ b ∈ A
    using lin-order
  unfolding linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def preorder-on-def refl-on-def
    by auto
  moreover have a ∈ underS r b ∨ a = b
    using lin-order rel
    unfolding underS-def
    by simp
  ultimately have ?φ a ≤ ?φ b
    using mon le-eq-less-or-eq
    by auto
  thus index ?l b ≤ index ?l a
    using index-eq el-A diff-le-mono2
    by metis
qed
next
show pl-α ?l ⊆ r
proof (unfold pl-α-def, auto)
  fix
    a :: nat and
    b :: nat
  assume
    in-bnds-a: a < card A and
    in-bnds-b: b < card A and
    index-rel: index ?l (?inv b) ≤ index ?l (?inv a)
  have el-a: (?inv a) ∈ A
    using bij-inv in-bnds-a atLeast0LessThan
    unfolding bij-betw-def
    by auto
  moreover have el-b: (?inv b) ∈ A
    using bij-inv in-bnds-b atLeast0LessThan
    unfolding bij-betw-def
    by auto
  ultimately have leq-diff: card A - 1 - (?φ (?inv b)) ≤ card A - 1 - (?φ
(?inv a))
    using index-rel index-eq
    by metis
  have ∀ a < card A. (?φ (?inv a)) < card A
    using fin bij-inv bij
    unfolding bij-betw-def
    by fastforce
  hence (?φ (?inv b)) ≤ card A - 1 ∧ (?φ (?inv a)) ≤ card A - 1
    using in-bnds-a in-bnds-b fin
    by fastforce
  hence (?φ (?inv b)) ≥ (?φ (?inv a))
    using fin leq-diff le-diff-iff'
    by blast
  hence cases: (?φ (?inv a)) < (?φ (?inv b)) ∨ (?φ (?inv a)) = (?φ (?inv b))

```

```

    by auto
  have  $\forall a b. a \in A \wedge b \in A \wedge ?\varphi a < ?\varphi b \longrightarrow a \in \text{underS } r \ b$ 
    using mon total-underS antisym IntD1 order-less-not-sym
    by metis
  hence  $(?\varphi (?inv a)) < (?\varphi (?inv b)) \longrightarrow ?inv a \in \text{underS } r \ (?inv b)$ 
    using el-a el-b
    by blast
  hence cases-less:  $(?\varphi (?inv a)) < (?\varphi (?inv b)) \longrightarrow (?inv a, ?inv b) \in r$ 
    unfolding underS-def
    by simp
  have  $\forall a b. a \in A \wedge b \in A \wedge ?\varphi a = ?\varphi b \longrightarrow a = b$ 
    using mon total-underS antisym order-less-not-sym
    by metis
  hence  $(?\varphi (?inv a)) = (?\varphi (?inv b)) \longrightarrow ?inv a = ?inv b$ 
    using el-a el-b
    by simp
  hence cases-eq:  $(?\varphi (?inv a)) = (?\varphi (?inv b)) \longrightarrow (?inv a, ?inv b) \in r$ 
    using lin-order el-a el-b
  unfolding linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def preorder-on-def refl-on-def
    by auto
  show  $(?inv a, ?inv b) \in r$ 
    using cases cases-less cases-eq
    by auto
qed
qed
ultimately show  $r \in \text{pl-}\alpha \text{ 'permutations-of-set } A$  by auto
qed

lemma pl- $\alpha$ -eq-imp-list-eq:
  fixes
     $xs :: 'x \text{ list}$  and
     $ys :: 'x \text{ list}$ 
  assumes
    finite (set xs) and set xs = set ys and
    distinct xs and distinct ys and
    pl- $\alpha$  xs = pl- $\alpha$  ys
  shows
    xs = ys
  sorry

lemma pl- $\alpha$ -bij-betw:
  fixes
     $X :: 'x \text{ set}$ 
  assumes
    finite X
  shows
    bij-betw pl- $\alpha$  (permutations-of-set X) {r. linear-order-on X r}
  proof (unfold bij-betw-def, safe)
    show inj-on pl- $\alpha$  (permutations-of-set X)

```

```

    unfolding inj-on-def permutations-of-set-def
    using pl- $\alpha$ -eq-imp-list-eq assms
    by fastforce
next
fix
  xs :: 'x list
  assume
    xs  $\in$  permutations-of-set X
  thus linear-order-on X (pl- $\alpha$  xs)
    using assms pl- $\alpha$ -lin-order
    by blast
next
fix
  r :: 'x rel
  assume
    linear-order-on X r
  thus r  $\in$  pl- $\alpha$  ' permutations-of-set X
    using assms lin-order-pl- $\alpha$ 
    by blast
qed

```

1.11.5 Limited Preference

definition *limited* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a Preference-List \Rightarrow bool **where**
limited A r $\equiv \forall a. a \in \text{set } r \longrightarrow a \in A$

fun *limit-l* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a Preference-List \Rightarrow 'a Preference-List **where**
limit-l A l = List.filter ($\lambda a. a \in A$) l

lemma *limited-dest*:

```

fixes
  A :: 'a set and
  l :: 'a Preference-List and
  a :: 'a and
  b :: 'a
assumes
  a  $\lesssim_l$  b and
  limited A l
shows a  $\in A \wedge b \in A$ 
using assms
unfolding limited-def
by simp

```

lemma *limit-equiv*:

```

fixes
  A :: 'a set and
  l :: 'a list
assumes well-formed-l l
shows pl- $\alpha$  (limit-l A l) = limit A (pl- $\alpha$  l)

```

```

using assms
proof (induction l)
  case Nil
    thus  $pl\text{-}\alpha \ (limit\text{-}l \ A \ []) = limit \ A \ (pl\text{-}\alpha \ [])$ 
      unfolding pl- $\alpha$ -def
      by simp
next
  case (Cons a l)
  fix
     $a :: 'a$  and
     $l :: 'a \ list$ 
  assume
    wf-imp-limit:  $well\text{-}formed\text{-}l \ l \implies pl\text{-}\alpha \ (limit\text{-}l \ A \ l) = limit \ A \ (pl\text{-}\alpha \ l)$  and
    wf-a-l:  $well\text{-}formed\text{-}l \ (a\#l)$ 
  show  $pl\text{-}\alpha \ (limit\text{-}l \ A \ (a\#l)) = limit \ A \ (pl\text{-}\alpha \ (a\#l))$ 
    using wf-imp-limit wf-a-l
  proof (clarsimp, safe)
    fix
       $b :: 'a$  and
       $c :: 'a$ 
    assume b-less-c:  $(b, c) \in pl\text{-}\alpha \ (a\#(filter \ (\lambda \ a. \ a \in A) \ l))$ 
    have limit-preference-list-assoc:  $pl\text{-}\alpha \ (limit\text{-}l \ A \ l) = limit \ A \ (pl\text{-}\alpha \ l)$ 
      using wf-a-l wf-imp-limit
      by simp
    thus  $(b, c) \in pl\text{-}\alpha \ (a\#l)$ 
    proof (unfold pl- $\alpha$ -def is-less-preferred-than-l.simps, safe)
      show  $b \in set \ (a\#l)$ 
        using b-less-c
        unfolding pl- $\alpha$ -def
        by fastforce
    next
      show  $c \in set \ (a\#l)$ 
        using b-less-c
        unfolding pl- $\alpha$ -def
        by fastforce
    next
      have  $\forall \ a' \ l' \ a''. \ ((a'::'a) \lesssim_{l'} a'') =$ 
         $(a' \in set \ l' \wedge a'' \in set \ l' \wedge index \ l' \ a'' \leq index \ l' \ a')$ 
        using is-less-preferred-than-l.simps
        by blast
      moreover from this
        have  $\{(a', b'). \ a' \lesssim_{(limit\text{-}l \ A \ l)} b'\} =$ 
           $\{(a', a''). \ a' \in set \ (limit\text{-}l \ A \ l) \wedge a'' \in set \ (limit\text{-}l \ A \ l) \wedge$ 
             $index \ (limit\text{-}l \ A \ l) \ a'' \leq index \ (limit\text{-}l \ A \ l) \ a'\}$ 
          by presburger
      moreover from this have
         $\{(a', b'). \ a' \lesssim_l b'\} =$ 
           $\{(a', a''). \ a' \in set \ l \wedge a'' \in set \ l \wedge index \ l \ a'' \leq index \ l \ a'\}$ 
        using is-less-preferred-than-l.simps

```

by *auto*
 ultimately have $\{(a', b') \mid$
 $a' \in \text{set } (\text{limit-}l \ A \ l) \wedge b' \in \text{set } (\text{limit-}l \ A \ l) \wedge$
 $\text{index } (\text{limit-}l \ A \ l) \ b' \leq \text{index } (\text{limit-}l \ A \ l) \ a'\} =$
 $\text{limit } A \ \{(a', b') \mid a' \in \text{set } l \wedge b' \in \text{set } l \wedge \text{index } l \ b' \leq \text{index } l \ a'\}$
 using *pl- α -def limit-preference-list-assoc*
 by (*metis (no-types)*)
 hence *idx-imp*:
 $b \in \text{set } (\text{limit-}l \ A \ l) \wedge c \in \text{set } (\text{limit-}l \ A \ l) \wedge$
 $\text{index } (\text{limit-}l \ A \ l) \ c \leq \text{index } (\text{limit-}l \ A \ l) \ b \longrightarrow$
 $b \in \text{set } l \wedge c \in \text{set } l \wedge \text{index } l \ c \leq \text{index } l \ b$
 by *auto*
 have $b \lesssim_{(a\#(\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) \ l))} c$
 using *b-less-c case-prodD mem-Collect-eq*
 unfolding *pl- α -def*
 by *metis*
 moreover obtain
 $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{list} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ and
 $g :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{list} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{list}$ and
 $h :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{list} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ where
 $\forall \ d \ s \ e. \ d \lesssim_s e \longrightarrow$
 $d = f \ e \ s \ d \wedge s = g \ e \ s \ d \wedge e = h \ e \ s \ d \wedge f \ e \ s \ d \in \text{set } (g \ e \ s \ d) \wedge$
 $\text{index } (g \ e \ s \ d) \ (h \ e \ s \ d) \leq \text{index } (g \ e \ s \ d) \ (f \ e \ s \ d) \wedge$
 $h \ e \ s \ d \in \text{set } (g \ e \ s \ d)$
 by *fastforce*
 ultimately have
 $b = f \ c \ (a\#(\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) \ l)) \ b \wedge$
 $a\#(\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) \ l) = g \ c \ (a\#(\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) \ l)) \ b \wedge$
 $c = h \ c \ (a\#(\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) \ l)) \ b \wedge$
 $f \ c \ (a\#(\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) \ l)) \ b \in \text{set } (g \ c \ (a\#(\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) \ l)) \ b) \wedge$
 $h \ c \ (a\#(\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) \ l)) \ b \in \text{set } (g \ c \ (a\#(\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) \ l)) \ b) \wedge$
 $\text{index } (g \ c \ (a\#(\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) \ l)) \ b)$
 $(h \ c \ (a\#(\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) \ l)) \ b) \leq$
 $\text{index } (g \ c \ (a\#(\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) \ l)) \ b)$
 $(f \ c \ (a\#(\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) \ l)) \ b)$
 by *blast*
 moreover have $\text{filter } (\lambda a. a \in A) \ l = \text{limit-}l \ A \ l$
 by *simp*
 ultimately have $a \neq c \longrightarrow \text{index } (a\#l) \ c \leq \text{index } (a\#l) \ b$
 using *idx-imp*
 by *force*
 thus $\text{index } (a\#l) \ c \leq \text{index } (a\#l) \ b$
 by *force*
 qed
 next
 fix
 $b :: 'a$ and
 $c :: 'a$
 assume


```

      a ∈ A and
      (b, c) ∈ pl-α (a#(filter (λ a. a ∈ A) l))
    thus c ∈ A
      unfolding pl-α-def
      by fastforce
  next
  fix
    b :: 'a and
    c :: 'a
  assume
    a ∈ A and
    (b, c) ∈ pl-α (a#(filter (λ a. a ∈ A) l))
  thus b ∈ A
    unfolding pl-α-def
    using case-prodD insert-iff mem-Collect-eq set-filter inter-set-filter IntE
    by auto
next
fix
  b :: 'a and
  c :: 'a
assume
  b-less-c: (b, c) ∈ pl-α (a#l) and
  b-in-A: b ∈ A and
  c-in-A: c ∈ A
show (b, c) ∈ pl-α (a#(filter (λ a. a ∈ A) l))
proof (unfold pl-α-def is-less-preferred-than.simps, safe)
  show b ≲(a#(filter (λ a. a ∈ A) l)) c
  proof (unfold is-less-preferred-than-l.simps, safe)
    show b ∈ set (a#(filter (λ a. a ∈ A) l))
    using b-less-c b-in-A
    unfolding pl-α-def
    by fastforce
  next
  show c ∈ set (a#(filter (λ a. a ∈ A) l))
  using b-less-c c-in-A
  unfolding pl-α-def
  by fastforce
next
have (b, c) ∈ pl-α (a#l)
  by (simp add: b-less-c)
hence b ≲(a#l) c
  using case-prodD mem-Collect-eq
  unfolding pl-α-def
  by metis
moreover have
  pl-α (filter (λ a. a ∈ A) l) = {(a, b). (a, b) ∈ pl-α l ∧ a ∈ A ∧ b ∈ A}
  using wf-a-l wf-imp-limit
  by simp
ultimately show

```

```

      index (a#(filter (λ a. a ∈ A) l)) c ≤ index (a#(filter (λ a. a ∈ A) l)) b
    unfolding pl-α-def
      using add-leE add-le-cancel-right case-prodI c-in-A b-in-A index-Cons
set-ConsD
      in-rel-Collect-case-prod-eq linorder-le-cases mem-Collect-eq not-one-le-zero
    by fastforce
  qed
next
next
fix
  b :: 'a and
  c :: 'a
assume
  a-not-in-A: a ∉ A and
  b-less-c: (b, c) ∈ pl-α l
show (b, c) ∈ pl-α (a#l)
proof (unfold pl-α-def is-less-preferred-than-l.simps, safe)
  show b ∈ set (a#l)
  using b-less-c
  unfolding pl-α-def
  by fastforce
next
show c ∈ set (a#l)
using b-less-c
unfolding pl-α-def
by fastforce
next
show index (a#l) c ≤ index (a#l) b
proof (unfold index-def, simp, safe)
  assume a = b
  thus False
  using a-not-in-A b-less-c case-prod-conv is-less-preferred-than-l.elims
    mem-Collect-eq set-filter wf-a-l
  unfolding pl-α-def
  by simp
next
show find-index (λ x. x = c) l ≤ find-index (λ x. x = b) l
using b-less-c case-prodD mem-Collect-eq
unfolding pl-α-def
by (simp add: index-def)
qed
qed
next
fix
  b :: 'a and
  c :: 'a
assume
  a-not-in-l: a ∉ set l and
  a-not-in-A: a ∉ A and

```

```

    b-in-A:  $b \in A$  and
    c-in-A:  $c \in A$  and
    b-less-c:  $(b, c) \in pl-\alpha (a\#l)$ 
  thus  $(b, c) \in pl-\alpha l$ 
proof (unfold pl- $\alpha$ -def is-less-preferred-than-l.simps, safe)
  assume  $b \in set (a\#l)$ 
  thus  $b \in set l$ 
    using a-not-in-A b-in-A
    by fastforce
next
  assume  $c \in set (a\#l)$ 
  thus  $c \in set l$ 
    using a-not-in-A c-in-A
    by fastforce
next
  assume  $index (a\#l) c \leq index (a\#l) b$ 
  thus  $index l c \leq index l b$ 
    using a-not-in-l a-not-in-A c-in-A add-le-cancel-right
      index-Cons index-le-size size-index-conv
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
qed
qed
qed

```

1.11.6 Auxiliary Definitions

definition *total-on-l* :: '*a* set \Rightarrow '*a* Preference-List \Rightarrow bool **where**
total-on-l *A l* $\equiv \forall a \in A. a \in set l$

definition *refl-on-l* :: '*a* set \Rightarrow '*a* Preference-List \Rightarrow bool **where**
refl-on-l *A l* $\equiv (\forall a. a \in set l \longrightarrow a \in A) \wedge (\forall a \in A. a \lesssim_l a)$

definition *trans* :: '*a* Preference-List \Rightarrow bool **where**
trans l $\equiv \forall (a, b, c) \in set l \times set l \times set l. a \lesssim_l b \wedge b \lesssim_l c \longrightarrow a \lesssim_l c$

definition *preorder-on-l* :: '*a* set \Rightarrow '*a* Preference-List \Rightarrow bool **where**
preorder-on-l *A l* $\equiv refl-on-l A l \wedge trans l$

definition *antisym-l* :: '*a* list \Rightarrow bool **where**
antisym-l l $\equiv \forall a b. a \lesssim_l b \wedge b \lesssim_l a \longrightarrow a = b$

definition *partial-order-on-l* :: '*a* set \Rightarrow '*a* Preference-List \Rightarrow bool **where**
partial-order-on-l *A l* $\equiv preorder-on-l A l \wedge antisym-l l$

definition *linear-order-on-l* :: '*a* set \Rightarrow '*a* Preference-List \Rightarrow bool **where**
linear-order-on-l *A l* $\equiv partial-order-on-l A l \wedge total-on-l A l$

definition *connex-l* :: '*a* set \Rightarrow '*a* Preference-List \Rightarrow bool **where**
connex-l *A l* $\equiv limited A l \wedge (\forall a \in A. \forall b \in A. a \lesssim_l b \vee b \lesssim_l a)$

abbreviation *ballot-on* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a *Preference-List* \Rightarrow bool **where**
ballot-on A l \equiv *well-formed-l* l \wedge *linear-order-on-l* A l

1.11.7 Auxiliary Lemmas

lemma *list-trans*[*simp*]:
fixes l :: 'a *Preference-List*
shows *trans* l
unfolding *trans-def*
by *simp*

lemma *list-antisym*[*simp*]:
fixes l :: 'a *Preference-List*
shows *antisym-l* l
unfolding *antisym-l-def*
by *auto*

lemma *lin-order-equiv-list-of-alts*:
fixes
A :: 'a set **and**
l :: 'a *Preference-List*
shows *linear-order-on-l* A l = (A = set l)
unfolding *linear-order-on-l-def* *total-on-l-def* *partial-order-on-l-def* *preorder-on-l-def*
refl-on-l-def
by *auto*

lemma *connex-imp-refl*:
fixes
A :: 'a set **and**
l :: 'a *Preference-List*
assumes *connex-l* A l
shows *refl-on-l* A l
unfolding *refl-on-l-def*
using *assms* *connex-l-def* *Preference-List.limited-def*
by *metis*

lemma *lin-ord-imp-connex-l*:
fixes
A :: 'a set **and**
l :: 'a *Preference-List*
assumes *linear-order-on-l* A l
shows *connex-l* A l
using *assms* *linorder-le-cases*
unfolding *connex-l-def* *linear-order-on-l-def* *preorder-on-l-def* *limited-def* *refl-on-l-def*
partial-order-on-l-def *is-less-preferred-than-l.simps*
by *metis*

lemma *above-trans*:

```

fixes
   $l :: 'a \text{ Preference-List}$  and
   $a :: 'a$  and
   $b :: 'a$ 
assumes
   $\text{trans } l$  and
   $a \lesssim_l b$ 
shows  $\text{set } (\text{above-}l \ l \ b) \subseteq \text{set } (\text{above-}l \ l \ a)$ 
using  $\text{assms set-take-subset-set-take rank-}l.\text{sims}$ 
   $\text{Suc-le-mono add commute add-0 add-Suc}$ 
unfolding  $\text{above-}l.\text{def Preference-List.is-less-preferred-than-}l.\text{sims One-nat-def}$ 
by  $\text{metis}$ 

lemma  $\text{less-preferred-}l.\text{rel-equiv}$ :
fixes
   $l :: 'a \text{ Preference-List}$  and
   $a :: 'a$  and
   $b :: 'a$ 
shows  $a \lesssim_l b = \text{Preference-Relation.is-less-preferred-than } a \ (pl\text{-}\alpha \ l) \ b$ 
unfolding  $pl\text{-}\alpha.\text{def}$ 
by  $\text{simp}$ 

theorem  $\text{above-equiv}$ :
fixes
   $l :: 'a \text{ Preference-List}$  and
   $a :: 'a$ 
shows  $\text{set } (\text{above-}l \ l \ a) = \text{above } (pl\text{-}\alpha \ l) \ a$ 
proof ( $\text{safe}$ )
fix  $b :: 'a$ 
assume  $b\text{-member}: b \in \text{set } (\text{above-}l \ l \ a)$ 
hence  $\text{index } l \ b \leq \text{index } l \ a$ 
  unfolding  $\text{rank-}l.\text{sims above-}l.\text{def}$ 
  using  $\text{Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-le-eq index-take linorder-not-less}$ 
   $\text{bot-nat-0.extremum-strict}$ 
  by ( $\text{metis (full-types)}$ )
hence  $a \lesssim_l b$ 
  using  $\text{Suc-le-mono add-Suc le-antisym take-0 b-member}$ 
   $\text{in-set-takeD index-take le0 rank-}l.\text{sims}$ 
  unfolding  $\text{above-}l.\text{def is-less-preferred-than-}l.\text{sims}$ 
  by  $\text{metis}$ 
thus  $b \in \text{above } (pl\text{-}\alpha \ l) \ a$ 
  using  $\text{less-preferred-}l.\text{rel-equiv pref-imp-in-above}$ 
  by  $\text{metis}$ 
next
fix  $b :: 'a$ 
assume  $b \in \text{above } (pl\text{-}\alpha \ l) \ a$ 
hence  $a \lesssim_l b$ 
  using  $\text{pref-imp-in-above less-preferred-}l.\text{rel-equiv}$ 
  by  $\text{metis}$ 

```

```

thus  $b \in \text{set } (\text{above-}l \ l \ a)$ 
  unfolding above-l-def is-less-preferred-than-l.simps rank-l.simps
  using Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-le-eq index-less-size-conv set-take-if-index le-imp-less-Suc
  by (metis (full-types))
qed

```

```

theorem rank-equiv:
  fixes
     $l :: 'a \text{ Preference-List}$  and
     $a :: 'a$ 
  assumes well-formed-l l
  shows  $\text{rank-}l \ l \ a = \text{rank } (pl-\alpha \ l) \ a$ 
proof (simp, safe)
  assume  $a \in \text{set } l$ 
  moreover have  $\text{above } (pl-\alpha \ l) \ a = \text{set } (\text{above-}l \ l \ a)$ 
    unfolding above-equiv
    by simp
  moreover have  $\text{distinct } (\text{above-}l \ l \ a)$ 
    unfolding above-l-def
    using assms distinct-take
    by blast
  moreover from this
  have  $\text{card } (\text{set } (\text{above-}l \ l \ a)) = \text{length } (\text{above-}l \ l \ a)$ 
    using distinct-card
    by blast
  moreover have  $\text{length } (\text{above-}l \ l \ a) = \text{rank-}l \ l \ a$ 
    unfolding above-l-def
    using Suc-le-eq
    by (simp add: in-set-member)
  ultimately show  $\text{Suc } (\text{index } l \ a) = \text{card } (\text{above } (pl-\alpha \ l) \ a)$ 
    by simp
next
  assume  $a \notin \text{set } l$ 
  hence  $\text{above } (pl-\alpha \ l) \ a = \{\}$ 
    unfolding above-def
    using less-preferred-l-rel-equiv
    by fastforce
  thus  $\text{card } (\text{above } (pl-\alpha \ l) \ a) = 0$ 
    by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma lin-ord-equiv:
  fixes
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $l :: 'a \text{ Preference-List}$ 
  shows  $\text{linear-order-on-}l \ A \ l = \text{linear-order-on } A \ (pl-\alpha \ l)$ 
  unfolding pl- $\alpha$ -def linear-order-on-l-def linear-order-on-def refl-on-l-def
    Relation.trans-def preorder-on-l-def partial-order-on-l-def partial-order-on-def
    total-on-l-def preorder-on-def refl-on-def antisym-def total-on-def

```

is-less-preferred-than-l.simps

by *auto*

1.11.8 First Occurrence Indices

lemma *pos-in-list-yields-rank*:
fixes
 $l :: 'a \text{ Preference-List}$ **and**
 $a :: 'a$ **and**
 $n :: \text{nat}$
assumes
 $\forall (j::\text{nat}) \leq n. l!j \neq a$ **and**
 $l!(n - 1) = a$
shows $\text{rank-}l \ l \ a = n$
using *assms*
proof (*induction l arbitrary: n, simp-all*) **qed**

lemma *ranked-alt-not-at-pos-before*:
fixes
 $l :: 'a \text{ Preference-List}$ **and**
 $a :: 'a$ **and**
 $n :: \text{nat}$
assumes
 $a \in \text{set } l$ **and**
 $n < (\text{rank-}l \ l \ a) - 1$
shows $l!n \neq a$
using *assms add-diff-cancel-right' index-first member-def rank-l.simps*
by *metis*

lemma *pos-in-list-yields-pos*:
fixes
 $l :: 'a \text{ Preference-List}$ **and**
 $a :: 'a$
assumes $a \in \text{set } l$
shows $l!(\text{rank-}l \ l \ a - 1) = a$
using *assms*
proof (*induction l, simp*)
fix
 $l :: 'a \text{ Preference-List}$ **and**
 $b :: 'a$
case (*Cons b l*)
assume $a \in \text{set } (b\#l)$
moreover from *this*
have $\text{rank-}l \ (b\#l) \ a = 1 + \text{index } (b\#l) \ a$
using *Suc-eq-plus1 add-Suc add-cancel-left-left rank-l.simps*
by *metis*
ultimately show $(b\#l)!(\text{rank-}l \ (b\#l) \ a - 1) = a$
using *diff-add-inverse nth-index*
by *metis*

qed

```

lemma rel-of-pref-pred-for-set-eq-list-to-rel:
  fixes  $l :: 'a \text{ Preference-List}$ 
  shows relation-of  $(\lambda y z. y \lesssim_l z)$   $(\text{set } l) = \text{pl-}\alpha \ l$ 
proof (unfold relation-of-def, safe)
  fix
     $a :: 'a$  and
     $b :: 'a$ 
  assume  $a \lesssim_l b$ 
  moreover have  $(a \lesssim_l b) = (a \preceq_{(\text{pl-}\alpha \ l)} b)$ 
    using less-preferred-l-rel-equiv
    by (metis (no-types))
  ultimately show  $(a, b) \in \text{pl-}\alpha \ l$ 
    by simp
next
  fix
     $a :: 'a$  and
     $b :: 'a$ 
  assume  $(a, b) \in \text{pl-}\alpha \ l$ 
  thus  $a \lesssim_l b$ 
    using less-preferred-l-rel-equiv
    unfolding is-less-preferred-than.simps
    by metis
  thus
     $a \in \text{set } l$  and
     $b \in \text{set } l$ 
    by (simp, simp)
qed

end

```

1.12 Preference (List) Profile

```

theory Profile-List
  imports ../Profile
           Preference-List
begin

```

1.12.1 Definition

A profile (list) contains one ballot for each voter.

type-synonym $'a \text{ Profile-List} = 'a \text{ Preference-List list}$

type-synonym $'a \text{ Election-List} = 'a \text{ set} \times 'a \text{ Profile-List}$

Abstraction from profile list to profile.

```
fun pl-to-pr-α :: 'a Profile-List ⇒ ('a, nat) Profile where
  pl-to-pr-α pl = (λ n. if (n < length pl ∧ n ≥ 0)
    then (map (Preference-List.pl-α) pl)!n
    else {})
```

```
lemma prof-abstr-presv-size:
  fixes p :: 'a Profile-List
  shows length p = length (to-list {0..length p} (pl-to-pr-α p))
  unfolding pl-to-pr-α.simps to-list.simps
  by simp
```

A profile on a finite set of alternatives A contains only ballots that are lists of linear orders on A.

```
definition profile-l :: 'a set ⇒ 'a Profile-List ⇒ bool where
  profile-l A p ≡ ∀ i < length p. ballot-on A (p!i)
```

```
lemma refinement:
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    p :: 'a Profile-List
  assumes profile-l A p
  shows profile {0..length p} A (pl-to-pr-α p)
proof (unfold profile-def, safe)
  fix i :: nat
  assume in-range: i ∈ {0..length p}
  moreover have well-formed-l (p!i)
    using assms in-range
  unfolding profile-l-def
  by simp
  moreover have linear-order-on-l A (p!i)
    using assms in-range
  unfolding profile-l-def
  by simp
  ultimately show linear-order-on A (pl-to-pr-α p i)
    using lin-ord-equiv length-map nth-map
  unfolding pl-to-pr-α.simps
  by auto
qed

end
```

1.13 Ordered Relation Type

```
theory Ordered-Relation
  imports Preference-Relation
    ./Refined-Types/Preference-List
    HOL-Combinatorics.Multiset-Permutations
```

```

begin

lemma fin-ordered:
  fixes
    X :: 'x set
  assumes
    finite X
  obtains ord :: 'x rel where linear-order-on X ord
proof -
  assume
    ex:  $\bigwedge \text{ord}. \text{linear-order-on } X \text{ ord} \implies \text{thesis}$ 
  obtain l :: 'x list where set l = X
  using finite-list assms
  by blast
  let ?r = pl- $\alpha$  l
  have antisym ?r
    using  $\langle \text{set } l = X \rangle$  Collect-mono-iff antisym index-eq-index-conv pl- $\alpha$ -def
    unfolding antisym-def
    by fastforce
  moreover have refl-on X ?r
    using  $\langle \text{set } l = X \rangle$ 
    unfolding refl-on-def pl- $\alpha$ -def is-less-preferred-than-l.simps
    by blast
  moreover have Relation.trans ?r
    unfolding Relation.trans-def pl- $\alpha$ -def is-less-preferred-than-l.simps
    by auto
  moreover have total-on X ?r
    using  $\langle \text{set } l = X \rangle$ 
    unfolding total-on-def pl- $\alpha$ -def is-less-preferred-than-l.simps
    by force
  ultimately have linear-order-on X ?r
    unfolding linear-order-on-def preorder-on-def partial-order-on-def
    by blast
  thus thesis
    using ex
    by blast
qed

typedef 'a Ordered-Preference =
  {p :: 'a::finite Preference-Relation. linear-order-on (UNIV::'a set) p}
morphisms ord2pref pref2ord
proof (simp)
  have finite (UNIV::'a set)
    by simp
  then obtain p :: 'a Preference-Relation where
    linear-order-on (UNIV::'a set) p
    using fin-ordered[of UNIV False]
    by blast
  thus  $\exists p::'a \text{ Preference-Relation. linear-order } p$ 

```

```

    by blast
qed

instance Ordered-Preference :: (finite) finite
proof
  have
    (UNIV::'a Ordered-Preference set) =
      pref2ord ' {p :: 'a Preference-Relation. linear-order-on (UNIV::'a set) p}
    using type-definition.Abs-image type-definition-Ordered-Preference
    by blast
  moreover have finite {p :: 'a Preference-Relation. linear-order-on (UNIV::'a
set) p}
    by simp
  ultimately show finite (UNIV::'a Ordered-Preference set)
    by (metis finite-imageI)
qed

lemma range-ord2pref:
  range ord2pref = {p. linear-order p}
proof -
  have
    range ord2pref = {p :: 'a Preference-Relation. linear-order-on (UNIV::'a set)
p}
    by (metis type-definition.Rep-range type-definition-Ordered-Preference)
  also have ... = {p. linear-order p}
    by simp
  finally show ?thesis
    by (meson type-definition.Rep-range type-definition-Ordered-Preference)
qed

lemma card-ord-pref:
  card (UNIV::'a::finite Ordered-Preference set) = fact (card (UNIV::'a set))
proof -
  let ?n = card (UNIV::'a set) and
    ?perm = permutations-of-set (UNIV :: 'a set)
  have (UNIV::('a Ordered-Preference set)) =
    pref2ord ' {p :: 'a Preference-Relation. linear-order-on (UNIV::'a set) p}
    using type-definition-Ordered-Preference type-definition.Abs-image
    by blast
  moreover have
    inj-on pref2ord {p :: 'a Preference-Relation. linear-order-on (UNIV::'a set) p}
    by (meson inj-onCI pref2ord-inject)
  ultimately have
    bij-betw pref2ord
      {p :: 'a Preference-Relation. linear-order-on (UNIV::'a set) p}
      (UNIV::('a Ordered-Preference set))
    by (simp add: bij-betw-imageI)
  with finite have card (UNIV::('a Ordered-Preference set)) =
    card {p :: 'a Preference-Relation. linear-order-on (UNIV::'a set) p}

```

```

    by (simp add: bij-betw-same-card)
  moreover have card ?perm = fact ?n
    by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using bij-betw-same-card pl- $\alpha$ -bij-betw[of UNIV::'a set]
    by (metis finite)
qed

end

```

1.14 Alternative Election Type

```

theory Quotient-Type-Election
  imports Profile
begin

```

```

lemma election-equality-equiv:
  election-equality E E and
  election-equality E E'  $\implies$  election-equality E' E and
  election-equality E E'  $\implies$  election-equality E' F  $\implies$  election-equality E F
proof -
  have simp-tuple:  $\forall E. E = (fst E, fst (snd E), snd (snd E))$ 
    by simp
  thus election-equality E E
    using election-equality.simps[of
      fst E fst (snd E) snd (snd E) fst E fst (snd E) snd (snd E)]
    by auto
  show election-equality E E'  $\implies$  election-equality E' E
    using simp-tuple
      election-equality.simps[of
        fst E fst (snd E) snd (snd E) fst E' fst (snd E') snd (snd E')]
      election-equality.simps[of
        fst E' fst (snd E') snd (snd E') fst E fst (snd E) snd (snd E)]
    by metis
  show election-equality E E'  $\implies$  election-equality E' F  $\implies$  election-equality E F
    using simp-tuple
      election-equality.simps[of
        fst E fst (snd E) snd (snd E) fst E' fst (snd E') snd (snd E')]
      election-equality.simps[of
        fst E' fst (snd E') snd (snd E') fst F fst (snd F) snd (snd F)]
      election-equality.simps[of
        fst E fst (snd E) snd (snd E) fst F fst (snd F) snd (snd F)]
    by metis
qed

quotient-type ('a, 'v) Election-Alt =
  'a set  $\times$  'v set  $\times$  ('a, 'v) Profile / election-equality
unfolding equivp-reflp-symp-transp reflp-def symp-def transp-def
using election-equality-equiv

```

```

by simp

fun fst-alt :: ('a, 'v) Election-Alt  $\Rightarrow$  'a set where
  fst-alt E = Product-Type.fst (rep-Election-Alt E)

fun snd-alt :: ('a, 'v) Election-Alt  $\Rightarrow$  'v set  $\times$  ('a, 'v) Profile where
  snd-alt E = Product-Type.snd (rep-Election-Alt E)

abbreviation alts- $\mathcal{E}$ -alt :: ('a, 'v) Election-Alt  $\Rightarrow$  'a set where
  alts- $\mathcal{E}$ -alt E  $\equiv$  fst-alt E

abbreviation votrs- $\mathcal{E}$ -alt :: ('a, 'v) Election-Alt  $\Rightarrow$  'v set where
  votrs- $\mathcal{E}$ -alt E  $\equiv$  Product-Type.fst (snd-alt E)

abbreviation prof- $\mathcal{E}$ -alt :: ('a, 'v) Election-Alt  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Profile where
  prof- $\mathcal{E}$ -alt E  $\equiv$  Product-Type.snd (snd-alt E)

end

```

Chapter 2

Quotient Rules

2.1 Quotients of Equivalence Relations

```
theory Relation-Quotients
imports HOL.Equiv-Relations
        ../Social-Choice-Types/Symmetry-Of-Functions
        Main
begin
```

2.1.1 Definitions

```
fun singleton-set :: 'x set  $\Rightarrow$  'x where
  singleton-set X = (if (card X = 1) then (the-inv ( $\lambda x. \{x\}$ ) X) else undefined)
— This is undefined if card X  $\neq$  1. Note that "undefined = undefined" is the only
provable equality for undefined.
```

For a given function, we define a function on sets that maps each set to the unique image under f of its elements, if one exists. Otherwise, the result is undefined.

```
fun  $\pi_Q$  :: ('x  $\Rightarrow$  'y)  $\Rightarrow$  ('x set  $\Rightarrow$  'y) where
   $\pi_Q$  f X = singleton-set (f ` X)
```

For a given function f on sets and a mapping from elements to sets, we define a function on the set element type that maps each element to the image of its corresponding set under f . A natural mapping is from elements to their classes under a relation (rel cls).

```
fun inv- $\pi_Q$  :: ('x  $\Rightarrow$  'x set)  $\Rightarrow$  ('x set  $\Rightarrow$  'y)  $\Rightarrow$  ('x  $\Rightarrow$  'y) where
  inv- $\pi_Q$  cls f x = f (cls x)
```

```
fun rel-cls :: 'x rel  $\Rightarrow$  'x  $\Rightarrow$  'x set where
  rel-cls r x = r `` {x}
```

2.1.2 Well-Definedness

```
lemma singleton-set-undef-if-card-neq-one:
```

```

fixes
   $X :: 'x \text{ set}$ 
assumes
   $\text{card } X \neq 1$ 
shows
   $\text{singleton-set } X = \text{undefined}$ 
using assms
by auto

```

lemma *singleton-set-def-if-card-one:*

```

fixes
   $X :: 'x \text{ set}$ 
assumes
   $\text{card } X = 1$ 
shows
   $\exists! x. x = \text{singleton-set } X \wedge \{x\} = X$ 
using assms
unfolding singleton-set.simps
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) card-1-singletonE inj-def singleton-inject the-inv-f-f)

```

If the given function is invariant under an equivalence relation, the induced function on sets is well-defined for all equivalence classes of that relation.

theorem *pass-to-quotient:*

```

fixes
   $f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$  and
   $r :: 'x \text{ rel}$  and
   $X :: 'x \text{ set}$ 
assumes
   $f \text{ respects } r$  and
   $\text{equiv } X \text{ } r$ 
shows
   $\forall A \in X // r. \forall x \in A. \pi_Q f A = f x$ 
proof (safe)
  fix
     $A :: 'x \text{ set}$  and
     $x :: 'x$ 
  assume
     $A \in X // r$  and  $x \in A$ 
  hence  $r `` \{x\} = A$ 
  using assms
  by (meson ImageI equiv-class-eq-iff quotientI quotient-eq-iff singleton-iff)
  have  $\forall y \in r `` \{x\}. (x, y) \in r$ 
  unfolding Image-def
  by blast
  hence  $\forall y \in r `` \{x\}. f y = f x$ 
  using assms
  unfolding congruent-def
  by auto
  hence  $\{f y \mid y. y \in r `` \{x\}\} = \{f x \mid y. y \in r `` \{x\}\}$ 

```

```

    using assms
    unfolding congruent-def
    by blast
  also have  $\{f\ x \mid y. y \in r^{-1}\{x\}\} = \{f\ x\}$ 
    using assms  $\langle x \in A \rangle \langle r^{-1}\{x\} = A \rangle$ 
    unfolding refl-on-def
    by blast
  finally have  $f^{-1} A = \{f\ x\}$ 
    using  $\langle r^{-1}\{x\} = A \rangle$ 
    by auto
  thus  $\pi_Q f A = f\ x$ 
    using singleton-set-def-if-card-one
    unfolding  $\pi_Q.simps$ 
    by (metis is-singletonI is-singleton-altdef the-elem-eq)
qed

```

A function on sets induces a function on the element type that is invariant under a given equivalence relation.

theorem *pass-to-quotient-inv*:

```

  fixes
     $f :: 'x\ set \Rightarrow 'x$  and
     $r :: 'x\ rel$  and
     $X :: 'x\ set$ 
  assumes
    equiv  $X\ r$ 
  defines
    induced-fun  $\equiv (inv\text{-}\pi_Q\ (rel\text{-cls}\ r)\ f)$ 
  shows
    invar: induced-fun respects  $r$  and
    inv:  $\forall A \in X \ //\ r. \pi_Q\ induced\text{-fun}\ A = f\ A$ 
proof (safe)
  have  $\forall (a, b) \in r. rel\text{-cls}\ r\ a = rel\text{-cls}\ r\ b$ 
    using assms equiv-class-eq
    unfolding rel-cls.simps
    by fastforce
  hence  $\forall (a, b) \in r. induced\text{-fun}\ a = induced\text{-fun}\ b$ 
    unfolding induced-fun-def inv- $\pi_Q$ .simps
    by auto
  thus invar: induced-fun respects  $r$ 
    unfolding congruent-def
    by blast
  — We want to reuse this fact, so no "next".
fix
   $A :: 'x\ set$ 
assume
   $A \in X \ //\ r$ 
then obtain  $a :: 'x$  where  $a \in A$  and  $A = rel\text{-cls}\ r\ a$ 
  using assms equiv-Eps-in proj-Eps proj-def
  unfolding rel-cls.simps

```



```

  by metis
with invar  $\langle A \in X \ // \ r \rangle$  pass-to-quotient have
   $\pi_Q$  induced-fun  $A = \text{induced-fun } a$ 
  using assms
  by blast
also have induced-fun  $a = f\ A$ 
  using  $\langle A = \text{rel-cls } r\ a \rangle$ 
  unfolding induced-fun-def
  by simp
finally show  $\pi_Q$  induced-fun  $A = f\ A$ 
  by simp
qed

```

2.1.3 Equivalence Relations

```

lemma equiv-rel-restr:
  fixes
     $X :: 'x\ \text{set}$  and
     $Y :: 'x\ \text{set}$  and
     $r :: 'x\ \text{rel}$ 
  assumes
    equiv  $X\ r$  and
     $Y \subseteq X$ 
  shows
    equiv  $Y\ (\text{Restr } r\ Y)$ 
proof (unfold equiv-def refl-on-def, safe)
  fix
     $x :: 'x$ 
  assume
     $x \in Y$ 
  hence  $x \in X$ 
  using assms
  by blast
  thus
     $(x, x) \in r$ 
  using assms
  unfolding equiv-def refl-on-def
  by simp
next
  show sym  $(\text{Restr } r\ Y)$ 
  using assms
  unfolding equiv-def sym-def
  by blast
next
  show Relation.trans  $(\text{Restr } r\ Y)$ 
  using assms
  unfolding equiv-def Relation.trans-def
  by blast
qed

```

```

lemma rel-ind-by-grp-act-equiv:
  fixes
     $G :: 'x \text{ monoid}$  and
     $Y :: 'y \text{ set}$  and
     $\varphi :: ('x, 'y) \text{ binary-fun}$ 
  assumes
    group-action  $G \ Y \ \varphi$ 
  shows
    equiv  $Y \ (\text{rel-induced-by-action } (\text{carrier } G) \ Y \ \varphi)$ 
proof (unfold equiv-def refl-on-def sym-def Relation.trans-def rel-induced-by-action.simps,
      clarsimp, safe)
  fix
     $y :: 'y$ 
  assume
     $y \in Y$ 
  hence  $\varphi \ 1_G \ y = y$ 
    using assms group-action.id-eq-one restrict-apply'
    by metis
  thus  $\exists g \in \text{carrier } G. \ \varphi \ g \ y = y$ 
    using assms group.is-monoid group-hom.axioms
    unfolding group-action-def
    by blast
  next
  fix
     $y :: 'y$  and  $g :: 'x$ 
  assume
     $y \in Y$  and
     $\varphi \ g \ y \in Y$  and
     $g \in \text{carrier } G$ 
  hence  $y = \varphi \ (\text{inv}_G \ g) \ (\varphi \ g \ y)$ 
    using assms
    by (simp add: group-action.orbit-sym-aux)
  thus  $\exists h \in \text{carrier } G. \ \varphi \ h \ (\varphi \ g \ y) = y$ 
    by (metis  $\langle g \in \text{carrier } G \rangle$  assms group.inv-closed group-action.group-hom
group-hom.axioms(1))
  next
  fix
     $y :: 'y$  and  $g :: 'x$  and  $h :: 'x$ 
  assume
     $y \in Y$  and
     $\varphi \ g \ y \in Y$  and
     $\varphi \ h \ (\varphi \ g \ y) \in Y$  and
     $g \in \text{carrier } G$  and
     $h \in \text{carrier } G$ 
  hence  $\varphi \ (h \otimes_G g) \ y = \varphi \ h \ (\varphi \ g \ y)$ 
    using assms
    by (simp add: group-action.composition-rule)

```

```

thus  $\exists f \in \text{carrier } G. \varphi f y = \varphi h (\varphi g y)$ 
  by (meson Group.group-def  $\langle g \in \text{carrier } G \rangle \langle h \in \text{carrier } G \rangle$  assms
    group-action.group-hom group-hom.axioms(1) monoid.m-closed)
qed

end

```

2.2 Quotients of Equivalence Relations on Election Sets

```

theory Election-Quotients
  imports Relation-Quotients
    ../Social-Choice-Types/Voting-Symmetry
    ../Social-Choice-Types/Ordered-Relation
    HOL-Library.Extended-Real
    HOL-Analysis.Cartesian-Euclidean-Space
begin

```

2.2.1 Auxiliary Lemmas

```

lemma obtain-partition:
  fixes
     $X :: 'x \text{ set}$  and
     $N :: 'y \Rightarrow \text{nat}$  and
     $Y :: 'y \text{ set}$ 
  assumes
    finite X and
    finite Y and
     $\text{sum } N \ Y = \text{card } X$ 
  shows
     $\exists \mathcal{X}. X = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{X} \ i \mid i. i \in Y \} \wedge (\forall i \in Y. \text{card } (\mathcal{X} \ i) = N \ i) \wedge$ 
       $(\forall i \ j. i \neq j \longrightarrow i \in Y \wedge j \in Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{X} \ i \cap \mathcal{X} \ j = \{\})$ 
  using assms
proof (induction card Y arbitrary: X Y)
  case 0
  fix
     $X :: 'x \text{ set}$  and
     $Y :: 'y \text{ set}$ 
  assume
    fin-X: finite X and
    card-X: sum N Y = card X and
    fin-Y: finite Y and
    card-Y: 0 = card Y
  let  $?X = \lambda y. \{\}$ 
  have  $Y = \{\}$ 
    using 0 fin-Y card-Y
  by simp
  hence  $\text{sum } N \ Y = 0$ 

```

```

    by simp
  hence  $X = \{\}$ 
    using fin-X card-X
    by simp
  hence  $X = \bigcup \{?X\ i \mid i. i \in Y\}$ 
    by blast
  moreover have
     $\forall i\ j. i \neq j \longrightarrow i \in Y \wedge j \in Y \longrightarrow ?X\ i \cap ?X\ j = \{\}$ 
    by blast
  ultimately show
     $\exists X. X = \bigcup \{X\ i \mid i. i \in Y\} \wedge$ 
     $(\forall i \in Y. \text{card } (X\ i) = N\ i) \wedge (\forall i\ j. i \neq j \longrightarrow i \in Y \wedge j \in Y \longrightarrow X$ 
 $i \cap X\ j = \{\})$ 
    by (simp add:  $\langle Y = \{\} \rangle$ )
  next
  case (Suc x)
  fix
    x :: nat and
    X :: 'x set and
    Y :: 'y set
  assume
    card-Y:  $\text{Suc } x = \text{card } Y$  and
    fin-Y:  $\text{finite } Y$  and
    fin-X:  $\text{finite } X$  and
    card-X:  $\text{sum } N\ Y = \text{card } X$  and
    hyp:
       $\bigwedge Y (X :: 'x \text{ set}).$ 
       $x = \text{card } Y \implies$ 
       $\text{finite } X \implies$ 
       $\text{finite } Y \implies$ 
       $\text{sum } N\ Y = \text{card } X \implies$ 
       $\exists X.$ 
       $X = \bigcup \{X\ i \mid i. i \in Y\} \wedge$ 
       $(\forall i \in Y. \text{card } (X\ i) = N\ i) \wedge (\forall i\ j. i \neq j \longrightarrow i \in Y \wedge j \in Y \longrightarrow$ 
 $X\ i \cap X\ j = \{\})$ 
  then obtain  $Y' :: 'y \text{ set}$  and  $y :: 'y$  where
     $Y = \text{insert } y\ Y'$  and  $\text{card } Y' = x$  and  $\text{finite } Y'$  and  $y \notin Y'$ 
    using card-Suc-eq-finite
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  hence  $N\ y \leq \text{card } X$ 
    using card-X card-Y fin-Y le-add1 n-not-Suc-n sum.insert
    by metis
  then obtain  $X' :: 'x \text{ set}$  where  $X' \subseteq X$  and  $\text{card } X' = N\ y$ 
    using fin-X ex-card
    by meson
  hence  $\text{finite } (X - X') \wedge \text{card } (X - X') = \text{sum } N\ Y'$ 
    using card-Y card-X fin-X fin-Y  $\langle Y = \text{insert } y\ Y' \rangle$   $\langle \text{card } Y' = x \rangle$   $\langle \text{finite } Y' \rangle$ 
    Suc-n-not-n add-diff-cancel-left' card-Diff-subset card-insert-if
    finite-Diff finite-subset sum.insert

```

by *metis*
 then obtain $\mathcal{X} :: 'y \Rightarrow 'x$ set where
 part: $X - X' = \bigcup \{\mathcal{X} \ i \mid i. i \in Y'\}$ and
 disj: $\forall i \ j. i \neq j \longrightarrow i \in Y' \wedge j \in Y' \longrightarrow \mathcal{X} \ i \cap \mathcal{X} \ j = \{\}$ and
 card: $\forall i \in Y'. \text{card} (\mathcal{X} \ i) = N \ i$
 using *hyp*[of $Y' \ X - X'$] *finite* Y' $\langle \text{card } Y' = x \rangle$
 by *auto*
 then obtain $\mathcal{X}' :: 'y \Rightarrow 'x$ set where
 map': $\mathcal{X}' = (\lambda z. \text{if } (z = y) \text{ then } X' \text{ else } \mathcal{X} \ z)$
 by *simp*
 hence *eq- \mathcal{X}* : $\forall i \in Y'. \mathcal{X}' \ i = \mathcal{X} \ i$
 using $\langle y \notin Y' \rangle$
 by *auto*
 have $Y = \{y\} \cup Y'$
 using $\langle Y = \text{insert } y \ Y' \rangle$
 by *fastforce*
 hence $\forall f. \{f \ i \mid i. i \in Y\} = \{f \ y\} \cup \{f \ i \mid i. i \in Y'\}$
 by *auto*
 hence $\{\mathcal{X}' \ i \mid i. i \in Y\} = \{\mathcal{X}' \ y\} \cup \{\mathcal{X}' \ i \mid i. i \in Y'\}$
 by *metis*
 hence $\bigcup \{\mathcal{X}' \ i \mid i. i \in Y\} = \mathcal{X}' \ y \cup \bigcup \{\mathcal{X}' \ i \mid i. i \in Y'\}$
 by *simp*
 also have $\mathcal{X}' \ y = X'$
 using *map'*
 by *presburger*
 also have $\bigcup \{\mathcal{X}' \ i \mid i. i \in Y'\} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{X} \ i \mid i. i \in Y'\}$
 using *eq- \mathcal{X}*
 by *blast*
 finally have *part'*: $X = \bigcup \{\mathcal{X}' \ i \mid i. i \in Y\}$
 using *part*
 by (*metis Diff-partition* $\langle X' \subseteq X \rangle$)
 have $\forall i \in Y'. \mathcal{X}' \ i \subseteq X - X'$
 using *part eq- \mathcal{X}*
 by (*metis Setcompr-eq-image UN-upper*)
 hence $\forall i \in Y'. \mathcal{X}' \ i \cap X' = \{\}$
 by *blast*
 hence $\forall i \in Y'. \mathcal{X}' \ i \cap \mathcal{X}' \ y = \{\}$
 using *map'*
 by *simp*
 hence $\forall i \ j. i \neq j \longrightarrow i \in Y \wedge j \in Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}' \ i \cap \mathcal{X}' \ j = \{\}$
 using *map' disj* $\langle Y = \text{insert } y \ Y' \rangle$ *inf.commute insertE*
 by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
 moreover have $\forall i \in Y. \text{card} (\mathcal{X}' \ i) = N \ i$
 using *map' card* $\langle \text{card } X' = N \ y \rangle$ $\langle Y = \text{insert } y \ Y' \rangle$
 by *simp*
 ultimately show
 $\exists \mathcal{X}. X = \bigcup \{\mathcal{X} \ i \mid i. i \in Y\} \wedge$
 $(\forall i \in Y. \text{card} (\mathcal{X} \ i) = N \ i) \wedge (\forall i \ j. i \neq j \longrightarrow i \in Y \wedge j \in Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$
 $i \cap \mathcal{X} \ j = \{\})$

```

    using part'
    by blast
qed

```

2.2.2 Anonymity Quotient - Grid

```

fun anonymityQ :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Election set set where
  anonymityQ A = quotient (fixed-alt-elections A) (anonymityR (fixed-alt-elections A))

```

— Counts the occurrences of a ballot per election in a set of elections if the occurrences of the ballot per election coincide for all elections in the set.

```

fun vote-countQ :: 'a Preference-Relation  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Election set  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  vote-countQ p =  $\pi_Q$  (vote-count p)

```

```

fun anon-cls-to-vec ::
  ('a::finite, 'v) Election set  $\Rightarrow$  (nat, 'a Ordered-Preference) vec where
  anon-cls-to-vec X = ( $\chi$  p. vote-countQ (ord2pref p) X)

```

We assume all our elections to consist of a fixed finite alternative set of size n and finite subsets of an infinite voter universe. Profiles are linear orders on the alternatives. Then we can work on the natural-number-vectors of dimension $n!$ instead of the equivalence classes of the anonymity relation: Each dimension corresponds to one possible linear order on the alternative set, i.e. the possible preferences. Each equivalence class of elections corresponds to a vector whose entries denote the amount of voters per election in that class who vote the respective corresponding preference.

theorem anonymity_Q-iso:

assumes

infinite (UNIV::('v set))

shows

bij-betw (anon-cls-to-vec::('a::finite, 'v) Election set \Rightarrow nat ^{\wedge} ('a Ordered-Preference))

(anonymity_Q (UNIV::'a set)) (UNIV::(nat ^{\wedge} ('a Ordered-Preference))

set)

proof (unfold *bij-betw-def inj-on-def, standard, standard, standard, standard*)

fix

X :: ('a, 'v) Election set **and**

Y :: ('a, 'v) Election set

assume

cls-X: X \in anonymity_Q UNIV **and**

cls-Y: Y \in anonymity_Q UNIV **and**

eq-vec: anon-cls-to-vec X = anon-cls-to-vec Y

have $\forall E \in$ fixed-alt-elections UNIV. *finite* (votrs- \mathcal{E} E)

by *simp*

hence $\forall (E, E') \in$ anonymity_R (fixed-alt-elections UNIV). *finite* (votrs- \mathcal{E} E)

unfolding anonymity_R.*simps rel-induced-by-action.simps fixed-alt-elections.simps*

by *force*

moreover have *subset: fixed-alt-elections UNIV* \subseteq *valid-elections*
by *simp*
ultimately have
 $\forall (E, E') \in \text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{fixed-alt-elections UNIV}). \forall p. \text{vote-count } p \ E =$
vote-count } p \ E'
using *anon-rel-vote-count[of - - fixed-alt-elections UNIV]*
by *blast*
hence *vote-count-invar:*
 $\forall p. (\text{vote-count } p) \text{ respects } (\text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{fixed-alt-elections UNIV}))$
unfolding *congruent-def*
by *blast*
have
equiv valid-elections (anonymity_R valid-elections)
using *rel-ind-by-grp-act-equiv[of anonymity_G valid-elections φ -anon valid-elections]*
rel-ind-by-coinciding-action-on-subset-eq-restr[
of fixed-alt-elections UNIV valid-elections
carrier anonymity_G φ -anon valid-elections]
by (*simp add: anon-grp-act.group-action-axioms*)
moreover have
 $\forall \pi \in \text{carrier anonymity}_{\mathcal{G}}.$
 $\forall E \in \text{fixed-alt-elections UNIV}.$
 $\varphi\text{-anon } (\text{fixed-alt-elections UNIV}) \ \pi \ E = \varphi\text{-anon valid-elections } \pi \ E$
using *subset*
unfolding *φ -anon.simps*
by *simp*
ultimately have *equiv-rel:*
equiv (fixed-alt-elections UNIV) (anonymity_R (fixed-alt-elections UNIV))
using *subset rel-ind-by-coinciding-action-on-subset-eq-restr[of*
fixed-alt-elections UNIV valid-elections carrier anonymity_G
 φ -anon (fixed-alt-elections UNIV) φ -anon valid-elections]
equiv-rel-restr[
of valid-elections anonymity_R valid-elections fixed-alt-elections UNIV]
unfolding *anonymity_R.simps*
by (*metis (no-types)*)
with *vote-count-invar* **have** *quotient-count:*
 $\forall X \in \text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{Q}} \text{ UNIV}. \forall p. \forall E \in X. \text{vote-count}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ p \ X = \text{vote-count } p \ E$
using *pass-to-quotient[of*
anonymity_R (fixed-alt-elections UNIV) vote-count - fixed-alt-elections
UNIV]
unfolding *anonymity_Q.simps anonymity_R.simps vote-count_Q.simps*
by *blast*
moreover from *equiv-rel*
obtain $E :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}$ **and** $E' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}$ **where**
 $E \in X$ **and** $E' \in Y$
using *cls-X cls-Y equiv-Eps-in*
unfolding *anonymity_Q.simps*
by *blast*
ultimately have
 $\forall p. \text{vote-count}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ p \ X = \text{vote-count } p \ E \wedge \text{vote-count}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ p \ Y = \text{vote-count } p \ E'$

using *cls-X cls-Y*
by *blast*
moreover with *eq-vec* **have**
 $\forall p. \text{vote-count}_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) X = \text{vote-count}_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) Y$
unfolding *anon-cls-to-vec.simps*
using *UNIV-I vec-lambda-inverse*
by *metis*
ultimately have
 $\forall p. \text{vote-count} (\text{ord2pref } p) E = \text{vote-count} (\text{ord2pref } p) E'$
by *simp*
hence *eq*:
 $\forall p \in \{p. \text{linear-order-on} (UNIV::'a \text{ set}) p\}.$
 $\text{vote-count } p E = \text{vote-count } p E'$
by (*metis pref2ord-inverse*)
from *equiv-rel cls-X cls-Y* **have** *subset-fixed-alts*:
 $X \subseteq \text{fixed-alt-elections } UNIV \wedge Y \subseteq \text{fixed-alt-elections } UNIV$
unfolding *anonymity $_{\mathcal{Q}}$.simps*
using *in-quotient-imp-subset*
by *blast*
hence *eq-alts*:
 $\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} E = UNIV \wedge \text{alts-}\mathcal{E} E' = UNIV$
using $\langle E \in X \rangle \langle E' \in Y \rangle$
unfolding *fixed-alt-elections.simps*
by *blast*
with *subset-fixed-alts* **have** *eq-complement*:
 $\forall p \in UNIV - \{p. \text{linear-order-on} (UNIV::'a \text{ set}) p\}.$
 $\{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} E. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} E v = p\} = \{\} \wedge \{v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} E'. \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} E' v = p\}$
 $= \{\}$
using $\langle E \in X \rangle \langle E' \in Y \rangle$
unfolding *fixed-alt-elections.simps valid-elections-def profile-def*
by *auto*
hence
 $\forall p \in UNIV - \{p. \text{linear-order-on} (UNIV::'a \text{ set}) p\}.$
 $\text{vote-count } p E = 0 \wedge \text{vote-count } p E' = 0$
unfolding *vote-count.simps*
by (*simp add: card-eq-0-iff*)
with *eq* **have** *eq-vote-count*:
 $\forall p. \text{vote-count } p E = \text{vote-count } p E'$
by (*metis DiffI UNIV-I*)
moreover from *subset-fixed-alts* $\langle E \in X \rangle \langle E' \in Y \rangle$ **have**
 $\text{finite} (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} E) \wedge \text{finite} (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} E')$
unfolding *fixed-alt-elections.simps*
by *blast*
moreover from *subset-fixed-alts* $\langle E \in X \rangle \langle E' \in Y \rangle$ **have**
 $(E, E') \in (\text{fixed-alt-elections } UNIV) \times (\text{fixed-alt-elections } UNIV)$
by *blast*
moreover from *this* **have**
 $(\forall v. v \notin \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} E \longrightarrow \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} E v = \{\}) \wedge (\forall v. v \notin \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} E' \longrightarrow \text{prof-}\mathcal{E} E' v = \{\})$

unfolding *fixed-alt-elections.simps*
by *force*
ultimately have
 $(E, E') \in \text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}} \text{ (fixed-alt-elections UNIV)}$
using *eq-alts vote-count-anon-rel*
by *metis*
hence
 $\text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}} \text{ (fixed-alt-elections UNIV)} \text{ “ } \{E\} = \text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}} \text{ (fixed-alt-elections UNIV)} \text{ “ } \{E'\}$
using *equiv-rel*
by *(metis equiv-class-eq)*
also have $\text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}} \text{ (fixed-alt-elections UNIV)} \text{ “ } \{E\} = X$
using $\langle E \in X \rangle \text{ cls-}X \text{ equiv-rel}$
unfolding *anonymity_Q.simps*
by *(metis (no-types, lifting) Image-singleton-iff equiv-class-eq quotientE)*
also have $\text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}} \text{ (fixed-alt-elections UNIV)} \text{ “ } \{E'\} = Y$
using $\langle E' \in Y \rangle \text{ cls-}Y \text{ equiv-rel}$
unfolding *anonymity_Q.simps*
by *(metis (no-types, lifting) Image-singleton-iff equiv-class-eq quotientE)*
finally show $X = Y$
by *simp*
next
have *subset: fixed-alt-elections UNIV \subseteq valid-elections*
by *simp*
have
equiv valid-elections (anonymity_R valid-elections)
using *rel-ind-by-grp-act-equiv[of anonymity_G valid-elections φ -anon valid-elections]*
rel-ind-by-coinciding-action-on-subset-eq-restr[
of fixed-alt-elections UNIV valid-elections
carrier anonymity_G φ -anon valid-elections]
by *(simp add: anon-grp-act.group-action-axioms)*

moreover have
 $\forall \pi \in \text{carrier anonymity}_{\mathcal{G}}.$
 $\forall E \in \text{fixed-alt-elections UNIV}.$
 $\varphi\text{-anon (fixed-alt-elections UNIV)} \pi E = \varphi\text{-anon valid-elections } \pi E$
using *subset*
unfolding *φ -anon.simps*
by *simp*
ultimately have *equiv-rel:*
 $\text{equiv (fixed-alt-elections UNIV) (anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}} \text{ (fixed-alt-elections UNIV))}$
using *subset rel-ind-by-coinciding-action-on-subset-eq-restr[*
fixed-alt-elections UNIV valid-elections carrier anonymity_G
 φ -anon (fixed-alt-elections UNIV) φ -anon valid-elections]
equiv-rel-restr[
of valid-elections anonymity_R valid-elections fixed-alt-elections UNIV]
unfolding *anonymity_R.simps*
by *(metis (no-types))*
have

```

  (UNIV::((nat, 'a Ordered-Preference) vec set))  $\subseteq$ 
    (anon-cls-to-vec::('a, 'v) Election set  $\Rightarrow$  (nat, 'a Ordered-Preference) vec) '
    anonymityQ UNIV
proof (unfold anon-cls-to-vec.simps, safe)
fix
  x :: (nat, 'a Ordered-Preference) vec
have finite (UNIV::('a Ordered-Preference set))
  by simp
hence finite {x$i | i. i  $\in$  UNIV}
  using finite-Atleast-Atmost-nat
  by blast
hence sum ( $\lambda i. x$i) UNIV <  $\infty$ 
  using enat-ord-code(4)
  by blast
moreover have 0  $\leq$  sum ( $\lambda i. x$i) UNIV
  by blast
ultimately obtain V :: 'v set where
  finite V and card V = sum ( $\lambda i. x$i) UNIV
  using assms infinite-arbitrarily-large
  by meson
then obtain X' :: 'a Ordered-Preference  $\Rightarrow$  'v set where
  card':  $\forall i. \text{card } (X' i) = x$i$  and
  partition':  $V = \bigcup \{X' i \mid i. i \in \text{UNIV}\}$  and
  disjoint':  $\forall i j. i \neq j \longrightarrow X' i \cap X' j = \{\}$ 
  using obtain-partition[of V UNIV ($) x]
  by auto
obtain X :: 'a Preference-Relation  $\Rightarrow$  'v set where
  def-X:  $X = (\lambda i. \text{if } (i \in \{i. \text{linear-order } i\}) \text{ then } X' (\text{pref2ord } i) \text{ else } \{\})$ 
  by simp
hence {X i | i. i  $\notin$  {i. linear-order i}}  $\subseteq$  {\{\}}
  by auto
moreover have
  {X i | i. i  $\in$  {i. linear-order i}} = {X' (pref2ord i) | i. i  $\in$  {i. linear-order i}}
  using def-X
  by auto
moreover have
  {X i | i. i  $\in$  UNIV} = {X i | i. i  $\in$  {i. linear-order i}}  $\cup$ 
    {X i | i. i  $\in$  UNIV - {i. linear-order i}}
  by blast
ultimately have
  {X i | i. i  $\in$  UNIV} = {X' (pref2ord i) | i. i  $\in$  {i. linear-order i}}  $\vee$ 
    {X i | i. i  $\in$  UNIV} = {X' (pref2ord i) | i. i  $\in$  {i. linear-order i}}  $\cup$  {\{\}}
  by auto
also have
  {X' (pref2ord i) | i. i  $\in$  {i. linear-order i}} = {X' i | i. i  $\in$  UNIV}
  by (metis iso-tuple-UNIV-I pref2ord-cases)
finally have
  {X i | i. i  $\in$  UNIV} = {X' i | i. i  $\in$  UNIV}  $\vee$ 
    {X i | i. i  $\in$  UNIV} = {X' i | i. i  $\in$  UNIV}  $\cup$  {\{\}}$$$ 
```

by *simp*
 hence $\bigcup \{X\ i\ |\ i. i \in UNIV\} = \bigcup \{X'\ i\ |\ i. i \in UNIV\}$
 by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *Sup-union-distrib cppo-Sup-singleton sup-bot.right-neutral*)
 hence *partition*: $V = \bigcup \{X\ i\ |\ i. i \in UNIV\}$
 using *partition'*
 by *simp*
 moreover have $\forall i\ j. i \neq j \longrightarrow X\ i \cap X\ j = \{\}$
 using *disjoint' def-X pref2ord-inject*
 by *auto*
 ultimately have $\forall v \in V. \exists! i. v \in X\ i$
 by *auto*
 then obtain $p' :: 'v \Rightarrow 'a\ \text{Preference-Relation}$ where
 $p\text{-}X: \forall v \in V. v \in X\ (p'\ v)$ and
 $p\text{-}disj: \forall v \in V. \forall i. i \neq p'\ v \longrightarrow v \notin X\ i$
 by *metis*
 then obtain $p :: 'v \Rightarrow 'a\ \text{Preference-Relation}$ where
 $p\text{-}def: p = (\lambda v. \text{if } v \in V \text{ then } p'\ v \text{ else } \{\})$
 by *simp*
 hence *lin-ord*: $\forall v \in V. \text{linear-order } (p\ v)$
 using *def-X p-X p-disj*
 by *fastforce*
 hence *valid*:
 $(UNIV, V, p) \in \text{fixed-alt-elections } UNIV$
 using $\langle \text{finite } V \rangle\ p\text{-}def$
 unfolding *fixed-alt-elections.simps valid-elections-def profile-def*
 by *auto*
 hence
 $\forall i. \forall E \in \text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}}\ (\text{fixed-alt-elections } UNIV) \text{ “ } \{(UNIV, V, p)\}.$
 $\text{vote-count } i\ E = \text{vote-count } i\ (UNIV, V, p)$
 using *anon-rel-vote-count[of (UNIV, V, p) - fixed-alt-elections UNIV]*
 $\langle \text{finite } V \rangle\ \text{subset}$
 by *simp*
 moreover have
 $(UNIV, V, p) \in \text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}}\ (\text{fixed-alt-elections } UNIV) \text{ “ } \{(UNIV, V, p)\}$
 using *equiv-rel valid*
 unfolding *Image-def equiv-def refl-on-def*
 by *blast*
 ultimately have *eq-vote-count*:
 $\forall i. \text{vote-count } i\ \text{“ } (\text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}}\ (\text{fixed-alt-elections } UNIV) \text{ “ } \{(UNIV, V, p)\})$
 =
 $\{\text{vote-count } i\ (UNIV, V, p)\}$
 by *blast*
 have $\forall i. \forall v \in V. p\ v = i \longleftrightarrow v \in X\ i$
 using *p-X p-disj p-def*
 by *auto*
 hence $\forall i. \{v \in V. p\ v = i\} = \{v \in V. v \in X\ i\}$
 by *blast*
 moreover have $\forall i. X\ i \subseteq V$
 using *partition*

```

    by blast
  ultimately have rewr-preimg:  $\forall i. \{v \in V. p \ v = i\} = X \ i$ 
    by auto
  hence  $\forall i \in \{i. \text{linear-order } i\}. \text{vote-count } i \ (UNIV, V, p) = x\$(pref2ord \ i)$ 
    unfolding vote-count.simps
    using def-X card'
    by auto
  hence
     $\forall i \in \{i. \text{linear-order } i\}. \text{vote-count } i \ ' (anonymity_{\mathcal{R}} \ (\text{fixed-alt-elections } UNIV) \ '\{(UNIV, V, p)\}) =$ 
 $\{x\$(pref2ord \ i)\}$ 
    using eq-vote-count
    by metis
  hence
     $\forall i \in \{i. \text{linear-order } i\}. \text{vote-count}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ i \ (anonymity_{\mathcal{R}} \ (\text{fixed-alt-elections } UNIV) \ '\{(UNIV, V, p)\})$ 
 $= x\$(pref2ord \ i)$ 
    unfolding vote-count_{\mathcal{Q}}.simps  $\pi_{\mathcal{Q}}$ .simps singleton-set.simps
    using is-singleton-altdef singleton-set-def-if-card-one
    by fastforce
  hence
     $\forall i. \text{vote-count}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ (\text{ord2pref } i) \ (anonymity_{\mathcal{R}} \ (\text{fixed-alt-elections } UNIV) \ '\{(UNIV,$ 
 $V, p)\}) = x\$i$ 
    by (metis ord2pref ord2pref-inverse)
  hence
     $\text{anon-cls-to-vec} \ (anonymity_{\mathcal{R}} \ (\text{fixed-alt-elections } UNIV) \ '\{(UNIV, V, p)\})$ 
 $= x$ 
    by simp
  moreover have
     $anonymity_{\mathcal{R}} \ (\text{fixed-alt-elections } UNIV) \ '\{(UNIV, V, p)\} \in anonymity_{\mathcal{Q}}$ 
 $UNIV$ 
    using valid
    unfolding anonymity_{\mathcal{Q}}.simps quotient-def
    by blast
  ultimately show
     $x \in (\lambda X::('a, 'v) \text{ Election set}). \chi \ p. \text{vote-count}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ (\text{ord2pref } p) \ X) \ ' anonymity_{\mathcal{Q}}$ 
 $UNIV$ 
    using anon-cls-to-vec.elims
    by blast
qed
thus
   $(\text{anon-cls-to-vec}::('a, 'v) \text{ Election set} \Rightarrow (\text{nat}, 'a \text{ Ordered-Preference}) \text{ vec}) \ ' \$ 
 $anonymity_{\mathcal{Q}} \ UNIV = (UNIV::(\text{nat}, 'a \text{ Ordered-Preference}) \text{ vec set}))$ 
    by blast
qed

```

2.2.3 Homogeneity Quotient - Simplex

fun *vote-fraction* :: *'a Preference-Relation* \Rightarrow (*'a, 'v Election* \Rightarrow *rat* **where**

```

vote-fraction r E =
  (if (finite (votrs- $\mathcal{E}$  E)  $\wedge$  votrs- $\mathcal{E}$  E  $\neq$  {})
    then (Fract (vote-count r E) (card (votrs- $\mathcal{E}$  E))) else 0)

fun anon-hom $_{\mathcal{R}}$  :: ('a, 'v) Election set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Election rel where
  anon-hom $_{\mathcal{R}}$  X =
    {(E, E') | E E'. E  $\in$  X  $\wedge$  E'  $\in$  X  $\wedge$  (finite (votrs- $\mathcal{E}$  E) = finite (votrs- $\mathcal{E}$  E'))  $\wedge$ 
      ( $\forall$  r. vote-fraction r E = vote-fraction r E')}

fun anon-hom $_{\mathcal{Q}}$  :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Election set set where
  anon-hom $_{\mathcal{Q}}$  A = quotient (fixed-alt-elections A) (anon-hom $_{\mathcal{R}}$  (fixed-alt-elections A))

fun vote-fraction $_{\mathcal{Q}}$  :: 'a Preference-Relation  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Election set  $\Rightarrow$  rat where
  vote-fraction $_{\mathcal{Q}}$  p =  $\pi_{\mathcal{Q}}$  (vote-fraction p)

fun anon-hom-cls-to-vec ::
  ('a::finite, 'v) Election set  $\Rightarrow$  (rat, 'a Ordered-Preference) vec where
  anon-hom-cls-to-vec X = ( $\chi$  p. vote-fraction $_{\mathcal{Q}}$  (ord2pref p) X)

Maps each rational real vector entry to the corresponding rational. If the
entry is not rational, the corresponding entry will be undefined.

fun rat-vec :: real $^b$   $\Rightarrow$  rat $^b$  where
  rat-vec v = ( $\chi$  p. the-inv of-rat (v$p))

fun rat-vec-set :: (real $^b$ ) set  $\Rightarrow$  (rat $^b$ ) set where
  rat-vec-set V = rat-vec ' {v  $\in$  V.  $\forall$  i. v$i  $\in$   $\mathbb{Q}$ }

definition standard-basis :: (real $^b$ ) set where
  standard-basis = {v. ( $\exists$  b. v$b = 1  $\wedge$  ( $\forall$  c  $\neq$  b. v$c = 0))}

The rational points in the simplex.

definition vote-simplex :: (rat $^b$ ) set where
  vote-simplex = insert 0 (rat-vec-set (convex hull (standard-basis :: (real $^b$ ) set)))

```

Auxiliary Lemmas

```

lemma convex-combination-in-convex-hull:
  fixes
    X :: (real $^b$ ) set and
    x :: real $^b$ 
  assumes
     $\exists$  f::(real $^b$ )  $\Rightarrow$  real.
    sum f X = 1  $\wedge$  ( $\forall$  x  $\in$  X. f x  $\geq$  0)  $\wedge$  x = sum ( $\lambda$ x. (f x) * $_R$  x) X
  shows
    x  $\in$  convex hull X
  using assms
proof (induction card X arbitrary: X x)
  case 0

```

```

fix
   $X :: (\text{real}^b) \text{ set}$  and
   $x :: \text{real}^b$ 
assume
   $0 = \text{card } X$  and
   $\exists f. \text{sum } f \ X = 1 \wedge (\forall x \in X. 0 \leq f \ x) \wedge x = (\sum x \in X. f \ x *_R x)$ 
hence  $(\forall f. \text{sum } f \ X = 0) \wedge (\exists f. \text{sum } f \ X = 1)$ 
  by  $(\text{metis card-0-eq empty-iff sum.infinite sum.neutral zero-neq-one})$ 
hence  $\exists f. \text{sum } f \ X = 1 \wedge \text{sum } f \ X = 0$ 
  by blast
hence False
  using zero-neq-one
  by metis
thus ?case
  by blast
next
case  $(\text{Suc } n)$ 
fix
   $X :: (\text{real}^b) \text{ set}$  and
   $x :: \text{real}^b$  and
   $n :: \text{nat}$ 
assume
  card:  $\text{Suc } n = \text{card } X$  and
   $\exists f. \text{sum } f \ X = 1 \wedge (\forall x \in X. 0 \leq f \ x) \wedge x = (\sum x \in X. f \ x *_R x)$  and
  hyp:
     $\bigwedge (X :: (\text{real}^b) \text{ set}) \ x. \\
    n = \text{card } X \implies \\
    \exists f. \text{sum } f \ X = 1 \wedge (\forall x \in X. 0 \leq f \ x) \wedge x = (\sum x \in X. f \ x *_R x) \implies \\
    x \in \text{convex hull } X$ 
then obtain  $f :: (\text{real}^b) \Rightarrow \text{real}$  where
  sum:  $\text{sum } f \ X = 1$  and
  nonneg:  $\forall x \in X. 0 \leq f \ x$  and
  x-sum:  $x = (\sum x \in X. f \ x *_R x)$ 
  by blast
have  $\text{card } X > 0$ 
  using card
  by linarith
hence fin: finite  $X$ 
  using card-gt-0-iff
  by blast
have  $n = 0 \longrightarrow \text{card } X = 1$ 
  using card
  by presburger
hence  $n = 0 \longrightarrow (\exists y. X = \{y\} \wedge f \ y = 1)$ 
  using sum nonneg One-nat-def add.right-neutral
  card-1-singleton-iff empty-iff finite.emptyI sum.insert sum.neutral
  by  $(\text{metis (no-types, opaque-lifting)})$ 
hence  $n = 0 \longrightarrow (\exists y. X = \{y\} \wedge x = y)$ 
  using x-sum

```

by *fastforce*
 hence $n = 0 \longrightarrow x \in X$
 by *blast*
 moreover have $n > 0 \longrightarrow x \in \text{convex hull } X$
 proof (*safe*)
 assume
 $0 < n$
 hence $\text{card } X > 1$
 using *card*
 by *simp*
 have $(\forall y \in X. f y \geq 1) \longrightarrow \text{sum } f X \geq \text{sum } (\lambda x. 1) X$
 using *fin*
 by (*meson sum-mono*)
 moreover have $\text{sum } (\lambda x. 1) X = \text{card } X$
 by *force*
 ultimately have $(\forall y \in X. f y \geq 1) \longrightarrow \text{card } X \leq \text{sum } f X$
 by *force*
 hence $(\forall y \in X. f y \geq 1) \longrightarrow 1 < \text{sum } f X$
 using $\langle \text{card } X > 1 \rangle$
 by *linarith*
 then obtain $y :: \text{real}^b$ where
 $y \in X$ and
 $f y < 1$
 using *sum*
 by *auto*
 hence $1 - f y \neq 0 \wedge x = f y *_R y + (\sum x \in X - \{y\}. f x *_R x)$
 by (*simp add: fin sum.remove x-sum*)
 moreover have
 $\forall \alpha \neq 0. (\sum x \in X - \{y\}. f x *_R x) = \alpha *_R (\sum x \in X - \{y\}. (f x / \alpha) *_R x)$
 by (*simp add: scaleR-sum-right*)
 ultimately have *convex-comb*:
 $x = f y *_R y + (1 - f y) *_R (\sum x \in X - \{y\}. (f x / (1 - f y)) *_R x)$
 by *auto*
 obtain $f' :: \text{real}^b \Rightarrow \text{real}$ where
 $\text{def}' : f' = (\lambda x. f x / (1 - f y))$
 by *simp*
 hence $\forall x \in X - \{y\}. f' x \geq 0$
 using *nonneg* $\langle f y < 1 \rangle$
 by *fastforce*
 moreover have
 $\text{sum } f' (X - \{y\}) = (\text{sum } (\lambda x. f x) (X - \{y\})) / (1 - f y)$
 by (*simp add: def' sum-divide-distrib*)
 moreover have
 $(\text{sum } (\lambda x. f x) (X - \{y\})) / (1 - f y) = (1 - f y) / (1 - f y)$
 using *sum* $\langle y \in X \rangle$
 by (*simp add: fin sum.remove*)
 moreover have $(1 - f y) / (1 - f y) = 1$
 using $\langle f y < 1 \rangle$
 by *simp*

ultimately have
 $sum\ f'\ (X - \{y\}) = 1 \wedge (\forall x \in X - \{y\}. 0 \leq f'\ x) \wedge$
 $(\sum x \in X - \{y\}. (f\ x / (1 - f\ y)) *_{\mathcal{R}} x) = (\sum x \in X - \{y\}. f'\ x *_{\mathcal{R}} x)$
using *def'*
by *fastforce*
hence
 $\exists f'.\ sum\ f'\ (X - \{y\}) = 1 \wedge (\forall x \in X - \{y\}. 0 \leq f'\ x) \wedge$
 $(\sum x \in X - \{y\}. (f\ x / (1 - f\ y)) *_{\mathcal{R}} x) = (\sum x \in X - \{y\}. f'\ x *_{\mathcal{R}} x)$
by *blast*
moreover have $card\ (X - \{y\}) = n$
using *card*
by (*simp add: y ∈ X*)
ultimately have
 $(\sum x \in X - \{y\}. (f\ x / (1 - f\ y)) *_{\mathcal{R}} x) \in convex\ hull\ (X - \{y\})$
using *hyp*
by *blast*
hence
 $(\sum x \in X - \{y\}. (f\ x / (1 - f\ y)) *_{\mathcal{R}} x) \in convex\ hull\ X$
by (*meson Diff-subset hull-mono in-mono*)
moreover have $f\ y \geq 0 \wedge 1 - f\ y \geq 0$
using $\langle f\ y < 1 \rangle\ nonneg\ \langle y \in X \rangle$
by *simp*
moreover have $f\ y + (1 - f\ y) \geq 0$
by *simp*
moreover have $y \in convex\ hull\ X$
using $\langle y \in X \rangle$
by (*simp add: hull-inc*)
moreover have
 $\forall x\ y. x \in convex\ hull\ X \wedge y \in convex\ hull\ X \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall a \geq 0. \forall b \geq 0. a + b = 1 \longrightarrow a *_{\mathcal{R}} x + b *_{\mathcal{R}} y \in convex\ hull\ X)$
using *convex-def convex-convex-hull*
by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting)*)
ultimately show $x \in convex\ hull\ X$
using *convex-comb*
by *auto*
qed
ultimately show $x \in convex\ hull\ X$
using *hull-inc*
by *fastforce*
qed

lemma *standard-simplex-rewrite:*
 $convex\ hull\ standard-basis =$
 $\{v::(real^b). (\forall i. v\$i \geq 0) \wedge sum\ ((\$)\ v)\ UNIV = 1\}$
proof (*unfold convex-def hull-def, standard*)
let $?simplex = \{v::(real^b). (\forall i. v\$i \geq 0) \wedge sum\ ((\$)\ v)\ UNIV = 1\}$
have *fin-dim: finite (UNIV::'b set)*
by *simp*
have

$\forall x::(\text{real}^b). \forall y.$
 $\text{sum } ((\$) (x + y)) \text{ UNIV} = \text{sum } ((\$) x) \text{ UNIV} + \text{sum } ((\$) y) \text{ UNIV}$
by (*simp add: sum.distrib*)
hence $\forall x::(\text{real}^b). \forall y. \forall u v.$
 $\text{sum } ((\$) (u *_R x + v *_R y)) \text{ UNIV} =$
 $\text{sum } ((\$) (u *_R x)) \text{ UNIV} + \text{sum } ((\$) (v *_R y)) \text{ UNIV}$
by *blast*
moreover have
 $\forall x u. \text{sum } ((\$) (u *_R x)) \text{ UNIV} = u *_R (\text{sum } ((\$) x) \text{ UNIV})$
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) scaleR-right.sum sum.cong vector-scaleR-component*)
ultimately have $\forall x::(\text{real}^b). \forall y. \forall u v.$
 $\text{sum } ((\$) (u *_R x + v *_R y)) \text{ UNIV} =$
 $u *_R (\text{sum } ((\$) x) \text{ UNIV}) + v *_R (\text{sum } ((\$) y) \text{ UNIV})$
by (*metis (no-types)*)
moreover have
 $\forall x \in ?\text{simplex}. \text{sum } ((\$) x) \text{ UNIV} = 1$
by *simp*
ultimately have
 $\forall x \in ?\text{simplex}. \forall y \in ?\text{simplex}. \forall u v.$
 $\text{sum } ((\$) (u *_R x + v *_R y)) \text{ UNIV} = u *_R 1 + v *_R 1$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
hence
 $\forall x \in ?\text{simplex}. \forall y \in ?\text{simplex}. \forall u v. \text{sum } ((\$) (u *_R x + v *_R y)) \text{ UNIV} = u$
 $+ v$
by *simp*
moreover have
 $\forall x \in ?\text{simplex}. \forall y \in ?\text{simplex}. \forall u \geq 0. \forall v \geq 0.$
 $u + v = 1 \longrightarrow (\forall i. (u *_R x + v *_R y)\$i \geq 0)$
by *simp*
ultimately have *simplex-convex*:
 $\forall x \in ?\text{simplex}. \forall y \in ?\text{simplex}. \forall u \geq 0. \forall v \geq 0.$
 $u + v = 1 \longrightarrow u *_R x + v *_R y \in ?\text{simplex}$
by *simp*
have *entries*:
 $\forall v::(\text{real}^b) \in \text{standard-basis}. \exists b. v\$b = 1 \wedge (\forall c. c \neq b \longrightarrow v\$c = 0)$
unfolding *standard-basis-def*
by *simp*
then obtain *one* $:: \text{real}^b \Rightarrow 'b$ **where**
def: $\forall v \in \text{standard-basis}. v\$(\text{one } v) = 1 \wedge (\forall i \neq \text{one } v. v\$i = 0)$
by *metis*
hence $\forall v::(\text{real}^b) \in \text{standard-basis}. \forall b. v\$b = 0 \vee v\$b = 1$
by *metis*
hence *geq-0*:
 $\forall v::(\text{real}^b) \in \text{standard-basis}. \forall b. v\$b \geq 0$
by (*metis dual-order.refl zero-less-one-class.zero-le-one*)
moreover have
 $\forall v::(\text{real}^b) \in \text{standard-basis}.$
 $\text{sum } ((\$) v) \text{ UNIV} = \text{sum } ((\$) v) (\text{UNIV} - \{\text{one } v\}) + v\$(\text{one } v)$
using *def*

by (metis add.commute finite insert-UNIV sum.insert-remove)
 moreover have
 $\forall v \in \text{standard-basis}. \text{sum } ((\$) \ v) \ (\text{UNIV} - \{\text{one } v\}) + v\$ (\text{one } v) = 1$
 using def
 by fastforce
 ultimately have $\text{standard-basis} \subseteq ?\text{simplex}$
 by force
 with simplex-convex have
 $?simplex \in$
 $\{t. (\forall x \in t. \forall y \in t. \forall u \geq 0. \forall v \geq 0. u + v = 1 \longrightarrow u *_R x + v *_R y \in t) \wedge$
 $\text{standard-basis} \subseteq t\}$
 by blast
 thus
 $\bigcap \{t. (\forall x \in t. \forall y \in t. \forall u \geq 0. \forall v \geq 0. u + v = 1 \longrightarrow u *_R x + v *_R y \in t) \wedge$
 $\text{standard-basis} \subseteq t\} \subseteq ?\text{simplex}$
 by blast
 next
 show
 $\{v. (\forall i. 0 \leq v \$ i) \wedge \text{sum } ((\$) \ v) \ \text{UNIV} = 1\} \subseteq$
 $\bigcap \{t. (\forall x \in t. \forall y \in t. \forall u \geq 0. \forall v \geq 0. u + v = 1 \longrightarrow u *_R x + v *_R y \in t) \wedge$
 $(\text{standard-basis} :: (\text{real}^b \text{ set})) \subseteq t\}$
 proof
 fix
 $x :: \text{real}^b$ and
 $X :: (\text{real}^b) \text{ set}$
 assume
 $\text{convex-comb}: x \in \{v. (\forall i. 0 \leq v \$ i) \wedge \text{sum } ((\$) \ v) \ \text{UNIV} = 1\}$
 have
 $\forall v \in \text{standard-basis}. (\exists b. v\$b = 1 \wedge (\forall b' \neq b. v\$b' = 0))$
 using standard-basis-def
 by auto
 then obtain $\text{ind} :: (\text{real}^b) \Rightarrow 'b$ where
 $\text{ind-1}: \forall v \in \text{standard-basis}. v\$ (\text{ind } v) = 1$ and
 $\text{ind-0}: \forall v \in \text{standard-basis}. \forall b \neq (\text{ind } v). v\$b = 0$
 by metis
 hence
 $\forall v \ v'. v \in \text{standard-basis} \wedge v' \in \text{standard-basis} \longrightarrow \text{ind } v = \text{ind } v' \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall b. v\$b = v'\$b)$
 by metis
 hence inj-ind :
 $\forall v \ v'. v \in \text{standard-basis} \wedge v' \in \text{standard-basis} \longrightarrow \text{ind } v = \text{ind } v' \longrightarrow v = v'$
 by (simp add: vec-eq-iff)
 hence $\text{inj-on ind standard-basis}$
 unfolding inj-on-def
 by blast
 hence $\text{bij: bij-betw ind standard-basis (ind ` standard-basis)}$
 unfolding bij-betw-def
 by blast
 obtain $\text{ind-inv} :: 'b \Rightarrow (\text{real}^b)$ where

$\text{char-vec: } \text{ind-inv} = (\lambda b. (\chi i. \text{if } i = b \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0))$
 by *blast*
 hence $\text{in-basis: } \forall b. \text{ind-inv } b \in \text{standard-basis}$
 unfolding *standard-basis-def*
 by *simp*
 moreover with *this* have $\text{ind-inv-map: } \forall b. \text{ind } (\text{ind-inv } b) = b$
 using *char-vec ind-0 ind-1*
 by (*metis axis-def axis-nth zero-neq-one*)
 ultimately have $\forall b. \exists v. v \in \text{standard-basis} \wedge b = \text{ind } v$
 by *auto*
 hence $\text{univ: } \text{ind } ` \text{standard-basis} = \text{UNIV}$
 by *blast*
 have $\text{bij-inv: } \text{bij-betw } \text{ind-inv } \text{UNIV } \text{standard-basis}$
 using *ind-inv-map bij bij-betw-byWitness*[of *UNIV ind ind-inv standard-basis*]
 by (*simp add: in-basis inj-ind image-subset-iff*)
 obtain $f :: (\text{real}^b) \Rightarrow \text{real}$ where
 $\text{def: } f = (\lambda v. \text{if } v \in \text{standard-basis} \text{ then } x\$(\text{ind } v) \text{ else } 0)$
 by *blast*
 hence
 $\text{sum } f \text{ standard-basis} = \text{sum } (\lambda v. x\$(\text{ind } v)) \text{ standard-basis}$
 by *simp*
 also have
 $\text{sum } (\lambda v. x\$(\text{ind } v)) \text{ standard-basis} = \text{sum } ((\$) x \circ \text{ind}) \text{ standard-basis}$
 using *comp-def*
 by *auto*
 also have
 $\dots = \text{sum } ((\$) x) (\text{ind } ` \text{standard-basis})$
 using *sum-comp*[of *ind standard-basis ind ` standard-basis (\$) x*] *bij*
 by *simp*
 also have $\dots = \text{sum } ((\$) x) \text{UNIV}$
 using *univ*
 by *simp*
 finally have $\text{sum } f \text{ standard-basis} = \text{sum } ((\$) x) \text{UNIV}$
 using *univ*
 by *simp*
 hence $\text{sum-1: } \text{sum } f \text{ standard-basis} = 1$
 using *convex-comb*
 by *simp*
 have $\text{nonneg: } \forall v \in \text{standard-basis}. f v \geq 0$
 using *def convex-comb*
 by *simp*
 have $\forall v \in \text{standard-basis}. \forall i. v\$i = (\text{if } i = \text{ind } v \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$
 using *ind-1 ind-0*
 by *fastforce*
 hence $\forall v \in \text{standard-basis}. \forall i. x\$(\text{ind } v) * v\$i =$
 $(\text{if } i = \text{ind } v \text{ then } x\$(\text{ind } v) \text{ else } 0)$
 by *auto*
 hence $\forall v \in \text{standard-basis}. (\chi i. x\$(\text{ind } v) * v\$i) =$
 $(\chi i. \text{if } i = \text{ind } v \text{ then } x\$(\text{ind } v) \text{ else } 0)$

by *fastforce*
moreover have
 $\forall v. (x\$(ind\ v)) *_R v = (\chi\ i. x\$(ind\ v) * v\$i)$
 by (*simp add: scaleR-vec-def*)
ultimately have
 $\forall v \in standard-basis.$
 $(x\$(ind\ v)) *_R v = (\chi\ i. \text{if } i = ind\ v \text{ then } x\$(ind\ v) \text{ else } 0)$
 by *simp*
moreover have
 $sum\ (\lambda x. (f\ x) *_R x)\ standard-basis = sum\ (\lambda v. (x\$(ind\ v)) *_R v)\ standard-basis$
 using *def*
 by *simp*
ultimately have
 $sum\ (\lambda x. (f\ x) *_R x)\ standard-basis =$
 $sum\ (\lambda v. (\chi\ i. \text{if } i = ind\ v \text{ then } x\$(ind\ v) \text{ else } 0))\ standard-basis$
 by *force*
also have ... =
 $sum\ (\lambda b. (\chi\ i. \text{if } i = ind\ (ind-inv\ b) \text{ then } x\$(ind\ (ind-inv\ b)) \text{ else } 0))\ UNIV$
 using *bij-inv*
 $sum-comp[of\ ind-inv\ UNIV\ standard-basis$
 $\lambda v. (\chi\ i. \text{if } i = ind\ v \text{ then } x\$(ind\ v) \text{ else } 0)]$
 unfolding *comp-def*
 by *blast*
also have ... = $sum\ (\lambda b. (\chi\ i. \text{if } i = b \text{ then } x\$b \text{ else } 0))\ UNIV$
 using *ind-inv-map*
 by *presburger*
finally have $sum\ (\lambda x. (f\ x) *_R x)\ standard-basis =$
 $sum\ (\lambda b. (\chi\ i. \text{if } i = b \text{ then } x\$b \text{ else } 0))\ UNIV$
 by *simp*
moreover have
 $\forall b. (sum\ (\lambda b. (\chi\ i. \text{if } i = b \text{ then } x\$b \text{ else } 0))\ UNIV)\$b =$
 $sum\ (\lambda b'. (\chi\ i. \text{if } i = b' \text{ then } x\$b' \text{ else } 0)\$b)\ UNIV$
 using *sum-component*
 by *blast*
moreover have
 $\forall b. (\lambda b'. (\chi\ i. \text{if } i = b' \text{ then } x\$b' \text{ else } 0)\$b) =$
 $(\lambda b'. \text{if } b' = b \text{ then } x\$b \text{ else } 0)$
 by *force*
moreover have
 $\forall b. sum\ (\lambda b'. \text{if } b' = b \text{ then } x\$b \text{ else } 0)\ UNIV = x\b
 sorry
ultimately have
 $\forall b. (sum\ (\lambda x. (f\ x) *_R x)\ standard-basis)\$b = x\$b$
 by *simp*
hence $sum\ (\lambda x. (f\ x) *_R x)\ standard-basis = x$
 by (*simp add: vec-eq-iff*)
hence
 $\exists f.:(real^\wedge b) \Rightarrow real.$
 $sum\ f\ standard-basis = 1 \wedge$

```

      (∀ x ∈ standard-basis. f x ≥ 0) ∧
      x = sum (λ x. (f x) *R x) standard-basis
    using sum-1 nonneg
    by blast
  hence
    x ∈ convex hull (standard-basis::((real^b) set))
    using convex-combination-in-convex-hull[of standard-basis]
    by blast
  thus
    x ∈ ⋂ {t. (∀ x ∈ t. ∀ y ∈ t. ∀ u ≥ 0. ∀ v ≥ 0. u + v = 1 ⟶ u *R x + v *R y
    ∈ t) ∧
      (standard-basis::((real^b) set)) ⊆ t}
    unfolding convex-def hull-def
    by blast
  qed
qed

lemma anon-hom-equiv-rel:
  fixes
    X :: ('a, 'v) Election set
  assumes
    ∀ E ∈ X. finite (votrs-ℰ E)
  shows
    equiv X (anon-homR X)
proof (unfold equiv-def, safe)
  show refl-on X (anon-homR X)
    unfolding refl-on-def anon-homR.simps
    by blast
  next
    show sym (anon-homR X)
      unfolding sym-def anon-homR.simps
      by (simp add: sup-commute)
  next
    show Relation.trans (anon-homR X)
    proof
      fix
        E :: ('a, 'v) Election and
        E' :: ('a, 'v) Election and
        F :: ('a, 'v) Election
      assume
        rel: (E, E') ∈ anon-homR X and
        rel': (E', F) ∈ anon-homR X
      hence fin: finite (votrs-ℰ E')
        unfolding anon-homR.simps
        using assms
        by fastforce
      from rel rel' have eq-fraction:
        (∀ r. vote-fraction r E = vote-fraction r E') ∧
        (∀ r. vote-fraction r E' = vote-fraction r F)

```

```

    unfolding anon-hom $\mathcal{R}$ .simps
  by blast
hence
   $\forall r. \text{vote-fraction } r \ E = \text{vote-fraction } r \ F$ 
  by metis
thus  $(E, F) \in \text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{R}} \ X$ 
  using rel rel' snd-conv
  unfolding anon-hom $\mathcal{R}$ .simps
  by blast
qed
qed

```

Simplex Bijection

We assume all our elections to consist of a fixed finite alternative set of size n and finite subsets of an infinite voter universe. Profiles are linear orders on the alternatives. Then we can work on the standard simplex of dimension $n!$ instead of the equivalence classes of the equivalence relation for anonymous + homogeneous voting rules (anon hom): Each dimension corresponds to one possible linear order on the alternative set, i.e. the possible preferences. Each equivalence class of elections corresponds to a vector whose entries denote the fraction of voters per election in that class who vote the respective corresponding preference.

theorem *anon-hom \mathcal{Q} -iso:*

```

assumes
  infinite (UNIV::('v set))
shows
  bij-betw (anon-hom-cls-to-vec::('a::finite, 'v) Election set  $\Rightarrow$  rat $\sim$ ('a Ordered-Preference))

    (anon-hom $\mathcal{Q}$  (UNIV::'a set)) (vote-simplex :: (rat $\sim$ ('a Ordered-Preference))
set)
proof (unfold bij-betw-def inj-on-def, standard, standard, standard, standard)
fix
  X :: ('a, 'v) Election set and
  Y :: ('a, 'v) Election set
assume
  cls-X:  $X \in \text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ \text{UNIV}$  and
  cls-Y:  $Y \in \text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ \text{UNIV}$  and
  eq-vec:  $\text{anon-hom-cls-to-vec } X = \text{anon-hom-cls-to-vec } Y$ 
have equiv:
  equiv (fixed-alt-elections UNIV) (anon-hom $\mathcal{R}$  (fixed-alt-elections UNIV))
using anon-hom-equiv-rel
unfolding fixed-alt-elections.simps
by (metis (no-types, lifting) CollectD IntD1 inf-commute)
hence subset:
   $X \neq \{\} \wedge X \subseteq \text{fixed-alt-elections UNIV} \wedge Y \neq \{\} \wedge Y \subseteq \text{fixed-alt-elections UNIV}$ 
using cls-X cls-Y in-quotient-imp-non-empty in-quotient-imp-subset

```

```

    unfolding anon-homQ.simps
  by blast
then obtain  $E :: ('a, 'v) Election$  and  $E' :: ('a, 'v) Election$  where
   $E \in X$  and  $E' \in Y$ 
  by blast
hence cls- $X$ - $E$ :
  anon-homR (fixed-alt-elections UNIV) “ $\{E\} = X$ ”
  using cls- $X$  equiv
  unfolding anon-homQ.simps
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) Image-singleton-iff equiv-class-eq quotientE)
hence
   $\forall F \in X. (E, F) \in anon-hom_R (fixed-alt-elections UNIV)$ 
  unfolding Image-def
  by blast
hence
   $\forall F \in X. \forall p. vote-fraction\ p\ F = vote-fraction\ p\ E$ 
  unfolding anon-homR.simps
  by fastforce
hence  $\forall p. vote-fraction\ p\ X = \{vote-fraction\ p\ E\}$ 
  using  $\langle E \in X \rangle$ 
  by blast
hence  $\forall p. vote-fraction_Q\ p\ X = vote-fraction\ p\ E$ 
  unfolding vote-fractionQ.simps  $\pi_Q$ .simps singleton-set.simps
  using is-singletonI is-singleton-altdef singleton-set.simps
    singleton-set-def-if-card-one the-elem-eq
  by metis
hence eq- $X$ - $E$ :  $\forall p. (anon-hom-cls-to-vec\ X)\$p = vote-fraction\ (ord2pref\ p)\ E$ 
  unfolding anon-hom-cls-to-vec.simps
  by (metis vec-lambda-beta)
have cls- $Y$ - $E'$ :
  anon-homR (fixed-alt-elections UNIV) “ $\{E'\} = Y$ ”
  using cls- $Y$  equiv  $\langle E' \in Y \rangle$ 
  unfolding anon-homQ.simps
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) Image-singleton-iff equiv-class-eq quotientE)
hence
   $\forall F \in Y. (E', F) \in anon-hom_R (fixed-alt-elections UNIV)$ 
  unfolding Image-def
  by blast
hence
   $\forall F \in Y. \forall p. vote-fraction\ p\ E' = vote-fraction\ p\ F$ 
  unfolding anon-homR.simps
  by blast
hence  $\forall p. vote-fraction\ p\ Y = \{vote-fraction\ p\ E'\}$ 
  using  $\langle E' \in Y \rangle$ 
  by fastforce
hence  $\forall p. vote-fraction_Q\ p\ Y = vote-fraction\ p\ E'$ 
  unfolding vote-fractionQ.simps  $\pi_Q$ .simps singleton-set.simps
  using is-singletonI is-singleton-altdef singleton-set.simps
    singleton-set-def-if-card-one the-elem-eq

```

by *metis*
hence *eq-Y-E'*: $\forall p. (\text{anon-hom-cls-to-vec } Y) \$p = \text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) E'$
 unfolding *anon-hom-cls-to-vec.simps*
 by (*metis vec-lambda-beta*)
with *eq-X-E eq-vec* **have**
 $\forall p. \text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) E = \text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) E'$
 by *metis*
hence *eq-ord*:
 $\forall p. \text{linear-order } p \longrightarrow \text{vote-fraction } p E = \text{vote-fraction } p E'$
 by (*metis mem-Collect-eq pref2ord-inverse*)
have
 $(\forall v. v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} E \longrightarrow \text{linear-order } (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} E v)) \wedge$
 $(\forall v. v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} E' \longrightarrow \text{linear-order } (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} E' v))$
 using *subset* $\langle E \in X \rangle \langle E' \in Y \rangle$
 unfolding *fixed-alt-elections.simps valid-elections-def profile-def*
 by *fastforce*
hence $\forall p. \neg (\text{linear-order } p) \longrightarrow \text{vote-count } p E = 0 \wedge \text{vote-count } p E' = 0$
 unfolding *vote-count.simps*
 using *card.infinite card-0-eq*
 by *auto*
hence $\forall p. \neg (\text{linear-order } p) \longrightarrow \text{vote-fraction } p E = 0 \wedge \text{vote-fraction } p E' =$
 0
 unfolding *vote-fraction.simps*
 using *int-ops(1) rat-number-collapse(1)*
 by *presburger*
with *eq-ord* **have** $\forall p. \text{vote-fraction } p E = \text{vote-fraction } p E'$
 by *metis*
hence $(E, E') \in \text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{fixed-alt-elections } \text{UNIV})$
 using *subset* $\langle E \in X \rangle \langle E' \in Y \rangle$ *fixed-alt-elections.simps*
 unfolding *anon-hom_{\mathcal{R}}.simps*
 by *blast*
thus $X = Y$
 using *cls-X-E cls-Y-E' equiv*
 by (*metis (no-types, lifting) equiv-class-eq*)
next
show
 $(\text{anon-hom-cls-to-vec}::('a, 'v) \text{ Election set} \Rightarrow \text{rat}^{\sim}('a \text{ Ordered-Preference}))$
 $\quad \text{'anon-hom}_{\mathcal{Q}} \text{ UNIV} = \text{vote-simplex}$
proof (*unfold vote-simplex-def, safe*)
fix
 $X :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election set}$
assume
 $\text{quot: } X \in \text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{Q}} \text{ UNIV and}$
 not-simplex:
 $\text{anon-hom-cls-to-vec } X \notin \text{rat-vec-set } (\text{convex hull standard-basis})$
have *equiv-rel*:
 $\text{equiv } (\text{fixed-alt-elections } \text{UNIV}) (\text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{fixed-alt-elections } \text{UNIV}))$
 using *anon-hom-equiv-rel[of fixed-alt-elections UNIV] fixed-alt-elections.simps*
 by *blast*

then obtain $E :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}$ **where**
 $E \in X$ **and**
 $X = \text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{R}} \text{ (fixed-alt-elections UNIV) } \text{ `` } \{E\}$
using *quot*
by *(metis anon-hom_Q.simps equiv-Eps-in proj-Eps proj-def)*
hence *rel: $\forall E' \in X. (E, E') \in \text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{R}} \text{ (fixed-alt-elections UNIV)}$*
by *blast*
hence $\forall p. \forall E' \in X. \text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) \ E' = \text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) \ E$
 E
unfolding *anon-hom_R.simps*
by *fastforce*
hence $\forall p. \text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) \ `X = \{\text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) \ E\}$
using *$\langle E \in X \rangle$*
by *blast*
hence *repr:*
 $\forall p. \text{vote-fraction}_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) \ X = \text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) \ E$
unfolding *vote-fraction_Q.simps $\pi_{\mathcal{Q}}$.simps singleton-set.simps*
by *(metis is-singletonI is-singleton-altdef singleton-set.simps
singleton-set-def-if-card-one the-elem-eq)*
have $\forall p. \text{vote-count } (\text{ord2pref } p) \ E \geq 0$
unfolding *vote-count.simps*
by *blast*
hence
 $\forall p. \text{card } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E) > 0 \longrightarrow$
 $\text{Fract } (\text{int } (\text{vote-count } (\text{ord2pref } p) \ E)) \ (\text{int } (\text{card } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E))) \geq 0$
using *zero-le-Fract-iff*
by *auto*
hence
 $\forall p. \text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) \ E \geq 0$
unfolding *vote-fraction.simps*
by *(simp add: card-gt-0-iff)*
hence
 $\forall p. \text{vote-fraction}_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) \ X \geq 0$
using *repr*
by *simp*
hence *geq-0:*
 $\forall p. \text{real-of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) \ X) \geq 0$
using *zero-le-of-rat-iff*
by *blast*
have
 $(\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E = \{\} \vee \text{infinite } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E)) \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall p. \text{real-of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction } p \ E) = 0)$
by *simp*
hence *zero-case:*
 $(\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E = \{\} \vee \text{infinite } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E)) \longrightarrow$
 $(\chi \ p. \text{real-of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) \ X)) = 0$
using *repr*
by *(simp add: zero-vec-def)*
have *finite (UNIV::('a \times 'a) set)*

by *simp*
 hence *eq-card*:
 $\text{finite } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \longrightarrow$
 $\text{card } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E) = \text{sum } (\lambda p. \text{vote-count } p \ E) \ \text{UNIV}$
 using *vote-count-sum*
 by *metis*
 hence
 $(\text{finite } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \wedge \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E \neq \{\}) \longrightarrow$
 $\text{sum } (\lambda p. \text{vote-fraction } p \ E) \ \text{UNIV} =$
 $\text{sum } (\lambda p. \text{Fract } (\text{vote-count } p \ E) \ (\text{sum } (\lambda p. \text{vote-count } p \ E) \ \text{UNIV})) \ \text{UNIV}$
 unfolding *vote-fraction.simps*
 by *presburger*
 moreover have *gt-0*:
 $(\text{finite } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \wedge \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E \neq \{\}) \longrightarrow \text{sum } (\lambda p. \text{vote-count } p \ E) \ \text{UNIV} >$
 0
 using *eq-card*
 by *fastforce*
 moreover with *this* have
 $\text{sum } (\lambda p. \text{Fract } (\text{vote-count } p \ E) \ (\text{sum } (\lambda p. \text{vote-count } p \ E) \ \text{UNIV})) \ \text{UNIV} =$
 $\text{Fract } (\text{sum } (\lambda p. (\text{vote-count } p \ E)) \ \text{UNIV}) \ (\text{sum } (\lambda p. \text{vote-count } p \ E) \ \text{UNIV})$
 sorry
 moreover have
 $\text{Fract } (\text{sum } (\lambda p. (\text{vote-count } p \ E)) \ \text{UNIV}) \ (\text{sum } (\lambda p. \text{vote-count } p \ E) \ \text{UNIV})$
 = 1
 using *gt-0 One-rat-def*
 $\text{Fract-coprime}[of$
 $\text{sum } (\lambda p. (\text{vote-count } p \ E)) \ \text{UNIV} \ \text{sum } (\lambda p. (\text{vote-count } p \ E)) \ \text{UNIV}]$
 sorry
 ultimately have *sum-1*:
 $(\text{finite } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \wedge \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E \neq \{\}) \longrightarrow$
 $\text{sum } (\lambda p. \text{vote-fraction } p \ E) \ \text{UNIV} = 1$
 by *presburger*
 have *inv-of-rat*: $\forall x \in \mathbb{Q}. \text{the-inv of-rat } (\text{of-rat } x) = x$
 unfolding *Rats-def*
 using *the-inv-f-f*
 by (*metis injI of-rat-eq-iff*)
 have $E \in \text{fixed-alt-elections UNIV}$
 using *quot* $\langle E \in X \rangle \text{equiv-class-eq-iff equiv-rel rel}$
 unfolding *anon-hom_Q.simps quotient-def*
 by *meson*
 hence $\forall v \in \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E. \text{linear-order } (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E \ v)$
 unfolding *fixed-alt-elections.simps valid-elections-def profile-def*
 by *auto*
 hence $\forall p. (\neg \text{linear-order } p) \longrightarrow \text{vote-count } p \ E = 0$
 unfolding *vote-count.simps*
 using *card.infinite card-0-eq*
 by *auto*
 hence $\forall p. (\neg \text{linear-order } p) \longrightarrow \text{vote-fraction } p \ E = 0$
 unfolding *vote-fraction.simps*

by (simp add: rat-number-collapse)
 hence

$$\text{sum } (\lambda p. \text{vote-fraction } p \ E) \ UNIV =$$

$$\text{sum } (\lambda p. \text{vote-fraction } p \ E) \ \{p. \text{linear-order } p\}$$
 sorry

 moreover have bij-betw ord2pref UNIV {p. linear-order p}
 using inj-def ord2pref-inject range-ord2pref
 unfolding bij-betw-def
 by blast
 ultimately have

$$\text{sum } (\lambda p. \text{vote-fraction } p \ E) \ UNIV =$$

$$\text{sum } (\lambda p. \text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) \ E) \ UNIV$$
 using comp-def[of $\lambda p. \text{vote-fraction } p \ E \ \text{ord2pref}$]

$$\text{sum-comp}[of \ \text{ord2pref} \ UNIV \ \{p. \text{linear-order } p\} \ \lambda p. \text{vote-fraction } p \ E]$$
 by auto
 hence (finite (votrs- \mathcal{E} E) \wedge votrs- \mathcal{E} E $\neq \{\}$) \longrightarrow

$$\text{sum } (\lambda p. \text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) \ E) \ UNIV = 1$$
 using sum-1
 by presburger
 hence

$$(\text{finite } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \wedge \text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E \neq \{\}) \longrightarrow$$

$$\text{sum } (\lambda p. \text{real-of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) \ E)) \ UNIV = 1$$
 by (metis of-rat-1 of-rat-sum)
 with zero-case have

$$(\chi \ p. \text{real-of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathbb{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) \ X)) = 0 \vee$$

$$\text{sum } (\lambda p. \text{real-of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathbb{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) \ X)) \ UNIV = 1$$
 using repr
 by force
 hence

$$(\chi \ p. \text{real-of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathbb{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) \ X)) = 0 \vee$$

$$((\forall p. (\chi \ p. \text{real-of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathbb{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) \ X)) \$ p \geq 0) \wedge$$

$$\text{sum } ((\$) (\chi \ p. \text{real-of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathbb{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) \ X))) \ UNIV = 1)$$
 using geq-0
 by force
 moreover have rat-entries:

$$\forall p. (\chi \ p. \text{real-of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathbb{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) \ X)) \$ p \in \mathbb{Q}$$
 by simp
 ultimately have simplex-el:

$$(\chi \ p. \text{real-of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathbb{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) \ X)) \in$$

$$\{x \in \text{insert } 0 \ (\text{convex hull standard-basis}). \forall i. x \$ i \in \mathbb{Q}\}$$
 using standard-simplex-rewrite
 by blast
 moreover have

$$\forall p. (\text{rat-vec } (\chi \ p. \text{of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathbb{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) \ X))) \$ p$$

$$= \text{the-inv real-of-rat } ((\chi \ p. \text{real-of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathbb{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) \ X)) \$ p)$$
 unfolding rat-vec.simps
 using vec-lambda-beta
 by blast

moreover have
 $\forall p. \text{the-inv real-of-rat } ((\chi p. \text{real-of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) X)) \$ p)$
 $=$
 $\text{the-inv real-of-rat } (\text{real-of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) X))$
by simp
moreover have
 $\forall p. \text{the-inv real-of-rat } (\text{real-of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) X)) =$
 $\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) X$
using *rat-entries inv-of-rat Rats-eq-range-nat-to-rat-surj surj-nat-to-rat-surj*
by blast
moreover have
 $\forall p. \text{vote-fraction}_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) X = (\text{anon-hom-cls-to-vec } X) \$ p$
by simp
ultimately have
 $\forall p. (\text{rat-vec } (\chi p. \text{of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) X))) \$ p =$
 $(\text{anon-hom-cls-to-vec } X) \$ p$
by metis
hence
 $\text{rat-vec } (\chi p. \text{of-rat } (\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p) X)) = \text{anon-hom-cls-to-vec } X$
by simp
with simplex-el have
 $\exists x \in \{x \in \text{insert } 0 (\text{convex hull standard-basis}). \forall i. x \$ i \in \mathbb{Q}\}.$
 $\text{rat-vec } x = \text{anon-hom-cls-to-vec } X$
by blast
with not-simplex have
 $\text{rat-vec } 0 = \text{anon-hom-cls-to-vec } X$
using *image-iff insertE mem-Collect-eq rat-vec-set.simps*
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting)*)
thus $\text{anon-hom-cls-to-vec } X = 0$
unfolding *rat-vec.simps*
using *Rats-0 inv-of-rat of-rat-0 vec-lambda-unique zero-index*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
next
have non-empty:
 $(UNIV, \{\}, \lambda v. \{\}) \in$
 $(\text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{fixed-alt-elections } UNIV)) \text{ “ } \{(UNIV, \{\}, \lambda v. \{\})\}$
unfolding *anon-hom_R.simps Image-def fixed-alt-elections.simps*
 $\text{valid-elections-def profile-def}$
by simp
have in-els:
 $(UNIV, \{\}, \lambda v. \{\}) \in \text{fixed-alt-elections } UNIV$
unfolding *fixed-alt-elections.simps valid-elections-def profile-def*
by auto
have
 $\forall r::('a \text{ Preference-Relation}). \text{vote-fraction } r (UNIV, \{\}, (\lambda v. \{\})) = 0$
by simp
hence
 $\forall E \in (\text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{fixed-alt-elections } UNIV)) \text{ “ } \{(UNIV, \{\}, (\lambda v. \{\}))\}.$
 $\forall r. \text{vote-fraction } r E = 0$

unfolding $\text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{R}}.\text{sims}$
by auto
moreover have
 $\forall E \in (\text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{fixed-alt-elections } UNIV)) \text{ “ } \{(UNIV, \{\}, (\lambda v. \{\}))\}.$
 $\text{finite } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E)$
unfolding $\text{Image-def anon-hom}_{\mathcal{R}}.\text{sims}$
by fastforce
ultimately have all-zero :
 $\forall r. \forall E \in (\text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{fixed-alt-elections } UNIV)) \text{ “ } \{(UNIV, \{\}, (\lambda v. \{\}))\}.$
 $\text{vote-fraction } r \ E = 0$
by blast
hence
 $\forall r. 0 \in$
 $\text{vote-fraction } r \text{ “}$
 $(\text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{fixed-alt-elections } UNIV)) \text{ “ } \{(UNIV, \{\}, (\lambda v. \{\}))\}$
using non-empty
by $(\text{metis } (\text{mono-tags, lifting}) \text{ image-eqI})$
hence
 $\forall r. \{0\} \subseteq \text{vote-fraction } r \text{ “}$
 $(\text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{fixed-alt-elections } UNIV)) \text{ “ } \{(UNIV, \{\}, \lambda v. \{\})\}$
by blast
moreover have
 $\forall r. \{0\} \supseteq \text{vote-fraction } r \text{ “}$
 $(\text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{fixed-alt-elections } UNIV)) \text{ “ } \{(UNIV, \{\}, \lambda v. \{\})\}$
using all-zero
by blast
ultimately have
 $\forall r. \{0\} = \text{vote-fraction } r \text{ “}$
 $(\text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{fixed-alt-elections } UNIV)) \text{ “ } \{(UNIV, \{\}, \lambda v. \{\})\}$
by blast
with this have
 $\forall r.$
 $\text{card } (\text{vote-fraction } r \text{ “}$
 $(\text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{fixed-alt-elections } UNIV)) \text{ “ } \{(UNIV, \{\}, \lambda v. \{\})\}) = 1 \wedge$
 $0 = \text{the-inv } (\lambda x. \{x\})$
 $(\text{vote-fraction } r \text{ “}$
 $(\text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{fixed-alt-elections } UNIV)) \text{ “ } \{(UNIV, \{\}, \lambda v. \{\})\})$
by $(\text{metis is-singletonI is-singleton-altdef singleton-insert-inj-eq'}$
 $\text{singleton-set.sims singleton-set-def-if-card-one})$
hence
 $\forall r. 0 = \text{vote-fraction}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ r$
 $(\text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{fixed-alt-elections } UNIV)) \text{ “ } \{(UNIV, \{\}, \lambda v. \{\})\}$
unfolding $\text{vote-fraction}_{\mathcal{Q}}.\text{sims } \pi_{\mathcal{Q}}.\text{sims singleton-set.sims}$
by metis
hence
 $\forall r::('a \text{ Ordered-Preference}). 0 = \text{vote-fraction}_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } r)$
 $(\text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{fixed-alt-elections } UNIV)) \text{ “ } \{(UNIV, \{\}, \lambda v. \{\})\}$
by metis
hence

```

     $\forall r :: ('a \text{ Ordered-Preference}).$ 
      (anon-hom-cls-to-vec
        ((anon-homR (fixed-alt-elections UNIV) “ {(UNIV, {},  $\lambda v. \{ \}$ )})))$r = 0
    unfolding anon-hom-cls-to-vec.simps
    using vec-lambda-beta
    by (metis (no-types))
  moreover have
     $\forall r :: ('a \text{ Ordered-Preference}). 0\$r = 0$ 
    by simp
  ultimately have
     $\forall r :: ('a \text{ Ordered-Preference}).$ 
      (anon-hom-cls-to-vec
        ((anon-homR (fixed-alt-elections UNIV) “ {(UNIV, {},  $\lambda v. \{ \}$ )})))$r =
        (0 :: (rat^ ('a Ordered-Preference)))$r
      by (metis (no-types))
    hence
      (anon-hom-cls-to-vec
        ((anon-homR (fixed-alt-elections UNIV) “ {(UNIV, {},  $\lambda v. \{ \}$ )}))) =
        (0 :: (rat^ ('a Ordered-Preference)))
      using vec-eq-iff
      by blast
    moreover have
      ((anon-homR (fixed-alt-elections UNIV) “ {(UNIV, {},  $\lambda v. \{ \}$ )})  $\in$  anon-homQ
    UNIV
      unfolding anon-homQ.simps quotient-def
      using in-els
      by blast
    ultimately show
      (0 :: (rat^ ('a Ordered-Preference)))  $\in$  anon-hom-cls-to-vec ‘ anon-homQ UNIV
      by (metis (no-types) image-eqI)
  next
  fix
     $x :: \text{rat}^{\wedge} ('a \text{ Ordered-Preference})$ 
  assume
     $x \in \text{rat-vec-set } (\text{convex hull standard-basis})$ 
  then obtain  $x' :: \text{real}^{\wedge} ('a \text{ Ordered-Preference})$  where
    conv:  $x' \in \text{convex hull standard-basis}$  and
    inv:  $\forall p. x\$p = \text{the-inv real-of-rat } (x'\$p)$  and rat:  $\forall p. x'\$p \in \mathbb{Q}$ 
  unfolding rat-vec-set.simps rat-vec.simps
  by force
  hence convex:  $(\forall p. 0 \leq x'\$p) \wedge \text{sum } ((\$) x') \text{ UNIV} = 1$ 
  using standard-simplex-rewrite
  by blast
  have map:  $\forall p. x'\$p = \text{real-of-rat } (x\$p)$ 
  using inv rat the-inv-f-f[of real-of-rat]
  unfolding Rats-def
  by (metis f-the-inv-into-f inj-onCI of-rat-eq-iff)
  have  $\forall p. \exists \text{fract}. x\$p = \text{Fract } (\text{fst fract}) (\text{snd fract}) \wedge 0 < \text{snd fract}$ 
  using quotient-of-unique

```

by blast
 then obtain $\text{fraction}' :: 'a \text{ Ordered-Preference} \Rightarrow (\text{int} \times \text{int})$ where
 $\forall p. x\$p = \text{Fract } (\text{fst } (\text{fraction}' p)) (\text{snd } (\text{fraction}' p))$ and
 $\text{pos}': \forall p. 0 < \text{snd } (\text{fraction}' p)$
 by metis
 with map have fract' :
 $\forall p. x' \$ p = (\text{fst } (\text{fraction}' p)) / (\text{snd } (\text{fraction}' p))$
 by (metis div-by-0 divide-less-cancel of-int-0 of-int-pos of-rat-rat)
 with convex have $\forall p. \text{fst } (\text{fraction}' p) / (\text{snd } (\text{fraction}' p)) \geq 0$
 by fastforce
 with pos' have $\forall p. \text{fst } (\text{fraction}' p) \geq 0$
 by (meson not-less of-int-0-le-iff of-int-pos zero-le-divide-iff)
 with pos' have $\forall p. \text{fst } (\text{fraction}' p) \in \mathbb{N} \wedge \text{snd } (\text{fraction}' p) \in \mathbb{N}$
 by (metis nonneg-int-cases of-nat-in-Nats order-less-le)
 hence $\forall p. \exists (n::\text{nat}) m::\text{nat}. \text{fst } (\text{fraction}' p) = n \wedge \text{snd } (\text{fraction}' p) = m$
 by (meson Nats-cases)
 hence
 $\forall p. \exists m::\text{nat} \times \text{nat}. \text{fst } (\text{fraction}' p) = \text{int } (\text{fst } m) \wedge$
 $\text{snd } (\text{fraction}' p) = \text{int } (\text{snd } m)$
 by simp
 then obtain $\text{fraction} :: 'a \text{ Ordered-Preference} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \times \text{nat})$ where
 $\text{eq}: \forall p. \text{fst } (\text{fraction}' p) = \text{int } (\text{fst } (\text{fraction } p)) \wedge$
 $\text{snd } (\text{fraction}' p) = \text{int } (\text{snd } (\text{fraction } p))$
 by metis
 with fract' have fract :
 $\forall p. x' \$ p = (\text{fst } (\text{fraction } p)) / (\text{snd } (\text{fraction } p))$
 by simp
 from $\text{eq pos}'$ have pos :
 $\forall p. 0 < \text{snd } (\text{fraction } p)$
 by simp
 let $?prod = \text{prod } (\lambda p. \text{snd } (\text{fraction } p)) \text{ UNIV}$
 have $\text{fin}: \text{finite } (\text{UNIV}::('a \text{ Ordered-Preference set}))$
 by simp
 hence $\text{finite } \{\text{snd } (\text{fraction } p) \mid p. p \in \text{UNIV}\}$
 using $\text{finite-Atleast-Atmost-nat}$
 by fastforce
 have $\text{pos-prod}: ?prod > 0$
 using pos
 by (simp add: prod-pos)
 hence
 $\forall p. ?prod \bmod (\text{snd } (\text{fraction } p)) = 0$
 using $\text{pos finite UNIV-I bits-mod-0 mod-prod-eq mod-self prod-zero}$
 by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
 hence $\text{div}: \forall p. (?prod \text{ div } (\text{snd } (\text{fraction } p))) * (\text{snd } (\text{fraction } p)) = ?prod$
 by (metis add.commute add-0 div-mult-mod-eq)
 obtain $\text{voter-amount} :: 'a \text{ Ordered-Preference} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ where
 $\text{def: voter-amount} = (\lambda p. (\text{fst } (\text{fraction } p)) * (?prod \text{ div } (\text{snd } (\text{fraction } p))))$
 by blast
 have rewrite-div :

$\forall p. ?prod \text{ div } (snd \text{ (fraction } p)) = ?prod / (snd \text{ (fraction } p))$
using *div less-imp-of-nat-less nonzero-mult-div-cancel-right*
of-nat-less-0-iff of-nat-mult pos
by *metis*
hence
 $sum \text{ voter-amount } UNIV =$
 $sum (\lambda p. (fst \text{ (fraction } p)) * (?prod / (snd \text{ (fraction } p)))) UNIV$
using *def*
by *simp*
hence
 $sum \text{ voter-amount } UNIV =$
 $?prod * (sum (\lambda p. (fst \text{ (fraction } p)) / (snd \text{ (fraction } p)))) UNIV$
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) mult-of-nat-commute sum.cong times-divide-eq-right*
vector-space-over-itself.scale-sum-right)
hence *rewrite-sum:*
 $sum \text{ voter-amount } UNIV = ?prod$
using *fract convex*
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) mult-cancel-left1 of-nat-eq-iff sum.cong*)
obtain $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **where**
finite V and
 $card \text{ } V = sum \text{ voter-amount } UNIV$
by (*meson assms infinite-arbitrarily-large*)
then obtain $part :: 'a \text{ Ordered-Preference} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ set}$ **where**
partition: $V = \bigcup \{part \text{ } p \mid p. p \in UNIV\}$ and
disjoint: $\forall p \text{ } p'. p \neq p' \longrightarrow part \text{ } p \cap part \text{ } p' = \{\}$ and
card: $\forall p. card \text{ (part } p) = voter\text{-amount } p$
using *obtain-partition[of V UNIV voter-amount]*
by *auto*
hence *exactly-one-prof: $\forall v \in V. \exists !p. v \in part \text{ } p$*
by *blast*
then obtain $prof' :: 'v \Rightarrow 'a \text{ Ordered-Preference}$ **where**
maps-to-prof': $\forall v \in V. v \in part \text{ (prof' } v)$
by *metis*
then obtain $prof :: 'v \Rightarrow 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **where**
prof: $prof = (\lambda v. \text{if } v \in V \text{ then } ord2pref \text{ (prof' } v) \text{ else } \{\})$
by *blast*
hence *election: $(UNIV, V, prof) \in fixed\text{-alt-elections } UNIV$*
unfolding *fixed-alt-elections.simps valid-elections-def profile-def*
using *finite V ord2pref*
by *auto*
have $\forall p. \{v \in V. prof' \text{ } v = p\} = \{v \in V. v \in part \text{ } p\}$
using *maps-to-prof' exactly-one-prof*
by *fastforce*
hence $\forall p. \{v \in V. prof' \text{ } v = p\} = part \text{ } p$
using *partition*
by *fastforce*
hence $\forall p. card \{v \in V. prof' \text{ } v = p\} = voter\text{-amount } p$
using *card*
by *presburger*

moreover have
 $\forall p. \forall v. (v \in \{v \in V. \text{prof}' v = p\}) = (v \in \{v \in V. \text{prof } v = (\text{ord2pref } p)\})$
using *prof*
by (*simp add: ord2pref-inject*)
ultimately have $\forall p. \text{card } \{v \in V. \text{prof } v = (\text{ord2pref } p)\} = \text{voter-amount } p$
by *simp*
hence $\forall p. : 'a \text{ Ordered-Preference.}$
 $\text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) (\text{UNIV}, V, \text{prof}) = \text{Fract } (\text{voter-amount } p) (\text{card } V)$
using $\langle \text{finite } V \rangle \text{ vote-fraction.simps}$
by (*simp add: rat-number-collapse*)
moreover have
 $\forall p. \text{Fract } (\text{voter-amount } p) (\text{card } V) = (\text{voter-amount } p) / (\text{card } V)$
by (*simp add: Fract-of-int-quotient of-rat-divide*)
moreover have
 $\forall p. (\text{voter-amount } p) / (\text{card } V) =$
 $((\text{fst } (\text{fraction } p)) * (?prod \text{ div } (\text{snd } (\text{fraction } p)))) / ?prod$
using *card def* $\langle \text{card } V = \text{sum voter-amount UNIV} \rangle \text{ rewrite-sum}$
by *presburger*
moreover have
 $\forall p. ((\text{fst } (\text{fraction } p)) * (?prod \text{ div } (\text{snd } (\text{fraction } p)))) / ?prod =$
 $(\text{fst } (\text{fraction } p)) / (\text{snd } (\text{fraction } p))$
using *rewrite-div pos-prod*
by *auto*
 — The percentages of voters voting for each linearly ordered profile in (UNIV, V, prof) equal the entries of the given vector.
ultimately have *eq-vec*:
 $\forall p. : 'a \text{ Ordered-Preference.}$
 $\text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) (\text{UNIV}, V, \text{prof}) = x' \$ p$
using *fract*
by *presburger*
moreover have
 $\forall E \in \text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{fixed-alt-elections UNIV}) \text{ “ } \{(UNIV, V, \text{prof})\}.$
 $\forall p. \text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) E = \text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) (\text{UNIV}, V, \text{prof})$
unfolding *anon-hom_R.simps*
by *fastforce*
ultimately have
 $\forall E \in \text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{fixed-alt-elections UNIV}) \text{ “ } \{(UNIV, V, \text{prof})\}.$
 $\forall p. \text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) E = x' \$ p$
by *simp*
hence
 $\forall E \in \text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{fixed-alt-elections UNIV}) \text{ “ } \{(UNIV, V, \text{prof})\}.$
 $\forall p. \text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) E = x' \$ p$
using *eq-vec*
by *metis*
hence
 $\forall p. \forall E \in \text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{fixed-alt-elections UNIV}) \text{ “ } \{(UNIV, V, \text{prof})\}.$
 $\text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) E = x' \$ p$

by blast
 moreover have
 $\forall x \in \mathbb{Q}. \forall y. \text{complex-of-rat } y = \text{complex-of-real } x \longrightarrow y = \text{the-inv real-of-rat } x$
 unfolding Rats-def
 sorry
 ultimately have all-eq-vec:
 $\forall p. \forall E \in \text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{fixed-alt-elections } UNIV) \text{ “ } \{(UNIV, V, \text{prof})\}.$
 $\text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) E = x\p
 using rat inv
 by metis
 moreover have
 $(UNIV, V, \text{prof}) \in \text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{fixed-alt-elections } UNIV) \text{ “ } \{(UNIV, V, \text{prof})\}$
 using anon-hom $_{\mathcal{R}}$.simps election
 by blast
 ultimately have
 $\forall p. \text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) \text{ “ } \{(UNIV, V, \text{prof})\} \supseteq \{x\$p\}$
 $\text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{fixed-alt-elections } UNIV) \text{ “ } \{(UNIV, V, \text{prof})\} \supseteq \{x\$p\}$
 using image-insert insert-iff mk-disjoint-insert singletonD subsetI
 by (metis (no-types, lifting))
 with all-eq-vec have
 $\forall p. \text{vote-fraction } (\text{ord2pref } p) \text{ “ } \{(UNIV, V, \text{prof})\} = \{x\$p\}$
 $\text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{fixed-alt-elections } UNIV) \text{ “ } \{(UNIV, V, \text{prof})\} = \{x\$p\}$
 by blast
 hence $\forall p. \text{vote-fraction}_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{ord2pref } p)$
 $(\text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{fixed-alt-elections } UNIV) \text{ “ } \{(UNIV, V, \text{prof})\}) = x\p
 unfolding vote-fraction $_{\mathcal{Q}}$.simps $\pi_{\mathcal{Q}}$.simps singleton-set.simps
 using is-singletonI is-singleton-altdef
 $\text{singleton-inject singleton-set.simps singleton-set-def-if-card-one}$
 by metis
 hence
 $x = \text{anon-hom-cls-to-vec } (\text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{fixed-alt-elections } UNIV) \text{ “ } \{(UNIV, V, \text{prof})\})$
 unfolding anon-hom-cls-to-vec.simps
 using vec-lambda-unique
 by (metis (no-types, lifting))
 moreover have
 $(\text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{R}} (\text{fixed-alt-elections } UNIV)) \text{ “ } \{(UNIV, V, \text{prof})\} \in \text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{Q}} UNIV$
 unfolding anon-hom $_{\mathcal{Q}}$.simps quotient-def
 using election
 by blast
 ultimately show
 $x \in (\text{anon-hom-cls-to-vec}::('a, 'v) \text{ Election set} \Rightarrow \text{rat}^{\sim}('a \text{ Ordered-Preference}))$
 ,
 $\text{anon-hom}_{\mathcal{Q}} UNIV$
 by blast
 qed

qed

end

Chapter 3

Component Types

3.1 Electoral Module

```
theory Electoral-Module
  imports Social-Choice-Types/Profile
           Social-Choice-Types/Result-Interpretations
           HOL-Combinatorics.List-Permutation
           Social-Choice-Types/Property-Interpretations
begin
```

Electoral modules are the principal component type of the composable modules voting framework, as they are a generalization of voting rules in the sense of social choice functions. These are only the types used for electoral modules. Further restrictions are encompassed by the electoral-module predicate.

An electoral module does not need to make final decisions for all alternatives, but can instead defer the decision for some or all of them to other modules. Hence, electoral modules partition the received (possibly empty) set of alternatives into elected, rejected and deferred alternatives. In particular, any of those sets, e.g., the set of winning (elected) alternatives, may also be left empty, as long as they collectively still hold all the received alternatives. Just like a voting rule, an electoral module also receives a profile which holds the voters preferences, which, unlike a voting rule, consider only the (sub-)set of alternatives that the module receives.

3.1.1 Definition

An electoral module maps an election to a result. To enable currying, the Election type is not used here because that would require tuples.

```
type-synonym ('a, 'v, 'r) Electoral-Module = 'v set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Profile
 $\Rightarrow$  'r
```

abbreviation $\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} ::$

$(\text{'v set} \Rightarrow \text{'a set} \Rightarrow (\text{'a, 'v}) \text{ Profile} \Rightarrow \text{'r}) \Rightarrow ((\text{'a, 'v}) \text{ Election} \Rightarrow \text{'r})$ **where**
 $\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} m \equiv (\lambda E. m (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} E) (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} E) (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} E))$

The next three functions take an electoral module and turn it into a function only outputting the elect, reject, or defer set respectively.

abbreviation $\text{elect} ::$

$(\text{'a, 'v, 'r Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow \text{'v set} \Rightarrow \text{'a set} \Rightarrow (\text{'a, 'v}) \text{ Profile} \Rightarrow \text{'r set}$
where

$\text{elect } m \text{ } V \text{ } A \text{ } p \equiv \text{elect-r } (m \text{ } V \text{ } A \text{ } p)$

abbreviation $\text{reject} ::$

$(\text{'a, 'v, 'r Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow \text{'v set} \Rightarrow \text{'a set} \Rightarrow (\text{'a, 'v}) \text{ Profile} \Rightarrow \text{'r set}$
where

$\text{reject } m \text{ } V \text{ } A \text{ } p \equiv \text{reject-r } (m \text{ } V \text{ } A \text{ } p)$

abbreviation $\text{defer} ::$

$(\text{'a, 'v, 'r Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow \text{'v set} \Rightarrow \text{'a set} \Rightarrow (\text{'a, 'v}) \text{ Profile} \Rightarrow \text{'r set}$
where

$\text{defer } m \text{ } V \text{ } A \text{ } p \equiv \text{defer-r } (m \text{ } V \text{ } A \text{ } p)$

3.1.2 Auxiliary Definitions

Electoral modules partition a given set of alternatives A into a set of elected alternatives e , a set of rejected alternatives r , and a set of deferred alternatives d , using a profile. e , r , and d partition A . Electoral modules can be used as voting rules. They can also be composed in multiple structures to create more complex electoral modules.

definition (*in result*) $\text{electoral-module} :: (\text{'a, 'v, ('r Result)}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

$\text{electoral-module } m \equiv \forall A \text{ } V \text{ } p. \text{ profile } V \text{ } A \text{ } p \longrightarrow \text{well-formed } A \text{ } (m \text{ } V \text{ } A \text{ } p)$

definition $\text{only-voters-vote} :: (\text{'a, 'v, ('r Result)}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\text{only-voters-vote } m \equiv \forall A \text{ } V \text{ } p \text{ } p'. (\forall v \in V. p \text{ } v = p' \text{ } v) \longrightarrow m \text{ } V \text{ } A \text{ } p = m \text{ } V \text{ } A \text{ } p'$

lemma (*in result*) electoral-modI :

fixes $m :: (\text{'a, 'v, ('r Result)}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$

assumes $\bigwedge A \text{ } V \text{ } p. \text{ profile } V \text{ } A \text{ } p \Longrightarrow \text{well-formed } A \text{ } (m \text{ } V \text{ } A \text{ } p)$

shows $\text{electoral-module } m$

unfolding $\text{electoral-module-def}$

using assms

by simp

3.1.3 Properties

We only require voting rules to behave a specific way on admissible elections, i.e. elections that are valid profiles (= votes are linear orders on the alternatives). Note that we do not assume finiteness of voter or alternative sets by default.

Anonymity

An electoral module is anonymous iff the result is invariant under renamings of voters, i.e. any permutation of the voter set that does not change the preferences leads to an identical result.

definition (in result) *anonymity* :: ('a, 'v, ('r Result)) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow bool **where**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{anonymity } m &\equiv \\ &\text{electoral-module } m \wedge \\ &(\forall A V p \pi :: ('v \Rightarrow 'v). \\ &\quad \text{bij } \pi \longrightarrow (\text{let } (A', V', q) = (\text{rename } \pi (A, V, p)) \text{ in} \\ &\quad \text{finite-profile } V A p \wedge \text{finite-profile } V' A' q \longrightarrow m V A p = m V' A' q)) \end{aligned}$$

Anonymity can alternatively be described as invariance under the voter permutation group acting on elections via the rename function.

fun *anonymity'* ::

('a, 'v) Election set \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'r) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow bool **where**
anonymity' X m = satisfies (fun_E m) (Invariance (anonymity_R X))

Homogeneity

A voting rule is homogeneous if copying an election does not change the result. For ordered voter types and finite elections, we use the notion of copying ballot lists to define copying an election. The more general definition of homogeneity for unordered voter types already implies anonymity.

fun (in result) *homogeneity* ::

('a, 'v) Election set \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, ('r Result)) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow bool **where**
homogeneity X m = satisfies (fun_E m) (Invariance (homogeneity_R X))

— This does not require any specific behaviour on infinite voter sets... Might make sense to extend the definition to that case somehow.

fun *homogeneity'* ::

('a, 'v::linorder) Election set \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'b Result) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow bool **where**
homogeneity' X m = satisfies (fun_E m) (Invariance (homogeneity_R' X))

lemma (in result) *hom-imp-anon*:

fixes

X :: ('a, 'v) Election set

assumes

```

    homogeneity  $X$   $m$  and
     $\forall E \in X. \text{finite } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E)$ 
shows
    anonymity'  $X$   $m$ 
proof (unfold anonymity'.simps satisfies.simps, standard, standard, standard)
fix
     $E :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}$  and
     $E' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}$ 
assume
     $\text{rel}: (E, E') \in \text{anonymity}_{\mathcal{R}} \ X$ 
hence  $E \in X \wedge E' \in X$ 
    unfolding anonymity $_{\mathcal{R}}$ .simps rel-induced-by-action.simps
    by blast
moreover with this have  $\text{fin}: \text{finite } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \wedge \text{finite } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E')$ 
    using assms
    by simp
moreover with this have  $\forall r. \text{vote-count } r \ E = 1 * (\text{vote-count } r \ E')$ 
    using anon-rel-vote-count rel
    by (metis mult-1)
moreover with fin have  $\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E = \text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E'$ 
    using anon-rel-vote-count rel
    by blast
ultimately show
     $\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ m \ E = \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ m \ E'$ 
    using assms zero-less-one
    unfolding homogeneity.simps satisfies.simps homogeneity $_{\mathcal{R}}$ .simps
    by blast
qed

```

Neutrality

Neutrality is equivariance under consistent renaming of candidates in the candidate set and election results.

```

fun (in result-properties) neutrality ::
  ( $'a, 'v$ ) Election set  $\Rightarrow$  ( $'a, 'v, 'b$  Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  neutrality  $X$   $m$  = satisfies ( $\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ m$ )
  (equivar-ind-by-act (carrier neutrality $_{\mathcal{G}}$ )  $X$  ( $\varphi$ -neutr  $X$ ) (result-action  $\psi$ -neutr))

```

3.1.4 Reversal Symmetry of Social Welfare Rules

A social welfare rule is reversal symmetric if reversing all voters' preferences reverses the result rankings as well.

```

definition reversal-symmetry ::
  ( $'a, 'v$ ) Election set  $\Rightarrow$  ( $'a, 'v, 'a$  rel Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  reversal-symmetry  $X$   $m$  = satisfies ( $\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ m$ )
  (equivar-ind-by-act (carrier reversal $_{\mathcal{G}}$ )  $X$  ( $\varphi$ -rev  $X$ ) (result-action  $\psi$ -rev))

```

3.1.5 Social Choice Modules

The following results require electoral modules to return social choice results, i.e. sets of elected, rejected and deferred alternatives. In order to export code, we use the hack provided by Locale-Code.

"defers n" is true for all electoral modules that defer exactly n alternatives, whenever there are n or more alternatives.

definition *defers* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{defers } n \ m \equiv$
 $\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m \wedge$
 $(\forall A \ V \ p. (\text{card } A \geq n \wedge \text{finite } A \wedge \text{profile } V \ A \ p) \longrightarrow \text{card } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) = n)$

"rejects n" is true for all electoral modules that reject exactly n alternatives, whenever there are n or more alternatives.

definition *rejects* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{rejects } n \ m \equiv$
 $\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m \wedge$
 $(\forall A \ V \ p. (\text{card } A \geq n \wedge \text{finite } A \wedge \text{profile } V \ A \ p) \longrightarrow \text{card } (\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p) = n)$

As opposed to "rejects", "eliminates" allows to stop rejecting if no alternatives were to remain.

definition *eliminates* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{eliminates } n \ m \equiv$
 $\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m \wedge$
 $(\forall A \ V \ p. (\text{card } A > n \wedge \text{profile } V \ A \ p) \longrightarrow \text{card } (\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p) = n)$

"elects n" is true for all electoral modules that elect exactly n alternatives, whenever there are n or more alternatives.

definition *elects* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{elects } n \ m \equiv$
 $\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m \wedge$
 $(\forall A \ V \ p. (\text{card } A \geq n \wedge \text{profile } V \ A \ p) \longrightarrow \text{card } (\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p) = n)$

An electoral module is independent of an alternative a iff a's ranking does not influence the outcome.

definition *indep-of-alt* ::
 $('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where
 $\text{indep-of-alt } m \ V \ A \ a \equiv$
 $\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m$
 $\wedge (\forall p \ q. \text{equiv-prof-except-a } V \ A \ p \ q \ a \longrightarrow m \ V \ A \ p = m \ V \ A \ q)$

definition *unique-winner-if-profile-non-empty* :: $('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

unique-winner-if-profile-non-empty $m \equiv$
social-choice-result.electoral-module $m \wedge$
 $(\forall A V p. (A \neq \{\} \wedge V \neq \{\} \wedge \text{profile } V A p) \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists a \in A. m V A p = (\{a\}, A - \{a\}, \{\})))$

3.1.6 Equivalence Definitions

definition *prof-contains-result* :: $('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$

$\Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile} \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

prof-contains-result $m V A p q a \equiv$
social-choice-result.electoral-module $m \wedge$
profile $V A p \wedge \text{profile } V A q \wedge a \in A \wedge$
 $(a \in \text{elect } m V A p \longrightarrow a \in \text{elect } m V A q) \wedge$
 $(a \in \text{reject } m V A p \longrightarrow a \in \text{reject } m V A q) \wedge$
 $(a \in \text{defer } m V A p \longrightarrow a \in \text{defer } m V A q)$

definition *prof-leq-result* :: $('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$
 $\Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile} \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

prof-leq-result $m V A p q a \equiv$
social-choice-result.electoral-module $m \wedge$
profile $V A p \wedge \text{profile } V A q \wedge a \in A \wedge$
 $(a \in \text{reject } m V A p \longrightarrow a \in \text{reject } m V A q) \wedge$
 $(a \in \text{defer } m V A p \longrightarrow a \notin \text{elect } m V A q)$

definition *prof-geq-result* :: $('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$
 $\Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile} \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

prof-geq-result $m V A p q a \equiv$
social-choice-result.electoral-module $m \wedge$
profile $V A p \wedge \text{profile } V A q \wedge a \in A \wedge$
 $(a \in \text{elect } m V A p \longrightarrow a \in \text{elect } m V A q) \wedge$
 $(a \in \text{defer } m V A p \longrightarrow a \notin \text{reject } m V A q)$

definition *mod-contains-result* :: $('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$
 $\Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a$
set

$\Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

mod-contains-result $m n V A p a \equiv$
social-choice-result.electoral-module $m \wedge$
social-choice-result.electoral-module $n \wedge$
profile $V A p \wedge a \in A \wedge$
 $(a \in \text{elect } m V A p \longrightarrow a \in \text{elect } n V A p) \wedge$
 $(a \in \text{reject } m V A p \longrightarrow a \in \text{reject } n V A p) \wedge$
 $(a \in \text{defer } m V A p \longrightarrow a \in \text{defer } n V A p)$

definition *mod-contains-result-sym* :: $('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$
 $\Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a$

set

$\Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
mod-contains-result-sym $m\ n\ V\ A\ p\ a \equiv$
social-choice-result.electoral-module $m \wedge$
social-choice-result.electoral-module $n \wedge$
profile $V\ A\ p \wedge a \in A \wedge$
 $(a \in \text{elect } m\ V\ A\ p \longleftrightarrow a \in \text{elect } n\ V\ A\ p) \wedge$
 $(a \in \text{reject } m\ V\ A\ p \longleftrightarrow a \in \text{reject } n\ V\ A\ p) \wedge$
 $(a \in \text{defer } m\ V\ A\ p \longleftrightarrow a \in \text{defer } n\ V\ A\ p)$

3.1.7 Auxiliary Lemmas

lemma *elect-rej-def-combination:*

fixes

$m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $e :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $r :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $d :: 'a \text{ set}$

assumes

elect $m\ V\ A\ p = e$ **and**
reject $m\ V\ A\ p = r$ **and**
defer $m\ V\ A\ p = d$

shows $m\ V\ A\ p = (e, r, d)$

using *assms*

by *auto*

lemma *par-comp-result-sound:*

fixes

$m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$

assumes

social-choice-result.electoral-module m **and**
profile $V\ A\ p$

shows *well-formed-soc-choice* $A\ (m\ V\ A\ p)$

using *assms*

unfolding *social-choice-result.electoral-module-def*

by *simp*

lemma *result-presv-alts:*

fixes

$m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$

assumes

```

    social-choice-result.electoral-module m and
    profile V A p
shows (elect m V A p)  $\cup$  (reject m V A p)  $\cup$  (defer m V A p) = A
proof (safe)
  fix a :: 'a
  assume a  $\in$  elect m V A p
  moreover have
     $\forall p'. \text{set-equals-partition } A \ p' \longrightarrow$ 
     $(\exists E \ R \ D. p' = (E, R, D) \wedge E \cup R \cup D = A)$ 
    by simp
  moreover have set-equals-partition A (m V A p)
    using assms
    unfolding social-choice-result.electoral-module-def
    by simp
  ultimately show a  $\in$  A
    using UnI1 fstI
    by (metis (no-types))
next
  fix a :: 'a
  assume a  $\in$  reject m V A p
  moreover have
     $\forall p'. \text{set-equals-partition } A \ p' \longrightarrow$ 
     $(\exists E \ R \ D. p' = (E, R, D) \wedge E \cup R \cup D = A)$ 
    by simp
  moreover have set-equals-partition A (m V A p)
    using assms
    unfolding social-choice-result.electoral-module-def
    by simp
  ultimately show a  $\in$  A
    using UnI1 fstI sndI subsetD sup-ge2
    by metis
next
  fix a :: 'a
  assume a  $\in$  defer m V A p
  moreover have
     $\forall p'. \text{set-equals-partition } A \ p' \longrightarrow$ 
     $(\exists E \ R \ D. p' = (E, R, D) \wedge E \cup R \cup D = A)$ 
    by simp
  moreover have set-equals-partition A (m V A p)
    using assms
    unfolding social-choice-result.electoral-module-def
    by simp
  ultimately show a  $\in$  A
    using sndI subsetD sup-ge2
    by metis
next
  fix a :: 'a
  assume
    a  $\in$  A and

```

```

    a ∉ defer m V A p and
    a ∉ reject m V A p
  moreover have
    ∀ p'. set-equals-partition A p' ⟶
      (∃ E R D. p' = (E, R, D) ∧ E ∪ R ∪ D = A)
    by simp
  moreover have set-equals-partition A (m V A p)
    using assms
    unfolding social-choice-result.electoral-module-def
    by simp
  ultimately show a ∈ elect m V A p
    using fst-conv snd-conv Un-iff
    by metis
qed

lemma result-disj:
  fixes
    m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    A :: 'a set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
    V :: 'v set
  assumes
    social-choice-result.electoral-module m and
    profile V A p
  shows
    (elect m V A p) ∩ (reject m V A p) = {} ∧
    (elect m V A p) ∩ (defer m V A p) = {} ∧
    (reject m V A p) ∩ (defer m V A p) = {}
  proof (safe)
    fix a :: 'a
    assume
      a ∈ elect m V A p and
      a ∈ reject m V A p
    moreover have well-formed-soc-choice A (m V A p)
      using assms
      unfolding social-choice-result.electoral-module-def
      by metis
    ultimately show a ∈ {}
      using prod.exhaust-sel DiffE UnCI result-imp-rej
      by (metis (no-types))
  next
    fix a :: 'a
    assume
      elect-a: a ∈ elect m V A p and
      defer-a: a ∈ defer m V A p
    have disj:
      ∀ p'. disjoint3 p' ⟶
        (∃ B C D. p' = (B, C, D) ∧ B ∩ C = {} ∧ B ∩ D = {} ∧ C ∩ D = {})
      by simp

```

```

have well-formed-soc-choice  $A$  ( $m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ )
  using assms
  unfolding social-choice-result.electoral-module-def
  by metis
hence disjoint3 ( $m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ )
  by simp
then obtain
   $e :: 'a$  Result  $\Rightarrow$   $'a$  set and
   $r :: 'a$  Result  $\Rightarrow$   $'a$  set and
   $d :: 'a$  Result  $\Rightarrow$   $'a$  set
  where
   $m$   $V$   $A$   $p$  =
    ( $e$  ( $m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ ),  $r$  ( $m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ ),  $d$  ( $m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ ))  $\wedge$ 
     $e$  ( $m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ )  $\cap$   $r$  ( $m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ ) =  $\{\}$   $\wedge$ 
     $e$  ( $m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ )  $\cap$   $d$  ( $m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ ) =  $\{\}$   $\wedge$ 
     $r$  ( $m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ )  $\cap$   $d$  ( $m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ ) =  $\{\}$ 
  using elect-a defer-a disj
  by metis
hence ( $(\text{elect } m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ )  $\cap$  ( $\text{reject } m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ ) =  $\{\}$ )  $\wedge$ 
  ( $(\text{elect } m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ )  $\cap$  ( $\text{defer } m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ ) =  $\{\}$ )  $\wedge$ 
  ( $(\text{reject } m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ )  $\cap$  ( $\text{defer } m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ ) =  $\{\}$ )
  using eq-snd-iff fstI
  by metis
thus  $a \in \{\}$ 
  using elect-a defer-a disjoint-iff-not-equal
  by (metis (no-types))
next
fix  $a :: 'a$ 
assume
   $a \in \text{reject } m$   $V$   $A$   $p$  and
   $a \in \text{defer } m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ 
moreover have well-formed-soc-choice  $A$  ( $m$   $V$   $A$   $p$ )
  using assms
  unfolding social-choice-result.electoral-module-def
  by simp
ultimately show  $a \in \{\}$ 
  using prod.exhaust-sel DiffE UnCI result-imp-rej
  by (metis (no-types))
qed

lemma elect-in-alts:
fixes
   $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a$  Result) Electoral-Module and
   $A :: 'a$  set and
   $p :: ('a, 'v)$  Profile
assumes
  social-choice-result.electoral-module  $m$  and
  profile  $V$   $A$   $p$ 
shows  $\text{elect } m$   $V$   $A$   $p \subseteq A$ 

```

using *le-supI1* *assms result-presv-alts sup-ge1*
by *metis*

lemma *reject-in-alts*:

fixes
 $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$
assumes
social-choice-result.electoral-module m **and**
profile V A p
shows *reject m V A p* $\subseteq A$
using *le-supI1* *assms result-presv-alts sup-ge2*
by *fastforce*

lemma *defer-in-alts*:

fixes
 $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$
assumes
social-choice-result.electoral-module m **and**
profile V A p
shows *defer m V A p* $\subseteq A$
using *assms result-presv-alts*
by *fastforce*

lemma *def-presv-prof*:

fixes
 $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$
assumes
social-choice-result.electoral-module m **and**
profile V A p
shows *let new-A = defer m V A p in profile V new-A (limit-profile new-A p)*
using *defer-in-alts limit-profile-sound assms*
by *metis*

An electoral module can never reject, defer or elect more than $|A|$ alternatives.

lemma *upper-card-bounds-for-result*:

fixes
 $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$

assumes
social-choice-result.electoral-module m and
profile V A p and finite A
shows
upper-card-bound-for-elect: card (elect m V A p) ≤ card A and
upper-card-bound-for-reject: card (reject m V A p) ≤ card A and
upper-card-bound-for-defer: card (defer m V A p) ≤ card A
proof –
show $\text{card } (\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p) \leq \text{card } A$
by (*meson assms card-mono elect-in-alts*)
next
show $\text{card } (\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p) \leq \text{card } A$
by (*meson assms card-mono reject-in-alts*)
next
show $\text{card } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \leq \text{card } A$
by (*meson assms card-mono defer-in-alts*)
qed

lemma *reject-not-elec-or-def:*

fixes
m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
A :: 'a set and
V :: 'v set and
p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
assumes
social-choice-result.electoral-module m and
profile V A p
shows $\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p = A - (\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p) - (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p)$
proof –
have *well-formed-soc-choice A (m V A p)*
using *assms*
unfolding *social-choice-result.electoral-module-def*
by *simp*
hence $(\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p) \cup (\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p) \cup (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) = A$
using *assms result-presv-alts*
by *simp*
moreover have
 $(\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p) \cap (\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p) = \{\}$ \wedge $(\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p) \cap (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) = \{\}$
using *assms result-disj*
by *blast*
ultimately show *?thesis*
by *blast*
qed

lemma *elec-and-def-not-rej:*

fixes
m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
A :: 'a set and

$V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$
assumes
 $\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m$ **and**
 $\text{profile } V \ A \ p$
shows $\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p = A - (\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p)$
proof –
have $(\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p) \cup (\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p) \cup (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) = A$
using $\text{assms result-presv-alts}$
by blast
moreover have
 $(\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p) \cap (\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p) = \{\}$ \wedge $(\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p) \cap (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) = \{\}$
using assms result-disj
by blast
ultimately show $?thesis$
by blast
qed

lemma $\text{defer-not-elec-or-rej}$:

fixes
 $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$
assumes
 $\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m$ **and**
 $\text{profile } V \ A \ p$
shows $\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p = A - (\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p) - (\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p)$
proof –
have $\text{well-formed-soc-choice } A \ (m \ V \ A \ p)$
using assms
unfolding $\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module-def}$
by simp
hence $(\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p) \cup (\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p) \cup (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) = A$
using $\text{assms result-presv-alts}$
by simp
moreover have
 $(\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p) \cap (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) = \{\}$ \wedge $(\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p) \cap (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) = \{\}$
using assms result-disj
by blast
ultimately show $?thesis$
by blast
qed

lemma $\text{electoral-mod-defer-elem}$:

fixes
 $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**


```

    V :: 'v set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
    a :: 'a
  assumes
    social-choice-result.electoral-module m and
    profile V A p and
    a ∈ A and
    a ∉ elect m V A p and
    a ∉ reject m V A p
  shows a ∈ defer m V A p
  using DiffI assms reject-not-elec-or-def
  by metis

lemma mod-contains-result-comm:
  fixes
    m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    n :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    A :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
    a :: 'a
  assumes mod-contains-result m n V A p a
  shows mod-contains-result n m V A p a
proof (unfold mod-contains-result-def, safe)
  from assms
  show social-choice-result.electoral-module n
    unfolding mod-contains-result-def
    by safe
next
  from assms
  show social-choice-result.electoral-module m
    unfolding mod-contains-result-def
    by safe
next
  from assms
  show profile V A p
    unfolding mod-contains-result-def
    by safe
next
  from assms
  show a ∈ A
    unfolding mod-contains-result-def
    by safe
next
  assume a ∈ elect n V A p
  thus a ∈ elect m V A p
    using IntI assms electoral-mod-defer-elem empty-iff result-disj
    unfolding mod-contains-result-def
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))

```

```

next
  assume  $a \in \text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p$ 
  thus  $a \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
    using IntI assms electoral-mod-defer-elem empty-iff result-disj
    unfolding mod-contains-result-def
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
next
  assume  $a \in \text{defer } n \ V \ A \ p$ 
  thus  $a \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
    using IntI assms electoral-mod-defer-elem empty-iff result-disj
    unfolding mod-contains-result-def
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
qed

```

```

lemma not-rej-imp-elec-or-def:
  fixes
     $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
     $a :: 'a$ 
  assumes
    social-choice-result.electoral-module m and
    profile V A p and
     $a \in A$  and
     $a \notin \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
  shows  $a \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \vee a \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
  using assms electoral-mod-defer-elem
  by metis

```

```

lemma single-elim-imp-red-def-set:
  fixes
     $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
  assumes
    eliminates 1 m and
     $\text{card } A > 1$  and
    profile V A p
  shows  $\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p \subset A$ 
  using Diff-eq-empty-iff Diff-subset card-eq-0-iff defer-in-alts eliminates-def
    eq-iff not-one-le-zero psubsetI reject-not-elec-or-def assms
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))

```

```

lemma eq-alts-in-profs-imp-eq-results:
  fixes
     $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and

```

$V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $q :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$
assumes
 $eq: \forall a \in A. \text{prof-contains-result } m \ V \ A \ p \ q \ a$ **and**
 $mod\text{-}m: \text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m$ **and**
 $\text{prof-}p: \text{profile } V \ A \ p$ **and**
 $\text{prof-}q: \text{profile } V \ A \ q$
shows $m \ V \ A \ p = m \ V \ A \ q$
proof –
have $\text{elected-in-}A: \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ q \subseteq A$
using $\text{elect-in-alts } mod\text{-}m \ \text{prof-}q$
by metis
have $\text{rejected-in-}A: \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ q \subseteq A$
using $\text{reject-in-alts } mod\text{-}m \ \text{prof-}q$
by metis
have $\text{deferred-in-}A: \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q \subseteq A$
using $\text{defer-in-alts } mod\text{-}m \ \text{prof-}q$
by metis
have $\forall a \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p. a \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ q$
using $\text{elect-in-alts } eq \ \text{prof-contains-result-def } mod\text{-}m \ \text{prof-}p \ \text{in-mono}$
by metis
moreover have $\forall a \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ q. a \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p$
proof
fix $a :: 'a$
assume $q\text{-elect-}a: a \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ q$
hence $a \in A$
using $\text{elected-in-}A$
by blast
moreover have $a \notin \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q$
using $q\text{-elect-}a \ \text{prof-}q \ mod\text{-}m \ \text{result-disj}$
by blast
moreover have $a \notin \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ q$
using $q\text{-elect-}a \ \text{disjoint-iff-not-equal } \text{prof-}q \ mod\text{-}m \ \text{result-disj}$
by metis
ultimately show $a \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p$
using $\text{electoral-mod-defer-elem } eq \ \text{prof-contains-result-def}$
by fastforce
qed
moreover have $\forall a \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p. a \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ q$
using $\text{reject-in-alts } eq \ \text{prof-contains-result-def } mod\text{-}m \ \text{prof-}p$
by fastforce
moreover have $\forall a \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ q. a \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$
proof
fix $a :: 'a$
assume $q\text{-rejects-}a: a \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ q$
hence $a \in A$
using $\text{rejected-in-}A$
by blast

```

moreover have a-not-deferred-q:  $a \notin \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q$ 
  using q-rejects-a prof-q mod-m result-disj
  by blast
moreover have a-not-elected-q:  $a \notin \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ q$ 
  using q-rejects-a disjoint-iff-not-equal prof-q mod-m result-disj
  by metis
ultimately show  $a \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
  using electoral-mod-defer-elem eq prof-contains-result-def
  by fastforce
qed
moreover have  $\forall a \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p. a \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q$ 
  using defer-in-alts eq prof-contains-result-def mod-m prof-p
  by fastforce
moreover have  $\forall a \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q. a \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
proof
  fix a :: 'a
  assume q-defers-a:  $a \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q$ 
  moreover have  $a \in A$ 
    using q-defers-a deferred-in-A
    by blast
  moreover have  $a \notin \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ q$ 
    using q-defers-a prof-q mod-m result-disj
    by blast
  moreover have  $a \notin \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ q$ 
    using q-defers-a prof-q disjoint-iff-not-equal mod-m result-disj
    by metis
  ultimately show  $a \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
    using electoral-mod-defer-elem eq prof-contains-result-def
    by fastforce
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
  using prod.collapse subsetI subset-antisym
  by (metis (no-types))
qed

lemma eq-def-and-elect-imp-eq:
fixes
  m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
  n :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  q :: ('a, 'v) Profile
assumes
  mod-m: social-choice-result.electoral-module m and
  mod-n: social-choice-result.electoral-module n and
  fn-p: profile V A p and
  fn-q: profile V A q and
  elec-eq:  $\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p = \text{elect } n \ V \ A \ q$  and

```

```

    def-eq: defer m V A p = defer n V A q
  shows m V A p = n V A q
proof -
  have reject m V A p = A - ((elect m V A p) ∪ (defer m V A p))
    using mod-m fin-p elect-rej-def-combination result-imp-rej
    unfolding social-choice-result.electoral-module-def
    by metis
  moreover have reject n V A q = A - ((elect n V A q) ∪ (defer n V A q))
    using mod-n fin-q elect-rej-def-combination result-imp-rej
    unfolding social-choice-result.electoral-module-def
    by metis
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using elec-eq def-eq prod-eqI
    by metis
qed

```

3.1.8 Non-Blocking

An electoral module is non-blocking iff this module never rejects all alternatives.

definition *non-blocking* :: (*'a*, *'v*, *'a Result*) *Electoral-Module* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
non-blocking *m* \equiv
social-choice-result.electoral-module *m* \wedge
 $(\forall A V p. ((A \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } A \wedge \text{profile } V A p) \longrightarrow \text{reject } m V A p \neq A))$

3.1.9 Electing

An electoral module is electing iff it always elects at least one alternative.

definition *electing* :: (*'a*, *'v*, *'a Result*) *Electoral-Module* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
electing *m* \equiv
social-choice-result.electoral-module *m* \wedge
 $(\forall A V p. (A \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } A \wedge \text{profile } V A p) \longrightarrow \text{elect } m V A p \neq \{\})$

lemma *electing-for-only-alt*:

```

fixes
  m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
assumes
  one-alt: card A = 1 and
  electing: electing m and
  prof: profile V A p
shows elect m V A p = A
proof (safe)
  fix a :: 'a
  assume elect-a: a  $\in$  elect m V A p
  have social-choice-result.electoral-module m  $\longrightarrow$  elect m V A p  $\subseteq$  A

```

```

    using prof elect-in-alts
    by blast
  hence  $\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \subseteq A$ 
    using electing
    unfolding electing-def
    by metis
  thus  $a \in A$ 
    using elect-a
    by blast
next
  fix  $a :: 'a$ 
  assume  $a \in A$ 
  thus  $a \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
    using electing prof one-alt One-nat-def Suc-leI card-seteq card-gt-0-iff
    elect-in-alts infinite-super lessI
    unfolding electing-def
    by metis
qed

theorem electing-imp-non-blocking:
  fixes  $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ 
  assumes electing  $m$ 
  shows non-blocking  $m$ 
proof (unfold non-blocking-def, safe)
  from assms
  show social-choice-result.electoral-module  $m$ 
    unfolding electing-def
    by simp
next
  fix
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
     $a :: 'a$ 
  assume
    profile  $V \ A \ p$  and
    finite  $A$  and
    reject  $m \ V \ A \ p = A$  and
     $a \in A$ 
  moreover have
    social-choice-result.electoral-module  $m \wedge$ 
     $(\forall A \ V \ q. A \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } A \wedge \text{profile } V \ A \ q \longrightarrow \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ q \neq \{\})$ 
    using assms
    unfolding electing-def
    by metis
  ultimately show  $a \in \{\}$ 
    using Diff-cancel Un-empty elec-and-def-not-rej
    by metis
qed

```

3.1.10 Properties

An electoral module is non-electing iff it never elects an alternative.

definition *non-electing* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow bool **where**
non-electing m \equiv
 social-choice-result.electoral-module m \wedge
 $(\forall A V p. \text{profile } V A p \longrightarrow \text{elect } m V A p = \{\})$

lemma *single-rej-decr-def-card*:

fixes
 m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module **and**
 A :: 'a set **and**
 V :: 'v set **and**
 p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
assumes
 rejecting: rejects 1 m **and**
 non-electing: non-electing m **and**
 f-prof: finite-profile V A p
shows card (defer m V A p) = card A - 1
proof -
have no-elect:
 social-choice-result.electoral-module m \wedge $(\forall V A q. \text{profile } V A q \longrightarrow \text{elect } m V A q = \{\})$
using non-electing
unfolding non-electing-def
by (metis (no-types))
hence reject m V A p \subseteq A
using f-prof reject-in-alts
by metis
moreover have A = A - elect m V A p
using no-elect f-prof
by blast
ultimately show ?thesis
using f-prof no-elect rejecting card-Diff-subset card-gt-0-iff
 defer-not-elec-or-rej less-one order-less-imp-le Suc-leI
 bot.extremum-unique card.empty diff-is-0-eq' One-nat-def
unfolding rejects-def
by metis
qed

lemma *single-elim-decr-def-card-2*:

fixes
 m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module **and**
 A :: 'a set **and**
 V :: 'v set **and**
 p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
assumes
 eliminating: eliminates 1 m **and**
 non-electing: non-electing m **and**

```

    not-empty: card A > 1 and
    prof-p: profile V A p
  shows card (defer m V A p) = card A - 1
proof -
  have no-elect:
    social-choice-result.electoral-module m  $\wedge$  ( $\forall A V q. \text{profile } V A q \longrightarrow \text{elect } m$ 
    V A q = {})
  using non-electing
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by (metis (no-types))
  hence reject m V A p  $\subseteq$  A
  using prof-p reject-in-alts
  by metis
  moreover have A = A - elect m V A p
  using no-elect prof-p
  by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis
  using prof-p not-empty no-elect eliminating card-ge-0-finite
    card-Diff-subset defer-not-elec-or-rej zero-less-one
  unfolding eliminates-def
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))
qed

```

An electoral module is defer-deciding iff this module chooses exactly 1 alternative to defer and rejects any other alternative. Note that ‘rejects n-1 m’ can be omitted due to the well-formedness property.

definition *defer-deciding* :: (*'a*, *'v*, *'a Result*) *Electoral-Module* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
defer-deciding m \equiv
social-choice-result.electoral-module m \wedge *non-electing m* \wedge *defers 1 m*

An electoral module decrements iff this module rejects at least one alternative whenever possible ($|A| > 1$).

definition *decrementing* :: (*'a*, *'v*, *'a Result*) *Electoral-Module* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
decrementing m \equiv
social-choice-result.electoral-module m \wedge
 $(\forall A V p. \text{profile } V A p \wedge \text{card } A > 1 \longrightarrow \text{card } (\text{reject } m V A p) \geq 1)$

definition *defer-condorcet-consistency* :: (*'a*, *'v*, *'a Result*) *Electoral-Module* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
defer-condorcet-consistency m \equiv
social-choice-result.electoral-module m \wedge
 $(\forall A V p a. \text{condorcet-winner } V A p a \longrightarrow$
 $(m V A p = (\{\}, A - (\text{defer } m V A p), \{d \in A. \text{condorcet-winner } V A p d\})))$

definition *condorcet-compatibility* :: (*'a*, *'v*, *'a Result*) *Electoral-Module* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
condorcet-compatibility m \equiv
social-choice-result.electoral-module m \wedge
 $(\forall A V p a. \text{condorcet-winner } V A p a \longrightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (a \notin \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \wedge \\
& (\forall b. \neg \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ p \ b \longrightarrow b \notin \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p) \wedge \\
& (a \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \longrightarrow \\
& (\forall b \in A. \neg \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ p \ b \longrightarrow b \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p))))
\end{aligned}$$

An electoral module is defer-monotone iff, when a deferred alternative is lifted, this alternative remains deferred.

definition *defer-monotonicity* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow bool **where**
defer-monotonicity $m \equiv$
social-choice-result.electoral-module $m \wedge$
 $(\forall A \ V \ p \ q \ a. (a \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p \wedge \text{lifted } V \ A \ p \ q \ a) \longrightarrow a \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q)$

An electoral module is defer-lift-invariant iff lifting a deferred alternative does not affect the outcome.

definition *defer-lift-invariance* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow bool **where**
defer-lift-invariance $m \equiv$
social-choice-result.electoral-module $m \wedge$
 $(\forall A \ V \ p \ q \ a. (a \in (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \wedge \text{lifted } V \ A \ p \ q \ a) \longrightarrow m \ V \ A \ p = m \ V \ A \ q)$

Two electoral modules are disjoint-compatible if they only make decisions over disjoint sets of alternatives. Electoral modules reject alternatives for which they make no decision.

definition *disjoint-compatibility* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow
('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow bool **where**
disjoint-compatibility $m \ n \equiv$
social-choice-result.electoral-module $m \wedge$ *social-choice-result.electoral-module* n
 \wedge
 $(\forall V. (\forall A. (\exists B \subseteq A. (\forall a \in B. \text{indep-of-alt } m \ V \ A \ a \wedge (\forall p. \text{profile } V \ A \ p \longrightarrow a \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p)) \wedge (\forall a \in A - B. \text{indep-of-alt } n \ V \ A \ a \wedge (\forall p. \text{profile } V \ A \ p \longrightarrow a \in \text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p))))))$

Lifting an elected alternative a from an invariant-monotone electoral module either does not change the elect set, or makes a the only elected alternative.

definition *invariant-monotonicity* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow bool **where**
invariant-monotonicity $m \equiv$
social-choice-result.electoral-module $m \wedge$
 $(\forall A \ V \ p \ q \ a. (a \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \wedge \text{lifted } V \ A \ p \ q \ a) \longrightarrow (\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ q = \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \vee \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ q = \{a\}))$

Lifting a deferred alternative a from a defer-invariant-monotone electoral module either does not change the defer set, or makes a the only deferred alternative.

definition *defer-invariant-monotonicity* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow bool **where**

defer-invariant-monotonicity $m \equiv$
social-choice-result.electoral-module $m \wedge$ *non-electing* $m \wedge$
 $(\forall A V p q a. (a \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p \wedge \text{lifted } V \ A \ p \ q \ a) \longrightarrow$
 $(\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q = \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p \vee \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q = \{a\}))$

3.1.11 Inference Rules

lemma *ccomp-and-dd-imp-def-only-winner*:

fixes

$m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**

$A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**

$p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**

$a :: 'a$

assumes

ccomp: *condorcet-compatibility* m **and**

dd: *defer-deciding* m **and**

winner: *condorcet-winner* $V \ A \ p \ a$

shows $\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p = \{a\}$

proof (*rule ccontr*)

assume *not-w*: $\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p \neq \{a\}$

have *def-one*: *defers* 1 m

using *dd*

unfolding *defer-deciding-def*

by *metis*

hence *c-win*: *finite-profile* $V \ A \ p \wedge a \in A \wedge (\forall b \in A - \{a\}. \text{wins } V \ a \ p \ b)$

using *winner*

by *auto*

hence *card* ($\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$) = 1

using *Suc-leI card-gt-0-iff def-one equals0D*

unfolding *One-nat-def defers-def*

by *metis*

hence $\exists b \in A. \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p = \{b\}$

using *card-1-singletonE dd defer-in-alts insert-subset c-win*

unfolding *defer-deciding-def*

by *metis*

hence $\exists b \in A. b \neq a \wedge \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p = \{b\}$

using *not-w*

by *metis*

hence *not-in-defer*: $a \notin \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$

by *auto*

have *non-electing* m

using *dd*

unfolding *defer-deciding-def*

by *simp*

hence $a \notin \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p$

using *c-win equals0D*

```

    unfolding non-electing-def
  by simp
hence  $a \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
  using not-in-defer ccomp c-win electoral-mod-defer-elem
  unfolding condorcet-compatibility-def
  by metis
moreover have  $a \notin \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
  using ccomp c-win winner
  unfolding condorcet-compatibility-def
  by simp
ultimately show False
  by simp
qed

theorem ccomp-and-dd-imp-dcc[simp]:
  fixes  $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ 
  assumes
    ccomp: condorcet-compatibility  $m$  and
    dd: defer-deciding  $m$ 
  shows defer-condorcet-consistency  $m$ 
proof (unfold defer-condorcet-consistency-def, simp, safe)
  show social-choice-result.electoral-module  $m$ 
    using dd
    unfolding defer-deciding-def
    by metis
next
fix
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
   $a :: 'a$ 
assume
  prof-A: profile  $V \ A \ p$  and
  a-in-A:  $a \in A$  and
  finA: finite  $A$  and
  finV: finite  $V$  and
  c-winner:
     $\forall x \in A - \{a\}. (finite \ V \longrightarrow card \{v \in V. (a, x) \in p \ v\} < card \{v \in V. (x, a) \in p \ v\})$ 
 $\wedge \text{finite } V$ 
  hence winner: condorcet-winner  $V \ A \ p \ a$ 
    by simp
  hence elect-empty: elect  $m \ V \ A \ p = \{\}$ 
    using dd
    unfolding defer-deciding-def non-electing-def
    by simp
  have cond-winner-a:  $\{a\} = \{c \in A. \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ p \ c\}$ 
    using cond-winner-unique winner
    by metis

```

```

have defer-a: defer m V A p = {a}
  using winner dd ccomp ccomp-and-dd-imp-def-only-winner winner
  by simp
hence reject m V A p = A - defer m V A p
  using Diff-empty dd reject-not-elec-or-def winner elect-empty
  unfolding defer-deciding-def
  by fastforce
hence m V A p = ({}, A - defer m V A p, {a})
  using elect-empty defer-a elect-rej-def-combination
  by metis
hence m V A p = ({}, A - defer m V A p, {c ∈ A. condorcet-winner V A p c})
  using cond-winner-a
  by simp
thus m V A p =
  ( {}, A - defer m V A p,
    {d ∈ A. ∀ x ∈ A - {d}. card {v ∈ V. (d, x) ∈ p v} < card {v ∈ V. (x,
d) ∈ p v}} )
  using finA finV prof-A winner Collect-cong
  by simp
qed

```

If m and n are disjoint compatible, so are n and m.

```

theorem disj-compat-comm[simp]:
  fixes
    m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    n :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
  assumes disjoint-compatibility m n
  shows disjoint-compatibility n m
proof (unfold disjoint-compatibility-def, safe)
  show social-choice-result.electoral-module m
    using assms
    unfolding disjoint-compatibility-def
    by simp
next
  show social-choice-result.electoral-module n
    using assms
    unfolding disjoint-compatibility-def
    by simp
next
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set
obtain B where
  B ⊆ A ∧
  (∀ a ∈ B.
    indep-of-alt m V A a ∧ (∀ p. profile V A p ⟶ a ∈ reject m V A p)) ∧
  (∀ a ∈ A - B.
    indep-of-alt n V A a ∧ (∀ p. profile V A p ⟶ a ∈ reject n V A p))
  using assms

```

unfolding *disjoint-compatibility-def*
by *metis*
hence
 $\exists B \subseteq A.$
 $(\forall a \in A - B.$
 $\text{indep-of-alt } n \ V \ A \ a \wedge (\forall p. \text{profile } V \ A \ p \longrightarrow a \in \text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p)) \wedge$
 $(\forall a \in B.$
 $\text{indep-of-alt } m \ V \ A \ a \wedge (\forall p. \text{profile } V \ A \ p \longrightarrow a \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p))$
by *auto*
hence $\exists B \subseteq A.$
 $(\forall a \in A - B.$
 $\text{indep-of-alt } n \ V \ A \ a \wedge (\forall p. \text{profile } V \ A \ p \longrightarrow a \in \text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p)) \wedge$
 $(\forall a \in A - (A - B).$
 $\text{indep-of-alt } m \ V \ A \ a \wedge (\forall p. \text{profile } V \ A \ p \longrightarrow a \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p))$
using *double-diff order-refl*
by *metis*
thus $\exists B \subseteq A.$
 $(\forall a \in B.$
 $\text{indep-of-alt } n \ V \ A \ a \wedge (\forall p. \text{profile } V \ A \ p \longrightarrow a \in \text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p)) \wedge$
 $(\forall a \in A - B.$
 $\text{indep-of-alt } m \ V \ A \ a \wedge (\forall p. \text{profile } V \ A \ p \longrightarrow a \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p))$
by *fastforce*
qed

Every electoral module which is defer-lift-invariant is also defer-monotone.

theorem *dl-inv-imp-def-mono[simp]*:
fixes $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$
assumes *defer-lift-invariance* m
shows *defer-monotonicity* m
using *assms*
unfolding *defer-monotonicity-def defer-lift-invariance-def*
by *metis*

3.1.12 Social Choice Properties

Condorcet Consistency

definition *condorcet-consistency* $:: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where

condorcet-consistency $m \equiv$
 $\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m \wedge$
 $(\forall A \ V \ p \ a. \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ p \ a \longrightarrow$
 $(m \ V \ A \ p = (\{e \in A. \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ p \ e\}, A - (\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p), \{\})))$

lemma *condorcet-consistency'*:
fixes $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$
shows *condorcet-consistency* $m =$
 $(\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m \wedge$
 $(\forall A \ V \ p \ a. \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ p \ a \longrightarrow$
 $(m \ V \ A \ p = (\{a\}, A - (\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p), \{\}))))$

```

proof (safe)
  assume condorcet-consistency m
  thus social-choice-result.electoral-module m
    unfolding condorcet-consistency-def
    by metis
next
  fix
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
     $a :: 'a$ 
  assume
    condorcet-consistency m and
    condorcet-winner V A p a
  thus  $m \ V \ A \ p = (\{a\}, A - \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p, \{\})$ 
    using cond-winner-unique
    unfolding condorcet-consistency-def
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
next
  assume
    social-choice-result.electoral-module m and
     $\forall A \ V \ p \ a. \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ p \ a \longrightarrow m \ V \ A \ p = (\{a\}, A - \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p, \{\})$ 
  moreover have
     $\forall A \ V \ p \ a. \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ p \ (a::'a) \longrightarrow$ 
     $\{b \in A. \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ p \ b\} = \{a\}$ 
    using cond-winner-unique
    by (metis (full-types))
  ultimately show condorcet-consistency m
    unfolding condorcet-consistency-def
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
qed

lemma condorcet-consistency'':
  fixes  $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ 
  shows condorcet-consistency m =
    (social-choice-result.electoral-module m  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\forall A \ V \ p \ a. \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ p \ a \longrightarrow m \ V \ A \ p = (\{a\}, A - \{a\}, \{\})$ )))
proof (simp only: condorcet-consistency', safe)
  fix
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
     $a :: 'a$ 
  assume
    e-mod: social-choice-result.electoral-module m and
    cc:  $\forall A \ V \ p \ a'. \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ p \ a' \longrightarrow$ 
     $m \ V \ A \ p = (\{a'\}, A - \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p, \{\})$  and

```

```

    c-win: condorcet-winner V A p a
  show m V A p = ({a}, A - {a}, {})
    using cc c-win fst-conv
    by metis
next
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  a :: 'a
assume
  e-mod: social-choice-result.electoral-module m and
  cc:  $\forall A V p a'. \text{condorcet-winner } V A p a' \longrightarrow m V A p = (\{a'\}, A - \{a'\}, \{\})$ 
}) and
  c-win: condorcet-winner V A p a
  show m V A p = ({a}, A - elect m V A p, {})
    using cc c-win fst-conv
    by metis
qed

```

(Weak) Monotonicity

An electoral module is monotone iff when an elected alternative is lifted, this alternative remains elected.

definition *monotonicity* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow bool **where**
monotonicity m \equiv
 social-choice-result.electoral-module m \wedge
 ($\forall A V p q a. a \in \text{elect } m V A p \wedge \text{lifted } V A p q a \longrightarrow a \in \text{elect } m V A q$)

end

3.2 Electoral Modules on Election Quotients

```

theory Quotient-Modules
  imports Election-Quotients
    ../Electoral-Module
begin

lemma invariance-is-congruence:
  fixes
    m :: ('a, 'v, 'r) Electoral-Module and
    r :: ('a, 'v) Election rel
  shows
    (satisfies (funE m) (Invariance r)) = (funE m respects r)
  unfolding satisfies.simps congruent-def
  by blast

```

lemma *invariance-is-congruence'*:

```

fixes
   $f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$  and
   $r :: 'x \text{ rel}$ 
shows
   $(\text{satisfies } f \text{ (Invariance } r)) = (f \text{ respects } r)$ 
unfolding  $\text{satisfies.simps congruent-def}$ 
by  $\text{blast}$ 

theorem  $\text{pass-to-election-quotient}$ :
fixes
   $m :: ('a, 'v, 'r) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
   $r :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election rel}$  and
   $X :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election set}$ 
assumes
   $\text{equiv } X \text{ } r$  and
   $\text{satisfies (fun}_{\mathcal{E}} m) (\text{Invariance } r)$ 
shows
   $\forall A \in X // r. \forall E \in A. \pi_Q (\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} m) A = \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} m E$ 
using  $\text{invariance-is-congruence pass-to-quotient assms}$ 
by  $\text{blast}$ 

end

```

3.3 Consensus

```

theory  $\text{Consensus}$ 
imports  $\text{HOL-Combinatorics.List-Permutation}$ 
           $\text{Social-Choice-Types/Profile}$ 
           $\text{Social-Choice-Types/Property-Interpretations}$ 
begin

```

An election consisting of a set of alternatives and preferential votes for each voter (a profile) is a consensus if it has an undisputed winner reflecting a certain concept of fairness in the society.

3.3.1 Definition

```

type-synonym  $('a, 'v) \text{ Consensus} = ('a, 'v) \text{ Election} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 

```

3.3.2 Consensus Conditions

Nonempty alternative set.

```

fun  $\text{nonempty-set}_{\mathcal{C}} :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Consensus} \text{ where}$ 
   $\text{nonempty-set}_{\mathcal{C}} (A, V, p) = (A \neq \{\})$ 

```


Nonempty profile, i.e. nonempty voter set. Note that this is also true if $p \ v =$ for all voters v in V .

fun *nonempty-profile_C* :: ('a, 'v) Consensus **where**
nonempty-profile_C (A, V, p) = (V ≠ {})

Equal top ranked alternatives.

fun *equal-top_C'* :: 'a ⇒ ('a, 'v) Consensus **where**
equal-top_C' a (A, V, p) = (a ∈ A ∧ (∀ v ∈ V. above (p v) a = {a}))

fun *equal-top_C* :: ('a, 'v) Consensus **where**
equal-top_C c = (∃ a. *equal-top_C'* a c)

Equal votes.

fun *equal-vote_C'* :: 'a Preference-Relation ⇒ ('a, 'v) Consensus **where**
equal-vote_C' r (A, V, p) = (∀ v ∈ V. (p v) = r)

fun *equal-vote_C* :: ('a, 'v) Consensus **where**
equal-vote_C c = (∃ r. *equal-vote_C'* r c)

Unanimity condition.

fun *unanimity_C* :: ('a, 'v) Consensus **where**
unanimity_C c = (*nonempty-set_C* c ∧ *nonempty-profile_C* c ∧ *equal-top_C* c)

Strong unanimity condition.

fun *strong-unanimity_C* :: ('a, 'v) Consensus **where**
strong-unanimity_C c = (*nonempty-set_C* c ∧ *nonempty-profile_C* c ∧ *equal-vote_C* c)

3.3.3 Properties

definition *consensus-anonymity* :: ('a, 'v) Consensus ⇒ bool **where**
consensus-anonymity c ≡
 (∀ A V p π::('v ⇒ 'v).
 bij π ⟶
 (let (A', V', q) = (rename π (A, V, p)) in
 profile V A p ⟶ profile V' A' q
 ⟶ c (A, V, p) ⟶ c (A', V', q)))

fun *consensus-neutrality* :: ('a, 'v) Election set ⇒ ('a, 'v) Consensus ⇒ bool **where**
consensus-neutrality X c = satisfies c (Invariance (neutrality_R X))

3.3.4 Auxiliary Lemmas

lemma *cons-anon-conj*:

fixes

c1 :: ('a, 'v) Consensus **and**

c2 :: ('a, 'v) Consensus

assumes

anon1: *consensus-anonymity* *c1* **and**

```

    anon2: consensus-anonymity c2
  shows consensus-anonymity ( $\lambda e. c1\ e \wedge c2\ e$ )
proof (unfold consensus-anonymity-def Let-def, clarify)
  fix
    A :: 'a set and
    A' :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v set and
    V' :: 'v set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
    q :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
     $\pi :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$ 
  assume
    bij: bij  $\pi$  and
    prof: profile V A p and
    renamed: rename  $\pi$  (A, V, p) = (A', V', q) and
    c1: c1 (A, V, p) and
    c2: c2 (A, V, p)
  hence profile V' A' q
    using rename-sound renamed bij fst-conv rename.simps
  by metis
  thus c1 (A', V', q)  $\wedge$  c2 (A', V', q)
    using bij renamed c1 c2 assms prof
  unfolding consensus-anonymity-def
  by auto
qed

theorem cons-conjunction-invariant:
  fixes
     $\mathfrak{C} :: ('a, 'v)$  Consensus set and
    rel :: ('a, 'v) Election rel
  defines
     $C \equiv (\lambda E. (\forall C' \in \mathfrak{C}. C' E))$ 
  assumes
     $\bigwedge C'. C' \in \mathfrak{C} \implies \text{satisfies } C' \text{ (Invariance rel)}$ 
  shows satisfies C (Invariance rel)
proof (unfold satisfies.simps, standard, standard, standard)
  fix
    E :: ('a, 'v) Election and
    E' :: ('a, 'v) Election
  assume
    (E, E')  $\in$  rel
  hence  $\forall C' \in \mathfrak{C}. C' E = C' E'$ 
    using assms
  unfolding satisfies.simps
  by blast
  thus C E = C E'
    unfolding C-def
  by blast
qed

```

lemma *cons-anon-invariant*:

fixes

$c :: ('a, 'v)$ *Consensus* **and**
 $A :: 'a$ *set* **and**
 $A' :: 'a$ *set* **and**
 $V :: 'v$ *set* **and**
 $V' :: 'v$ *set* **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v)$ *Profile* **and**
 $q :: ('a, 'v)$ *Profile* **and**
 $\pi :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$

assumes

anon: *consensus-anonymity* c **and**
bij: *bij* π **and**
prof-p: *profile* $V A p$ **and**
renamed: *rename* $\pi (A, V, p) = (A', V', q)$ **and**
cond-c: $c (A, V, p)$

shows $c (A', V', q)$

proof –

have *profile* $V' A' q$
using *rename-sound* *bij* *renamed* *prof-p*
by *fastforce*

thus *?thesis*
using *anon* *cond-c* *renamed* *rename-finite* *bij* *prof-p*
unfolding *consensus-anonymity-def* *Let-def*
by *auto*

qed

lemma *ex-anon-cons-imp-cons-anonymous*:

fixes

$b :: ('a, 'v)$ *Consensus* **and**
 $b' :: 'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'v)$ *Consensus*

assumes

general-cond-b: $b = (\lambda E. \exists x. b' x E)$ **and**
all-cond-anon: $\forall x. \text{consensus-anonymity } (b' x)$

shows *consensus-anonymity* b

proof (*unfold consensus-anonymity-def Let-def, safe*)

fix

$A :: 'a$ *set* **and**
 $A' :: 'a$ *set* **and**
 $V :: 'v$ *set* **and**
 $V' :: 'v$ *set* **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v)$ *Profile* **and**
 $q :: ('a, 'v)$ *Profile* **and**
 $\pi :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$

assume

bij: *bij* π **and**
cond-b: $b (A, V, p)$ **and**
prof-p: *profile* $V A p$ **and**

renamed: rename $\pi (A, V, p) = (A', V', q)$
have $\exists x. b' x (A, V, p)$
 using *cond-b general-cond-b*
 by *simp*
then obtain $x :: 'b$ **where**
 $b' x (A, V, p)$
 by *blast*
moreover have *consensus-anonymity* $(b' x)$
 using *all-cond-anon*
 by *simp*
moreover have *profile* $V' A' q$
 using *prof-p renamed bij rename-sound*
 by *fastforce*
ultimately have $b' x (A', V', q)$
 using *all-cond-anon bij prof-p renamed*
 unfolding *consensus-anonymity-def*
 by *auto*
hence $\exists x. b' x (A', V', q)$
 by *metis*
thus $b (A', V', q)$
 using *general-cond-b*
 by *simp*
qed

3.3.5 Theorems

Anonymity

lemma *nonempty-set-cons-anonymous: consensus-anonymity nonempty-set_C*
 unfolding *consensus-anonymity-def*
 by *simp*

lemma *nonempty-profile-cons-anonymous: consensus-anonymity nonempty-profile_C*
proof (*unfold consensus-anonymity-def Let-def, clarify*)

fix

$A :: 'a$ **set and**
 $A' :: 'a$ **set and**
 $V :: 'v$ **set and**
 $V' :: 'v$ **set and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v)$ **Profile and**
 $q :: ('a, 'v)$ **Profile and**
 $\pi :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$

assume

bij: bij π **and**
prof-p: profile $V A p$ **and**
renamed: rename $\pi (A, V, p) = (A', V', q)$ **and**
not-empty-p: nonempty-profile_C (A, V, p)

have $\text{card } V = \text{card } V'$

using *renamed bij rename.simps Pair-inject*
 bij-betw-same-card bij-betw-subset top-greatest

```

    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
  thus nonempty-profileC (A', V', q)
    using not-empty-p length-0-conv renamed
    unfolding nonempty-profileC.simps
    by auto
qed

lemma equal-top-cons'-anonymous:
  fixes a :: 'a
  shows consensus-anonymity (equal-topC' a)
proof (unfold consensus-anonymity-def Let-def, clarify)
  fix
    A :: 'a set and
    A' :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v set and
    V' :: 'v set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
    q :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
    π :: 'v ⇒ 'v
  assume
    bij: bij π and
    prof-p: profile V A p and
    renamed: rename π (A, V, p) = (A', V', q) and
    top-cons-a: equal-topC' a (A, V, p)
  have ∀ v' ∈ V'. q v' = p ((the-inv π) v')
    using renamed
    by auto
  moreover have ∀ v' ∈ V'. (the-inv π) v' ∈ V
    using bij renamed rename.simps bij-is-inj
    f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw inj-image-mem-iff
    by fastforce
  moreover have winner: ∀ v ∈ V. above (p v) a = {a}
    using top-cons-a
    by simp
  ultimately have ∀ v' ∈ V'. above (q v') a = {a}
    by simp
  moreover have a ∈ A
    using top-cons-a
    by simp
  ultimately show equal-topC' a (A', V', q)
    using renamed
    unfolding equal-topC'.simps
    by simp
qed

lemma eq-top-cons-anon: consensus-anonymity equal-topC
  using equal-top-cons'-anonymous
    ex-anon-cons-imp-cons-anonymous[of equal-topC equal-topC]
  by fastforce

```

```

lemma eq-vote-cons'-anonymous:
  fixes  $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ 
  shows consensus-anonymity (equal-voteC' r)
proof (unfold consensus-anonymity-def Let-def, clarify)
  fix
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $A' :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $V' :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
     $q :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
     $\pi :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$ 
  assume
    bij: bij  $\pi$  and
    prof-p: profile  $V \ A \ p$  and
    renamed: rename  $\pi \ (A, V, p) = (A', V', q)$  and
    eq-vote: equal-voteC' r (A, V, p)
  have  $\forall v' \in V'. q \ v' = p \ ((the\text{-inv} \ \pi) \ v')$ 
    using renamed
    by auto
  moreover have  $\forall v' \in V'. (the\text{-inv} \ \pi) \ v' \in V$ 
    using bij renamed rename.simps bij-is-inj
    f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw inj-image-mem-iff
    by fastforce
  moreover have winner:  $\forall v \in V. p \ v = r$ 
    using eq-vote
    by simp
  ultimately have  $\forall v' \in V'. q \ v' = r$ 
    by simp
  thus equal-voteC' r (A', V', q)
    unfolding equal-voteC'.simps
    by metis
qed

lemma eq-vote-cons-anonymous: consensus-anonymity equal-voteC
  unfolding equal-voteC.simps
  using eq-vote-cons'-anonymous ex-anon-cons-imp-cons-anonymous
  by blast

```

Neutrality

```

lemma nonempty-setC-neutral:
  consensus-neutrality valid-elections nonempty-setC
proof (simp, unfold valid-elections-def, safe) qed

lemma nonempty-profileC-neutral:
  consensus-neutrality valid-elections nonempty-profileC
proof (simp, unfold valid-elections-def, safe) qed

```

lemma *equal-vote_C-neutral*:

consensus-neutrality valid-elections equal-vote_C

proof (*simp, unfold valid-elections-def, clarsimp, safe*)

fix

$A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**

$p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**

$\pi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **and**

$r :: 'a \text{ rel}$

show

$\forall v \in V. p \ v = r \implies \exists r. \forall v \in V. \{(\pi \ a, \pi \ b) \mid a \ b. (a, b) \in p \ v\} = r$

by *simp*

assume

bij: $\pi \in \text{carrier neutrality}_{\mathcal{G}}$

hence

bij π

unfolding *neutrality_G-def*

using *rewrite-carrier*

by *blast*

hence $\forall a. \text{the-inv } \pi (\pi \ a) = a$

by (*simp add: bij-is-inj the-inv-f-f*)

moreover have

$\forall v \in V. \{(\pi \ a, \pi \ b) \mid a \ b. (a, b) \in p \ v\} = r \implies$

$\forall v \in V. \{(\text{the-inv } \pi (\pi \ a), \text{the-inv } \pi (\pi \ b)) \mid a \ b. (a, b) \in p \ v\} =$
 $\{(\text{the-inv } \pi \ a, \text{the-inv } \pi \ b) \mid a \ b. (a, b) \in r\}$

by *fastforce*

ultimately have

$\forall v \in V. \{(\pi \ a, \pi \ b) \mid a \ b. (a, b) \in p \ v\} = r \implies$

$\forall v \in V. \{(a, b) \mid a \ b. (a, b) \in p \ v\} =$
 $\{(\text{the-inv } \pi \ a, \text{the-inv } \pi \ b) \mid a \ b. (a, b) \in r\}$

by *auto*

hence

$\forall v \in V. \{(\pi \ a, \pi \ b) \mid a \ b. (a, b) \in p \ v\} = r \implies$

$\forall v \in V. p \ v = \{(\text{the-inv } \pi \ a, \text{the-inv } \pi \ b) \mid a \ b. (a, b) \in r\}$

by *simp*

thus

$\forall v \in V. \{(\pi \ a, \pi \ b) \mid a \ b. (a, b) \in p \ v\} = r \implies \exists r. \forall v \in V. p \ v = r$

by *simp*

qed

lemma *strong-unanimity_C-neutral*:

consensus-neutrality valid-elections strong-unanimity_C

using *nonempty-set_C-neutral equal-vote_C-neutral nonempty-profile_C-neutral*

cons-conjunction-invariant[*of*

$\{\text{nonempty-set}_{\mathcal{C}}, \text{nonempty-profile}_{\mathcal{C}}, \text{equal-vote}_{\mathcal{C}}\}$ *neutrality_R valid-elections*]

unfolding *strong-unanimity_C.sims*

by *fastforce*

end

Chapter 4

Basic Modules

4.1 Defer Module

```
theory Defer-Module
  imports Component-Types/Electoral-Module
begin
```

The defer module is not concerned about the voter's ballots, and simply defers all alternatives. It is primarily used for defining an empty loop.

4.1.1 Definition

```
fun defer-module :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  defer-module V A p = ({}, {}, A)
```

4.1.2 Soundness

```
theorem def-mod-sound[simp]: social-choice-result.electoral-module defer-module
  unfolding social-choice-result.electoral-module-def
  by simp
```

4.1.3 Properties

```
theorem def-mod-non-electing: non-electing defer-module
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by simp
```

```
theorem def-mod-def-lift-inv: defer-lift-invariance defer-module
  unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
  by simp
```

```
end
```

4.2 Elect First Module

```

theory Elect-First-Module
  imports Component-Types/Electoral-Module
begin

```

The elect first module elects the alternative that is most preferred on the first ballot and rejects all other alternatives.

4.2.1 Definition

```

fun least :: 'v::wellorder set  $\Rightarrow$  'v where
  least V = (Least ( $\lambda v. v \in V$ ))

```

```

fun elect-first-module :: ('a, 'v::wellorder, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  elect-first-module V A p =
    ({a  $\in$  A. above (p (least V)) a = {a}},
     {a  $\in$  A. above (p (least V)) a  $\neq$  {a}},
     {})

```

4.2.2 Soundness

```

theorem elect-first-mod-sound: social-choice-result.electoral-module elect-first-module
proof (intro social-choice-result.electoral-modI)

```

```

  fix
    A :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v::wellorder set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
  have {a  $\in$  A. above (p (least V)) a = {a}}  $\cup$  {a  $\in$  A. above (p (least V)) a  $\neq$  {a}} = A
  by blast
  hence set-equals-partition A (elect-first-module V A p)
  by simp
  moreover have
     $\forall a \in A. (a \notin \{a' \in A. \text{above } (p \text{ (least } V)) \text{ } a' = \{a'\}\} \vee$ 
       $a \notin \{a' \in A. \text{above } (p \text{ (least } V)) \text{ } a' \neq \{a'\}\})$ 
  by simp
  hence {a  $\in$  A. above (p (least V)) a = {a}}  $\cap$  {a  $\in$  A. above (p (least V)) a  $\neq$  {a}} = {}
  by blast
  hence disjoint3 (elect-first-module V A p)
  by simp
  ultimately show well-formed-soc-choice A (elect-first-module V A p)
  by simp
qed
end

```

4.3 Consensus Class

```

theory Consensus-Class
  imports Consensus
           ../Defer-Module
           ../Elect-First-Module
begin

```

A consensus class is a pair of a set of elections and a mapping that assigns a unique alternative to each election in that set (of elections). This alternative is then called the consensus alternative (winner). Here, we model the mapping by an electoral module that defers alternatives which are not in the consensus.

4.3.1 Definition

```

type-synonym ('a, 'v, 'r) Consensus-Class
  = ('a, 'v) Consensus × ('a, 'v, 'r) Electoral-Module

fun consensus-K :: ('a, 'v, 'r) Consensus-Class ⇒ ('a, 'v) Consensus
  where consensus-K K = fst K

fun rule-K :: ('a, 'v, 'r) Consensus-Class ⇒ ('a, 'v, 'r) Electoral-Module
  where rule-K K = snd K

```

4.3.2 Consensus Choice

Returns those consensus elections on a given alternative and voter set from a given consensus that are mapped to the given unique winner by a given consensus rule.

```

fun KE ::
  ('a, 'v, 'r Result) Consensus-Class ⇒ 'r ⇒ ('a, 'v) Election set where
  KE K w =
    {(A, V, p) | A V p. (consensus-K K) (A, V, p) ∧ finite-profile V A p
      ∧ elect (rule-K K) V A p = {w}}

abbreviation K-els :: ('a, 'v, 'r Result) Consensus-Class ⇒ ('a, 'v) Election set
where
  K-els K ≡ ⋃ ((KE K) ‘ UNIV)

```

A consensus class is deemed well-formed if the result of its mapping is completely determined by its consensus, the elected set of the electoral module's result.

```

definition well-formed :: ('a, 'v) Consensus ⇒ ('a, 'v, 'r) Electoral-Module ⇒ bool
where
  well-formed c m ≡
    ∀ A V V' p p'. profile V A p ∧ profile V' A p' ∧ c (A, V, p) ∧ c (A, V', p')
    ⟶

```

$$m \ V \ A \ p = m \ V' \ A \ p'$$

A sensible social choice rule for a given arbitrary consensus and social choice rule r is the one that chooses the result of r for all consensus elections and defers all candidates otherwise.

```

fun consensus-choice ::
('a, 'v) Consensus  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
 $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Consensus-Class where
  consensus-choice  $c \ m =$ 
    (let
       $w = (\lambda \ V \ A \ p. \text{if } c \ (A, V, p) \text{ then } m \ V \ A \ p \text{ else defer-module } V \ A \ p)$ 
    in  $(c, w)$ )

```

4.3.3 Auxiliary Lemmas

lemma *unanimity'-consensus-imp-elect-fst-mod-well-formed:*

fixes $a :: 'a$

shows

$\text{well-formed } (\lambda \ c. \text{nonempty-set}_C \ c \wedge \text{nonempty-profile}_C \ c \wedge \text{equal-top}_C' \ a \ c)$
 $\text{elect-first-module}$

proof (*unfold well-formed-def, safe*)

fix

$a :: 'a$ **and**

$A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$V :: 'v::\text{wellorder set}$ **and**

$V' :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**

$p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**

$p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$

let $?cond =$

$\lambda \ c. \text{nonempty-set}_C \ c \wedge \text{nonempty-profile}_C \ c \wedge \text{equal-top}_C' \ a \ c$

assume

$\text{prof-p: profile } V \ A \ p$ **and**

$\text{prof-p': profile } V' \ A \ p'$ **and**

$\text{eq-top-p: equal-top}_C' \ a \ (A, V, p)$ **and**

$\text{eq-top-p': equal-top}_C' \ a \ (A, V', p')$ **and**

$\text{not-empty-A: nonempty-set}_C \ (A, V, p)$ **and**

$\text{not-empty-A': nonempty-set}_C \ (A, V', p')$ **and**

$\text{not-empty-p: nonempty-profile}_C \ (A, V, p)$ **and**

$\text{not-empty-p': nonempty-profile}_C \ (A, V', p')$

hence

$\text{cond-Ap: ?cond } (A, V, p)$ **and**

$\text{cond-Ap': ?cond } (A, V', p')$

by *simp-all*

have $\forall \ a' \in A. ((\text{above } (p \ (\text{least } V)) \ a') = \{a'\}) = (\text{above } (p' \ (\text{least } V')) \ a') = \{a'\})$

proof

fix $a' :: 'a$

assume $a' \in A$

show $(\text{above } (p \ (\text{least } V)) \ a') = \{a'\} = (\text{above } (p' \ (\text{least } V')) \ a') = \{a'\}$

```

proof (cases)
  assume  $a' = a$ 
  thus ?thesis
    using cond-Ap cond-Ap' Collect-mem-eq LeastI
      empty-Collect-eq equal-topC'.simps
      nonempty-profileC.simps
      least.simps
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
next
  assume  $a' \text{-} \text{neq-} a: a' \neq a$ 
  have non-empty:  $V \neq \{\} \wedge V' \neq \{\}$ 
    using not-empty-p not-empty-p'
    by simp
  hence  $A \neq \{\} \wedge \text{linear-order-on } A (p \text{ (least } V))$ 
     $\wedge \text{linear-order-on } A (p' \text{ (least } V'))$ 
    using not-empty-A not-empty-A' prof-p prof-p'
       $\langle a' \in A \rangle \text{card.remove enumerate.simps}(1)$ 
      enumerate-in-set finite-enumerate-in-set
      least.elims all-not-in-conv
      zero-less-Suc
    unfolding profile-def
    by metis
  hence  $(a \in \text{above } (p \text{ (least } V)) \ a' \vee a' \in \text{above } (p \text{ (least } V)) \ a) \wedge$ 
     $(a \in \text{above } (p' \text{ (least } V')) \ a' \vee a' \in \text{above } (p' \text{ (least } V')) \ a)$ 
    using  $\langle a' \in A \rangle \ a' \text{-} \text{neq-} a \text{ eq-top-} p$ 
    unfolding above-def linear-order-on-def total-on-def
    by auto
  hence  $(\text{above } (p \text{ (least } V)) \ a = \{a\} \wedge \text{above } (p \text{ (least } V)) \ a' = \{a'\} \longrightarrow a =$ 
 $a') \wedge$ 
     $(\text{above } (p' \text{ (least } V')) \ a = \{a\} \wedge \text{above } (p' \text{ (least } V')) \ a' = \{a'\} \longrightarrow a$ 
 $= a')$ 
    by auto
  thus ?thesis
    using bot-nat-0.not-eq-extremum card-0-eq cond-Ap cond-Ap'
      enumerate.simps}(1) enumerate-in-set equal-topC'.simps
      finite-enumerate-in-set non-empty least.simps
    by metis
qed
qed
thus elect-first-module  $V \ A \ p = \text{elect-first-module } V' \ A \ p'$ 
  by auto
qed

```

lemma *strong-unanimity'consensus-imp-elect-fst-mod-completely-determined:*
fixes $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$
shows
well-formed
 $(\lambda c. \text{nonempty-set}_C \ c \wedge \text{nonempty-profile}_C \ c \wedge \text{equal-vote}_C \ r \ c) \text{elect-first-module}$
proof (*unfold well-formed-def, clarify*)

```

fix
  a :: 'a and
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v::wellorder set and
  V' :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  p' :: ('a, 'v) Profile
let ?cond =
  λ c. nonempty-setC c ∧ nonempty-profileC c ∧ equal-voteC' r c
assume
  prof-p: profile V A p and
  prof-p': profile V' A p' and
  eq-vote-p: equal-voteC' r (A, V, p) and
  eq-vote-p': equal-voteC' r (A, V', p') and
  not-empty-A: nonempty-setC (A, V, p) and
  not-empty-A': nonempty-setC (A, V', p') and
  not-empty-p: nonempty-profileC (A, V, p) and
  not-empty-p': nonempty-profileC (A, V', p')
hence
  cond-Ap: ?cond (A, V, p) and
  cond-Ap': ?cond (A, V', p')
by simp-all
have p (least V) = r ∧ p' (least V') = r
using eq-vote-p eq-vote-p' not-empty-p not-empty-p'
  bot-nat-0.not-eq-extremum card-0-eq enumerate.simps(1)
  enumerate-in-set equal-voteC'.simps finite-enumerate-in-set
  nonempty-profileC.simps least.elims
by (metis (no-types, lifting))
thus elect-first-module V A p = elect-first-module V' A p'
by auto
qed

lemma strong-unanimity'consensus-imp-elect-fst-mod-well-formed:
fixes r :: 'a Preference-Relation
shows
  well-formed (λ c. nonempty-setC c ∧ nonempty-profileC c ∧ equal-voteC' r c)
  elect-first-module
using strong-unanimity'consensus-imp-elect-fst-mod-completely-determined
by blast

lemma cons-domain-valid:
fixes
  C :: ('a, 'v, 'r Result) Consensus-Class
shows
  K-els C ⊆ valid-elections
proof
fix
  E :: ('a, 'v) Election
assume

```

$E \in \mathcal{K}\text{-els } C$
hence $\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \text{ profile } E$
unfolding $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}.\text{simps}$
by force
thus $E \in \text{valid-elections}$
unfolding $\text{valid-elections-def}$
by simp
qed

lemma *cons-domain-finite*:
fixes
 $C :: ('a, 'v, 'r \text{ Result}) \text{ Consensus-Class}$
shows
 $\text{finite: } \mathcal{K}\text{-els } C \subseteq \text{finite-elections}$ **and**
 $\text{finite-voters: } \mathcal{K}\text{-els } C \subseteq \text{finite-voter-elections}$
proof –
have $\forall E \in \mathcal{K}\text{-els } C. \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \text{ profile } E \wedge \text{finite } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \wedge \text{finite } (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E)$
unfolding $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}.\text{simps}$
by force
thus $\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C \subseteq \text{finite-elections}$
unfolding $\text{finite-elections-def}$
by blast
thus $\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C \subseteq \text{finite-voter-elections}$
unfolding $\text{finite-elections-def finite-voter-elections-def}$
by blast
qed

4.3.4 Consensus Rules

definition *non-empty-set* $:: ('a, 'v, 'r) \text{ Consensus-Class} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{non-empty-set } c \equiv \exists K. \text{consensus-}\mathcal{K} \ c \ K$

Unanimity condition.

definition *unanimity* $::$
 $('a, 'v::\text{wellorder}, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Consensus-Class}$ **where**
 $\text{unanimity} = \text{consensus-choice unanimity}_C \text{ elect-first-module}$

Strong unanimity condition.

definition *strong-unanimity* $::$
 $('a, 'v::\text{wellorder}, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Consensus-Class}$ **where**
 $\text{strong-unanimity} = \text{consensus-choice strong-unanimity}_C \text{ elect-first-module}$

4.3.5 Properties

definition *consensus-rule-anonymity* $:: ('a, 'v, 'r) \text{ Consensus-Class} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{consensus-rule-anonymity } c \equiv$
 $(\forall A \ V \ p \ \pi::('v \Rightarrow 'v)).$
 $\text{bij } \pi \longrightarrow$
 $(\text{let } (A', V', q) = (\text{rename } \pi \ (A, V, p)) \text{ in}$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{profile } V \ A \ p \longrightarrow \text{profile } V' \ A' \ q \\
& \longrightarrow \text{consensus-}\mathcal{K} \ c \ (A, V, p) \\
& \longrightarrow (\text{consensus-}\mathcal{K} \ c \ (A', V', q) \wedge (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ c \ V \ A \ p = \text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ c \ V' \ A' \ q)))
\end{aligned}$$

fun *consensus-rule-anonymity'* ::
 ('a, 'v) Election set \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'r Result) Consensus-Class \Rightarrow bool **where**
consensus-rule-anonymity' X C =
 satisfies (elect-r \circ fun_E (rule- \mathcal{K} C)) (Invariance (anonymity_R X))

fun (in result-properties) *consensus-rule-neutrality* ::
 ('a, 'v) Election set \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'b Result) Consensus-Class \Rightarrow bool **where**
consensus-rule-neutrality X C = satisfies (elect-r \circ fun_E (rule- \mathcal{K} C))
 (equivar-ind-by-act (carrier neutrality_G) X (φ -neutr X) (set-action ψ -neutr))

fun *consensus-rule-reversal-symmetry* ::
 ('a, 'v) Election set \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a rel Result) Consensus-Class \Rightarrow bool **where**
consensus-rule-reversal-symmetry X C = satisfies (elect-r \circ fun_E (rule- \mathcal{K} C))
 (equivar-ind-by-act (carrier reversal_G) X (φ -rev X) (set-action ψ -rev))

4.3.6 Inference Rules

lemma *consensus-choice-equivar*:

fixes
 m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module **and**
 c :: ('a, 'v) Consensus **and**
 G :: 'x set **and**
 X :: ('a, 'v) Election set **and**
 φ :: ('x, ('a, 'v) Election) binary-fun **and**
 ψ :: ('x, 'a) binary-fun **and**
 f :: 'a Result \Rightarrow 'a set

defines

equivar \equiv equivar-ind-by-act G X φ (set-action ψ)

assumes

equivar-m: satisfies (f \circ fun_E m) equivar **and**
 equivar-defer: satisfies (f \circ fun_E defer-module) equivar **and**
 — Could be generalized to arbitrary modules instead of defer-module
 invar-cons: satisfies c (Invariance (rel-induced-by-action G X φ))

shows

satisfies (f \circ fun_E (rule- \mathcal{K} (consensus-choice c m)))
 (equivar-ind-by-act G X φ (set-action ψ))

proof (simp only: rewrite-equivar-ind-by-act, standard, standard, standard)

fix

E :: ('a, 'v) Election **and**
 g :: 'x

assume

g \in G **and** E \in X **and** φ g E \in X

show (f \circ fun_E (rule- \mathcal{K} (consensus-choice c m))) (φ g E) =
 set-action ψ g ((f \circ fun_E (rule- \mathcal{K} (consensus-choice c m))) E)

proof (cases c E)


```

case True
hence  $c (\varphi \ g \ E)$ 
  using invar-cons rewrite-invar-ind-by-act  $\langle g \in G \rangle \langle \varphi \ g \ E \in X \rangle \langle E \in X \rangle$ 
  by metis
hence  $(f \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} (\text{consensus-choice } c \ m))) (\varphi \ g \ E) =$ 
   $(f \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ m) (\varphi \ g \ E)$ 
  by simp
also have  $(f \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ m) (\varphi \ g \ E) =$ 
  set-action  $\psi \ g ((f \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ m) \ E)$ 
  using equivar-m  $\langle E \in X \rangle \langle \varphi \ g \ E \in X \rangle \langle g \in G \rangle$  rewrite-equivar-ind-by-act
  unfolding equivar-def
  by  $(\text{metis } (\text{mono-tags}, \text{lifting}))$ 
also have  $(f \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ m) \ E =$ 
   $(f \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} (\text{consensus-choice } c \ m))) \ E$ 
  using  $\langle E \in X \rangle \langle g \in G \rangle$  invar-cons
  by  $(\text{simp add: True})$ 
finally show ?thesis
  by simp
next
case False
hence  $\neg c (\varphi \ g \ E)$ 
  using invar-cons rewrite-invar-ind-by-act  $\langle g \in G \rangle \langle \varphi \ g \ E \in X \rangle \langle E \in X \rangle$ 
  by metis
hence  $(f \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} (\text{consensus-choice } c \ m))) (\varphi \ g \ E) =$ 
   $(f \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ \text{defer-module}) (\varphi \ g \ E)$ 
  by simp
also have  $(f \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ \text{defer-module}) (\varphi \ g \ E) =$ 
  set-action  $\psi \ g ((f \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ \text{defer-module}) \ E)$ 
  using equivar-defer  $\langle E \in X \rangle \langle \varphi \ g \ E \in X \rangle \langle g \in G \rangle$  rewrite-equivar-ind-by-act
  unfolding equivar-def
  by  $(\text{metis } (\text{mono-tags}, \text{lifting}))$ 
also have  $(f \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ \text{defer-module}) \ E =$ 
   $(f \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} (\text{consensus-choice } c \ m))) \ E$ 
  using  $\langle E \in X \rangle \langle g \in G \rangle$  invar-cons
  by  $(\text{simp add: False})$ 
finally show ?thesis
  by simp
qed
qed

lemma consensus-choice-anonymous:
fixes
   $\alpha :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Consensus}$  and
   $\beta :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Consensus}$  and
   $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
   $\beta' :: 'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Consensus}$ 
assumes
  beta-sat:  $\beta = (\lambda E. \exists a. \beta' a \ E)$  and
  beta'-anon:  $\forall x. \text{consensus-anonymity } (\beta' x)$  and

```

$\text{anon-cons-cond: consensus-anonymity } \alpha \text{ and}$
 $\text{conditions-univ: } \forall x. \text{ well-formed } (\lambda E. \alpha E \wedge \beta' x E) m$
shows $\text{consensus-rule-anonymity } (\text{consensus-choice } (\lambda E. \alpha E \wedge \beta E) m)$
proof $(\text{unfold consensus-rule-anonymity-def Let-def, safe})$
fix
 $A :: 'a \text{ set and}$
 $A' :: 'a \text{ set and}$
 $V :: 'v \text{ set and}$
 $V' :: 'v \text{ set and}$
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile and}$
 $q :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile and}$
 $\pi :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$
assume
 $\text{bij: bij } \pi \text{ and}$
 $\text{prof-p: profile } V A p \text{ and}$
 $\text{prof-q: profile } V' A' q \text{ and}$
 $\text{renamed: rename } \pi (A, V, p) = (A', V', q) \text{ and}$
 $\text{consensus-cond: consensus-}\mathcal{K} (\text{consensus-choice } (\lambda E. \alpha E \wedge \beta E) m) (A, V,$
 $p)$
hence $(\lambda E. \alpha E \wedge \beta E) (A, V, p)$
by *simp*
hence
 $\text{alpha-Ap: } \alpha (A, V, p) \text{ and}$
 $\text{beta-Ap: } \beta (A, V, p)$
by *simp-all*
have $\text{alpha-A-perm-p: } \alpha (A', V', q)$
using $\text{anon-cons-cond alpha-Ap bij prof-p prof-q renamed}$
unfolding $\text{consensus-anonymity-def}$
by *fastforce*
moreover have $\beta (A', V', q)$
using $\text{beta'-anon beta-Ap beta-sat ex-anon-cons-imp-cons-anonymous[of } \beta \beta']$
 bij
 $\text{prof-p renamed beta'-anon cons-anon-invariant[of } \beta \pi V A p A' V' q]$
unfolding $\text{consensus-anonymity-def}$
by *blast*
ultimately show em-cond-perm:
 $\text{consensus-}\mathcal{K} (\text{consensus-choice } (\lambda E. \alpha E \wedge \beta E) m) (A', V', q)$
using $\text{beta-Ap beta-sat ex-anon-cons-imp-cons-anonymous bij}$
 prof-p prof-q
by *simp*
have $\exists x. \beta' x (A, V, p)$
using beta-Ap beta-sat
by *simp*
then obtain x **where**
 $\text{beta'-x-Ap: } \beta' x (A, V, p)$
by *metis*
hence $\text{beta'-x-A-perm-p: } \beta' x (A', V', q)$
using $\text{beta'-anon bij prof-p renamed}$
 $\text{cons-anon-invariant prof-q}$

```

    unfolding consensus-anonymity-def
  by auto
have m V A p = m V' A' q
  using alpha-Ap alpha-A-perm-p beta'-x-Ap beta'-x-A-perm-p
        conditions-univ prof-p prof-q rename.simps prod.inject renamed
  unfolding well-formed-def
  by metis
thus rule- $\mathcal{K}$  (consensus-choice ( $\lambda E. \alpha E \wedge \beta E$ ) m) V A p =
      rule- $\mathcal{K}$  (consensus-choice ( $\lambda E. \alpha E \wedge \beta E$ ) m) V' A' q
  using consensus-cond em-cond-perm
  by simp
qed

```

4.3.7 Theorems

Anonymity

lemma *unanimity-anonymous*:

consensus-rule-anonymity unanimity

proof (*unfold unanimity-def*)

let ?ne-cond = ($\lambda c. \text{nonempty-set}_C c \wedge \text{nonempty-profile}_C c$)

have consensus-anonymity ?ne-cond

using nonempty-set-cons-anonymous nonempty-profile-cons-anonymous cons-anon-conj

by auto

moreover have equal-top_C = ($\lambda c. \exists a. \text{equal-top}_C' a c$)

by fastforce

ultimately have consensus-rule-anonymity

(consensus-choice

($\lambda c. \text{nonempty-set}_C c \wedge \text{nonempty-profile}_C c \wedge \text{equal-top}_C c$) elect-first-module)

using consensus-choice-anonymous[*of equal-top_C equal-top_C' ?ne-cond*]

equal-top-cons'-anonymous unanimity'-consensus-imp-elect-fst-mod-well-formed

by fastforce

moreover have

unanimity_C = ($\lambda c. \text{nonempty-set}_C c \wedge \text{nonempty-profile}_C c \wedge \text{equal-top}_C c$)

by force

hence consensus-choice

($\lambda c. \text{nonempty-set}_C c \wedge \text{nonempty-profile}_C c \wedge \text{equal-top}_C c$)

elect-first-module =

consensus-choice unanimity_C elect-first-module

by metis

ultimately show consensus-rule-anonymity (consensus-choice unanimity_C elect-first-module)

by (rule HOL.back-subst)

qed

lemma *strong-unanimity-anonymous*:

consensus-rule-anonymity strong-unanimity

proof (*unfold strong-unanimity-def*)

have consensus-anonymity ($\lambda c. \text{nonempty-set}_C c \wedge \text{nonempty-profile}_C c$)

using nonempty-set-cons-anonymous nonempty-profile-cons-anonymous cons-anon-conj

unfolding consensus-anonymity-def

by *simp*
moreover have $\text{equal-vote}_C = (\lambda c. \exists v. \text{equal-vote}_C' v c)$
 by *fastforce*
ultimately have
 consensus-rule-anonymity
 (*consensus-choice*
 $(\lambda c. \text{nonempty-set}_C c \wedge \text{nonempty-profile}_C c \wedge \text{equal-vote}_C c) \text{ elect-first-module}$)
using *consensus-choice-anonymous*[*of equal-vote_C equal-vote_C'*
 $\lambda c. \text{nonempty-set}_C c \wedge \text{nonempty-profile}_C c]$
 nonempty-set-cons-anonymous nonempty-profile-cons-anonymous eq-vote-cons'-anonymous
 strong-unanimity'consensus-imp-elect-fst-mod-well-formed
 by *fastforce*
moreover have $\text{strong-unanimity}_C =$
 $(\lambda c. \text{nonempty-set}_C c \wedge \text{nonempty-profile}_C c \wedge \text{equal-vote}_C c)$
 by *force*
hence
 consensus-choice $(\lambda c. \text{nonempty-set}_C c \wedge \text{nonempty-profile}_C c \wedge \text{equal-vote}_C c)$
 elect-first-module =
 consensus-choice strong-unanimity_C elect-first-module
 by *metis*
ultimately show
 consensus-rule-anonymity (consensus-choice strong-unanimity_C elect-first-module)
 by (*rule HOL.back-subst*)
qed

Neutrality

lemma *defer-winners-equivar:*

fixes

$G :: 'x \text{ set}$ **and**

$X :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election set}$ **and**

$\varphi :: ('x, ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}) \text{ binary-fun}$ **and**

$\psi :: ('x, 'a) \text{ binary-fun}$

shows

satisfies (elect-r \circ fun_E defer-module)
(equivar-ind-by-act G X φ (set-action ψ))

using *rewrite-equivar-ind-by-act*

by *fastforce*

lemma *elect-first-winners-neutral:*

shows

satisfies (elect-r \circ fun_E elect-first-module)
(equivar-ind-by-act (carrier neutrality_G)
valid-elections (φ -neutr valid-elections) (set-action ψ -neutr_C))

proof (*simp only: rewrite-equivar-ind-by-act, clarify*)

fix

$A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$V :: 'v::\text{wellorder set}$ **and**

$p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**

$\pi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$
assume
bij: $\pi \in \text{carrier neutrality}_{\mathcal{G}}$ **and**
valid: $(A, V, p) \in \text{valid-elections}$
hence *bij* π
unfolding *neutrality_G-def*
using *rewrite-carrier*
by *blast*
hence *inv*: $\forall a. a = \pi (\text{the-inv } \pi a)$
by (*simp add: f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw*)
from *bij valid* **have**
 $(\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \text{ elect-first-module}) (\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi (A, V, p)) =$
 $\{a \in \pi \text{ ' } A. \text{ above } (\text{rel-rename } \pi (p (\text{least } V))) a = \{a\}\}$
by *simp*
moreover **have**
 $\{a \in \pi \text{ ' } A. \text{ above } (\text{rel-rename } \pi (p (\text{least } V))) a = \{a\}\} =$
 $\{a \in \pi \text{ ' } A. \{b. (a, b) \in \{(\pi a, \pi b) \mid a b. (a, b) \in p (\text{least } V)\}\} = \{a\}\}$
by (*simp add: above-def*)
ultimately **have** *elect-simp*:
 $(\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \text{ elect-first-module}) (\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi (A, V, p)) =$
 $\{a \in \pi \text{ ' } A. \{b. (a, b) \in \{(\pi a, \pi b) \mid a b. (a, b) \in p (\text{least } V)\}\} = \{a\}\}$
by *simp*
have $\forall a \in \pi \text{ ' } A. \{b. (a, b) \in \{(\pi x, \pi y) \mid x y. (x, y) \in p (\text{least } V)\}\} =$
 $\{\pi b \mid b. (a, \pi b) \in \{(\pi x, \pi y) \mid x y. (x, y) \in p (\text{least } V)\}\}$
by *blast*
moreover **have** $\forall a \in \pi \text{ ' } A.$
 $\{\pi b \mid b. (a, \pi b) \in \{(\pi x, \pi y) \mid x y. (x, y) \in p (\text{least } V)\}\} =$
 $\{\pi b \mid b. (\pi (\text{the-inv } \pi a), \pi b) \in \{(\pi x, \pi y) \mid x y. (x, y) \in p (\text{least } V)\}\}$
using $\langle \text{bij } \pi \rangle$
by (*simp add: f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw*)
moreover **have** $\forall a \in \pi \text{ ' } A. \forall b.$
 $((\pi (\text{the-inv } \pi a), \pi b) \in \{(\pi x, \pi y) \mid x y. (x, y) \in p (\text{least } V)\}) =$
 $((\text{the-inv } \pi a, b) \in \{(x, y) \mid x y. (x, y) \in p (\text{least } V)\})$
using $\langle \text{bij } \pi \rangle$ *rel-rename-helper*[of π]
by *auto*
moreover **have** $\{(x, y) \mid x y. (x, y) \in p (\text{least } V)\} = p (\text{least } V)$
by *simp*
ultimately **have**
 $\forall a \in \pi \text{ ' } A. (\{b. (a, b) \in \{(\pi a, \pi b) \mid a b. (a, b) \in p (\text{least } V)\}\} = \{a\}) =$
 $(\{\pi b \mid b. (\text{the-inv } \pi a, b) \in p (\text{least } V)\} = \{a\})$
by *force*
hence
 $\{a \in \pi \text{ ' } A. \{b. (a, b) \in \{(\pi a, \pi b) \mid a b. (a, b) \in p (\text{least } V)\}\} = \{a\}\} =$
 $\{a \in \pi \text{ ' } A. \{\pi b \mid b. (\text{the-inv } \pi a, b) \in p (\text{least } V)\} = \{a\}\}$
by *auto*
hence $(\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \text{ elect-first-module}) (\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi (A, V, p)) =$
 $\{a \in \pi \text{ ' } A. \{\pi b \mid b. (\text{the-inv } \pi a, b) \in p (\text{least } V)\} = \{a\}\}$
using *elect-simp*
by *simp*

also have $\{a \in \pi \text{ ' } A. \{\pi \ b \mid b. (the\text{-}inv \ \pi \ a, b) \in p \ (least \ V)\} = \{a\}\} =$
 $\{\pi \ a \mid a. a \in A \wedge \{\pi \ b \mid b. (a, b) \in p \ (least \ V)\} = \{\pi \ a\}\}$
using $\langle bij \ \pi \rangle \ inv \ bij\text{-}is\text{-}inj \ the\text{-}inv\text{-}f\text{-}f$
by *fastforce*
also have $\{\pi \ a \mid a. a \in A \wedge \{\pi \ b \mid b. (a, b) \in p \ (least \ V)\} = \{\pi \ a\}\} =$
 $\pi \text{ ' } \{a \in A. \{\pi \ b \mid b. (a, b) \in p \ (least \ V)\} = \{\pi \ a\}\}$
by *blast*
also have $\pi \text{ ' } \{a \in A. \{\pi \ b \mid b. (a, b) \in p \ (least \ V)\} = \{\pi \ a\}\} =$
 $\pi \text{ ' } \{a \in A. \pi \text{ ' } \{b \mid b. (a, b) \in p \ (least \ V)\} = \pi \text{ ' } \{a\}\}$
by *blast*
finally have
 $(elect\text{-}r \circ fun_{\mathcal{E}} \ elect\text{-}first\text{-}module) (\varphi\text{-}neutr \ valid\text{-}elections \ \pi \ (A, V, p)) =$
 $\pi \text{ ' } \{a \in A. \pi \text{ ' } (above \ (p \ (least \ V)) \ a) = \pi \text{ ' } \{a\}\}$
unfolding *above-def*
by *simp*
moreover have
 $\forall a. (\pi \text{ ' } (above \ (p \ (least \ V)) \ a) = \pi \text{ ' } \{a\}) =$
 $(the\text{-}inv \ \pi \text{ ' } \pi \text{ ' } above \ (p \ (least \ V)) \ a = the\text{-}inv \ \pi \text{ ' } \pi \text{ ' } \{a\})$
by $(metis \ \langle bij \ \pi \rangle \ bij\text{-}betw\text{-}the\text{-}inv\text{-}into \ bij\text{-}def \ inj\text{-}image\text{-}eq\text{-}iff)$
moreover have
 $\forall a. (the\text{-}inv \ \pi \text{ ' } \pi \text{ ' } above \ (p \ (least \ V)) \ a = the\text{-}inv \ \pi \text{ ' } \pi \text{ ' } \{a\}) =$
 $(above \ (p \ (least \ V)) \ a = \{a\})$
by $(metis \ \langle bij \ \pi \rangle \ bij\text{-}betw\text{-}imp\text{-}inj\text{-}on \ bij\text{-}betw\text{-}the\text{-}inv\text{-}into \ inj\text{-}image\text{-}eq\text{-}iff)$
ultimately have
 $(elect\text{-}r \circ fun_{\mathcal{E}} \ elect\text{-}first\text{-}module) (\varphi\text{-}neutr \ valid\text{-}elections \ \pi \ (A, V, p)) =$
 $\pi \text{ ' } \{a \in A. above \ (p \ (least \ V)) \ a = \{a\}\}$
by *presburger*
moreover have $elect \ elect\text{-}first\text{-}module \ V \ A \ p = \{a \in A. above \ (p \ (least \ V)) \ a$
 $= \{a\}\}$
by *simp*
moreover have
 $set\text{-}action \ \psi\text{-}neutr_c \ \pi$
 $((elect\text{-}r \circ fun_{\mathcal{E}} \ elect\text{-}first\text{-}module) \ (A, V, p)) =$
 $\pi \text{ ' } (elect \ elect\text{-}first\text{-}module \ V \ A \ p)$
by *auto*
ultimately show
 $(elect\text{-}r \circ fun_{\mathcal{E}} \ elect\text{-}first\text{-}module) (\varphi\text{-}neutr \ valid\text{-}elections \ \pi \ (A, V, p)) =$
 $set\text{-}action \ \psi\text{-}neutr_c \ \pi$
 $((elect\text{-}r \circ fun_{\mathcal{E}} \ elect\text{-}first\text{-}module) \ (A, V, p))$
by *blast*
qed

lemma *strong-unanimity-neutral:*

defines

$domain \equiv valid\text{-}elections \sqcap Collect \ strong\text{-}unanimity_c$

— We want to show neutrality on a set as general as possible, as it implies subset neutrality.

shows *social-choice-properties.consensus-rule-neutrality domain strong-unanimity proof* —

have *coincides*:
 $\forall \pi. \forall E \in \text{domain}. \varphi\text{-neutr domain } \pi \ E = \varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi \ E$
unfolding *domain-def* $\varphi\text{-neutr.simps}$
by *auto*
have *consensus-neutrality domain strong-unanimity_C*
using *strong-unanimity_C-neutral invar-under-subset-rel*
unfolding *domain-def*
by *simp*
hence
satisfies strong-unanimity_C
(Invariance (rel-induced-by-action (carrier neutrality_G) domain ($\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections}$)))
unfolding *consensus-neutrality.simps neutrality_R.simps*
using *coincides coinciding-actions-ind-equal-rel*
by *metis*
moreover have
satisfies (elect-r \circ fun_E elect-first-module)
(equivar-ind-by-act (carrier neutrality_G)
domain ($\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections}$) (set-action $\psi\text{-neutr}_C$))
using *elect-first-winners-neutral*
unfolding *domain-def equivar-ind-by-act-def*
using *equivar-under-subset*
by *blast*
ultimately have
satisfies (elect-r \circ fun_E (rule- \mathcal{K} strong-unanimity))
(equivar-ind-by-act (carrier neutrality_G) domain
($\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections}$) (set-action $\psi\text{-neutr}_C$))
using *defer-winners-equivar[of*
carrier neutrality_G domain $\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections}$ $\psi\text{-neutr}_C$]
consensus-choice-equivar[of
elect-r elect-first-module carrier neutrality_G domain
 $\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections}$ $\psi\text{-neutr}_C$ strong-unanimity_C]
unfolding *strong-unanimity-def*
by *blast*
thus *?thesis*
unfolding *social-choice-properties.consensus-rule-neutrality.simps*
using *coincides equivar-ind-by-act-coincide*
by *(metis (no-types, lifting))*
qed

lemma *strong-unanimity-neutral'*:
shows
social-choice-properties.consensus-rule-neutrality (\mathcal{K} -els strong-unanimity) strong-unanimity
proof –
have *\mathcal{K} -els strong-unanimity \subseteq valid-elections \cap Collect strong-unanimity_C*
unfolding *valid-elections-def \mathcal{K}_E .simps strong-unanimity-def*
by *force*
moreover with this have *coincide*:
 $\forall \pi. \forall E \in \mathcal{K}\text{-els strong-unanimity}.$
 $\varphi\text{-neutr (valid-elections } \cap \text{ Collect strong-unanimity}_C) \pi \ E =$

```

       $\varphi\text{-neutr } (\mathcal{K}\text{-els strong-unanimity}) \pi E$ 
unfolding  $\varphi\text{-neutr.simps}$ 
using extensional-continuation-subset
by (metis (no-types, lifting))
ultimately have
  satisfies (elect-r  $\circ$  funE (rule-K strong-unanimity))
    (equivar-ind-by-act (carrier neutralityG) ( $\mathcal{K}\text{-els strong-unanimity}$ )
      ( $\varphi\text{-neutr } (\text{valid-elections} \cap \text{Collect strong-unanimity}_C)$ ) (set-action  $\psi\text{-neutr}_C$ ))
using strong-unanimity-neutral
  equivar-under-subset[of
    elect-r  $\circ$  funE (rule-K strong-unanimity)
    valid-elections  $\cap$  Collect strong-unanimityC
    {( $\varphi\text{-neutr } (\text{valid-elections} \cap \text{Collect strong-unanimity}_C)$ ) g, set-action
 $\psi\text{-neutr}_C$  g} | g.
     $g \in \text{carrier neutrality}_G$ ]  $\mathcal{K}\text{-els strong-unanimity}$ ]
unfolding equivar-ind-by-act-def social-choice-properties.consensus-rule-neutrality.simps
by blast
thus ?thesis
unfolding social-choice-properties.consensus-rule-neutrality.simps
using coincide
  equivar-ind-by-act-coincide[of
    carrier neutralityG  $\mathcal{K}\text{-els strong-unanimity}$   $\varphi\text{-neutr } (\mathcal{K}\text{-els strong-unanimity})$ 
     $\varphi\text{-neutr } (\text{valid-elections} \cap \text{Collect strong-unanimity}_C)$ 
    elect-r  $\circ$  funE (rule-K strong-unanimity) set-action  $\psi\text{-neutr}_C$ ]
by (metis (no-types))
qed

```

lemma *strong-unanimity-closed-under-neutrality:*
closed-under-restr-rel (neutrality_R valid-elections) valid-elections ($\mathcal{K}\text{-els strong-unanimity}$)

proof (*unfold closed-under-restr-rel.simps restr-rel.simps*
neutrality_R.simps rel-induced-by-action.simps, safe)

```

fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'b set and
  p :: ('a, 'b) Profile and
  A' :: 'a set and
  V' :: 'b set and
  p' :: ('a, 'b) Profile and
   $\pi$  :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a and
  a :: 'a
assume
  prof: (A, V, p)  $\in$  valid-elections and
  cons: (A, V, p)  $\in$   $\mathcal{K}_E$  strong-unanimity a and
  bij:  $\pi \in \text{carrier neutrality}_G$  and
  img:  $\varphi\text{-neutr } \text{valid-elections } \pi (A, V, p) = (A', V', p')$ 
hence fin: (A, V, p)  $\in$  finite-elections
unfolding  $\mathcal{K}_E.simps$  finite-elections-def
by simp
hence valid': (A', V', p')  $\in$  valid-elections

```



```

using bij img  $\varphi$ -neutr-act.group-action-axioms group-action.element-image prof
unfolding finite-elections-def
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
moreover have  $V' = V \wedge A' = \pi \cdot A$ 
using img fin alts-rename.elims extensional-continuation.simps fstI prof sndI
unfolding  $\varphi$ -neutr.simps
by (metis (no-types, lifting))
ultimately have prof': finite-profile  $V' A' p'$ 
using fin bij CollectD finite-elections-def finite-imageI fst-eqD snd-eqD
unfolding valid-elections-def neutralityG-def
by (metis (no-types, lifting))
let ?domain = valid-elections  $\cap$  Collect strong-unanimityC
have  $((A, V, p), (A', V', p')) \in \text{neutrality}_{\mathcal{R}} \text{ valid-elections}$ 
using bij img fin valid'
unfolding neutralityR.simps rel-induced-by-action.simps neutralityG-def
finite-elections-def valid-elections-def
by blast
moreover have unanimous:  $(A, V, p) \in ?\text{domain}$ 
using cons fin
unfolding KE.simps strong-unanimity-def valid-elections-def
by simp
ultimately have unanimous':  $(A', V', p') \in ?\text{domain}$ 
using strong-unanimityC-neutral
by force
have rewrite:
 $\forall \pi \in \text{carrier neutrality}_{\mathcal{G}}.$ 
 $\varphi\text{-neutr } ?\text{domain } \pi (A, V, p) \in ?\text{domain} \longrightarrow$ 
 $(\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \text{ strong-unanimity})) (\varphi\text{-neutr } ?\text{domain } \pi (A, V, p))$ 
=
 $\text{set-action } \psi\text{-neutr}_c \pi ((\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \text{ strong-unanimity})) (A, V,$ 
 $p))$ 
using strong-unanimity-neutral unanimous
rewrite-equivar-ind-by-act[of
 $\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \text{ strong-unanimity})$ 
carrier neutralityG ?domain
 $\varphi\text{-neutr } ?\text{domain set-action } \psi\text{-neutr}_c]$ 
unfolding social-choice-properties.consensus-rule-neutrality.simps
by blast
have img':  $\varphi\text{-neutr } ?\text{domain } \pi (A, V, p) = (A', V', p')$ 
using img unanimous
by simp
hence elect  $(\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \text{ strong-unanimity}) V' A' p' =$ 
 $(\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \text{ strong-unanimity})) (\varphi\text{-neutr } ?\text{domain } \pi (A, V, p))$ 
by simp
also have
 $(\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \text{ strong-unanimity})) (\varphi\text{-neutr } ?\text{domain } \pi (A, V, p)) =$ 
 $\text{set-action } \psi\text{-neutr}_c \pi$ 
 $((\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \text{ strong-unanimity})) (A, V, p))$ 
using bij img' unanimous' rewrite

```

```

    by fastforce
  also have (elect-r  $\circ$  fun $_{\mathcal{E}}$  (rule- $\mathcal{K}$  strong-unanimity)) (A, V, p) = {a}
    using cons
    unfolding  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}$ .simps
    by simp
  finally have elect (rule- $\mathcal{K}$  strong-unanimity) V' A' p' = { $\psi$ -neutr $_c$   $\pi$  a}
    by simp
  hence (A', V', p')  $\in$   $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}$  strong-unanimity ( $\psi$ -neutr $_c$   $\pi$  a)
    unfolding  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}$ .simps strong-unanimity-def consensus-choice.simps
    using unanimous' prof'
    by simp
  hence (A', V', p')  $\in$   $\mathcal{K}$ -els strong-unanimity
    by simp
  hence ((A, V, p), (A', V', p'))
     $\in$   $\bigcup$  (range ( $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}$  strong-unanimity))  $\times$   $\bigcup$  (range ( $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}$  strong-unanimity))
    using cons
    by blast
  moreover have  $\exists \pi \in$  carrier neutrality $_G$ .  $\varphi$ -neutr valid-elections  $\pi$  (A, V, p) =
    (A', V', p')
    using img bij
    unfolding neutrality $_G$ -def
    by blast
  ultimately show
    (A', V', p')  $\in$   $\bigcup$  (range ( $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}$  strong-unanimity))
    by blast
qed
end

```

4.4 Distance

```

theory Distance
  imports HOL-Library.Extended-Real
         HOL-Combinatorics.List-Permutation
         Social-Choice-Types/Profile
         Social-Choice-Types/Voting-Symmetry
begin

```

A general distance on a set X is a mapping $d: X \times X \mapsto R \cup \{+\infty\}$ such that for every x, y, z in X , the following four conditions are satisfied:

- $d(x, y) \geq 0$ (nonnegativity);
- $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$ (identity of indiscernibles);
- $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ (symmetry);
- $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ (triangle inequality).

Moreover, a mapping that satisfies all but the second conditions is called a pseudodistance, whereas a quasidistance needs to satisfy the first three conditions (and not necessarily the last one).

4.4.1 Definition

type-synonym $'a \text{ Distance} = 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{ereal}$

— The not curried version of a distance is defined on tuples.

fun $\text{dist}_{\mathcal{T}} :: 'a \text{ Distance} \Rightarrow ('a * 'a \Rightarrow \text{ereal})$ **where**
 $\text{dist}_{\mathcal{T}} d = (\lambda \text{pair}. d (\text{fst pair}) (\text{snd pair}))$

definition $\text{distance} :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ Distance} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{distance } S d \equiv \forall x y. x \in S \wedge y \in S \longrightarrow d x x = 0 \wedge 0 \leq d x y$

4.4.2 Conditions

definition $\text{symmetric} :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ Distance} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{symmetric } S d \equiv \forall x y. x \in S \wedge y \in S \longrightarrow d x y = d y x$

definition $\text{triangle-ineq} :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ Distance} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{triangle-ineq } S d \equiv \forall x y z. x \in S \wedge y \in S \wedge z \in S \longrightarrow d x z \leq d x y + d y z$

definition $\text{eq-if-zero} :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ Distance} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{eq-if-zero } S d \equiv \forall x y. x \in S \wedge y \in S \longrightarrow d x y = 0 \longrightarrow x = y$

definition $\text{vote-distance} :: ('a \text{ Vote set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ Vote Distance} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $'a \text{ Vote Distance} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{vote-distance } \pi d \equiv \pi \{(A, p). \text{linear-order-on } A p \wedge \text{finite } A\} d$

definition $\text{election-distance} ::$
 $((('a, 'v) \text{ Election set} \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Election Distance} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $('a, 'v) \text{ Election Distance} \Rightarrow \text{bool})$ **where**
 $\text{election-distance } \pi d \equiv \pi \{(A, V, p). \text{finite-profile } V A p\} d$

4.4.3 Standard Distance Property

definition $\text{standard} :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election Distance} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{standard } d \equiv \forall A A' V V' p p'. A \neq A' \vee V \neq V' \longrightarrow d (A, V, p) (A', V', p') = \infty$

4.4.4 Auxiliary Lemmas

fun $\text{arg-min-set} :: ('b \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{ord}) \Rightarrow 'b \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ set}$ **where**
 $\text{arg-min-set } f A = \text{Collect } (\text{is-arg-min } f (\lambda a. a \in A))$

lemma arg-min-subset :
fixes

```

    B :: 'b set and
    f :: ('b  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: ord)
  shows
    arg-min-set f B  $\subseteq$  B
proof (auto, unfold is-arg-min-def, simp)
qed

```

lemma *sum-monotone*:

```

fixes
  A :: 'a set and
  f :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  int and
  g :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  int
assumes  $\forall a \in A. f a \leq g a$ 
shows  $(\sum a \in A. f a) \leq (\sum a \in A. g a)$ 
using assms
by (induction A rule: infinite-finite-induct, simp-all)

```

lemma *distrib*:

```

fixes
  A :: 'a set and
  f :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  int and
  g :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  int
shows  $(\sum a \in A. f a) + (\sum a \in A. g a) = (\sum a \in A. f a + g a)$ 
using sum.distrib
by metis

```

lemma *distrib-ereal*:

```

fixes
  A :: 'a set and
  f :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  int and
  g :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  int
shows ereal (real-of-int (( $\sum a \in A. (f::'a \Rightarrow \text{int}) a$ ) + ( $\sum a \in A. g a$ ))) =
  ereal (real-of-int (( $\sum a \in A. (f a) + (g a)$ )))
using distrib[of f]
by simp

```

lemma *uneq-ereal*:

```

fixes
  x :: int and
  y :: int
assumes  $x \leq y$ 
shows ereal (real-of-int x)  $\leq$  ereal (real-of-int y)
using assms
by simp

```

4.4.5 Swap Distance

```

fun neq-ord :: 'a Preference-Relation  $\Rightarrow$  'a Preference-Relation  $\Rightarrow$ 
  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where

```

```

    neq-ord r s a b = ((a  $\preceq_r$  b  $\wedge$  b  $\preceq_s$  a)  $\vee$  (b  $\preceq_r$  a  $\wedge$  a  $\preceq_s$  b))

fun pairwise-disagreements :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a Preference-Relation  $\Rightarrow$ 
    'a Preference-Relation  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  'a) set where
    pairwise-disagreements A r s = {(a, b)  $\in$  A  $\times$  A. a  $\neq$  b  $\wedge$  neq-ord r s a b}

fun pairwise-disagreements' :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a Preference-Relation  $\Rightarrow$ 
    'a Preference-Relation  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  'a) set where
    pairwise-disagreements' A r s =
        Set.filter ( $\lambda$  (a, b). a  $\neq$  b  $\wedge$  neq-ord r s a b) (A  $\times$  A)

lemma set-eq-filter:
    fixes
        X :: 'a set and
        P :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool
    shows {x  $\in$  X. P x} = Set.filter P X
    by auto

lemma pairwise-disagreements-eq[code]: pairwise-disagreements = pairwise-disagreements'
    unfolding pairwise-disagreements.simps pairwise-disagreements'.simps
    by fastforce

fun swap :: 'a Vote Distance where
    swap (A, r) (A', r') =
        (if A = A'
         then card (pairwise-disagreements A r r')
         else  $\infty$ )

lemma swap-case-infinity:
    fixes
        x :: 'a Vote and
        y :: 'a Vote
    assumes alts- $\mathcal{V}$  x  $\neq$  alts- $\mathcal{V}$  y
    shows swap x y =  $\infty$ 
    using assms
    by (induction rule: swap.induct, simp)

lemma swap-case-fin:
    fixes
        x :: 'a Vote and
        y :: 'a Vote
    assumes alts- $\mathcal{V}$  x = alts- $\mathcal{V}$  y
    shows swap x y = card (pairwise-disagreements (alts- $\mathcal{V}$  x) (pref- $\mathcal{V}$  x) (pref- $\mathcal{V}$  y))
    using assms
    by (induction rule: swap.induct, simp)

```

4.4.6 Spearman Distance

```

fun spearman :: 'a Vote Distance where

```

$spearman (A, x) (A', y) =$
 (if $A = A'$
 then $\sum a \in A. abs (int (rank x a) - int (rank y a))$
 else ∞)

lemma *spearman-case-inf*:
fixes
 $x :: 'a \text{ Vote}$ **and**
 $y :: 'a \text{ Vote}$
assumes $alts\text{-}\mathcal{V} \ x \neq alts\text{-}\mathcal{V} \ y$
shows $spearman \ x \ y = \infty$
using *assms*
by (induction rule: *spearman.induct, simp*)

lemma *spearman-case-fin*:
fixes
 $x :: 'a \text{ Vote}$ **and**
 $y :: 'a \text{ Vote}$
assumes $alts\text{-}\mathcal{V} \ x = alts\text{-}\mathcal{V} \ y$
shows $spearman \ x \ y =$
 $(\sum a \in alts\text{-}\mathcal{V} \ x. abs (int (rank (pref\text{-}\mathcal{V} \ x) a) - int (rank (pref\text{-}\mathcal{V} \ y) a)))$
using *assms*
by (induction rule: *spearman.induct, simp*)

4.4.7 Properties

Distances that are invariant under specific relations induce symmetry properties in distance rationalized voting rules.

Definitions

fun *totally-invariant-dist* ::
 $'x \text{ Distance} \Rightarrow 'x \text{ rel} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $totally\text{-invariant}\text{-}dist \ d \ rel = satisfies (dist_{\mathcal{T}} \ d) (Invariance (product\text{-}rel \ rel))$

fun *invariant-dist* ::
 $'y \text{ Distance} \Rightarrow 'x \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'y \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('x, 'y) \text{ binary-fun} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $invariant\text{-}dist \ d \ X \ Y \ \varphi = satisfies (dist_{\mathcal{T}} \ d) (Invariance (equivariance\text{-}rel \ X \ Y \ \varphi))$

definition *distance-anonymity* :: $('a, 'v) \text{ Election} \text{ Distance} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $distance\text{-}anonymity \ d \equiv$
 $\forall A \ A' \ V \ V' \ p \ p' \ \pi :: ('v \Rightarrow 'v).$
 $(bij \ \pi \longrightarrow$
 $(d \ (A, V, p) \ (A', V', p')) =$
 $(d \ (rename \ \pi \ (A, V, p)) \ (rename \ \pi \ (A', V', p'))))$

fun *distance-anonymity'* :: $('a, 'v) \text{ Election set} \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Election Distance} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where

$distance-anonymity' X d = invariant-dist d (carrier anonymity_G) X (\varphi-anon X)$

fun *distance-neutrality* ::

$(\text{'a}, \text{'v}) Election set \Rightarrow (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) Election Distance \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $distance-neutrality X d = invariant-dist d (carrier neutrality_G) X (\varphi-neutr X)$

fun *distance-reversal-symmetry* ::

$(\text{'a}, \text{'v}) Election set \Rightarrow (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) Election Distance \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $distance-reversal-symmetry X d = invariant-dist d (carrier reversal_G) X (\varphi-rev X)$

definition *distance-homogeneity'* ::

$(\text{'a}, \text{'v}::linorder) Election set \Rightarrow (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) Election Distance \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $distance-homogeneity' X d = totally-invariant-dist d (homogeneity_{\mathcal{R}}' X)$

definition *distance-homogeneity* ::

$(\text{'a}, \text{'v}) Election set \Rightarrow (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) Election Distance \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $distance-homogeneity X d = totally-invariant-dist d (homogeneity_{\mathcal{R}} X)$

Auxiliary Lemmas

lemma *rewrite-totally-invariant-dist*:

fixes

$d :: \text{'x} Distance$ **and**

$r :: \text{'x} rel$

shows $totally-invariant-dist d r = (\forall (x, y) \in r. \forall (a, b) \in r. d a x = d b y)$

proof (*safe*)

fix

$a :: \text{'x}$ **and** $b :: \text{'x}$ **and** $x :: \text{'x}$ **and** $y :: \text{'x}$

assume

$inv: totally-invariant-dist d r$ **and**

$(a, b) \in r$ **and** $(x, y) \in r$

hence $rel: ((a, x), (b, y)) \in product-rel r$

by *simp*

hence $dist_{\mathcal{T}} d (a, x) = dist_{\mathcal{T}} d (b, y)$

using *inv*

unfolding *totally-invariant-dist.simps satisfies.simps*

by *blast*

thus $d a x = d b y$

by *simp*

next

show $\forall (x, y) \in r. \forall (a, b) \in r. d a x = d b y \implies totally-invariant-dist d r$

proof (*unfold totally-invariant-dist.simps satisfies.simps product-rel.simps, safe*)

fix

$a :: \text{'x}$ **and** $b :: \text{'x}$ **and** $x :: \text{'x}$ **and** $y :: \text{'x}$

assume

$\forall (x, y) \in r. \forall (a, b) \in r. d a x = d b y$ **and**

$(fst (x, a), fst (y, b)) \in r$ **and** $(snd (x, a), snd (y, b)) \in r$

hence $d x a = d y b$

```

    by auto
  thus  $\text{dist}_{\mathcal{T}} d (x, a) = \text{dist}_{\mathcal{T}} d (y, b)$ 
    by simp
qed
qed

lemma rewrite-invariant-dist:
  fixes
     $d :: 'y \text{ Distance}$  and
     $X :: 'x \text{ set}$  and
     $Y :: 'y \text{ set}$  and
     $\varphi :: ('x, 'y) \text{ binary-fun}$ 
  shows  $\text{invariant-dist } d \ X \ Y \ \varphi = (\forall x \in X. \forall y \in Y. \forall z \in Y. d \ y \ z = d (\varphi \ x \ y) (\varphi \ x \ z))$ 
  proof (safe)
    fix  $x :: 'x$  and  $y :: 'y$  and  $z :: 'y$ 
    assume
       $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$  and  $z \in Y$  and
       $\text{invariant-dist } d \ X \ Y \ \varphi$ 
    thus  $d \ y \ z = d (\varphi \ x \ y) (\varphi \ x \ z)$ 
      by fastforce
  next
    show  $\forall x \in X. \forall y \in Y. \forall z \in Y. d \ y \ z = d (\varphi \ x \ y) (\varphi \ x \ z) \implies \text{invariant-dist } d \ X \ Y \ \varphi$ 
  proof (unfold invariant-dist.simps satisfies.simps equivariance-rel.simps, safe)
    fix  $x :: 'x$  and  $a :: 'y$  and  $b :: 'y$ 
    assume
       $\forall x \in X. \forall y \in Y. \forall z \in Y. d \ y \ z = d (\varphi \ x \ y) (\varphi \ x \ z)$  and
       $x \in X$  and  $a \in Y$  and  $b \in Y$ 
    hence  $d \ a \ b = d (\varphi \ x \ a) (\varphi \ x \ b)$ 
      by blast
    thus  $\text{dist}_{\mathcal{T}} d (a, b) = \text{dist}_{\mathcal{T}} d (\varphi \ x \ a, \varphi \ x \ b)$ 
      by simp
  qed
qed

lemma invar-dist-image:
  fixes
     $d :: 'y \text{ Distance}$  and
     $G :: 'x \text{ monoid}$  and
     $Y :: 'y \text{ set}$  and
     $Y' :: 'y \text{ set}$  and
     $\varphi :: ('x, 'y) \text{ binary-fun}$  and
     $y :: 'y$  and
     $g :: 'x$ 
  assumes
     $\text{invar-d: invariant-dist } d (\text{carrier } G) \ Y \ \varphi$  and
     $Y' \subseteq Y$  and  $\text{grp-act: group-action } G \ Y \ \varphi$  and
     $g \in \text{carrier } G$  and  $y \in Y$ 

```



```

shows
  d (φ g y) ‘ (φ g) ‘ Y' = d y ‘ Y'
proof (safe)
  fix
    y' :: 'y
  assume
    y' ∈ Y'
  hence ((y, y'), ((φ g y), (φ g y'))) ∈ equivariance-rel (carrier G) Y φ
  using ⟨Y' ⊆ Y⟩ ⟨y ∈ Y⟩ ⟨g ∈ carrier G⟩
  unfolding equivariance-rel.simps
  by blast
  hence eq-dist: distT d ((φ g y), (φ g y')) = distT d (y, y')
  using invar-d
  unfolding invariant-dist.simps
  by fastforce
  thus d (φ g y) (φ g y') ∈ d y ‘ Y'
  using ⟨y' ∈ Y'⟩
  by simp
  have φ g y' ∈ φ g ‘ Y'
  using ⟨y' ∈ Y'⟩
  by simp
  thus d y y' ∈ d (φ g y) ‘ φ g ‘ Y'
  using eq-dist
  unfolding distT.simps
  by (simp add: rev-image-eqI)
qed

lemma swap-neutral:
  invariant-dist swap (carrier neutralityG) UNIV (λπ (A, q). (π ‘ A, rel-rename π q))
proof (simp only: rewrite-invariant-dist, safe)
  fix
    π :: 'a ⇒ 'a and
    A :: 'a set and
    q :: 'a rel and
    A' :: 'a set and
    q' :: 'a rel
  assume
    π ∈ carrier neutralityG
  hence bij: bij π
  unfolding neutralityG-def
  using rewrite-carrier
  by blast
  show swap (A, q) (A', q') = swap (π ‘ A, rel-rename π q) (π ‘ A', rel-rename π q')
proof (cases A = A')
  let ?f = (λ(a, b). (π a, π b))
  let ?swap-set = {(a, b) ∈ A × A. a ≠ b ∧ neq-ord q q' a b}
  let ?swap-set' =

```

```

    {(a, b) ∈ π ' A × π ' A. a ≠ b ∧ neq-ord (rel-rename π q) (rel-rename π q')}
a b}
  let ?rel = {(a, b) ∈ A × A. a ≠ b ∧ neq-ord q q' a b}
  case True
  hence π ' A = π ' A'
    by simp
  hence swap (π ' A, rel-rename π q) (π ' A', rel-rename π q') = card ?swap-set'
    by simp
  moreover have bij-betw ?f ?swap-set ?swap-set'
  proof (unfold bij-betw-def inj-on-def, standard, standard, standard, standard)
    fix
      x :: 'a × 'a and y :: 'a × 'a
    assume
      x ∈ ?swap-set and y ∈ ?swap-set and ?f x = ?f y
    hence π (fst x) = π (fst y) ∧ π (snd x) = π (snd y)
      by auto
    hence fst x = fst y ∧ snd x = snd y
      using bij bij-pointE
    by metis
    thus x = y
      by (simp add: prod.expand)
  next
  show ?f ' ?swap-set = ?swap-set'
  proof
    have ∀ a b. (a, b) ∈ A × A ⟶ (π a, π b) ∈ π ' A × π ' A
      by simp
    moreover have ∀ a b. a ≠ b ⟶ π a ≠ π b
      using bij
      by (metis bij-pointE)
    moreover have
      ∀ a b. neq-ord q q' a b ⟶ neq-ord (rel-rename π q) (rel-rename π q') (π
a) (π b)
      unfolding neq-ord.simps rel-rename.simps
      by auto
    ultimately show ?f ' ?swap-set ⊆ ?swap-set'
      by auto
  next
  have ∀ a b. (a, b) ∈ (rel-rename π q) ⟶ (the-inv π a, the-inv π b) ∈ q
    unfolding rel-rename.simps
    using bij bij-is-inj the-inv-f-f
    by fastforce
  moreover have ∀ a b. (a, b) ∈ (rel-rename π q') ⟶ (the-inv π a, the-inv
π b) ∈ q'
    unfolding rel-rename.simps
    using bij bij-is-inj the-inv-f-f
    by fastforce
  ultimately have ∀ a b. neq-ord (rel-rename π q) (rel-rename π q') a b ⟶
neq-ord q q' (the-inv π a) (the-inv π b)
    unfolding neq-ord.simps

```

```

      by simp
    moreover have  $\forall a\ b. (a, b) \in \pi \text{ ` } A \times \pi \text{ ` } A \longrightarrow (the\_inv\ \pi\ a, the\_inv\ \pi\ b) \in A \times A$ 
      using bij bij-is-inj f-the-inv-into-f inj-image-mem-iff
      by fastforce
    moreover have  $\forall a\ b. a \neq b \longrightarrow the\_inv\ \pi\ a \neq the\_inv\ \pi\ b$ 
      using bij UNIV-I bij-betw-imp-surj bij-is-inj f-the-inv-into-f
      by metis
    ultimately have
       $\forall a\ b. (a, b) \in ?swap\_set' \longrightarrow (the\_inv\ \pi\ a, the\_inv\ \pi\ b) \in ?swap\_set$ 
      by blast
    moreover have  $\forall a\ b. (a, b) = ?f\ (the\_inv\ \pi\ a, the\_inv\ \pi\ b)$ 
      using bij
      by (simp add: f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw)
    ultimately show  $?swap\_set' \subseteq ?f \text{ ` } ?swap\_set$ 
      by blast
  qed
qed
moreover have  $card\ ?swap\_set = swap\ (A, q)\ (A', q')$ 
  using True
  by simp
ultimately show ?thesis
  by (simp add: bij-betw-same-card)
next
case False
hence  $\pi \text{ ` } A \neq \pi \text{ ` } A'$ 
  using bij
  by (simp add: bij-is-inj inj-image-eq-iff)
hence  $swap\ (A, q)\ (A', q') = \infty \wedge$ 
   $swap\ (\pi \text{ ` } A, rel\_rename\ \pi\ q)\ (\pi \text{ ` } A', rel\_rename\ \pi\ q') = \infty$ 
  using False
  by simp
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
qed
end

```

4.5 Distance Rationalization

```

theory Distance-Rationalization
  imports HOL-Combinatorics.Multiset-Permutations
         Social-Choice-Types/Refined-Types/Preference-List
         Consensus-Class
         Distance
begin

```

A distance rationalization of a voting rule is its interpretation as a procedure that elects an uncontroversial winner if there is one, and otherwise elects the alternatives that are as close to becoming an uncontroversial winner as possible. Within general distance rationalization, a voting rule is characterized by a distance on profiles and a consensus class.

4.5.1 Definitions

Returns the distance of an election to the preimage of a unique winner under the given consensus elections and consensus rule.

```
fun score ::
  ('a, 'v) Election Distance  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v, 'r Result) Consensus-Class
   $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Election  $\Rightarrow$  'r  $\Rightarrow$  ereal where
    score d K E w = Inf (d E ' (KE K w))

fun (in result) RW ::
  ('a, 'v) Election Distance  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v, 'r Result) Consensus-Class
   $\Rightarrow$  'v set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Profile  $\Rightarrow$  'r set where
    RW d K V A p = arg-min-set (score d K (A, V, p)) (limit-set A UNIV)

fun (in result) distance-R ::
  ('a, 'v) Election Distance  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v, 'r Result) Consensus-Class
   $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v, 'r Result) Electoral-Module
  where
    distance-R d K V A p = (RW d K V A p, (limit-set A UNIV) - RW d K V
    A p, {})
```

4.5.2 Standard Definitions

definition standard :: ('a, 'v) Election Distance \Rightarrow bool **where**
 standard d $\equiv \forall A A' V V' p p'. (V \neq V' \vee A \neq A') \longrightarrow d(A, V, p)(A', V', p') = \infty$

definition non-voters-irrelevant :: ('a, 'v) Election Distance \Rightarrow bool **where**
 non-voters-irrelevant d $\equiv \forall A A' V V' p q p'.$
 $(\forall v \in V. p v = q v) \longrightarrow (d(A, V, p)(A', V', p') = d(A, V, q)(A', V', p')$
 $\wedge (d(A', V', p')(A, V, p) = d(A', V', p')(A, V, q)))$

Creates a set of all possible profiles on a finite alternative set that are empty everywhere outside of a given finite voter set.

```
fun all-profiles :: 'v set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  (('a, 'v) Profile) set where
  all-profiles V A =
    (if (infinite A  $\vee$  infinite V)
     then {} else {p. p ' V  $\subseteq$  (pl- $\alpha$  ' permutations-of-set A)})
```

export-code all-profiles in Haskell

fun $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}\text{-std} ::$
 $(\text{'a}, \text{'v}, \text{'r Result}) \text{ Consensus-Class} \Rightarrow \text{'r} \Rightarrow \text{'a set} \Rightarrow \text{'v set} \Rightarrow (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election set}$
where
 $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}\text{-std } K \text{ w } A \text{ V} =$
 $(\lambda p. (A, V, p)) \text{ ' (Set.filter}$
 $(\lambda p. (\text{consensus-}\mathcal{K} \text{ } K) (A, V, p) \wedge \text{elect (rule-}\mathcal{K} \text{ } K) \text{ V } A \text{ } p =$
 $\{w\})$
 $(\text{all-profiles } V \text{ } A))$

Returns those consensus elections on a given alternative and voter set from a given consensus that are mapped to the given unique winner by a given consensus rule.

fun $\text{score-std} ::$
 $(\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election Distance} \Rightarrow (\text{'a}, \text{'v}, \text{'r Result}) \text{ Consensus-Class}$
 $\Rightarrow (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election} \Rightarrow \text{'r} \Rightarrow \text{ereal}$
where
 $\text{score-std } d \text{ } K \text{ } E \text{ } w =$
 $(\text{if } \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}\text{-std } K \text{ w } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \text{ } E) (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \text{ } E) = \{\}$
 $\text{then } \infty \text{ else Min } (d \text{ } E \text{ ' } (\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}\text{-std } K \text{ w } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \text{ } E) (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \text{ } E))))$

fun $(\text{in result}) \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}\text{-std} ::$
 $(\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election Distance} \Rightarrow (\text{'a}, \text{'v}, \text{'r Result}) \text{ Consensus-Class}$
 $\Rightarrow \text{'v set} \Rightarrow \text{'a set} \Rightarrow (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Profile} \Rightarrow \text{'r set} \text{ **where**}$
 $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}\text{-std } d \text{ } K \text{ } V \text{ } A \text{ } p = \text{arg-min-set } (\text{score-std } d \text{ } K \text{ } (A, V, p)) (\text{limit-set } A \text{ } UNIV)$

fun $(\text{in result}) \text{distance-}\mathcal{R}\text{-std} ::$
 $(\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election Distance} \Rightarrow (\text{'a}, \text{'v}, \text{'r Result}) \text{ Consensus-Class}$
 $\Rightarrow (\text{'a}, \text{'v}, \text{'r Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$
where
 $\text{distance-}\mathcal{R}\text{-std } d \text{ } K \text{ } V \text{ } A \text{ } p = (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}\text{-std } d \text{ } K \text{ } V \text{ } A \text{ } p, (\text{limit-set } A \text{ } UNIV) - \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}\text{-std}$
 $d \text{ } K \text{ } V \text{ } A \text{ } p, \{\})$

4.5.3 Auxiliary Lemmas

lemma $\mathcal{K}\text{-els-fin}$:
fixes
 $C :: (\text{'a}, \text{'v}, \text{'r Result}) \text{ Consensus-Class}$
shows
 $\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C \subseteq \text{finite-elections}$
proof
fix
 $E :: (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election}$
assume
 $E \in \mathcal{K}\text{-els } C$
hence $\text{finite-election } E$
unfolding $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}.\text{sims}$
by force
thus $E \in \text{finite-elections}$
unfolding $\text{finite-elections-def}$

by simp
qed

lemma *K-els-univ*:

fixes
 $C :: ('a, 'v, 'r \text{ Result}) \text{ Consensus-Class}$
shows
 $\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C \subseteq \text{UNIV}$
by simp

lemma *list-cons-presv-finiteness*:

fixes
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ and
 $S :: 'a \text{ list set}$
assumes
 $\text{fin-A: finite } A$ and
 $\text{fin-B: finite } S$
shows $\text{finite } \{a\#l \mid a \text{ l. } a \in A \wedge l \in S\}$
proof –
 let $?P = \lambda A. \text{finite } \{a\#l \mid a \text{ l. } a \in A \wedge l \in S\}$
 have $\bigwedge a A'. \text{finite } A' \implies a \notin A' \implies ?P A' \implies ?P (\text{insert } a A')$
 proof –
 fix
 $a :: 'a$ and
 $A' :: 'a \text{ set}$
 assume
 $\text{fin: finite } A'$ and
 $\text{not-in: } a \notin A'$ and
 $\text{fin-set: finite } \{a\#l \mid a \text{ l. } a \in A' \wedge l \in S\}$
 have $\{a'\#l \mid a' \text{ l. } a' \in \text{insert } a A' \wedge l \in S\}$
 $= \{a\#l \mid a \text{ l. } a \in A' \wedge l \in S\} \cup \{a\#l \mid l. l \in S\}$
 by auto
 moreover have $\text{finite } \{a\#l \mid l. l \in S\}$
 using fin-B
 by simp
 ultimately have $\text{finite } \{a'\#l \mid a' \text{ l. } a' \in \text{insert } a A' \wedge l \in S\}$
 using fin-set
 by simp
 thus $?P (\text{insert } a A')$
 by simp
 qed
 moreover have $?P \{\}$
 by simp
 ultimately show $?P A$
 using finite-induct[of A ?P] fin-A
 by simp
qed

lemma *listset-finiteness*:

```

fixes  $l :: 'a \text{ set list}$ 
assumes  $\forall i :: \text{nat}. i < \text{length } l \longrightarrow \text{finite } (!i)$ 
shows  $\text{finite } (\text{listset } l)$ 
using assms
proof (induct l, simp)
  case (Cons a l)
  fix
     $a :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $l :: 'a \text{ set list}$ 
  assume
    elems-fin-then-set-fin:  $\forall i :: \text{nat} < \text{length } l. \text{finite } (!i) \implies \text{finite } (\text{listset } l)$  and
    fin-all-elems:  $\forall i :: \text{nat} < \text{length } (a \# l). \text{finite } ((a \# l)!i)$ 
  hence  $\text{finite } a$ 
    by auto
  moreover from fin-all-elems
  have  $\forall i < \text{length } l. \text{finite } (!i)$ 
    by auto
  hence  $\text{finite } (\text{listset } l)$ 
    using elems-fin-then-set-fin
    by simp
  ultimately have  $\text{finite } \{a' \# l' \mid a' l'. a' \in a \wedge l' \in (\text{listset } l)\}$ 
    using list-cons-presv-finiteness
    by auto
  thus  $\text{finite } (\text{listset } (a \# l))$ 
    by (simp add: set-Cons-def)
qed

```

```

lemma ls-entries-empty-imp-ls-set-empty:
  fixes  $l :: 'a \text{ set list}$ 
  assumes
     $0 < \text{length } l$  and
     $\forall i :: \text{nat}. i < \text{length } l \longrightarrow !i = \{\}$ 
  shows  $\text{listset } l = \{\}$ 
  using assms
proof (induct l, simp)
  case (Cons a l)
  fix
     $a :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $l :: 'a \text{ set list}$ 
  assume all-elems-empty:  $\forall i :: \text{nat} < \text{length } (a \# l). (a \# l)!i = \{\}$ 
  hence  $a = \{\}$ 
    by auto
  moreover from all-elems-empty
  have  $\forall i < \text{length } l. !i = \{\}$ 
    by auto
  ultimately have  $\{a' \# l' \mid a' l'. a' \in a \wedge l' \in (\text{listset } l)\} = \{\}$ 
    by simp
  thus  $\text{listset } (a \# l) = \{\}$ 
    by (simp add: set-Cons-def)

```

qed

lemma *all-ls-elems-same-len*:

fixes $l :: 'a \text{ set list}$

shows $\forall l' :: ('a \text{ list}). l' \in \text{listset } l \longrightarrow \text{length } l' = \text{length } l$

proof (*induct l, simp*)

case (*Cons a l*)

fix

$a :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$l :: 'a \text{ set list}$

assume $\forall l'. l' \in \text{listset } l \longrightarrow \text{length } l' = \text{length } l$

moreover have

$\forall a' l' :: ('a \text{ set list}). \text{listset } (a' \# l') = \{b \# m \mid b \text{ m. } b \in a' \wedge m \in \text{listset } l'\}$

by (*simp add: set-Cons-def*)

ultimately show $\forall l'. l' \in \text{listset } (a \# l) \longrightarrow \text{length } l' = \text{length } (a \# l)$

using *local.Cons*

by force

qed

lemma *all-ls-elems-in-ls-set*:

fixes $l :: 'a \text{ set list}$

shows $\forall l' i :: \text{nat}. l' \in \text{listset } l \wedge i < \text{length } l' \longrightarrow l'!i \in l!i$

proof (*induct l, simp, safe*)

case (*Cons a l*)

fix

$a :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$l :: 'a \text{ set list}$ **and**

$l' :: 'a \text{ list}$ **and**

$i :: \text{nat}$

assume *elems-in-set-then-elems-pos*:

$\forall l' i :: \text{nat}. l' \in \text{listset } l \wedge i < \text{length } l' \longrightarrow l'!i \in l!i$ **and**

l-prime-in-set-a-l: $l' \in \text{listset } (a \# l)$ **and**

i-lt-len-l-prime: $i < \text{length } l'$

have $l' \in \text{set-Cons } a (\text{listset } l)$

using *l-prime-in-set-a-l*

by simp

hence $l' \in \{m. \exists b m'. m = b \# m' \wedge b \in a \wedge m' \in (\text{listset } l)\}$

unfolding *set-Cons-def*

by simp

hence $\exists b m. l' = b \# m \wedge b \in a \wedge m \in (\text{listset } l)$

by simp

thus $l'!i \in (a \# l)!i$

using *elems-in-set-then-elems-pos i-lt-len-l-prime nth-Cons-Suc*

Suc-less-eq gr0-conv-Suc length-Cons nth-non-equal-first-eq

by metis

qed

lemma *fin-all-profs*:

fixes


```

  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  x :: 'a Preference-Relation
assumes
  finA: finite A and
  finV: finite V
shows finite (all-profiles V A  $\cap$  {p.  $\forall v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p v = x$ })
proof (cases A = {})
  let ?profs = (all-profiles V A  $\cap$  {p.  $\forall v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p v = x$ })
  case True
  hence permutations-of-set A = {}
    unfolding permutations-of-set-def
    by fastforce
  hence pl- $\alpha$  ' permutations-of-set A = {}
    unfolding pl- $\alpha$ -def
    using is-less-preferred-than-l.simps
    by simp
  hence  $\forall p \in \text{all-profiles } V A. \forall v. v \in V \longrightarrow p v = \{ \}$ 
    by (simp add: image-subset-iff)
  hence  $\forall p \in ?profs. (\forall v. v \in V \longrightarrow p v = \{ \}) \wedge (\forall v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p v = x)$ 
    by simp
  hence  $\forall p \in ?profs. p = (\lambda v. (\text{if } v \in V \text{ then } \{ \} \text{ else } x))$ 
    by meson
  hence  $?profs \subseteq \{(\lambda v. (\text{if } v \in V \text{ then } \{ \} \text{ else } x))\}$ 
    by auto
  thus finite ?profs
    by (meson finite.emptyI finite-insert finite-subset)
next
  let ?profs = (all-profiles V A  $\cap$  {p.  $\forall v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p v = x$ })
  case False
  from finV obtain ord where linear-order-on V ord
    by (metis finite-list lin-ord-equiv lin-order-equiv-list-of-alts)
  then obtain list-V where
    len: length list-V = card V and
    pl: ord = pl- $\alpha$  list-V and
    perm: list-V  $\in$  permutations-of-set V
    using lin-order-pl- $\alpha$  finV image-iff length-finite-permutations-of-set
    by metis
  let ?map =  $\lambda p::('a, 'v) \text{ Profile. map } p \text{ list-V}$ 
  have  $\forall p \in \text{all-profiles } V A. (\forall v \in V. p v \in (\text{pl-}\alpha \text{ ' permutations-of-set } A))$ 
    by (simp add: image-subset-iff)
  hence  $\forall p \in \text{all-profiles } V A. (\forall v \in V. \text{linear-order-on } A (p v))$ 
    using pl- $\alpha$ -lin-order finA False
    by metis
  moreover have  $\forall p \in ?profs. \forall i < \text{length } (?map p). (?map p)!i = p (\text{list-V}!i)$ 
    by auto
  moreover have  $\forall i < \text{length list-V}. \text{list-V}!i \in V$ 
    using perm nth-mem permutations-of-setD(1)
    by blast

```

moreover have *lens-eq*: $\forall p \in ?\text{profs}. \text{length } (?map\ p) = \text{length } \text{list-}V$
by *simp*
ultimately have $\forall p \in ?\text{profs}. \forall i < \text{length } (?map\ p). \text{linear-order-on } A\ ((?map\ p)!i)$
by *simp*
hence *subset*: $?map\ ' \ ?\text{profs} \subseteq \{xs. \text{length } xs = \text{card } V \wedge (\forall i < \text{length } xs. \text{linear-order-on } A\ (xs!i))\}$
using *len lens-eq*
by *force*
have $\forall p1\ p2. (p1 \in ?\text{profs} \wedge p2 \in ?\text{profs} \wedge p1 \neq p2) \longrightarrow (\exists v \in V. p1\ v \neq p2\ v)$
by *fastforce*
hence $\forall p1\ p2. (p1 \in ?\text{profs} \wedge p2 \in ?\text{profs} \wedge p1 \neq p2) \longrightarrow (\exists v \in \text{set } \text{list-}V. p1\ v \neq p2\ v)$
using *perm*
unfolding *permutations-of-set-def*
by *simp*
hence $\forall p1\ p2. (p1 \in ?\text{profs} \wedge p2 \in ?\text{profs} \wedge p1 \neq p2) \longrightarrow (?map\ p1 \neq ?map\ p2)$
by *simp*
hence *inj-on* $?map\ ?\text{profs}$
unfolding *inj-on-def*
by *meson*
moreover have *finite* $\{xs. \text{length } xs = \text{card } V \wedge (\forall i < \text{length } xs. \text{linear-order-on } A\ (xs!i))\}$
proof –
have *finite* $\{r. \text{linear-order-on } A\ r\}$
using *finA*
unfolding *linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def preorder-on-def refl-on-def*
by *auto*
hence *finSupset*: $\forall n. \text{finite } \{xs. \text{length } xs = n \wedge \text{set } xs \subseteq \{r. \text{linear-order-on } A\ r\}\}$
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *Collect-mono finite-lists-length-eq rev-finite-subset*)
have $\forall l \in \{xs. \text{length } xs = \text{card } V \wedge (\forall i < \text{length } xs. \text{linear-order-on } A\ (xs!i))\}.$
 $\text{set } l \subseteq \{r. \text{linear-order-on } A\ r\}$
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *in-set-conv-nth mem-Collect-eq subsetI*)
hence $\{xs. \text{length } xs = \text{card } V \wedge (\forall i < \text{length } xs. \text{linear-order-on } A\ (xs!i))\}$
 $\subseteq \{xs. \text{length } xs = \text{card } V \wedge \text{set } xs \subseteq \{r. \text{linear-order-on } A\ r\}\}$
by *auto*
thus *?thesis*
using *finSupset*
by (*meson rev-finite-subset*)
qed
moreover have $\forall f\ X\ Y. \text{inj-on } f\ X \wedge \text{finite } Y \wedge f\ ' X \subseteq Y \longrightarrow \text{finite } X$
by (*meson finite-imageD finite-subset*)
ultimately show *finite* $?profs$
using *subset*

```

    by blast
qed

lemma profile-permutation-set:
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v set
  shows all-profiles V A =
    {p' :: ('a, 'v) Profile. finite-profile V A p'}
proof (cases finite A  $\wedge$  finite V  $\wedge$  A  $\neq$  {}, clarsimp)
  assume
    fin-A: finite A and
    fin-V: finite V and
    non-empty: A  $\neq$  {}
  show { $\pi$ .  $\pi$  ' V  $\subseteq$  pl- $\alpha$  ' permutations-of-set A} = {p'. profile V A p'}
proof
  show { $\pi$ .  $\pi$  ' V  $\subseteq$  pl- $\alpha$  ' permutations-of-set A}  $\subseteq$  {p'. profile V A p'}
proof (rule, clarify)
  fix
    p' :: 'v  $\Rightarrow$  'a Preference-Relation
  assume
    subset: p' ' V  $\subseteq$  pl- $\alpha$  ' permutations-of-set A
  hence  $\forall v \in V$ . p' v  $\in$  pl- $\alpha$  ' permutations-of-set A
  by auto
  hence  $\forall v \in V$ . linear-order-on A (p' v)
  using fin-A pl- $\alpha$ -lin-order non-empty
  by metis
  thus profile V A p'
  using profile-def
  by auto
qed
next
show {p'. profile V A p'}  $\subseteq$  { $\pi$ .  $\pi$  ' V  $\subseteq$  pl- $\alpha$  ' permutations-of-set A}
proof (rule, clarify)
  fix
    p' :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
    v :: 'v
  assume
    prof: profile V A p' and
    el: v  $\in$  V
  hence linear-order-on A (p' v)
  unfolding profile-def
  by simp
  thus (p' v)  $\in$  pl- $\alpha$  ' permutations-of-set A
  using fin-A lin-order-pl- $\alpha$ 
  by simp
qed
qed
next

```

assume *not-fin-empty*: $\neg (\text{finite } A \wedge \text{finite } V \wedge A \neq \{\})$
have $(\text{finite } A \wedge \text{finite } V \wedge A = \{\}) \implies \text{permutations-of-set } A = \{\{\}\}$
unfolding *permutations-of-set-def*
by *fastforce*
hence *pl-empty*: $(\text{finite } A \wedge \text{finite } V \wedge A = \{\}) \implies \text{pl-}\alpha \text{ ' permutations-of-set }$
 $A = \{\{\}\}$
unfolding *pl- α -def*
by *simp*
hence $(\text{finite } A \wedge \text{finite } V \wedge A = \{\}) \implies$
 $\forall \pi \in \{\pi. \pi \text{ ' } V \subseteq (\text{pl-}\alpha \text{ ' permutations-of-set } A)\}. (\forall v \in V. \pi \text{ } v = \{\})$
by *fastforce*
hence $(\text{finite } A \wedge \text{finite } V \wedge A = \{\}) \implies$
 $\{\pi. \pi \text{ ' } V \subseteq (\text{pl-}\alpha \text{ ' permutations-of-set } A)\} = \{\pi. (\forall v \in V. \pi \text{ } v = \{\})\}$
using *image-subset-iff singletonD singletonI pl-empty*

by *auto*
moreover have $(\text{finite } A \wedge \text{finite } V \wedge A = \{\})$
 $\implies \text{all-profiles } V \text{ } A = \{\pi. \pi \text{ ' } V \subseteq (\text{pl-}\alpha \text{ ' permutations-of-set } A)\}$
by *simp*
ultimately have *all-prof-eq*: $(\text{finite } A \wedge \text{finite } V \wedge A = \{\})$
 $\implies \text{all-profiles } V \text{ } A = \{\pi. (\forall v \in V. \pi \text{ } v = \{\})\}$
by *simp*
have $(\text{finite } A \wedge \text{finite } V \wedge A = \{\})$
 $\implies \forall p' \in \{p'. \text{finite-profile } V \text{ } A \text{ } p' \wedge (\forall v'. v' \notin V \longrightarrow p' \text{ } v' = \{\})\}.$
 $(\forall v \in V. \text{linear-order-on } \{\} (p' \text{ } v))$
unfolding *profile-def*
by *simp*
moreover have $\forall r. \text{linear-order-on } \{\} r \longrightarrow r = \{\}$
by *(meson lin-ord-not-empty)*
ultimately have $(\text{finite } A \wedge \text{finite } V \wedge A = \{\})$
 $\implies \forall p' \in \{p'. \text{finite-profile } V \text{ } A \text{ } p' \wedge (\forall v'. v' \notin V \longrightarrow p' \text{ } v' = \{\})\}.$
 $(\forall v. p' \text{ } v = \{\})$
by *blast*
hence $(\text{finite } A \wedge \text{finite } V \wedge A = \{\})$
 $\implies \{p'. \text{finite-profile } V \text{ } A \text{ } p'\} = \{p'. (\forall v \in V. p' \text{ } v = \{\})\}$
using *lin-ord-not-empty linear-order-on-empty profile-def*
by *(metis (no-types, opaque-lifting))*
hence $(\text{finite } A \wedge \text{finite } V \wedge A = \{\})$
 $\implies \text{all-profiles } V \text{ } A = \{p'. \text{finite-profile } V \text{ } A \text{ } p'\}$
using *all-prof-eq*
by *simp*
moreover have $(\text{infinite } A \vee \text{infinite } V) \implies \text{all-profiles } V \text{ } A = \{\}$
by *simp*
moreover have $(\text{infinite } A \vee \text{infinite } V) \implies$
 $\{p'. \text{finite-profile } V \text{ } A \text{ } p' \wedge (\forall v'. v' \notin V \longrightarrow p' \text{ } v' = \{\})\} = \{\}$
by *auto*
moreover have $(\text{infinite } A \vee \text{infinite } V) \vee A = \{\}$ **using** *not-fin-empty* **by** *simp*
ultimately show $\text{all-profiles } V \text{ } A = \{p'. \text{finite-profile } V \text{ } A \text{ } p'\}$
by *blast*

qed

4.5.4 Soundness

```

lemma (in result)  $\mathcal{R}$ -sound:
  fixes
     $K :: ('a, 'v, 'r \text{ Result}) \text{ Consensus-Class}$  and
     $d :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election Distance}$ 
  shows electoral-module ( $\text{distance-}\mathcal{R} \ d \ K$ )
proof (unfold electoral-module-def, safe)
  fix
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
  have  $\mathcal{R}_W \ d \ K \ V \ A \ p \subseteq (\text{limit-set } A \ \text{UNIV})$ 
    using  $\mathcal{R}_W.\text{sims} \ \text{arg-min-subset}$ 
    by force
  hence set-equals-partition ( $\text{limit-set } A \ \text{UNIV}$ ) ( $\text{distance-}\mathcal{R} \ d \ K \ V \ A \ p$ )
    using  $\text{distance-}\mathcal{R}.\text{sims}$ 
    by auto
  moreover have disjoint3 ( $\text{distance-}\mathcal{R} \ d \ K \ V \ A \ p$ )
    using  $\text{distance-}\mathcal{R}.\text{sims}$ 
    by simp
  ultimately show well-formed  $A$  ( $\text{distance-}\mathcal{R} \ d \ K \ V \ A \ p$ )
    using result-axioms result-def
    by blast
qed

```

4.5.5 Inference Rules

```

lemma is-arg-min-equal:
  fixes
     $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b::\text{ord}$  and
     $g :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b$  and
     $S :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $x :: 'a$ 
  assumes  $\forall x \in S. f \ x = g \ x$ 
  shows is-arg-min  $f \ (\lambda s. s \in S) \ x = \text{is-arg-min } g \ (\lambda s. s \in S) \ x$ 
proof (unfold is-arg-min-def, cases  $x \in S$ )
  case False
  thus  $(x \in S \wedge (\nexists y. y \in S \wedge f \ y < f \ x)) = (x \in S \wedge (\nexists y. y \in S \wedge g \ y < g \ x))$ 
    by simp
  next
  case  $x \in S$ : True
  thus  $(x \in S \wedge (\nexists y. y \in S \wedge f \ y < f \ x)) = (x \in S \wedge (\nexists y. y \in S \wedge g \ y < g \ x))$ 
  proof (cases  $\exists y. (\lambda s. s \in S) \ y \wedge f \ y < f \ x$ )
  case  $y$ : True
  then obtain  $y :: 'a$  where
     $(\lambda s. s \in S) \ y \wedge f \ y < f \ x$ 
    by metis

```

```

hence  $(\lambda s. s \in S) y \wedge g y < g x$ 
  using x-in-S assms
  by metis
thus ?thesis
  using y
  by metis
next
case not-y: False
have  $\neg (\exists y. (\lambda s. s \in S) y \wedge g y < g x)$ 
proof (safe)
  fix y :: 'a
  assume
    y-in-S: y ∈ S and
    g-y-lt-g-x: g y < g x
  have f-eq-g-for-elems-in-S:  $\forall a. a \in S \longrightarrow f a = g a$ 
    using assms
    by simp
  hence  $g x = f x$ 
    using x-in-S
    by presburger
  thus False
    using f-eq-g-for-elems-in-S g-y-lt-g-x not-y y-in-S
    by (metis (no-types))
qed
thus ?thesis
  using x-in-S not-y
  by simp
qed
qed

```

```

lemma (in result) standard-distance-imp-equal-score:
fixes
  d :: ('a, 'v) Election Distance and
  K :: ('a, 'v, 'r Result) Consensus-Class and
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  w :: 'r
assumes
  irr-non-V: non-voters-irrelevant d and
  std: standard d
shows  $\text{score } d \ K \ (A, V, p) \ w = \text{score-std } d \ K \ (A, V, p) \ w$ 
proof –
have profile-perm-set:
  all-profiles V A =
     $\{p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile. finite-profile } V \ A \ p'\}$ 
  using profile-permutation-set
  by metis
hence eq-intersect:  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}\text{-std } K \ w \ A \ V =$ 

```

$\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w \cap \text{Pair } A \text{ ' Pair } V \text{ ' } \{p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile. finite-profile } V A p'\}$
 by force
 have inf-eq-inf-for-std-cons:
 $\text{Inf } (d (A, V, p) \text{ ' } (\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w)) =$
 $\text{Inf } (d (A, V, p) \text{ ' } (\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w \cap$
 $\text{Pair } A \text{ ' Pair } V \text{ ' } \{p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile. finite-profile } V A p'\}))$
 proof –
 have $(\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w \cap \text{Pair } A \text{ ' Pair } V \text{ ' } \{p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile. finite-profile } V A p'\})$
 $\subseteq (\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w)$
 by simp
 hence $\text{Inf } (d (A, V, p) \text{ ' } (\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w)) \leq$
 $\text{Inf } (d (A, V, p) \text{ ' } (\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w \cap$
 $\text{Pair } A \text{ ' Pair } V \text{ ' } \{p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile. finite-profile } V A p'\}))$
 by (meson INF-superset-mono dual-order.refl)
 moreover have $\text{Inf } (d (A, V, p) \text{ ' } (\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w)) \geq$
 $\text{Inf } (d (A, V, p) \text{ ' } (\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w \cap$
 $\text{Pair } A \text{ ' Pair } V \text{ ' } \{p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile. finite-profile } V A p'\}))$
 proof (rule INF-greatest)
 let ?inf = $\text{Inf } (d (A, V, p) \text{ ' } (\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w \cap \text{Pair } A \text{ ' Pair } V \text{ ' } \{p'. \text{finite-profile } V A p'\}))$
 let ?compl = $(\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w) - (\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w \cap \text{Pair } A \text{ ' Pair } V \text{ ' } \{p'. \text{finite-profile } V A p'\})$
 fix
 $i :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}$
 assume
 $el: i \in \mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w$
 have in-intersect: $i \in (\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w \cap \text{Pair } A \text{ ' Pair } V \text{ ' } \{p'. \text{finite-profile } V A p'\})$
 $\implies ?inf \leq d (A, V, p) i$
 by (rule Complete-Lattices.complete-lattice-class.INF-lower)
 have $i \in ?compl \implies (V \neq \text{fst } (\text{snd } i)$
 $\vee A \neq \text{fst } i$
 $\vee \neg \text{finite-profile } V A (\text{snd } (\text{snd } i)))$
 by fastforce
 moreover have $V \neq \text{fst } (\text{snd } i) \implies d (A, V, p) i = \infty$
 using std
 unfolding standard-def
 by (metis prod.collapse)
 moreover have $A \neq \text{fst } i \implies d (A, V, p) i = \infty$
 using std
 unfolding standard-def
 by (metis prod.collapse)
 moreover have $V = \text{fst } (\text{snd } i) \wedge A = \text{fst } i$
 $\wedge \neg \text{finite-profile } V A (\text{snd } (\text{snd } i)) \longrightarrow \text{False}$
 using el $\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E}.\text{sims}$
 by auto
 ultimately have
 $i \in ?compl \implies \text{Inf } (d (A, V, p) \text{ ' } (\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w \cap \text{Pair } A \text{ ' Pair } V \text{ ' } \{p'. \text{finite-profile } V A p'\}))$

```

      ≤ d (A, V, p) i
    by (metis ereal-less-eq(1))
  thus Inf (d (A, V, p) ‘
    (Kε K w ∩
      Pair A ‘ Pair V ‘ {p'. finite-profile V A p'}))
    ≤ d (A, V, p) i
    using in-intersect el
    by auto
qed
ultimately show
  Inf (d (A, V, p) ‘ Kε K w) =
    Inf (d (A, V, p) ‘
      (Kε K w ∩ Pair A ‘ Pair V ‘ {p'. finite-profile V A p'}))
    by simp
qed
also have inf-eq-min-for-std-cons:
  ... = score-std d K (A, V, p) w
proof (cases Kε-std K w A V = {})
case True
hence Inf (d (A, V, p) ‘
  (Kε K w ∩ Pair A ‘ Pair V ‘
    {p'. finite-profile V A p'})) = ∞
  using eq-intersect
  by (simp add: top-ereal-def)
also have score-std d K (A, V, p) w = ∞
  using True score-std.simps
  unfolding Let-def
  by simp
finally show ?thesis
  by simp
next
case False
hence fin: finite A ∧ finite V
  using eq-intersect
  by blast
have finite (d (A, V, p) ‘ (Kε-std K w A V))
proof -
  have Kε-std K w A V = (Kε K w) ∩
    {(A, V, p') | p'. finite-profile V A p'}
    using eq-intersect
    by auto
  hence subset: d (A, V, p) ‘ (Kε-std K w A V) ⊆
    d (A, V, p) ‘ {(A, V, p') | p'. finite-profile V A p'}
    by auto
  let ?finite-prof = λp'. v. (if (v ∈ V) then p' v else {})
  have ∀ p'. finite-profile V A p' ⟶
    finite-profile V A (?finite-prof p')
    unfolding If-def profile-def
    by auto

```


moreover have $\forall p'. (\forall v. v \notin V \longrightarrow ?\text{finite-profile } p' v = \{\})$
by simp
ultimately have
 $\forall (A', V', p') \in \{(A', V', p'). A' = A \wedge V' = V \wedge \text{finite-profile } V A p'\}.$
 $(A', V', ?\text{finite-profile } p') \in$
 $\{(A, V, p') \mid p'. \text{finite-profile } V A p'\}$
by force
moreover have $\forall p'. d(A, V, p)(A, V, p') = d(A, V, p)(A, V, ?\text{finite-profile } p')$
using irr-non-V
unfolding non-voters-irrelevant-def
by simp
ultimately have
 $\forall (A', V', p') \in \{(A, V, p') \mid p'. \text{finite-profile } V A p'\}.$
 $(\exists (X, Y, z) \in \{(A, V, p') \mid p'. \text{finite-profile } V A p'$
 $\wedge (\forall v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p' v = \{\})\}.$
 $d(A, V, p)(A', V', p') = d(A, V, p)(X, Y, z))$
by auto
hence $\forall (A', V', p') \in \{(A', V', p'). A' = A \wedge V' = V \wedge \text{finite-profile } V A p'\}.$
 $d(A, V, p)(A', V', p') \in$
 $d(A, V, p) \text{ ' } \{(A, V, p') \mid p'. \text{finite-profile } V A p'$
 $\wedge (\forall v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p' v = \{\})\}$
by auto
hence subset-2: $d(A, V, p) \text{ ' } \{(A, V, p') \mid p'. \text{finite-profile } V A p'\}$
 $\subseteq d(A, V, p) \text{ ' } \{(A, V, p') \mid p'. \text{finite-profile } V A p'$
 $\wedge (\forall v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p' v = \{\})\}$
by auto
have $\forall (A', V', p') \in \{(A, V, p') \mid p'. \text{finite-profile } V A p'$
 $\wedge (\forall v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p' v = \{\})\}.$
 $(\forall v \in V. \text{linear-order-on } A(p' v))$
 $\wedge (\forall v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p' v = \{\})$
using fin profile-def
by fastforce
hence $\{(A, V, p') \mid p'. \text{finite-profile } V A p'$
 $\wedge (\forall v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p' v = \{\})\}$
 $\subseteq \{(A, V, p') \mid p'. p' \in \{p'. (\forall v \in V. \text{linear-order-on } A(p' v))$
 $\wedge (\forall v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p' v = \{\})\}\}$
by blast
moreover have finite $\{(A, V, p') \mid p'. p' \in \{p'. (\forall v \in V. \text{linear-order-on } A$
 $(p' v))$
 $\wedge (\forall v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p' v = \{\})\}\}$
proof –
have $\{p'. (\forall v \in V. \text{linear-order-on } A(p' v)) \wedge (\forall v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p' v =$
 $\{\})\}$
 $\subseteq \text{all-profiles } V A \cap \{p. \forall v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p v = \{\}\}$
using lin-order-pl- α fin
by fastforce
moreover have finite $(\text{all-profiles } V A \cap \{p. \forall v. v \notin V \longrightarrow p v = \{\}\})$

```

    using fin fin-all-profs
    by blast
ultimately have finite {p'. (∀ v ∈ V. linear-order-on A (p' v))
    ∧ (∀ v. v ∉ V ⟶ p' v = {})}
    using rev-finite-subset
    by blast
thus ?thesis
    by simp
qed
ultimately have finite {(A, V, p') | p'. finite-profile V A p'
    ∧ (∀ v. v ∉ V ⟶ p' v = {})}
    using rev-finite-subset
    by simp
hence finite (d (A, V, p) ‘ {(A, V, p') | p'. finite-profile V A p'
    ∧ (∀ v. v ∉ V ⟶ p' v = {})}))
    by blast
hence finite (d (A, V, p) ‘ {(A, V, p') | p'. finite-profile V A p'})
    using subset-2 rev-finite-subset
    by simp
thus ?thesis
    using subset rev-finite-subset
    by auto
qed
moreover have d (A, V, p) ‘ (Kℰ-std K w A V) ≠ {}
    using False
    by simp
ultimately have Inf (d (A, V, p) ‘ (Kℰ-std K w A V))
    = Min (d (A, V, p) ‘ (Kℰ-std K w A V))
    using Min-Inf False
    by fastforce
also have ... = score-std d K (A, V, p) w
    using score-std.simps False
    by simp
also have Inf (d (A, V, p) ‘ (Kℰ-std K w A V)) =
    Inf (d (A, V, p) ‘ (Kℰ K w ∩
    Pair A ‘ Pair V ‘ {p'. finite-profile V A p'}))
    using eq-intersect
    by simp
ultimately show ?thesis
    by simp
qed
finally show score d K (A, V, p) w = score-std d K (A, V, p) w
    by simp
qed

lemma (in result) anonymous-distance-and-consensus-imp-rule-anonymity:
  fixes
    d :: ('a, 'v) Election Distance and
    K :: ('a, 'v, 'r Result) Consensus-Class

```

```

assumes
  d-anon: distance-anonymity d and
  K-anon: consensus-rule-anonymity K
shows anonymity (distance- $\mathcal{R}$  d K)
proof (unfold anonymity-def Let-def, safe)
  show electoral-module (distance- $\mathcal{R}$  d K)
  by (simp add:  $\mathcal{R}$ -sound)
next
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  A' :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  V' :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  q :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
   $\pi :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$ 
assume
  fin-A: finite A and
  fin-V: finite V and
  profile-p: profile V A p and
  profile-q: profile V' A' q and
  bij: bij  $\pi$  and
  renamed: rename  $\pi$  (A, V, p) = (A', V', q)
have A = A' using bij renamed rename.simps by simp
hence eq-univ: limit-set A UNIV = limit-set A' UNIV by simp
hence  $\mathcal{R}_W$  d K V A p =  $\mathcal{R}_W$  d K V' A' q
proof –
  have dist-rename-inv:
     $\forall E::('a, 'v)$  Election. (d (A, V, p) E = d (A', V', q) (rename  $\pi$  E))
    using d-anon bij renamed surj-pair
    unfolding distance-anonymity-def
    by metis
  hence  $\forall S::('a, 'v)$  Election set.
    ((d (A, V, p) ' S)  $\subseteq$  (d (A', V', q) ' (rename  $\pi$  ' S)))
    by blast
  moreover have  $\forall S::('a, 'v)$  Election set.
    ((d (A', V', q) ' (rename  $\pi$  ' S))  $\subseteq$  (d (A, V, p) ' S))
proof (clarify)
  fix
    S :: ('a, 'v) Election set and
    X :: 'a set and
    X' :: 'a set and
    Y :: 'v set and
    Y' :: 'v set and
    z :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
    z' :: ('a, 'v) Profile
  assume
    (X', Y', z') = rename  $\pi$  (X, Y, z) and
    el: (X, Y, z)  $\in$  S

```

hence $d (A', V', q) (X', Y', z') = d (A, V, p) (X, Y, z)$
using *dist-rename-inv*
by *simp*
thus $d (A', V', q) (X', Y', z') \in d (A, V, p) \text{ ' } S$
using *el*
by *simp*
qed
ultimately have *eq-range*: $\forall S::('a, 'v) \text{ Election set.}$
 $((d (A, V, p) \text{ ' } S) = (d (A', V', q) \text{ ' } (\text{rename } \pi \text{ ' } S)))$
by *blast*
have $\forall w. \text{rename } \pi \text{ ' } (\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}} K w) \subseteq (\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}} K w)$
proof (*clarify*)
fix
 $w :: 'r$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $A' :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V' :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$
assume
 $\text{renamed}: (A', V', p') = \text{rename } \pi (A, V, p)$ **and**
 $\text{consensus}: (A, V, p) \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}} K w$
hence *cons*: $(\text{consensus-}\mathcal{K} K) (A, V, p) \wedge \text{finite-profile } V A p$
 $\wedge \text{elect } (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} K) V A p = \{w\}$
by *simp*
hence *fin-img*: $\text{finite-profile } V' A' p'$
using *renamed bij rename.simps fst-conv rename-finite*
by *metis*
hence *cons-img*: $(\text{consensus-}\mathcal{K} K (A', V', p') \wedge (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} K V A p = \text{rule-}\mathcal{K}$
 $K V' A' p'))$
using *K-anon renamed bij cons*
unfolding *consensus-rule-anonymity-def Let-def*
by *simp*
hence *elect* $(\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} K) V' A' p' = \{w\}$
using *cons*
by *simp*
thus $(A', V', p') \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}} K w$
using *cons-img fin-img*
by *simp*
qed
moreover have $\forall w. (\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}} K w) \subseteq \text{rename } \pi \text{ ' } (\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}} K w)$
proof (*clarify*)
fix
 $w :: 'r$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$
assume

consensus: $(A, V, p) \in \mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w$
let $?inv = \text{rename } (the_inv \ \pi) \ (A, V, p)$
have $inv_inv_id: the_inv \ (the_inv \ \pi) = \pi$
using $the_inv_f_f \ bij \ bij_betw_imp_inj_on \ bij_betw_imp_surj$
 $inj_on_the_inv_into \ surj_imp_inv_eq \ the_inv_into_onto$
by $(metis \ (no_types, \ opaque_lifting))$
hence $?inv = (A, ((the_inv \ \pi) \ ' V), p \circ (the_inv \ (the_inv \ \pi)))$
by $simp$
moreover **have** $(p \circ (the_inv \ (the_inv \ \pi))) \circ (the_inv \ \pi) = p$
using bij
by $(simp \ add: \ the_inv_f_f \ inv_inv_id \ bij_betw_def \ comp_def \ f_the_inv_into_f)$
moreover **have** $\pi \ ' (the_inv \ \pi) \ ' V = V$
using $bij \ the_inv_f_f \ bij_betw_def \ image_inv_into_cancel$
 $surj_imp_inv_eq \ top_greatest$
by $(metis \ (no_types, \ opaque_lifting))$
ultimately **have** $preimg: \text{rename } \pi \ ?inv = (A, V, p)$
unfolding Let_def
by $simp$
moreover **have** $?inv \in \mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w$
proof –
have $cons: (consensus_K \ K) \ (A, V, p) \wedge \text{finite_profile } V \ A \ p$
 $\wedge \text{elect } (rule_K \ K) \ V \ A \ p = \{w\}$
using $consensus$
by $simp$
moreover **have** $bij_inv: bij \ (the_inv \ \pi)$
using $bij \ bij_betw_the_inv_into$
by $auto$
moreover **have** $fin_preimg:$
 $\text{finite_profile } (fst \ (snd \ ?inv)) \ (fst \ ?inv) \ (snd \ (snd \ ?inv))$
using $bij_inv \ rename.simps \ fst_conv \ rename_finite \ cons$
by $fastforce$
ultimately **have** $cons_preimg:$
 $(consensus_K \ K \ ?inv$
 $\wedge (rule_K \ K \ V \ A \ p = rule_K \ K \ (fst \ (snd \ ?inv)) \ (fst \ ?inv) \ (snd \ (snd$
 $?inv))))$
using $K_anon \ renamed \ bij \ cons$
unfolding $consensus_rule_anonymity_def \ Let_def$
by $simp$
hence $\text{elect } (rule_K \ K) \ (fst \ (snd \ ?inv)) \ (fst \ ?inv) \ (snd \ (snd \ ?inv)) = \{w\}$
using $cons$
by $simp$
thus $?thesis$
using $cons_preimg \ fin_preimg$
by $simp$
qed
ultimately **show** $(A, V, p) \in \text{rename } \pi \ ' \mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w$
by $(metis \ image_eqI)$
qed
ultimately **have** $\forall w. (\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w) = \text{rename } \pi \ ' (\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{E} K w)$

```

    by blast
  hence  $\forall w. \text{score } d \ K \ (A, V, p) \ w = \text{score } d \ K \ (A', V', q) \ w$ 
    using eq-range
    by simp
  hence  $\text{arg-min-set } (\text{score } d \ K \ (A, V, p)) \ (\text{limit-set } A \ \text{UNIV})$ 
     $= \text{arg-min-set } (\text{score } d \ K \ (A', V', q)) \ (\text{limit-set } A' \ \text{UNIV})$ 
    using arg-min-set.simps eq-univ
    by presburger
  thus  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ K \ V \ A \ p = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ K \ V' \ A' \ q$ 
    by simp
qed
thus  $\text{distance-}\mathcal{R} \ d \ K \ V \ A \ p = \text{distance-}\mathcal{R} \ d \ K \ V' \ A' \ q$ 
  using eq-univ distance- $\mathcal{R}$ .simps
  by simp
qed
end

```

4.6 Symmetry in Distance-Rationalizable Rules

```

theory Distance-Rationalization-Symmetry
  imports Distance-Rationalization
begin

```

4.6.1 Minimizer function

```

fun inf-dist :: 'x Distance  $\Rightarrow$  'x set  $\Rightarrow$  'x  $\Rightarrow$  ereal where
  inf-dist d X a = Inf (d a ' X)

fun closest-preimg-dist :: ('x  $\Rightarrow$  'y)  $\Rightarrow$  'x set  $\Rightarrow$  'x Distance  $\Rightarrow$  'x  $\Rightarrow$  'y  $\Rightarrow$  ereal
where
  closest-preimg-dist f domainf d x y = inf-dist d (preimg f domainf y) x

fun minimizer :: ('x  $\Rightarrow$  'y)  $\Rightarrow$  'x set  $\Rightarrow$  'x Distance  $\Rightarrow$  'y set  $\Rightarrow$  'x  $\Rightarrow$  'y set where
  minimizer f domainf d Y x = arg-min-set (closest-preimg-dist f domainf d x) Y

```

Auxiliary Lemmas

```

lemma rewrite-arg-min-set:
  fixes
    f :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  'y::linorder and
    X :: 'x set
  shows
     $\text{arg-min-set } f \ X = \bigcup (\text{preimg } f \ X \text{ ' } \{y \in (f \text{ ' } X). \forall z \in f \text{ ' } X. y \leq z\})$ 
proof (safe)
  fix
    x :: 'x
  assume
    arg-min:  $x \in \text{arg-min-set } f \ X$ 

```

```

hence is-arg-min  $f$  ( $\lambda a. a \in X$ )  $x$ 
  by simp
hence  $\forall x' \in X. f\ x' \geq f\ x$ 
  by (simp add: is-arg-min-linorder)
hence  $\forall z \in f\ ' X. f\ x \leq z$ 
  by blast
moreover have  $f\ x \in f\ ' X$ 
  using arg-min
  by (simp add: is-arg-min-linorder)
ultimately have  $f\ x \in \{y \in f\ ' X. \forall z \in f\ ' X. y \leq z\}$ 
  by blast
moreover have  $x \in \text{preimg } f\ X\ (f\ x)$ 
  using arg-min
  by (simp add: is-arg-min-linorder)
ultimately show  $x \in \bigcup (\text{preimg } f\ X\ ' \{y \in (f\ ' X). \forall z \in f\ ' X. y \leq z\})$ 
  by blast
next
fix
   $x :: 'x$  and  $x' :: 'x$  and  $b :: 'x$ 
assume
  same-img:  $x \in \text{preimg } f\ X\ (f\ x')$  and
  min:  $\forall z \in f\ ' X. f\ x' \leq z$ 
hence  $f\ x = f\ x'$ 
  by simp
hence  $\forall z \in f\ ' X. f\ x \leq z$ 
  using min
  by simp
moreover have  $x \in X$ 
  using same-img
  by simp
ultimately show  $x \in \text{arg-min-set } f\ X$ 
  by (simp add: is-arg-min-linorder)
qed

```

Equivariance

lemma *restr-induced-rel*:

fixes

$X :: 'x\ \text{set}$ and

$Y :: 'y\ \text{set}$ and

$Y' :: 'y\ \text{set}$ and

$\varphi :: ('x, 'y)\ \text{binary-fun}$

assumes

$Y' \subseteq Y$

shows

$\text{Restr } (\text{rel-induced-by-action } X\ Y\ \varphi)\ Y' = \text{rel-induced-by-action } X\ Y'\ \varphi$

using *assms*

by *auto*

theorem *grp-act-invar-dist-and-equivar-f-imp-equivar-minimizer:*

fixes

$f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$ **and**
 $domain_f :: 'x \text{ set}$ **and**
 $d :: 'x \text{ Distance}$ **and**
 $valid_img :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y \text{ set}$ **and**
 $X :: 'x \text{ set}$ **and**
 $G :: 'z \text{ monoid}$ **and**
 $\varphi :: ('z, 'x) \text{ binary-fun}$ **and**
 $\psi :: ('z, 'y) \text{ binary-fun}$

defines

$equivar_prop_set_valued \equiv equivar_ind_by_act \ (carrier\ G)\ X\ \varphi \ (set_action\ \psi)$

assumes

grp-act: $group_action\ G\ X\ \varphi$ **and**
grp-act-res: $group_action\ G\ UNIV\ \psi$ **and**
 $domain_f \subseteq X$ **and**
closed-domain:
 $closed_under_restr_rel \ (rel_induced_by_action \ (carrier\ G)\ X\ \varphi) \ X\ domain_f$ **and**
equivar-img:
 $satisfies\ valid_img\ equivar_prop_set_valued$ **and**
invar-d:
 $invariant_dist\ d \ (carrier\ G)\ X\ \varphi$ **and**
equivar-f:
 $satisfies\ f \ (equivar_ind_by_act \ (carrier\ G)\ domain_f\ \varphi\ \psi)$

shows

$satisfies \ (\lambda x. \text{minimizer } f\ domain_f\ d \ (valid_img\ x)\ x) \ equivar_prop_set_valued$

proof (*unfold equivar-ind-by-act-def equivar-prop-set-valued-def,*
simp del: arg-min-set.simps, clarify)

fix

$x :: 'x$ **and** $g :: 'z$

assume

grp-el: $g \in carrier\ G$ **and** $x \in X$ **and** *img-X*: $\varphi\ g\ x \in X$

let $?x' = \varphi\ g\ x$

let $?c = \text{closest-preimg-dist } f\ domain_f\ d\ x$ **and**

$?c' = \text{closest-preimg-dist } f\ domain_f\ d\ ?x'$

have $\forall y. \text{preimg } f\ domain_f\ y \subseteq X$

using $\langle domain_f \subseteq X \rangle$

by *auto*

hence *invar-dist-img*:

$\forall y. d\ x \ (preimg\ f\ domain_f\ y) = d\ ?x' \ (\varphi\ g \ (preimg\ f\ domain_f\ y))$

using $\langle x \in X \rangle \text{ grp-el invar-dist-image invar-d grp-act}$

by *metis*

have $\forall y. \text{preimg } f\ domain_f\ (\psi\ g\ y) = (\varphi\ g) \ (preimg\ f\ domain_f\ y)$

using *grp-act-equivar-f-imp-equivar-preimg*[of $G\ X\ \varphi\ \psi\ domain_f\ f\ g$] *assms*

grp-el

by *blast*

hence $\forall y. d\ ?x' \ (preimg\ f\ domain_f\ (\psi\ g\ y)) = d\ ?x' \ (\varphi\ g) \ (preimg\ f\ domain_f\ y)$

by *presburger*

hence $\forall y. \text{Inf } (d \text{ ?}x' \text{ ' preimg } f \text{ domain}_f (\psi \text{ } g \text{ } y)) = \text{Inf } (d \text{ } x \text{ ' preimg } f \text{ domain}_f y)$
 by (metis invar-dist-img)
 hence
 $\forall y. \text{inf-dist } d (\text{preimg } f \text{ domain}_f (\psi \text{ } g \text{ } y)) \text{ ?}x' = \text{inf-dist } d (\text{preimg } f \text{ domain}_f y) \text{ } x$
 by simp
 hence
 $\forall y. \text{closest-preimg-dist } f \text{ domain}_f d \text{ ?}x' (\psi \text{ } g \text{ } y)$
 $= \text{closest-preimg-dist } f \text{ domain}_f d \text{ } x \text{ } y$
 by simp
 hence comp:
 $\text{closest-preimg-dist } f \text{ domain}_f d \text{ } x = (\text{closest-preimg-dist } f \text{ domain}_f d \text{ ?}x') \circ (\psi \text{ } g)$
 by auto
 hence $\forall Y \alpha. \text{preimg } ?c' (\psi \text{ } g \text{ ' } Y) \alpha = \psi \text{ } g \text{ ' preimg } ?c \text{ } Y \alpha$
 using preimg-comp
 by auto
 hence
 $\forall Y A. \{\text{preimg } ?c' (\psi \text{ } g \text{ ' } Y) \alpha \mid \alpha. \alpha \in A\} = \{\psi \text{ } g \text{ ' preimg } ?c \text{ } Y \alpha \mid \alpha. \alpha \in A\}$
 by simp
 moreover have $\forall Y A. \{\psi \text{ } g \text{ ' preimg } ?c \text{ } Y \alpha \mid \alpha. \alpha \in A\} = \{\psi \text{ } g \text{ ' } \beta \mid \beta. \beta \in \text{preimg } ?c \text{ } Y \text{ ' } A\}$
 by blast
 moreover have $\forall Y A. \text{preimg } ?c' (\psi \text{ } g \text{ ' } Y) \text{ ' } A = \{\text{preimg } ?c' (\psi \text{ } g \text{ ' } Y) \alpha \mid \alpha. \alpha \in A\}$
 by blast
 ultimately have
 $\forall Y A. \text{preimg } ?c' (\psi \text{ } g \text{ ' } Y) \text{ ' } A = \{\psi \text{ } g \text{ ' } \alpha \mid \alpha. \alpha \in \text{preimg } ?c \text{ } Y \text{ ' } A\}$
 by simp
 hence $\forall Y A. \bigcup (\text{preimg } ?c' (\psi \text{ } g \text{ ' } Y) \text{ ' } A) = \bigcup \{\psi \text{ } g \text{ ' } \alpha \mid \alpha. \alpha \in \text{preimg } ?c \text{ } Y \text{ ' } A\}$
 by simp
 moreover have
 $\forall Y A. \bigcup \{\psi \text{ } g \text{ ' } \alpha \mid \alpha. \alpha \in \text{preimg } ?c \text{ } Y \text{ ' } A\} = \psi \text{ } g \text{ ' } \bigcup (\text{preimg } ?c \text{ } Y \text{ ' } A)$
 by blast
 ultimately have eq-preimg-unions:
 $\forall Y A. \bigcup (\text{preimg } ?c' (\psi \text{ } g \text{ ' } Y) \text{ ' } A) = \psi \text{ } g \text{ ' } \bigcup (\text{preimg } ?c \text{ } Y \text{ ' } A)$
 by simp
 have $\forall Y. ?c' \text{ ' } \psi \text{ } g \text{ ' } Y = ?c \text{ ' } Y$
 using comp
 by (simp add: image-comp)
 hence
 $\forall Y. \{\alpha \in ?c \text{ ' } Y. \forall \beta \in ?c \text{ ' } Y. \alpha \leq \beta\} =$
 $\{\alpha \in ?c' \text{ ' } \psi \text{ } g \text{ ' } Y. \forall \beta \in ?c' \text{ ' } \psi \text{ } g \text{ ' } Y. \alpha \leq \beta\}$
 by simp
 hence
 $\forall Y. \text{arg-min-set } (\text{closest-preimg-dist } f \text{ domain}_f d \text{ ?}x') (\psi \text{ } g \text{ ' } Y) =$

```

      (ψ g) ‘ (arg-min-set (closest-preimg-dist f domainf d x) Y)
    using rewrite-arg-min-set[of ?c] rewrite-arg-min-set[of ?c] eq-preimg-unions
    by presburger
  moreover have valid-img (φ g x) = ψ g ‘ valid-img x
    using equivar-img ⟨x ∈ X⟩ grp-el img-X rewrite-equivar-ind-by-act
    unfolding equivar-prop-set-valued-def set-action.simps
    by metis
  ultimately show
    arg-min-set (closest-preimg-dist f domainf d (φ g x)) (valid-img (φ g x)) =
      ψ g ‘ arg-min-set (closest-preimg-dist f domainf d x) (valid-img x)
    by presburger
qed

```

Invariance

lemma *closest-dist-invar-under-refl-rel-and-tot-invar-dist:*

```

  fixes
    f :: 'x ⇒ 'y and
    domainf :: 'x set and
    d :: 'x Distance and
    rel :: 'x rel
  assumes
    r-refl: refl-on domainf (Restr rel domainf) and
    tot-invar-d: totally-invariant-dist d rel
  shows satisfies (closest-preimg-dist f domainf d) (Invariance rel)
proof (simp, safe, standard)
  fix
    a :: 'x and
    b :: 'x and
    y :: 'y
  assume
    rel: (a,b) ∈ rel
  have ∀ c ∈ domainf. (c,c) ∈ rel
    using r-refl
  by (simp add: refl-on-def)
  hence ∀ c ∈ domainf. d a c = d b c
    using rel tot-invar-d
  unfolding rewrite-totally-invariant-dist
  by blast
  thus closest-preimg-dist f domainf d a y = closest-preimg-dist f domainf d b y
    by simp
qed

```

lemma *refl-rel-and-tot-invar-dist-imp-invar-minimizer:*

```

  fixes
    f :: 'x ⇒ 'y and
    domainf :: 'x set and
    d :: 'x Distance and
    rel :: 'x rel and

```

```

    img :: 'y set
assumes
    r-refl: refl-on domainf (Restr rel domainf) and
    tot-invar-d: totally-invariant-dist d rel
shows satisfies (minimizer f domainf d img) (Invariance rel)
proof –
    have satisfies (closest-preimg-dist f domainf d) (Invariance rel)
      using r-refl tot-invar-d
      by (rule closest-dist-invar-under-refl-rel-and-tot-invar-dist)
    moreover have minimizer f domainf d img =
      ( $\lambda x. \text{arg-min-set } x \text{ img}$ )  $\circ$  (closest-preimg-dist f domainf d)
      unfolding comp-def
      by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using invar-comp
      by simp
qed

theorem grp-act-invar-dist-and-invar-f-imp-invar-minimizer:
fixes
  f :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  'y and
  domainf :: 'x set and
  d :: 'x Distance and
  img :: 'y set and
  X :: 'x set and
  G :: 'z monoid and
   $\varphi$  :: ('z, 'x) binary-fun
defines
  rel  $\equiv$  rel-induced-by-action (carrier G) X  $\varphi$  and
  rel'  $\equiv$  rel-induced-by-action (carrier G) domainf  $\varphi$ 
assumes
  grp-act: group-action G X  $\varphi$  and domainf  $\subseteq$  X and
  closed-domain: closed-under-restr-rel rel X domainf and

  invar-d: invariant-dist d (carrier G) X  $\varphi$  and
  invar-f: satisfies f (Invariance rel')
shows satisfies (minimizer f domainf d img) (Invariance rel)
proof –
  let ?ψ =  $\lambda g. \text{id}$  and ?img =  $\lambda x. \text{img}$ 
  have satisfies f (equivar-ind-by-act (carrier G) domainf  $\varphi$  ?ψ)
    using invar-f rewrite-invar-as-equivar
    unfolding rel'-def
    by blast
  moreover have group-action G UNIV ?ψ
    using const-id-is-grp-act grp-act
    unfolding group-action-def group-hom-def
    by blast
  moreover have
    satisfies ?img (equivar-ind-by-act (carrier G) X  $\varphi$  (set-action ?ψ))

```

unfolding *equivar-ind-by-act-def*
by *fastforce*
ultimately have
satisfies $(\lambda x. \text{minimizer } f \text{ domain}_f d \text{ } (?img \ x) \ x)$
 $(\text{equivar-ind-by-act } (\text{carrier } G) \ X \ \varphi \ (\text{set-action } ?\psi))$
using *assms*
 $\text{grp-act-invar-dist-and-equivar-f-imp-equivar-minimizer[of}$
 $G \ X \ \varphi \ ?\psi \ \text{domain}_f \ ?img \ d \ f]$
by *blast*
hence *satisfies* $(\text{minimizer } f \text{ domain}_f d \ \text{img})$
 $(\text{equivar-ind-by-act } (\text{carrier } G) \ X \ \varphi \ (\text{set-action } ?\psi))$
by *blast*
thus *?thesis*
unfolding *rel-def set-action.simps*
using *rewrite-invar-as-equivar*
by *(metis image-id)*
qed

4.6.2 Distance Rationalization as Minimizer

lemma *K_ε-is-preimg:*

fixes
 $d :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election Distance}$ **and**
 $C :: ('a, 'v, 'r \text{ Result}) \text{ Consensus-Class}$ **and**
 $E :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}$ **and**
 $w :: 'r$
shows
 $\text{preimg } (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) \ \{w\} = \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}} \ C \ w$
proof –
have $\text{preimg } (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) \ \{w\} =$
 $\{E \in \mathcal{K}\text{-els } C. (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) \ E = \{w\}\}$
by *simp*
also have $\{E \in \mathcal{K}\text{-els } C. (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) \ E = \{w\}\} =$
 $\{E \in \mathcal{K}\text{-els } C. \text{elect } (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E) = \{w\}\}$
by *simp*
also have $\{E \in \mathcal{K}\text{-els } C. \text{elect } (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E) =$
 $\{w\}\} =$
 $\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C \cap \{E. \text{elect } (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E) = \{w\}\}$
by *blast*
also have
 $\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C \cap \{E. \text{elect } (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E) = \{w\}\} =$
 $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}} \ C \ w$
proof *(standard)*
show
 $\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C \cap \{E. \text{elect } (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E) = \{w\}\} \subseteq$
 $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}} \ C \ w$
unfolding *K_ε.simps*
by *force*
next

have $\forall E \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}} \ C \ w. \ E \in \{E. \text{elect} \ (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E) = \{w\}\}$
unfolding $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}.\text{simps}$
by force
hence $\forall E \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}} \ C \ w. \ E \in$
 $\mathcal{K}\text{-els} \ C \cap \{E. \text{elect} \ (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E) = \{w\}\}$
by simp
thus $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}} \ C \ w \subseteq \mathcal{K}\text{-els} \ C \cap \{E. \text{elect} \ (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C) \ (\text{votrs-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ (\text{prof-}\mathcal{E} \ E) = \{w\}\}$
by blast
qed
finally show $\text{preimg} \ (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) \ (\mathcal{K}\text{-els} \ C) \ \{w\} = \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}} \ C \ w$
by simp
qed

lemma *score-is-closest-preimg-dist:*

fixes
 $d :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election Distance}$ **and**
 $C :: ('a, 'v, 'r \text{ Result}) \text{ Consensus-Class}$ **and**
 $E :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}$ **and**
 $w :: 'r$
shows
 $\text{score} \ d \ C \ E \ w = \text{closest-preimg-dist} \ (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) \ (\mathcal{K}\text{-els} \ C) \ d \ E \ \{w\}$
proof –
have $\text{score} \ d \ C \ E \ w = \text{Inf} \ (d \ E \ '(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}} \ C \ w))$ **by simp**
also have $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}} \ C \ w = \text{preimg} \ (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) \ (\mathcal{K}\text{-els} \ C) \ \{w\}$
using $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}\text{-is-preimg}$
bymetis
also have $\text{Inf} \ (d \ E \ '(\text{preimg} \ (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) \ (\mathcal{K}\text{-els} \ C) \ \{w\}))$
 $= \text{closest-preimg-dist} \ (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) \ (\mathcal{K}\text{-els} \ C) \ d \ E \ \{w\}$
by simp
finally show *?thesis*
by simp
qed

lemma (*in result*) $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}\text{-is-minimizer}$:

fixes
 $d :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election Distance}$ **and**
 $C :: ('a, 'v, 'r \text{ Result}) \text{ Consensus-Class}$
shows $\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ C) =$
 $(\lambda E. \bigcup (\text{minimizer} \ (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) \ (\mathcal{K}\text{-els} \ C) \ d$
 $\ (\text{singleton-set-system} \ (\text{limit-set} \ (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV})) \ E))$
proof (*standard*)
fix
 $E :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}$
let $?min = (\text{minimizer} \ (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) \ (\mathcal{K}\text{-els} \ C) \ d$
 $\ (\text{singleton-set-system} \ (\text{limit-set} \ (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV})) \ E)$
have

$?min = \text{arg-min-set}$
 $(\text{closest-preimg-dist } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) \ d \ E)$
 $(\text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV}))$
by *simp*
also have
 $\dots = \text{singleton-set-system } (\text{arg-min-set } (\text{score } d \ C \ E) (\text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV}))$
proof (*safe*)
fix
 $R :: 'r \ \text{set}$
assume
 $\text{min}: R \in \text{arg-min-set}$
 $(\text{closest-preimg-dist } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) \ d \ E)$
 $(\text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV}))$
hence $R \in \text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV})$
by (*meson arg-min-subset subsetD*)
then obtain $r :: 'r$ **where** $R = \{r\}$ **and** $r\text{-in-lim-set}: r \in \text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E)$
 UNIV
by *auto*
have
 $\nexists R'. R' \in \text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV}) \wedge$
 $\text{closest-preimg-dist } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) \ d \ E \ R'$
 $< \text{closest-preimg-dist } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) \ d \ E \ R$
using *min arg-min-set.simps is-arg-min-def CollectD*
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting)*)
hence
 $\nexists r'. r' \in \text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV} \wedge$
 $\text{closest-preimg-dist } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) \ d \ E \ \{r'\}$
 $< \text{closest-preimg-dist } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) \ d \ E \ \{r\}$
using $\langle R = \{r\} \rangle$
by *auto*
hence
 $\nexists r'. r' \in \text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV} \wedge \text{score } d \ C \ E \ r' < \text{score } d \ C \ E \ r$
using *score-is-closest-preimg-dist*
by *metis*
hence $r \in \text{arg-min-set } (\text{score } d \ C \ E) (\text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV})$
using *r-in-lim-set arg-min-set.simps is-arg-min-def CollectI*
by *metis*
thus $R \in \text{singleton-set-system } (\text{arg-min-set } (\text{score } d \ C \ E) (\text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV}))$
using $\langle R = \{r\} \rangle$
by *simp*
next
fix
 $R :: 'r \ \text{set}$
assume $R \in \text{singleton-set-system } (\text{arg-min-set } (\text{score } d \ C \ E) (\text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV}))$
then obtain $r :: 'r$ **where**
 $R = \{r\}$ **and** $r\text{-min-lim-set}: r \in \text{arg-min-set } (\text{score } d \ C \ E) (\text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV})$

E) *UNIV*)
 by *auto*
 hence
 $\nexists r'. r' \in \text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV} \wedge \text{score } d \ C \ E \ r' < \text{score } d \ C \ E \ r$
 by (*metis CollectD arg-min-set.simps is-arg-min-def*)
 hence
 $\nexists r'. r' \in \text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV} \wedge$
 $\text{closest-preimg-dist } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) \ d \ E \ \{r'\}$
 $< \text{closest-preimg-dist } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) \ d \ E \ \{r\}$
 using *score-is-closest-preimg-dist*
 by *metis*
 moreover have
 $\forall R' \in \text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV}).$
 $\exists r' \in \text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV}. R' = \{r'\}$
 by *auto*
 ultimately have $\nexists R'. R' \in \text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV})$
 \wedge
 $\text{closest-preimg-dist } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) \ d \ E \ R'$
 $< \text{closest-preimg-dist } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) \ d \ E \ R$
 using $\langle R = \{r\} \rangle$
 by *auto*
 moreover have $R \in \text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV})$
 using *r-min-lim-set* $\langle R = \{r\} \rangle$ *arg-min-subset*
 by *fastforce*
 ultimately show $R \in \text{arg-min-set}$
 $(\text{closest-preimg-dist } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) \ d \ E)$
 $(\text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV}))$
 using *arg-min-set.simps is-arg-min-def CollectI*
 by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting)*)
 qed
 also have $(\text{arg-min-set } (\text{score } d \ C \ E) (\text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV})) = \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ C) \ E$
 by *simp*
 finally have
 $\bigcup ?min = \bigcup (\text{singleton-set-system } (\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ C) \ E))$
 by *presburger*
 thus $\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ C) \ E = \bigcup ?min$
 using *un-left-inv-singleton-set-system*
 by *auto*
 qed

Invariance

theorem (*in result*) *tot-invar-dist-imp-invar-dr-rule*:

fixes

$d :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election Distance}$ **and**

$C :: ('a, 'v, 'r) \text{ Result Consensus-Class}$ **and**

$\text{rel} :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election rel}$

assumes

r-refl: *refl-on* (\mathcal{K} -els C) (*Restr rel* (\mathcal{K} -els C)) **and**
tot-invar-d: *totally-invariant-dist* d *rel* **and**
invar-res: *satisfies* ($\lambda E. \text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV}$) (*Invariance rel*)
shows *satisfies* ($\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\text{distance-}\mathcal{R} \ d \ C)$) (*Invariance rel*)
proof –
let $?min = (\lambda E. \bigcup \circ (\text{minimizer } (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) \ (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) \ d$
 $\quad (\text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV}))))$
have $\forall E. \text{satisfies } (?min \ E) \ (\text{Invariance rel})$
using *r-refl tot-invar-d invar-comp*
 $\text{refl-rel-and-tot-invar-dist-imp-invar-minimizer[of}$
 $\quad \mathcal{K}\text{-els } C \ \text{rel } d \ \text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)]$
by *blast*
moreover have *satisfies* $?min \ (\text{Invariance rel})$
using *invar-res*
by *auto*
ultimately have
 $\text{satisfies } (\lambda E. ?min \ E \ E) \ (\text{Invariance rel})$
using *invar-parameterized-fun[of ?min rel]*
by *blast*
also have $(\lambda E. ?min \ E \ E) = \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ C)$
using *$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}$ -is-minimizer comp-def*
by *metis*
finally have *invar- $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}$: satisfies* ($\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ C)$) (*Invariance rel*)
by *simp*
hence *satisfies* ($\lambda E. \text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV} - \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ C) \ E$) (*Invariance rel*)
using *invar-res*
by *fastforce*
thus *satisfies* ($\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} \ (\text{distance-}\mathcal{R} \ d \ C)$) (*Invariance rel*)
using *invar- $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}$*
by *auto*
qed

theorem (*in result*) *invar-dist-cons-imp-invar-dr-rule*:

fixes

$d :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election Distance}$ **and**
 $C :: ('a, 'v, 'r \text{ Result}) \text{ Consensus-Class}$ **and**
 $G :: 'x \text{ monoid}$ **and**
 $\varphi :: ('x, ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}) \text{ binary-fun}$ **and**
 $B :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election set}$

defines

$\text{rel} \equiv \text{rel-induced-by-action } (\text{carrier } G) \ B \ \varphi$ **and**
 $\text{rel}' \equiv \text{rel-induced-by-action } (\text{carrier } G) \ (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) \ \varphi$

assumes

$\text{grp-act: group-action } G \ B \ \varphi$ **and**
 $\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C \subseteq B$ **and**
closed-domain:

$\text{closed-under-restr-rel rel } B \ (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C)$ **and**
invar-res: satisfies ($\lambda E. \text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV}$) (*Invariance rel*) **and**

invar-d: *invariant-dist* d (*carrier* G) B φ **and**
invar-C-winners: *satisfies* (*elect-r* \circ *fun_E* (*rule-K* C)) (*Invariance* *rel'*)
shows
satisfies (*fun_E* (*distance-R* d C)) (*Invariance* *rel*)
proof –
let $?min = (\lambda E. \bigcup \circ (\text{minimizer } (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_E (\text{rule-K } C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) d$
(*singleton-set-system* (*limit-set* (*alts-E* E) *UNIV*))))
have $\forall E. \text{ satisfies } (?min\ E) (\text{Invariance } rel)$
using *grp-act closed-domain* $\langle \mathcal{K}\text{-els } C \subseteq B \rangle$ *invar-d invar-C-winners*
grp-act-invar-dist-and-invar-f-imp-invar-minimizer rel-def
rel'-def invar-comp
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*))
moreover have *satisfies* $?min (\text{Invariance } rel)$
using *invar-res*
by *auto*
ultimately have
satisfies $(\lambda E. ?min\ E\ E) (\text{Invariance } rel)$
using *invar-parameterized-fun*[*of* $?min\ rel$]
by *blast*
also have $(\lambda E. ?min\ E\ E) = \text{fun}_E (\mathcal{R}_W\ d\ C)$
using *\mathcal{R}_W -is-minimizer comp-def*
by *metis*
finally have *invar- \mathcal{R}_W* : *satisfies* (*fun_E* ($\mathcal{R}_W\ d\ C$)) (*Invariance* *rel*)
by *simp*
hence *satisfies* $(\lambda E. \text{limit-set } (\text{alts-E } E)\ \text{UNIV} -$
fun_E ($\mathcal{R}_W\ d\ C$) E) (*Invariance* *rel*)
using *invar-res*
by *fastforce*
thus *satisfies* (*fun_E* (*distance-R* d C)) (*Invariance* *rel*)
using *invar- \mathcal{R}_W*
by *auto*
qed

Equivariance

theorem (*in result*) *invar-dist-equivar-cons-imp-equivar-dr-rule*:

fixes

$d :: ('a, 'v)$ *Election Distance* **and**
 $C :: ('a, 'v, 'r)$ *Result Consensus-Class* **and**
 $G :: 'x$ *monoid* **and**
 $\varphi :: ('x, ('a, 'v))$ *Election* *binary-fun* **and**
 $\psi :: ('x, 'r)$ *binary-fun* **and**
 $B :: ('a, 'v)$ *Election set*

defines

$rel \equiv \text{rel-induced-by-action } (\text{carrier } G)\ B\ \varphi$ **and**
 $rel' \equiv \text{rel-induced-by-action } (\text{carrier } G)\ (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C)\ \varphi$ **and**
 $\text{equivar-prop} \equiv$
 $\text{equivar-ind-by-act } (\text{carrier } G)\ (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C)\ \varphi\ (\text{set-action } \psi)$ **and**
 $\text{equivar-prop-global-set-valued} \equiv$

$\text{equivar-ind-by-act } (\text{carrier } G) B \varphi (\text{set-action } \psi) \text{ and}$
 $\text{equivar-prop-global-result-valued} \equiv$
 $\text{equivar-ind-by-act } (\text{carrier } G) B \varphi (\text{result-action } \psi)$
assumes
 $\text{grp-act: group-action } G B \varphi \text{ and}$
 $\text{grp-act-res: group-action } G \text{ UNIV } \psi \text{ and}$
 $\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C \subseteq B \text{ and}$
 $\text{closed-domain: closed-under-restr-rel rel } B (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) \text{ and}$
 equivar-res:
 $\text{satisfies } (\lambda E. \text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \text{ UNIV}) \text{ equivar-prop-global-set-valued and}$
 $\text{invar-d: invariant-dist } d (\text{carrier } G) B \varphi \text{ and}$
 $\text{equivar-C-winners: satisfies } (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) \text{ equivar-prop}$
shows $\text{satisfies } (\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{distance-}\mathcal{R} \ d \ C)) \text{ equivar-prop-global-result-valued}$
proof –
let $?min\text{-}E = \lambda E. \text{minimizer } (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) d$
 $(\text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \text{ UNIV})) E$
let $?min = (\lambda E. \bigcup \circ (\text{minimizer } (\text{elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) d$
 $(\text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \text{ UNIV}))))$
let $? \psi' = \text{set-action } (\text{set-action } \psi)$
let $? \text{equivar-prop-global-set-valued}' = \text{equivar-ind-by-act } (\text{carrier } G) B \varphi ? \psi'$
have $\forall E g. g \in \text{carrier } G \longrightarrow E \in B \longrightarrow$
 $\text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ (\varphi \ g \ E)) \text{ UNIV}) =$
 $\{\{r\} \mid r. r \in \text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ (\varphi \ g \ E)) \text{ UNIV}\}$
by *simp*
moreover have
 $\forall E g. g \in \text{carrier } G \longrightarrow E \in B \longrightarrow$
 $\text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ (\varphi \ g \ E)) \text{ UNIV} = \psi \ g \ ' (\text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \text{ UNIV})$
using *equivar-res grp-act group-action.element-image*
unfolding *equivar-prop-global-set-valued-def equivar-ind-by-act-def*
by *fastforce*
ultimately have
 $\forall E g. g \in \text{carrier } G \longrightarrow E \in B \longrightarrow$
 $\text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ (\varphi \ g \ E)) \text{ UNIV}) =$
 $\{\{r\} \mid r. r \in \psi \ g \ ' (\text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \text{ UNIV})\}$
by *simp*
moreover have $\forall E g. \{\{r\} \mid r. r \in \psi \ g \ ' (\text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \text{ UNIV})\}$
 $= \{\psi \ g \ ' \{r\} \mid r. r \in \text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \text{ UNIV}\}$
by *blast*
moreover have $\forall E g. \{\psi \ g \ ' \{r\} \mid r. r \in \text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \text{ UNIV}\} =$
 $? \psi' \ g \ \{\{r\} \mid r. r \in \text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \text{ UNIV}\}$
unfolding *set-action.simps*
by *blast*
ultimately have
 $\text{satisfies } (\lambda E. \text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \text{ UNIV}))$
 $? \text{equivar-prop-global-set-valued}'$
using *rewrite-equivar-ind-by-act[of*
 $\lambda E. \text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \text{ UNIV}) \text{ carrier } G B \varphi ? \psi']$
by *force*
moreover have *group-action* $G \text{ UNIV } (\text{set-action } \psi)$

using *grp-act-induces-set-grp-act*[of G $UNIV$ ψ] *grp-act-res*
unfolding *set-action.simps*
by *auto*
ultimately have *satisfies* $?min-E$ *?equivar-prop-global-set-valued'*
using *grp-act invar-d* $\langle \mathcal{K}\text{-els } C \subseteq B \rangle$ *closed-domain equivar-C-winners*
grp-act-invar-dist-and-equivar-f-imp-equivar-minimizer[of
 G B φ *set-action* ψ $\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C$
 $\lambda E. \text{ singleton-set-system } (\text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ UNIV)$
 $d \text{ elect-r } \circ \text{ fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)$]
unfolding *rel'-def rel-def equivar-prop-def*
by *blast*
moreover have
satisfies \bigcup (*equivar-ind-by-act* (*carrier* G) $UNIV$ $? \psi'$ (*set-action* ψ))
by (*rule equivar-union-under-img-act*[of *carrier* G ψ])
ultimately have *satisfies* $(\bigcup \circ ?min-E)$ *equivar-prop-global-set-valued*
unfolding *equivar-prop-global-set-valued-def*
using *equivar-ind-by-act-comp*[of $?min-E$ B $UNIV$ *carrier* G $? \psi' \ \varphi \ \bigcup$]
by *blast*
moreover have $(\lambda E. ?min \ E \ E) = \bigcup \circ ?min-E$
unfolding *comp-def*
by *blast*
ultimately have
satisfies $(\lambda E. ?min \ E \ E)$ *equivar-prop-global-set-valued*
by *simp*
moreover have $(\lambda E. ?min \ E \ E) = \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ C)$
using *$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}$ -is-minimizer comp-def*
by *metis*
ultimately have *equivar- $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}$:*
satisfies $(\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ C))$ *equivar-prop-global-set-valued*
by *simp*
moreover have $\forall g \in \text{carrier } G. \text{ bij } (\psi \ g)$
using *grp-act-res*
by (*simp add: bij-betw-def group-action.inj-prop group-action.surj-prop*)
ultimately have
satisfies $(\lambda E. \text{ limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ UNIV -$
 $\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ C) \ E)$ *equivar-prop-global-set-valued*
using *equivar-res*
equivar-set-minus[of
 $\lambda E. \text{ limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ UNIV$ *carrier* G
 $B \ \varphi \ \psi \ \lambda E. \text{ fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ C) \ E]$
unfolding *equivar-prop-global-set-valued-def equivar-ind-by-act-def set-action.simps*
by *blast*
thus *satisfies* $(\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{distance-}\mathcal{R} \ d \ C))$ *equivar-prop-global-result-valued*
using *equivar- $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}$*
unfolding *equivar-prop-global-result-valued-def equivar-prop-global-set-valued-def*
by (*simp add: rewrite-equivar-ind-by-act*)
qed

4.6.3 Symmetry Property Inference Rules

theorem (in *result*) *anon-dist-and-cons-imp-anon-dr*:

fixes

$d :: ('a, 'v)$ *Election Distance* **and**

$C :: ('a, 'v, 'r)$ *Result* *Consensus-Class*

assumes

anon-d: *distance-anonymity'* *valid-elections* d **and**

anon-C: *consensus-rule-anonymity'* (\mathcal{K} -els C) C **and**

closed-C:

closed-under-restr-rel (*anonymity_R* *valid-elections*) *valid-elections* (\mathcal{K} -els C)

shows *anonymity'* *valid-elections* (*distance-R* d C)

proof –

have $\forall \pi. \forall E \in \mathcal{K}\text{-els } C. \varphi\text{-anon } (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) \pi E = \varphi\text{-anon } \textit{valid-elections} \pi E$

using *cons-domain-valid*

extensional-continuation-subset[of $\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C$ *valid-elections* *rename* -]

unfolding $\varphi\text{-anon.simps}$

by *metis*

hence

rel-induced-by-action (*carrier anonymity_G*) ($\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C$) ($\varphi\text{-anon } \textit{valid-elections}$)

=

rel-induced-by-action (*carrier anonymity_G*) ($\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C$) ($\varphi\text{-anon } (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C)$)

using *coinciding-actions-ind-equal-rel*[of

carrier anonymity_G $\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C$ $\varphi\text{-anon } \textit{valid-elections}$ $\varphi\text{-anon } (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C)$]

by *metis*

hence

satisfies (*elect-r* \circ *fun_E* (*rule-K* C))

(*Invariance*

(*rel-induced-by-action* (*carrier anonymity_G*) ($\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C$) ($\varphi\text{-anon } \textit{valid-elections}$)))

using *anon-C*

unfolding *consensus-rule-anonymity'.simps* *anonymity_R.simps*

by *presburger*

thus *?thesis*

using *cons-domain-valid*[of C] *assms* *anon-grp-act.group-action-axioms* *well-formed-res-anon*

invar-dist-cons-imp-invar-dr-rule[of

anonymity_G *valid-elections* $\varphi\text{-anon } \textit{valid-elections } C$]

unfolding *distance-anonymity'.simps* *anonymity_R.simps* *anonymity'.simps*

consensus-rule-anonymity'.simps

by *blast*

qed

theorem (in *result-properties*) *neutr-dist-and-cons-imp-neutr-dr*:

fixes

$d :: ('a, 'c)$ *Election Distance* **and**

$C :: ('a, 'c, 'b)$ *Result* *Consensus-Class*

assumes

neutr-d: *distance-neutrality* *valid-elections* d **and**

neutr-C: *consensus-rule-neutrality* ($\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C$) C **and**

closed-C:

closed-under-restr-rel (*neutrality_R* *valid-elections*) *valid-elections* ($\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C$)

shows *neutrality valid-elections* (*distance- \mathcal{R}* *d C*)
proof –
have
 $\forall \pi. \forall E \in \mathcal{K}\text{-els } C. \varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi E = \varphi\text{-neutr } (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) \pi E$
using *cons-domain-valid*[*of C*]
unfolding *$\varphi\text{-neutr.simps}$*
by (*meson extensional-continuation-subset*)
hence
satisfies (*elect-r* \circ *fun \mathcal{E}* (*rule- \mathcal{K}* *C*))
(equivar-ind-by-act (*carrier neutrality \mathcal{G}*) (*$\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C$*)
($\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections}$) (set-action $\psi\text{-neutr}$))
using *neutr-C*
equivar-ind-by-act-coincide[*of*
carrier neutrality \mathcal{G} *$\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C$* *$\varphi\text{-neutr } (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C)$*
 *$\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections elect-r} \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}}$ (*rule- \mathcal{K}* *C*)*]
unfolding *consensus-rule-neutrality.simps*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*))
thus *?thesis*
using *cons-domain-valid*[*of C*] *neutr-d closed-C*
 $\varphi\text{-neutr-act.group-action-axioms}$
well-formed-res-neutr act-neutr
invar-dist-equivar-cons-imp-equivar-dr-rule[*of*
neutrality \mathcal{G} *valid-elections $\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \psi\text{-neutr } C d$*]
unfolding *distance-neutrality.simps neutrality.simps neutrality \mathcal{R} .simps*
by *blast*
qed

theorem *reversal-sym-dist-and-cons-imp-reversal-sym-dr*:
fixes
 $d :: ('a, 'c) \text{ Election Distance}$ **and**
 $C :: ('a, 'c, 'a \text{ rel Result}) \text{ Consensus-Class}$
assumes
rev-sym-d: *distance-reversal-symmetry valid-elections d* **and**
rev-sym-C: *consensus-rule-reversal-symmetry ($\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C$) C* **and**
closed-C:
closed-under-restr-rel (*reversal \mathcal{R}* *valid-elections*) *valid-elections* (*$\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C$*)
shows *reversal-symmetry valid-elections* (*social-welfare-result.distance- \mathcal{R}* *d C*)
proof –
have
 $\forall \pi. \forall E \in \mathcal{K}\text{-els } C. \varphi\text{-rev valid-elections } \pi E = \varphi\text{-rev } (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) \pi E$
using *cons-domain-valid*[*of C*]
unfolding *$\varphi\text{-rev.simps}$*
by (*meson extensional-continuation-subset*)
hence
satisfies (*elect-r* \circ *fun \mathcal{E}* (*rule- \mathcal{K}* *C*))
(equivar-ind-by-act (*carrier reversal \mathcal{G}*) (*$\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C$*)
($\varphi\text{-rev valid-elections}$) (set-action $\psi\text{-rev}$))
using *rev-sym-C*
equivar-ind-by-act-coincide[*of*

carrier reversal_G \mathcal{K} -els C φ -rev (\mathcal{K} -els C)
 φ -rev valid-elections elect- r \circ fun_E (rule- \mathcal{K} C)
unfolding consensus-rule-reversal-symmetry.simps
by (metis (no-types, lifting))
thus ?thesis
using cons-domain-valid[of C] rev-sym-d closed- C
 φ -rev-act.group-action-axioms ψ -rev-act.group-action-axioms
 φ - ψ -rev-well-formed
 social-welfare-result.invar-dist-equivar-cons-imp-equivar-dr-rule[of
 reversal_G valid-elections φ -rev valid-elections ψ -rev C d]
unfolding distance-reversal-symmetry.simps reversal-symmetry-def reversal_R.simps
by blast
qed

theorem (in result) tot-hom-dist-imp-hom-dr:
fixes
 $d :: ('a, \text{nat})$ Election Distance **and**
 $C :: ('a, \text{nat}, 'r \text{ Result})$ Consensus-Class
assumes
 hom-d: distance-homogeneity finite-voter-elections d
shows homogeneity finite-voter-elections (distance- \mathcal{R} d C)
proof –
have
 Restr (homogeneity_R finite-voter-elections) (\mathcal{K} -els C) = homogeneity_R (\mathcal{K} -els
 C)
using cons-domain-finite[of C]
unfolding homogeneity_R.simps finite-voter-elections-def
by blast
hence refl-on (\mathcal{K} -els C) (Restr (homogeneity_R finite-voter-elections) (\mathcal{K} -els C))
using refl-homogeneity_R[of \mathcal{K} -els C] cons-domain-finite[of C]
by presburger
moreover have
 satisfies ($\lambda E.$ limit-set (alts- \mathcal{E} E) UNIV) (Invariance (homogeneity_R finite-voter-elections))
using well-formed-res-homogeneity
unfolding homogeneity_R.simps
by fastforce
ultimately show ?thesis
using assms tot-invar-dist-imp-invar-dr-rule [of C homogeneity_R finite-voter-elections
 d]
unfolding distance-homogeneity-def homogeneity.simps
by blast
qed

theorem (in result) tot-hom-dist-imp-hom-dr':
fixes
 $d :: ('a, 'v::\text{linorder})$ Election Distance **and**
 $C :: ('a, 'v, 'r \text{ Result})$ Consensus-Class
assumes
 hom-d: distance-homogeneity' finite-voter-elections d

```

    shows homogeneity' finite-voter-elections (distance- $\mathcal{R}$  d C)
  proof -
    have
      Restr (homogeneity $\mathcal{R}$ ' finite-voter-elections) ( $\mathcal{K}$ -els C) = homogeneity $\mathcal{R}$ ' ( $\mathcal{K}$ -els C)
    using cons-domain-finite[of C]
    unfolding homogeneity $\mathcal{R}$ '.simps finite-voter-elections-def
    by blast
  hence reft-on ( $\mathcal{K}$ -els C) (Restr (homogeneity $\mathcal{R}$ ' finite-voter-elections) ( $\mathcal{K}$ -els C))
    using reft-homogeneity $\mathcal{R}$ '[of  $\mathcal{K}$ -els C] cons-domain-finite[of C]
    by presburger
  moreover have
    satisfies ( $\lambda E. \text{limit-set (alts- $\mathcal{E}$  E) UNIV} (Invariance (homogeneity $\mathcal{R}$ ' finite-voter-elections))$ 
    using well-formed-res-homogeneity'
    unfolding homogeneity $\mathcal{R}$ '.simps
    by fastforce
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using assms tot-invar-dist-imp-invar-dr-rule [of C homogeneity $\mathcal{R}$ ' finite-voter-elections
d]
    unfolding distance-homogeneity'-def homogeneity'.simps
    by blast
qed

```

4.6.4 Further Properties

```

fun decisiveness ::
  ('a, 'v Election set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v Election Distance  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ('a, 'v, 'r Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$  bool) where
    decisiveness X d m =
      ( $\nexists E. E \in X \wedge (\exists \delta > 0. \forall E' \in X. d E E' < \delta \longrightarrow \text{card (elect-r (fun}_{\mathcal{E}} m E'))$ 
> 1)))
end

```

4.7 Distance Rationalization on Election Quotients

```

theory Quotient-Distance-Rationalization
  imports Quotient-Modules
    .. / Distance-Rationalization-Symmetry
begin

```

4.7.1 Quotient Distances

```

fun dist $\mathcal{Q}$  :: 'x Distance  $\Rightarrow$  'x set Distance where
  dist $\mathcal{Q}$  d A B = (if (A = {}  $\wedge$  B = {}) then 0 else
    (if (A = {}  $\vee$  B = {}) then  $\infty$  else
       $\pi_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{dist}_{\mathcal{T}} d) (A \times B)))$ 
  fun relation-paths :: 'x rel  $\Rightarrow$  'x list set where

```

$relation_paths\ r = \{p. \exists k. (length\ p = 2*k \wedge (\forall i < k. (p!(2*i), p!(2*i+1)) \in r))\}$

fun *admissible-paths* :: 'x rel \Rightarrow 'x set \Rightarrow 'x set \Rightarrow 'x list set **where**
admissible-paths r X Y = $\{x\#p@[y] \mid x\ y\ p. x \in X \wedge y \in Y \wedge p \in relation_paths\ r\}$

fun *path-length* :: 'x list \Rightarrow 'x Distance \Rightarrow ereal **where**
path-length [] d = 0 |
path-length [x] d = 0 |
path-length (x#y#xs) d = d x y + *path-length* xs d

fun *quotient-dist* :: 'x rel \Rightarrow 'x Distance \Rightarrow 'x set Distance **where**
quotient-dist r d A B = Inf ($\bigcup \{\{path_length\ p\ d \mid p. p \in admissible_paths\ r\ A\ B\}\}$)

fun *inf-dist*_Q :: 'x Distance \Rightarrow 'x set Distance **where**
*inf-dist*_Q d A B = Inf $\{d\ a\ b \mid a\ b. a \in A \wedge b \in B\}$

fun *simple* :: 'x rel \Rightarrow 'x set \Rightarrow 'x Distance \Rightarrow bool **where**
simple r X d = ($\forall A \in X \ //\ r. (\exists a \in A. \forall B \in X \ //\ r. inf_dist_Q\ d\ A\ B = Inf\ \{d\ a\ b \mid b. b \in B\})$)

— We call a distance simple with respect to a relation if for all relation classes, there is an a in A minimizing the infimum distance between A and all B so that the infimum distance between these sets coincides with the infimum distance over all b in B for fixed a.

fun *product-rel'* :: 'x rel \Rightarrow ('x * 'x) rel **where**
product-rel' r = $\{(pair1, pair2). ((fst\ pair1, fst\ pair2) \in r \wedge snd\ pair1 = snd\ pair2) \vee$
 $((snd\ pair1, snd\ pair2) \in r \wedge fst\ pair1 = fst\ pair2)\}$

Auxiliary Lemmas

lemma *tot-dist-invariance-is-congruence*:

fixes

d :: 'x Distance **and**

r :: 'x rel

shows

(*totally-invariant-dist* d r) = (*dist*_T d respects (*product-rel* r))

unfolding *totally-invariant-dist.simps satisfies.simps congruent-def*

by *blast*

lemma *product-rel-helper*:

fixes

r :: 'x rel **and**

X :: 'x set

shows
trans-imp: $\text{Relation.trans } r \implies \text{Relation.trans } (\text{product-rel } r)$ **and**
refl-imp: $\text{refl-on } X \ r \implies \text{refl-on } (X \times X) \ (\text{product-rel } r)$ **and**
sym: $\text{sym-on } X \ r \implies \text{sym-on } (X \times X) \ (\text{product-rel } r)$
unfolding $\text{Relation.trans-def refl-on-def sym-on-def product-rel.simps}$
by *auto*

theorem *dist-pass-to-quotient*:
fixes
 $d :: 'x \text{ Distance}$ **and**
 $r :: 'x \text{ rel}$ **and**
 $X :: 'x \text{ set}$
assumes
 $\text{equiv } X \ r$ **and**
 $\text{totally-invariant-dist } d \ r$
shows
 $\forall A \ B. A \in X \ /\! / \ r \wedge B \in X \ /\! / \ r \longrightarrow (\forall a \ b. a \in A \wedge b \in B \longrightarrow \text{dist}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ d \ A \ B = d \ a \ b)$
proof (*safe*)
fix
 $A :: 'x \text{ set}$ **and**
 $B :: 'x \text{ set}$ **and**
 $a :: 'x$ **and**
 $b :: 'x$
assume
 $a \in A$ **and**
 $b \in B$ **and**
 $A \in X \ /\! / \ r$ **and**
 $B \in X \ /\! / \ r$
hence $A = r \ `` \{a\} \wedge B = r \ `` \{b\}$
using *assms*
by (*meson equiv-class-eq-iff quotientI quotient-eq-iff rev-ImageI singleton-iff*)
hence $A \times B = (\text{product-rel } r) \ `` \{(a, b)\}$
unfolding $\text{product-rel'.simps}$
by *auto*
hence $A \times B \in (X \times X) \ /\! / \ (\text{product-rel } r)$
unfolding *quotient-def*
using $\langle a \in A \rangle \langle b \in B \rangle \langle A \in X \ /\! / \ r \rangle \langle B \in X \ /\! / \ r \rangle$ *assms Union-quotient*
by *fastforce*
moreover have $\text{equiv } (X \times X) \ (\text{product-rel } r)$
using *assms product-rel-helper*
by (*metis UNIV-Times-UNIV equivE equivI*)
moreover have $\text{dist}_{\mathcal{T}} \ d \text{ respects } (\text{product-rel } r)$
using *assms tot-dist-invariance-is-congruence[of d r]*
by *blast*
moreover have $\text{dist}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ d \ A \ B = \pi_{\mathcal{Q}} \ (\text{dist}_{\mathcal{T}} \ d) \ (A \times B)$
using $\langle a \in A \rangle \langle b \in B \rangle$
by *auto*
ultimately have $\forall (x, y) \in A \times B. \text{dist}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ d \ A \ B = d \ x \ y$

```

    unfolding dist_Q.simps
    using assms pass-to-quotient
    by fastforce
  thus dist_Q d A B = d a b
    using  $\langle a \in A \rangle \langle b \in B \rangle$ 
    by blast
qed

```

lemma *relation-paths-subset*:

```

  fixes
    n :: nat and
    p :: 'x list' and
    r :: 'x rel' and
    X :: 'x set'
  assumes
     $r \subseteq X \times X$ 
  shows
     $\forall p. p \in \text{relation-paths } r \longrightarrow (\forall i < \text{length } p. p!i \in X)$ 
proof (safe)
  fix
    p :: 'x list' and
    i :: nat
  assume
    p  $\in$  relation-paths r and
    range: i < length p
  then obtain k :: nat where
    len: length p = 2 * k and rel:  $\forall i < k. (p!(2*i), p!(2*i+1)) \in r$ 
    by auto
  obtain k' :: nat where
    i-cases:  $i = 2*k' \vee i = 2*k' + 1$ 
    by (metis diff-Suc-1 even-Suc oddE odd-two-times-div-two-nat)
  with len range have k' < k
    by linarith
  hence  $(p!(2*k'), p!(2*k'+1)) \in r$ 
    using rel
    by blast
  hence  $p!(2*k') \in X \wedge p!(2*k'+1) \in X$ 
    using assms rel
    by blast
  thus p! i  $\in$  X
    using i-cases
    by blast
qed

```

lemma *admissible-path-len*:

```

  fixes
    d :: 'x Distance' and
    r :: 'x rel' and
    X :: 'x set' and

```

```

    a :: 'x and b :: 'x and
    p :: 'x list
  assumes
    refl-on X r
  shows
    triangle-ineq X d ∧ p ∈ relation-paths r ∧ totally-invariant-dist d r ∧
    a ∈ X ∧ b ∈ X ⟶ path-length (a#p@[b]) d ≥ d a b
  proof (clarify, induction p d arbitrary: a b rule: path-length.induct)
    case (1 d)
    show d a b ≤ path-length (a # [] @ [b]) d
    by simp
  next
    case (2 x d)
    hence False
    unfolding relation-paths.simps
    by auto
    thus d a b ≤ path-length (a # [x] @ [b]) d
    by blast
  next
    case (3 x y xs d)
    assume
      ineq: triangle-ineq X d and a ∈ X and b ∈ X and
      rel: x # y # xs ∈ relation-paths r and
      invar: totally-invariant-dist d r and
      hyp: ∧a b. triangle-ineq X d ⟹ xs ∈ relation-paths r ⟹ totally-invariant-dist
      d r ⟹
        a ∈ X ⟹ b ∈ X ⟹ d a b ≤ path-length (a # xs @ [b]) d
    then obtain k :: nat where len: length (x # y # xs) = 2*k
    by auto
    moreover have ∀i < k - 1. (xs ! (2 * i), xs ! (2 * i + 1)) =
      ((x # y # xs) ! (2 * (i + 1)), (x # y # xs) ! (2 * (i + 1) + 1))
    by simp
    ultimately have ∀i < k - 1. (xs ! (2 * i), xs ! (2 * i + 1)) ∈ r
    using rel less-diff-conv
    unfolding relation-paths.simps
    by auto
    moreover have length xs = 2*(k-1)
    using len
    by simp
    ultimately have xs ∈ relation-paths r
    by simp
    hence ∀x y. x ∈ X ∧ y ∈ X ⟶ d x y ≤ path-length (x # xs @ [y]) d
    using ineq invar hyp
    by blast
    moreover have
      path-length (a # (x # y # xs) @ [b]) d = d a x + path-length (y # xs @ [b]) d
    by simp
    moreover have (x, y) ∈ r
    using rel

```

```

    unfolding relation-paths.simps
    by fastforce
  ultimately have path-length (a # (x # y # xs) @ [b]) d ≥ d a x + d y b
    using assms add-left-mono assms refl-onD2 ⟨b ∈ X⟩
    unfolding refl-on-def
    by metis
  moreover have d a x + d y b = d a x + d x b
    using invar ⟨(x, y) ∈ r⟩ rewrite-totally-invariant-dist assms ⟨b ∈ X⟩
    unfolding refl-on-def
    by fastforce
  moreover have d a x + d x b ≥ d a b
    using ⟨a ∈ X⟩ ⟨b ∈ X⟩ ⟨(x, y) ∈ r⟩ assms ineq
    unfolding refl-on-def triangle-ineq-def
    by auto
  ultimately show d a b ≤ path-length (a # (x # y # xs) @ [b]) d
    by simp
qed

lemma quotient-dist-coincides-with-dist_Q:
  fixes
    d :: 'x Distance and
    r :: 'x rel and
    X :: 'x set
  assumes
    equiv: equiv X r and
    tri: triangle-ineq X d and
    invar: totally-invariant-dist d r
  shows
    ∀ A ∈ X // r. ∀ B ∈ X // r. quotient-dist r d A B = dist_Q d A B
proof (clarify)
  fix
    A :: 'x set and
    B :: 'x set
  assume
    A ∈ X // r and
    B ∈ X // r
  then obtain a :: 'x and b :: 'x where
    el: a ∈ A ∧ b ∈ B and def-dist: dist_Q d A B = d a b
  using dist-pass-to-quotient assms in-quotient-imp-non-empty
  by (metis (full-types) ex-in-conv)
  hence equiv-cls: A = r “ {a} ∧ B = r “ {b}
    using ⟨A ∈ X // r⟩ ⟨B ∈ X // r⟩ assms equiv-class-eq-iff
    equiv-class-self quotientI quotient-eq-iff
    by meson
  have subset-X: r ⊆ X × X ∧ A ⊆ X ∧ B ⊆ X
    using assms ⟨A ∈ X // r⟩ ⟨B ∈ X // r⟩ equiv-def refl-on-def Union-quotient
  Union-upper
    by metis
  have

```

$\forall p \in \text{admissible-paths } r \ A \ B. (\exists p' \ x \ y. x \in A \wedge y \in B \wedge p' \in \text{relation-paths } r$
 $\wedge p = x \# p' @ [y])$
unfolding *admissible-paths.simps*
by *blast*
moreover have $\forall x \ y. x \in A \wedge y \in B \longrightarrow d \ x \ y = d \ a \ b$
using *invar equiv-cls*
by *auto*
moreover have *refl-on X r*
using *equiv equiv-def*
by *blast*
ultimately have $\forall p. p \in \text{admissible-paths } r \ A \ B \longrightarrow \text{path-length } p \ d \geq d \ a \ b$
using *admissible-path-len[of X r d] tri subset-X el invar*
by (*metis in-mono*)
hence $\forall l. l \in \bigcup \{ \{ \text{path-length } p \ d \mid p. p \in \text{admissible-paths } r \ A \ B \} \} \longrightarrow l \geq d$
 $a \ b$
by *blast*
hence *geq: quotient-dist r d A B $\geq d \ a \ b$*
using *quotient-dist.simps[of r d A B]*
by (*simp add: le-Inf-iff*)
with *el def-dist*
have *geq: quotient-dist r d A B $\geq \text{dist}_{\mathcal{Q}} d \ A \ B$*
by *presburger*
have $[a, b] \in \text{admissible-paths } r \ A \ B$
using *el*
by *simp*
moreover have *path-length [a, b] d = d a b*
by *simp*
ultimately have *quotient-dist r d A B $\leq d \ a \ b$*
using *quotient-dist.simps[of r d A B] CollectI Inf-lower ccpo-Sup-singleton*
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting)*)
thus *quotient-dist r d A B = dist_Q d A B*
using *geq def-dist nle-le*
by *metis*
qed

lemma *inf-dist-coincides-with-dist_Q:*

fixes
 $d :: 'x \ \text{Distance}$ **and**
 $r :: 'x \ \text{rel}$ **and**
 $X :: 'x \ \text{set}$
assumes
 $\text{equiv } X \ r$ **and**
 $\text{totally-invariant-dist } d \ r$
shows
 $\forall A \in X \ // \ r. \forall B \in X \ // \ r. \text{inf-dist}_{\mathcal{Q}} d \ A \ B = \text{dist}_{\mathcal{Q}} d \ A \ B$
proof (*clarify*)
fix
 $A :: 'x \ \text{set}$ **and**
 $B :: 'x \ \text{set}$

```

assume
   $A \in X // r$  and
   $B \in X // r$ 
then obtain  $a :: 'x$  and  $b :: 'x$  where
   $el: a \in A \wedge b \in B$  and  $def-dist: dist_Q d A B = d a b$ 
  using  $dist-pass-to-quotient$   $assms$   $in-quotient-imp-non-empty$ 
  by  $(metis (full-types) ex-in-conv)$ 
have  $\forall x y. x \in A \wedge y \in B \longrightarrow d x y = d a b$ 
  using  $def-dist dist-pass-to-quotient assms \langle A \in X // r \rangle \langle B \in X // r \rangle$ 
  by force
hence  $\{d x y \mid x y. x \in A \wedge y \in B\} = \{d a b\}$ 
  using  $el$ 
  by blast
thus  $inf-dist_Q d A B = dist_Q d A B$ 
  unfolding  $inf-dist_Q.simps$ 
  using  $def-dist$ 
  by simp
qed

lemma  $Inf-helper$ :
fixes
   $A :: 'x set$  and
   $B :: 'x set$  and
   $d :: 'x Distance$ 
shows
   $Inf \{d a b \mid a b. a \in A \wedge b \in B\} = Inf \{Inf \{d a b \mid b. b \in B\} \mid a. a \in A\}$ 
proof –
  have  $\forall a b. a \in A \wedge b \in B \longrightarrow Inf \{d a b \mid b. b \in B\} \leq d a b$ 
  by  $(simp add: INF-lower Setcompr-eq-image)$ 
  hence
   $\forall \alpha \in \{d a b \mid a b. a \in A \wedge b \in B\}. \exists \beta \in \{Inf \{d a b \mid b. b \in B\} \mid a. a \in A\}. \beta$ 
 $\leq \alpha$ 
  by blast
hence  $Inf \{Inf \{d a b \mid b. b \in B\} \mid a. a \in A\} \leq Inf \{d a b \mid a b. a \in A \wedge b \in B\}$ 
  by  $(meson Inf-mono)$ 
moreover have
   $\neg(Inf \{Inf \{d a b \mid b. b \in B\} \mid a. a \in A\} < Inf \{d a b \mid a b. a \in A \wedge b \in B\})$ 
proof  $(rule ccontr, simp)$ 
  assume  $Inf \{Inf \{d a b \mid b. b \in B\} \mid a. a \in A\} < Inf \{d a b \mid a b. a \in A \wedge b \in B\}$ 
then obtain  $\alpha :: ereal$  where
   $inf: \alpha \in \{Inf \{d a b \mid b. b \in B\} \mid a. a \in A\}$  and
   $less: \alpha < Inf \{d a b \mid a b. a \in A \wedge b \in B\}$ 
  by  $(meson Inf-less-iff Inf-lower2 leD linorder-le-less-linear)$ 
then obtain  $a :: 'x$  where  $a \in A$  and  $\alpha = Inf \{d a b \mid b. b \in B\}$ 
  by blast
with less have
   $inf-less: Inf \{d a b \mid b. b \in B\} < Inf \{d a b \mid a b. a \in A \wedge b \in B\}$ 
  by blast

```

```

have {d a b | b. b ∈ B} ⊆ {d a b | a b. a ∈ A ∧ b ∈ B}
  using ‹a ∈ A›
  by blast
hence Inf {d a b | a b. a ∈ A ∧ b ∈ B} ≤ Inf {d a b | b. b ∈ B}
  by (meson Inf-superset-mono)
with inf-less show False
  using linorder-not-less
  by blast
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
  by simp
qed

lemma invar-dist-simple:
  fixes
    d :: 'y Distance and
    G :: 'x monoid and
    Y :: 'y set and
    φ :: ('x, 'y) binary-fun
  assumes
    grp-act: group-action G Y φ and
    invar: invariant-dist d (carrier G) Y φ
  shows
    simple (rel-induced-by-action (carrier G) Y φ) Y d
proof (unfold simple.simps, safe)
  fix
    A :: 'y set
  assume
    cls: A ∈ Y // rel-induced-by-action (carrier G) Y φ
  have equiv-rel: equiv Y (rel-induced-by-action (carrier G) Y φ)
    using assms rel-ind-by-grp-act-equiv
    by blast
  with cls obtain a :: 'y where a ∈ A
    using equiv-Eps-in
    by blast
  have subset: ∀ B ∈ Y // rel-induced-by-action (carrier G) Y φ. B ⊆ Y
    using equiv-rel in-quotient-imp-subset
    by blast
  hence
    ∀ B ∈ Y // rel-induced-by-action (carrier G) Y φ.
      ∀ B' ∈ Y // rel-induced-by-action (carrier G) Y φ.
        ∀ b ∈ B. ∀ c ∈ B'. b ∈ Y ∧ c ∈ Y
    using cls
    by blast
  hence eq-dist:
    ∀ B ∈ Y // rel-induced-by-action (carrier G) Y φ.
      ∀ B' ∈ Y // rel-induced-by-action (carrier G) Y φ.
        ∀ b ∈ B. ∀ c ∈ B'. ∀ g ∈ carrier G.
          d (φ g c) (φ g b) = d c b

```

using *invar rewrite-invariant-dist cls*
by *metis*
have
 $\forall b \in Y. \forall g \in \text{carrier } G. (b, \varphi g b) \in \text{rel-induced-by-action } (\text{carrier } G) Y \varphi$
unfolding *rel-induced-by-action.simps*
using *group-action.element-image grp-act*
by *fastforce*
hence
 $\forall b \in Y. \forall g \in \text{carrier } G. \varphi g b \in \text{rel-induced-by-action } (\text{carrier } G) Y \varphi \text{ `` } \{b\}$
unfolding *Image-def*
by *blast*
moreover have *equiv-cls*:
 $\forall B. B \in Y // \text{rel-induced-by-action } (\text{carrier } G) Y \varphi \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall b \in B. B = \text{rel-induced-by-action } (\text{carrier } G) Y \varphi \text{ `` } \{b\})$
using *equiv-rel Image-singleton-iff equiv-class-eq-iff quotientI quotient-eq-iff*
by *meson*
ultimately have *closed-cls*:
 $\forall B \in Y // \text{rel-induced-by-action } (\text{carrier } G) Y \varphi. \forall b \in B. \forall g \in \text{carrier } G. \varphi$
 $g b \in B$
using *equiv-rel subset*
by *blast*
with *eq-dist cls have a-subset-A*:
 $\forall B \in Y // \text{rel-induced-by-action } (\text{carrier } G) Y \varphi.$
 $\{d a b \mid b. b \in B\} \subseteq \{d a b \mid a b. a \in A \wedge b \in B\}$
using $\langle a \in A \rangle$
by *blast*
have $\forall a' \in A. A = \text{rel-induced-by-action } (\text{carrier } G) Y \varphi \text{ `` } \{a'\}$
using *cls equiv-rel equiv-cls*
by *presburger*
hence
 $\forall a' \in A. (a', a) \in \text{rel-induced-by-action } (\text{carrier } G) Y \varphi$
using $\langle a \in A \rangle$
by *blast*
hence
 $\forall a' \in A. \exists g \in \text{carrier } G. \varphi g a' = a$
unfolding *rel-induced-by-action.simps*
by *auto*
hence
 $\forall B \in Y // \text{rel-induced-by-action } (\text{carrier } G) Y \varphi.$
 $\forall a' b. a' \in A \wedge b \in B \longrightarrow (\exists g \in \text{carrier } G. d a' b = d a (\varphi g b))$
using *eq-dist cls*
by *force*
hence
 $\forall B \in Y // \text{rel-induced-by-action } (\text{carrier } G) Y \varphi.$
 $\forall a' b. a' \in A \wedge b \in B \longrightarrow d a' b \in \{d a b \mid b. b \in B\}$
using *closed-cls mem-Collect-eq*
by *fastforce*
hence
 $\forall B \in Y // \text{rel-induced-by-action } (\text{carrier } G) Y \varphi.$


```

    {d a b | b. b ∈ B} ⊇ {d a b | a b. a ∈ A ∧ b ∈ B}
  using closed-clb
  by blast
with a-subset-A have ∀ B ∈ Y // rel-induced-by-action (carrier G) Y ϕ.
  inf-dist_Q d A B = Inf {d a b | b. b ∈ B}
  unfolding inf-dist_Q.simps
  by fastforce
thus
  ∃ a ∈ A. ∀ B ∈ Y // rel-induced-by-action (carrier G) Y ϕ.
  inf-dist_Q d A B = Inf {d a b | b. b ∈ B}
  using ⟨a ∈ A⟩
  by blast
qed

lemma tot-invar-dist-simple:
  fixes
    d :: 'x Distance and
    r :: 'x rel and
    X :: 'x set
  assumes
    equiv X r and invar:
    totally-invariant-dist d r
  shows
    simple r X d
  proof (unfold simple.simps, safe)
    fix
      A :: 'x set
    assume
      A ∈ X // r
    then obtain a :: 'x where a ∈ A
      using ⟨equiv X r⟩ equiv-Eps-in
      by blast
    from ⟨A ∈ X // r⟩ have ∀ a ∈ A. A = r “ {a}
      using ⟨equiv X r⟩
      by (meson Image-singleton-iff equiv-class-eq-iff quotientI quotient-eq-iff)
    hence ∀ a a'. a ∈ A ∧ a' ∈ A ⟶ (a, a') ∈ r
      by blast
    moreover have ∀ B ∈ X // r. ∀ b ∈ B. (b, b) ∈ r
      using ⟨equiv X r⟩
      by (meson quotient-eq-iff)
    ultimately have ∀ B ∈ X // r. ∀ a a' b. a ∈ A ∧ a' ∈ A ∧ b ∈ B ⟶ d a b =
      d a' b
      using invar rewrite-totally-invariant-dist[of d r]
      by blast
    hence ∀ B ∈ X // r. {d a b | a b. a ∈ A ∧ b ∈ B} = {d a b | a' b. a' ∈ A ∧ b ∈
      B}
      using ⟨a ∈ A⟩
      by blast
    moreover have ∀ B ∈ X // r. {d a b | a' b. a' ∈ A ∧ b ∈ B} = {d a b | b. b ∈

```

$B\}$
using $\langle a \in A \rangle$
by *blast*
ultimately have
 $\forall B \in X \ // \ r. \ Inf \ \{d \ a \ b \ | \ a \ b. \ a \in A \wedge b \in B\} = Inf \ \{d \ a \ b \ | \ b. \ b \in B\}$
by *simp*
hence $\forall B \in X \ // \ r. \ inf-dist_Q \ d \ A \ B = Inf \ \{d \ a \ b \ | \ b. \ b \in B\}$
by *simp*
thus $\exists a \in A. \ \forall B \in X \ // \ r. \ inf-dist_Q \ d \ A \ B = Inf \ \{d \ a \ b \ | \ b. \ b \in B\}$
using $\langle a \in A \rangle$
by *blast*
qed

4.7.2 Quotient Consensus and Results

fun $\mathcal{K}\text{-els}_Q ::$
 $(\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election rel} \Rightarrow (\text{'a}, \text{'v}, \text{'r Result}) \text{ Consensus-Class} \Rightarrow (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election set}$
set where
 $\mathcal{K}\text{-els}_Q \ r \ C = (\mathcal{K}\text{-els} \ C) \ // \ r$

fun **(in result)** $limit\text{-set}_Q :: (\text{'a}, \text{'v}) \text{ Election set} \Rightarrow \text{'r set} \Rightarrow \text{'r set}$ **where**
 $limit\text{-set}_Q \ X \ res = \bigcap \{limit\text{-set} \ (alts\text{-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ res \ | \ E. \ E \in X\}$

Auxiliary Lemmas

lemma *closed-under-equiv-rel-subset*:
fixes
 $X :: \text{'x set}$ **and**
 $Y :: \text{'x set}$ **and**
 $Z :: \text{'x set}$ **and**
 $r :: \text{'x rel}$
assumes
 $equiv \ X \ r$ **and**
 $Y \subseteq X$ **and** $Z \subseteq X$ **and**
 $Z \in Y \ // \ r$ **and**
 $closed\text{-under-restr-rel} \ r \ X \ Y$
shows
 $Z \subseteq Y$
proof (*safe*)
fix
 $z :: \text{'x}$
assume
 $z \in Z$
then obtain $y :: \text{'x}$ **where** $y \in Y$ **and** $(y, z) \in r$
using *assms*
unfolding *quotient-def Image-def*
by *blast*
hence $(y, z) \in r \cap Y \times X$
using *assms*
unfolding *equiv-def refl-on-def*

```

    by blast
  hence  $z \in \{z. \exists y \in Y. (y, z) \in r \cap Y \times X\}$ 
    by blast
  thus  $z \in Y$ 
    using assms
    unfolding closed-under-restr-rel.simps restr-rel.simps
    by blast
qed

lemma (in result) limit-set-invar:
  fixes
     $d :: ('a, 'v)$  Election Distance and
     $r :: ('a, 'v)$  Election rel and
     $C :: ('a, 'v, 'r \text{ Result})$  Consensus-Class and
     $X :: ('a, 'v)$  Election set and
     $A :: ('a, 'v)$  Election set
  assumes
    cls:  $A \in X$  // r and equiv-rel: equiv  $X$  r and cons-subset:  $\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C \subseteq X$  and
    invar-res: satisfies  $(\lambda E. \text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ UNIV)$  (Invariance r)
  shows
     $\forall a \in A. \text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ a) \ UNIV = \text{limit-set}_Q \ A \ UNIV$ 
proof
  fix
     $a :: ('a, 'v)$  Election
  assume
     $a \in A$ 
  hence  $\forall b \in A. (a, b) \in r$ 
    using cls equiv-rel quotient-eq-iff
    by meson
  hence  $\forall b \in A. \text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ b) \ UNIV = \text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ a) \ UNIV$ 
    using invar-res
    unfolding satisfies.simps
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
  hence  $\text{limit-set}_Q \ A \ UNIV = \bigcap \{\text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ a) \ UNIV\}$ 
    unfolding limit-set_Q.simps
    using  $\langle a \in A \rangle$ 
    by blast
  thus  $\text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ a) \ UNIV = \text{limit-set}_Q \ A \ UNIV$ 
    by simp
qed

lemma (in result) preimg-invar:
  fixes
     $f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$  and
     $\text{domain}_f :: 'x \text{ set}$  and
     $d :: 'x$  Distance and
     $r :: 'x \text{ rel}$  and
     $X :: 'x \text{ set}$ 
  assumes

```

equiv-rel: *equiv* X r **and**
cons-subset: $\text{domain}_f \subseteq X$ **and**
closed-domain: *closed-under-restr-rel* r X domain_f **and**
invar-f: *satisfies* f (*Invariance* (*Restr* r domain_f))
shows
 $\forall y. (\text{preimg } f \text{ domain}_f y) // r = \text{preimg } (\pi_Q f) (\text{domain}_f // r) y$
proof (*safe*)
fix
 $A :: 'x \text{ set}$ **and**
 $y :: 'y$
assume
preimg-quot: $A \in \text{preimg } f \text{ domain}_f y // r$
hence $A \in \text{domain}_f // r$
unfolding *preimg.simps quotient-def*
by *blast*
obtain $x :: 'x$ **where**
 $x \in \text{preimg } f \text{ domain}_f y$ **and** $A = r `` \{x\}$
using *equiv-rel preimg-quot quotientE*
unfolding *quotient-def*
by *blast*
hence $x \in \text{domain}_f \wedge f x = y$
unfolding *preimg.simps*
by *blast*
moreover have $r `` \{x\} \subseteq X$
using *equiv-rel equiv-type*
by *fastforce*
ultimately have $r `` \{x\} \subseteq \text{domain}_f$
using *closed-domain* $\langle A = r `` \{x\} \rangle \langle A \in \text{domain}_f // r \rangle$
by *fastforce*
hence $\forall x' \in r `` \{x\}. (x, x') \in \text{Restr } r \text{ domain}_f$
by (*simp add*: $\langle x \in \text{domain}_f \wedge f x = y \rangle$ *in-mono*)
hence $\forall x' \in r `` \{x\}. f x' = y$
using *invar-f*
unfolding *satisfies.simps*
by (*metis* $\langle x \in \text{domain}_f \wedge f x = y \rangle$)
moreover have $x \in A$
using *equiv-rel cons-subset equiv-class-self in-mono*
 $\langle A = r `` \{x\} \rangle \langle x \in \text{domain}_f \wedge f x = y \rangle$
by *metis*
ultimately have $f ` A = \{y\}$
using $\langle A = r `` \{x\} \rangle$
by *auto*
hence $\pi_Q f A = y$
unfolding *π_Q .simps singleton-set.simps*
using *insert-absorb insert-iff insert-not-empty singleton-set-def-if-card-one*
is-singletonI is-singleton-altdef singleton-set.simps
by *metis*
thus $A \in \text{preimg } (\pi_Q f) (\text{domain}_f // r) y$
using $\langle A \in \text{domain}_f // r \rangle$

```

    unfolding preimg.simps
    by blast
next
fix
  A :: 'x set and
  y :: 'y
assume
  quot-preimg: A ∈ preimg (πQ f) (domainf // r) y
hence A ∈ domainf // r
  using cons-subset equiv-rel
  by auto
hence A ⊆ X
  using equiv-rel cons-subset
  by (metis Image-subset equiv-type quotientE)
hence A ⊆ domainf
  using closed-under-equiv-rel-subset[of X r domainf A]
  closed-domain cons-subset ⟨A ∈ domainf // r⟩ equiv-rel
  by blast
moreover obtain x :: 'x where x ∈ A and A = r “ {x}
  using ⟨A ∈ domainf // r⟩ equiv-rel cons-subset
  by (metis equiv-class-self in-mono quotientE)
ultimately have ∀ x' ∈ A. (x, x') ∈ Restr r domainf
  by blast
hence ∀ x' ∈ A. f x' = f x
  using invar-f
  by fastforce
hence f ‘ A = {f x}
  using ⟨x ∈ A⟩
  by blast
hence πQ f A = f x
  unfolding πQ.simps singleton-set.simps
  using is-singleton-altdef singleton-set-def-if-card-one
  by fastforce
also have πQ f A = y
  using quot-preimg
  unfolding preimg.simps
  by blast
finally have f x = y
  by simp
moreover have x ∈ domainf
  using ⟨x ∈ A⟩ ⟨A ⊆ domainf⟩
  by blast
ultimately have x ∈ preimg f domainf y
  by simp
thus A ∈ preimg f domainf y // r
  using ⟨A = r “ {x}⟩
  unfolding quotient-def
  by blast
qed

```

lemma *minimizer-helper*:

fixes

$f :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y$ **and**
 $domain_f :: 'x \text{ set}$ **and**
 $d :: 'x \text{ Distance}$ **and**
 $Y :: 'y \text{ set}$ **and**
 $x :: 'x$ **and**
 $y :: 'y$

shows

$y \in \text{minimizer } f \text{ domain}_f d \ Y \ x = (y \in Y \wedge$
 $(\forall y' \in Y. \text{Inf } (d \ x \ ' (preimg \ f \ domain_f \ y)) \leq \text{Inf } (d \ x \ ' (preimg \ f \ domain_f$
 $y'))))$

unfolding *minimizer.simps arg-min-set.simps is-arg-min-def*
closest-preimg-dist.simps inf-dist.simps

by *auto*

lemma *rewr-singleton-set-system-union*:

fixes

$Y :: 'x \text{ set set}$ **and**
 $X :: 'x \text{ set}$

assumes

$Y \subseteq \text{singleton-set-system } X$

shows

singleton-set-union: $x \in \bigcup Y \longleftrightarrow \{x\} \in Y$ **and**
obtain-singleton: $A \in \text{singleton-set-system } X \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \in X. A = \{x\})$

unfolding *singleton-set-system.simps*

using *assms*

by *auto*

lemma *union-inf*:

fixes

$X :: \text{ereal set set}$

shows

$\text{Inf } \{\text{Inf } A \mid A. A \in X\} = \text{Inf } (\bigcup X)$

proof –

let $?inf = \text{Inf } \{\text{Inf } A \mid A. A \in X\}$

have $\forall A \in X. \forall x \in A. ?inf \leq x$

by (*simp add: INF-lower2 Inf-lower Setcompr-eq-image*)

hence $\forall x \in \bigcup X. ?inf \leq x$

by *blast*

hence *le*: $?inf \leq \text{Inf } (\bigcup X)$

by (*meson Inf-greatest*)

have $\forall A \in X. \text{Inf } (\bigcup X) \leq \text{Inf } A$

by (*simp add: Inf-superset-mono Union-upper*)

hence $\text{Inf } (\bigcup X) \leq \text{Inf } \{\text{Inf } A \mid A. A \in X\}$

using *le-Inf-iff*

by *auto*

thus *?thesis*

using *le*
 by *simp*
 qed

4.7.3 Quotient Distance Rationalization

fun (in *result*) $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}} ::$
 ($'a, 'v$) *Election rel* \Rightarrow ($'a, 'v$) *Election Distance* \Rightarrow
 ($'a, 'v, 'r$ *Result*) *Consensus-Class* \Rightarrow ($'a, 'v$) *Election set* \Rightarrow $'r$ *set* **where**
 $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ r \ d \ C \ A = \bigcup (\text{minimizer } (\pi_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C))) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ r \ C)$
 $(\text{inf-dist}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ d) (\text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit-set}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ A \ \text{UNIV}))$
 A)

fun (in *result*) *distance- $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}}$* ::
 ($'a, 'v$) *Election rel* \Rightarrow ($'a, 'v$) *Election Distance* \Rightarrow
 ($'a, 'v, 'r$ *Result*) *Consensus-Class* \Rightarrow ($'a, 'v$) *Election set* \Rightarrow $'r$ *Result* **where**
distance- $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ $r \ d \ C \ A =$
 $(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ r \ d \ C \ A, \pi_{\mathcal{Q}} (\lambda E. \text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV}) \ A - \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ r \ d \ C \ A, \{\})$

Hadjibeyli and Wilson 2016 4.17

theorem (in *result*) *invar-dr-simple-dist-imp-quotient-dr-winners*:

fixes

$d :: ('a, 'v)$ *Election Distance* **and**
 $C :: ('a, 'v, 'r$ *Result*) *Consensus-Class* **and**
 $r :: ('a, 'v)$ *Election rel* **and**
 $X :: ('a, 'v)$ *Election set* **and**
 $A :: ('a, 'v)$ *Election set*

assumes

simple: *simple* $r \ X \ d$ **and**
closed-domain: *closed-under-restr-rel* $r \ X \ (\mathcal{K}\text{-els} \ C)$ **and**
invar-res: *satisfies* $(\lambda E. \text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV})$ (*Invariance* r) **and**
invar-C: *satisfies* $(\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C))$ (*Invariance* $(\text{Restr } r \ (\mathcal{K}\text{-els} \ C))$)

and

invar-dr: *satisfies* $(\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ C))$ (*Invariance* r) **and**
 $\text{cls}: A \in X // r$ **and** *equiv-rel*: *equiv* $X \ r$ **and** *cons-subset*: $\mathcal{K}\text{-els} \ C \subseteq X$

shows

$\pi_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}} \ d \ C)) \ A = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ r \ d \ C \ A$

proof –

have *preimg-imp-cls*:

$\forall y \ B. B \in \text{preimg } (\pi_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C))) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ r \ C) \ y \longrightarrow$
 $B \in (\mathcal{K}\text{-els} \ C) // r$

unfolding *preimg.simps* $\mathcal{K}\text{-els}_{\mathcal{Q}}.\text{simps}$

by *blast*

have

$\forall y'. \forall E \in \text{preimg } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els} \ C) \ y'. E \in r \ \text{“} \{E\}$

using *equiv-rel cons-subset equiv-class-self equiv-rel in-mono*

unfolding *equiv-def preimg.simps*

by *fastforce*

hence

$\forall y'.$
 $\bigcup (\text{preimg } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) \ y' // r) \supseteq$
 $\text{preimg } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) \ y'$
unfolding *quotient-def*
by *blast*
moreover have
 $\forall y'.$
 $\bigcup (\text{preimg } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) \ y' // r) \subseteq$
 $\text{preimg } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) \ y'$
proof (*standard, standard*)
fix
 $Y' :: 'r \text{ set}$ **and**
 $E :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}$
assume
 $E \in \bigcup (\text{preimg } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) \ Y' // r)$
then obtain $B :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election set}$ **where**
 $E \in B$ **and**
 $B \in \text{preimg } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) \ Y' // r$
by *blast*
then obtain $E' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election}$ **where**
 $B = r \text{ `` } \{E'\}$ **and**
 $\text{map-to-}Y': E' \in \text{preimg } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) \ Y'$
using *quotientE*
by *blast*
hence *in-restr-rel*: $(E', E) \in r \cap (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) \times X$
using $\langle E \in B \rangle \text{ equiv-rel}$
unfolding *preimg.simps equiv-def refl-on-def*
by *blast*
hence $E \in \mathcal{K}\text{-els } C$
using *closed-domain*
unfolding *closed-under-restr-rel.simps restr-rel.simps Image-def*
by *blast*
hence *rel-cons-els*: $(E', E) \in \text{Restr } r (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C)$
using *in-restr-rel*
by *blast*
hence $(\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) \ E = (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) \ E'$
using *invar-C*
unfolding *satisfies.simps*
by *blast*
hence $(\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) \ E = Y'$
using *map-to-Y'*
unfolding *preimg.simps*
by *fastforce*
thus
 $E \in \text{preimg } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\bigcup (\text{range } (\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}} \ C))) \ Y'$
unfolding *preimg.simps*
using *rel-cons-els*
by *blast*
qed

ultimately have *preimg-partition*:

$\forall y'.$
 $\bigcup (\text{preimg } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) \ y' // r) =$
 $\text{preimg } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) \ y'$
 by *blast*

have *quot-clses-subset*:
 $(\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) // r \subseteq X // r$
 using *cons-subset*
 unfolding *quotient-def*
 by *blast*

obtain $a :: ('a, 'v)$ *Election* where
 $a \in A$ and *a-def-inf-dist*:
 $\forall B \in X // r. \text{inf-dist}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ d \ A \ B = \text{Inf } \{d \ a \ b \mid b. b \in B\}$
 using *simple cls*
 unfolding *simple.simps*
 by *meson*

hence *inf-dist-preimg-sets*:
 $\forall y' \ B. B \in \text{preimg } (\pi_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C))) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ r \ C) \ y' \longrightarrow$
 $\text{inf-dist}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ d \ A \ B = \text{Inf } \{d \ a \ b \mid b. b \in B\}$
 using *preimg-imp-cls quot-clses-subset*
 by *blast*

have *valid-res-eq*:
 $\text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ a) \ \text{UNIV}) =$
 $\text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit-set}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ A \ \text{UNIV})$
 using *invar-res <a ∈ A> cls cons-subset equiv-rel limit-set-invar*
 by *metis*

have *inf-le-iff*:
 $\forall x.$
 $(\forall y' \in \text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ a) \ \text{UNIV}).$
 $\text{Inf } (d \ a \ ' \text{preimg } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) \ \{x\}) \leq$
 $\text{Inf } (d \ a \ ' \text{preimg } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) \ y')) =$
 $(\forall y' \in \text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit-set}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ A \ \text{UNIV}).$
 $\text{Inf } (\text{inf-dist}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ d \ A \ ' \text{preimg } (\pi_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C))) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ r$
 $C) \ \{x\}) \leq$
 $\text{Inf } (\text{inf-dist}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ d \ A \ ' \text{preimg } (\pi_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C))) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ r$
 $C) \ y'))$
 proof –
 have *preimg-partition-dist*:
 $\forall y'.$
 $\text{Inf } \{d \ a \ b \mid b. b \in \bigcup (\text{preimg } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) \ y' // r)\}$
 $=$
 $\text{Inf } (d \ a \ ' \text{preimg } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) \ y')$
 by (*metis Setcompr-eq-image preimg-partition*)
 have
 $\forall y'.$
 $\{\text{Inf } A \mid A.$
 $A \in \{\{d \ a \ b \mid b. b \in B\} \mid B.$
 $B \in \text{preimg } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\bigcup (\text{range } (\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}} \ C))) \ y' // r\}\}$
 $\{\text{Inf } \{d \ a \ b \mid b. b \in B\} \mid B. B \in \text{preimg } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els}$

$C) y' // r\}$
by *blast*
hence
 $\forall y'.$
 $\text{Inf } \{\text{Inf } \{d \ a \ b \mid b. b \in B\} \mid B. B \in \text{preimg } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) y' // r\} =$
 $\text{Inf } (\bigcup \{\{d \ a \ b \mid b. b \in B\} \mid B. B \in (\text{preimg } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) y' // r)\})$
using *union-inf[of*
 $\{\{d \ a \ b \mid b. b \in B\} \mid B. B \in \text{preimg } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) - // r\}$
by *presburger*
moreover have
 $\forall y'. \{d \ a \ b \mid b. b \in \bigcup (\text{preimg } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) y' // r)\}$
 $=$
 $\bigcup \{\{d \ a \ b \mid b. b \in B\} \mid B. B \in (\text{preimg } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) y' // r)\}$
by *blast*
ultimately have *rewrite-inf-dist:*
 $\forall y'.$
 $\text{Inf } \{\text{Inf } \{d \ a \ b \mid b. b \in B\} \mid B. B \in \text{preimg } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) y' // r\} =$
 $\text{Inf } \{d \ a \ b \mid b. b \in \bigcup (\text{preimg } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) y' // r)\}$
by *presburger*
have
 $\forall y'. \text{inf-dist}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ d \ A \ ' \ \text{preimg } (\pi_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C))) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ r \ C) \ y'$
 $=$
 $\{\text{Inf } \{d \ a \ b \mid b. b \in B\} \mid B. B \in \text{preimg } (\pi_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C))) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ r \ C) \ y'\}$
using *inf-dist-preimg-sets*
unfolding *Image-def*
by *auto*
moreover have
 $\forall y'.$
 $\{\text{Inf } \{d \ a \ b \mid b. b \in B\} \mid B. B \in \text{preimg } (\pi_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C))) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ r \ C) \ y'\} =$
 $\{\text{Inf } \{d \ a \ b \mid b. b \in B\} \mid B. B \in (\text{preimg } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) y') // r\}$
unfolding *$\mathcal{K}\text{-els}_{\mathcal{Q}}.\text{sims}$*
using *preimg-invar closed-domain cons-subset equiv-rel invar-C*
by *blast*
ultimately have
 $\forall y'.$
 $\text{Inf } (\text{inf-dist}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ d \ A \ ' \ \text{preimg } (\pi_{\mathcal{Q}} (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C))) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ r \ C) y') =$
 $\text{Inf } \{\text{Inf } \{d \ a \ b \mid b. b \in B\} \mid B. B \in \text{preimg } (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els } C) y' // r\}$
by *simp*
thus *?thesis*

```

    using valid-res-eq rewrite-inf-dist preimg-partition-dist
    by presburger
qed
from ⟨a ∈ A⟩ have πQ (funE (RW d C)) A = funE (RW d C) a
  using invar-dr equiv-rel cls pass-to-quotient invariance-is-congruence
  by blast
moreover have ∀ x. x ∈ funE (RW d C) a ⟷ x ∈ RQ r d C A
proof
  fix
    x :: 'r
  have
    (x ∈ funE (RW d C) a) =
      (x ∈ ⋃ (minimizer (elect-r ∘ funE (rule-K C)) (K-els C) d
        (singleton-set-system (limit-set (alts-E a) UNIV)) a))
    using RW-is-minimizer
    by metis
  also have
    ... =
      ({x} ∈ minimizer (elect-r ∘ funE (rule-K C)) (K-els C) d
        (singleton-set-system (limit-set (alts-E a) UNIV)) a)
    using singleton-set-union
    unfolding minimizer.simps arg-min-set.simps is-arg-min-def
    by auto
  also have
    ... =
      ({x} ∈ singleton-set-system (limit-set (alts-E a) UNIV) ∧
        (∀ y' ∈ singleton-set-system (limit-set (alts-E a) UNIV).
          Inf (d a ' preimg (elect-r ∘ funE (rule-K C)) (K-els C) {x}) ≤
            Inf (d a ' preimg (elect-r ∘ funE (rule-K C)) (K-els C) y')))
    using minimizer-helper
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  also have
    ... =
      ({x} ∈ singleton-set-system (limit-setQ A UNIV) ∧
        (∀ y' ∈ singleton-set-system (limit-setQ A UNIV).
          Inf (inf-distQ d A ' preimg (πQ (elect-r ∘ funE (rule-K C))) (K-elsQ r
C) {x}) ≤
            Inf (inf-distQ d A ' preimg (πQ (elect-r ∘ funE (rule-K C))) (K-elsQ r
C) y'))))
    using valid-res-eq inf-le-iff
    by blast
  also have
    ... =
      ({x} ∈ minimizer (πQ (elect-r ∘ funE (rule-K C))) (K-elsQ r C)
        (inf-distQ d) (singleton-set-system (limit-setQ A UNIV)))
    A)
    using minimizer-helper
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  also have

```

$\dots =$
 $(x \in \bigcup (\text{minimizer } (\pi_Q (\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_E (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C))) (\mathcal{K}\text{-els}_Q \ r \ C)$
 $(\text{inf-dist}_Q \ d) (\text{singleton-set-system } (\text{limit-set}_Q \ A \ \text{UNIV}))$
 $A))$
using *singleton-set-union*
unfolding *minimizer.simps arg-min-set.simps is-arg-min-def*
by *auto*
finally show $(x \in \text{fun}_E (\mathcal{R}_W \ d \ C) \ a) = (x \in \mathcal{R}_Q \ r \ d \ C \ A)$
unfolding $\mathcal{R}_Q.\text{simps}$
by *blast*
qed
ultimately show $\pi_Q (\text{fun}_E (\mathcal{R}_W \ d \ C)) \ A = \mathcal{R}_Q \ r \ d \ C \ A$
by *blast*
qed

theorem (*in result*) *invar-dr-simple-dist-imp-quotient-dr*:
fixes
 $d :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election Distance}$ **and**
 $C :: ('a, 'v, 'r \text{ Result}) \text{ Consensus-Class}$ **and**
 $r :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election rel}$ **and**
 $X :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election set}$ **and**
 $A :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Election set}$
assumes
simple: *simple* $r \ X \ d$ **and**
closed-domain: *closed-under-restr-rel* $r \ X \ (\mathcal{K}\text{-els} \ C)$ **and**
invar-res: *satisfies* $(\lambda E. \text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV}) \ (\text{Invariance } r)$ **and**
invar-C: *satisfies* $(\text{elect-}r \circ \text{fun}_E (\text{rule-}\mathcal{K} \ C)) \ (\text{Invariance } (\text{Restr } r \ (\mathcal{K}\text{-els} \ C)))$
and
invar-dr: *satisfies* $(\text{fun}_E (\mathcal{R}_W \ d \ C)) \ (\text{Invariance } r)$ **and**
 $\text{cls}: A \in X \ // \ r$ **and** *equiv-rel*: *equiv* $X \ r$ **and** *cons-subset*: $\mathcal{K}\text{-els} \ C \subseteq X$
shows
 $\pi_Q (\text{fun}_E (\text{distance-}\mathcal{R} \ d \ C)) \ A = \text{distance-}\mathcal{R}_Q \ r \ d \ C \ A$
proof –
have
 $\forall E. \text{fun}_E (\text{distance-}\mathcal{R} \ d \ C) \ E =$
 $(\text{fun}_E (\mathcal{R}_W \ d \ C) \ E, \text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV} - \text{fun}_E (\mathcal{R}_W \ d \ C) \ E, \{\})$
by *simp*
moreover have
 $\forall E \in A. \text{fun}_E (\mathcal{R}_W \ d \ C) \ E = \pi_Q (\text{fun}_E (\mathcal{R}_W \ d \ C)) \ A$
using *invar-dr invariance-is-congruence*[*of* $\mathcal{R}_W \ d \ C \ r$]
pass-to-quotient[*of* $r \ \text{fun}_E (\mathcal{R}_W \ d \ C) \ X$] *cls equiv-rel*
by *blast*
moreover have
 $\pi_Q (\text{fun}_E (\mathcal{R}_W \ d \ C)) \ A = \mathcal{R}_Q \ r \ d \ C \ A$
using *invar-dr-simple-dist-imp-quotient-dr-winners*[*of* $r \ X \ d \ C \ A$] *assms*
by *fastforce*
moreover have
 $\forall E \in A. \text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV} = \pi_Q (\lambda E. \text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV}) \ A$
using *invar-res invariance-is-congruence*'[*of* $\lambda E. \text{limit-set } (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E} \ E) \ \text{UNIV} \ r$]

$\text{pass-to-quotient}[of\ r\ \lambda E. \text{limit-set}\ (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E}\ E)\ UNIV\ X]\ \text{cls equiv-rel}$
 by *blast*
ultimately have *all-eq*:
 $\forall E \in A. \text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}}\ (\text{distance-}\mathcal{R}\ d\ C)\ E =$
 $(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}}\ r\ d\ C\ A, \pi_{\mathcal{Q}}\ (\lambda E. \text{limit-set}\ (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E}\ E)\ UNIV)\ A - \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}}\ r\ d\ C\ A, \{\})$
 by *fastforce*
hence
 $\{(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}}\ r\ d\ C\ A, \pi_{\mathcal{Q}}\ (\lambda E. \text{limit-set}\ (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E}\ E)\ UNIV)\ A - \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}}\ r\ d\ C\ A, \{\})\} \supseteq$
 $\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}}\ (\text{distance-}\mathcal{R}\ d\ C)\ 'A$
 by *blast*
moreover have $A \neq \{\}$
 using *cls equiv-rel*
 by (*simp add: in-quotient-imp-non-empty*)
ultimately have *single-img*:
 $\{(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}}\ r\ d\ C\ A, \pi_{\mathcal{Q}}\ (\lambda E. \text{limit-set}\ (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E}\ E)\ UNIV)\ A - \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}}\ r\ d\ C\ A, \{\})\} =$
 $\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}}\ (\text{distance-}\mathcal{R}\ d\ C)\ 'A$
 by (*metis (no-types, lifting) empty-is-image subset-singletonD*)
moreover with this have $\text{card}\ (\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}}\ (\text{distance-}\mathcal{R}\ d\ C)\ 'A) = 1$
 by (*metis (no-types, lifting) is-singletonI is-singleton-altdef*)
moreover with this single-img have
 $\text{the-inv}\ (\lambda x. \{x\})\ (\text{fun}_{\mathcal{E}}\ (\text{distance-}\mathcal{R}\ d\ C)\ 'A) =$
 $(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}}\ r\ d\ C\ A, \pi_{\mathcal{Q}}\ (\lambda E. \text{limit-set}\ (\text{alts-}\mathcal{E}\ E)\ UNIV)\ A - \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}}\ r\ d\ C\ A, \{\})$
 using *singleton-insert-inj-eq singleton-set.elims singleton-set-def-if-card-one*
 by (*metis (no-types)*)
ultimately show *?thesis*
 unfolding *distance- $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}}$.simps*
 using $\pi_{\mathcal{Q}}$.*simps*[*of fun \mathcal{E} (distance- \mathcal{R} d C)*]
 $\text{singleton-set.simps}$ [*of fun \mathcal{E} (distance- \mathcal{R} d C) 'A*]
 by *presburger*
qed
end

4.8 Votewise Distance

theory *Votewise-Distance*
imports *Social-Choice-Types/Norm*
Distance
begin

Votewise distances are a natural class of distances on elections which depend on the submitted votes in a simple and transparent manner. They are formed by using any distance d on individual orders and combining the components with a norm on \mathbb{R}^n .

4.8.1 Definition

fun *votewise-distance* :: 'a *Vote Distance* \Rightarrow *Norm*
 \Rightarrow ('a, 'v::linorder) *Election Distance* **where**
votewise-distance *d* *n* (*A*, *V*, *p*) (*A'*, *V'*, *p'*) =
 (if (finite *V*) \wedge *V* = *V'* \wedge (*V* \neq {} \vee *A* = *A'*)
 then *n* (map2 (λ *q* *q'*. *d* (*A*, *q*) (*A'*, *q'*)) (to-list *V* *p*) (to-list *V'* *p'*))
 else ∞)

4.8.2 Inference Rules

lemma *symmetric-norm-inv-under-map2-permute*:

fixes

d :: 'a *Vote Distance* **and**

n :: *Norm* **and**

A :: 'a *set* **and**

A' :: 'a *set* **and**

φ :: *nat* \Rightarrow *nat* **and**

p :: ('a *Preference-Relation*) *list* **and**

p' :: ('a *Preference-Relation*) *list*

assumes

perm: φ *permutes* {0..*length* *p*} **and**

len-eq: *length* *p* = *length* *p'* **and**

symmetry *n*

shows *n* (map2 (λ *q* *q'*. *d* (*A*, *q*) (*A'*, *q'*)) *p* *p'*)

= *n* (map2 (λ *q* *q'*. *d* (*A*, *q*) (*A'*, *q'*)) (permute-list φ *p*) (permute-list φ *p'*))

proof –

let *?z* = *zip* *p* *p'* **and**

?lt-len = λ *i*. {..*length* *i*} **and**

?c-prod = *case-prod* (λ *q* *q'*. *d* (*A*, *q*) (*A'*, *q'*))

let *?listpi* = λ *q*. *permute-list* φ *q*

let *?q* = *?listpi* *p* **and**

?q' = *?listpi* *p'*

have *listpi-sym*: \forall *l*. (*length* *l* = *length* *p* \longrightarrow *?listpi* *l* \sim *l*)

using *mset-permute-list perm*

by (*simp* *add*: *atLeast-upt*)

moreover have *length* (map2 (λ *x* *y*. *d* (*A*, *x*) (*A'*, *y*)) *p* *p'*) = *length* *p*

using *len-eq*

by *force*

ultimately have (map2 (λ *q* *q'*. *d* (*A*, *q*) (*A'*, *q'*)) *p* *p'*)

\sim (*?listpi* (map2 (λ *x* *y*. *d* (*A*, *x*) (*A'*, *y*)) *p* *p'*))

by *metis*

hence *n* (map2 (λ *q* *q'*. *d* (*A*, *q*) (*A'*, *q'*)) *p* *p'*)

= *n* (*?listpi* (map2 (λ *x* *y*. *d* (*A*, *x*) (*A'*, *y*)) *p* *p'*))

using *assms*

unfolding *symmetry-def*

by *blast*

also have ... = *n* (map (*case-prod* (λ *x* *y*. *d* (*A*, *x*) (*A'*, *y*)))

(*?listpi* (*zip* *p* *p'*)))

using *permute-list-map*[of φ *?z* *?c-prod*] *perm len-eq*

```

    by (simp add: atLeast-upt)
  also have ... = n (map2 (λ x y. d (A, x) (A', y)) (?listpi p) (?listpi p'))
    using len-eq perm
    by (simp add: atLeast-upt permute-list-zip)
  finally show ?thesis
    by simp
qed

```

lemma *permute-invariant-under-map:*

```

  fixes
    l :: 'a list and
    ls :: 'a list
  assumes
    l <~~> ls
  shows map f l <~~> map f ls
  by (simp add: assms)

```

lemma *linorder-rank-injective:*

```

  fixes
    V :: 'v::linorder set and
    v :: 'v and
    v' :: 'v
  assumes
    v ∈ V and
    v' ∈ V and
    v' ≠ v and
    finite V

```

```

  shows card {x ∈ V. x < v} ≠ card {x ∈ V. x < v'}
proof -
  have v < v' ∨ v' < v
    using assms(3) linorder-less-linear
    by blast
  hence {x ∈ V. x < v} ⊂ {x ∈ V. x < v'} ∨ {x ∈ V. x < v'} ⊂ {x ∈ V. x < v}
    using assms(1) assms(2) dual-order.strict-trans
    by blast
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis (full-types) assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) sorted-list-of-set-nth-equals-card)
qed

```

lemma *permute-invariant-under-coinciding-funs:*

```

  fixes
    l :: 'v list and
    π-1 :: nat ⇒ nat and
    π-2 :: nat ⇒ nat
  assumes ∀ i < length l. π-1 i = π-2 i
  shows permute-list π-1 l = permute-list π-2 l
  by (simp add: assms permute-list-def)

```

```

lemma symmetric-norm-imp-distance-anonymous:
  fixes
     $d :: 'a \text{ Vote Distance}$  and
     $n :: \text{Norm}$ 
  assumes symmetry  $n$ 
  shows distance-anonymity (votewise-distance  $d$   $n$ )
proof (unfold distance-anonymity-def, safe)
  fix
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $A' :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v::\text{linorder set}$  and
     $V' :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
     $p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
     $\pi :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$ 
  let  $?rn1 = \text{rename } \pi (A, V, p)$  and
     $?rn2 = \text{rename } \pi (A', V', p')$  and
     $?rn-V = \pi \text{ ` } V$  and
     $?rn-V' = \pi \text{ ` } V'$  and
     $?rn-p = p \circ (\text{the-inv } \pi)$  and
     $?rn-p' = p' \circ (\text{the-inv } \pi)$  and
     $?len = \text{length } (\text{to-list } V \ p)$  and
     $?sl-V = \text{sorted-list-of-set } V$ 
  let  $?perm = \lambda i. (\text{card } (\{v \in ?rn-V. v < \pi (?sl-V!i)\}))$  and
     $?perm\text{-total} = (\lambda i. (\text{if } (i < ?len)$ 
       $\text{then card } (\{v \in ?rn-V. v < \pi (?sl-V!i)\})$ 
       $\text{else } i))$ 

  assume
     $\text{bij: bij } \pi$ 
  show votewise-distance  $d$   $n$  ( $A, V, p$ ) ( $A', V', p'$ ) = votewise-distance  $d$   $n$   $?rn1$ 
 $?rn2$ 
proof –
  have  $rn-A\text{-eq-}A: \text{fst } ?rn1 = A$  by simp
  have  $rn-A'\text{-eq-}A': \text{fst } ?rn2 = A'$  by simp
  have  $rn-V\text{-eq-}pi-V: \text{fst } (\text{snd } ?rn1) = ?rn-V$  by simp
  have  $rn-V'\text{-eq-}pi-V': \text{fst } (\text{snd } ?rn2) = ?rn-V'$  by simp
  have  $rn-p\text{-eq-}pi-p: \text{snd } (\text{snd } ?rn1) = ?rn-p$  by simp
  have  $rn-p'\text{-eq-}pi-p': \text{snd } (\text{snd } ?rn2) = ?rn-p'$  by simp
  show ?thesis
proof (cases ( $\text{finite } V$ )  $\wedge V = V' \wedge (V \neq \{\} \vee A = A')$ )
  case False

  hence inf-dist: votewise-distance  $d$   $n$  ( $A, V, p$ ) ( $A', V', p'$ ) =  $\infty$ 
  by auto
  moreover have  $\text{infinite } V \implies \text{infinite } ?rn-V$ 
  using False bij bij-betw-finite bij-betw-subset False subset-UNIV
  by metis
  moreover have  $V \neq V' \implies ?rn-V \neq ?rn-V'$ 
  using bij bij-def inj-image-mem-iff subsetI subset-antisym

```



```

    by metis
  moreover have  $V = \{\} \implies ?rn-V = \{\}$ 
    using bij
    by simp
  ultimately have inf-dist-rename:
    votewise-distance  $d\ n\ ?rn1\ ?rn2 = \infty$ 
    using False
    by auto
  thus votewise-distance  $d\ n\ (A, V, p)\ (A', V', p') = \text{votewise-distance } d\ n\$ 
 $?rn1\ ?rn2$ 
    using inf-dist
    by simp
next
case True

  have perm-funs-coincide:  $\forall i < ?len. ?perm\ i = ?perm-total\ i$ 
    by presburger

  have lengths-eq:  $?len = \text{length}\ (\text{to-list}\ V'\ p')$ 
    using True
    by simp

  have rn-V-permutes:  $(\text{to-list}\ V\ p) = \text{permute-list}\ ?perm\ (\text{to-list}\ ?rn-V\ ?rn-p)$ 
    using assms to-list-permutes-under-bij bij to-list-permutes-under-bij
    unfolding comp-def
    by (metis (no-types))
  hence len-V-rn-V-eq:  $?len = \text{length}\ (\text{to-list}\ ?rn-V\ ?rn-p)$ 
    by simp
  hence permute-list  $?perm\ (\text{to-list}\ ?rn-V\ ?rn-p)$ 
     $= \text{permute-list}\ ?perm-total\ (\text{to-list}\ ?rn-V\ ?rn-p)$ 
    using perm-funs-coincide
    permute-invariant-under-coinciding-funs
    [of  $(\text{to-list}\ ?rn-V\ ?rn-p)\ ?perm\ ?perm-total]$ 
    by presburger
  hence rn-list-perm-list-V:
     $(\text{to-list}\ V\ p) = \text{permute-list}\ ?perm-total\ (\text{to-list}\ ?rn-V\ ?rn-p)$ 
    using rn-V-permutes
    by force

  have rn-V'-permutes:  $(\text{to-list}\ V'\ p') = \text{permute-list}\ ?perm\ (\text{to-list}\ ?rn-V'\$ 
 $?rn-p')$ 
    unfolding comp-def
    by (metis (no-types) True bij to-list-permutes-under-bij)
  hence permute-list  $?perm\ (\text{to-list}\ ?rn-V'\ ?rn-p')$ 
     $= \text{permute-list}\ ?perm-total\ (\text{to-list}\ ?rn-V'\ ?rn-p')$ 
    using perm-funs-coincide lengths-eq
    permute-invariant-under-coinciding-funs
    [of  $(\text{to-list}\ ?rn-V'\ ?rn-p')\ ?perm\ ?perm-total]$ 

```

by *fastforce*
 hence *rn-list-perm-list-V'*:
 (to-list *V' p'*) = permute-list ?perm-total (to-list ?rn-*V'* ?rn-*p'*)
 using *rn-V'-permutes*
 by *force*

have *rn-lengths-eq*: length (to-list ?rn-*V* ?rn-*p*) = length (to-list ?rn-*V'* ?rn-*p'*)
 using *len-V-rn-V-eq lengths-eq rn-V'-permutes*
 by *force*
 have *perm*: ?perm-total permutes {0..*?len*}
 proof –

have $\forall i j. (i < ?len \wedge j < ?len \wedge i \neq j$
 $\longrightarrow \pi ((\text{sorted-list-of-set } V)!i) \neq \pi ((\text{sorted-list-of-set } V)!j))$
 using *bij bij-pointE True nth-eq-iff-index-eq length-map*
sorted-list-of-set.distinct-sorted-key-list-of-set to-list.elims
 by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting))
 moreover have *in-bnds-imp-img-el*: $\forall i. i < ?len \longrightarrow \pi ((\text{sorted-list-of-set } V)!i) \in \pi ' V$
 using *True image-eqI nth-mem sorted-list-of-set(1) to-list.simps length-map*
 by *metis*
 ultimately have $\forall i < ?len. \forall j < ?len. (?perm\text{-}total\ i = ?perm\text{-}total\ j \longrightarrow i = j)$
 using *linorder-rank-injective*
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) *Collect-cong True finite-imageI*)
 moreover have $\forall i. i < ?len \longrightarrow i \in \{0..*?len*\}$
 by *simp*
 ultimately have $\forall i \in \{0..*?len*\}. \forall j \in \{0..*?len*\}. (?perm\text{-}total\ i = ?perm\text{-}total\ j \longrightarrow i = j)$
 by *auto*
 hence *inj*: *inj-on* ?perm-total {0..*?len*}
 using *inj-on-def* by *blast*
 have $\forall v' \in (\pi ' V). (\text{card } (\{v \in (\pi ' V). v < v'\})) < \text{card } (\pi ' V)$
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) *card-seteq True finite-imageI less-irrefl*
linorder-not-le mem-Collect-eq subsetI)
 moreover have $\forall i < ?len. \pi ((\text{sorted-list-of-set } V)!i) \in \pi ' V$
 using *in-bnds-imp-img-el*
 by *blast*
 moreover have *card* ($\pi ' V$) = *card* *V* using *bij*
 by (metis *bij-betw-same-card bij-betw-subset top-greatest*)
 moreover have *card* *V* = *?len*
 by *simp*
 ultimately have *bounded-img*: $\forall i. (i < ?len \longrightarrow ?perm\text{-}total\ i \in \{0..*?len*\})$
 by (metis (full-types) *atLeast0LessThan lessThan-iff*)
 hence $\forall i. i < ?len \longrightarrow ?perm\text{-}total\ i \in \{0..*?len*\}$
 by *blast*
 moreover have $\forall i. i \in \{0..*?len*\} \longrightarrow i < ?len$
 using *atLeastLessThan-iff* by *blast*
 ultimately have $\forall i. i \in \{0..*?len*\} \longrightarrow ?perm\text{-}total\ i \in \{0..*?len*\}$

```

    by fastforce
  hence ?perm-total ‘  $\{0..<?len\} \subseteq \{0..<?len\}$ 
    using bounded-img
    by force
  hence ?perm-total ‘  $\{0..<?len\} = \{0..<?len\}$ 
    using inj
    by (meson card-image card-subset-eq finite-atLeastLessThan)
  hence bij-perm: bij-betw ?perm-total  $\{0..<?len\}$   $\{0..<?len\}$ 
    using inj bij-betw-def atLeast0LessThan
    by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
    using atLeast0LessThan bij-imp-permutes
    by fastforce
qed
have votewise-distance d n ?rn1 ?rn2
  = n (map2 (λ q q'. d (A, q) (A', q')) (to-list ?rn-V ?rn-p) (to-list
?rn-V' ?rn-p'))
  using True rn-A-eq-A rn-A'-eq-A' rn-V-eq-pi-V rn-V'-eq-pi-V' rn-p-eq-pi-p
rn-p'-eq-pi-p'
  by force
also have
  ... = n (map2 (λ q q'. d (A, q) (A', q'))
    (permute-list ?perm-total (to-list ?rn-V ?rn-p))
    (permute-list ?perm-total (to-list ?rn-V' ?rn-p')))
  using perm ⟨symmetry n⟩ rn-lengths-eq len-V-rn-V-eq
    symmetric-norm-inv-under-map2-permute
    [of ?perm-total to-list ?rn-V ?rn-p to-list ?rn-V' ?rn-p' n d A A']
  by fastforce
also have ... = n (map2 (λ q q'. d (A, q) (A', q')) (to-list V p) (to-list V'
p'))
  using rn-list-perm-list-V rn-list-perm-list-V'
  by presburger
also have votewise-distance d n (A, V, p) (A', V', p')
  = n (map2 (λ q q'. d (A, q) (A', q')) (to-list V p) (to-list V' p'))
  using True
  by force
finally show votewise-distance d n (A, V, p) (A', V', p')
  = votewise-distance d n ?rn1 ?rn2
  by linarith
qed
qed
qed
lemma neutral-dist-imp-neutral-votewise-dist:
  fixes
    d :: 'a Vote Distance and
    n :: Norm
  defines
    vote-action ≡ (λπ (A, q). (π ‘ A, rel-rename π q))

```

```

assumes
  invar: invariant-dist d (carrier neutralityG) UNIV vote-action
shows
  distance-neutrality valid-elections (votewise-distance d n)
proof (unfold distance-neutrality.simps,
  simp only: rewrite-invariant-dist,
  safe)
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  A' :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v::linorder set and
  V' :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  p' :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
   $\pi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ 
assume
  carrier:  $\pi \in \text{carrier neutrality}_G$  and
  valid:  $(A, V, p) \in \text{valid-elections}$  and
  valid':  $(A', V', p') \in \text{valid-elections}$ 
hence bij: bij  $\pi$ 
unfolding neutralityG-def
using rewrite-carrier
by blast
thus votewise-distance d n  $(A, V, p) (A', V', p') =$ 
  votewise-distance d n
   $(\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi (A, V, p)) (\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi (A', V',$ 
p'))
proof (cases (finite V)  $\wedge V = V' \wedge (V \neq \{\} \vee A = A')$ )
case True
hence  $(\text{finite } V) \wedge V = V' \wedge (V \neq \{\} \vee \pi 'A = \pi 'A')$ 
by auto
hence
  votewise-distance d n
   $(\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi (A, V, p)) (\varphi\text{-neutr valid-elections } \pi (A', V',$ 
p')) =
  n  $(\text{map2 } (\lambda q q'. d (\pi 'A, q) (\pi 'A', q'))$ 
   $(\text{to-list } V (\text{rel-rename } \pi \circ p)) (\text{to-list } V' (\text{rel-rename } \pi \circ p'))))$ 
using valid valid'
unfolding  $\varphi\text{-neutr.simps}$ 
by auto
also have
   $(\text{map2 } (\lambda q q'. d (\pi 'A, q) (\pi 'A', q'))$ 
   $(\text{to-list } V (\text{rel-rename } \pi \circ p)) (\text{to-list } V' (\text{rel-rename } \pi \circ p')))) =$ 
   $(\text{map2 } (\lambda q q'. d (\pi 'A, q) (\pi 'A', q'))$ 
   $(\text{map } (\text{rel-rename } \pi) (\text{to-list } V p)) (\text{map } (\text{rel-rename } \pi) (\text{to-list } V' p'))))$ 
using to-list-comp
by metis
also have
   $(\text{map2 } (\lambda q q'. d (\pi 'A, q) (\pi 'A', q'))$ 

```

```

      (map (rel-rename  $\pi$ ) (to-list  $V$   $p$ )) (map (rel-rename  $\pi$ ) (to-list  $V'$   $p'$ ))) =
      (map2 ( $\lambda$   $q$   $q'$ . d ( $\pi$  '  $A$ , rel-rename  $\pi$   $q$ ) ( $\pi$  '  $A'$ , rel-rename  $\pi$   $q'$ ))
        (to-list  $V$   $p$ ) (to-list  $V'$   $p'$ ))
    using map2-helper
    by blast
  also have
    ( $\lambda$   $q$   $q'$ . d ( $\pi$  '  $A$ , rel-rename  $\pi$   $q$ ) ( $\pi$  '  $A'$ , rel-rename  $\pi$   $q'$ )) =
    ( $\lambda$   $q$   $q'$ . d ( $A$ ,  $q$ ) ( $A'$ ,  $q'$ ))
  using invar carrier UNIV-I case-prod-conv
    rewrite-invariant-dist[of
      d carrier neutralityG UNIV vote-action]
  unfolding vote-action-def
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  finally have
    votewise-distance d n
      ( $\varphi$ -neutr valid-elections  $\pi$  ( $A$ ,  $V$ ,  $p$ )) ( $\varphi$ -neutr valid-elections  $\pi$  ( $A'$ ,  $V'$ ,  $p'$ ))
=
    n (map2 ( $\lambda$   $q$   $q'$ . d ( $A$ ,  $q$ ) ( $A'$ ,  $q'$ )) (to-list  $V$   $p$ ) (to-list  $V'$   $p'$ ))
  by simp
  also have votewise-distance d n ( $A$ ,  $V$ ,  $p$ ) ( $A'$ ,  $V'$ ,  $p'$ ) =
    n (map2 ( $\lambda$   $q$   $q'$ . d ( $A$ ,  $q$ ) ( $A'$ ,  $q'$ )) (to-list  $V$   $p$ ) (to-list  $V'$   $p'$ ))
  using True
  by auto
  finally show ?thesis by simp
next
  case False
  hence  $\neg$  (finite  $V \wedge V = V' \wedge (V \neq \{\} \vee \pi$  '  $A = \pi$  '  $A')$ )
  using bij bij-is-inj inj-image-eq-iff
  by meson
  hence
    votewise-distance d n
      ( $\varphi$ -neutr valid-elections  $\pi$  ( $A$ ,  $V$ ,  $p$ )) ( $\varphi$ -neutr valid-elections  $\pi$  ( $A'$ ,  $V'$ ,  $p'$ ))
=  $\infty$ 
  using valid valid'
  unfolding  $\varphi$ -neutr.simps
  by auto
  also have votewise-distance d n ( $A$ ,  $V$ ,  $p$ ) ( $A'$ ,  $V'$ ,  $p'$ ) =  $\infty$ 
  using False
  by auto
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed
end

```

4.9 Evaluation Function

```
theory Evaluation-Function
imports Social-Choice-Types/Profile
begin
```

This is the evaluation function. From a set of currently eligible alternatives, the evaluation function computes a numerical value that is then to be used for further (s)election, e.g., by the elimination module.

4.9.1 Definition

```
type-synonym ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function =
  'v set  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v) Profile  $\Rightarrow$  enat
```

4.9.2 Property

An Evaluation function is a Condorcet-rating iff the following holds: If a Condorcet Winner w exists, w and only w has the highest value.

```
definition condorcet-rating :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  condorcet-rating  $f \equiv$ 
     $\forall A V p w . \text{condorcet-winner } V A p w \longrightarrow$ 
     $(\forall l \in A . l \neq w \longrightarrow f V l A p < f V w A p)$ 
```

An Evaluation function is dependent only on the participating voters iff it is invariant under profile changes that only impact non-voters.

```
definition only-voters-count :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  only-voters-count  $f \equiv$ 
     $\forall A V p p' . (\forall v \in V . p v = p' v) \longrightarrow$ 
     $(\forall a \in A . f V a A p = f V a A p')$ 
```

4.9.3 Theorems

If e is Condorcet-rating, the following holds: If a Condorcet winner w exists, w has the maximum evaluation value.

```
theorem cond-winner-imp-max-eval-val:
fixes
   $e :: ('a, 'v) \text{Evaluation-Function}$  and
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{Profile}$  and
   $a :: 'a$ 
assumes
  rating: condorcet-rating  $e$  and
  f-prof: finite-profile  $V A p$  and
  winner: condorcet-winner  $V A p a$ 
shows  $e V a A p = \text{Max } \{e V b A p \mid b. b \in A\}$ 
```

```

proof –
  let ?set = {e V b A p | b. b ∈ A} and
    ?eMax = Max {e V b A p | b. b ∈ A} and
    ?eW = e V a A p
  have ?eW ∈ ?set
    using CollectI condorcet-winner.simps winner
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
  moreover have ∀ e ∈ ?set. e ≤ ?eW
  proof (safe)
    fix b :: 'a
    assume b ∈ A
    moreover have ∀ n n'. (n::nat) = n' ⟶ n ≤ n'
      by simp
    ultimately show e V b A p ≤ e V a A p
      using less-imp-le rating winner order-refl
      unfolding condorcet-rating-def
      by metis
  qed
  ultimately have ?eW ∈ ?set ∧ (∀ e ∈ ?set. e ≤ ?eW)
    by blast
  moreover have finite ?set
    using f-prof
    by simp
  moreover have ?set ≠ {}
    using condorcet-winner.simps winner
    by fastforce
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using Max-eq-iff
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
qed

```

If e is Condorcet-rating, the following holds: If a Condorcet Winner w exists, a non-Condorcet winner has a value lower than the maximum evaluation value.

theorem *non-cond-winner-not-max-eval:*

```

fixes
  e :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function and
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  a :: 'a and
  b :: 'a
assumes
  rating: condorcet-rating e and
  f-prof: finite-profile V A p and
  winner: condorcet-winner V A p a and
  lin-A: b ∈ A and
  loser: a ≠ b
shows e V b A p < Max {e V c A p | c. c ∈ A}

```

```

proof –
  have  $e \ V \ b \ A \ p < e \ V \ a \ A \ p$ 
    using lin-A loser rating winner
    unfolding condorcet-rating-def
    by metis
  also have  $e \ V \ a \ A \ p = \text{Max } \{e \ V \ c \ A \ p \mid c. c \in A\}$ 
    using cond-winner-imp-max-eval-val f-prof rating winner
    by fastforce
  finally show ?thesis
    by simp
qed

end

```

4.10 Elimination Module

```

theory Elimination-Module
  imports Evaluation-Function
           Electoral-Module
begin

```

This is the elimination module. It rejects a set of alternatives only if these are not all alternatives. The alternatives potentially to be rejected are put in a so-called elimination set. These are all alternatives that score below a preset threshold value that depends on the specific voting rule.

4.10.1 General Definitions

```

type-synonym Threshold-Value = enat

type-synonym Threshold-Relation = enat  $\Rightarrow$  enat  $\Rightarrow$  bool

type-synonym  $('a, 'v)$  Electoral-Set =  $'v \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ set \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \ Profile \Rightarrow 'a \ set$ 

fun elimination-set ::  $('a, 'v) \ Evaluation-Function \Rightarrow Threshold-Value \Rightarrow$ 
                         $Threshold-Relation \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \ Electoral-Set$  where
  elimination-set  $e \ t \ r \ V \ A \ p = \{a \in A . r \ (e \ V \ a \ A \ p) \ t\}$ 

fun average ::  $('a, 'v) \ Evaluation-Function \Rightarrow 'v \ set \Rightarrow$ 
             $'a \ set \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \ Profile \Rightarrow Threshold-Value$  where
  average  $e \ V \ A \ p = (\text{let } sum = (\sum x \in A. e \ V \ x \ A \ p) \text{ in}$ 
     $(\text{if } (sum = infinity) \text{ then } (infinity)$ 
     $\text{else } ((the-enat \ sum) \ \text{div } (card \ A))))$ 

```


4.10.2 Social Choice Definitions

fun *elimination-module* :: ('a, 'v) *Evaluation-Function* \Rightarrow
Threshold-Value \Rightarrow *Threshold-Relation* \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a *Result*) *Electoral-Module*
where
elimination-module e t r V A p =
 (if (elimination-set e t r V A p) \neq A
 then ({}, (elimination-set e t r V A p), A - (elimination-set e t r V A p))
 else ({}, {}, A))

4.10.3 Common Social Choice Eliminators

fun *less-eliminator* :: ('a, 'v) *Evaluation-Function* \Rightarrow
Threshold-Value \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a *Result*) *Electoral-Module* **where**
less-eliminator e t V A p = *elimination-module* e t (<) V A p

fun *max-eliminator* ::
 ('a, 'v) *Evaluation-Function* \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a *Result*) *Electoral-Module* **where**
max-eliminator e V A p =
less-eliminator e (Max {e V x A p | x. x \in A}) V A p
find-theorems *max-eliminator*

fun *leq-eliminator* ::
 ('a, 'v) *Evaluation-Function* \Rightarrow *Threshold-Value* \Rightarrow
 ('a, 'v, 'a *Result*) *Electoral-Module* **where**
leq-eliminator e t V A p = *elimination-module* e t (\leq) V A p

fun *min-eliminator* ::
 ('a, 'v) *Evaluation-Function* \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a *Result*) *Electoral-Module* **where**
min-eliminator e V A p =
leq-eliminator e (Min {e V x A p | x. x \in A}) V A p

fun *less-average-eliminator* ::
 ('a, 'v) *Evaluation-Function* \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a *Result*) *Electoral-Module* **where**
less-average-eliminator e V A p = *less-eliminator* e (average e V A p) V A p

fun *leq-average-eliminator* ::
 ('a, 'v) *Evaluation-Function* \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a *Result*) *Electoral-Module* **where**
leq-average-eliminator e V A p = *leq-eliminator* e (average e V A p) V A p

4.10.4 Soundness

lemma *elim-mod-sound*[simp]:
fixes
 e :: ('a, 'v) *Evaluation-Function* **and**
 t :: *Threshold-Value* **and**
 r :: *Threshold-Relation*
shows *social-choice-result.electoral-module* (*elimination-module* e t r)
unfolding *social-choice-result.electoral-module-def*
by *auto*

```

lemma less-elim-sound[simp]:
  fixes
    e :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function and
    t :: Threshold-Value
  shows social-choice-result.electoral-module (less-eliminator e t)
  unfolding social-choice-result.electoral-module-def
  by auto

```

```

lemma leq-elim-sound[simp]:
  fixes
    e :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function and
    t :: Threshold-Value
  shows social-choice-result.electoral-module (leq-eliminator e t)
  unfolding social-choice-result.electoral-module-def
  by auto

```

```

lemma max-elim-sound[simp]:
  fixes e :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function
  shows social-choice-result.electoral-module (max-eliminator e)
  unfolding social-choice-result.electoral-module-def
  by auto

```

```

lemma min-elim-sound[simp]:
  fixes e :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function
  shows social-choice-result.electoral-module (min-eliminator e)
  unfolding social-choice-result.electoral-module-def
  by auto

```

```

lemma less-avg-elim-sound[simp]:
  fixes e :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function
  shows social-choice-result.electoral-module (less-average-eliminator e)
  unfolding social-choice-result.electoral-module-def
  by auto

```

```

lemma leq-avg-elim-sound[simp]:
  fixes e :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function
  shows social-choice-result.electoral-module (leq-average-eliminator e)
  unfolding social-choice-result.electoral-module-def
  by auto

```

4.10.5 Only participating voters impact the result

```

lemma elim-mod-only-voters[simp]:
  fixes
    e :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function and
    t :: Threshold-Value and
    r :: Threshold-Relation
  assumes only-voters-count e

```

shows *only-voters-vote* (*elimination-module* *e t r*)
proof (*unfold only-voters-vote-def elimination-module.simps, safe*)
fix
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$
assume
 $\forall v \in V. p \ v = p' \ v$
hence $\forall a \in A. (e \ V \ a \ A \ p) = (e \ V \ a \ A \ p')$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: only-voters-count-def*)
hence $\{a \in A. r \ (e \ V \ a \ A \ p) \ t\} = \{a \in A. r \ (e \ V \ a \ A \ p') \ t\}$
by *fastforce*
hence *elimination-set* *e t r V A p* = *elimination-set* *e t r V A p'*
unfolding *elimination-set.simps*
by *presburger*
thus
 $(\text{if } \text{elimination-set } e \ t \ r \ V \ A \ p \neq A$
 $\text{then } (\{\}, \text{elimination-set } e \ t \ r \ V \ A \ p, A - \text{elimination-set } e \ t \ r \ V \ A \ p) \text{ else}$
 $(\{\}, \{\}, A)) =$
 $(\text{if } \text{elimination-set } e \ t \ r \ V \ A \ p' \neq A$
 $\text{then } (\{\}, \text{elimination-set } e \ t \ r \ V \ A \ p', A - \text{elimination-set } e \ t \ r \ V \ A \ p') \text{ else}$
 $(\{\}, \{\}, A))$
by *presburger*
qed

lemma *less-elim-only-voters[simp]*:
fixes
 $e :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Evaluation-Function}$ **and**
 $t :: \text{Threshold-Value}$
assumes *only-voters-count* *e*
shows *only-voters-vote* (*less-eliminator* *e t*)
unfolding *less-eliminator.simps*
using *only-voters-vote-def elim-mod-only-voters assms*
by *simp*

lemma *leq-elim-only-voters[simp]*:
fixes
 $e :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Evaluation-Function}$ **and**
 $t :: \text{Threshold-Value}$
assumes *only-voters-count* *e*
shows *only-voters-vote* (*leq-eliminator* *e t*)
unfolding *leq-eliminator.simps*
using *only-voters-vote-def elim-mod-only-voters assms*
by *simp*

lemma *max-elim-only-voters[simp]*:
fixes $e :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Evaluation-Function}$

assumes *only-voters-count e*
shows *only-voters-vote (max-eliminator e)*
proof (*unfold max-eliminator.simps only-voters-vote-def, safe*)
fix
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$
assume
coinciding: $\forall v \in V. p \ v = p' \ v$
hence $\forall x \in A. e \ V \ x \ A \ p = e \ V \ x \ A \ p'$
using *assms*
unfolding *only-voters-count-def*
by *simp*
hence $\text{Max} \{e \ V \ x \ A \ p \mid x. x \in A\} = \text{Max} \{e \ V \ x \ A \ p' \mid x. x \in A\}$
by *metis*
thus *less-eliminator e (Max {e V x A p | x. x ∈ A}) V A p =*
less-eliminator e (Max {e V x A p' | x. x ∈ A}) V A p'
using *coinciding assms less-elim-only-voters*
unfolding *only-voters-vote-def*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
qed

lemma *min-elim-only-voters[simp]:*
fixes $e :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Evaluation-Function}$
assumes *only-voters-count e*
shows *only-voters-vote (min-eliminator e)*
proof (*unfold min-eliminator.simps only-voters-vote-def, safe*)
fix
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$
assume
coinciding: $\forall v \in V. p \ v = p' \ v$
hence $\forall x \in A. e \ V \ x \ A \ p = e \ V \ x \ A \ p'$
using *assms*
unfolding *only-voters-count-def*
by *simp*
hence $\text{Min} \{e \ V \ x \ A \ p \mid x. x \in A\} = \text{Min} \{e \ V \ x \ A \ p' \mid x. x \in A\}$
by *metis*
thus *leq-eliminator e (Min {e V x A p | x. x ∈ A}) V A p =*
leq-eliminator e (Min {e V x A p' | x. x ∈ A}) V A p'
using *coinciding assms leq-elim-only-voters*
unfolding *only-voters-vote-def*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
qed

lemma *less-avg-only-voters[simp]:*

```

fixes  $e :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Evaluation-Function}$ 
assumes  $\text{only-voters-count } e$ 
shows  $\text{only-voters-vote } (\text{less-average-eliminator } e)$ 
proof ( $\text{unfold less-average-eliminator.simps only-voters-vote-def, safe}$ )
fix
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
   $p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
assume
   $\text{coinciding: } \forall v \in V. p \ v = p' \ v$ 
hence  $\forall x \in A. e \ V \ x \ A \ p = e \ V \ x \ A \ p'$ 
using  $\text{assms}$ 
unfolding  $\text{only-voters-count-def}$ 
by  $\text{simp}$ 
hence  $\text{average } e \ V \ A \ p = \text{average } e \ V \ A \ p'$ 
unfolding  $\text{average.simps}$ 
by  $\text{auto}$ 
thus  $\text{less-eliminator } e \ (\text{average } e \ V \ A \ p) \ V \ A \ p =$ 
   $\text{less-eliminator } e \ (\text{average } e \ V \ A \ p') \ V \ A \ p'$ 
using  $\text{coinciding assms less-elim-only-voters}$ 
unfolding  $\text{only-voters-vote-def}$ 
by ( $\text{metis (no-types, lifting)}$ )
qed

```

```

lemma  $\text{leq-avg-only-voters[simp]}:$ 
fixes  $e :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Evaluation-Function}$ 
assumes  $\text{only-voters-count } e$ 
shows  $\text{only-voters-vote } (\text{leq-average-eliminator } e)$ 
proof ( $\text{unfold leq-average-eliminator.simps only-voters-vote-def, safe}$ )
fix
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
   $p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
assume
   $\text{coinciding: } \forall v \in V. p \ v = p' \ v$ 
hence  $\forall x \in A. e \ V \ x \ A \ p = e \ V \ x \ A \ p'$ 
using  $\text{assms}$ 
unfolding  $\text{only-voters-count-def}$ 
by  $\text{simp}$ 
hence  $\text{average } e \ V \ A \ p = \text{average } e \ V \ A \ p'$ 
unfolding  $\text{average.simps}$ 
by  $\text{auto}$ 
thus  $\text{leq-eliminator } e \ (\text{average } e \ V \ A \ p) \ V \ A \ p =$ 
   $\text{leq-eliminator } e \ (\text{average } e \ V \ A \ p') \ V \ A \ p'$ 
using  $\text{coinciding assms leq-elim-only-voters}$ 
unfolding  $\text{only-voters-vote-def}$ 
by ( $\text{metis (no-types, lifting)}$ )

```

qed

4.10.6 Non-Blocking

lemma *elim-mod-non-blocking*:
 fixes
 e :: ('a, 'v) *Evaluation-Function* **and**
 t :: *Threshold-Value* **and**
 r :: *Threshold-Relation*
 shows *non-blocking* (*elimination-module e t r*)
 unfolding *non-blocking-def*
 by *auto*

lemma *less-elim-non-blocking*:
 fixes
 e :: ('a, 'v) *Evaluation-Function* **and**
 t :: *Threshold-Value*
 shows *non-blocking* (*less-eliminator e t*)
 unfolding *less-eliminator.simps*
 using *elim-mod-non-blocking*
 by *auto*

lemma *leq-elim-non-blocking*:
 fixes
 e :: ('a, 'v) *Evaluation-Function* **and**
 t :: *Threshold-Value*
 shows *non-blocking* (*leq-eliminator e t*)
 unfolding *leq-eliminator.simps*
 using *elim-mod-non-blocking*
 by *auto*

lemma *max-elim-non-blocking*:
 fixes *e* :: ('a, 'v) *Evaluation-Function*
 shows *non-blocking* (*max-eliminator e*)
 unfolding *non-blocking-def*
 using *social-choice-result.electoral-module-def*
 by *auto*

lemma *min-elim-non-blocking*:
 fixes *e* :: ('a, 'v) *Evaluation-Function*
 shows *non-blocking* (*min-eliminator e*)
 unfolding *non-blocking-def*
 using *social-choice-result.electoral-module-def*
 by *auto*

lemma *less-avg-elim-non-blocking*:
 fixes *e* :: ('a, 'v) *Evaluation-Function*
 shows *non-blocking* (*less-average-eliminator e*)
 unfolding *non-blocking-def*

using *social-choice-result.electoral-module-def*
by *auto*

lemma *leq-avg-elim-non-blocking*:
fixes $e :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Evaluation-Function}$
shows *non-blocking* (*leq-average-eliminator e*)
unfolding *non-blocking-def*
using *social-choice-result.electoral-module-def*
by *auto*

4.10.7 Non-Electing

lemma *elim-mod-non-electing*:
fixes
 $e :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Evaluation-Function}$ **and**
 $t :: \text{Threshold-Value}$ **and**
 $r :: \text{Threshold-Relation}$
shows *non-electing* (*elimination-module e t r*)
unfolding *non-electing-def*
by *simp*

lemma *less-elim-non-electing*:
fixes
 $e :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Evaluation-Function}$ **and**
 $t :: \text{Threshold-Value}$
shows *non-electing* (*less-eliminator e t*)
using *elim-mod-non-electing less-elim-sound*
unfolding *non-electing-def*
by *simp*

lemma *leq-elim-non-electing*:
fixes
 $e :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Evaluation-Function}$ **and**
 $t :: \text{Threshold-Value}$
shows *non-electing* (*leq-eliminator e t*)
unfolding *non-electing-def*
by *simp*

lemma *max-elim-non-electing*:
fixes $e :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Evaluation-Function}$
shows *non-electing* (*max-eliminator e*)
unfolding *non-electing-def*
by *simp*

lemma *min-elim-non-electing*:
fixes $e :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Evaluation-Function}$
shows *non-electing* (*min-eliminator e*)
unfolding *non-electing-def*
by *simp*

```

lemma less-avg-elim-non-electing:
  fixes  $e :: ('a, 'v)$  Evaluation-Function
  shows non-electing (less-average-eliminator  $e$ )
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by auto

```

```

lemma leq-avg-elim-non-electing:
  fixes  $e :: ('a, 'v)$  Evaluation-Function
  shows non-electing (leq-average-eliminator  $e$ )
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by simp

```

4.10.8 Inference Rules

If the used evaluation function is Condorcet rating, max-eliminator is Condorcet compatible.

```

theorem cr-eval-imp-ccomp-max-elim[simp]:
  fixes  $e :: ('a, 'v)$  Evaluation-Function
  assumes condorcet-rating  $e$ 
  shows condorcet-compatibility (max-eliminator  $e$ )
proof (unfold condorcet-compatibility-def, safe)
  show social-choice-result.electoral-module (max-eliminator  $e$ )
    by simp
next
  fix
     $A :: 'a$  set and
     $V :: 'v$  set and
     $p :: ('a, 'v)$  Profile and
     $a :: 'a$ 
  assume
    c-win: condorcet-winner  $V$   $A$   $p$   $a$  and
    rej-a:  $a \in \text{reject } (\text{max-eliminator } e) \ V \ A \ p$ 
  have  $e \ V \ a \ A \ p = \text{Max } \{e \ V \ b \ A \ p \mid b. b \in A\}$ 
    using c-win cond-winner-imp-max-eval-val assms
    by fastforce
  hence  $a \notin \text{reject } (\text{max-eliminator } e) \ V \ A \ p$ 
    by simp
  thus False
    using rej-a
    by linarith
next
  fix
     $A :: 'a$  set and
     $V :: 'v$  set and
     $p :: ('a, 'v)$  Profile and
     $a :: 'a$ 
  assume  $a \in \text{elect } (\text{max-eliminator } e) \ V \ A \ p$ 
  moreover have  $a \notin \text{elect } (\text{max-eliminator } e) \ V \ A \ p$ 

```



```

    by simp
  ultimately show False
    by linarith
next
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  a :: 'a and
  a' :: 'a
assume
  condorcet-winner V A p a and
  a ∈ elect (max-eliminator e) V A p
thus a' ∈ reject (max-eliminator e) V A p
  using condorcet-winner.elims(2) empty-iff max-elim-non-electing
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by metis
qed

```

If the used evaluation function is Condorcet rating, max-eliminator is defer-Condorcet-consistent.

```

theorem cr-eval-imp-dcc-max-elim[simp]:
  fixes e :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function
  assumes condorcet-rating e
  shows defer-condorcet-consistency (max-eliminator e)
proof (unfold defer-condorcet-consistency-def, safe, simp)
  fix
    A :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
    a :: 'a
  assume
    winner: condorcet-winner V A p a
  hence f-prof: finite-profile V A p
    by simp
  let ?trsh = Max {e V b A p | b. b ∈ A}
  show
    max-eliminator e V A p =
      ({} ,
       A - defer (max-eliminator e) V A p,
       {b ∈ A. condorcet-winner V A p b})
  proof (cases elimination-set e (?trsh) (<) V A p ≠ A)
  have e V a A p = Max {e V x A p | x. x ∈ A}
    using winner assms cond-winner-imp-max-eval-val
    by fastforce
  hence ∀ b ∈ A. b ≠ a ⟷ b ∈ {c ∈ A. e V c A p < Max {e V b A p | b. b ∈ A}}
  using winner assms mem-Collect-eq linorder-neq-iff
  unfolding condorcet-rating-def

```

```

    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
  hence elim-set: (elimination-set e ?trsh (<) V A p) = A - {a}
    unfolding elimination-set.simps
    by blast
  case True
  hence
    max-eliminator e V A p =
      ({},
        (elimination-set e ?trsh (<) V A p),
        A - (elimination-set e ?trsh (<) V A p))
    by simp
  also have ... = ({}, A - {a}, {a})
    using elim-set.winner
    by auto
  also have ... = ({}, A - defer (max-eliminator e) V A p, {a})
    using calculation
    by simp
  also have
    ... = ({},
      A - defer (max-eliminator e) V A p,
      {b ∈ A. condorcet-winner V A p b})
    using cond-winner-unique.winner Collect-cong
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  finally show ?thesis
    using winner
    by metis
next
case False
moreover have ?trsh = e V a A p
  using assms.winner cond-winner.imp-max-eval-val
  by fastforce
ultimately show ?thesis
  using winner
  by auto
qed
qed
end

```

4.11 Aggregator

```

theory Aggregator
  imports Social-Choice-Types/Result
          Social-Choice-Types/Social-Choice-Result

```

begin

An aggregator gets two partitions (results of electoral modules) as input and output another partition. They are used to aggregate results of parallel composed electoral modules. They are commutative, i.e., the order of the aggregated modules does not affect the resulting aggregation. Moreover, they are conservative in the sense that the resulting decisions are subsets of the two given partitions' decisions.

4.11.1 Definition

type-synonym *'a Aggregator* = *'a set* \Rightarrow *'a Result* \Rightarrow *'a Result* \Rightarrow *'a Result*

definition *aggregator* :: *'a Aggregator* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

aggregator agg \equiv
 $\forall A e e' d d' r r'.$
 $(\text{well-formed-soc-choice } A (e, r, d) \wedge \text{well-formed-soc-choice } A (e', r', d')) \longrightarrow$
 $\text{well-formed-soc-choice } A (\text{agg } A (e, r, d) (e', r', d'))$

4.11.2 Properties

definition *agg-commutative* :: *'a Aggregator* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

agg-commutative agg \equiv
 $\text{aggregator } \text{agg} \wedge (\forall A e e' d d' r r'.$
 $\text{agg } A (e, r, d) (e', r', d') = \text{agg } A (e', r', d') (e, r, d))$

definition *agg-conservative* :: *'a Aggregator* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

agg-conservative agg \equiv
 $\text{aggregator } \text{agg} \wedge$
 $(\forall A e e' d d' r r'.$
 $((\text{well-formed-soc-choice } A (e, r, d) \wedge \text{well-formed-soc-choice } A (e', r', d'))$
 \longrightarrow
 $\text{elect-r } (\text{agg } A (e, r, d) (e', r', d')) \subseteq (e \cup e') \wedge$
 $\text{reject-r } (\text{agg } A (e, r, d) (e', r', d')) \subseteq (r \cup r') \wedge$
 $\text{defer-r } (\text{agg } A (e, r, d) (e', r', d')) \subseteq (d \cup d'))$

end

4.12 Maximum Aggregator

theory *Maximum-Aggregator*

imports *Aggregator*

begin

The max(imum) aggregator takes two partitions of an alternative set A as input. It returns a partition where every alternative receives the maximum result of the two input partitions.

4.12.1 Definition

```
fun max-aggregator :: 'a Aggregator where
  max-aggregator A (e, r, d) (e', r', d') =
    (e ∪ e',
     A − (e ∪ e' ∪ d ∪ d'),
     (d ∪ d') − (e ∪ e'))
```

4.12.2 Auxiliary Lemma

```
lemma max-agg-rej-set:
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    e :: 'a set and
    e' :: 'a set and
    d :: 'a set and
    d' :: 'a set and
    r :: 'a set and
    r' :: 'a set and
    a :: 'a
  assumes
    wf-first-mod: well-formed-soc-choice A (e, r, d) and
    wf-second-mod: well-formed-soc-choice A (e', r', d')
  shows reject-r (max-aggregator A (e, r, d) (e', r', d')) = r ∩ r'
proof −
  have A − (e ∪ d) = r
    using wf-first-mod
    by (simp add: result-imp-rej)
  moreover have A − (e' ∪ d') = r'
    using wf-second-mod
    by (simp add: result-imp-rej)
  ultimately have A − (e ∪ e' ∪ d ∪ d') = r ∩ r'
    by blast
  moreover have {l ∈ A. l ∉ e ∪ e' ∪ d ∪ d'} = A − (e ∪ e' ∪ d ∪ d')
    unfolding set-diff-eq
    by simp
  ultimately show reject-r (max-aggregator A (e, r, d) (e', r', d')) = r ∩ r'
    by simp
qed
```

4.12.3 Soundness

```
theorem max-agg-sound[simp]: aggregator max-aggregator
proof (unfold aggregator-def, simp, safe)
  fix
```

```

    A :: 'a set and
    e :: 'a set and
    e' :: 'a set and
    d :: 'a set and
    d' :: 'a set and
    r :: 'a set and
    r' :: 'a set and
    a :: 'a
  assume
    e' ∪ r' ∪ d' = e ∪ r ∪ d and
    a ∉ d and
    a ∉ r and
    a ∈ e'
  thus a ∈ e
    by auto
next
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  e :: 'a set and
  e' :: 'a set and
  d :: 'a set and
  d' :: 'a set and
  r :: 'a set and
  r' :: 'a set and
  a :: 'a
  assume
    e' ∪ r' ∪ d' = e ∪ r ∪ d and
    a ∉ d and
    a ∉ r and
    a ∈ d'
  thus a ∈ e
    by auto
qed

```

4.12.4 Properties

The max-aggregator is conservative.

theorem *max-agg-consv[simp]: agg-conservative max-aggregator*

proof (*unfold agg-conservative-def, safe*)

show *aggregator max-aggregator*

using *max-agg-sound*

by *metis*

next

fix

A :: 'a set and

e :: 'a set and

e' :: 'a set and

d :: 'a set and

d' :: 'a set and

```

     $r :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $r' :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $a :: 'a$ 
assume
    elect-a:  $a \in \text{elect-}r \text{ (max-aggregator } A \text{ (} e, r, d \text{) (} e', r', d' \text{))}$  and
    a-not-in-e':  $a \notin e'$ 
have  $a \in e \cup e'$ 
using elect-a
by simp
thus  $a \in e$ 
using a-not-in-e'
by simp
next
fix
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $e :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $e' :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $d :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $d' :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $r :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $r' :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $a :: 'a$ 
assume
    wf-result: well-formed-soc-choice  $A \text{ (} e', r', d' \text{)}$  and
    reject-a:  $a \in \text{reject-}r \text{ (max-aggregator } A \text{ (} e, r, d \text{) (} e', r', d' \text{))}$  and
    a-not-in-r':  $a \notin r'$ 
have  $a \in r \cup r'$ 
using wf-result reject-a
by force
thus  $a \in r$ 
using a-not-in-r'
by simp
next
fix
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $e :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $e' :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $d :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $d' :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $r :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $r' :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $a :: 'a$ 
assume
    defer-a:  $a \in \text{defer-}r \text{ (max-aggregator } A \text{ (} e, r, d \text{) (} e', r', d' \text{))}$  and
    a-not-in-d':  $a \notin d'$ 
have  $a \in d \cup d'$ 
using defer-a
by force
thus  $a \in d$ 

```

```

    using a-not-in-d'
    by simp
qed

```

The max-aggregator is commutative.

```

theorem max-agg-comm[simp]: agg-commutative max-aggregator
  unfolding agg-commutative-def
  by auto

```

```

end

```

4.13 Termination Condition

```

theory Termination-Condition
  imports Social-Choice-Types/Result
begin

```

The termination condition is used in loops. It decides whether or not to terminate the loop after each iteration, depending on the current state of the loop.

4.13.1 Definition

```

type-synonym 'r Termination-Condition = 'r Result  $\Rightarrow$  bool
end

```

4.14 Defer Equal Condition

```

theory Defer-Equal-Condition
  imports Termination-Condition
begin

```

This is a family of termination conditions. For a natural number n , the according defer-equal condition is true if and only if the given result's defer-set contains exactly n elements.

4.14.1 Definition

```

fun defer-equal-condition ::
  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a Termination-Condition where
    defer-equal-condition n (e,r,d) = (card d = n)

end

```

4.15 Result + Property Locale Code Generation

```

theory Interpretation-Code
  imports Electoral-Module
          Distance-Rationalization
begin
setup Locale-Code.open-block

```

Lemmas stating the explicit instantiations of interpreted abstract functions from locales.

```

lemma electoral-module-soc-choice-code-lemma:
  social-choice-result.electoral-module m
   $\equiv \forall A V p. \text{profile } V A p \longrightarrow \text{well-formed-soc-choice } A (m V A p)$ 
by (rule social-choice-result.electoral-module-def)

```

```

lemma  $\mathcal{R}_W$ -soc-choice-code-lemma:
  social-choice-result. $\mathcal{R}_W$  d K V A p
  = arg-min-set (score d K (A, V, p)) (limit-set-soc-choice A UNIV)
by (rule social-choice-result. $\mathcal{R}_W$ .simps)

```

```

lemma distance- $\mathcal{R}$ -soc-choice-code-lemma:
  social-choice-result.distance- $\mathcal{R}$  d K V A p =
    (social-choice-result. $\mathcal{R}_W$  d K V A p,
     (limit-set-soc-choice A UNIV) - social-choice-result. $\mathcal{R}_W$  d K V A p, {})
by (rule social-choice-result.distance- $\mathcal{R}$ .simps)

```

```

lemma  $\mathcal{R}_W$ -std-soc-choice-code-lemma:
  social-choice-result. $\mathcal{R}_W$ -std d K V A p =
    arg-min-set (score-std d K (A, V, p)) (limit-set-soc-choice A UNIV)
by (rule social-choice-result. $\mathcal{R}_W$ -std.simps)

```

```

lemma distance- $\mathcal{R}$ -std-soc-choice-code-lemma:
  social-choice-result.distance- $\mathcal{R}$ -std d K V A p =
    (social-choice-result. $\mathcal{R}_W$ -std d K V A p,
     (limit-set-soc-choice A UNIV) - social-choice-result. $\mathcal{R}_W$ -std d K V A p, {})
by (rule social-choice-result.distance- $\mathcal{R}$ -std.simps)

```

```

lemma anonymity-soc-choice-code-lemma:
  social-choice-result.anonymity =
    ( $\lambda m. \text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m \wedge$ 
     ( $\forall A V p \pi. ('v \Rightarrow 'v).$ 

```


$\text{bij } \pi \longrightarrow (\text{let } (A', V', q) = (\text{rename } \pi (A, V, p)) \text{ in}$
 $\text{finite-profile } V A p \wedge \text{finite-profile } V' A' q \longrightarrow m V A p = m V' A' q)))$
unfolding *social-choice-result.anonymity-def*
by *simp*

Declarations for replacing interpreted abstract functions from locales by their explicit instantiations for code generation.

```

declare [[lc-add social-choice-result.electoral-module electoral-module-soc-choice-code-lemma]]
declare [[lc-add social-choice-result. $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}$   $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}$ -soc-choice-code-lemma]]
declare [[lc-add social-choice-result. $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}$ -std  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}$ -std-soc-choice-code-lemma]]
declare [[lc-add social-choice-result.distance- $\mathcal{R}$  distance- $\mathcal{R}$ -soc-choice-code-lemma]]
declare [[lc-add social-choice-result.distance- $\mathcal{R}$ -std distance- $\mathcal{R}$ -std-soc-choice-code-lemma]]
declare [[lc-add social-choice-result.anonymity anonymity-soc-choice-code-lemma]]

```

Constant aliases to use when exporting code instead of the interpreted functions

```

definition  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}$ -soc-choice-code = social-choice-result. $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}$ 
definition  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}$ -std-soc-choice-code = social-choice-result. $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}$ -std
definition distance- $\mathcal{R}$ -soc-choice-code = social-choice-result.distance- $\mathcal{R}$ 
definition distance- $\mathcal{R}$ -std-soc-choice-code = social-choice-result.distance- $\mathcal{R}$ -std
definition electoral-module-soc-choice-code = social-choice-result.electoral-module
definition anonymity-soc-choice-code = social-choice-result.anonymity

```

setup *Locale-Code.close-block*

```

export-code electoral-module-soc-choice-code in Haskell
export-code  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{W}}$ -std-soc-choice-code in Haskell
export-code distance- $\mathcal{R}$ -std-soc-choice-code in Haskell
export-code anonymity-soc-choice-code in Haskell

```

end

4.16 Votewise Distance Rationalization

```

theory Votewise-Distance-Rationalization
  imports Distance-Rationalization
           Votewise-Distance
           Interpretation-Code
begin

```

A votewise distance rationalization of a voting rule is its distance rationalization with a distance function that depends on the submitted votes in a simple and a transparent manner by using a distance on individual orders and combining the components with a norm on \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{N} .

4.16.1 Common Rationalizations

fun *swap- \mathcal{R}* ::
 ('a, 'v::linorder, 'a Result) Consensus-Class \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
where
 swap- \mathcal{R} K = *social-choice-result.distance- \mathcal{R}* (*votewise-distance swap l-one*) K

4.16.2 Theorems

lemma *votewise-non-voters-irrelevant*:
fixes
 d :: 'a Vote Distance **and**
 N :: Norm
shows *non-voters-irrelevant* (*votewise-distance d N*)
proof (*unfold non-voters-irrelevant-def, clarify*)
fix
 A :: 'a set **and**
 V :: 'v::linorder set **and**
 p :: ('a, 'v) Profile **and**
 A' :: 'a set **and**
 V' :: 'v set **and**
 p' :: ('a, 'v) Profile **and**
 q :: ('a, 'v) Profile
assume
 coincide: $\forall v \in V. p\ v = q\ v$
have $\forall i < \text{length}(\text{sorted-list-of-set } V). (\text{sorted-list-of-set } V)!i \in V$
using *card-eq-0-iff not-less-zero nth-mem*
 sorted-list-of-set.length-sorted-key-list-of-set
 sorted-list-of-set.set-sorted-key-list-of-set
by *metis*
hence (*to-list V p*) = (*to-list V q*)
using *coincide length-map nth-equalityI to-list.simps*
by *auto*
thus *votewise-distance d N* (*A, V, p*) (*A', V', p'*) =
 votewise-distance d N (*A, V, q*) (*A', V', p'*) \wedge
 votewise-distance d N (*A', V', p'*) (*A, V, p*) =
 votewise-distance d N (*A', V', p'*) (*A, V, q*)
unfolding *votewise-distance.simps*
by *presburger*
qed

lemma *swap-standard*: *standard* (*votewise-distance swap l-one*)

proof (*unfold standard-def, clarify*)

fix
 A :: 'a set **and**
 V :: 'v::linorder set **and**
 p :: ('a, 'v) Profile **and**
 A' :: 'a set **and**
 V' :: 'v set **and**
 p' :: ('a, 'v) Profile

```

assume assms:  $V \neq V' \vee A \neq A'$ 
let  $?l = (\lambda l1\ l2. (\text{map2 } (\lambda q\ q'. \text{swap } (A, q) (A', q'))\ l1\ l2))$ 
have  $A \neq A' \wedge V = V' \wedge V \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } V \implies \forall q\ q'. \text{swap } (A, q) (A', q')$ 
 $= \infty$ 
  by simp
hence  $A \neq A' \wedge V = V' \wedge V \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } V \implies$ 
 $\forall l1\ l2. (l1 \neq [] \wedge l2 \neq [] \longrightarrow (\forall i < \text{length } (?l\ l1\ l2). (?l\ l1\ l2)!i = \infty))$ 
  by simp
moreover have  $V = V' \wedge V \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } V \implies (\text{to-list } V\ p) \neq [] \wedge (\text{to-list } V'\ p') \neq []$ 
  using card-eq-0-iff length-map list.size(3) to-list.simps
sorted-list-of-set.length-sorted-key-list-of-set
  by metis
moreover have  $\forall l. ((\exists i < \text{length } l. !i = \infty) \longrightarrow \text{l-one } l = \infty)$ 
proof (safe)
  fix
     $l :: \text{ereal list}$  and
     $i :: \text{nat}$ 
    assume  $i < \text{length } l$  and  $l!i = \infty$ 
    hence  $(\sum j < \text{length } l. |l!j|) = \infty$ 
    using sum-Pinfy abs-ereal.simps(3) finite-lessThan lessThan-iff
    by metis
    thus  $\text{l-one } l = \infty$  by auto
qed
ultimately have
 $A \neq A' \wedge V = V' \wedge V \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } V \implies \text{l-one } (?l\ (\text{to-list } V\ p)\ (\text{to-list } V'\ p)) = \infty$ 
  by (metis length-greater-0-conv map-is-Nil-conv zip-eq-Nil-iff)
hence  $A \neq A' \wedge V = V' \wedge V \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } V \implies$ 
 $\text{votewise-distance swap l-one } (A, V, p)\ (A', V', p') = \infty$ 
  by simp
moreover have  $V \neq V' \implies \text{votewise-distance swap l-one } (A, V, p)\ (A', V', p') = \infty$ 
  by simp
moreover have  $A \neq A' \wedge V = \{\} \implies \text{votewise-distance swap l-one } (A, V, p)\ (A', V', p') = \infty$ 
  by simp
moreover have  $\text{infinite } V \implies \text{votewise-distance swap l-one } (A, V, p)\ (A', V', p') = \infty$ 
  by simp
moreover have  $(A \neq A' \wedge V = V' \wedge V \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } V) \vee \text{infinite } V \vee (A \neq A' \wedge V = \{\}) \vee V \neq V'$ 
  using assms
  by blast
ultimately show  $\text{votewise-distance swap l-one } (A, V, p)\ (A', V', p') = \infty$ 
  by fastforce
qed

```

4.16.3 Equivalence Lemmas

type-synonym ('a, 'v) *score-type* =
 ('a, 'v) *Election Distance*
 \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a *Result*) *Consensus-Class*
 \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) *Election* \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow *ereal*

type-synonym ('a, 'v) *dist-rat-type* =
 ('a, 'v) *Election Distance* \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a *Result*) *Consensus-Class*
 \Rightarrow 'v *set* \Rightarrow 'a *set* \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) *Profile* \Rightarrow 'a *set*

type-synonym ('a, 'v) *dist-rat-std-type* =
 ('a, 'v) *Election Distance* \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a *Result*) *Consensus-Class*
 \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a *Result*) *Electoral-Module*

type-synonym ('a, 'v) *dist-type* =
 ('a, 'v) *Election Distance* \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a *Result*) *Consensus-Class*
 \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a *Result*) *Electoral-Module*

lemma *equal-score-swap*:
 (score::('a, 'v::linorder) *score-type*)) (votewise-distance swap l-one)
 = score-std (votewise-distance swap l-one)
using *votewise-non-voters-irrelevant swap-standard*
social-choice-result.standard-distance-imp-equal-score
by *fast*

lemma *swap- \mathcal{R} -code*[code]:
swap- \mathcal{R} =
 (social-choice-result.distance- \mathcal{R} -std::('a, 'v::linorder) *dist-rat-std-type*))
 (votewise-distance swap l-one)

proof –
from *equal-score-swap*
have
 $\forall K E a. (score::('a, 'v::linorder) *score-type*))$
 $(votewise-distance swap l-one) K E a =$
 $score-std (votewise-distance swap l-one) K E a$
by *metis*
hence $\forall K V A p. (social-choice-result.\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}}::('a, 'v::linorder) *dist-rat-type*))$
 $(votewise-distance swap l-one) K V A p =$
 $social-choice-result.\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}}-std$
 $(votewise-distance swap l-one) K V A p$
by (*simp add: equal-score-swap*)
hence $\forall K V A p. (social-choice-result.distance-\mathcal{R}::('a, 'v::linorder) *dist-type*))$
 $(votewise-distance swap l-one) K V A p$
 $= social-choice-result.distance-\mathcal{R}-std$
 $(votewise-distance swap l-one) K V A p$
by *fastforce*
thus *?thesis*
unfolding *swap- \mathcal{R} .simps*
by *blast*

qed

end

4.17 Drop Module

theory *Drop-Module*

imports *Component-Types/Electoral-Module*

Component-Types/Social-Choice-Types/Result

begin

This is a family of electoral modules. For a natural number n and a lexicon (linear order) r of all alternatives, the according drop module rejects the lexicographically first n alternatives (from A) and defers the rest. It is primarily used as counterpart to the pass module in a parallel composition, in order to segment the alternatives into two groups.

4.17.1 Definition

fun *drop-module* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ Preference-Relation} \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$

where

$\text{drop-module } n \ r \ V \ A \ p =$
 $(\{\},$
 $\{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \leq n\},$
 $\{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a > n\})$

4.17.2 Soundness

theorem *drop-mod-sound[simp]*:

fixes

$r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ **and**

$n :: \text{nat}$

shows *social-choice-result.electoral-module* (*drop-module* $n \ r$)

proof (*unfold social-choice-result.electoral-module-def, safe*)

fix

$A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**

$p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$

assume *profile* $V \ A \ p$

let $?mod = \text{drop-module } n \ r$

have $\forall a \in A. a \in \{x \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ x \leq n\} \vee$
 $a \in \{x \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ x > n\}$

by *auto*

hence $\{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \leq n\} \cup \{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a > n\} = A$

```

    by blast
  hence set-partition: set-equals-partition A (drop-module n r V A p)
    by simp
  have  $\forall a \in A.$ 
     $\neg (a \in \{x \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ x \leq n\} \wedge$ 
       $a \in \{x \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ x > n\})$ 
    by simp
  hence  $\{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \leq n\} \cap \{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a > n\} = \{\}$ 
    by blast
  thus well-formed-soc-choice A (?mod V A p)
    using set-partition
    by simp
qed

```

4.17.3 Non-Electing

The drop module is non-electing.

```

theorem drop-mod-non-electing[simp]:
  fixes
     $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$  and
     $n :: \text{nat}$ 
  shows non-electing (drop-module n r)
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by simp

```

4.17.4 Properties

The drop module is strictly defer-monotone.

```

theorem drop-mod-def-lift-inv[simp]:
  fixes
     $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$  and
     $n :: \text{nat}$ 
  shows defer-lift-invariance (drop-module n r)
  unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
  by simp

```

end

4.18 Pass Module

```

theory Pass-Module
  imports Component-Types/Electoral-Module
begin

```

This is a family of electoral modules. For a natural number n and a lexicon (linear order) r of all alternatives, the according pass module defers the lexicographically first n alternatives (from A) and rejects the rest. It is primarily used as counterpart to the drop module in a parallel composition in order to segment the alternatives into two groups.

4.18.1 Definition

fun *pass-module* :: *nat* \Rightarrow '*a Preference-Relation* \Rightarrow ('*a*, '*v*, '*a Result*) *Electoral-Module*
where
pass-module n r V A p =
 ({},
 { $a \in A.$ *rank* (*limit* A r) $a > n$ },
 { $a \in A.$ *rank* (*limit* A r) $a \leq n$ })

4.18.2 Soundness

theorem *pass-mod-sound[simp]*:
fixes
 $r ::$ '*a Preference-Relation* **and**
 $n ::$ *nat*
shows *social-choice-result.electoral-module* (*pass-module* n r)
proof (*unfold social-choice-result.electoral-module-def, safe*)
fix
 $A ::$ '*a set* **and**
 $V ::$ '*v set* **and**
 $p ::$ ('*a*, '*v*) *Profile*
let $?mod =$ *pass-module* n r
have $\forall a \in A. a \in \{x \in A. \text{rank} (\text{limit } A \ r) \ x > n\} \vee$
 $a \in \{x \in A. \text{rank} (\text{limit } A \ r) \ x \leq n\}$
using *CollectI not-less*
by *metis*
hence $\{a \in A. \text{rank} (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a > n\} \cup \{a \in A. \text{rank} (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \leq n\} = A$
by *blast*
hence *set-equals-partition* A (*pass-module* n r V A p)
by *simp*
moreover have
 $\forall a \in A.$
 $\neg (a \in \{x \in A. \text{rank} (\text{limit } A \ r) \ x > n\} \wedge$
 $a \in \{x \in A. \text{rank} (\text{limit } A \ r) \ x \leq n\})$
by *simp*
hence $\{a \in A. \text{rank} (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a > n\} \cap \{a \in A. \text{rank} (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \leq n\} = \{\}$
by *blast*
ultimately show *well-formed-soc-choice* A ($?mod$ V A p)
by *simp*
qed

4.18.3 Non-Blocking

The pass module is non-blocking.

```

theorem pass-mod-non-blocking[simp]:
  fixes
     $r :: 'a$  Preference-Relation and
     $n :: \text{nat}$ 
  assumes
    order: linear-order  $r$  and
    g0-n:  $n > 0$ 
  shows non-blocking (pass-module  $n$   $r$ )
proof (unfold non-blocking-def, safe)
  show social-choice-result.electoral-module (pass-module  $n$   $r$ )
    by simp
next
  fix
     $A :: 'a$  set and
     $V :: 'v$  set and
     $p :: ('a, 'v)$  Profile and
     $a :: 'a$ 
  assume
    fin-A: finite  $A$  and
    rej-pass-A: reject (pass-module  $n$   $r$ )  $V$   $A$   $p = A$  and
    a-in-A:  $a \in A$ 
  moreover have lin: linear-order-on  $A$  (limit  $A$   $r$ )
    using limit-presv-lin-ord order top-greatest
    by metis
  moreover have
     $\exists b \in A. \text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ b = \{b\}$ 
     $\wedge (\forall c \in A. \text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ c = \{c\} \longrightarrow c = b)$ 
    using fin-A a-in-A lin above-one
    by blast
  moreover have  $\{b \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ b > n\} \neq A$ 
    using Suc-leI g0-n leD mem-Collect-eq above-rank calculation
    unfolding One-nat-def
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  hence reject (pass-module  $n$   $r$ )  $V$   $A$   $p \neq A$ 
    by simp
  thus  $a \in \{\}$ 
    using rej-pass-A
    by simp
qed

```

4.18.4 Non-Electing

The pass module is non-electing.

```

theorem pass-mod-non-electing[simp]:
  fixes

```



```

  r :: 'a Preference-Relation and
  n :: nat
assumes linear-order r
shows non-electing (pass-module n r)
unfolding non-electing-def
using assms
by simp

```

4.18.5 Properties

The pass module is strictly defer-monotone.

theorem *pass-mod-dl-inv*[simp]:

```

fixes
  r :: 'a Preference-Relation and
  n :: nat
assumes linear-order r
shows defer-lift-invariance (pass-module n r)
unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
using assms
by simp

```

theorem *pass-zero-mod-def-zero*[simp]:

```

fixes r :: 'a Preference-Relation
assumes linear-order r
shows defers 0 (pass-module 0 r)
proof (unfold defers-def, safe)
show social-choice-result.electoral-module (pass-module 0 r)
using pass-mod-sound assms
by simp

```

next

```

fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
assume
  card-pos: 0 ≤ card A and
  finite-A: finite A and
  prof-A: profile V A p
have linear-order-on A (limit A r)
using assms limit-presv-lin-ord
by blast
hence limit-is-connex: connex A (limit A r)
using lin-ord-imp-connex
by simp
have ∀ n. (n::nat) ≤ 0 ⟶ n = 0
by blast
hence ∀ a A'. a ∈ A' ∧ a ∈ A ⟶ connex A' (limit A r) ⟶
  ¬ rank (limit A r) a ≤ 0
using above-connex above-presv-limit card-eq-0-iff equals0D finite-A

```

```

      assms rev-finite-subset
    unfolding rank.simps
    by (metis (no-types))
  hence  $\{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \leq 0\} = \{\}$ 
    using limit-is-connex
    by simp
  hence  $\text{card } \{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \leq 0\} = 0$ 
    using card.empty
    by metis
  thus  $\text{card } (\text{defer } (\text{pass-module } 0 \ r) \ V \ A \ p) = 0$ 
    by simp
qed

```

For any natural number n and any linear order, the according pass module defers n alternatives (if there are n alternatives). NOTE: The induction proof is still missing. The following are the proofs for $n=1$ and $n=2$.

```

theorem pass-one-mod-def-one[simp]:
  fixes  $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ 
  assumes linear-order r
  shows defers 1 (pass-module 1 r)
proof (unfold defers-def, safe)
  show social-choice-result.electoral-module (pass-module 1 r)
    using pass-mod-sound assms
    by simp
next
fix
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
assume
  card-pos: 1 ≤ card A and
  finite-A: finite A and
  prof-A: profile V A p
show  $\text{card } (\text{defer } (\text{pass-module } 1 \ r) \ V \ A \ p) = 1$ 
proof –
  have  $A \neq \{\}$ 
    using card-pos
    by auto
  moreover have lin-ord-on-A: linear-order-on A (limit A r)
    using assms limit-presv-lin-ord
    by blast
  ultimately have winner-exists:
     $\exists a \in A. \text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a = \{a\} \wedge$ 
       $(\forall b \in A. \text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ b = \{b\} \longrightarrow b = a)$ 
    using finite-A
    by (simp add: above-one)
  then obtain  $w$  where w-unique-top:
     $\text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ w = \{w\} \wedge$ 
       $(\forall a \in A. \text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a = \{a\} \longrightarrow a = w)$ 

```

```

using above-one
by auto
hence  $\{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \leq 1\} = \{w\}$ 
proof
  assume
    w-top:  $\text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ w = \{w\}$  and
    w-unique:  $\forall a \in A. \text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a = \{a\} \longrightarrow a = w$ 
  have  $\text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ w \leq 1$ 
    using w-top
    by auto
  hence  $\{w\} \subseteq \{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \leq 1\}$ 
    using winner-exists w-unique-top
    by blast
  moreover have  $\{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \leq 1\} \subseteq \{w\}$ 
  proof
    fix a :: 'a
    assume a-in-winner-set:  $a \in \{b \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ b \leq 1\}$ 
    hence a-in-A:  $a \in A$ 
      by auto
    hence connex-limit:  $\text{connex } A \ (\text{limit } A \ r)$ 
      using lin-ord-imp-connex lin-ord-on-A
      by simp
    hence let q = limit A r in  $a \preceq_q a$ 
      using connex-limit above-connex pref-imp-in-above a-in-A
      by metis
    hence  $(a, a) \in \text{limit } A \ r$ 
      by simp
    hence a-above-a:  $a \in \text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a$ 
      unfolding above-def
      by simp
    have  $\text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \subseteq A$ 
      using above-presv-limit assms
      by fastforce
    hence above-finite:  $\text{finite } (\text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a)$ 
      using finite-A finite-subset
      by simp
    have  $\text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \leq 1$ 
      using a-in-winner-set
      by simp
    moreover have  $\text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \geq 1$ 
      using Suc-leI above-finite card-eq-0-iff equals0D neq0-conv a-above-a
      unfolding rank.simps One-nat-def
      by metis
    ultimately have  $\text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a = 1$ 
      by simp
    hence  $\{a\} = \text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a$ 
      using a-above-a lin-ord-on-A rank-one-imp-above-one
      by metis
    hence  $a = w$ 

```

```

      using w-unique
      by (simp add: a-in-A)
    thus  $a \in \{w\}$ 
      by simp
  qed
  ultimately have  $\{w\} = \{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \leq 1\}$ 
    by auto
  thus ?thesis
    by simp
  qed
  thus  $\text{card } (\text{defer } (\text{pass-module } 1 \ r) \ V \ A \ p) = 1$ 
    by simp
  qed
qed

theorem pass-two-mod-def-two:
  fixes  $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ 
  assumes linear-order  $r$ 
  shows defers 2 (pass-module 2  $r$ )
proof (unfold defers-def, safe)
  show social-choice-result.electoral-module (pass-module 2  $r$ )
    using assms
    by simp
next
fix
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
assume
  min-card-two:  $2 \leq \text{card } A$  and
  fin-A: finite  $A$  and
  prof-A: profile  $V \ A \ p$ 
from min-card-two
have not-empty-A:  $A \neq \{\}$ 
  by auto
moreover have limit-A-order: linear-order-on  $A \ (\text{limit } A \ r)$ 
  using limit-presv-lin-ord assms
  by auto
ultimately obtain  $a$  where
  above (limit  $A \ r$ )  $a = \{a\}$ 
  using above-one min-card-two fin-A prof-A
  by blast
hence  $\forall b \in A. \text{let } q = \text{limit } A \ r \text{ in } (b \preceq_q a)$ 
  using limit-A-order pref-imp-in-above empty-iff lin-ord-imp-connex
    insert-iff insert-subset above-presv-limit assms
  unfolding connex-def
  by metis
hence a-best:  $\forall b \in A. (b, a) \in \text{limit } A \ r$ 
  by simp

```

hence $a\text{-above}$: $\forall b \in A. a \in \text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ b$
unfolding above-def
by simp
hence $a \in \{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \leq 2\}$
using $\text{CollectI not-empty-A empty-iff fin-A insert-iff limit-A-order}$
 $\text{above-one above-rank one-le-numeral}$
by $(\text{metis } (\text{no-types, lifting}))$
hence $a\text{-in-defer}$: $a \in \text{defer } (\text{pass-module } 2 \ r) \ V \ A \ p$
by simp
have $\text{finite } (A - \{a\})$
using fin-A
by simp
moreover have $A\text{-not-only-a}$: $A - \{a\} \neq \{\}$
using $\text{Diff-empty Diff-idemp Diff-insert0 not-empty-A insert-Diff finite.emptyI}$
 $\text{card.insert-remove card.empty min-card-two Suc-n-not-le-n numeral-2-eq-2}$
by metis
moreover have $\text{limit-A-without-a-order}$:
 $\text{linear-order-on } (A - \{a\}) \ (\text{limit } (A - \{a\}) \ r)$
using $\text{limit-presv-lin-ord assms top-greatest}$
by blast
ultimately obtain b **where**
 b : $\text{above } (\text{limit } (A - \{a\}) \ r) \ b = \{b\}$
using above-one
by metis
hence $\forall c \in A - \{a\}. \text{let } q = \text{limit } (A - \{a\}) \ r \text{ in } (c \preceq_q b)$
using $\text{limit-A-without-a-order pref-imp-in-above empty-iff lin-ord-imp-connex}$
 $\text{insert-iff insert-subset above-presv-limit assms}$
unfolding connex-def
by metis
hence $b\text{-in-limit}$: $\forall c \in A - \{a\}. (c, b) \in \text{limit } (A - \{a\}) \ r$
by simp
hence $b\text{-best}$: $\forall c \in A - \{a\}. (c, b) \in \text{limit } A \ r$
by auto
hence $\forall c \in A - \{a, b\}. c \notin \text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ b$
using $b \text{ Diff-iff Diff-insert2 above-presv-limit insert-subset}$
 $\text{assms limit-presv-above limit-rel-presv-above}$
by metis
moreover have above-subset : $\text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ b \subseteq A$
using $\text{above-presv-limit assms}$
by metis
moreover have $b\text{-above-b}$: $b \in \text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ b$
using $b \text{ b-best above-presv-limit mem-Collect-eq assms insert-subset}$
unfolding above-def
by metis
ultimately have above-b-eq-ab : $\text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ b = \{a, b\}$
using $a\text{-above}$
by auto
hence $\text{card-above-b-eq-two}$: $\text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ b = 2$
using $A\text{-not-only-a } b\text{-in-limit}$

```

    by auto
  hence b-in-defer:  $b \in \text{defer } (\text{pass-module } 2 \ r) \ V \ A \ p$ 
    using b-above-b above-subset
    by auto
  have b-above:  $\forall \ c \in A - \{a\}. \ b \in \text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ c$ 
    using b-best mem-Collect-eq
    unfolding above-def
    by metis
  have connex A (limit A r)
    using limit-A-order lin-ord-imp-connex
    by auto
  hence  $\forall \ c \in A. \ c \in \text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ c$ 
    by (simp add: above-connex)
  hence  $\forall \ c \in A - \{a, b\}. \ \{a, b, c\} \subseteq \text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ c$ 
    using a-above b-above
    by auto
  moreover have  $\forall \ c \in A - \{a, b\}. \ \text{card } \{a, b, c\} = 3$ 
    using DiffE Suc-1 above-b-eq-ab card-above-b-eq-two above-subset fin-A
      card-insert-disjoint finite-subset insert-commute numeral-3-eq-3
    unfolding One-nat-def rank.simps
    by metis
  ultimately have  $\forall \ c \in A - \{a, b\}. \ \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ c \geq 3$ 
    using card-mono fin-A finite-subset above-presv-limit assms
    unfolding rank.simps
    by metis
  hence  $\forall \ c \in A - \{a, b\}. \ \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ c > 2$ 
    using Suc-le-eq Suc-1 numeral-3-eq-3
    unfolding One-nat-def
    by metis
  hence  $\forall \ c \in A - \{a, b\}. \ c \notin \text{defer } (\text{pass-module } 2 \ r) \ V \ A \ p$ 
    by (simp add: not-le)
  moreover have  $\text{defer } (\text{pass-module } 2 \ r) \ V \ A \ p \subseteq A$ 
    by auto
  ultimately have  $\text{defer } (\text{pass-module } 2 \ r) \ V \ A \ p \subseteq \{a, b\}$ 
    by blast
  hence  $\text{defer } (\text{pass-module } 2 \ r) \ V \ A \ p = \{a, b\}$ 
    using a-in-defer b-in-defer
    by fastforce
  thus  $\text{card } (\text{defer } (\text{pass-module } 2 \ r) \ V \ A \ p) = 2$ 
    using above-b-eq-ab card-above-b-eq-two
    unfolding rank.simps
    by presburger
qed
end

```

4.19 Elect Module

```
theory Elect-Module
  imports Component-Types/Electoral-Module
begin
```

The elect module is not concerned about the voter's ballots, and just elects all alternatives. It is primarily used in sequence after an electoral module that only defers alternatives to finalize the decision, thereby inducing a proper voting rule in the social choice sense.

4.19.1 Definition

```
fun elect-module :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  elect-module V A p = (A, {}, {})
```

4.19.2 Soundness

```
theorem elect-mod-sound[simp]: social-choice-result.electoral-module elect-module
  unfolding social-choice-result.electoral-module-def
  by simp
```

4.19.3 Electing

```
theorem elect-mod-electing[simp]: electing elect-module
  unfolding electing-def
  by simp
```

end

4.20 Plurality Module

```
theory Plurality-Module
  imports Component-Types/Elimination-Module
begin
```

The plurality module implements the plurality voting rule. The plurality rule elects all modules with the maximum amount of top preferences among all alternatives, and rejects all the other alternatives. It is electing and induces the classical plurality (voting) rule from social-choice theory.

4.20.1 Definition

```
fun plurality-score :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function where
```

$plurality\text{-}score\ V\ x\ A\ p = win\text{-}count\ V\ p\ x$

fun $plurality :: ('a, 'v, 'a\ Result)\ Electoral\text{-}Module$ **where**
 $plurality\ V\ A\ p = max\text{-}eliminator\ plurality\text{-}score\ V\ A\ p$

fun $plurality' :: ('a, 'v, 'a\ Result)\ Electoral\text{-}Module$ **where**
 $plurality'\ V\ A\ p =$
 $(\{\},$
 $\{a \in A. \exists\ x \in A. win\text{-}count\ V\ p\ x > win\text{-}count\ V\ p\ a\},$
 $\{a \in A. \forall\ x \in A. win\text{-}count\ V\ p\ x \leq win\text{-}count\ V\ p\ a\})$

lemma $enat\text{-}leq\text{-}enat\text{-}set\text{-}max$:
fixes
 $x :: enat$ **and**
 $X :: enat\ set$
assumes
 $x \in X$ **and**
 $finite\ X$
shows $x \leq Max\ X$
by $(simp\ add:\ assms)$

lemma $plurality\text{-}mod\text{-}elim\text{-}equiv$:
fixes
 $A :: 'a\ set$ **and**
 $V :: 'v\ set$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v)\ Profile$
assumes
 $non\text{-}empty\text{-}A: A \neq \{\}$ **and**
 $fin\text{-}A: finite\ A$ **and**
 $prof: profile\ V\ A\ p$
shows $plurality\ V\ A\ p = plurality'\ V\ A\ p$
proof $(unfold\ plurality.simps\ plurality'.simps\ plurality\text{-}score.simps,\ standard)$
have $fst\ (max\text{-}eliminator\ (\lambda V\ x\ A\ p. win\text{-}count\ V\ p\ x)\ V\ A\ p) = \{\}$
by $simp$
also have $\dots = fst\ (\{\},$
 $\{a \in A. \exists\ b \in A. win\text{-}count\ V\ p\ a < win\text{-}count\ V\ p\ b\},$
 $\{a \in A. \forall\ b \in A. win\text{-}count\ V\ p\ b \leq win\text{-}count\ V\ p\ a\})$
by $simp$
finally show
 $fst\ (max\text{-}eliminator\ (\lambda V\ x\ A\ p. win\text{-}count\ V\ p\ x)\ V\ A\ p) =$
 $fst\ (\{\},$
 $\{a \in A. \exists\ b \in A. win\text{-}count\ V\ p\ a < win\text{-}count\ V\ p\ b\},$
 $\{a \in A. \forall\ b \in A. win\text{-}count\ V\ p\ b \leq win\text{-}count\ V\ p\ a\})$
by $simp$
next
let $?no\text{-}max = \{a \in A. win\text{-}count\ V\ p\ a < Max\ \{win\text{-}count\ V\ p\ x\ |\ x. x \in A\}\}$
 $= A$
have $?no\text{-}max \implies \{win\text{-}count\ V\ p\ x\ |\ x. x \in A\} \neq \{\}$
using $non\text{-}empty\text{-}A$


```

    by blast
  moreover have finite {win-count V p x | x. x ∈ A}
    using fin-A
    by simp
  ultimately have exists-max: ?no-max ⇒ False
    using Max-in
    by fastforce
  have rej-eq:
    snd (max-eliminator (λ V b A p. win-count V p b) V A p) =
      snd ({},
        {a ∈ A. ∃ x ∈ A. win-count V p a < win-count V p x},
        {a ∈ A. ∀ x ∈ A. win-count V p x ≤ win-count V p a})
  proof (simp del: win-count.simps, safe)
    fix
      a :: 'a and
      b :: 'a
    assume
      b ∈ A and
      win-count V p a < Max {win-count V p a' | a'. a' ∈ A} and
      ¬ win-count V p b < Max {win-count V p a' | a'. a' ∈ A}
    thus ∃ b ∈ A. win-count V p a < win-count V p b
      using dual-order.strict-trans1 not-le-imp-less
      by blast
  next
    fix
      a :: 'a and
      b :: 'a
    assume
      a-in-A: a ∈ A and
      b-in-A: b ∈ A and
      wc-a-lt-wc-b: win-count V p a < win-count V p b
    moreover have ∀ t. t b ≤ Max {n. ∃ a'. (n::enat) = t a' ∧ a' ∈ A}
    proof (safe)
      fix
        t :: 'a ⇒ enat
      have t b ∈ {t a' | a'. a' ∈ A}
        using b-in-A
        by auto
      thus t b ≤ Max {t a' | a'. a' ∈ A}
        using enat-leq-enat-set-max fin-A
        by auto
    qed
    ultimately show win-count V p a < Max {win-count V p a' | a'. a' ∈ A}
      using dual-order.strict-trans1
      by blast
  next
    fix
      a :: 'a and
      b :: 'a

```

```

assume
  a-in-A:  $a \in A$  and
  b-in-A:  $b \in A$  and
  wc-a-max:  $\neg \text{win-count } V p a < \text{Max } \{\text{win-count } V p x \mid x. x \in A\}$ 
have win-count  $V p b \in \{\text{win-count } V p x \mid x. x \in A\}$ 
  using b-in-A
  by auto
hence win-count  $V p b \leq \text{Max } \{\text{win-count } V p x \mid x. x \in A\}$ 
  using b-in-A fin-A enat-leq-enat-set-max
  by auto
thus win-count  $V p b \leq \text{win-count } V p a$ 
  using wc-a-max
  by (meson dual-order.strict-trans1 linorder-le-less-linear)
next
fix
  a :: 'a and
  b :: 'a
assume
  a-in-A:  $a \in A$  and
  b-in-A:  $b \in A$  and
  wc-a-max:  $\forall x \in A. \text{win-count } V p x \leq \text{win-count } V p a$  and
  wc-a-not-max:  $\text{win-count } V p a < \text{Max } \{\text{win-count } V p x \mid x. x \in A\}$ 
have win-count  $V p b \leq \text{win-count } V p a$ 
  using b-in-A wc-a-max
  by auto
thus win-count  $V p b < \text{Max } \{\text{win-count } V p x \mid x. x \in A\}$ 
  using wc-a-not-max
  by simp
next
assume ?no-max
thus False
  by (rule exists-max)
next
fix
  a :: 'a and
  b :: 'a
assume
  ?no-max
thus win-count  $V p a \leq \text{win-count } V p b$ 
  using exists-max
  by simp
qed
thus snd (max-eliminator ( $\lambda V b A p. \text{win-count } V p b$ )  $V A p$ ) =
  snd ( $\{\},$ 
     $\{a \in A. \exists b \in A. \text{win-count } V p a < \text{win-count } V p b\},$ 
     $\{a \in A. \forall b \in A. \text{win-count } V p b \leq \text{win-count } V p a\}$ )
  using rej-eq snd-conv
  by metis
qed

```

4.20.2 Soundness

theorem *plurality-sound[simp]: social-choice-result.electoral-module plurality*
unfolding *plurality.simps*
using *max-elim-sound*
by *metis*

theorem *plurality'-sound[simp]: social-choice-result.electoral-module plurality'*

proof (*unfold social-choice-result.electoral-module-def, safe*)

fix

$A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**

$p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$

have *disjoint3* (

$\{\},$

$\{a \in A. \exists a' \in A. \text{win-count } V p a < \text{win-count } V p a'\},$

$\{a \in A. \forall a' \in A. \text{win-count } V p a' \leq \text{win-count } V p a\})$

by *auto*

moreover have

$\{a \in A. \exists x \in A. \text{win-count } V p a < \text{win-count } V p x\} \cup$

$\{a \in A. \forall x \in A. \text{win-count } V p x \leq \text{win-count } V p a\} = A$

using *not-le-imp-less*

by *auto*

ultimately show *well-formed-soc-choice A (plurality' V A p)*

by *simp*

qed

4.20.3 Non-Blocking

The plurality module is non-blocking.

theorem *plurality-mod-non-blocking[simp]: non-blocking plurality*
unfolding *plurality.simps*
using *max-elim-non-blocking*
by *metis*

4.20.4 Non-Electing

The plurality module is non-electing.

theorem *plurality-non-electing[simp]: non-electing plurality*
using *max-elim-non-electing*
unfolding *plurality.simps non-electing-def*
by *metis*

theorem *plurality'-non-electing[simp]: non-electing plurality'*
by (*simp add: non-electing-def*)

4.20.5 Property

lemma *plurality-def-inv-mono-alts:*

fixes
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $q :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $a :: 'a$
assumes
 $\text{defer-}a: a \in \text{defer plurality } V \ A \ p$ **and**
 $\text{lift-}a: \text{lifted } V \ A \ p \ q \ a$
shows $\text{defer plurality } V \ A \ q = \text{defer plurality } V \ A \ p \vee \text{defer plurality } V \ A \ q = \{a\}$
proof –
have $\text{set-disj}: \forall \ b \ c. (b::'a) \notin \{c\} \vee b = c$
by *force*
have $\text{lifted-winner}: \forall \ b \in A. \forall \ i \in V. (\text{above } (p \ i) \ b = \{b\} \longrightarrow (\text{above } (q \ i) \ b = \{b\} \vee \text{above } (q \ i) \ a = \{a\}))$
using $\text{lift-}a \ \text{lifted-above-winner-alt}$
unfolding $\text{Profile.lifted-def}$
by *metis*
hence $\forall \ i \in V. (\text{above } (p \ i) \ a = \{a\} \longrightarrow \text{above } (q \ i) \ a = \{a\})$
using $\text{defer-}a \ \text{lift-}a$
unfolding $\text{Profile.lifted-def}$
by *metis*
hence $a\text{-win-subset}: \{i \in V. \text{above } (p \ i) \ a = \{a\}\} \subseteq \{i \in V. \text{above } (q \ i) \ a = \{a\}\}$
by *blast*
moreover have $\text{lifted-prof}: \text{profile } V \ A \ q$
using $\text{lift-}a$
unfolding $\text{Profile.lifted-def}$
by *metis*
ultimately have $\text{win-count-}a: \text{win-count } V \ p \ a \leq \text{win-count } V \ q \ a$
by *(simp add: card-mono)*
have $\text{fin-}A: \text{finite } A$
using $\text{lift-}a$
unfolding $\text{Profile.lifted-def}$
by *blast*
hence
 $\forall \ b \in A - \{a\}. \forall \ i \in V. (\text{above } (q \ i) \ a = \{a\} \longrightarrow \text{above } (q \ i) \ b \neq \{b\})$
using $\text{DiffE above-one lift-}a \ \text{insertCI insert-absorb insert-not-empty}$
unfolding $\text{Profile.lifted-def profile-def}$
by *metis*
with lifted-winner
have $\text{above-}Q\text{to}P:$
 $\forall \ b \in A - \{a\}. \forall \ i \in V. (\text{above } (q \ i) \ b = \{b\} \longrightarrow \text{above } (p \ i) \ b = \{b\})$
using $\text{lifted-above-winner-other lift-}a$

unfolding *Profile.lifted-def*
by *metis*
hence $\forall b \in A - \{a\}.$
 $\{i \in V. \text{above } (q \ i) \ b = \{b\}\} \subseteq \{i \in V. \text{above } (p \ i) \ b = \{b\}\}$
by (*simp add: Collect-mono*)
hence *win-count-other*: $\forall b \in A - \{a\}. \text{win-count } V \ p \ b \geq \text{win-count } V \ q \ b$
by (*simp add: card-mono*)
show *defer plurality* $V \ A \ q = \text{defer plurality } V \ A \ p \vee \text{defer plurality } V \ A \ q = \{a\}$
proof (*cases*)
assume *win-count* $V \ p \ a = \text{win-count } V \ q \ a$
hence *card* $\{i \in V. \text{above } (p \ i) \ a = \{a\}\} = \text{card } \{i \in V. \text{above } (q \ i) \ a = \{a\}\}$
using *win-count.simps Profile.lifted-def enat.inject lift-a*
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting)*)
moreover have *finite* $\{i \in V. \text{above } (q \ i) \ a = \{a\}\}$
by (*metis (mono-tags) Collect-mem-eq Profile.lifted-def finite-Collect-conjI lift-a*)
ultimately have
 $\{i \in V. \text{above } (p \ i) \ a = \{a\}\} = \{i \in V. \text{above } (q \ i) \ a = \{a\}\}$
using *a-win-subset*
by (*simp add: card-subset-eq*)
hence *above-pq*:
 $\forall i \in V. (\text{above } (p \ i) \ a = \{a\}) = (\text{above } (q \ i) \ a = \{a\})$
by *blast*
moreover have
 $\forall b \in A - \{a\}.$
 $\forall i \in V.$
 $(\text{above } (p \ i) \ b = \{b\}) \longrightarrow (\text{above } (q \ i) \ b = \{b\} \vee \text{above } (q \ i) \ a = \{a\})$
using *lifted-winner*
by *auto*
moreover have
 $\forall b \in A - \{a\}.$
 $\forall i \in V. (\text{above } (p \ i) \ b = \{b\}) \longrightarrow \text{above } (p \ i) \ a \neq \{a\}$
proof (*rule ccontr, simp, safe, simp*)
fix
 $b :: 'a$ **and**
 $i :: 'v$
assume
 $b\text{-in-}A: b \in A$ **and**
 $i\text{-is-voter}: i \in V$ **and**
 $abv\text{-}b: \text{above } (p \ i) \ b = \{b\}$ **and**
 $abv\text{-}a: \text{above } (p \ i) \ a = \{a\}$
moreover from $b\text{-in-}A$
have $A \neq \{\}$
by *auto*
moreover from $i\text{-is-voter}$
have *linear-order-on* $A \ (p \ i)$
using *lift-a*
unfolding *Profile.lifted-def profile-def*

```

    by simp
  ultimately show  $b = a$ 
    using fin-A above-one-eq
    by metis
qed
ultimately have above-PtoQ:
   $\forall b \in A - \{a\}. \forall i \in V. (\text{above } (p \ i) \ b = \{b\} \longrightarrow \text{above } (q \ i) \ b = \{b\})$ 
  by simp
hence  $\forall b \in A.$ 
   $\text{card } \{i \in V. \text{above } (p \ i) \ b = \{b\}\} =$ 
   $\text{card } \{i \in V. \text{above } (q \ i) \ b = \{b\}\}$ 
proof (safe)
  fix  $b :: 'a$ 
  assume
    above-c:
       $\forall c \in A - \{a\}. \forall i \in V. \text{above } (p \ i) \ c = \{c\} \longrightarrow \text{above } (q \ i) \ c = \{c\}$  and
    b-in-A:  $b \in A$ 
  show  $\text{card } \{i \in V. \text{above } (p \ i) \ b = \{b\}\} =$ 
     $\text{card } \{i \in V. \text{above } (q \ i) \ b = \{b\}\}$ 
    using DiffI b-in-A set-disj above-PtoQ above-QtoP above-pq
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
qed
hence  $\{b \in A. \forall c \in A. \text{win-count } V \ p \ c \leq \text{win-count } V \ p \ b\} =$ 
   $\{b \in A. \forall c \in A. \text{win-count } V \ q \ c \leq \text{win-count } V \ q \ b\}$ 
  by auto
hence  $\text{defer plurality}' \ V \ A \ q = \text{defer plurality}' \ V \ A \ p \vee \text{defer plurality}' \ V \ A \ q$ 
 $= \{a\}$ 
  by simp
hence  $\text{defer plurality } V \ A \ q = \text{defer plurality } V \ A \ p \vee \text{defer plurality } V \ A \ q =$ 
 $\{a\}$ 
  using plurality-mod-elim-equiv empty-not-insert insert-absorb lift-a
  unfolding Profile.lifted-def
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting))
thus ?thesis
  by simp
next
  assume  $\text{win-count } V \ p \ a \neq \text{win-count } V \ q \ a$ 
  hence strict-less:  $\text{win-count } V \ p \ a < \text{win-count } V \ q \ a$ 
    using win-count-a
    by simp
  have  $a \in \text{defer plurality } V \ A \ p$ 
    using defer-a plurality.elims
    by (metis (no-types))
  moreover have non-empty-A:  $A \neq \{\}$ 
    using lift-a equals0D equiv-prof-except-a-def lifted-imp-equiv-prof-except-a
    by metis
  moreover have fin-A: finite-profile  $V \ A \ p$ 
    using lift-a
    unfolding Profile.lifted-def

```

by *simp*
 ultimately have $a \in \text{defer plurality}' V A p$
 using *plurality-mod-elim-equiv*
 by *metis*
 hence $a \text{-in-win-}p: a \in \{b \in A. \forall c \in A. \text{win-count } V p c \leq \text{win-count } V p b\}$
 by *simp*
 hence $\forall b \in A. \text{win-count } V p b \leq \text{win-count } V p a$
 by *simp*
 hence *less*: $\forall b \in A - \{a\}. \text{win-count } V q b < \text{win-count } V q a$
 using *DiffD1 antisym dual-order.trans not-le-imp-less win-count-a strict-less win-count-other*
 by *metis*
 hence $\forall b \in A - \{a\}. \neg (\forall c \in A. \text{win-count } V q c \leq \text{win-count } V q b)$
 using *lift-a not-le*
 unfolding *Profile.lifted-def*
 by *metis*
 hence $\forall b \in A - \{a\}. b \notin \{c \in A. \forall b \in A. \text{win-count } V q b \leq \text{win-count } V q c\}$
 by *blast*
 hence $\forall b \in A - \{a\}. b \notin \text{defer plurality}' V A q$
 by *simp*
 hence $\forall b \in A - \{a\}. b \notin \text{defer plurality } V A q$
 using *lift-a non-empty-A plurality-mod-elim-equiv*
 unfolding *Profile.lifted-def*
 by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
 hence $\forall b \in A - \{a\}. b \notin \text{defer plurality } V A q$
 by *simp*
 moreover have $a \in \text{defer plurality } V A q$
 proof –
 have $\forall b \in A - \{a\}. \text{win-count } V q b \leq \text{win-count } V q a$
 using *less less-imp-le*
 by *metis*
 moreover have $\text{win-count } V q a \leq \text{win-count } V q a$
 by *simp*
 ultimately have $\forall b \in A. \text{win-count } V q b \leq \text{win-count } V q a$
 by *auto*
 moreover have $a \in A$
 using *a-in-win-p*
 by *simp*
 ultimately have $a \in \{b \in A. \forall c \in A. \text{win-count } V q c \leq \text{win-count } V q b\}$
 by *simp*
 hence $a \in \text{defer plurality}' V A q$
 by *simp*
 hence $a \in \text{defer plurality } V A q$
 using *plurality-mod-elim-equiv non-empty-A fin-A lift-a non-empty-A*
 unfolding *Profile.lifted-def*
 by (*metis (no-types)*)
 thus ?thesis
 by *simp*

```

    qed
  moreover have defer plurality  $V A q \subseteq A$ 
    by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by blast
  qed
qed

```

The plurality rule is invariant-monotone.

```

theorem plurality-mod-def-inv-mono[simp]: defer-invariant-monotonicity plurality
proof (unfold defer-invariant-monotonicity-def, intro conjI impI allI)
  show social-choice-result.electoral-module plurality
    by simp
  next
    show non-electing plurality
      by simp
  next
    fix
       $A :: 'b \text{ set}$  and
       $V :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
       $p :: ('b, 'a) \text{ Profile}$  and
       $q :: ('b, 'a) \text{ Profile}$  and
       $a :: 'b$ 
    assume  $a \in \text{defer plurality } V A p \wedge \text{Profile.lifted } V A p q a$ 
    hence  $\text{defer plurality } V A q = \text{defer plurality } V A p \vee \text{defer plurality } V A q = \{a\}$ 
      by (meson plurality-def-inv-mono-alts)
    thus  $\text{defer plurality } V A q = \text{defer plurality } V A p \vee \text{defer plurality } V A q = \{a\}$ 
      by auto
  qed
end

```

4.21 Borda Module

```

theory Borda-Module
  imports Component-Types/Elimination-Module
begin

```

This is the Borda module used by the Borda rule. The Borda rule is a voting rule, where on each ballot, each alternative is assigned a score that depends on how many alternatives are ranked below. The sum of all such scores for an alternative is hence called their Borda score. The alternative with the highest Borda score is elected. The module implemented herein only rejects the alternatives not elected by the voting rule, and defers the alternatives

that would be elected by the full voting rule.

4.21.1 Definition

fun *borda-score* :: ('a, 'v) *Evaluation-Function* **where**
borda-score *V x A p* = ($\sum y \in A. (\text{prefer-count } V p x y)$)

fun *borda* :: ('a, 'v, 'a *Result*) *Electoral-Module* **where**
borda *V A p* = *max-eliminator borda-score V A p*

4.21.2 Soundness

theorem *borda-sound: social-choice-result.electoral-module borda*
unfolding *borda.simps*
using *max-elim-sound*
by *metis*

4.21.3 Non-Blocking

The Borda module is non-blocking.

theorem *borda-mod-non-blocking[simp]: non-blocking borda*
unfolding *borda.simps*
using *max-elim-non-blocking*
by *metis*

4.21.4 Non-Electing

The Borda module is non-electing.

theorem *borda-mod-non-electing[simp]: non-electing borda*
using *max-elim-non-electing*
unfolding *borda.simps non-electing-def*
by *metis*

end

4.22 Condorcet Module

theory *Condorcet-Module*
imports *Component-Types/Elimination-Module*
begin

This is the Condorcet module used by the Condorcet (voting) rule. The Condorcet rule is a voting rule that implements the Condorcet criterion, i.e., it elects the Condorcet winner if it exists, otherwise a tie remains between all

alternatives. The module implemented herein only rejects the alternatives not elected by the voting rule, and defers the alternatives that would be elected by the full voting rule.

4.22.1 Definition

```
fun condorcet-score :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function where
  condorcet-score V x A p =
    (if (condorcet-winner V A p x) then 1 else 0)
```

```
fun condorcet :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  condorcet V A p = (max-eliminator condorcet-score) V A p
```

4.22.2 Soundness

```
theorem condorcet-sound: social-choice-result.electoral-module condorcet
unfolding condorcet.simps
using max-elim-sound
by metis
```

4.22.3 Property

```
theorem condorcet-score-is-condorcet-rating: condorcet-rating condorcet-score
proof (unfold condorcet-rating-def, safe)
fix
```

```
  A :: 'b set and
  V :: 'a set and
  p :: ('b, 'a) Profile and
  w :: 'b and
  l :: 'b
```

```
assume
```

```
  c-win: condorcet-winner V A p w and
  l-neq-w: l ≠ w
```

```
have ¬ condorcet-winner V A p l
```

```
  using cond-winner-unique-eq c-win l-neq-w
  by metis
```

```
thus condorcet-score V l A p < condorcet-score V w A p
```

```
  using c-win zero-less-one
  unfolding condorcet-score.simps
  by (metis (full-types))
```

```
qed
```

```
theorem condorcet-is-dcc: defer-condorcet-consistency condorcet
```

```
proof (unfold defer-condorcet-consistency-def social-choice-result.electoral-module-def,
safe)
```

```
fix
```

```
  A :: 'b set and
  V :: 'a set and
```

```

    p :: ('b, 'a) Profile
  assume
    profile V A p
  hence well-formed-soc-choice A (max-eliminator condorcet-score V A p)
    using max-elim-sound
    unfolding social-choice-result.electoral-module-def
    by metis
  thus well-formed-soc-choice A (condorcet V A p)
    by simp
next
fix
  A :: 'b set and
  V :: 'a set and
  p :: ('b, 'a) Profile and
  a :: 'b
assume
  c-win-w: condorcet-winner V A p a
let ?m = (max-eliminator condorcet-score)::('b, 'a, 'b Result) Electoral-Module)
have defer-condorcet-consistency ?m
  using cr-eval-imp-dcc-max-elim
  by (simp add: condorcet-score-is-condorcet-rating)
hence ?m V A p =
  ({}, A - defer ?m V A p, {b ∈ A. condorcet-winner V A p b})
  using c-win-w
  unfolding defer-condorcet-consistency-def
  by (metis (no-types))
thus condorcet V A p =
  ({},
   A - defer condorcet V A p,
   {d ∈ A. condorcet-winner V A p d})
  by simp
qed
end

```

4.23 Copeland Module

```

theory Copeland-Module
  imports Component-Types/Elimination-Module
begin

```

This is the Copeland module used by the Copeland voting rule. The Copeland rule elects the alternatives with the highest difference between the amount of simple-majority wins and the amount of simple-majority losses. The module implemented herein only rejects the alternatives not elected by the voting rule, and defers the alternatives that would be elected by the full voting

rule.

4.23.1 Definition

fun *copeland-score* :: ('a, 'v) *Evaluation-Function* **where**
copeland-score *V x A p* =
 $\text{card } \{y \in A . \text{wins } V x p y\} - \text{card } \{y \in A . \text{wins } V y p x\}$

fun *copeland* :: ('a, 'v, 'a *Result*) *Electoral-Module* **where**
copeland *V A p* = *max-eliminator copeland-score V A p*

4.23.2 Soundness

theorem *copeland-sound: social-choice-result.electoral-module copeland*
unfolding *copeland.simps*
using *max-elim-sound*
by *metis*

4.23.3 Only participating voters impact the result

lemma *copeland-score-only-voters-count: only-voters-count copeland-score*

proof (*unfold copeland-score.simps only-voters-count-def, safe*)

fix

A :: 'b *set* **and**

V :: 'a *set* **and**

p :: ('b, 'a) *Profile* **and**

p' :: ('b, 'a) *Profile* **and**

a :: 'b

assume

$\forall v \in V. p v = p' v$ **and**

a ∈ *A*

hence $\forall x y. \{v \in V. (x, y) \in p v\} = \{v \in V. (x, y) \in p' v\}$

by *blast*

hence $\forall x y. \text{card } \{y \in A. \text{wins } V x p y\} = \text{card } \{y \in A. \text{wins } V x p' y\} \wedge$
 $\text{card } \{x \in A. \text{wins } V x p y\} = \text{card } \{x \in A. \text{wins } V x p' y\}$

by *simp*

thus $\text{card } \{y \in A. \text{wins } V a p y\} - \text{card } \{y \in A. \text{wins } V y p a\} =$
 $\text{card } \{y \in A. \text{wins } V a p' y\} - \text{card } \{y \in A. \text{wins } V y p' a\}$

by *presburger*

qed

theorem *copeland-only-voters-vote: only-voters-vote copeland*

unfolding *copeland.simps*

using *max-elim-only-voters only-voters-vote-def*

copeland-score-only-voters-count

by *blast*

4.23.4 Lemmas

For a Condorcet winner w , we have: " $\{card\ y \in A .\ wins\ x\ p\ y\} = |A| - 1$ ".

lemma *cond-winner-imp-win-count*:

```

fixes
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  w :: 'a
assumes condorcet-winner V A p w
shows card {a ∈ A. wins V w p a} = card A - 1
proof -
have ∀ a ∈ A - {w}. wins V w p a
  using assms
  by auto
hence {a ∈ A - {w}. wins V w p a} = A - {w}
  by blast
hence winner-wins-against-all-others:
  card {a ∈ A - {w}. wins V w p a} = card (A - {w})
  by simp
have w ∈ A
  using assms
  by simp
hence card (A - {w}) = card A - 1
  using card-Diff-singleton assms
  by metis
hence winner-amount-one: card {a ∈ A - {w}. wins V w p a} = card (A) - 1
  using winner-wins-against-all-others
  by linarith
have win-for-winner-not-reflexive: ∀ a ∈ {w}. ¬ wins V a p a
  by (simp add: wins-irreflex)
hence {a ∈ {w}. wins V w p a} = {}
  by blast
hence winner-amount-zero: card {a ∈ {w}. wins V w p a} = 0
  by simp
have union:
  {a ∈ A - {w}. wins V w p a} ∪ {x ∈ {w}. wins V w p x} = {a ∈ A. wins V
w p a}
  using win-for-winner-not-reflexive
  by blast
have finite-defeated: finite {a ∈ A - {w}. wins V w p a}
  using assms
  by simp
have finite {a ∈ {w}. wins V w p a}
  by simp
hence card ({a ∈ A - {w}. wins V w p a} ∪ {a ∈ {w}. wins V w p a}) =
  card {a ∈ A - {w}. wins V w p a} + card {a ∈ {w}. wins V w p a}
  using finite-defeated card-Un-disjoint
  by blast

```

hence $\text{card } \{a \in A. \text{ wins } V w p a\} =$
 $\text{card } \{a \in A - \{w\}. \text{ wins } V w p a\} + \text{card } \{a \in \{w\}. \text{ wins } V w p a\}$
using *union*
by *simp*
thus *?thesis*
using *winner-amount-one winner-amount-zero*
by *linarith*
qed

For a Condorcet winner w , we have: " $\text{card } \{y \in A . \text{ wins } y p x = 0\}$ ".

lemma *cond-winner-imp-loss-count:*
fixes
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $w :: 'a$
assumes *condorcet-winner V A p w*
shows $\text{card } \{a \in A. \text{ wins } V a p w\} = 0$
using *Collect-empty-eq card-eq-0-iff insert-Diff insert-iff wins-antisym assms*
unfolding *condorcet-winner.simps*
by *(metis (no-types, lifting))*

Copeland score of a Condorcet winner.

lemma *cond-winner-imp-copeland-score:*
fixes
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $w :: 'a$
assumes *condorcet-winner V A p w*
shows $\text{copeland-score } V w A p = \text{card } A - 1$
proof *(unfold copeland-score.simps)*
have $\text{card } \{a \in A. \text{ wins } V w p a\} = \text{card } A - 1$
using *cond-winner-imp-win-count assms*
by *metis*
moreover have $\text{card } \{a \in A. \text{ wins } V a p w\} = 0$
using *cond-winner-imp-loss-count assms*
by *(metis (no-types))*
ultimately show
 $\text{enat } (\text{card } \{a \in A. \text{ wins } V w p a\} - \text{card } \{a \in A. \text{ wins } V a p w\}) = \text{enat } (\text{card } A - 1)$
by *simp*
qed

For a non-Condorcet winner l , we have: " $\text{card } \{y \in A . \text{ wins } x p y\} = |A| - 2$ ".

lemma *non-cond-winner-imp-win-count:*
fixes
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

```

  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  w :: 'a and
  l :: 'a
assumes
  winner: condorcet-winner V A p w and
  loser: l ≠ w and
  l-in-A: l ∈ A
shows card {a ∈ A . wins V l p a} ≤ card A - 2
proof -
  have wins V w p l
    using assms
    by auto
  hence ¬ wins V l p w
    using wins-antisym
    by simp
  moreover have ¬ wins V l p l
    using wins-irreflex
    by simp
  ultimately have wins-of-loser-eq-without-winner:
    {y ∈ A . wins V l p y} = {y ∈ A - {l, w} . wins V l p y}
    by blast
  have ∀ M f. finite M ⟶ card {x ∈ M . f x} ≤ card M
    by (simp add: card-mono)
  moreover have finite (A - {l, w})
    using finite-Diff winner
    by simp
  ultimately have card {y ∈ A - {l, w} . wins V l p y} ≤ card (A - {l, w})
    using winner
    by (metis (full-types))
  thus ?thesis
    using assms wins-of-loser-eq-without-winner
    by (simp add: card-Diff-subset)
qed

```

4.23.5 Property

The Copeland score is Condorcet rating.

theorem *copeland-score-is-cr: condorcet-rating copeland-score*

proof (*unfold condorcet-rating-def, unfold copeland-score.simps, safe*)

fix

```

  A :: 'b set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('b, 'v) Profile and
  w :: 'b and
  l :: 'b

```

assume

```

  winner: condorcet-winner V A p w and
  l-in-A: l ∈ A and

```

$l \text{ neq } w: l \neq w$
hence $\text{card } \{y \in A. \text{ wins } V \ l \ p \ y\} \leq \text{card } A - 2$
using *non-cond-winner-imp-win-count*
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*))
hence $\text{card } \{y \in A. \text{ wins } V \ l \ p \ y\} - \text{card } \{y \in A. \text{ wins } V \ y \ p \ l\} \leq \text{card } A - 2$
using *diff-le-self order.trans*
by *simp*
moreover have $\text{card } A - 2 < \text{card } A - 1$
using *card-0-eq diff-less-mono2 empty-iff l-in-A l-neq-w neq0-conv less-one*
Suc-1 zero-less-diff add-diff-cancel-left' diff-is-0-eq Suc-eq-plus1
card-1-singleton-iff order-less-le singletonD le-zero-eq winner
unfolding *condorcet-winner.simps*
by *metis*
ultimately have
 $\text{card } \{y \in A. \text{ wins } V \ l \ p \ y\} - \text{card } \{y \in A. \text{ wins } V \ y \ p \ l\} < \text{card } A - 1$
using *order-le-less-trans*
by *fastforce*
moreover have $\text{card } \{a \in A. \text{ wins } V \ a \ p \ w\} = 0$
using *cond-winner-imp-loss-count winner*
by *metis*
moreover have $\text{card } A - 1 = \text{card } \{a \in A. \text{ wins } V \ w \ p \ a\}$
using *cond-winner-imp-win-count winner*
by (*metis* (*full-types*))
ultimately show
 $\text{enat } (\text{card } \{y \in A. \text{ wins } V \ l \ p \ y\} - \text{card } \{y \in A. \text{ wins } V \ y \ p \ l\}) <$
 $\text{enat } (\text{card } \{y \in A. \text{ wins } V \ w \ p \ y\} - \text{card } \{y \in A. \text{ wins } V \ y \ p \ w\})$
using *enat-ord-simps*
by *simp*
qed

theorem *copeland-is-dcc: defer-condorcet-consistency copeland*
proof (*unfold defer-condorcet-consistency-def social-choice-result.electoral-module-def*,
safe)
fix
 $A :: 'b \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('b, 'a) \text{ Profile}$
assume *profile V A p*
hence
 $\text{well-formed-soc-choice } A \ (\text{max-eliminator copeland-score } V \ A \ p)$
using *max-elim-sound*
unfolding *social-choice-result.electoral-module-def*
by *metis*
thus $\text{well-formed-soc-choice } A \ (\text{copeland } V \ A \ p)$
by *auto*
next
fix
 $A :: 'b \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**


```

  p :: ('b, 'v) Profile and
  w :: 'b
assume
  condorcet-winner V A p w
moreover have defer-condorcet-consistency (max-eliminator copeland-score)
  by (simp add: copeland-score-is-cr)
ultimately have max-eliminator copeland-score V A p =
  ({}, A - defer (max-eliminator copeland-score) V A p, {d ∈ A. condorcet-winner
V A p d})
  unfolding defer-condorcet-consistency-def
  by (metis (no-types))
moreover have copeland V A p = max-eliminator copeland-score V A p
  by simp
ultimately show
  copeland V A p = ({}, A - defer copeland V A p, {d ∈ A. condorcet-winner V
A p d})
  by metis
qed
end

```

4.24 Minimax Module

```

theory Minimax-Module
  imports Component-Types/Elimination-Module
begin

```

This is the Minimax module used by the Minimax voting rule. The Minimax rule elects the alternatives with the highest Minimax score. The module implemented herein only rejects the alternatives not elected by the voting rule, and defers the alternatives that would be elected by the full voting rule.

4.24.1 Definition

```

fun minimax-score :: ('a, 'v) Evaluation-Function where
  minimax-score V x A p =
    Min {prefer-count V p x y | y . y ∈ A - {x}}

```

```

fun minimax :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  minimax A p = max-eliminator minimax-score A p

```

4.24.2 Soundness

```

theorem minimax-sound: social-choice-result.electoral-module minimax

```

unfolding *minimax.simps*
using *max-elim-sound*
by *metis*

4.24.3 Lemma

lemma *non-cond-winner-minimax-score*:

fixes

$A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $w :: 'a$ **and**
 $l :: 'a$

assumes

$\text{prof}: \text{profile } V \ A \ p$ **and**
 $\text{winner}: \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ p \ w$ **and**
 $l\text{-in-}A: l \in A$ **and**
 $l\text{-neg-}w: l \neq w$

shows $\text{minimax-score } V \ l \ A \ p \leq \text{prefer-count } V \ p \ l \ w$

proof (*simp, clarify*)

assume *finite V*

have $w \in A$

using *winner*

by *simp*

hence $el: \text{card } \{v \in V. (w, l) \in p \ v\} \in \{(\text{card } \{v \in V. (y, l) \in p \ v\}) \mid y. y \in A \wedge y \neq l\}$

using *l-neg-w*

by *auto*

moreover have $fin: \text{finite } \{(\text{card } \{v \in V. (y, l) \in p \ v\}) \mid y. y \in A \wedge y \neq l\}$

proof –

have $\forall y \in A. \text{card } \{v \in V. (y, l) \in p \ v\} \leq \text{card } V$

by (*simp add: <finite V> card-mono*)

hence $\forall y \in A. \text{card } \{v \in V. (y, l) \in p \ v\} \in \{.. \text{card } V\}$

by (*simp add: less-Suc-eq-le*)

hence $\{(\text{card } \{v \in V. (y, l) \in p \ v\}) \mid y. y \in A \wedge y \neq l\} \subseteq \{0.. \text{card } V\}$

by *auto*

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: finite-subset*)

qed

ultimately have $\text{Min } \{(\text{card } \{v \in V. (y, l) \in p \ v\}) \mid y. y \in A \wedge y \neq l\} \leq \text{card } \{v \in V. (w, l) \in p \ v\}$

using *Min-le*

by *blast*

hence $\text{enat-leq}: \text{enat } (\text{Min } \{(\text{card } \{v \in V. (y, l) \in p \ v\}) \mid y. y \in A \wedge y \neq l\}) \leq \text{enat } (\text{card } \{v \in V. (w, l) \in p \ v\})$

using *enat-ord-simps*

by *simp*

have $\forall S::(\text{nat set}). \text{finite } S \longrightarrow (\forall m. (\forall x \in S. m \leq x) \longrightarrow (\forall x \in S. \text{enat } m \leq \text{enat } x))$

```

using enat-ord-simps
by simp
hence  $\forall S::(\text{nat set}). \text{finite } S \wedge S \neq \{\} \longrightarrow (\forall x. x \in S \longrightarrow \text{enat } (\text{Min } S) \leq$ 
 $\text{enat } x)$ 
by simp
hence  $\forall S::(\text{nat set}). \text{finite } S \wedge S \neq \{\} \longrightarrow$ 
 $(\forall x. x \in \{\text{enat } x \mid x. x \in S\} \longrightarrow \text{enat } (\text{Min } S) \leq x)$ 
by auto
moreover have  $\forall S::(\text{nat set}). \text{finite } S \wedge S \neq \{\} \longrightarrow \text{enat } (\text{Min } S) \in \{\text{enat } x \mid$ 
 $x. x \in S\}$ 
by simp
moreover have  $\forall S::(\text{nat set}). \text{finite } S \wedge S \neq \{\} \longrightarrow \text{finite } \{\text{enat } x \mid x. x \in S\}$ 
 $\wedge \{\text{enat } x \mid x. x \in S\} \neq \{\}$ 
by simp
ultimately have  $\forall S::(\text{nat set}). \text{finite } S \wedge S \neq \{\} \longrightarrow$ 
 $\text{enat } (\text{Min } S) = \text{Min } \{\text{enat } x \mid x. x \in S\}$ 
using Min-eqI
by (metis (no-types, lifting))
moreover have  $\{(\text{card } \{v \in V. (y, l) \in p \ v\}) \mid y. y \in A \wedge y \neq l\} \neq \{\}$ 
using el
by auto
moreover have  $\{\text{enat } x \mid x. x \in \{(\text{card } \{v \in V. (y, l) \in p \ v\}) \mid y. y \in A \wedge y$ 
 $\neq l\}\}$ 
 $= \{\text{enat } (\text{card } \{v \in V. (y, l) \in p \ v\}) \mid y. y \in A \wedge y \neq l\}$ 
by auto
ultimately have  $\text{enat } (\text{Min } \{(\text{card } \{v \in V. (y, l) \in p \ v\}) \mid y. y \in A \wedge y \neq l\})$ 
 $=$ 
 $\text{Min } \{\text{enat } (\text{card } \{v \in V. (y, l) \in p \ v\}) \mid y. y \in A \wedge y \neq l\}$ 
using fn
by presburger
thus  $\text{Min } \{\text{enat } (\text{card } \{v \in V. (y, l) \in p \ v\}) \mid y. y \in A \wedge y \neq l\}$ 
 $\leq \text{enat } (\text{card } \{v \in V. (w, l) \in p \ v\})$ 
using enat-leq
by simp
qed

```

4.24.4 Property

theorem *minimax-score-cond-rating: condorcet-rating minimax-score*

proof (*unfold condorcet-rating-def minimax-score.simps prefer-count.simps,*
safe, rule ccontr)

fix

$A :: 'b \text{ set}$ **and**

$V :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$p :: ('b, 'a) \text{ Profile}$ **and**

$w :: 'b$ **and**

$l :: 'b$

assume

winner: condorcet-winner V A p w **and**

$l\text{-in-}A: l \in A$ **and**
 $l\text{-neq-}w: l \neq w$ **and**
 min-leq:
 $\neg \text{Min } \{ \text{if finite } V \text{ then enat } (\text{card } \{v \in V. \text{ let } r = p \ v \text{ in } y \preceq_r l\}) \text{ else } \infty \mid y. y \in A - \{l\}\}$
 $< \text{Min } \{ \text{if finite } V \text{ then enat } (\text{card } \{v \in V. \text{ let } r = p \ v \text{ in } y \preceq_r w\}) \text{ else } \infty \mid y. y \in A - \{w\}\}$
hence min-count-ineq:
 $\text{Min } \{ \text{prefer-count } V \ p \ l \ y \mid y. y \in A - \{l\}\} \geq$
 $\text{Min } \{ \text{prefer-count } V \ p \ w \ y \mid y. y \in A - \{w\}\}$
by simp
have $\text{pref-count-gte-min:}$
 $\text{prefer-count } V \ p \ l \ w \geq \text{Min } \{ \text{prefer-count } V \ p \ l \ y \mid y. y \in A - \{l\}\}$
using $l\text{-in-}A \ l\text{-neq-}w \text{ condorcet-winner.simps winner non-cond-winner-minimax-score}$
 $\text{minimax-score.simps}$
by metis
have $l\text{-in-}A\text{-without-}w: l \in A - \{w\}$
using $l\text{-in-}A$
by $(\text{simp add: } l\text{-neq-}w)$
hence $\text{pref-counts-non-empty: } \{ \text{prefer-count } V \ p \ w \ y \mid y. y \in A - \{w\}\} \neq \{\}$
by blast
have $\text{finite } (A - \{w\})$
using $\text{condorcet-winner.simps winner finite-Diff}$
by metis
hence $\text{finite } \{ \text{prefer-count } V \ p \ w \ y \mid y. y \in A - \{w\}\}$
by simp
hence $\exists n \in A - \{w\}. \text{prefer-count } V \ p \ w \ n =$
 $\text{Min } \{ \text{prefer-count } V \ p \ w \ y \mid y. y \in A - \{w\}\}$
using $\text{pref-counts-non-empty Min-in}$
by fastforce
then obtain n **where** $\text{pref-count-eq-min:}$
 $\text{prefer-count } V \ p \ w \ n =$
 $\text{Min } \{ \text{prefer-count } V \ p \ w \ y \mid y. y \in A - \{w\}\}$ **and**
 $n\text{-not-}w: n \in A - \{w\}$
by metis
hence $n\text{-in-}A: n \in A$
using DiffE
by metis
have $n\text{-neq-}w: n \neq w$
using $n\text{-not-}w$
by simp
have $w\text{-in-}A: w \in A$
using winner
by simp
have $\text{pref-count-n-w-ineq: } \text{prefer-count } V \ p \ w \ n > \text{prefer-count } V \ p \ n \ w$
using $n\text{-not-}w \text{ winner}$
by auto
have $\text{pref-count-l-w-n-ineq: } \text{prefer-count } V \ p \ l \ w \geq \text{prefer-count } V \ p \ w \ n$
using $\text{pref-count-gte-min min-count-ineq pref-count-eq-min}$

```

    by auto
  hence prefer-count V p n w ≥ prefer-count V p w l
    using n-in-A w-in-A l-in-A n-neq-w l-neq-w pref-count-sym winner
    unfolding condorcet-winner.simps
    by metis
  hence prefer-count V p l w > prefer-count V p w l
    using n-in-A w-in-A l-in-A n-neq-w l-neq-w pref-count-sym winner
    pref-count-n-w-ineq pref-count-l-w-n-ineq
    unfolding condorcet-winner.simps
    by auto
  hence wins V l p w
    by simp
  thus False
    using l-in-A-without-w wins-antisym winner
    unfolding condorcet-winner.simps
    by metis
qed

theorem minimax-is-dcc: defer-condorcet-consistency minimax
proof (unfold defer-condorcet-consistency-def social-choice-result.electoral-module-def,
safe)
  fix
    A :: 'b set and
    V :: 'a set and
    p :: ('b, 'a) Profile
  assume profile V A p
  hence well-formed-soc-choice A (max-eliminator minimax-score V A p)
    using max-elim-sound par-comp-result-sound
    by metis
  thus well-formed-soc-choice A (minimax V A p)
    by simp
next
  fix
    A :: 'b set and
    V :: 'a set and
    p :: ('b, 'a) Profile and
    w :: 'b
  assume cwin-w: condorcet-winner V A p w
  have max-mmarscore-dcc:
    defer-condorcet-consistency ((max-eliminator minimax-score)
    ::('b, 'a, 'b Result) Electoral-Module)
  using cr-eval-imp-dcc-max-elim
  by (simp add: minimax-score-cond-rating)
  hence
    max-eliminator minimax-score V A p =
      ({} ,
      A - defer (max-eliminator minimax-score) V A p ,
      {a ∈ A. condorcet-winner V A p a})
  using cwin-w

```

```

unfolding defer-condorcet-consistency-def
by blast
thus
  minimax  $V\ A\ p =$ 
    ( $\{\}$ ,
      $A - \text{defer minimax } V\ A\ p,$ 
      $\{d \in A. \text{ condorcet-winner } V\ A\ p\ d\}$ )
  by simp
qed

end

```

Chapter 5

Compositional Structures

5.1 Drop And Pass Compatibility

```
theory Drop-And-Pass-Compatibility
imports Basic-Modules/Drop-Module
        Basic-Modules/Pass-Module
begin
```

This is a collection of properties about the interplay and compatibility of both the drop module and the pass module.

5.1.1 Properties

```
theorem drop-zero-mod-rej-zero[simp]:
  fixes  $r :: 'a$  Preference-Relation
  assumes linear-order  $r$ 
  shows rejects 0 (drop-module 0  $r$ )
proof (unfold rejects-def, safe)
  show social-choice-result.electoral-module (drop-module 0  $r$ )
    using assms
    by simp
next
  fix
     $A :: 'a$  set and
     $V :: 'v$  set and
     $p :: ('a, 'v)$  Profile
  assume
    fin-A: finite  $A$  and
    prof-A: profile  $V$   $A$   $p$ 
  have connex UNIV  $r$ 
    using assms lin-ord-imp-connex
    by auto
  hence connex: connex  $A$  (limit  $A$   $r$ )
    using limit-presv-connex subset-UNIV
    by metis
```

```

have  $\forall B a. B \neq \{\} \vee (a::'a) \notin B$ 
  by simp
hence  $\forall a B. a \in A \wedge a \in B \longrightarrow \text{connex } B \text{ (limit } A \text{ } r) \longrightarrow$ 
   $\neg \text{card (above (limit } A \text{ } r) a) \leq 0$ 
  using above-connex above-presv-limit card-eq-0-iff
  fin-A finite-subset le-0-eq assms
  by (metis (no-types))
hence  $\{a \in A. \text{card (above (limit } A \text{ } r) a) \leq 0\} = \{\}$ 
  using connex
  by auto
hence  $\text{card } \{a \in A. \text{card (above (limit } A \text{ } r) a) \leq 0\} = 0$ 
  using card.empty
  by (metis (full-types))
thus  $\text{card (reject (drop-module } 0 \text{ } r) \text{ } V \text{ } A \text{ } p) = 0$ 
  by simp
qed

```

The drop module rejects n alternatives (if there are at least n alternatives).

```

theorem drop-two-mod-rej-n[simp]:
  fixes  $r :: 'a \text{ Preference-Relation}$ 
  assumes linear-order  $r$ 
  shows rejects  $n$  (drop-module  $n$   $r$ )
proof (unfold rejects-def, safe)
  show social-choice-result.electoral-module (drop-module  $n$   $r$ )
    by simp
next
fix
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
assume
  card-n:  $n \leq \text{card } A$  and
  fin-A: finite  $A$  and
  prof: profile  $V$   $A$   $p$ 
let ?inv-rank = the-inv-into  $A$  (rank (limit  $A$   $r$ ))
have lin-ord-limit: linear-order-on  $A$  (limit  $A$   $r$ )
  using assms limit-presv-lin-ord
  by auto
hence  $(\text{limit } A \text{ } r) \subseteq A \times A$ 
  unfolding linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def preorder-on-def refl-on-def
  by simp
hence  $\forall a \in A. (\text{above (limit } A \text{ } r) a) \subseteq A$ 
  unfolding above-def
  by auto
hence leq:  $\forall a \in A. \text{rank (limit } A \text{ } r) a \leq \text{card } A$ 
  by (simp add: card-mono fin-A)
have  $\forall a \in A. \{a\} \subseteq (\text{above (limit } A \text{ } r) a)$ 
  using lin-ord-limit
  unfolding linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def

```



```

      preorder-on-def refl-on-def above-def
    by auto
  hence  $\forall a \in A. \text{card } \{a\} \leq \text{card } (\text{above } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a)$ 
    using card-mono fin-A rev-finite-subset above-presv-limit
    by metis
  hence  $\text{geq-1}: \forall a \in A. 1 \leq \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a$ 
    by simp
  with leq have
     $\forall a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \in \{1.. \text{card } A\}$ 
    by simp
  hence  $\text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ ' A \subseteq \{1.. \text{card } A\}$ 
    by auto
  moreover have  $\text{inj}: \text{inj-on } (\text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r)) \ A$ 
    using fin-A inj-onI rank-unique lin-ord-limit
    by metis
  ultimately have  $\text{bij}: \text{bij-betw } (\text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r)) \ A \ \{1.. \text{card } A\}$ 
    using bij-betw-def bij-betw-finite bij-betw-iff-card card-seteq
      dual-order.refl ex-bij-betw-nat-finite-1 fin-A
    by metis
  hence  $\text{bij-inv}: \text{bij-betw } ?\text{inv-rank } \{1.. \text{card } A\} \ A$ 
    using bij-betw-the-inv-into
    by blast
  hence  $\forall S \subseteq \{1.. \text{card } A\}. \text{card } (? \text{inv-rank } ' S) = \text{card } S$ 
    using fin-A bij-betw-same-card bij-betw-subset
    by metis
  moreover have  $\text{subset}: \{1..n\} \subseteq \{1.. \text{card } A\}$ 
    using card-n
    by simp
  ultimately have  $\text{card } (? \text{inv-rank } ' \{1..n\}) = n$ 
    using numeral-One numeral-eq-iff semiring-norm(85) card-atLeastAtMost
    by presburger
  also have  $? \text{inv-rank } ' \{1..n\} = \{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \in \{1..n\}\}$ 
  proof
    show  $? \text{inv-rank } ' \{1..n\} \subseteq \{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \in \{1..n\}\}$ 
  proof
    fix
       $a :: 'a$ 
    assume  $a \in ? \text{inv-rank } ' \{1..n\}$ 
    then obtain  $b$  where  $b \text{-img}: b \in \{1..n\} \wedge ? \text{inv-rank } b = a$ 
      by auto
    hence  $\text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a = b$ 
      using subset f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw subsetD bij
      by metis
    hence  $\text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \in \{1..n\}$ 
      using b-img
      by simp
    moreover have  $a \in A$ 
      using b-img bij-inv bij-betwE subset
      by blast
  end
end

```

```

    ultimately show  $a \in \{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \in \{1..n\}\}$ 
      by blast
  qed
next
  show  $\{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \in \{1..n\}\} \subseteq \text{the-inv-into } A \ (\text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r))$ 
    ‘  $\{1..n\}$ 
  proof
    fix
      a :: 'a
    assume el:  $a \in \{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \in \{1..n\}\}$ 
    then obtain b where b-img:  $b \in \{1..n\} \wedge \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a = b$ 
      by auto
    moreover have  $a \in A$ 
      using el
      by simp
    ultimately have ?inv-rank b = a
      using inj the-inv-into-f-f
      by metis
    thus  $a \in ?inv\text{-rank } \{1..n\}$ 
      using b-img
      by auto
  qed
qed
finally have  $\text{card } \{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \in \{1..n\}\} = n$ 
  by blast
also have
   $\{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \in \{1..n\}\} = \{a \in A. \text{rank } (\text{limit } A \ r) \ a \leq n\}$ 
  using geq-1
  by auto
also have  $\dots = \text{reject } (\text{drop-module } n \ r) \ V \ A \ p$ 
  by simp
finally show  $\text{card } (\text{reject } (\text{drop-module } n \ r) \ V \ A \ p) = n$ 
  by blast
qed

```

The pass and drop module are (disjoint-)compatible.

```

theorem drop-pass-disj-compat[simp]:
  fixes
    r :: 'a Preference-Relation and
    n :: nat
  assumes linear-order r
  shows disjoint-compatibility (drop-module n r) (pass-module n r)
proof (unfold disjoint-compatibility-def, safe)
  show social-choice-result.electoral-module (drop-module n r)
    using assms
    by simp
next
  show social-choice-result.electoral-module (pass-module n r)
    using assms

```

```

    by simp
next
  fix A :: 'a set and V :: 'b set
  have linear-order-on A (limit A r)
    using assms limit-presv-lin-ord
    by blast
  hence profile V A (λv. (limit A r))
    using profile-def
    by blast
  then obtain p :: ('a, 'b) Profile where
    profile V A p
    by blast
  show
     $\exists B \subseteq A. (\forall a \in B. \text{indep-of-alt } (\text{drop-module } n \ r) \ V \ A \ a \wedge$ 
       $(\forall p. \text{profile } V \ A \ p \longrightarrow a \in \text{reject } (\text{drop-module } n \ r) \ V \ A \ p)) \wedge$ 
       $(\forall a \in A - B. \text{indep-of-alt } (\text{pass-module } n \ r) \ V \ A \ a \wedge$ 
       $(\forall p. \text{profile } V \ A \ p \longrightarrow a \in \text{reject } (\text{pass-module } n \ r) \ V \ A \ p))$ 
  proof
    have same-A:
       $\forall p \ q. (\text{profile } V \ A \ p \wedge \text{profile } V \ A \ q) \longrightarrow$ 
       $\text{reject } (\text{drop-module } n \ r) \ V \ A \ p = \text{reject } (\text{drop-module } n \ r) \ V \ A \ q$ 
      by auto
    let ?A = reject (drop-module n r) V A p
    have ?A  $\subseteq$  A
      by auto
    moreover have  $\forall a \in ?A. \text{indep-of-alt } (\text{drop-module } n \ r) \ V \ A \ a$ 
      using assms
      unfolding indep-of-alt-def
      by simp
    moreover have
       $\forall a \in ?A. \forall p. \text{profile } V \ A \ p \longrightarrow a \in \text{reject } (\text{drop-module } n \ r) \ V \ A \ p$ 
      by auto
    moreover have  $\forall a \in A - ?A. \text{indep-of-alt } (\text{pass-module } n \ r) \ V \ A \ a$ 
      using assms
      unfolding indep-of-alt-def
      by simp
    moreover have
       $\forall a \in A - ?A. \forall p. \text{profile } V \ A \ p \longrightarrow a \in \text{reject } (\text{pass-module } n \ r) \ V \ A \ p$ 
      by auto
    ultimately show
      ?A  $\subseteq$  A  $\wedge$ 
       $(\forall a \in ?A. \text{indep-of-alt } (\text{drop-module } n \ r) \ V \ A \ a \wedge$ 
       $(\forall p. \text{profile } V \ A \ p \longrightarrow a \in \text{reject } (\text{drop-module } n \ r) \ V \ A \ p)) \wedge$ 
       $(\forall a \in A - ?A. \text{indep-of-alt } (\text{pass-module } n \ r) \ V \ A \ a \wedge$ 
       $(\forall p. \text{profile } V \ A \ p \longrightarrow a \in \text{reject } (\text{pass-module } n \ r) \ V \ A \ p))$ 
      by simp
  qed
qed

```

end

5.2 Revision Composition

theory *Revision-Composition*
imports *Basic-Modules/Component-Types/Electoral-Module*
begin

A revised electoral module rejects all originally rejected or deferred alternatives, and defers the originally elected alternatives. It does not elect any alternatives.

5.2.1 Definition

fun *revision-composition* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow
('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module **where**
revision-composition m V A p = ({}, A - elect m V A p, elect m V A p)

abbreviation *rev* ::
('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module (\downarrow 50)
where
m \downarrow == *revision-composition* m

5.2.2 Soundness

theorem *rev-comp-sound[simp]*:
fixes m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
assumes *social-choice-result.electoral-module* m
shows *social-choice-result.electoral-module* (*revision-composition* m)
proof –
from *assms*
have \forall A V p. *profile* V A p \longrightarrow *elect* m V A p \subseteq A
using *elect-in-alts*
by *metis*
hence \forall A V p. *profile* V A p \longrightarrow (A - *elect* m V A p) \cup *elect* m V A p = A
by *blast*
hence *unity*:
 \forall A V p. *profile* V A p \longrightarrow
set-equals-partition A (*revision-composition* m V A p)
by *simp*
have \forall A V p. *profile* V A p \longrightarrow (A - *elect* m V A p) \cap *elect* m V A p = {}
by *blast*
hence *disjoint*:
 \forall A V p. *profile* V A p \longrightarrow *disjoint3* (*revision-composition* m V A p)
by *simp*

```

from unity disjoint
show ?thesis
  by (simp add: social-choice-result.electoral-module-def)
qed

```

5.2.3 Composition Rules

An electoral module received by revision is never electing.

```

theorem rev-comp-non-electing[simp]:
  fixes m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
  assumes social-choice-result.electoral-module m
  shows non-electing (m↓)
  using assms
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by simp

```

Revising an electing electoral module results in a non-blocking electoral module.

```

theorem rev-comp-non-blocking[simp]:
  fixes m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
  assumes electing m
  shows non-blocking (m↓)
proof (unfold non-blocking-def, safe, simp-all)
  show social-choice-result.electoral-module (m↓)
    using assms rev-comp-sound
    unfolding electing-def
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
next
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  x :: 'a
assume
  fin-A: finite A and
  prof-A: profile V A p and
  no-elect: A - elect m V A p = A and
  x-in-A: x ∈ A
from no-elect have non-elect:
  non-electing m
using assms prof-A x-in-A fin-A empty-iff
  Diff-disjoint Int-absorb2 elect-in-alts
unfolding electing-def
by (metis (no-types, lifting))
show False
  using non-elect assms empty-iff fin-A prof-A x-in-A
  unfolding electing-def non-electing-def
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))

```

qed

Revising an invariant monotone electoral module results in a defer-invariant-monotone electoral module.

```

theorem rev-comp-def-inv-mono[simp]:
  fixes  $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ 
  assumes invariant-monotonicity m
  shows defer-invariant-monotonicity (m↓)
proof (unfold defer-invariant-monotonicity-def, safe)
  show social-choice-result.electoral-module (m↓)
    using assms rev-comp-sound
    unfolding invariant-monotonicity-def
    by simp
next
  show non-electing (m↓)
    using assms rev-comp-non-electing
    unfolding invariant-monotonicity-def
    by simp
next
  fix
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
     $q :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
     $a :: 'a$  and
     $x :: 'a$  and
     $x' :: 'a$ 
  assume
    rev-p-defer-a: a ∈ defer (m↓) V A p and
    a-lifted: lifted V A p q a and
    rev-q-defer-x: x ∈ defer (m↓) V A q and
    x-non-eq-a: x ≠ a and
    rev-q-defer-x': x' ∈ defer (m↓) V A q
  from rev-p-defer-a
  have elect-a-in-p: a ∈ elect m V A p
    by simp
  from rev-q-defer-x x-non-eq-a
  have elect-no-unique-a-in-q: elect m V A q ≠ {a}
    by force
  from assms
  have elect m V A q = elect m V A p
    using a-lifted elect-a-in-p elect-no-unique-a-in-q
    unfolding invariant-monotonicity-def
    by (metis (no-types))
  thus  $x' \in \text{defer } (m\downarrow) \text{ V A p}$ 
    using rev-q-defer-x'
    by simp
next
  fix

```

```

  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  q :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  a :: 'a and
  x :: 'a and
  x' :: 'a
assume
  rev-p-defer-a: a ∈ defer (m↓) V A p and
  a-lifted: lifted V A p q a and
  rev-q-defer-x: x ∈ defer (m↓) V A q and
  x-non-eq-a: x ≠ a and
  rev-p-defer-x': x' ∈ defer (m↓) V A p
have reject-and-defer:
  (A − elect m V A q, elect m V A q) = snd ((m↓) V A q)
  by force
have elect-p-eq-defer-rev-p: elect m V A p = defer (m↓) V A p
  by simp
hence elect-a-in-p: a ∈ elect m V A p
  using rev-p-defer-a
  by presburger
have elect m V A q ≠ {a}
  using rev-q-defer-x x-non-eq-a
  by force
with assms
show x' ∈ defer (m↓) V A q
  using a-lifted rev-p-defer-x' snd-conv elect-a-in-p
    elect-p-eq-defer-rev-p reject-and-defer
  unfolding invariant-monotonicity-def
  by (metis (no-types))
next
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  q :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  a :: 'a and
  x :: 'a and
  x' :: 'a
assume
  a ∈ defer (m↓) V A p and
  lifted V A p q a and
  x' ∈ defer (m↓) V A q
with assms
show x' ∈ defer (m↓) V A p
  using empty-iff insertE snd-conv revision-composition.elims
  unfolding invariant-monotonicity-def
  by metis
next

```

```

fix
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
   $q :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
   $a :: 'a$  and
   $x :: 'a$  and
   $x' :: 'a$ 
assume
  rev-p-defer-a:  $a \in \text{defer } (m \downarrow) V A p$  and
  a-lifted:  $\text{lifted } V A p q a$  and
  rev-q-not-defer-a:  $a \notin \text{defer } (m \downarrow) V A q$ 
from assms
have lifted-inv:
   $\forall A V p q a. a \in \text{elect } m V A p \wedge \text{lifted } V A p q a \longrightarrow$ 
     $\text{elect } m V A q = \text{elect } m V A p \vee \text{elect } m V A q = \{a\}$ 
  unfolding invariant-monotonicity-def
  by (metis (no-types))
have p-defer-rev-eq-elect:  $\text{defer } (m \downarrow) V A p = \text{elect } m V A p$ 
  by simp
have q-defer-rev-eq-elect:  $\text{defer } (m \downarrow) V A q = \text{elect } m V A q$ 
  by simp
thus  $x' \in \text{defer } (m \downarrow) V A q$ 
  using p-defer-rev-eq-elect lifted-inv a-lifted rev-p-defer-a rev-q-not-defer-a
  by blast
qed

end

```

5.3 Sequential Composition

```

theory Sequential-Composition
  imports Basic-Modules/Component-Types/Electoral-Module
begin

```

The sequential composition creates a new electoral module from two electoral modules. In a sequential composition, the second electoral module makes decisions over alternatives deferred by the first electoral module.

5.3.1 Definition

```

fun sequential-composition ::  $('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow$ 
   $('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow$ 
   $('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  where

```


sequential-composition $m\ n\ V\ A\ p =$
 (let $new-A = defer\ m\ V\ A\ p;$
 $new-p = limit-profile\ new-A\ p\ in\ ($
 $(elect\ m\ V\ A\ p) \cup (elect\ n\ V\ new-A\ new-p),$
 $(reject\ m\ V\ A\ p) \cup (reject\ n\ V\ new-A\ new-p),$
 $defer\ n\ V\ new-A\ new-p))$

abbreviation *sequence* ::

$('a, 'v, 'a\ Result)\ Electoral-Module \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a\ Result)\ Electoral-Module$
 $\Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a\ Result)\ Electoral-Module$
 (**infix** $\triangleright 50$) **where**
 $m \triangleright n == sequential-composition\ m\ n$

fun *sequential-composition'* :: $('a, 'v, 'a\ Result)\ Electoral-Module \Rightarrow$
 $('a, 'v, 'a\ Result)\ Electoral-Module \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a\ Result)\ Electoral-Module$

where

sequential-composition' $m\ n\ V\ A\ p =$
 (let $(m-e, m-r, m-d) = m\ V\ A\ p;$ $new-A = m-d;$
 $new-p = limit-profile\ new-A\ p;$
 $(n-e, n-r, n-d) = n\ V\ new-A\ new-p\ in$
 $(m-e \cup n-e, m-r \cup n-r, n-d))$

lemma *seq-comp-presv-only-voters-vote*:

fixes

$m :: ('a, 'v, 'a\ Result)\ Electoral-Module$ **and**
 $n :: ('a, 'v, 'a\ Result)\ Electoral-Module$

assumes

only-voters-vote $m \wedge only-voters-vote\ n$

shows *only-voters-vote* $(m \triangleright n)$

proof (*unfold only-voters-vote-def, clarify*)

fix

$A :: 'a\ set$ **and**
 $V :: 'v\ set$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v)\ Profile$ **and**
 $p' :: ('a, 'v)\ Profile$

assume *coincide*: $\forall v \in V. p\ v = p'\ v$

hence *eq*: $m\ V\ A\ p = m\ V\ A\ p' \wedge n\ V\ A\ p = n\ V\ A\ p'$

using *assms*

unfolding *only-voters-vote-def*

by *blast*

hence *coincide-limit*:

$\forall v \in V. limit-profile\ (defer\ m\ V\ A\ p)\ p\ v = limit-profile\ (defer\ m\ V\ A\ p')\ p'\ v$

using *coincide*

by *simp*

moreover **have**

$elect\ m\ V\ A\ p \cup elect\ n\ V\ (defer\ m\ V\ A\ p)\ (limit-profile\ (defer\ m\ V\ A\ p)\ p)$
 $= elect\ m\ V\ A\ p' \cup elect\ n\ V\ (defer\ m\ V\ A\ p')\ (limit-profile\ (defer\ m\ V\ A\ p')$
 $p')\ p')$

using *assms eq coincide-limit*

unfolding *only-voters-vote-def*
by *metis*
moreover have
 $\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{reject } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)$
 $= \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p' \cup \text{reject } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p') \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p') \ p')$
using *assms eq coincide-limit*
unfolding *only-voters-vote-def*
by *metis*
moreover have
 $\text{defer } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)$
 $= \text{defer } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p') \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p') \ p')$
using *assms eq coincide-limit*
unfolding *only-voters-vote-def*
by *metis*
ultimately show $(m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p'$
by *(metis sequential-composition.simps)*
qed

lemma *seq-comp-presv-disj*:

fixes

$m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**

$n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**

$A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**

$p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$

assumes *module-m: social-choice-result.electoral-module m* **and**

module-n: social-choice-result.electoral-module n **and**

prof: profile V A p

shows *disjoint3 ((m \triangleright n) V A p)*

proof –

let $?new-A = \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$

let $?new-p = \text{limit-profile } ?new-A \ p$

have *prof-def-lim: profile V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p)*

using *def-presv-prof prof module-m*

by *metis*

have *defer-in-A*:

$\forall A' V' p' m' a.$

$(\text{profile } V' A' p' \wedge$

$\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m' \wedge$

$(a::'a) \in \text{defer } m' \ V' \ A' \ p') \longrightarrow$

$a \in A'$

using *UnCI result-presv-alts*

by *fastforce*

from *module-m prof*

have *disjoint-m: disjoint3 (m V A p)*

unfolding *social-choice-result.electoral-module-def well-formed-soc-choice.simps*

by *blast*

from *module-m module-n def-presv-prof prof*

```

have disjoint-n: disjoint3 (n V ?new-A ?new-p)
unfolding social-choice-result.electoral-module-def well-formed-soc-choice.simps
by metis
have disj-n:
  elect m V A p  $\cap$  reject m V A p = {}  $\wedge$ 
  elect m V A p  $\cap$  defer m V A p = {}  $\wedge$ 
  reject m V A p  $\cap$  defer m V A p = {}
using prof module-m
by (simp add: result-disj)
have reject n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p)  $\subseteq$  defer m V
A p
using def-presv-prof reject-in-alts prof module-m module-n
by metis
with disjoint-m module-m module-n prof
have elect-reject-diff: elect m V A p  $\cap$  reject n V ?new-A ?new-p = {}
using disj-n
by blast
from prof module-m module-n
have elec-n-in-def-m:
  elect n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p)  $\subseteq$  defer m V A p
using def-presv-prof elect-in-alts
by metis
have elect-defer-diff: elect m V A p  $\cap$  defer n V ?new-A ?new-p = {}
proof -
obtain f :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a where
   $\forall B B'.$ 
   $(\exists a b. a \in B' \wedge b \in B \wedge a = b) =$ 
   $(f B B' \in B' \wedge (\exists a. a \in B \wedge f B B' = a))$ 
using disjoint-iff
by metis
then obtain g :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a where
   $\forall B B'.$ 
   $(B \cap B' = \{\} \longrightarrow (\forall a b. a \in B \wedge b \in B' \longrightarrow a \neq b)) \wedge$ 
   $(B \cap B' \neq \{\} \longrightarrow f B B' \in B \wedge g B B' \in B' \wedge f B B' = g B B')$ 
by auto
thus ?thesis
using defer-in-A disj-n module-n prof-def-lim prof
by fastforce
qed
have rej-intersect-new-elect-empty: reject m V A p  $\cap$  elect n V ?new-A ?new-p
= {}
using disj-n disjoint-m disjoint-n def-presv-prof prof
  module-m module-n elec-n-in-def-m
by blast
have (elect m V A p  $\cup$  elect n V ?new-A ?new-p)  $\cap$ 
  (reject m V A p  $\cup$  reject n V ?new-A ?new-p) = {}
proof (safe)
  fix x :: 'a
  assume

```

```

     $x \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p$  and
     $x \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
  hence  $x \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \cap \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
  by simp
  thus  $x \in \{\}$ 
  using disj-n
  by simp
next
  fix  $x :: 'a$ 
  assume
     $x \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p$  and
     $x \in \text{reject } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p)$ 
    (limit-profile (defer  $m \ V \ A \ p$ )  $p$ )
  thus  $x \in \{\}$ 
  using elect-reject-diff
  by blast
next
  fix  $x :: 'a$ 
  assume
     $x \in \text{elect } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p)$  (limit-profile (defer  $m \ V \ A \ p$ )  $p$ ) and
     $x \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
  thus  $x \in \{\}$ 
  using rej-intersect-new-elect-empty
  by blast
next
  fix  $x :: 'a$ 
  assume
     $x \in \text{elect } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p)$  (limit-profile (defer  $m \ V \ A \ p$ )  $p$ ) and
     $x \in \text{reject } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p)$  (limit-profile (defer  $m \ V \ A \ p$ )  $p$ )
  thus  $x \in \{\}$ 
  using disjoint-iff-not-equal module-n prof-def-lim result-disj prof
  by metis
qed
moreover have
  ( $\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{elect } n \ V \ ?\text{new-A } ?\text{new-p}$ )  $\cap$  ( $\text{defer } n \ V \ ?\text{new-A } ?\text{new-p}$ ) =  $\{\}$ 
  using Int-Un-distrib2 Un-empty elect-defer-diff module-n
  prof-def-lim result-disj prof
  by (metis (no-types))
moreover have
  ( $\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{reject } n \ V \ ?\text{new-A } ?\text{new-p}$ )  $\cap$  ( $\text{defer } n \ V \ ?\text{new-A } ?\text{new-p}$ ) =
 $\{\}$ 
  proof (safe)
    fix  $x :: 'a$ 
    assume
       $x\text{-in-def}: x \in \text{defer } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p)$  (limit-profile (defer  $m \ V \ A \ p$ )  $p$ ) and
       $x\text{-in-rej}: x \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
    from  $x\text{-in-def}$ 
    have  $x \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
    using defer-in-A module-n prof-def-lim prof

```

```

    by blast
  with x-in-rej
  have  $x \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \cap \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
    by fastforce
  thus  $x \in \{\}$ 
    using disj-n
    by blast
next
fix  $x :: 'a$ 
assume
   $x \in \text{defer } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)$  and
   $x \in \text{reject } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)$ 
thus  $x \in \{\}$ 
  using module-n prof-def-lim reject-not-elec-or-def
  by fastforce
qed
ultimately have
  disjoint3 ( $\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{elect } n \ V \ ?\text{new-A } ?\text{new-p}$ ,
     $\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{reject } n \ V \ ?\text{new-A } ?\text{new-p}$ ,
     $\text{defer } n \ V \ ?\text{new-A } ?\text{new-p}$ )
  by simp
thus ?thesis
  unfolding sequential-composition.simps
  by metis
qed

lemma seq-comp-presv-alts:
  fixes
     $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
     $n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
  assumes module-m: social-choice-result.electoral-module m and
    module-n: social-choice-result.electoral-module n and
    prof: profile V A p
  shows set-equals-partition A ((m  $\triangleright$  n) V A p)
proof -
  let  $?new-A = \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
  let  $?new-p = \text{limit-profile } ?new-A \ p$ 
  have elect-reject-diff:  $\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \cup ?new-A = A$ 
    using module-m prof
    by (simp add: result-presv-alts)
  have  $\text{elect } n \ V \ ?new-A \ ?new-p \cup$ 
     $\text{reject } n \ V \ ?new-A \ ?new-p \cup$ 
     $\text{defer } n \ V \ ?new-A \ ?new-p = ?new-A$ 
    using module-m module-n prof def-presv-prof result-presv-alts
    by metis
  hence  $(\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{elect } n \ V \ ?new-A \ ?new-p) \cup$ 

```

$(\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{reject } n \ V \ ?\text{new-}A \ ?\text{new-}p) \cup$
 $\text{defer } n \ V \ ?\text{new-}A \ ?\text{new-}p = A$
using *elect-reject-diff*
by *blast*
hence *set-equals-partition A*
 $(\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{elect } n \ V \ ?\text{new-}A \ ?\text{new-}p,$
 $\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{reject } n \ V \ ?\text{new-}A \ ?\text{new-}p,$
 $\text{defer } n \ V \ ?\text{new-}A \ ?\text{new-}p)$
by *simp*
thus *?thesis*
unfolding *sequential-composition.simps*
by *metis*
qed

lemma *seq-comp-alt-eq[code]: sequential-composition = sequential-composition'*
proof (*unfold sequential-composition'.simps sequential-composition.simps*)
have $\forall \ m \ n \ V \ A \ E.$
 $(\text{case } m \ V \ A \ E \text{ of } (e, r, d) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{case } n \ V \ d \ (\text{limit-profile } d \ E) \text{ of } (e', r', d') \Rightarrow$
 $(e \cup e', r \cup r', d')) =$
 $(\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ E \cup \text{elect } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ E) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A$
 $E) \ E),$
 $\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ E \cup \text{reject } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ E) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V$
 $A \ E) \ E),$
 $\text{defer } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ E) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ E) \ E))$
using *case-prod-beta'*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
thus
 $(\lambda \ m \ n \ V \ A \ p.$
 $\text{let } A' = \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p; p' = \text{limit-profile } A' \ p \text{ in}$
 $(\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{elect } n \ V \ A' \ p', \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{reject } n \ V \ A' \ p', \text{defer } n$
 $V \ A' \ p')) =$
 $(\lambda \ m \ n \ V \ A \ pr.$
 $\text{let } (e, r, d) = m \ V \ A \ pr; A' = d; p' = \text{limit-profile } A' \ pr;$
 $(e', r', d') = n \ V \ A' \ p' \text{ in}$
 $(e \cup e', r \cup r', d'))$
by *metis*
qed

5.3.2 Soundness

theorem *seq-comp-sound[simp]:*
fixes
 $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$
assumes
 $\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m$ **and**
 $\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } n$
shows $\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } (m \triangleright n)$

```

proof (unfold social-choice-result.electoral-module-def, safe)
  fix
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
  assume
     $\text{prof-A: profile } V \ A \ p$ 
  have  $\forall r. \text{well-formed-soc-choice } (A::'a \text{ set}) \ r =$ 
     $(\text{disjoint3 } r \wedge \text{set-equals-partition } A \ r)$ 
  by simp
  thus  $\text{well-formed-soc-choice } A \ ((m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p)$ 
  using  $\text{assms seq-comp-presv-disj seq-comp-presv-alts prof-A}$ 
  by metis
qed

```

5.3.3 Lemmas

```

lemma seq-comp-dec-only-def:
  fixes
     $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
     $n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
  assumes
     $\text{module-m: social-choice-result.electoral-module } m$  and
     $\text{module-n: social-choice-result.electoral-module } n$  and
     $\text{prof: profile } V \ A \ p$  and
     $\text{empty-defer: defer } m \ V \ A \ p = \{\}$ 
  shows  $(m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = m \ V \ A \ p$ 
proof –
  have
     $\forall m' \ A' \ V' \ p'. \text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m' \wedge \text{profile } V' \ A' \ p' \longrightarrow$ 
     $\text{profile } V' \ (\text{defer } m' \ V' \ A' \ p') \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m' \ V' \ A' \ p') \ p')$ 
  using  $\text{def-presv-prof prof}$ 
  by metis
  hence  $\text{prof-no-alt: profile } V \ \{\} \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)$ 
  using  $\text{empty-defer prof module-m}$ 
  by metis
  show  $?thesis$ 
proof
  have
     $(\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p) \cup (\text{elect } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)) =$ 
     $\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
  using  $\text{elect-in-alts[of } n \ V \ \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)]$ 
     $\text{empty-defer module-n prof prof-no-alt}$ 
  by auto

```

```

thus elect ( $m \triangleright n$ )  $V A p = \text{elect } m V A p$ 
  using fst-conv
  unfolding sequential-composition.simps
  by metis
next
have rej-empty:
   $\forall m' V' p'. \quad (\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m' \wedge \text{profile } V' (\{\} :: 'a \text{ set}) p') \longrightarrow \text{reject } m' V' \{\} p' = \{\}$ 
  using bot.extremum-uniqueI reject-in-alts
  by metis
have (reject  $m V A p$ , defer  $n V \{\}$  (limit-profile  $\{\} p$ )) = snd ( $m V A p$ )
  using bot.extremum-uniqueI defer-in-alts empty-defer module-n prod.collapse prof-no-alt
  by (metis (no-types))
thus snd (( $m \triangleright n$ )  $V A p$ ) = snd ( $m V A p$ )
  using rej-empty empty-defer module-n prof-no-alt prof
  by fastforce
qed
qed

lemma seq-comp-def-then-elect:
fixes
   $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
   $n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
assumes
  n-electing-m: non-electing  $m$  and
  def-one-m: defers 1  $m$  and
  electing-n: electing  $n$  and
  f-prof: finite-profile  $V A p$ 
shows elect ( $m \triangleright n$ )  $V A p = \text{defer } m V A p$ 
proof (cases)
assume  $A = \{\}$ 
with electing-n n-electing-m f-prof
show ?thesis
  using bot.extremum-uniqueI defer-in-alts elect-in-alts seq-comp-sound
  unfolding electing-def non-electing-def
  by metis
next
assume non-empty-A:  $A \neq \{\}$ 
from n-electing-m f-prof
have ele: elect  $m V A p = \{\}$ 
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by simp
from non-empty-A def-one-m f-prof finite
have def-card: card (defer  $m V A p$ ) = 1

```



```

  unfolding defers-def
  by (simp add: Suc-leI card-gt-0-iff)
with n-electing-m f-prof
have def:  $\exists a \in A. \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p = \{a\}$ 
  using card-1-singletonE defer-in-alts singletonI subsetCE
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by metis
from ele def n-electing-m
have rej:  $\exists a \in A. \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p = A - \{a\}$ 
  using Diff-empty def-one-m f-prof reject-not-elec-or-def
  unfolding defers-def
  by metis
from ele rej def n-electing-m f-prof
have res-m:  $\exists a \in A. m \ V \ A \ p = (\{\}, A - \{a\}, \{a\})$ 
  using Diff-empty elect-rej-def-combination reject-not-elec-or-def
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by metis
hence  $\exists a \in A. \text{elect } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = \text{elect } n \ V \ \{a\} \ (\text{limit-profile } \{a\} \ p)$ 
  using prod.sel sup-bot.left-neutral
  unfolding sequential-composition.simps
  by metis
with def-card def electing-n n-electing-m f-prof
have  $\exists a \in A. \text{elect } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = \{a\}$ 
  using electing-for-only-alt fst-conv def-presv-prof sup-bot.left-neutral
  unfolding non-electing-def sequential-composition.simps
  by metis
with def def-card electing-n n-electing-m f-prof res-m
show ?thesis
  using def-presv-prof electing-for-only-alt fst-conv sup-bot.left-neutral
  unfolding non-electing-def sequential-composition.simps
  by metis
qed

```

lemma *seq-comp-def-card-bounded:*

fixes

$m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**

$n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**

$A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**

$p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$

assumes

social-choice-result.electoral-module m **and**

social-choice-result.electoral-module n **and**

finite-profile $V \ A \ p$

shows $\text{card } (\text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p) \leq \text{card } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p)$

using *card-mono defer-in-alts assms def-presv-prof snd-conv finite-subset*

unfolding *sequential-composition.simps*

by *metis*

lemma *seq-comp-def-set-bounded*:

fixes

$m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$

assumes

social-choice-result.electoral-module m **and**
social-choice-result.electoral-module n **and**
profile V A p

shows $\text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p \subseteq \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$

using *defer-in-alts* *assms* *snd-conv* *def-presv-prof*

unfolding *sequential-composition.simps*

by *metis*

lemma *seq-comp-defers-def-set*:

fixes

$m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$

shows $\text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = \text{defer } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)$

using *snd-conv*

unfolding *sequential-composition.simps*

by *metis*

lemma *seq-comp-def-then-elect-elec-set*:

fixes

$m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$

shows $\text{elect } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p =$

$\text{elect } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p) \cup (\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p)$

using *Un-commute fst-conv*

unfolding *sequential-composition.simps*

by *metis*

lemma *seq-comp-elim-one-red-def-set*:

fixes

$m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**

```

  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
assumes
  social-choice-result.electoral-module m and
  eliminates 1 n and
  profile V A p and
  card (defer m V A p) > 1
shows defer (m ▷ n) V A p ⊆ defer m V A p
using assms snd-conv def-presv-prof single-elim-imp-red-def-set
unfolding sequential-composition.simps
by metis

lemma seq-comp-def-set-trans:
fixes
  m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
  n :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  a :: 'a
assumes
  a ∈ (defer (m ▷ n) V A p) and
  social-choice-result.electoral-module m ∧ social-choice-result.electoral-module n
and
  profile V A p
shows a ∈ defer n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p) ∧
  a ∈ defer m V A p
using seq-comp-def-set-bounded assms in-mono seq-comp-defers-def-set
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting))

```

5.3.4 Composition Rules

The sequential composition preserves the non-blocking property.

```

theorem seq-comp-presv-non-blocking[simp]:
fixes
  m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
  n :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
assumes
  non-blocking-m: non-blocking m and
  non-blocking-n: non-blocking n
shows non-blocking (m ▷ n)
proof –
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
let ?input-sound = A ≠ {} ∧ finite-profile V A p
from non-blocking-m
have ?input-sound ⟶ reject m V A p ≠ A
unfolding non-blocking-def

```

```

  by simp
with non-blocking-m
have A-reject-diff: ?input-sound  $\longrightarrow$   $A - \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \neq \{\}$ 
  using Diff-eq-empty-iff reject-in-alts subset-antisym
  unfolding non-blocking-def
  by metis
from non-blocking-m
have ?input-sound  $\longrightarrow$  well-formed-soc-choice  $A \ (m \ V \ A \ p)$ 
  unfolding social-choice-result.electoral-module-def non-blocking-def
  by simp
hence ?input-sound  $\longrightarrow$   $\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p = A - \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
  using non-blocking-m elec-and-def-not-rej
  unfolding non-blocking-def
  by metis
with A-reject-diff
have ?input-sound  $\longrightarrow$   $\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p \neq \{\}$ 
  by simp
hence ?input-sound  $\longrightarrow$   $(\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \neq \{\}) \vee \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p \neq \{\}$ 
  by simp
with non-blocking-m non-blocking-n
show ?thesis
proof (unfold non-blocking-def)
  assume
    emod-reject-m:
      social-choice-result.electoral-module  $m \wedge$ 
       $(\forall A \ V \ p. A \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } A \wedge \text{profile } V \ A \ p \longrightarrow \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \neq A)$  and
    emod-reject-n:
      social-choice-result.electoral-module  $n \wedge$ 
       $(\forall A \ V \ p. A \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } A \wedge \text{profile } V \ A \ p \longrightarrow \text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p \neq A)$ 
  show
    social-choice-result.electoral-module  $(m \triangleright n) \wedge$ 
     $(\forall A \ V \ p. A \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } A \wedge \text{profile } V \ A \ p \longrightarrow \text{reject } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p \neq A)$ 
  proof (safe)
    show social-choice-result.electoral-module  $(m \triangleright n)$ 
      using emod-reject-m emod-reject-n
      by simp
  next
  fix
    A :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
    x :: 'a
  assume
    fin-A: finite A and
    prof-A: profile V A p and
    rej-mn:  $\text{reject } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = A$  and
    x-in-A:  $x \in A$ 
  from emod-reject-m fin-A prof-A
  have fin-defer:

```

```

    finite (defer m V A p) ∧ profile V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m
V A p) p)
    using def-presv-prof defer-in-alts finite-subset
    by (metis (no-types))
    from emod-reject-m emod-reject-n fin-A prof-A
    have seq-elect:
      elect (m ▷ n) V A p =
        elect n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p) ∪ elect m V
A p
    using seq-comp-def-then-elect-elec-set
    by metis
    from emod-reject-n emod-reject-m fin-A prof-A
    have def-limit:
      defer (m ▷ n) V A p = defer n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V
A p) p)
    using seq-comp-defers-def-set
    by metis
    from emod-reject-n emod-reject-m fin-A prof-A
    have elect (m ▷ n) V A p ∪ defer (m ▷ n) V A p = A − reject (m ▷ n) V A
p
    using elec-and-def-not-rej seq-comp-sound
    by metis
    hence elect-def-disj:
      elect n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p) ∪
        elect m V A p ∪
        defer n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p) = {}
    using def-limit seq-elect Diff-cancel rej-mn
    by auto
    have rej-def-eq-set:
      defer n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p) −
        defer n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p) = {} ⟶
        reject n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p) =
        defer m V A p
    using elect-def-disj emod-reject-n fin-defer
    by (simp add: reject-not-elec-or-def)
    have
      defer n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p) −
        defer n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p) = {} ⟶
        elect m V A p = elect m V A p ∩ defer m V A p
    using elect-def-disj
    by blast
    thus x ∈ {}
    using rej-def-eq-set result-disj fin-defer Diff-cancel Diff-empty fin-A prof-A
      emod-reject-m emod-reject-n reject-not-elec-or-def x-in-A
    by metis
  qed
qed
qed

```

Sequential composition preserves the non-electing property.

```

theorem seq-comp-presv-non-electing[simp]:
  fixes
     $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
     $n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ 
  assumes
    non-electing m and
    non-electing n
  shows non-electing (m  $\triangleright$  n)
proof (unfold non-electing-def, safe)
  have social-choice-result.electoral-module m  $\wedge$  social-choice-result.electoral-module
n
    using assms
    unfolding non-electing-def
    by blast
  thus social-choice-result.electoral-module (m  $\triangleright$  n)
    by simp
next
fix
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
   $x :: 'a$ 
assume
  profile V A p and
   $x \in \text{elect } (m \triangleright n) \text{ V A p}$ 
thus  $x \in \{\}$ 
  using assms
  unfolding non-electing-def
  using seq-comp-def-then-elect-elec-set def-presv-prof Diff-empty Diff-partition
empty-subsetI
  by metis
qed

```

Composing an electoral module that defers exactly 1 alternative in sequence after an electoral module that is electing results (still) in an electing electoral module.

```

theorem seq-comp-electing[simp]:
  fixes
     $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
     $n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ 
  assumes
    def-one-m: defers 1 m and
    electing-n: electing n
  shows electing (m  $\triangleright$  n)
proof –
  have defer-card-eq-one:
     $\forall A \ V \ p. (\text{card } A \geq 1 \wedge \text{finite } A \wedge \text{profile V A p}) \longrightarrow \text{card } (\text{defer } m \text{ V A p}) =$ 
    1
    using def-one-m

```

unfolding *defers-def*
by *metis*
hence *def-m1-not-empty*:
 $\forall A V p. (A \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } A \wedge \text{profile } V A p) \longrightarrow \text{defer } m V A p \neq \{\}$
using *One-nat-def Suc-leI card-eq-0-iff card-gt-0-iff zero-neq-one*
by *metis*
thus *?thesis*
proof –
have $\forall m'.$
 $(\neg \text{electing } m' \vee \text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m' \wedge$
 $(\forall A' V' p'. (A' \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } A' \wedge \text{profile } V' A' p') \longrightarrow \text{elect } m' V'$
 $A' p' \neq \{\})) \wedge$
 $(\text{electing } m' \vee \neg \text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m' \vee$
 $(\exists A V p. (A \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } A \wedge \text{profile } V A p \wedge \text{elect } m' V A p = \{\})))$
unfolding *electing-def*
by *blast*
hence $\forall m'.$
 $(\neg \text{electing } m' \vee \text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m' \wedge$
 $(\forall A' V' p'. (A' \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } A' \wedge \text{profile } V' A' p') \longrightarrow \text{elect } m' V'$
 $A' p' \neq \{\})) \wedge$
 $(\exists A V p. (\text{electing } m' \vee \neg \text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m' \vee A \neq$
 $\{\} \wedge$
 $\text{finite } A \wedge \text{profile } V A p \wedge \text{elect } m' V A p = \{\})))$
by *simp*
then obtain
 $A :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set and}$
 $V :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ set and}$
 $p :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile where}$
f-mod:
 $\forall m'::('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module.}$
 $(\neg \text{electing } m' \vee \text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m' \wedge$
 $(\forall A' V' p'. (A' \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } A' \wedge \text{profile } V' A' p') \longrightarrow \text{elect } m' V' A' p' \neq \{\})) \wedge$
 $(\text{electing } m' \vee \neg \text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m' \vee A m' \neq \{\} \wedge$
 $\text{finite } (A m') \wedge \text{profile } (V m') (A m') (p m') \wedge \text{elect } m' (V m') (A m') (p$
 $m') = \{\})$
by *metis*
hence *f-elect*:
 $\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } n \wedge$
 $(\forall A V p. (A \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } A \wedge \text{profile } V A p) \longrightarrow \text{elect } n V A p \neq \{\})$
using *electing-n*
unfolding *electing-def*
by *metis*
have *def-card-one*:
 $\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m \wedge$
 $(\forall A V p. (1 \leq \text{card } A \wedge \text{finite } A \wedge \text{profile } V A p) \longrightarrow \text{card } (\text{defer } m V A$
 $p) = 1)$
using *def-one-m defer-card-eq-one*
unfolding *defers-def*

by *blast*
 hence *social-choice-result.electoral-module* ($m \triangleright n$)
 using *f-elect seq-comp-sound*
 by *metis*
 with *f-mod f-elect def-card-one*
 show *?thesis*
 using *seq-comp-def-then-elect-elec-set def-presv-prof defer-in-alts*
 def-m1-not-empty bot-eq-sup-iff finite-subset
 unfolding *electing-def*
 by *metis*
 qed
 qed

lemma *def-lift-inv-seq-comp-help*:

fixes

$m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ and
 $n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ and
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ and
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ and
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ and
 $q :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ and
 $a :: 'a$

assumes

monotone-m: *defer-lift-invariance* m and
monotone-n: *defer-lift-invariance* n and
only-voters-n: *only-voters-vote* n and
def-and-lifted: $a \in (\text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p) \wedge \text{lifted } V \ A \ p \ q \ a$

shows $(m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ q$

proof –

let $?new\text{-}Ap = \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$
 let $?new\text{-}Aq = \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q$
 let $?new\text{-}p = \text{limit-profile } ?new\text{-}Ap \ p$
 let $?new\text{-}q = \text{limit-profile } ?new\text{-}Aq \ q$
 from *monotone-m monotone-n*
 have modules: *social-choice-result.electoral-module* m
 \wedge *social-choice-result.electoral-module* n
 unfolding *defer-lift-invariance-def*
 by *simp*
 hence $\text{profile } V \ A \ p \longrightarrow \text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p \subseteq \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$
 using *seq-comp-def-set-bounded*
 by *metis*
 moreover have *profile-p*: $\text{lifted } V \ A \ p \ q \ a \longrightarrow \text{finite-profile } V \ A \ p$
 unfolding *lifted-def*
 by *simp*
 ultimately have *defer-subset*: $\text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p \subseteq \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$
 using *def-and-lifted*
 by *blast*
 hence *mono-m*: $m \ V \ A \ p = m \ V \ A \ q$
 using *monotone-m def-and-lifted modules profile-p*


```

      seq-comp-def-set-trans
    unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
    by metis
  hence new-A-eq: ?new-Ap = ?new-Aq
    by presburger
  have defer-eq: defer (m ▷ n) V A p = defer n V ?new-Ap ?new-p
    using snd-conv
    unfolding sequential-composition.simps
    by metis
  have mono-n: n V ?new-Ap ?new-p = n V ?new-Aq ?new-q
  proof (cases)
    assume lifted V ?new-Ap ?new-p ?new-q a
    thus ?thesis
      using defer-eq mono-m monotone-n def-and-lifted
      unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
      by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  next
    assume unlifted-a: ¬lifted V ?new-Ap ?new-p ?new-q a
    from def-and-lifted
    have finite-profile V A q
      unfolding lifted-def
      by simp
    with modules new-A-eq
    have prof-p: profile V ?new-Ap ?new-q
      using def-presv-prof
      by (metis (no-types))
    moreover from modules profile-p def-and-lifted
    have prof-q: profile V ?new-Ap ?new-p
      using def-presv-prof
      by (metis (no-types))
    moreover from defer-subset def-and-lifted
    have a ∈ ?new-Ap
      by blast
    ultimately have lifted-stmt:
      (∃ v ∈ V.
        Preference-Relation.lifted ?new-Ap (?new-p v) (?new-q v) a) →
      (∃ v ∈ V.
        ¬ Preference-Relation.lifted ?new-Ap (?new-p v) (?new-q v) a ∧
        (?new-p v) ≠ (?new-q v))
      using unlifted-a def-and-lifted defer-in-alts infinite-super modules profile-p
      unfolding lifted-def
      by metis
    from def-and-lifted modules
    have ∀ v ∈ V. (Preference-Relation.lifted A (p v) (q v) a ∨ (p v) = (q v))
      unfolding Profile.lifted-def
      by metis
    with def-and-lifted modules mono-m
    have ∀ v ∈ V.
      (Preference-Relation.lifted ?new-Ap (?new-p v) (?new-q v) a ∨

```

```

      (?new-p v) = (?new-q v))
    using limit-lifted-imp-eq-or-lifted defer-in-alts
    unfolding Profile.lifted-def limit-profile.simps
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  with lifted-stmt
  have  $\forall v \in V. (?new-p v) = (?new-q v)$ 
    by blast
  with mono-m
  show ?thesis
    using leI not-less-zero nth-equalityI only-voters-n
    unfolding only-voters-vote-def
    by presburger
qed
from mono-m mono-n
show ?thesis
  unfolding sequential-composition.simps
  by (metis (full-types))
qed

```

Sequential composition preserves the property defer-lift-invariance.

```

theorem seq-comp-presv-def-lift-inv[simp]:
  fixes
    m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    n :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
  assumes
    defer-lift-invariance m and
    defer-lift-invariance n and
    only-voters-vote n
  shows defer-lift-invariance (m  $\triangleright$  n)
proof (unfold defer-lift-invariance-def, safe)
  show social-choice-result.electoral-module (m  $\triangleright$  n)
    using assms seq-comp-sound
    unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
    by blast
next
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  q :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  a :: 'a
  assume
    a  $\in$  defer (m  $\triangleright$  n) V A p and
    Profile.lifted V A p q a
  thus (m  $\triangleright$  n) V A p = (m  $\triangleright$  n) V A q
    unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
    by (meson assms def-lift-inv-seq-comp-help)
qed

```

Composing a non-blocking, non-electing electoral module in sequence with

an electoral module that defers exactly one alternative results in an electoral module that defers exactly one alternative.

theorem *seq-comp-def-one*[simp]:

fixes

$m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**

$n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$

assumes

non-blocking-m: *non-blocking m* **and**

non-electing-m: *non-electing m* **and**

def-one-n: *defers 1 n*

shows *defers 1 (m \triangleright n)*

proof (*unfold defers-def, safe*)

have *social-choice-result.electoral-module m*

using *non-electing-m*

unfolding *non-electing-def*

by *simp*

moreover have *social-choice-result.electoral-module n*

using *def-one-n*

unfolding *defers-def*

by *simp*

ultimately show *social-choice-result.electoral-module (m \triangleright n)*

by *simp*

next

fix

$A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**

$p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$

assume

pos-card: $1 \leq \text{card } A$ **and**

fin-A: *finite A* **and**

prof-A: *profile V A p*

from *pos-card*

have $A \neq \{\}$

by *auto*

with *fin-A prof-A*

have *reject m V A p \neq A*

using *non-blocking-m*

unfolding *non-blocking-def*

by *simp*

hence $\exists a. a \in A \wedge a \notin \text{reject } m \text{ V A p}$

using *non-electing-m reject-in-alts fin-A prof-A*

card-seteq infinite-super subsetI upper-card-bound-for-reject

unfolding *non-electing-def*

by *metis*

hence *defer m V A p \neq $\{\}$*

using *electoral-mod-defer-elem empty-iff non-electing-m fin-A prof-A*

unfolding *non-electing-def*

by (*metis (no-types)*)

hence $\text{card } (\text{defer } m \text{ V A p}) \geq 1$

```

using Suc-leI card-gt-0-iff fin-A prof-A
      non-blocking-m defer-in-alts infinite-super
unfolding One-nat-def non-blocking-def
by metis
moreover have
   $\forall i m'. \text{defers } i m' =$ 
    (social-choice-result.electoral-module  $m' \wedge$ 
     ( $\forall A' V' p'. (i \leq \text{card } A' \wedge \text{finite } A' \wedge \text{profile } V' A' p') \longrightarrow$ 
       $\text{card } (\text{defer } m' V' A' p') = i)$ )
unfolding defers-def
by simp
ultimately have
   $\text{card } (\text{defer } n V (\text{defer } m V A p) (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m V A p) p)) = 1$ 
using def-one-n fin-A prof-A non-blocking-m def-presv-prof
      card.infinite not-one-le-zero
unfolding non-blocking-def
by metis
moreover have
   $\text{defer } (m \triangleright n) V A p = \text{defer } n V (\text{defer } m V A p) (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m V A$ 
 $p) p)$ 
using seq-comp-defers-def-set
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting))
ultimately show  $\text{card } (\text{defer } (m \triangleright n) V A p) = 1$ 
by simp
qed

```

Composing a defer-lift invariant and a non-electing electoral module that defers exactly one alternative in sequence with an electing electoral module results in a monotone electoral module.

```

theorem disj-compat-seq[simp]:
  fixes
     $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
     $m' :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
     $n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ 
  assumes
    compatible: disjoint-compatibility  $m n$  and
    module-m': social-choice-result.electoral-module  $m'$  and
    only-voters: only-voters-vote  $m'$ 
  shows disjoint-compatibility  $(m \triangleright m') n$ 
proof (unfold disjoint-compatibility-def, safe)
  show social-choice-result.electoral-module  $(m \triangleright m')$ 
    using compatible module-m' seq-comp-sound
    unfolding disjoint-compatibility-def
    by metis
next
  show social-choice-result.electoral-module  $n$ 
    using compatible
    unfolding disjoint-compatibility-def
    by metis

```

```

next
  fix S :: 'a set and V :: 'v set
  have modules:
    social-choice-result.electoral-module (m ▷ m') ∧ social-choice-result.electoral-module
n
  using compatible module-m' seq-comp-sound
  unfolding disjoint-compatibility-def
  by metis
  obtain A where rej-A:
    A ⊆ S ∧
    (∀ a ∈ A.
      indep-of-alt m V S a ∧ (∀ p. profile V S p ⟶ a ∈ reject m V S p)) ∧
    (∀ a ∈ S - A.
      indep-of-alt n V S a ∧ (∀ p. profile V S p ⟶ a ∈ reject n V S p))
  using compatible
  unfolding disjoint-compatibility-def
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))
show
  ∃ A ⊆ S.
    (∀ a ∈ A. indep-of-alt (m ▷ m') V S a ∧
      (∀ p. profile V S p ⟶ a ∈ reject (m ▷ m') V S p)) ∧
    (∀ a ∈ S - A.
      indep-of-alt n V S a ∧ (∀ p. profile V S p ⟶ a ∈ reject n V S p))
proof
  have ∀ a p q. a ∈ A ∧ equiv-prof-except-a V S p q a ⟶
    (m ▷ m') V S p = (m ▷ m') V S q
  proof (safe)
    fix
      a :: 'a and
      p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
      q :: ('a, 'v) Profile
    assume
      a-in-A: a ∈ A and
      lifting-equiv-p-q: equiv-prof-except-a V S p q a
    hence eq-def: defer m V S p = defer m V S q
      using rej-A
      unfolding indep-of-alt-def
      by metis
    from lifting-equiv-p-q
    have profiles: profile V S p ∧ profile V S q
      unfolding equiv-prof-except-a-def
      by simp
    hence (defer m V S p) ⊆ S
      using compatible defer-in-alts
      unfolding disjoint-compatibility-def
      by metis
    moreover have a ∉ defer m V S q
      using a-in-A compatible defer-not-elec-or-rej[of m V A p]
        profiles rej-A IntI emptyE result-disj

```

unfolding *disjoint-compatibility-def*
by *metis*
ultimately have
 $\forall v \in V. \text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ S \ p) \ p \ v = \text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ S \ q) \ q$
using *lifting-equiv-p-q negl-diff-imp-eq-limit-prof[of V S p q a defer m V S q]*
unfolding *eq-def limit-profile.simps*
by *blast*
with *eq-def*
have $m' \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ S \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ S \ p) \ p) =$
 $m' \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ S \ q) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ S \ q) \ q)$
using *only-voters*
unfolding *only-voters-vote-def*
by *simp*
moreover have $m \ V \ S \ p = m \ V \ S \ q$
using *rej-A a-in-A lifting-equiv-p-q*
unfolding *indep-of-alt-def*
by *metis*
ultimately show $(m \triangleright m') \ V \ S \ p = (m \triangleright m') \ V \ S \ q$
unfolding *sequential-composition.simps*
by *(metis (full-types))*
qed
moreover have
 $\forall a' \in A. \forall p'. \text{profile } V \ S \ p' \longrightarrow a' \in \text{reject } (m \triangleright m') \ V \ S \ p'$
using *rej-A UnI1 prod.sel*
unfolding *sequential-composition.simps*
by *metis*
ultimately show
 $A \subseteq S \wedge$
 $(\forall a' \in A. \text{indep-of-alt } (m \triangleright m') \ V \ S \ a' \wedge$
 $(\forall p'. \text{profile } V \ S \ p' \longrightarrow a' \in \text{reject } (m \triangleright m') \ V \ S \ p')) \wedge$
 $(\forall a' \in S - A. \text{indep-of-alt } n \ V \ S \ a' \wedge$
 $(\forall p'. \text{profile } V \ S \ p' \longrightarrow a' \in \text{reject } n \ V \ S \ p'))$
using *rej-A indep-of-alt-def modules*
by *(metis (no-types, lifting))*
qed
qed
theorem *seq-comp-cond-compat[simp]:*
fixes
 $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{Electoral-Module}$
assumes
 $\text{dcc-}m$: *defer-condorcet-consistency m* **and**
 $\text{nb-}n$: *non-blocking n* **and**
 $\text{ne-}n$: *non-electing n*
shows *condorcet-compatibility (m \triangleright n)*
proof *(unfold condorcet-compatibility-def, safe)*

```

have social-choice-result.electoral-module m
  using dcc-m
  unfolding defer-condorcet-consistency-def
  by presburger
moreover have social-choice-result.electoral-module n
  using nb-n
  unfolding non-blocking-def
  by presburger
ultimately have social-choice-result.electoral-module (m  $\triangleright$  n)
  by simp
thus social-choice-result.electoral-module (m  $\triangleright$  n)
  by presburger
next
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  a :: 'a
assume
  cw-a: condorcet-winner V A p a and
  a-in-rej-seq-m-n: a  $\in$  reject (m  $\triangleright$  n) V A p
hence  $\exists$  a'. defer-condorcet-consistency m  $\wedge$  condorcet-winner V A p a'
  using dcc-m
  by blast
hence m V A p = ( $\{\}$ , A - (defer m V A p),  $\{a\}$ )
  using defer-condorcet-consistency-def cw-a cond-winner-unique
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))
have sound-m: social-choice-result.electoral-module m
  using dcc-m
  unfolding defer-condorcet-consistency-def
  by presburger
moreover have social-choice-result.electoral-module n
  using nb-n
  unfolding non-blocking-def
  by presburger
ultimately have sound-seq-m-n: social-choice-result.electoral-module (m  $\triangleright$  n)
  by simp
have def-m: defer m V A p =  $\{a\}$ 
  using cw-a cond-winner-unique dcc-m snd-conv
  unfolding defer-condorcet-consistency-def
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
have rej-m: reject m V A p = A -  $\{a\}$ 
  using cw-a cond-winner-unique dcc-m prod.sel(1) snd-conv
  unfolding defer-condorcet-consistency-def
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
have elect m V A p =  $\{\}$ 
  using cw-a def-m rej-m dcc-m prod.sel(1)
  unfolding defer-condorcet-consistency-def
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))

```

hence *diff-elect-m*: $A - \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p = A$
using *Diff-empty*
by (*metis* (*full-types*))
have *cond-win*:
 $\text{finite } A \wedge \text{finite } V \wedge \text{profile } V \ A \ p \wedge a \in A \wedge (\forall \ a'. \ a' \in A - \{a'\} \longrightarrow \text{wins } V \ a \ p \ a')$
using *cw-a condorcet-winner.simps DiffD2 singletonI*
by (*metis* (*no-types*))
have $\forall \ a' \ A'. \ (a'::'a) \in A' \longrightarrow \text{insert } a' \ (A' - \{a'\}) = A'$
by *blast*
have *nb-n-full*:
 $\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } n \wedge$
 $(\forall \ A' \ V' \ p'. \ A' \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } A' \wedge \text{finite } V' \wedge \text{profile } V' \ A' \ p' \longrightarrow \text{reject } n \ V' \ A' \ p' \neq A')$
using *nb-n non-blocking-def*
by *metis*
have *def-seq-diff*:
 $\text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = A - \text{elect } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p - \text{reject } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p$
using *defer-not-elec-or-rej cond-win sound-seq-m-n*
by *metis*
have *set-ins*: $\forall \ a' \ A'. \ (a'::'a) \in A' \longrightarrow \text{insert } a' \ (A' - \{a'\}) = A'$
by *fastforce*
have $\forall \ p' \ A' \ p''. \ p' = (A'::'a \ \text{set}, \ p''::'a \ \text{set} \times 'a \ \text{set}) \longrightarrow \text{snd } p' = p''$
by *simp*
hence $\text{snd } (\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{elect } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)),$
 $\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{reject } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p),$
 $\text{defer } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)) =$
 $(\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{reject } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)),$
 $\text{defer } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p))$
by *blast*
hence *seq-snd-simplified*:
 $\text{snd } ((m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p) =$
 $(\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{reject } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)),$
 $\text{defer } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p))$
using *sequential-composition.simps*
by *metis*
hence *seq-rej-union-eq-rej*:
 $\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{reject } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)$
 $=$
 $\text{reject } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p$
by *simp*
hence *seq-rej-union-subset-A*:
 $\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{reject } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)$
 $\subseteq A$
using *sound-seq-m-n cond-win reject-in-alts*

by (*metis* (*no-types*))
 hence $A - \{a\} = \text{reject } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p - \{a\}$
 using *seq-rej-union-eq-rej* *defer-not-elec-or-rej* *cond-win* *def-m* *diff-elect-m*
 double-diff *rej-m* *sound-m* *sup-ge1*
 by (*metis* (*no-types*))
 hence $\text{reject } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p \subseteq A - \{a\}$
 using *seq-rej-union-subset-A* *seq-snd-simplified* *set-ins* *def-seq-diff* *nb-n-full*
 cond-win *fst-conv* *Diff-empty* *Diff-eq-empty-iff* *a-in-rej-seq-m-n* *def-m*
 def-presv-prof *sound-m* *ne-n* *diff-elect-m* *insert-not-empty* *defer-in-alts*
 reject-not-elec-or-def *seq-comp-def-then-elect-elec-set* *finite-subset*
 seq-comp-defers-def-set *sup-bot.left-neutral*
 unfolding *non-electing-def*
 by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*))
 thus *False*
 using *a-in-rej-seq-m-n*
 by *blast*
 next
 fix
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ and
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ and
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ and
 $a :: 'a$ and
 $a' :: 'a$
 assume
 cw-a: *condorcet-winner* $V \ A \ p \ a$ and
 not-cw-a': $\neg \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ p \ a'$ and
 a'-in-elect-seq-m-n: $a' \in \text{elect } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p$
 hence $\exists \ a''. \text{defer-condorcet-consistency } m \wedge \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ p \ a''$
 using *dcc-m*
 by *blast*
 hence *result-m*: $m \ V \ A \ p = (\{\}, A - (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p), \{a\})$
 using *defer-condorcet-consistency-def* *cw-a* *cond-winner-unique*
 by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*))
 have *sound-m*: *social-choice-result.electoral-module* m
 using *dcc-m*
 unfolding *defer-condorcet-consistency-def*
 by *presburger*
 moreover have *social-choice-result.electoral-module* n
 using *nb-n*
 unfolding *non-blocking-def*
 by *presburger*
 ultimately have *sound-seq-m-n*: *social-choice-result.electoral-module* $(m \triangleright n)$
 by *simp*
 have $\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p = A - \{a\}$
 using *cw-a* *dcc-m* *prod.sel(1)* *snd-conv* *result-m*
 unfolding *defer-condorcet-consistency-def*
 by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*))
 hence *a'-in-rej*: $a' \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$
 using *Diff-iff* *cw-a* *not-cw-a'* *a'-in-elect-seq-m-n* *condorcet-winner.elims(1)*

elect-in-alts singleton-iff sound-seq-m-n subset-iff
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
have $\forall p' A' p''. p' = (A'::'a \text{ set}, p''::'a \text{ set} \times 'a \text{ set}) \longrightarrow \text{snd } p' = p''$
by *simp*
hence *m-seq-n*:
 $\text{snd } (\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{elect } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)),$
 $\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{reject } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p),$
 $\text{defer } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)) =$
 $(\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{reject } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)),$
 $\text{defer } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p))$
by *blast*
have $a' \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p$
using *a'-in-elect-seq-m-n condorcet-winner.simps cw-a def-presv-prof ne-n*
seq-comp-def-then-elect-elec-set sound-m sup-bot.left-neutral
unfolding *non-electing-def*
by (*metis (no-types)*)
hence *a-in-rej-union*:
 $a \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{reject } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)$
using *Diff-iff a'-in-rej condorcet-winner.simps cw-a*
reject-not-elec-or-def sound-m
by (*metis (no-types)*)
have *m-seq-n-full*:
 $(m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p =$
 $(\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{elect } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p),$
 $\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{reject } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)),$
 $\text{defer } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p))$
unfolding *sequential-composition.simps*
by *metis*
have $\forall A' A''. (A'::'a \text{ set}) = \text{fst } (A', A''::'a \text{ set})$
by *simp*
hence $a \in \text{reject } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p$
using *a-in-rej-union m-seq-n m-seq-n-full*
by *presburger*
moreover have
 $\text{finite } A \wedge \text{finite } V \wedge \text{profile } V \ A \ p \wedge a \in A \wedge (\forall a''. a'' \in A - \{a\} \longrightarrow \text{wins } V \ a \ p \ a'')$
using *cw-a m-seq-n-full a'-in-elect-seq-m-n a'-in-rej ne-n sound-m*
unfolding *condorcet-winner.simps*
by *metis*
ultimately show *False*
using *a'-in-elect-seq-m-n IntI empty-iff result-disj sound-seq-m-n a'-in-rej def-presv-prof*
fst-conv m-seq-n-full ne-n non-electing-def sound-m sup-bot.right-neutral
by *metis*
next

```

fix
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
   $a :: 'a$  and
   $a' :: 'a$ 
assume
   $cw\text{-}a$ :  $\text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ p \ a$  and
   $a'\text{-in-}A$ :  $a' \in A$  and
   $\text{not-cw-}a'$ :  $\neg \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ p \ a'$ 
have  $\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p = A - \{a\}$ 
using  $cw\text{-}a \ \text{cond-winner-unique} \ \text{dcc-}m \ \text{prod.sel}(1) \ \text{snd-conv}$ 
unfolding  $\text{defer-condorcet-consistency-def}$ 
by ( $\text{metis} \ (\text{mono-tags}, \ \text{lifting})$ )
moreover have  $a \neq a'$ 
using  $cw\text{-}a \ \text{not-cw-}a'$ 
by  $\text{safe}$ 
ultimately have  $a' \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
using  $\text{DiffI } a'\text{-in-}A \ \text{singletonD}$ 
by ( $\text{metis} \ (\text{no-types})$ )
hence  $a' \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{reject } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)$ 
by  $\text{blast}$ 
moreover have
   $(m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p =$ 
   $(\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{elect } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p),$ 
   $\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{reject } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p)$ 
 $p),$ 
   $\text{defer } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p))$ 
unfolding  $\text{sequential-composition.simps}$ 
by  $\text{metis}$ 
moreover have
   $\text{snd} \ (\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{elect } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p)$ 
 $p),$ 
   $\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{reject } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p)$ 
 $p),$ 
   $\text{defer } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)) =$ 
   $(\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{reject } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A$ 
 $p) \ p),$ 
   $\text{defer } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p))$ 
using  $\text{snd-conv}$ 
by  $\text{metis}$ 
ultimately show  $a' \in \text{reject } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p$ 
using  $\text{fst-eqD}$ 
by ( $\text{metis} \ (\text{no-types})$ )
qed

```

Composing a defer-condorcet-consistent electoral module in sequence with a non-blocking and non-electing electoral module results in a defer-condorcet-

consistent module.

theorem *seq-comp-dcc*[simp]:

fixes

$m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**

$n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$

assumes

dcc-m: *defer-condorcet-consistency* m **and**

nb-n: *non-blocking* n **and**

ne-n: *non-electing* n

shows *defer-condorcet-consistency* $(m \triangleright n)$

proof (*unfold defer-condorcet-consistency-def, safe*)

have *social-choice-result.electoral-module* m

using *dcc-m*

unfolding *defer-condorcet-consistency-def*

by *metis*

thus *social-choice-result.electoral-module* $(m \triangleright n)$

using *ne-n*

by (*simp add: non-electing-def*)

next

fix

$A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**

$p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**

$a :: 'a$

assume

cw-a: *condorcet-winner* $V A p a$

hence $\exists a'. \text{defer-condorcet-consistency } m \wedge \text{condorcet-winner } V A p a'$

using *dcc-m*

by *blast*

hence *result-m*: $m V A p = (\{\}, A - (\text{defer } m V A p), \{a\})$

using *defer-condorcet-consistency-def cw-a cond-winner-unique*

by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)

hence *elect-m-empty*: $\text{elect } m V A p = \{\}$

using *eq-fst-iff*

by *metis*

have *sound-m*: *social-choice-result.electoral-module* m

using *dcc-m*

unfolding *defer-condorcet-consistency-def*

by *metis*

hence *sound-seq-m-n*: *social-choice-result.electoral-module* $(m \triangleright n)$

using *ne-n*

by (*simp add: non-electing-def*)

have *defer-eq-a*: $\text{defer } (m \triangleright n) V A p = \{a\}$

proof (*safe*)

fix $a' :: 'a$

assume *a'-in-def-seq-m-n*: $a' \in \text{defer } (m \triangleright n) V A p$

have $\{a\} = \{a \in A. \text{condorcet-winner } V A p a\}$

using *cond-winner-unique cw-a*

by *metis*

moreover have *defer-condorcet-consistency* $m \longrightarrow$
 $m \ V \ A \ p = (\{\}, A - \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p, \{a \in A. \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ p \ a\})$
using *cw-a defer-condorcet-consistency-def*
by (*metis (no-types)*)
ultimately have *defer* $m \ V \ A \ p = \{a\}$
using *dcc-m snd-conv*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
hence *defer* $(m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = \{a\}$
using *cw-a a'-in-def-seq-m-n condorcet-winner.elims(2) empty-iff*
seq-comp-def-set-bounded sound-m subset-singletonD nb-n
unfolding *non-blocking-def*
by *metis*
thus $a' = a$
using *a'-in-def-seq-m-n*
by *blast*
next
have $\exists \ a'. \text{defer-condorcet-consistency } m \wedge \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ p \ a'$
using *cw-a dcc-m*
by *blast*
hence $m \ V \ A \ p = (\{\}, A - (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p), \{a\})$
using *defer-condorcet-consistency-def cw-a cond-winner-unique*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
hence *elect-m-empty: elect* $m \ V \ A \ p = \{\}$
using *eq-fst-iff*
by *metis*
have *profile* $V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)$
using *condorcet-winner.simps cw-a def-presv-prof sound-m*
by (*metis (no-types)*)
hence *elect* $n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p) = \{\}$
using *ne-n non-electing-def*
by *metis*
hence *elect* $(m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = \{\}$
using *elect-m-empty seq-comp-def-then-elect-elec-set sup-bot.right-neutral*
by (*metis (no-types)*)
moreover have *condorcet-compatibility* $(m \triangleright n)$
using *dcc-m nb-n ne-n*
by *simp*
hence $a \notin \text{reject } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p$
unfolding *condorcet-compatibility-def*
using *cw-a*
by *metis*
ultimately show $a \in \text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p$
using *cw-a electoral-mod-defer-elem empty-iff*
sound-seq-m-n condorcet-winner.simps
by *metis*
qed
have *profile* $V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)$
using *condorcet-winner.simps cw-a def-presv-prof sound-m*
by (*metis (no-types)*)

```

hence elect  $n \ V \ (defer \ m \ V \ A \ p) \ (limit-profile \ (defer \ m \ V \ A \ p) \ p) = \{\}$ 
  using ne-n non-electing-def
  by metis
hence elect  $(m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = \{\}$ 
  using elect-m-empty seq-comp-def-then-elect-elec-set sup-bot.right-neutral
  by (metis (no-types))
moreover have def-seq-m-n-eq-a: defer  $(m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = \{a\}$ 
  using cw-a defer-eq-a
  by (metis (no-types))
ultimately have  $(m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = (\{\}, A - \{a\}, \{a\})$ 
  using Diff-empty cw-a elect-rej-def-combination
  reject-not-elec-or-def sound-seq-m-n condorcet-winner.simps
  by (metis (no-types))
moreover have  $\{a' \in A. \ condorcet-winner \ V \ A \ p \ a'\} = \{a\}$ 
  using cw-a cond-winner-unique
  by metis
ultimately show
   $(m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p =$ 
   $(\{\}, A - defer \ (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p, \{a' \in A. \ condorcet-winner \ V \ A \ p \ a'\})$ 
  using def-seq-m-n-eq-a
  by metis
qed

```

Composing a defer-lift invariant and a non-electing electoral module that defers exactly one alternative in sequence with an electing electoral module results in a monotone electoral module.

```

theorem seq-comp-mono[simp]:
  fixes
     $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \ Result) \ Electoral-Module$  and
     $n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \ Result) \ Electoral-Module$ 
  assumes
    def-monotone-m: defer-lift-invariance m and
    non-ele-m: non-electing m and
    def-one-m: defers 1 m and
    electing-n: electing n
  shows monotonicity  $(m \triangleright n)$ 
proof (unfold monotonicity-def, safe)
  have social-choice-result.electoral-module m
  using non-ele-m
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by simp
moreover have social-choice-result.electoral-module n
  using electing-n
  unfolding electing-def
  by simp
ultimately show social-choice-result.electoral-module  $(m \triangleright n)$ 
  by simp
next
fix

```

```

  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  q :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  w :: 'a
assume
  elect-w-in-p: w ∈ elect (m ▷ n) V A p and
  lifted-w: Profile.lifted V A p q w
thus w ∈ elect (m ▷ n) V A q
  unfolding lifted-def
  using seq-comp-def-then-elect lifted-w assms
  unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
  by metis
qed

```

Composing a defer-invariant-monotone electoral module in sequence before a non-electing, defer-monotone electoral module that defers exactly 1 alternative results in a defer-lift-invariant electoral module.

```

theorem def-inv-mono-imp-def-lift-inv[simp]:
  fixes
    m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    n :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
  assumes
    strong-def-mon-m: defer-invariant-monotonicity m and
    non-electing-n: non-electing n and
    defers-one: defers 1 n and
    defer-monotone-n: defer-monotonicity n and
    only-voters: only-voters-vote n
  shows defer-lift-invariance (m ▷ n)
proof (unfold defer-lift-invariance-def, safe)
  have social-choice-result.electoral-module m
    using strong-def-mon-m
    unfolding defer-invariant-monotonicity-def
    by metis
  moreover have social-choice-result.electoral-module n
    using defers-one
    unfolding defers-def
    by metis
  ultimately show social-choice-result.electoral-module (m ▷ n)
    by simp
next
  fix
    A :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
    q :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
    a :: 'a
  assume
    defer-a-p: a ∈ defer (m ▷ n) V A p and

```

```

    lifted-a: Profile.lifted V A p q a
  have non-electing-m: non-electing m
    using strong-def-mon-m
    unfolding defer-invariant-monotonicity-def
    by simp
  have electoral-mod-m: social-choice-result.electoral-module m
    using strong-def-mon-m
    unfolding defer-invariant-monotonicity-def
    by metis
  have electoral-mod-n: social-choice-result.electoral-module n
    using defers-one
    unfolding defers-def
    by metis
  have finite-profile-p: finite-profile V A p
    using lifted-a
    unfolding Profile.lifted-def
    by simp
  have finite-profile-q: finite-profile V A q
    using lifted-a
    unfolding Profile.lifted-def
    by simp
  have 1 ≤ card A
    using Profile.lifted-def card-eq-0-iff emptyE less-one lifted-a linorder-le-less-linear
    by metis
  hence n-defers-exactly-one-p: card (defer n V A p) = 1
    using finite-profile-p defers-one
    unfolding defers-def
    by (metis (no-types))
  have fin-prof-def-m-q: profile V (defer m V A q) (limit-profile (defer m V A q)
q)
    using def-presv-prof electoral-mod-m finite-profile-q
    by (metis (no-types))
  have def-seq-m-n-q:
    defer (m ▷ n) V A q = defer n V (defer m V A q) (limit-profile (defer m V A
q) q)
    using seq-comp-defers-def-set
    by simp
  have prof-def-m: profile V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p)
    using def-presv-prof electoral-mod-m finite-profile-p
    by (metis (no-types))
  hence prof-seq-comp-m-n:
    profile V (defer n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p))
      (limit-profile (defer n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p))
        (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p))
    using def-presv-prof electoral-mod-n
    by (metis (no-types))
  have a-non-empty: a ∉ {}
    by simp
  have def-seq-m-n:

```


$\text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = \text{defer } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)$
using *seq-comp-defers-def-set*
by *simp*
have $1 \leq \text{card } (\text{defer } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p))$
using *a-non-empty card-gt-0-iff defer-a-p electoral-mod-n prof-def-m*
seq-comp-defers-def-set One-nat-def Suc-leI defer-in-alts
electoral-mod-m finite-profile-p finite-subset
by (*metis (mono-tags)*)
hence $\text{card } (\text{defer } n \ V \ (\text{defer } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)))$
 $(\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } n \ V \ (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p))) = 1$
using *n-defers-exactly-one-p prof-seq-comp-m-n defers-one defer-in-alts*
electoral-mod-m finite-profile-p finite-subset prof-def-m
unfolding *defers-def*
by *metis*
hence *defer-seq-m-n-eq-one*: $\text{card } (\text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p) = 1$
using *One-nat-def Suc-leI a-non-empty card-gt-0-iff def-seq-m-n defer-a-p*
defers-one electoral-mod-m prof-def-m finite-profile-p
seq-comp-def-set-trans defer-in-alts rev-finite-subset
unfolding *defers-def*
by *metis*
hence *def-seq-m-n-eq-a*: $\text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = \{a\}$
using *defer-a-p is-singleton-altdef is-singleton-the-elem singletonD*
by (*metis (no-types)*)
show $(m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ q$
proof (*cases*)
assume $\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q \neq \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$
hence $\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q = \{a\}$
using *defer-a-p electoral-mod-n finite-profile-p lifted-a seq-comp-def-set-trans*
strong-def-mon-m
unfolding *defer-invariant-monotonicity-def*
by (*metis (no-types)*)
moreover from this
have $(a \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \longrightarrow \text{card } (\text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ q) = 1$
using *card-eq-0-iff card-insert-disjoint defers-one electoral-mod-m empty-iff*
order-refl finite.emptyI seq-comp-defers-def-set def-presv-prof
finite-profile-q finite.insertI
unfolding *One-nat-def defers-def*
by *metis*
moreover have $a \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$
using *electoral-mod-m electoral-mod-n defer-a-p seq-comp-def-set-bounded*
finite-profile-p finite-profile-q
by *blast*
ultimately have $\text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ q = \{a\}$
using *Collect-mem-eq card-1-singletonE empty-Collect-eq insertCI subset-singletonD*
def-seq-m-n-q defer-in-alts electoral-mod-n fin-prof-def-m-q
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)

hence $\text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = \text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ q$
using *def-seq-m-n-eq-a*
by *presburger*
moreover have $\text{elect } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p = \text{elect } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ q$
using *prof-def-m fin-prof-def-m-q finite-profile-p finite-profile-q non-electing-def*
non-electing-m non-electing-n seq-comp-def-then-elect-elec-set
by *metis*
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *electoral-mod-m electoral-mod-n eq-def-and-elect-imp-eq*
finite-profile-p finite-profile-q seq-comp-sound
by (*metis (no-types)*)
next
assume $\neg (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q \neq \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p)$
hence *def-eq*: $\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q = \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$
by *presburger*
have $\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p = \{\}$
using *finite-profile-p non-electing-m*
unfolding *non-electing-def*
by *simp*
moreover have $\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ q = \{\}$
using *finite-profile-q non-electing-m*
unfolding *non-electing-def*
by *simp*
ultimately have *elect-m-equal*: $\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p = \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ q$
by *simp*
have
 $(\forall v \in V. (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p) \ v = (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A$
 $p) \ q) \ v)$
 $\vee \text{lifted } V (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q) (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)$
 $(\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ q) \ a$
using *def-eq defer-in-alts electoral-mod-m lifted-a finite-profile-q*
limit-prof-eq-or-lifted
by *metis*
moreover have
 $(\forall v \in V. (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p) \ v = (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A$
 $p) \ q) \ v)$
 $\implies n \ V (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)$
 $= n \ V (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q) (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q) \ q)$
using *only-voters def-eq*
unfolding *only-voters-vote-def*
by *presburger*
moreover have
 $\text{lifted } V (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q) (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)$
 $(\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ q) \ a$
 $\implies \text{defer } n \ V (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)$
 $= \text{defer } n \ V (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q) (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q) \ q)$
proof –
assume *lifted*:
 $\text{Profile.lifted } V (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q) (\text{limit-profile } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \ p)$

```

      (limit-profile (defer m V A p) q) a
hence a ∈ defer n V (defer m V A q) (limit-profile (defer m V A q) q)
  using lifted-a def-seq-m-n defer-a-p defer-monotone-n
      fin-prof-def-m-q def-eq
  unfolding defer-monotonicity-def
  by metis
hence a ∈ defer (m ▷ n) V A q
  using def-seq-m-n-q
  by simp
moreover have card (defer (m ▷ n) V A q) = 1
  using def-seq-m-n-q defers-one def-eq defer-seq-m-n-eq-one defers-def lifted
      electoral-mod-m fin-prof-def-m-q finite-profile-p seq-comp-def-card-bounded
      Profile.lifted-def
  by metis
ultimately have defer (m ▷ n) V A q = {a}
  by (metis a-non-empty card-1-singletonE insertE)
thus defer n V (defer m V A p) (limit-profile (defer m V A p) p)
  = defer n V (defer m V A q) (limit-profile (defer m V A q) q)
  using def-seq-m-n-eq-a def-seq-m-n-q def-seq-m-n
  by presburger
qed
ultimately have defer (m ▷ n) V A p = defer (m ▷ n) V A q
  using def-seq-m-n def-seq-m-n-q
  by presburger
hence defer (m ▷ n) V A p = defer (m ▷ n) V A q
  using a-non-empty def-eq def-seq-m-n def-seq-m-n-q
      defer-a-p defer-monotone-n finite-profile-p
      defer-seq-m-n-eq-one defers-one electoral-mod-m
      fin-prof-def-m-q
  unfolding defers-def
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))
moreover from this
have reject (m ▷ n) V A p = reject (m ▷ n) V A q
using electoral-mod-m electoral-mod-n finite-profile-p finite-profile-q non-electing-def
      non-electing-m non-electing-n eq-def-and-elect-imp-eq seq-comp-presv-non-electing
  by (metis (no-types))
ultimately have snd ((m ▷ n) V A p) = snd ((m ▷ n) V A q)
  using prod-eqI
  by metis
moreover have elect (m ▷ n) V A p = elect (m ▷ n) V A q
  using prof-def-m fin-prof-def-m-q non-electing-n finite-profile-p finite-profile-q
      non-electing-def def-eq elect-m-equal fst-conv
  unfolding sequential-composition.simps
  by (metis (no-types))
ultimately show (m ▷ n) V A p = (m ▷ n) V A q
  using prod-eqI
  by metis
qed
qed

```

end

5.4 Parallel Composition

```

theory Parallel-Composition
  imports Basic-Modules/Component-Types/Aggregator
           Basic-Modules/Component-Types/Electoral-Module
begin

```

The parallel composition composes a new electoral module from two electoral modules combined with an aggregator. Therein, the two modules each make a decision and the aggregator combines them to a single (aggregated) result.

5.4.1 Definition

```

fun parallel-composition :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$ 
    'a Aggregator  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
    parallel-composition m n agg V A p = agg A (m V A p) (n V A p)

```

```

abbreviation parallel :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$  'a Aggregator  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
    (- ||- - [50, 1000, 51] 50) where
    m ||a n == parallel-composition m n a

```

5.4.2 Soundness

```

theorem par-comp-sound[simp]:
  fixes
    m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    n :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    a :: 'a Aggregator
  assumes
    social-choice-result.electoral-module m and
    social-choice-result.electoral-module n and
    aggregator a
  shows social-choice-result.electoral-module (m ||a n)
proof (unfold social-choice-result.electoral-module-def, safe)
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
assume
  profile V A p

```

moreover have
 $\forall a'. \text{ aggregator } a' =$
 $(\forall A' e r d e' r' d'.$
 $(\text{well-formed-soc-choice } (A'::'a \text{ set}) (e, r', d) \wedge \text{well-formed-soc-choice } A' (r,$
 $d', e')) \longrightarrow$
 $\text{well-formed-soc-choice } A' (a' A' (e, r', d) (r, d', e')))$
unfolding *aggregator-def*
by *blast*
moreover have
 $\forall m' V' A' p'.$
 $(\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m' \wedge \text{finite } (A'::'a \text{ set})$
 $\wedge \text{finite } (V'::'v \text{ set}) \wedge \text{profile } V' A' p') \longrightarrow \text{well-formed-soc-choice } A' (m'$
 $V' A' p')$
using *par-comp-result-sound*
by *(metis (no-types))*
ultimately have $\text{well-formed-soc-choice } A (a A (m V A p) (n V A p))$
using *elect-rej-def-combination assms*
by *(metis par-comp-result-sound)*
thus $\text{well-formed-soc-choice } A ((m \parallel_a n) V A p)$
by *simp*
qed

5.4.3 Composition Rule

Using a conservative aggregator, the parallel composition preserves the property non-electing.

theorem *conserv-agg-presv-non-electing[simp]:*

fixes

$m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**

$n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**

$a :: 'a \text{ Aggregator}$

assumes

non-electing-m: *non-electing m* **and**

non-electing-n: *non-electing n* **and**

conservative: *agg-conservative a*

shows *non-electing (m \parallel_a n)*

proof *(unfold non-electing-def, safe)*

have *social-choice-result.electoral-module m*

using *non-electing-m*

unfolding *non-electing-def*

by *simp*

moreover have *social-choice-result.electoral-module n*

using *non-electing-n*

unfolding *non-electing-def*

by *simp*

moreover have *aggregator a*

using *conservative*

unfolding *agg-conservative-def*

by *simp*

```

ultimately show social-choice-result.electoral-module ( $m \parallel_a n$ )
  using par-comp-sound
  by simp
next
fix
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
   $w :: 'a$ 
assume
  prof-A: profile  $V A p$  and
  w-wins:  $w \in \text{elect } (m \parallel_a n) \ V A p$ 
have emod-m: social-choice-result.electoral-module  $m$ 
  using non-electing-m
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by simp
have emod-n: social-choice-result.electoral-module  $n$ 
  using non-electing-n
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by simp
have  $\forall r r' d d' e e' A' f.$ 
   $((\text{well-formed-soc-choice } (A'::'a \text{ set}) (e', r', d') \wedge$ 
     $\text{well-formed-soc-choice } A' (e, r, d)) \longrightarrow$ 
     $\text{elect-r } (f A' (e', r', d') (e, r, d)) \subseteq e' \cup e \wedge$ 
     $\text{reject-r } (f A' (e', r', d') (e, r, d)) \subseteq r' \cup r \wedge$ 
     $\text{defer-r } (f A' (e', r', d') (e, r, d)) \subseteq d' \cup d) =$ 
     $((\text{well-formed-soc-choice } A' (e', r', d') \wedge$ 
     $\text{well-formed-soc-choice } A' (e, r, d)) \longrightarrow$ 
     $\text{elect-r } (f A' (e', r', d') (e, r, d)) \subseteq e' \cup e \wedge$ 
     $\text{reject-r } (f A' (e', r', d') (e, r, d)) \subseteq r' \cup r \wedge$ 
     $\text{defer-r } (f A' (e', r', d') (e, r, d)) \subseteq d' \cup d)$ 
  by linarith
hence  $\forall a'. \text{agg-conservative } a' =$ 
   $(\text{aggregator } a' \wedge$ 
     $(\forall A' e e' d d' r r'.$ 
       $(\text{well-formed-soc-choice } (A'::'a \text{ set}) (e, r, d) \wedge$ 
         $\text{well-formed-soc-choice } A' (e', r', d')) \longrightarrow$ 
         $\text{elect-r } (a' A' (e, r, d) (e', r', d')) \subseteq e \cup e' \wedge$ 
         $\text{reject-r } (a' A' (e, r, d) (e', r', d')) \subseteq r \cup r' \wedge$ 
         $\text{defer-r } (a' A' (e, r, d) (e', r', d')) \subseteq d \cup d'))$ 
    unfolding agg-conservative-def
    by simp
hence aggregator  $a \wedge$ 
   $(\forall A' e e' d d' r r'.$ 
     $(\text{well-formed-soc-choice } A' (e, r, d) \wedge$ 
       $\text{well-formed-soc-choice } A' (e', r', d')) \longrightarrow$ 
       $\text{elect-r } (a A' (e, r, d) (e', r', d')) \subseteq e \cup e' \wedge$ 
       $\text{reject-r } (a A' (e, r, d) (e', r', d')) \subseteq r \cup r' \wedge$ 
       $\text{defer-r } (a A' (e, r, d) (e', r', d')) \subseteq d \cup d')$ 

```

```

using conservative
by presburger
hence let  $c = (a \ A \ (m \ V \ A \ p) \ (n \ V \ A \ p))$  in
      ( $elect\text{-}r \ c \subseteq ((elect \ m \ V \ A \ p) \cup (elect \ n \ V \ A \ p))$ )
using emod-m emod-n par-comp-result-sound
      prod.collapse prof-A
by metis
hence  $w \in ((elect \ m \ V \ A \ p) \cup (elect \ n \ V \ A \ p))$ 
using w-wins
by auto
thus  $w \in \{\}$ 
using sup-bot-right prof-A
      non-electing-m non-electing-n
unfolding non-electing-def
by (metis (no-types, lifting))
qed
end

```

5.5 Loop Composition

```

theory Loop-Composition
imports Basic-Modules/Component-Types/Termination-Condition
      Basic-Modules/Defer-Module
      Sequential-Composition
begin

```

The loop composition uses the same module in sequence, combined with a termination condition, until either

- the termination condition is met or
- no new decisions are made (i.e., a fixed point is reached).

5.5.1 Definition

```

lemma loop-termination-helper:
fixes
   $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \ Result) \ Electoral\text{-}Module$  and
   $t :: 'a \ Termination\text{-}Condition$  and
   $acc :: ('a, 'v, 'a \ Result) \ Electoral\text{-}Module$  and
   $A :: 'a \ set$  and
   $V :: 'v \ set$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \ Profile$ 

```

```

assumes
   $\neg t \text{ (acc } V \ A \ p)$  and
   $\text{defer (acc } \triangleright m) \ V \ A \ p \subset \text{defer acc } V \ A \ p$  and
   $\text{finite (defer acc } V \ A \ p)$ 
shows  $((\text{acc } \triangleright m, m, t, V, A, p), (\text{acc}, m, t, V, A, p)) \in$ 
   $\text{measure } (\lambda (\text{acc}, m, t, V, A, p). \text{card (defer acc } V \ A \ p))$ 
using assms psubset-card-mono
by simp

```

This function handles the accumulator for the following loop composition function.

```

function loop-comp-helper ::
   $('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow$ 
   $'a \text{ Termination-Condition} \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  where
   $\text{finite (defer acc } V \ A \ p) \wedge (\text{defer (acc } \triangleright m) \ V \ A \ p) \subset (\text{defer acc } V \ A \ p)$ 
   $\longrightarrow t \text{ (acc } V \ A \ p) \Longrightarrow$ 
   $\text{loop-comp-helper acc } m \ t \ V \ A \ p = \text{acc } V \ A \ p \mid$ 
   $\neg (\text{finite (defer acc } V \ A \ p) \wedge (\text{defer (acc } \triangleright m) \ V \ A \ p) \subset (\text{defer acc } V \ A \ p))$ 
   $\longrightarrow t \text{ (acc } V \ A \ p) \Longrightarrow$ 
   $\text{loop-comp-helper acc } m \ t \ V \ A \ p = \text{loop-comp-helper (acc } \triangleright m) \ m \ t \ V \ A \ p$ 
proof –
fix
   $P :: \text{bool}$  and
   $\text{accum} ::$ 
   $('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \times ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ 
   $\times 'a \text{ Termination-Condition} \times 'v \text{ set} \times 'a \text{ set} \times ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
have  $\text{accum-exists: } \exists \ m \ n \ t \ V \ A \ p. (m, n, t, V, A, p) = \text{accum}$ 
using prod-cases5
by metis
assume
   $\bigwedge \text{acc } V \ A \ p \ m \ t.$ 
   $\text{finite (defer acc } V \ A \ p) \wedge \text{defer (acc } \triangleright m) \ V \ A \ p \subset \text{defer acc } V \ A \ p$ 
   $\longrightarrow t \text{ (acc } V \ A \ p) \Longrightarrow \text{accum} = (\text{acc}, m, t, V, A, p) \Longrightarrow P$  and
   $\bigwedge \text{acc } V \ A \ p \ m \ t.$ 
   $\neg (\text{finite (defer acc } V \ A \ p) \wedge \text{defer (acc } \triangleright m) \ V \ A \ p \subset \text{defer acc } V \ A \ p)$ 
   $\longrightarrow t \text{ (acc } V \ A \ p) \Longrightarrow \text{accum} = (\text{acc}, m, t, V, A, p) \Longrightarrow P$ 
thus  $P$ 
using accum-exists
by metis
next
fix
   $t :: 'a \text{ Termination-Condition}$  and
   $\text{acc} :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
   $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
   $t' :: 'a \text{ Termination-Condition}$  and
   $\text{acc}' :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and

```


$A' :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V' :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $m' :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$
assume
 $\text{finite } (\text{defer } acc \ V \ A \ p) \wedge \text{defer } (acc \triangleright m) \ V \ A \ p \subset \text{defer } acc \ V \ A \ p$
 $\longrightarrow t \ (acc \ V \ A \ p)$ **and**
 $\text{finite } (\text{defer } acc' \ V' \ A' \ p') \wedge \text{defer } (acc' \triangleright m') \ V' \ A' \ p' \subset \text{defer } acc' \ V' \ A' \ p'$
 $\longrightarrow t' \ (acc' \ V' \ A' \ p')$ **and**
 $(acc, m, t, V, A, p) = (acc', m', t', V', A', p')$
thus $acc \ V \ A \ p = acc' \ V' \ A' \ p'$
by *fastforce*
next
fix
 $t :: 'a \text{ Termination-Condition}$ **and**
 $acc :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $t' :: 'a \text{ Termination-Condition}$ **and**
 $acc' :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $A' :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V' :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $m' :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$
assume
 $\text{finite } (\text{defer } acc \ V \ A \ p) \wedge \text{defer } (acc \triangleright m) \ V \ A \ p \subset \text{defer } acc \ V \ A \ p$
 $\longrightarrow t \ (acc \ V \ A \ p)$ **and**
 $\neg (\text{finite } (\text{defer } acc' \ V' \ A' \ p') \wedge \text{defer } (acc' \triangleright m') \ V' \ A' \ p' \subset \text{defer } acc' \ V' \ A' \ p')$
 p'
 $\longrightarrow t' \ (acc' \ V' \ A' \ p')$ **and**
 $(acc, m, t, V, A, p) = (acc', m', t', V', A', p')$
thus $acc \ V \ A \ p = \text{loop-comp-helper-sumC } (acc' \triangleright m', m', t', V', A', p')$
by *force*
next
fix
 $t :: 'a \text{ Termination-Condition}$ **and**
 $acc :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $t' :: 'a \text{ Termination-Condition}$ **and**
 $acc' :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $A' :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V' :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p' :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $m' :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$

```

assume
   $\neg (\text{finite } (\text{defer } \text{acc } V \ A \ p) \wedge \text{defer } (\text{acc} \triangleright m) \ V \ A \ p \subset \text{defer } \text{acc } V \ A \ p$ 
     $\longrightarrow t \ (\text{acc } V \ A \ p))$  and
   $\neg (\text{finite } (\text{defer } \text{acc}' \ V' \ A' \ p') \wedge \text{defer } (\text{acc}' \triangleright m') \ V' \ A' \ p' \subset \text{defer } \text{acc}' \ V' \ A'$ 
 $p'$ 
     $\longrightarrow t' \ (\text{acc}' \ V' \ A' \ p'))$  and
   $(\text{acc}, m, t, V, A, p) = (\text{acc}', m', t', V', A', p')$ 
thus  $\text{loop-comp-helper-sumC } (\text{acc} \triangleright m, m, t, V, A, p) =$ 
   $\text{loop-comp-helper-sumC } (\text{acc}' \triangleright m', m', t', V', A', p')$ 
by force
qed
termination
proof (safe)
  fix
     $m :: ('b, 'a, 'b \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
     $n :: ('b, 'a, 'b \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
     $t :: 'b \text{ Termination-Condition}$  and
     $A :: 'b \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('b, 'a) \text{ Profile}$ 
  have term-rel:
     $\exists R. \text{wf } R \wedge$ 
     $(\text{finite } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \wedge \text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p \subset \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p \longrightarrow t \ (m$ 
 $V \ A \ p) \vee$ 
     $((m \triangleright n, n, t, V, A, p), (m, n, t, V, A, p)) \in R)$ 
    using loop-termination-helper wf-measure termination
    by (metis (no-types))
  obtain
     $R :: (((('b, 'a, 'b \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \times ('b, 'a, 'b \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ 
 $\times$ 
     $('b \text{ Termination-Condition}) \times 'a \text{ set} \times 'b \text{ set} \times ('b, 'a) \text{ Profile}) \times$ 
     $('b, 'a, 'b \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \times ('b, 'a, 'b \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ 
 $\times$ 
     $('b \text{ Termination-Condition}) \times 'a \text{ set} \times 'b \text{ set} \times ('b, 'a) \text{ Profile}) \text{ set}$  where
     $\text{wf } R \wedge$ 
     $(\text{finite } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \wedge \text{defer } (m \triangleright n) \ V \ A \ p \subset \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p \longrightarrow t \ (m \ V$ 
 $A \ p) \vee$ 
     $((m \triangleright n, n, t, V, A, p), m, n, t, V, A, p) \in R)$ 
    using term-rel
    by presburger
  have  $\forall R'.$ 
    All (loop-comp-helper-dom ::
     $('b, 'a, 'b \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \times ('b, 'a, 'b \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ 
 $\times 'b \text{ Termination-Condition} \times 'a \text{ set} \times 'b \text{ set} \times ('b, 'a) \text{ Profile} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \vee$ 
     $(\exists t' m' A' V' p' n'. \text{wf } R' \longrightarrow$ 
     $((m' \triangleright n', n', t', V' :: 'a \text{ set}, A' :: 'b \text{ set}, p'), m', n', t', V', A', p') \notin R' \wedge$ 
     $\text{finite } (\text{defer } m' \ V' \ A' \ p') \wedge \text{defer } (m' \triangleright n') \ V' \ A' \ p' \subset \text{defer } m' \ V' \ A' \ p'$ 
 $\wedge$ 
     $\neg t' \ (m' \ V' \ A' \ p'))$ 

```

```

    using termination
  by metis
thus loop-comp-helper-dom (m, n, t, V, A, p)
  using loop-termination-helper wf-measure
  by metis
qed

```

lemma *loop-comp-code-helper*[code]:

```

  fixes
    m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    t :: 'a Termination-Condition and
    acc :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    A :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
  shows
    loop-comp-helper acc m t V A p =
      (if (t (acc V A p)  $\vee$   $\neg$  ((defer (acc  $\triangleright$  m) V A p)  $\subset$  (defer acc V A p))  $\vee$ 
        infinite (defer acc V A p))
        then (acc V A p) else (loop-comp-helper (acc  $\triangleright$  m) m t V A p))
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) loop-comp-helper.simps)

```

function *loop-composition* ::

```

  ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$  'a Termination-Condition
   $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
    t ({}, {}, A)  $\Longrightarrow$  loop-composition m t V A p = defer-module V A p |
     $\neg$ (t ({}, {}, A))  $\Longrightarrow$  loop-composition m t V A p = (loop-comp-helper m m t) V
  A p
  by (fastforce, simp-all)
termination
  using termination wf-empty
  by blast

```

abbreviation *loop* ::

```

  ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$  'a Termination-Condition
   $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
  (-  $\odot$ - 50) where
    m  $\odot_t \equiv$  loop-composition m t

```

lemma *loop-comp-code*[code]:

```

  fixes
    m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    t :: 'a Termination-Condition and
    A :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
  shows loop-composition m t V A p =
    (if (t ({}, {}, A))
      then (defer-module V A p) else (loop-comp-helper m m t) V A p)

```

by *simp*

lemma *loop-comp-helper-imp-partit*:

fixes

$m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**

$t :: 'a \text{ Termination-Condition}$ **and**

$acc :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**

$A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**

$p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**

$n :: \text{nat}$

assumes

module-m: *social-choice-result.electoral-module* m **and**

profile: *profile* $V \ A \ p$ **and**

module-acc: *social-choice-result.electoral-module* acc **and**

defer-card-n: $n = \text{card } (\text{defer } acc \ V \ A \ p)$

shows *well-formed-soc-choice* $A \ (\text{loop-comp-helper } acc \ m \ t \ V \ A \ p)$

using *assms*

proof (*induct arbitrary: acc rule: less-induct*)

case (*less*)

have $\forall \ m' \ n'.$

$(\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m' \wedge \text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } n')$

$\longrightarrow \text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } (m' \triangleright n')$

by *auto*

hence *social-choice-result.electoral-module* $(acc \triangleright m)$

using *less.premis module-m*

by *blast*

hence $\neg t \ (acc \ V \ A \ p) \wedge \text{defer } (acc \triangleright m) \ V \ A \ p \subset \text{defer } acc \ V \ A \ p \wedge$

$\text{finite } (\text{defer } acc \ V \ A \ p) \longrightarrow$

$\text{well-formed-soc-choice } A \ (\text{loop-comp-helper } acc \ m \ t \ V \ A \ p)$

using *less.hyps less.premis loop-comp-helper.simps(2)*

psubset-card-mono

by *metis*

moreover have *well-formed-soc-choice* $A \ (acc \ V \ A \ p)$

using *less.premis profile*

unfolding *social-choice-result.electoral-module-def*

by *blast*

ultimately show *?case*

using *loop-comp-code-helper*

by (*metis (no-types)*)

qed

5.5.2 Soundness

theorem *loop-comp-sound*:

fixes

$m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**

$t :: 'a \text{ Termination-Condition}$

```

assumes social-choice-result.electoral-module  $m$ 
shows social-choice-result.electoral-module  $(m \odot_t)$ 
using def-mod-sound loop-composition.simps
        loop-comp-helper-imp-partit assms
unfolding social-choice-result.electoral-module-def
by metis

lemma loop-comp-helper-imp-no-def-incr:
fixes
   $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
   $t :: 'a \text{ Termination-Condition}$  and
   $acc :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
   $n :: \text{nat}$ 
assumes
  module-m: social-choice-result.electoral-module  $m$  and
  profile: profile  $V A p$  and
  mod-acc: social-choice-result.electoral-module  $acc$  and
  card-n-defer-acc:  $n = \text{card} (\text{defer } acc V A p)$ 
shows  $\text{defer} (\text{loop-comp-helper } acc m t) V A p \subseteq \text{defer } acc V A p$ 
using assms
proof (induct arbitrary: acc rule: less-induct)
case (less)
have emod-acc-m: social-choice-result.electoral-module  $(acc \triangleright m)$ 
using less.prem module-m seq-comp-sound
by blast
have  $\forall A A'. (\text{finite } A \wedge A' \subset A) \longrightarrow \text{card } A' < \text{card } A$ 
using psubset-card-mono
by metis
hence  $\neg t (acc V A p) \wedge \text{defer} (acc \triangleright m) V A p \subset \text{defer } acc V A p \wedge$ 
   $\text{finite} (\text{defer } acc V A p) \longrightarrow$ 
   $\text{defer} (\text{loop-comp-helper} (acc \triangleright m) m t) V A p \subseteq \text{defer } acc V A p$ 
using emod-acc-m less.hyps less.prem
by blast
hence  $\neg t (acc V A p) \wedge \text{defer} (acc \triangleright m) V A p \subset \text{defer } acc V A p \wedge$ 
   $\text{finite} (\text{defer } acc V A p) \longrightarrow$ 
   $\text{defer} (\text{loop-comp-helper } acc m t) V A p \subseteq \text{defer } acc V A p$ 
using loop-comp-helper.simps(2)
by metis
thus ?case
using eq-iff loop-comp-code-helper
by (metis (no-types))
qed

```

5.5.3 Lemmas

lemma loop-comp-helper-def-lift-inv-helper:

fixes
 $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module and}$
 $t :: 'a \text{ Termination-Condition and}$
 $acc :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module and}$
 $A :: 'a \text{ set and}$
 $V :: 'v \text{ set and}$
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile and}$
 $n :: \text{nat}$
assumes
 $monotone\text{-}m: \text{defer-lift-invariance } m \text{ and}$
 $prof: \text{profile } V \ A \ p \text{ and}$
 $dli\text{-}acc: \text{defer-lift-invariance } acc \text{ and}$
 $card\text{-}n\text{-}defer: n = \text{card } (\text{defer } acc \ V \ A \ p) \text{ and}$
 $defer\text{-}finite: \text{finite } (\text{defer } acc \ V \ A \ p) \text{ and}$
 $only\text{-}voters\text{-}m: \text{only-voters-vote } m$
shows
 $\forall q \ a. a \in (\text{defer } (\text{loop-comp-helper } acc \ m \ t) \ V \ A \ p) \wedge \text{lifted } V \ A \ p \ q \ a \longrightarrow$
 $(\text{loop-comp-helper } acc \ m \ t) \ V \ A \ p = (\text{loop-comp-helper } acc \ m \ t) \ V \ A \ q$
using *assms*
proof (*induct n arbitrary: acc rule: less-induct*)
case (*less n*)
have *defer-card-comp:*
 $\text{defer-lift-invariance } acc \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall q \ a. a \in (\text{defer } (acc \triangleright m) \ V \ A \ p) \wedge \text{lifted } V \ A \ p \ q \ a \longrightarrow$
 $\text{card } (\text{defer } (acc \triangleright m) \ V \ A \ p) = \text{card } (\text{defer } (acc \triangleright m) \ V \ A \ q))$
using *monotone-m def-lift-inv-seq-comp-help only-voters-m*
by *metis*
have *defer-lift-invariance acc* \longrightarrow
 $(\forall q \ a. a \in (\text{defer } acc \ V \ A \ p) \wedge \text{lifted } V \ A \ p \ q \ a \longrightarrow$
 $\text{card } (\text{defer } acc \ V \ A \ p) = \text{card } (\text{defer } acc \ V \ A \ q))$
unfolding *defer-lift-invariance-def*
by *simp*
hence *defer-card-acc:*
 $\text{defer-lift-invariance } acc \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall q \ a. (a \in (\text{defer } (acc \triangleright m) \ V \ A \ p) \wedge \text{lifted } V \ A \ p \ q \ a) \longrightarrow$
 $\text{card } (\text{defer } acc \ V \ A \ p) = \text{card } (\text{defer } acc \ V \ A \ q))$
using *assms seq-comp-def-set-trans*
unfolding *defer-lift-invariance-def*
by *metis*
thus *?case*
proof (*cases*)
assume *card-unchanged:* $\text{card } (\text{defer } (acc \triangleright m) \ V \ A \ p) = \text{card } (\text{defer } acc \ V \ A$
 $p)$
have *defer-lift-invariance acc* \longrightarrow
 $(\forall q \ a. a \in (\text{defer } acc \ V \ A \ p) \wedge \text{lifted } V \ A \ p \ q \ a \longrightarrow$
 $(\text{loop-comp-helper } acc \ m \ t) \ V \ A \ q = acc \ V \ A \ q)$
proof (*safe*)
fix
 $q :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile and}$

```

    a :: 'a
  assume
    dli-acc: defer-lift-invariance acc and
    a-in-def-acc: a ∈ defer acc V A p and
    lifted-A: Profile.lifted V A p q a
  moreover have social-choice-result.electoral-module m
    using monotone-m
    unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
    by simp
  moreover have emod-acc: social-choice-result.electoral-module acc
    using dli-acc
    unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
    by simp
  moreover have acc-eq-pq: acc V A q = acc V A p
    using a-in-def-acc dli-acc lifted-A
    unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
    by (metis (full-types))
  ultimately have finite (defer acc V A p)
    → loop-comp-helper acc m t V A q = acc V A q
    using card-unchanged defer-card-comp prof loop-comp-code-helper
      psubset-card-mono dual-order.strict-iff-order
      seq-comp-def-set-bounded less
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
  thus loop-comp-helper acc m t V A q = acc V A q
    using acc-eq-pq loop-comp-code-helper
    by (metis (full-types))
qed
moreover from card-unchanged
have (loop-comp-helper acc m t) V A p = acc V A p
  using loop-comp-code-helper order.strict-iff-order psubset-card-mono
  by metis
ultimately have
  defer-lift-invariance (acc ▷ m) ∧ defer-lift-invariance acc →
  (∀ q a. a ∈ (defer (loop-comp-helper acc m t) V A p) ∧ lifted V A p q a
→
  (loop-comp-helper acc m t) V A p = (loop-comp-helper acc m t) V
A q)
  unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
  by metis
moreover have defer-lift-invariance (acc ▷ m)
  using less monotone-m seq-comp-presv-def-lift-inv
  by simp
ultimately show ?thesis
  using less monotone-m
  by metis
next
  assume card-changed: ¬ (card (defer (acc ▷ m) V A p) = card (defer acc V A
p))
  with prof

```

have *card-smaller-for-p*:
social-choice-result.electoral-module $acc \wedge finite\ A \longrightarrow$
 $card\ (defer\ (acc \triangleright m)\ V\ A\ p) < card\ (defer\ acc\ V\ A\ p)$
using *monotone-m order.not-eq-order-implies-strict*
card-mono less.premis seq-comp-def-set-bounded
unfolding *defer-lift-invariance-def*
by *metis*
with *defer-card-acc defer-card-comp*
have *card-changed-for-q*:
defer-lift-invariance $acc \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall\ q\ a.\ a \in (defer\ (acc \triangleright m)\ V\ A\ p) \wedge lifted\ V\ A\ p\ q\ a \longrightarrow$
 $card\ (defer\ (acc \triangleright m)\ V\ A\ q) < card\ (defer\ acc\ V\ A\ q))$
using *lifted-def less*
unfolding *defer-lift-invariance-def*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
thus *?thesis*
proof (*cases*)
assume *t-not-satisfied-for-p*: $\neg t\ (acc\ V\ A\ p)$
hence *t-not-satisfied-for-q*:
defer-lift-invariance $acc \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall\ q\ a.\ a \in (defer\ (acc \triangleright m)\ V\ A\ p) \wedge lifted\ V\ A\ p\ q\ a \longrightarrow \neg t\ (acc\ V$
 $A\ q))$
using *monotone-m prof seq-comp-def-set-trans*
unfolding *defer-lift-invariance-def*
by *metis*
have *dli-card-def*:
defer-lift-invariance $(acc \triangleright m) \wedge defer-lift-invariance\ acc \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall\ q\ a.\ a \in (defer\ (acc \triangleright m)\ V\ A\ p) \wedge Profile.lifted\ V\ A\ p\ q\ a \longrightarrow$
 $card\ (defer\ (acc \triangleright m)\ V\ A\ q) \neq (card\ (defer\ acc\ V\ A\ q)))$
proof –
have
 $\forall\ m'.$
 $(\neg\ defer-lift-invariance\ m' \wedge social-choice-result.electoral-module\ m' \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists\ V'\ A'\ p'\ q'\ a.$
 $m'\ V'\ A'\ p' \neq m'\ V'\ A'\ q' \wedge lifted\ V'\ A'\ p'\ q'\ a \wedge a \in defer\ m'\ V'$
 $A'\ p')) \wedge$
 $(defer-lift-invariance\ m' \longrightarrow$
 $social-choice-result.electoral-module\ m' \wedge$
 $(\forall\ V'\ A'\ p'\ q'\ a.$
 $m'\ V'\ A'\ p' \neq m'\ V'\ A'\ q' \longrightarrow lifted\ V'\ A'\ p'\ q'\ a \longrightarrow a \notin defer$
 $m'\ V'\ A'\ p'))$
unfolding *defer-lift-invariance-def*
by *blast*
thus *?thesis*
using *card-changed monotone-m prof seq-comp-def-set-trans*
by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting)*)
qed
hence *dli-def-subset*:
defer-lift-invariance $(acc \triangleright m) \wedge defer-lift-invariance\ acc \longrightarrow$


```

      (∀ p' a. a ∈ (defer (acc ▷ m) V A p) ∧ lifted V A p p' a →
        defer (acc ▷ m) V A p' ⊆ defer acc V A p')
using Profile.lifted-def dli-card-def defer-lift-invariance-def
      monotone-m psubsetI seq-comp-def-set-bounded
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting))
with t-not-satisfied-for-p
have rec-step-q:
  defer-lift-invariance (acc ▷ m) ∧ defer-lift-invariance acc →
    (∀ q a. a ∈ (defer (acc ▷ m) V A p) ∧ lifted V A p q a →
      loop-comp-helper acc m t V A q = loop-comp-helper (acc ▷ m) m t V
A q)
proof (safe)
  fix
    q :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
    a :: 'a
  assume
    a-in-def-impl-def-subset:
    ∀ q' a'. a' ∈ defer (acc ▷ m) V A p ∧ lifted V A p q' a' →
      defer (acc ▷ m) V A q' ⊆ defer acc V A q' and
    dli-acc: defer-lift-invariance acc and
    a-in-def-seq-acc-m: a ∈ defer (acc ▷ m) V A p and
    lifted-pq-a: lifted V A p q a
  hence defer (acc ▷ m) V A q ⊆ defer acc V A q
  by metis
  moreover have social-choice-result.electoral-module acc
  using dli-acc
  unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
  by simp
  moreover have ¬ t (acc V A q)
  using dli-acc a-in-def-seq-acc-m lifted-pq-a t-not-satisfied-for-q
  by metis
  ultimately show loop-comp-helper acc m t V A q
    = loop-comp-helper (acc ▷ m) m t V A q
  using loop-comp-code-helper defer-in-alts finite-subset lifted-pq-a
  unfolding lifted-def
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
qed
have rec-step-p:
  social-choice-result.electoral-module acc →
    loop-comp-helper acc m t V A p = loop-comp-helper (acc ▷ m) m t V A p
proof (safe)
  assume emod-acc: social-choice-result.electoral-module acc
  have sound-imp-defer-subset:
    social-choice-result.electoral-module m →
      defer (acc ▷ m) V A p ⊆ defer acc V A p
  using emod-acc prof seq-comp-def-set-bounded
  by blast
  hence card-ineq: card (defer (acc ▷ m) V A p) < card (defer acc V A p)
  using card-changed card-mono less order-neq-le-trans

```

```

    unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
    by metis
  have def-limited-acc:
    profile V (defer acc V A p) (limit-profile (defer acc V A p) p)
    using def-presv-prof emod-acc prof
    by metis
  have defer (acc ▷ m) V A p ⊆ defer acc V A p
    using sound-imp-defer-subset defer-lift-invariance-def monotone-m
    by blast
  hence defer (acc ▷ m) V A p ⊂ defer acc V A p
    using def-limited-acc card-ineq card-psubset less
    by metis
  with def-limited-acc
  show loop-comp-helper acc m t V A p = loop-comp-helper (acc ▷ m) m t V
A p
    using loop-comp-code-helper t-not-satisfied-for-p less
    by (metis (no-types))
qed
show ?thesis
proof (safe)
  fix
    q :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
    a :: 'a
  assume
    a-in-defer-lch: a ∈ defer (loop-comp-helper acc m t) V A p and
    a-lifted: Profile.lifted V A p q a
  have mod-acc: social-choice-result.electoral-module acc
    using less.premis
    unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
    by simp
  hence loop-comp-equiv:
    loop-comp-helper acc m t V A p = loop-comp-helper (acc ▷ m) m t V A p
    using rec-step-p
    by blast
  hence a ∈ defer (loop-comp-helper (acc ▷ m) m t) V A p
    using a-in-defer-lch
    by presburger
  moreover have l-inv: defer-lift-invariance (acc ▷ m)
    using less.premis monotone-m only-voters-m seq-comp-presv-def-lift-inv[of
acc m]
    by blast
  ultimately have a ∈ defer (acc ▷ m) V A p
    using prof monotone-m in-mono loop-comp-helper-imp-no-def-incr
    unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
    by meson
  with l-inv loop-comp-equiv show
    loop-comp-helper acc m t V A p = loop-comp-helper acc m t V A q
  proof –
    assume

```

dli-acc-seq-m: defer-lift-invariance ($acc \triangleright m$) **and**
a-in-def-seq: $a \in \text{defer}$ ($acc \triangleright m$) $V A p$
moreover from this have *social-choice-result.electoral-module* ($acc \triangleright m$)
unfolding *defer-lift-invariance-def*
by *blast*
moreover have $a \in \text{defer}$ (*loop-comp-helper* ($acc \triangleright m$) $m t$) $V A p$
using *loop-comp-equiv a-in-defer-lch*
by *presburger*
ultimately have
loop-comp-helper ($acc \triangleright m$) $m t V A p$
 $= \text{loop-comp-helper}$ ($acc \triangleright m$) $m t V A q$
using *monotone-m mod-acc less a-lifted card-smaller-for-p*
defer-in-alts infinite-super less
unfolding *lifted-def*
by (*metis* (*no-types*))
moreover have *loop-comp-helper* $acc m t V A q$
 $= \text{loop-comp-helper}$ ($acc \triangleright m$) $m t V A q$
using *dli-acc-seq-m a-in-def-seq less a-lifted rec-step-q*
by *blast*
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *loop-comp-equiv*
by *presburger*
qed
qed
next
assume $\neg \neg t$ ($acc V A p$)
thus *?thesis*
using *loop-comp-code-helper less*
unfolding *defer-lift-invariance-def*
by *metis*
qed
qed
qed

lemma *loop-comp-helper-def-lift-inv:*
fixes
 $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $t :: 'a \text{ Termination-Condition}$ **and**
 $acc :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $q :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $a :: 'a$
assumes
defer-lift-invariance m **and**
only-voters-vote m **and**
defer-lift-invariance acc **and**
profile $V A p$ **and**

```

    lifted V A p q a and
    a ∈ defer (loop-comp-helper acc m t) V A p
shows (loop-comp-helper acc m t) V A p = (loop-comp-helper acc m t) V A q
using assms loop-comp-helper-def-lift-inv-helper lifted-def
    defer-in-alts defer-lift-invariance-def finite-subset
by metis

lemma lifted-imp-fin-prof:
fixes
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  q :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  a :: 'a
assumes lifted V A p q a
shows finite-profile V A p
using assms
unfolding lifted-def
by simp

lemma loop-comp-helper-presv-def-lift-inv:
fixes
  m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
  t :: 'a Termination-Condition and
  acc :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
assumes
  defer-lift-invariance m and
  only-voters-vote m and
  defer-lift-invariance acc
shows defer-lift-invariance (loop-comp-helper acc m t)
proof (unfold defer-lift-invariance-def, safe)
show social-choice-result.electoral-module (loop-comp-helper acc m t)
using loop-comp-helper-imp-partit assms
unfolding social-choice-result.electoral-module-def
  defer-lift-invariance-def
by metis
next
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  q :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  a :: 'a
assume
  a ∈ defer (loop-comp-helper acc m t) V A p and
  lifted V A p q a
thus loop-comp-helper acc m t V A p = loop-comp-helper acc m t V A q
using lifted-imp-fin-prof loop-comp-helper-def-lift-inv assms
by metis

```

qed

lemma *loop-comp-presv-non-electing-helper*:

fixes

$m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $t :: 'a \text{ Termination-Condition}$ **and**
 $acc :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $n :: \text{nat}$

assumes

non-electing-m: *non-electing m* **and**
non-electing-acc: *non-electing acc* **and**
prof: *profile V A p* **and**
acc-defer-card: $n = \text{card} (\text{defer } acc \ V \ A \ p)$

shows $\text{elect} (\text{loop-comp-helper } acc \ m \ t) \ V \ A \ p = \{\}$

using *acc-defer-card non-electing-acc*

proof (*induct n arbitrary: acc rule: less-induct*)

case (*less n*)

thus *?case*

proof (*safe*)

fix $x :: 'a$

assume

acc-no-elect:

$(\bigwedge i \ acc'. i < \text{card} (\text{defer } acc \ V \ A \ p) \implies$
 $i = \text{card} (\text{defer } acc' \ V \ A \ p) \implies \text{non-electing } acc' \implies$
 $\text{elect} (\text{loop-comp-helper } acc' \ m \ t) \ V \ A \ p = \{\})$ **and**

acc-non-elect: *non-electing acc* **and**

x-in-acc-elect: $x \in \text{elect} (\text{loop-comp-helper } acc \ m \ t) \ V \ A \ p$

have $\forall \ m' \ n'. \text{non-electing } m' \wedge \text{non-electing } n' \longrightarrow \text{non-electing } (m' \triangleright n')$

by *simp*

hence *seq-acc-m-non-elect*: *non-electing (acc \triangleright m)*

using *acc-non-elect non-electing-m*

by *blast*

have $\forall \ i \ m'.$

$i < \text{card} (\text{defer } acc \ V \ A \ p) \wedge i = \text{card} (\text{defer } m' \ V \ A \ p) \wedge$
 $\text{non-electing } m' \longrightarrow$
 $\text{elect} (\text{loop-comp-helper } m' \ m \ t) \ V \ A \ p = \{\}$

using *acc-no-elect*

by *blast*

hence $\forall \ m'.$

$\text{finite} (\text{defer } acc \ V \ A \ p) \wedge \text{defer } m' \ V \ A \ p \subset \text{defer } acc \ V \ A \ p \wedge$
 $\text{non-electing } m' \longrightarrow$
 $\text{elect} (\text{loop-comp-helper } m' \ m \ t) \ V \ A \ p = \{\}$

using *psubset-card-mono*

by *metis*

hence $\neg t (acc \ V \ A \ p) \wedge \text{defer} (acc \triangleright m) \ V \ A \ p \subset \text{defer } acc \ V \ A \ p \wedge$
 $\text{finite} (\text{defer } acc \ V \ A \ p) \longrightarrow$

```

      elect (loop-comp-helper acc m t) V A p = {}
    using loop-comp-code-helper seq-acc-m-non-elect
    by (metis (no-types))
  moreover have elect acc V A p = {}
    using acc-non-elect prof non-electing-def
    by blast
  ultimately show x ∈ {}
    using loop-comp-code-helper x-in-acc-elect
    by (metis (no-types))
qed
qed

```

lemma *loop-comp-helper-iter-elim-def-n-helper:*

```

  fixes
    m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    t :: 'a Termination-Condition and
    acc :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    A :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
    n :: nat and
    x :: nat
  assumes
    non-electing-m: non-electing m and
    single-elimination: eliminates 1 m and
    terminate-if-n-left:  $\forall r. t\ r = (\text{card } (\text{defer-r } r) = x)$  and
    x-greater-zero:  $x > 0$  and
    prof: profile V A p and
    n-acc-defer-card:  $n = \text{card } (\text{defer acc V A p})$  and
    n-ge-x:  $n \geq x$  and
    def-card-gt-one:  $\text{card } (\text{defer acc V A p}) > 1$  and
    acc-nonelect: non-electing acc
  shows  $\text{card } (\text{defer } (\text{loop-comp-helper acc m t}) V A p) = x$ 
  using n-ge-x def-card-gt-one acc-nonelect n-acc-defer-card
proof (induct n arbitrary: acc rule: less-induct)
  case (less n)
  have mod-acc: social-choice-result.electoral-module acc
    using less
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by metis
  hence step-reduces-defer-set:  $\text{defer } (\text{acc } \triangleright m) V A p \subset \text{defer acc V A p}$ 
    using seq-comp-elim-one-red-def-set single-elimination prof less
    by metis
  thus ?case
proof (cases t (acc V A p))
  case True
  assume term-satisfied:  $t (acc V A p)$ 
  thus  $\text{card } (\text{defer-r } (\text{loop-comp-helper acc m t V A p})) = x$ 

```

```

    using loop-comp-code-helper term-satisfied terminate-if-n-left
    by metis
next
case False
hence card-not-eq-x: card (defer acc V A p)  $\neq$  x
    using terminate-if-n-left
    by metis
have fin-def-acc: finite (defer acc V A p)
    using prof mod-acc less card.infinite not-one-less-zero
    by metis
hence rec-step:
    loop-comp-helper acc m t V A p = loop-comp-helper (acc  $\triangleright$  m) m t V A p
    using False step-reduces-defer-set
    by simp
have card-too-big: card (defer acc V A p) > x
    using card-not-eq-x dual-order.order-iff-strict less
    by simp
hence enough-leftover: card (defer acc V A p) > 1
    using x-greater-zero
    by simp
obtain k where
    new-card-k: k = card (defer (acc  $\triangleright$  m) V A p)
    by metis
have defer acc V A p  $\subseteq$  A
    using defer-in-alts prof mod-acc
    by metis
hence step-profile: profile V (defer acc V A p) (limit-profile (defer acc V A p)
p)
    using prof limit-profile-sound
    by metis
hence
    card (defer m V (defer acc V A p) (limit-profile (defer acc V A p) p)) =
        card (defer acc V A p) - 1
    using enough-leftover non-electing-m
        single-elimination single-elim-decr-def-card-2
    by blast
hence k-card: k = card (defer acc V A p) - 1
    using mod-acc prof new-card-k non-electing-m seq-comp-defers-def-set
    by metis
hence new-card-still-big-enough: x  $\leq$  k
    using card-too-big
    by linarith
show ?thesis
proof (cases x < k)
case True
hence 1 < card (defer (acc  $\triangleright$  m) V A p)
    using new-card-k x-greater-zero
    by linarith
moreover have k < n

```

```

    using step-reduces-defer-set step-profile psubset-card-mono
      new-card-k less fin-def-acc
    by metis
  moreover have social-choice-result.electoral-module (acc ▷ m)
    using mod-acc eliminates-def seq-comp-sound single-elimination
    by metis
  moreover have non-electing (acc ▷ m)
    using less non-electing-m
    by simp
  ultimately have card (defer (loop-comp-helper (acc ▷ m) m t) V A p) = x
    using new-card-k new-card-still-big-enough less
    by metis
  thus ?thesis
    using rec-step
    by presburger
next
case False
thus ?thesis
  using dual-order.strict-iff-order new-card-k
    new-card-still-big-enough rec-step
    terminate-if-n-left
  by simp
qed
qed
qed

lemma loop-comp-helper-iter-elim-def-n:
  fixes
    m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    t :: 'a Termination-Condition and
    acc :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    A :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
    x :: nat
  assumes
    non-electing m and
    eliminates 1 m and
     $\forall r. (t r) = (\text{card } (\text{defer } r r) = x)$  and
     $x > 0$  and
    profile V A p and
     $\text{card } (\text{defer } acc V A p) \geq x$  and
    non-electing acc
  shows card (defer (loop-comp-helper acc m t) V A p) = x
  using assms gr-implies-not0 le-neq-implies-less less-one linorder-neqE-nat nat-neq-iff
    less-le loop-comp-helper-iter-elim-def-n-helper loop-comp-code-helper
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))

```

lemma iter-elim-def-n-helper:


```

fixes
   $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
   $t :: 'a \text{ Termination-Condition}$  and
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
   $x :: \text{nat}$ 
assumes
   $\text{non-electing-}m$ :  $\text{non-electing } m$  and
   $\text{single-elimination}$ :  $\text{eliminates } 1 \ m$  and
   $\text{terminate-if-n-left}$ :  $\forall r. (t \ r) = (\text{card } (\text{defer-}r \ r) = x)$  and
   $\text{x-greater-zero}$ :  $x > 0$  and
   $\text{prof}$ :  $\text{profile } V \ A \ p$  and
   $\text{enough-alternatives}$ :  $\text{card } A \geq x$ 
shows  $\text{card } (\text{defer } (m \ \odot_t) \ V \ A \ p) = x$ 
proof (cases)
  assume  $\text{card } A = x$ 
  thus ?thesis
    using  $\text{terminate-if-n-left}$ 
    by simp
next
  assume  $\text{card-not-}x$ :  $\neg \text{card } A = x$ 
  thus ?thesis
proof (cases)
  assume  $\text{card } A < x$ 
  thus ?thesis
    using  $\text{enough-alternatives not-le}$ 
    by blast
next
  assume  $\neg \text{card } A < x$ 
  hence  $\text{card } A > x$ 
    using  $\text{card-not-}x$ 
    by linarith
  moreover from this
  have  $\text{card } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) = \text{card } A - 1$ 
    using  $\text{non-electing-}m \ \text{single-elimination} \ \text{single-elim-decr-def-card-2}$ 
     $\text{prof } x\text{-greater-zero}$ 
    by fastforce
  ultimately have  $\text{card } (\text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) \geq x$ 
    by linarith
  moreover have  $(m \ \odot_t) \ V \ A \ p = (\text{loop-comp-helper } m \ m \ t) \ V \ A \ p$ 
    using  $\text{card-not-}x \ \text{terminate-if-n-left}$ 
    by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using  $\text{non-electing-}m \ \text{prof} \ \text{single-elimination} \ \text{terminate-if-n-left} \ x\text{-greater-zero}$ 
     $\text{loop-comp-helper-iter-elim-def-n}$ 
    by metis
qed
qed

```

5.5.4 Composition Rules

The loop composition preserves defer-lift-invariance.

```

theorem loop-comp-presv-def-lift-inv[simp]:
  fixes
     $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
     $t :: 'a \text{ Termination-Condition}$ 
  assumes defer-lift-invariance m and only-voters-vote m
  shows defer-lift-invariance (m  $\odot_t$ )
proof (unfold defer-lift-invariance-def, safe)
  have social-choice-result.electoral-module m
    using assms
    unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
    by simp
  thus social-choice-result.electoral-module (m  $\odot_t$ )
    by (simp add: loop-comp-sound)
next
fix
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
   $q :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
   $a :: 'a$ 
assume
   $a \in \text{defer } (m \odot_t) \ V \ A \ p$  and
  lifted V A p q a
moreover have
   $\forall p' q' a'. a' \in (\text{defer } (m \odot_t) \ V \ A \ p') \wedge \text{lifted } V \ A \ p' \ q' \ a' \longrightarrow$ 
     $(m \odot_t) \ V \ A \ p' = (m \odot_t) \ V \ A \ q'$ 
    using assms lifted-imp-fin-prof loop-comp-helper-def-lift-inv
    loop-composition.simps defer-module.simps
    by (metis (full-types))
  ultimately show  $(m \odot_t) \ V \ A \ p = (m \odot_t) \ V \ A \ q$ 
    by metis
qed

```

The loop composition preserves the property non-electing.

```

theorem loop-comp-presv-non-electing[simp]:
  fixes
     $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
     $t :: 'a \text{ Termination-Condition}$ 
  assumes non-electing m
  shows non-electing (m  $\odot_t$ )
proof (unfold non-electing-def, safe)
  show social-choice-result.electoral-module (m  $\odot_t$ )
    using loop-comp-sound assms
    unfolding non-electing-def
    by metis
next

```

```

fix
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$  and
   $a :: 'a$ 
assume
   $\text{profile } V \ A \ p$  and
   $a \in \text{elect } (m \circlearrowleft_t) \ V \ A \ p$ 
thus  $a \in \{\}$ 
  using def-mod-non-electing loop-comp-presv-non-electing-helper
    assms empty-iff loop-comp-code
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by (metis (no-types))
qed

theorem iter-elim-def-n[simp]:
  fixes
     $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
     $t :: 'a \text{ Termination-Condition}$  and
     $n :: \text{nat}$ 
  assumes
    non-electing-m: non-electing m and
    single-elimination: eliminates 1 m and
    terminate-if-n-left:  $\forall r. t \ r = (\text{card } (\text{defer-r } r) = n)$  and
    x-greater-zero:  $n > 0$ 
  shows  $\text{defers } n \ (m \circlearrowleft_t)$ 
proof (unfold defers-def, safe)
  show  $\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } (m \circlearrowleft_t)$ 
    using loop-comp-sound non-electing-m
    unfolding non-electing-def
    by metis
next
  fix
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
     $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
     $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
  assume
     $n \leq \text{card } A$  and
     $\text{finite } A$  and
     $\text{profile } V \ A \ p$ 
  thus  $\text{card } (\text{defer } (m \circlearrowleft_t) \ V \ A \ p) = n$ 
    using iter-elim-def-n-helper assms
    by metis
qed

end

```

5.6 Maximum Parallel Composition

theory *Maximum-Parallel-Composition*
imports *Basic-Modules/Component-Types/Maximum-Aggregator*
Parallel-Composition
begin

This is a family of parallel compositions. It composes a new electoral module from two electoral modules combined with the maximum aggregator. Therein, the two modules each make a decision and then a partition is returned where every alternative receives the maximum result of the two input partitions. This means that, if any alternative is elected by at least one of the modules, then it gets elected, if any non-elected alternative is deferred by at least one of the modules, then it gets deferred, only alternatives rejected by both modules get rejected.

5.6.1 Definition

fun *maximum-parallel-composition* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow
('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
where
maximum-parallel-composition m n =
(let a = max-aggregator in (m \parallel_a n))

abbreviation *max-parallel* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow
('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module \Rightarrow
('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module (**infix** \parallel_{\uparrow} 50) **where**
m \parallel_{\uparrow} n == *maximum-parallel-composition* m n

5.6.2 Soundness

theorem *max-par-comp-sound*:
fixes
m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module **and**
n :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
assumes
social-choice-result.electoral-module m **and**
social-choice-result.electoral-module n
shows *social-choice-result.electoral-module* (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n)
using *assms*
by *simp*

5.6.3 Lemmas

lemma *max-agg-eq-result*:
fixes

$m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module and}$
 $n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module and}$
 $A :: 'a \text{ set and}$
 $V :: 'v \text{ set and}$
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile and}$
 $a :: 'a$
assumes
 $\text{module-m: social-choice-result.electoral-module } m \text{ and}$
 $\text{module-n: social-choice-result.electoral-module } n \text{ and}$
 $\text{prof-p: profile } V \ A \ p \text{ and}$
 $a\text{-in-A: } a \in A$
shows $\text{mod-contains-result } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ m \ V \ A \ p \ a \ \vee$
 $\text{mod-contains-result } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ n \ V \ A \ p \ a$
proof (cases)
assume $a\text{-elect: } a \in \text{elect } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p$
hence $\text{let } (e, r, d) = m \ V \ A \ p;$
 $(e', r', d') = n \ V \ A \ p \text{ in}$
 $a \in e \cup e'$
by *auto*
hence $a \in (\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p) \cup (\text{elect } n \ V \ A \ p)$
by *auto*
moreover have
 $\forall m' n' V' A' p' a'.$
 $\text{mod-contains-result } m' \ n' \ V' \ A' \ p' \ (a'::'a) =$
 $(\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m'$
 $\wedge \text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } n'$
 $\wedge \text{profile } V' \ A' \ p' \wedge a' \in A'$
 $\wedge (a' \notin \text{elect } m' \ V' \ A' \ p' \vee a' \in \text{elect } n' \ V' \ A' \ p')$
 $\wedge (a' \notin \text{reject } m' \ V' \ A' \ p' \vee a' \in \text{reject } n' \ V' \ A' \ p')$
 $\wedge (a' \notin \text{defer } m' \ V' \ A' \ p' \vee a' \in \text{defer } n' \ V' \ A' \ p'))$
unfolding $\text{mod-contains-result-def}$
by *simp*
moreover have $\text{module-mn: social-choice-result.electoral-module } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n)$
using module-m module-n
by *simp*
moreover have $a \notin \text{defer } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p$
using $\text{module-mn IntI } a\text{-elect empty-iff prof-p result-disj}$
by (metis (no-types))
moreover have $a \notin \text{reject } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p$
using $\text{module-mn IntI } a\text{-elect empty-iff prof-p result-disj}$
by (metis (no-types))
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *assms*
by *blast*
next
assume $\text{not-a-elect: } a \notin \text{elect } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p$
thus *?thesis*
proof (cases)
assume $a\text{-in-def: } a \in \text{defer } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p$

thus *?thesis*
proof (*safe*)
assume *not-mod-cont-mn*: $\neg \text{mod-contains-result } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ n \ V \ A \ p \ a$
have *par-emod*: $\forall \ m' \ n'.$
 $\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m' \wedge$
 $\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } n' \longrightarrow$
 $\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } (m' \parallel_{\uparrow} n')$
using *max-par-comp-sound*
by *blast*
have *set-intersect*: $\forall \ a' \ A' \ A''. (a' \in A' \cap A'') = (a' \in A' \wedge a' \in A'')$
by *blast*
have *wf-n*: *well-formed-soc-choice* $A \ (n \ V \ A \ p)$
using *prof-p module-n*
unfolding *social-choice-result.electoral-module-def*
by *blast*
have *wf-m*: *well-formed-soc-choice* $A \ (m \ V \ A \ p)$
using *prof-p module-m*
unfolding *social-choice-result.electoral-module-def*
by *blast*
have *e-mod-par*: *social-choice-result.electoral-module* $(m \parallel_{\uparrow} n)$
using *par-emod module-m module-n*
by *blast*
hence *social-choice-result.electoral-module* $(m \parallel_m \text{ax-aggregator } n)$
by *simp*
hence *result-disj-max*:
 $\text{elect } (m \parallel_m \text{ax-aggregator } n) \ V \ A \ p \cap$
 $\text{reject } (m \parallel_m \text{ax-aggregator } n) \ V \ A \ p = \{\}$ \wedge
 $\text{elect } (m \parallel_m \text{ax-aggregator } n) \ V \ A \ p \cap$
 $\text{defer } (m \parallel_m \text{ax-aggregator } n) \ V \ A \ p = \{\}$ \wedge
 $\text{reject } (m \parallel_m \text{ax-aggregator } n) \ V \ A \ p \cap$
 $\text{defer } (m \parallel_m \text{ax-aggregator } n) \ V \ A \ p = \{\}$
using *prof-p result-disj*
by *metis*
have *a-not-elect*: $a \notin \text{elect } (m \parallel_m \text{ax-aggregator } n) \ V \ A \ p$
using *result-disj-max a-in-def*
by *force*
have *result-m*: $(\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p, \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p, \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) = m \ V \ A \ p$
by *auto*
have *result-n*: $(\text{elect } n \ V \ A \ p, \text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p, \text{defer } n \ V \ A \ p) = n \ V \ A \ p$
by *auto*
have *max-pq*:
 $\forall \ (A::'a \ \text{set}) \ m' \ n'.$
 $\text{elect-r } (\text{max-aggregator } A' \ m' \ n') = \text{elect-r } m' \cup \text{elect-r } n'$
by *force*
have $a \notin \text{elect } (m \parallel_m \text{ax-aggregator } n) \ V \ A \ p$
using *a-not-elect*
by *blast*
hence $a \notin \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{elect } n \ V \ A \ p$
using *max-pq*

by *simp*
 hence *b-not-elect-mn*: $a \notin \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \wedge a \notin \text{elect } n \ V \ A \ p$
 by *blast*
 have *b-not-mpar-rej*: $a \notin \text{reject } (m \parallel_{\text{max-aggregator}} n) \ V \ A \ p$
 using *result-disj-max a-in-def*
 by *fastforce*
 have *mod-cont-res-fg*:
 $\forall m' n' A' V' p' (a'::'a).$
 $\text{mod-contains-result } m' n' V' A' p' a' =$
 $(\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m'$
 $\wedge \text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } n'$
 $\wedge \text{profile } V' A' p' \wedge a' \in A'$
 $\wedge (a' \in \text{elect } m' V' A' p' \longrightarrow a' \in \text{elect } n' V' A' p')$
 $\wedge (a' \in \text{reject } m' V' A' p' \longrightarrow a' \in \text{reject } n' V' A' p')$
 $\wedge (a' \in \text{defer } m' V' A' p' \longrightarrow a' \in \text{defer } n' V' A' p'))$
 by (*simp add: mod-contains-result-def*)
 have *max-agg-res*:
 $\text{max-aggregator } A (\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p, \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p, \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p)$
 $(\text{elect } n \ V \ A \ p, \text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p, \text{defer } n \ V \ A \ p) = (m \parallel_{\text{max-aggregator}} n)$
 $V \ A \ p$
 by *simp*
 have *well-f-max*:
 $\forall r' r'' e' e'' d' d'' A'.$
 $\text{well-formed-soc-choice } A' (e', r', d') \wedge$
 $\text{well-formed-soc-choice } A' (e'', r'', d'') \longrightarrow$
 $\text{reject-r } (\text{max-aggregator } A' (e', r', d') (e'', r'', d'')) = r' \cap r''$
 using *max-agg-rej-set*
 by *metis*
 have *e-mod-disj*:
 $\forall m' (V'::'v \text{ set}) (A'::'a \text{ set}) p'.$
 $\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m' \wedge \text{profile } V' A' p'$
 $\longrightarrow \text{elect } m' V' A' p' \cup \text{reject } m' V' A' p' \cup \text{defer } m' V' A' p' = A'$
 using *result-presv-alts*
 by *blast*
 hence *e-mod-disj-n*: $\text{elect } n \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{defer } n \ V \ A \ p = A$
 using *prof-p module-n*
 by *metis*
 have $\forall m' n' A' V' p' (b::'a).$
 $\text{mod-contains-result } m' n' V' A' p' b =$
 $(\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m'$
 $\wedge \text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } n'$
 $\wedge \text{profile } V' A' p' \wedge b \in A'$
 $\wedge (b \in \text{elect } m' V' A' p' \longrightarrow b \in \text{elect } n' V' A' p')$
 $\wedge (b \in \text{reject } m' V' A' p' \longrightarrow b \in \text{reject } n' V' A' p')$
 $\wedge (b \in \text{defer } m' V' A' p' \longrightarrow b \in \text{defer } n' V' A' p'))$
 unfolding *mod-contains-result-def*
 by *simp*
 hence $a \in \text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p$
 using *e-mod-disj-n e-mod-par prof-p a-in-A module-n not-mod-cont-mn*

```

      a-not-elect b-not-elect-mn b-not-mpar-rej
    by fastforce
  hence  $a \notin \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
    using well-f-max max-agg-res result-m result-n set-intersect
      wf-m wf-n b-not-mpar-rej
    by (metis (no-types))
  hence  $a \notin \text{defer } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p \vee a \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
    using e-mod-disj prof-p a-in-A module-m b-not-elect-mn
    by blast
  thus mod-contains-result  $(m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ m \ V \ A \ p \ a$ 
    using b-not-mpar-rej mod-cont-res-fg e-mod-par prof-p a-in-A
      module-m a-not-elect
    by fastforce
qed
next
  assume not-a-defer:  $a \notin \text{defer } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p$ 
  have el-rej-defer:  $(\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p, \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p, \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) = m \ V \ A \ p$ 
    by auto
  from not-a-elect not-a-defer
  have a-reject:  $a \in \text{reject } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p$ 
  using electoral-mod-defer-elem a-in-A module-m module-n prof-p max-par-comp-sound
  by metis
  hence case snd  $(m \ V \ A \ p)$  of  $(r, d) \Rightarrow$ 
    case n  $V \ A \ p$  of  $(e', r', d') \Rightarrow$ 
       $a \in \text{reject-r } (\text{max-aggregator } A \ (\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p, r, d) \ (e', r', d'))$ 
    using el-rej-defer
    by force
  hence let  $(e, r, d) = m \ V \ A \ p;$ 
     $(e', r', d') = n \ V \ A \ p$  in
     $a \in \text{reject-r } (\text{max-aggregator } A \ (e, r, d) \ (e', r', d'))$ 
    by (simp add: case-prod-unfold)
  hence let  $(e, r, d) = m \ V \ A \ p;$ 
     $(e', r', d') = n \ V \ A \ p$  in
     $a \in A - (e \cup e' \cup d \cup d')$ 
    by simp
  hence  $a \notin \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \cup (\text{defer } n \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p)$ 
    by force
  thus ?thesis
    using mod-contains-result-comm mod-contains-result-def Un-iff
      a-reject prof-p a-in-A module-m module-n max-par-comp-sound
    by (metis (no-types))
qed
qed

lemma max-agg-rej-iff-both-reject:
  fixes
     $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
     $n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$  and
     $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and

```


$V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **and**
 $a :: 'a$
assumes
 $\text{finite-profile } V \ A \ p$ **and**
 $\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m$ **and**
 $\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } n$
shows $(a \in \text{reject } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p) = (a \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \wedge a \in \text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p)$
proof
assume $\text{rej-a: } a \in \text{reject } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p$
hence $\text{case } n \ V \ A \ p \text{ of } (e, r, d) \Rightarrow$
 $a \in \text{reject-r } (\text{max-aggregator } A$
 $\quad (\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p, \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p, \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p) (e, r, d))$
by auto
hence $\text{case } \text{snd } (m \ V \ A \ p) \text{ of } (r, d) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{case } n \ V \ A \ p \text{ of } (e', r', d') \Rightarrow$
 $a \in \text{reject-r } (\text{max-aggregator } A (\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p, r, d) (e', r', d'))$
by force
with rej-a
have $\text{let } (e, r, d) = m \ V \ A \ p;$
 $(e', r', d') = n \ V \ A \ p \text{ in}$
 $a \in \text{reject-r } (\text{max-aggregator } A (e, r, d) (e', r', d'))$
by (simp add: prod.case-eq-if)
hence $\text{let } (e, r, d) = m \ V \ A \ p;$
 $(e', r', d') = n \ V \ A \ p \text{ in}$
 $a \in A - (e \cup e' \cup d \cup d')$
by simp
hence $a \in A - (\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{elect } n \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{defer } n \ V \ A \ p)$
by auto
thus $a \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \wedge a \in \text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p$
using $\text{Diff-iff Un-iff electoral-mod-defer-elem assms}$
by metis
next
assume $a \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \wedge a \in \text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p$
moreover from this
have $a \notin \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \wedge a \notin \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p \wedge a \notin \text{elect } n \ V \ A \ p \wedge a \notin \text{defer } n \ V \ A \ p$
using $\text{IntI empty-iff assms result-disj}$
by metis
ultimately show $a \in \text{reject } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p$
using $\text{DiffD1 max-agg-eq-result mod-contains-result-comm mod-contains-result-def}$
 $\text{reject-not-elec-or-def assms}$
by (metis (no-types))
qed

lemma $\text{max-agg-rej-fst-imp-seq-contained:}$
fixes

```

  m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
  n :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  a :: 'a
assumes
  f-prof: finite-profile V A p and
  module-m: social-choice-result.electoral-module m and
  module-n: social-choice-result.electoral-module n and
  rejected: a ∈ reject n V A p
shows mod-contains-result m (m ||↑ n) V A p a
using assms
proof (unfold mod-contains-result-def, safe)
  show social-choice-result.electoral-module (m ||↑ n)
    using module-m module-n
    by simp
next
  show a ∈ A
    using f-prof module-n rejected reject-in-alts
    by blast
next
  assume a-in-elect: a ∈ elect m V A p
  hence a-not-reject: a ∉ reject m V A p
    using disjoint-iff-not-equal f-prof module-m result-disj
    by metis
  have reject n V A p ⊆ A
    using f-prof module-n
    by (simp add: reject-in-alts)
  hence a ∈ A
    using in-mono rejected
    by metis
  with a-in-elect a-not-reject
  show a ∈ elect (m ||↑ n) V A p
    using f-prof max-agg-eq-result module-m module-n rejected
      max-agg-rej-iff-both-reject mod-contains-result-comm
      mod-contains-result-def
    by metis
next
  assume a ∈ reject m V A p
  hence a ∈ reject m V A p ∧ a ∈ reject n V A p
    using rejected
    by simp
  thus a ∈ reject (m ||↑ n) V A p
    using f-prof max-agg-rej-iff-both-reject module-m module-n
    by (metis (no-types))
next
  assume a-in-defer: a ∈ defer m V A p
  then obtain d :: 'a where

```

defer-a: $a = d \wedge d \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$
 by *metis*
 have a-not-rej: $a \notin \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$
 using *disjoint-iff-not-equal f-prof defer-a module-m result-disj*
 by (*metis (no-types)*)
 have
 $\forall m' A' V' p'. (social-choice-result.electoral-module \ m' \wedge finite \ A' \wedge finite \ V' \wedge profile \ V' \ A' \ p') \longrightarrow$
 $elect \ m' \ V' \ A' \ p' \cup reject \ m' \ V' \ A' \ p' \cup defer \ m' \ V' \ A' \ p' = A'$
 using *result-presv-alts*
 by *metis*
 hence $a \in A$
 using *a-in-defer f-prof module-m*
 by *blast*
 with *defer-a a-not-rej*
 show $a \in \text{defer } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p$
 using *f-prof max-agg-eq-result max-agg-rej-iff-both-reject*
 $mod\text{-}contains\text{-}result\text{-}comm \ mod\text{-}contains\text{-}result\text{-}def$
 $module\text{-}m \ module\text{-}n \ rejected$
 by *metis*
 qed

lemma *max-agg-rej-fst-equiv-seq-contained:*

fixes

$m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \ Result) \ \text{Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \ Result) \ \text{Electoral-Module}$ **and**
 $A :: 'a \ set$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \ set$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \ Profile$ **and**
 $a :: 'a$

assumes

$finite\text{-}profile \ V \ A \ p$ **and**
 $social-choice-result.electoral-module \ m$ **and**
 $social-choice-result.electoral-module \ n$ **and**
 $a \in reject \ n \ V \ A \ p$

shows $mod\text{-}contains\text{-}result\text{-}sym \ (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ m \ V \ A \ p \ a$

using *assms*

proof (*unfold mod-contains-result-sym-def, safe*)

assume $a \in reject \ (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p$

thus $a \in reject \ m \ V \ A \ p$

using *assms max-agg-rej-iff-both-reject*
 by (*metis (no-types)*)

next

have $mod\text{-}contains\text{-}result \ m \ (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p \ a$

using *assms max-agg-rej-fst-imp-seq-contained*

by (*metis (full-types)*)

thus

$a \in elect \ (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p \implies a \in elect \ m \ V \ A \ p$ **and**

```

    a ∈ defer (m ||↑ n) V A p ⇒ a ∈ defer m V A p
  using mod-contains-result-comm
  unfolding mod-contains-result-def
  by (metis (full-types), metis (full-types))
next
show
  social-choice-result.electoral-module (m ||↑ n) and
  a ∈ A
  using assms max-agg-rej-fst-imp-seq-contained
  unfolding mod-contains-result-def
  by (metis (full-types), metis (full-types))
next
show
  a ∈ elect m V A p ⇒ a ∈ elect (m ||↑ n) V A p and
  a ∈ reject m V A p ⇒ a ∈ reject (m ||↑ n) V A p and
  a ∈ defer m V A p ⇒ a ∈ defer (m ||↑ n) V A p
  using assms max-agg-rej-fst-imp-seq-contained
  unfolding mod-contains-result-def
  by (metis (no-types), metis (no-types), metis (no-types))
qed

lemma max-agg-rej-snd-imp-seq-contained:
  fixes
    m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    n :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    A :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
    a :: 'a
  assumes
    f-prof: finite-profile V A p and
    module-m: social-choice-result.electoral-module m and
    module-n: social-choice-result.electoral-module n and
    rejected: a ∈ reject m V A p
  shows mod-contains-result n (m ||↑ n) V A p a
  using assms
proof (unfold mod-contains-result-def, safe)
  show social-choice-result.electoral-module (m ||↑ n)
  using module-m module-n
  by simp
next
show a ∈ A
  using f-prof in-mono module-m reject-in-alts rejected
  by (metis (no-types))
next
assume a ∈ elect n V A p
thus a ∈ elect (m ||↑ n) V A p
  using parallel-composition.simps[of m n max-aggregator V A p]
  max-aggregator.simps[of

```

```

      A elect m V A p reject m V A p defer m V A p
      elect n V A p reject n V A p defer n V A p]
    by simp
  next
    assume a ∈ reject n V A p
    thus a ∈ reject (m ||↑ n) V A p
      using f-prof max-agg-rej-iff-both-reject module-m module-n rejected
      by metis
  next
    assume a ∈ defer n V A p
    moreover have a ∈ A
      using f-prof max-agg-rej-fst-imp-seq-contained module-m rejected
      unfolding mod-contains-result-def
      by metis
    ultimately show a ∈ defer (m ||↑ n) V A p
      using disjoint-iff-not-equal max-agg-eq-result max-agg-rej-iff-both-reject
      f-prof mod-contains-result-comm mod-contains-result-def
      module-m module-n rejected result-disj
      by metis
qed

lemma max-agg-rej-snd-equiv-seq-contained:
  fixes
    m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    n :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    A :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
    a :: 'a
  assumes
    finite-profile V A p and
    social-choice-result.electoral-module m and
    social-choice-result.electoral-module n and
    a ∈ reject m V A p
  shows mod-contains-result-sym (m ||↑ n) n V A p a
    using assms
proof (unfold mod-contains-result-sym-def, safe)
  assume a ∈ reject (m ||↑ n) V A p
  thus a ∈ reject n V A p
    using assms max-agg-rej-iff-both-reject
    by (metis (no-types))
next
  have mod-contains-result n (m ||↑ n) V A p a
    using assms max-agg-rej-snd-imp-seq-contained
    by (metis (full-types))
  thus
    a ∈ elect (m ||↑ n) V A p ⟹ a ∈ elect n V A p and
    a ∈ defer (m ||↑ n) V A p ⟹ a ∈ defer n V A p
    using mod-contains-result-comm

```

```

    unfolding mod-contains-result-def
    by (metis (full-types), metis (full-types))
next
show
  social-choice-result.electoral-module (m  $\parallel_{\uparrow}$  n) and
  a  $\in$  A
  using assms max-agg-rej-snd-imp-seq-contained
  unfolding mod-contains-result-def
  by (metis (full-types), metis (full-types))
next
show
  a  $\in$  elect n V A p  $\implies$  a  $\in$  elect (m  $\parallel_{\uparrow}$  n) V A p and
  a  $\in$  reject n V A p  $\implies$  a  $\in$  reject (m  $\parallel_{\uparrow}$  n) V A p and
  a  $\in$  defer n V A p  $\implies$  a  $\in$  defer (m  $\parallel_{\uparrow}$  n) V A p
  using assms max-agg-rej-snd-imp-seq-contained
  unfolding mod-contains-result-def
  by (metis (no-types), metis (no-types), metis (no-types))
qed

lemma max-agg-rej-intersect:
  fixes
    m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    n :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    A :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
  assumes
    social-choice-result.electoral-module m and
    social-choice-result.electoral-module n and
    profile V A p and finite A
  shows reject (m  $\parallel_{\uparrow}$  n) V A p = (reject m V A p)  $\cap$  (reject n V A p)
proof -
  have A = (elect m V A p)  $\cup$  (reject m V A p)  $\cup$  (defer m V A p)  $\wedge$ 
    A = (elect n V A p)  $\cup$  (reject n V A p)  $\cup$  (defer n V A p)
  using assms result-presv-alts
  by metis
  hence A - ((elect m V A p)  $\cup$  (defer m V A p)) = (reject m V A p)  $\wedge$ 
    A - ((elect n V A p)  $\cup$  (defer n V A p)) = (reject n V A p)
  using assms reject-not-elec-or-def
  by fastforce
  hence A - ((elect m V A p)  $\cup$  (elect n V A p)  $\cup$  (defer m V A p)  $\cup$  (defer n V
A p)) =
    (reject m V A p)  $\cap$  (reject n V A p)
  by blast
  hence let (e, r, d) = m V A p;
    (e', r', d') = n V A p in
    A - (e  $\cup$  e'  $\cup$  d  $\cup$  d') = r  $\cap$  r'
  by fastforce
  thus ?thesis

```

by *auto*
qed

lemma *dcompat-dec-by-one-mod*:

fixes

$m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**

$n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**

$A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**

$a :: 'a$

assumes

disjoint-compatibility $m \ n$ **and**

$a \in A$

shows

$(\forall p. \text{finite-profile } V \ A \ p \longrightarrow \text{mod-contains-result } m \ (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p \ a) \vee$

$(\forall p. \text{finite-profile } V \ A \ p \longrightarrow \text{mod-contains-result } n \ (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p \ a)$

using *DiffI* *assms* *max-agg-rej-fst-imp-seq-contained* *max-agg-rej-snd-imp-seq-contained*

unfolding *disjoint-compatibility-def*

by *metis*

5.6.4 Composition Rules

Using a conservative aggregator, the parallel composition preserves the property non-electing.

theorem *conserv-max-agg-presv-non-electing[simp]*:

fixes

$m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**

$n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$

assumes

non-electing m **and**

non-electing n

shows *non-electing* $(m \parallel_{\uparrow} n)$

using *assms*

by *simp*

Using the max aggregator, composing two compatible electoral modules in parallel preserves defer-lift-invariance.

theorem *par-comp-def-lift-inv[simp]*:

fixes

$m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$ **and**

$n :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$

assumes

compatible: *disjoint-compatibility* $m \ n$ **and**

monotone-m: *defer-lift-invariance* m **and**

monotone-n: *defer-lift-invariance* n

shows *defer-lift-invariance* $(m \parallel_{\uparrow} n)$

proof (*unfold defer-lift-invariance-def, safe*)

have *social-choice-result.electoral-module* m

```

    using monotone-m
    unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
    by simp
  moreover have social-choice-result.electoral-module n
    using monotone-n
    unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
    by simp
  ultimately show social-choice-result.electoral-module (m  $\parallel_{\uparrow}$  n)
    by simp
next
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  q :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  a :: 'a
assume
  defer-a: a  $\in$  defer (m  $\parallel_{\uparrow}$  n) V A p and
  lifted-a: Profile.lifted V A p q a
hence f-profs: finite-profile V A p  $\wedge$  finite-profile V A q
  unfolding lifted-def
  by simp
from compatible
obtain B :: 'a set where
  alts: B  $\subseteq$  A  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\forall$  b  $\in$  B. indep-of-alt m V A b  $\wedge$ 
      ( $\forall$  p'. finite-profile V A p'  $\longrightarrow$  b  $\in$  reject m V A p'))  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\forall$  b  $\in$  A - B. indep-of-alt n V A b  $\wedge$ 
      ( $\forall$  p'. finite-profile V A p'  $\longrightarrow$  b  $\in$  reject n V A p'))
  using f-profs
  unfolding disjoint-compatibility-def
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))
have  $\forall$  b  $\in$  A. prof-contains-result (m  $\parallel_{\uparrow}$  n) V A p q b
proof (cases)
  assume a-in-B: a  $\in$  B
  hence a  $\in$  reject m V A p
    using alts f-profs
    by blast
  with defer-a
  have defer-n: a  $\in$  defer n V A p
    using compatible f-profs max-agg-rej-snd-equiv-seq-contained
    unfolding disjoint-compatibility-def mod-contains-result-sym-def
    by metis
  have  $\forall$  b  $\in$  B. mod-contains-result-sym (m  $\parallel_{\uparrow}$  n) n V A p b
    using alts compatible max-agg-rej-snd-equiv-seq-contained f-profs
    unfolding disjoint-compatibility-def
    by metis
  moreover have  $\forall$  b  $\in$  A. prof-contains-result n V A p q b
  proof (unfold prof-contains-result-def, clarify)

```



```

fix  $b :: 'a$ 
assume  $b\text{-in-}A$ :  $b \in A$ 
show  $\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } n \wedge \text{profile } V A p$ 
     $\wedge \text{profile } V A q \wedge b \in A \wedge$ 
     $(b \in \text{elect } n V A p \longrightarrow b \in \text{elect } n V A q) \wedge$ 
     $(b \in \text{reject } n V A p \longrightarrow b \in \text{reject } n V A q) \wedge$ 
     $(b \in \text{defer } n V A p \longrightarrow b \in \text{defer } n V A q)$ 
proof (safe)
    show  $\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } n$ 
    using monotone-n
    unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
    by metis
next
    show  $\text{profile } V A p$ 
    using f-profs
    by simp
next
    show  $\text{profile } V A q$ 
    using f-profs
    by simp
next
    show  $b \in A$ 
    using b-in-A
    by simp
next
    assume  $b \in \text{elect } n V A p$ 
    thus  $b \in \text{elect } n V A q$ 
    using defer-n lifted-a monotone-n f-profs
    unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
    by metis
next
    assume  $b \in \text{reject } n V A p$ 
    thus  $b \in \text{reject } n V A q$ 
    using defer-n lifted-a monotone-n f-profs
    unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
    by metis
next
    assume  $b \in \text{defer } n V A p$ 
    thus  $b \in \text{defer } n V A q$ 
    using defer-n lifted-a monotone-n f-profs
    unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
    by metis
qed
qed
moreover have  $\forall b \in B. \text{mod-contains-result } n (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) V A q b$ 
    using alts compatible max-agg-rej-snd-imp-seq-contained f-profs
    unfolding disjoint-compatibility-def
    by metis
ultimately have  $\text{prof-contains-result-of-comps-for-elems-in-}B$ :

```

```

∀  $b \in B$ . prof-contains-result ( $m \parallel_{\uparrow} n$ )  $V A p q b$ 
unfolding mod-contains-result-def mod-contains-result-sym-def
prof-contains-result-def
by simp
have  $\forall b \in A - B$ . mod-contains-result-sym ( $m \parallel_{\uparrow} n$ )  $m V A p b$ 
using alts max-agg-rej-fst-equiv-seq-contained monotone-m monotone-n f-profs
unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
by metis
moreover have  $\forall b \in A$ . prof-contains-result  $m V A p q b$ 
proof (unfold prof-contains-result-def, clarify)
  fix  $b :: 'a$ 
  assume  $b \text{ in } A$ :  $b \in A$ 
  show social-choice-result.electoral-module  $m \wedge \text{profile } V A p \wedge$ 
    profile  $V A q \wedge b \in A \wedge$ 
    ( $b \in \text{elect } m V A p \longrightarrow b \in \text{elect } m V A q$ )  $\wedge$ 
    ( $b \in \text{reject } m V A p \longrightarrow b \in \text{reject } m V A q$ )  $\wedge$ 
    ( $b \in \text{defer } m V A p \longrightarrow b \in \text{defer } m V A q$ )
  proof (safe)
    show social-choice-result.electoral-module  $m$ 
    using monotone-m
    unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
    by metis
  next
    show profile  $V A p$ 
    using f-profs
    by simp
  next
    show profile  $V A q$ 
    using f-profs
    by simp
  next
    show  $b \in A$ 
    using  $b \text{ in } A$ 
    by simp
  next
    assume  $b \in \text{elect } m V A p$ 
    thus  $b \in \text{elect } m V A q$ 
    using alts a-in-B lifted-a lifted-imp-equiv-prof-except-a
    unfolding indep-of-alt-def
    by metis
  next
    assume  $b \in \text{reject } m V A p$ 
    thus  $b \in \text{reject } m V A q$ 
    using alts a-in-B lifted-a lifted-imp-equiv-prof-except-a
    unfolding indep-of-alt-def
    by metis
  next
    assume  $b \in \text{defer } m V A p$ 
    thus  $b \in \text{defer } m V A q$ 

```

```

    using alts a-in-B lifted-a lifted-imp-equiv-prof-except-a
    unfolding indep-of-alt-def
    by metis
  qed
qed
moreover have  $\forall b \in A - B. \text{mod-contains-result } m (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) V A q b$ 
  using alts max-agg-rej-fst-imp-seq-contained monotone-m monotone-n f-profs
  unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
  by metis
ultimately have  $\forall b \in A - B. \text{prof-contains-result } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) V A p q b$ 
  unfolding mod-contains-result-def mod-contains-result-sym-def
    prof-contains-result-def
  by simp
thus ?thesis
  using prof-contains-result-of-comps-for-elems-in-B
  by blast
next
  assume  $a \notin B$ 
  hence a-in-set-diff:  $a \in A - B$ 
  using DiffI lifted-a compatible f-profs
  unfolding Profile.lifted-def
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  hence  $a \in \text{reject } n V A p$ 
  using alts f-profs
  by blast
  hence defer-m:  $a \in \text{defer } m V A p$ 
  using DiffD1 DiffD2 compatible dcompat-dec-by-one-mod f-profs defer-not-elec-or-rej
    max-agg-sound par-comp-sound disjoint-compatibility-def not-rej-imp-elec-or-def
    mod-contains-result-def defer-a
  unfolding maximum-parallel-composition.simps
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  have  $\forall b \in B. \text{mod-contains-result } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) n V A p b$ 
  using alts compatible f-profs max-agg-rej-snd-imp-seq-contained mod-contains-result-comm
  unfolding disjoint-compatibility-def
  by meson
  have  $\forall b \in B. \text{mod-contains-result-sym } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) n V A p b$ 
  using alts max-agg-rej-snd-equiv-seq-contained monotone-m monotone-n f-profs
  unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
  by metis
  moreover have  $\forall b \in A. \text{prof-contains-result } n V A p q b$ 
  proof (unfold prof-contains-result-def, clarify)
    fix b :: 'a
    assume b-in-A:  $b \in A$ 
    show social-choice-result.electoral-module  $n \wedge \text{profile } V A p \wedge$ 
      profile  $V A q \wedge b \in A \wedge$ 
      ( $b \in \text{elect } n V A p \longrightarrow b \in \text{elect } n V A q$ )  $\wedge$ 
      ( $b \in \text{reject } n V A p \longrightarrow b \in \text{reject } n V A q$ )  $\wedge$ 
      ( $b \in \text{defer } n V A p \longrightarrow b \in \text{defer } n V A q$ )
  proof (safe)

```

```

show social-choice-result.electoral-module n
  using monotone-n
  unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
  by metis
next
show profile V A p
  using f-profs
  by simp
next
show profile V A q
  using f-profs
  by simp
next
show  $b \in A$ 
  using b-in-A
  by simp
next
assume  $b \in \text{elect } n \ V \ A \ p$ 
thus  $b \in \text{elect } n \ V \ A \ q$ 
  using alts a-in-set-diff lifted-a lifted-imp-equiv-prof-except-a
  unfolding indep-of-alt-def
  by metis
next
assume  $b \in \text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p$ 
thus  $b \in \text{reject } n \ V \ A \ q$ 
  using alts a-in-set-diff lifted-a lifted-imp-equiv-prof-except-a
  unfolding indep-of-alt-def
  by metis
next
assume  $b \in \text{defer } n \ V \ A \ p$ 
thus  $b \in \text{defer } n \ V \ A \ q$ 
  using alts a-in-set-diff lifted-a lifted-imp-equiv-prof-except-a
  unfolding indep-of-alt-def
  by metis
qed
qed
moreover have  $\forall \ b \in B. \text{mod-contains-result } n \ (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ q \ b$ 
  using alts compatible max-agg-rej-snd-imp-seq-contained f-profs
  unfolding disjoint-compatibility-def
  by metis
ultimately have prof-contains-result-of-comps-for-elems-in-B:
   $\forall \ b \in B. \text{prof-contains-result } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p \ q \ b$ 
  unfolding mod-contains-result-def mod-contains-result-sym-def
  prof-contains-result-def
  by simp
have  $\forall \ b \in A - B. \text{mod-contains-result-sym } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ m \ V \ A \ p \ b$ 
  using alts max-agg-rej-fst-equiv-seq-contained monotone-m monotone-n f-profs
  unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
  by metis

```

```

moreover have  $\forall b \in A. \text{prof-contains-result } m \ V \ A \ p \ q \ b$ 
proof (unfold prof-contains-result-def, clarify)
  fix  $b :: 'a$ 
  assume  $b\text{-in-}A: b \in A$ 
  show  $\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m \wedge \text{profile } V \ A \ p \wedge$ 
     $\text{profile } V \ A \ q \wedge b \in A \wedge$ 
     $(b \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \longrightarrow b \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ q) \wedge$ 
     $(b \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p \longrightarrow b \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ q) \wedge$ 
     $(b \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p \longrightarrow b \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q)$ 
  proof (safe)
    show  $\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m$ 
    using monotone-m
    unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
    by simp
  next
    show  $\text{profile } V \ A \ p$ 
    using f-profs
    by simp
  next
    show  $\text{profile } V \ A \ q$ 
    using f-profs
    by simp
  next
    show  $b \in A$ 
    using  $b\text{-in-}A$ 
    by simp
  next
    assume  $b \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
    thus  $b \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ q$ 
    using defer-m lifted-a monotone-m
    unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
    by metis
  next
    assume  $b \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
    thus  $b \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ q$ 
    using defer-m lifted-a monotone-m
    unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
    by metis
  next
    assume  $b \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$ 
    thus  $b \in \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ q$ 
    using defer-m lifted-a monotone-m
    unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def
    by metis
  qed
qed
moreover have  $\forall x \in A - B. \text{mod-contains-result } m \ (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ q \ x$ 
using alts max-agg-rej-fst-imp-seq-contained monotone-m monotone-n f-profs
unfolding defer-lift-invariance-def

```

```

    by metis
ultimately have  $\forall x \in A - B. \text{prof-contains-result } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p \ q \ x$ 
  unfolding mod-contains-result-def mod-contains-result-sym-def
    prof-contains-result-def
  by simp
thus ?thesis
  using prof-contains-result-of-comps-for-elems-in-B
  by blast
qed
thus  $(m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p = (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ q$ 
  using compatible f-profs eq-alts-in-profs-imp-eq-results max-par-comp-sound
  unfolding disjoint-compatibility-def
  by metis
qed

lemma par-comp-rej-card:
  fixes
    m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    n :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    A :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
    c :: nat
  assumes
    compatible: disjoint-compatibility m n and
    prof: profile V A p and
    fin-A: finite A and
    reject-sum:  $\text{card } (\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p) + \text{card } (\text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p) = \text{card } A + c$ 
  shows  $\text{card } (\text{reject } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p) = c$ 
  proof -
    obtain B where
      alt-set:  $B \subseteq A \wedge$ 
         $(\forall a \in B. \text{indep-of-alt } m \ V \ A \ a \wedge$ 
           $(\forall q. \text{profile } V \ A \ q \longrightarrow a \in \text{reject } m \ V \ A \ q)) \wedge$ 
         $(\forall a \in A - B. \text{indep-of-alt } n \ V \ A \ a \wedge$ 
           $(\forall q. \text{profile } V \ A \ q \longrightarrow a \in \text{reject } n \ V \ A \ q))$ 
    using compatible prof
    unfolding disjoint-compatibility-def
    by metis
  have reject-representation:
     $\text{reject } (m \parallel_{\uparrow} n) \ V \ A \ p = (\text{reject } m \ V \ A \ p) \cap (\text{reject } n \ V \ A \ p)$ 
    using prof fin-A compatible max-agg-rej-intersect
    unfolding disjoint-compatibility-def
    by metis
  have social-choice-result.electoral-module m  $\wedge$  social-choice-result.electoral-module
n
    using compatible
    unfolding disjoint-compatibility-def
    by simp

```

```

hence subsets: (reject m V A p)  $\subseteq$  A  $\wedge$  (reject n V A p)  $\subseteq$  A
  by (simp add: prof reject-in-alts)
hence finite (reject m V A p)  $\wedge$  finite (reject n V A p)
  using rev-finite-subset prof fin-A
  by metis
hence card-difference:
  card (reject (m  $\parallel_{\uparrow}$  n) V A p) =
    card A + c - card ((reject m V A p)  $\cup$  (reject n V A p))
  using card-Un-Int reject-representation reject-sum
  by fastforce
have  $\forall a \in A. a \in$  (reject m V A p)  $\vee a \in$  (reject n V A p)
  using alt-set prof fin-A
  by blast
hence A = reject m V A p  $\cup$  reject n V A p
  using subsets
  by force
thus card (reject (m  $\parallel_{\uparrow}$  n) V A p) = c
  using card-difference
  by simp
qed

```

Using the max-aggregator for composing two compatible modules in parallel, whereof the first one is non-electing and defers exactly one alternative, and the second one rejects exactly two alternatives, the composition results in an electoral module that eliminates exactly one alternative.

```

theorem par-comp-elim-one[simp]:
  fixes
    m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    n :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
  assumes
    defers-m-one: defers 1 m and
    non-elec-m: non-electing m and
    rejec-n-two: rejects 2 n and
    disj-comp: disjoint-compatibility m n
  shows eliminates 1 (m  $\parallel_{\uparrow}$  n)
proof (unfold eliminates-def, safe)
  have social-choice-result.electoral-module m
    using non-elec-m
    unfolding non-electing-def
    by simp
  moreover have social-choice-result.electoral-module n
    using rejec-n-two
    unfolding rejects-def
    by simp
  ultimately show social-choice-result.electoral-module (m  $\parallel_{\uparrow}$  n)
    by simp
next
fix
  A :: 'a set and

```

```

  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
assume
  min-card-two: 1 < card A and
  prof: profile V A p
hence card-geq-one: card A ≥ 1
  by presburger
have fin-A: finite A
  using min-card-two card.infinite not-one-less-zero
  by metis
have module: social-choice-result.electoral-module m
  using non-elec-m
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by simp
have elec-card-zero: card (elect m V A p) = 0
  using prof non-elec-m card-eq-0-iff
  unfolding non-electing-def
  by simp
moreover from card-geq-one
have def-card-one: card (defer m V A p) = 1
  using defers-m-one module prof fin-A
  unfolding defers-def
  by blast
ultimately have card-reject-m: card (reject m V A p) = card A - 1
proof -
  have well-formed-soc-choice A (elect m V A p, reject m V A p, defer m V A p)
    using prof module
    unfolding social-choice-result.electoral-module-def
    by simp
  hence
    card A = card (elect m V A p) + card (reject m V A p) + card (defer m V
A p)
    using result-count fin-A
    by blast
  thus ?thesis
    using def-card-one elec-card-zero
    by simp
qed
have card A ≥ 2
  using min-card-two
  by simp
hence card (reject n V A p) = 2
  using prof rejec-n-two fin-A
  unfolding rejects-def
  by blast
moreover from this
have card (reject m V A p) + card (reject n V A p) = card A + 1
  using card-reject-m card-geq-one
  by linarith

```



```

ultimately show card (reject (m ||↑ n) V A p) = 1
  using disj-comp prof card-reject-m par-comp-rej-card fin-A
  by blast
qed

end

```

5.7 Elect Composition

```

theory Elect-Composition
  imports Basic-Modules/Elect-Module
          Sequential-Composition
begin

```

The elect composition sequences an electoral module and the elect module. It finalizes the module's decision as it simply elects all their non-rejected alternatives. Thereby, any such elect-composed module induces a proper voting rule in the social choice sense, as all alternatives are either rejected or elected.

5.7.1 Definition

```

fun elector ::
  ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  elector m = (m  $\triangleright$  elect-module)

```

5.7.2 Auxiliary Lemmas

```

lemma elector-seqcomp-assoc:
  fixes
    a :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module and
    b :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
  shows (a  $\triangleright$  (elector b)) = (elector (a  $\triangleright$  b))
  unfolding elector.simps elect-module.simps sequential-composition.simps
  using boolean-algebra-cancel.sup2 fst-eqD snd-eqD sup-commute
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting))

```

5.7.3 Soundness

```

theorem elector-sound[simp]:
  fixes m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
  assumes social-choice-result.electoral-module m
  shows social-choice-result.electoral-module (elector m)
  using assms
  by simp

```

5.7.4 Electing

theorem *elector-electing[simp]*:

fixes $m :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module}$

assumes

module-m: *social-choice-result.electoral-module* m **and**

non-block-m: *non-blocking* m

shows *electing* (*elector* m)

proof –

have $\forall m'$.

$(\neg \text{electing } m' \vee \text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m' \wedge$
 $(\forall A' V' p'. (A' \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } A' \wedge \text{profile } V' A' p') \longrightarrow \text{elect } m' V' A' p' \neq \{\})) \wedge$
 $(\text{electing } m' \vee \neg \text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m'$
 $\vee (\exists A V p. (A \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } A \wedge \text{profile } V A p \wedge \text{elect } m' V A p = \{\})))$

unfolding *electing-def*

by *blast*

hence $\forall m'$.

$(\neg \text{electing } m' \vee \text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m' \wedge$
 $(\forall A' V' p'. (A' \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } A' \wedge \text{profile } V' A' p') \longrightarrow \text{elect } m' V' A' p' \neq \{\})) \wedge$
 $(\exists A V p. (\text{electing } m' \vee \neg \text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m' \vee A \neq$
 $\{\} \wedge$
 $\text{finite } A \wedge \text{profile } V A p \wedge \text{elect } m' V A p = \{\})))$

by *simp*

then obtain

$A :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$V :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ set}$ **and**

$p :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module} \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ **where**

electing-mod:

$\forall m' :: ('a, 'v, 'a \text{ Result}) \text{ Electoral-Module.}$

$(\neg \text{electing } m' \vee \text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m' \wedge$
 $(\forall A' V' p'. (A' \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } A' \wedge \text{profile } V' A' p') \longrightarrow \text{elect } m' V' A' p' \neq \{\})) \wedge$
 $(\text{electing } m' \vee \neg \text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m' \vee A m' \neq \{\} \wedge$
 $\text{finite } (A m') \wedge \text{profile } (V m') (A m') (p m') \wedge \text{elect } m' (V m') (A m') (p$
 $m') = \{\}))$

by *metis*

moreover have *non-block*:

non-blocking (*elect-module*:: $'v \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ Result}$)

by (*simp add: electing-imp-non-blocking*)

moreover obtain

$e :: 'a \text{ Result} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$r :: 'a \text{ Result} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$d :: 'a \text{ Result} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**

result: $\forall s. (e s, r s, d s) = s$

using *disjoint3.cases*

by (*metis (no-types)*)

moreover from this

have $\forall s. (\text{elect-}r s, r s, d s) = s$

```

    by simp
  moreover from this
  have profile (V (elector m)) (A (elector m)) (p (elector m))  $\wedge$  finite (A (elector m))  $\longrightarrow$ 
    d (elector m (V (elector m)) (A (elector m)) (p (elector m))) = {}
  by simp
  moreover have social-choice-result.electoral-module (elector m)
  using elector-sound module-m
  by simp
  moreover from electing-mod result
  have finite (A (elector m))  $\wedge$ 
    profile (V (elector m)) (A (elector m)) (p (elector m))  $\wedge$ 
    elect (elector m) (V (elector m)) (A (elector m)) (p (elector m)) = {}  $\wedge$ 
    d (elector m (V (elector m)) (A (elector m)) (p (elector m))) = {}  $\wedge$ 
    reject (elector m) (V (elector m)) (A (elector m)) (p (elector m)) =
      r (elector m (V (elector m)) (A (elector m)) (p (elector m)))  $\longrightarrow$ 
      electing (elector m)
  using Diff-empty elector.simps non-block-m snd-conv non-blocking-def reject-not-elec-or-def
    non-block seq-comp-presv-non-blocking
  by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting))
  ultimately show ?thesis
  using non-block-m
  unfolding elector.simps
  by auto
qed

```

5.7.5 Composition Rule

If m is defer-Condorcet-consistent, then $\text{elector}(m)$ is Condorcet consistent.

```

lemma dcc-imp-cc-elector:
  fixes m :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module
  assumes defer-condorcet-consistency m
  shows condorcet-consistency (elector m)
proof (unfold defer-condorcet-consistency-def condorcet-consistency-def, safe)
  show social-choice-result.electoral-module (elector m)
  using assms elector-sound
  unfolding defer-condorcet-consistency-def
  by metis
next
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  w :: 'a
  assume c-win: condorcet-winner V A p w
  have fin-A: finite A
  using condorcet-winner.simps c-win
  by metis
  have fin-V: finite V

```

```

using condorcet-winner.simps c-win
by metis
have prof-A: profile V A p
  using c-win
  by simp
have max-card-w:  $\forall y \in A - \{w\}.$ 
   $\text{card } \{i \in V. (w, y) \in (p\ i)\} <$ 
   $\text{card } \{i \in V. (y, w) \in (p\ i)\}$ 
  using c-win fin-V
  by simp
have rej-is-complement:  $\text{reject } m\ V\ A\ p = A - (\text{elect } m\ V\ A\ p \cup \text{defer } m\ V\ A\ p)$ 
using double-diff sup-bot.left-neutral Un-upper2 assms fin-A prof-A fin-V
  defer-condorcet-consistency-def elec-and-def-not-rej reject-in-alts
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting))
have subset-in-win-set:  $\text{elect } m\ V\ A\ p \cup \text{defer } m\ V\ A\ p \subseteq$ 
 $\{e \in A. e \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A - \{e\}.$ 
 $\text{card } \{i \in V. (e, x) \in p\ i\} < \text{card } \{i \in V. (x, e) \in p\ i\})\}$ 
proof (safe-step)
  fix x :: 'a
  assume x-in-elect-or-defer:  $x \in \text{elect } m\ V\ A\ p \cup \text{defer } m\ V\ A\ p$ 
  hence x-eq-w:  $x = w$ 
  using Diff-empty Diff-iff assms cond-winner-unique c-win fin-A fin-V insert-iff
  snd-conv prod.sel(1) sup-bot.left-neutral
  unfolding defer-condorcet-consistency-def
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
have  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{elect } m\ V\ A\ p \implies x \in A$ 
  using fin-A prof-A fin-V assms elect-in-alts in-mono
  unfolding defer-condorcet-consistency-def
  by metis
moreover have  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{defer } m\ V\ A\ p \implies x \in A$ 
  using fin-A prof-A fin-V assms defer-in-alts in-mono
  unfolding defer-condorcet-consistency-def
  by metis
ultimately have  $x \in A$ 
  using x-in-elect-or-defer
  by auto
thus  $x \in \{e \in A. e \in A \wedge$ 
 $(\forall x \in A - \{e\}.$ 
 $\text{card } \{i \in V. (e, x) \in p\ i\} <$ 
 $\text{card } \{i \in V. (x, e) \in p\ i\})\}$ 
  using x-eq-w max-card-w
  by auto
qed
moreover have
 $\{e \in A. e \in A \wedge$ 
 $(\forall x \in A - \{e\}.$ 
 $\text{card } \{i \in V. (e, x) \in p\ i\} <$ 
 $\text{card } \{i \in V. (x, e) \in p\ i\})\}$ 

```

$\subseteq \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$
proof (*safe*)
fix $x :: 'a$
assume
 $x\text{-not-in-defer}: x \notin \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p$ **and**
 $x \in A$ **and**
 $\forall x' \in A - \{x\}.$
 $\text{card } \{i \in V. (x, x') \in p \ i\} <$
 $\text{card } \{i \in V. (x', x) \in p \ i\}$
hence $c\text{-win-}x: \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ p \ x$
using $\text{fin-}A \ \text{prof-}A \ \text{fin-}V$
by *simp*
have ($\text{social-choice-result.electoral-module } m \wedge \neg \text{defer-condorcet-consistency}$
 $m \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists A \ V \ rs \ a. \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ rs \ a \wedge$
 $m \ V \ A \ rs \neq (\{\}, A - \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ rs, \{a \in A. \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ rs$
 $a\}))) \wedge$
 $(\text{defer-condorcet-consistency } m \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall A \ V \ rs \ a. \text{finite } A \longrightarrow \text{finite } V \longrightarrow \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ rs \ a \longrightarrow$
 $m \ V \ A \ rs = (\{\}, A - \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ rs, \{a \in A. \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ rs$
 $a\})))$
unfolding $\text{defer-condorcet-consistency-def}$
by *blast*
hence $m \ V \ A \ p = (\{\}, A - \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p, \{a \in A. \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ p$
 $a\})$
using $c\text{-win-}x \ \text{assms } \text{fin-}A \ \text{fin-}V$
by *blast*
thus $x \in \text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p$
using $\text{assms } x\text{-not-in-defer } \text{fin-}A \ \text{fin-}V \ \text{cond-winner-unique}$
 $\text{defer-condorcet-consistency-def } \text{insertCI } \text{prod.sel}(2) \ c\text{-win-}x$
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*))
qed
ultimately have
 $\text{elect } m \ V \ A \ p \cup \text{defer } m \ V \ A \ p =$
 $\{e \in A. e \in A \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in A - \{e\}.$
 $\text{card } \{i \in V. (e, x) \in p \ i\} <$
 $\text{card } \{i \in V. (x, e) \in p \ i\})\}$
by *blast*
thus $\text{elector } m \ V \ A \ p =$
 $(\{e \in A. \text{condorcet-winner } V \ A \ p \ e\}, A - \text{elect } (\text{elector } m) \ V \ A \ p, \{\})$
using $\text{fin-}A \ \text{prof-}A \ \text{fin-}V \ \text{rej-is-complement}$
by *simp*
qed
end

5.8 Defer One Loop Composition

theory *Defer-One-Loop-Composition*

imports *Basic-Modules/Component-Types/Defer-Equal-Condition*
Loop-Composition
Elect-Composition

begin

This is a family of loop compositions. It uses the same module in sequence until either no new decisions are made or only one alternative is remaining in the defer-set. The second family herein uses the above family and subsequently elects the remaining alternative.

5.8.1 Definition

fun *iter* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) *Electoral-Module* \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a Result) *Electoral-Module*
where

iter m =
 (let *t* = *defer-equal-condition 1 in*
 (*m* \odot_t))

abbreviation *defer-one-loop* ::

('a, 'v, 'a Result) *Electoral-Module* \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a Result) *Electoral-Module*
 ($\neg \odot_{\exists!d} 50$) **where**
m $\odot_{\exists!d} \equiv$ *iter m*

fun *iterelect* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) *Electoral-Module* \Rightarrow ('a, 'v, 'a Result) *Electoral-Module* **where**

iterelect m = *elector (m* $\odot_{\exists!d}$)

end

Chapter 6

Voting Rules

6.1 Plurality Rule

```
theory Plurality-Rule
  imports Compositional-Structures/Basic-Modules/Plurality-Module
           Compositional-Structures/Revision-Composition
           Compositional-Structures/Elect-Composition
begin
```

This is a definition of the plurality voting rule as elimination module as well as directly. In the former one, the max operator of the set of the scores of all alternatives is evaluated and is used as the threshold value.

6.1.1 Definition

```
fun plurality-rule :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  plurality-rule V A p = elector plurality V A p
```

```
fun plurality-rule' :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  plurality-rule' V A p =
    ({a ∈ A. ∀ x ∈ A. win-count V p x ≤ win-count V p a},
     {a ∈ A. ∃ x ∈ A. win-count V p x > win-count V p a},
     {})
```

```
lemma plurality-revision-equiv:
```

```
  fixes
    A :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile
  shows plurality' V A p = (plurality-rule'↓) V A p
proof (unfold plurality-rule'.simps plurality'.simps revision-composition.simps,
       standard, clarsimp, standard, safe)
  fix
    a :: 'a and
    b :: 'a
```

```

assume
  finite  $V$  and
   $b \in A$  and
   $\text{card } \{i. i \in V \wedge \text{above } (p \ i) \ a = \{a\}\} <$ 
     $\text{card } \{i. i \in V \wedge \text{above } (p \ i) \ b = \{b\}\}$  and
   $\forall a' \in A. \text{card } \{i. i \in V \wedge \text{above } (p \ i) \ a' = \{a'\}\} \leq$ 
     $\text{card } \{i. i \in V \wedge \text{above } (p \ i) \ a = \{a\}\}$ 
thus False
using leD
by blast
next
fix
   $a :: 'a$  and
   $b :: 'a$ 
assume
  finite  $V$  and
   $b \in A$  and
   $\neg \text{card } \{i. i \in V \wedge \text{above } (p \ i) \ b = \{b\}\} \leq$ 
     $\text{card } \{i. i \in V \wedge \text{above } (p \ i) \ a = \{a\}\}$ 
thus  $\exists x \in A.$ 
   $\text{card } \{i. i \in V \wedge \text{above } (p \ i) \ a = \{a\}\}$ 
     $< \text{card } \{i. i \in V \wedge \text{above } (p \ i) \ x = \{x\}\}$ 
using linorder-not-less
by blast
next
fix
   $a :: 'a$  and
   $b :: 'a$ 
assume
  finite  $V$  and
   $b \in A$  and
   $a \in A$  and
   $\text{card } \{v \in V. \text{above } (p \ v) \ a = \{a\}\} < \text{card } \{v \in V. \text{above } (p \ v) \ b = \{b\}\}$  and
   $\forall c \in A. \text{card } \{v \in V. \text{above } (p \ v) \ c = \{c\}\} \leq \text{card } \{v \in V. \text{above } (p \ v) \ a =$ 
 $\{a\}\}$ 
thus False
by auto
qed

lemma plurality-elim-equiv:
fixes
   $A :: 'a \text{ set}$  and
   $V :: 'v \text{ set}$  and
   $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$ 
assumes
   $A \neq \{\}$  and
  finite  $A$  and
  profile  $V \ A \ p$ 
shows plurality  $V \ A \ p = (\text{plurality-rule'} \downarrow) \ V \ A \ p$ 

```


using *assms plurality-mod-elim-equiv plurality-revision-equiv*
 by (*metis (full-types)*)

6.1.2 Soundness

theorem *plurality-rule-sound[simp]: social-choice-result.electoral-module plurality-rule*
unfolding *plurality-rule.simps*
using *elector-sound plurality-sound*
by *metis*

theorem *plurality-rule'-sound[simp]: social-choice-result.electoral-module plurality-rule'*
proof (*unfold social-choice-result.electoral-module-def, safe*)

fix
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$
have *disjoint3* (
 $\{a \in A. \forall a' \in A. \text{win-count } V \text{ } p \text{ } a' \leq \text{win-count } V \text{ } p \text{ } a\},$
 $\{a \in A. \exists a' \in A. \text{win-count } V \text{ } p \text{ } a < \text{win-count } V \text{ } p \text{ } a'\},$
 $\{\}$)
by *auto*
moreover **have**
 $\{a \in A. \forall x \in A. \text{win-count } V \text{ } p \text{ } x \leq \text{win-count } V \text{ } p \text{ } a\} \cup$
 $\{a \in A. \exists x \in A. \text{win-count } V \text{ } p \text{ } a < \text{win-count } V \text{ } p \text{ } x\} = A$
using *not-le-imp-less*
by *auto*
ultimately **show** *well-formed-soc-choice A (plurality-rule' V A p)*
by *simp*
qed

6.1.3 Electing

lemma *plurality-rule-elect-non-empty:*

fixes
 $A :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**
 $V :: 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
 $p :: ('a, 'v) \text{ Profile}$
assumes
 $A\text{-non-empty}: A \neq \{\}$ **and**
 $\text{prof-}A: \text{profile } V \text{ } A \text{ } p$ **and**
 $\text{fin-}A: \text{finite } A$
shows *elect plurality-rule V A p $\neq \{\}$*
proof
assume *plurality-elect-none: elect plurality-rule V A p = $\{\}$*
obtain *max* **where**
 $\text{max}: \text{max} = \text{Max } (\text{win-count } V \text{ } p \text{ } `A)$
by *simp*
then **obtain** *a* **where**
 $\text{max-}a: \text{win-count } V \text{ } p \text{ } a = \text{max} \wedge a \in A$
using *Max-in A-non-empty fin-A prof-A empty-is-image finite-imageI imageE*

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*))
 hence $\forall a' \in A. \text{win-count } V p a' \leq \text{win-count } V p a$
 using *fin-A prof-A max*
 by *simp*
 moreover have $a \in A$
 using *max-a*
 by *simp*
 ultimately have $a \in \{a' \in A. \forall c \in A. \text{win-count } V p c \leq \text{win-count } V p a'\}$
 by *blast*
 hence $a \in \text{elect plurality-rule}' V A p$
 by *simp*
 moreover have $\text{elect plurality-rule}' V A p = \text{defer plurality } V A p$
 using *plurality-elim-equiv fin-A prof-A A-non-empty snd-conv*
 unfolding *revision-composition.simps*
 by *metis*
 ultimately have $a \in \text{defer plurality } V A p$
 by *blast*
 hence $a \in \text{elect plurality-rule } V A p$
 by *simp*
 thus *False*
 using *plurality-elect-none all-not-in-conv*
 by *metis*
 qed

The plurality module is electing.

theorem *plurality-rule-electing[*simp*]: electing plurality-rule*

proof (*unfold electing-def, safe*)

show *social-choice-result.electoral-module plurality-rule*

using *plurality-rule-sound*

by *simp*

next

fix

$A :: 'b \text{ set}$ **and**

$V :: 'a \text{ set}$ **and**

$p :: ('b, 'a) \text{ Profile}$ **and**

$a :: 'b$

assume

fin-A: finite A **and**

prof-p: profile V A p **and**

elect-none: elect plurality-rule V A p = {} **and**

a-in-A: a ∈ A

have $\forall A V p. A \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } A \wedge \text{profile } V A p \longrightarrow \text{elect plurality-rule } V A p \neq \{\}$

using *plurality-rule-elect-non-empty*

by (*metis* (*no-types*))

hence *empty-A: A = {}*

using *fin-A prof-p elect-none*

by (*metis* (*no-types*))

thus $a \in \{\}$

```

    using a-in-A
    by simp
qed

```

6.1.4 Property

lemma *plurality-rule-inv-mono-eq*:

```

fixes
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  q :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  a :: 'a
assumes
  elect-a: a ∈ elect plurality-rule V A p and
  lift-a: lifted V A p q a
shows elect plurality-rule V A q = elect plurality-rule V A p ∨
  elect plurality-rule V A q = {a}
proof –
  have a ∈ elect (elector plurality) V A p
    using elect-a
    by simp
  moreover have eq-p: elect (elector plurality) V A p = defer plurality V A p
    by simp
  ultimately have a ∈ defer plurality V A p
    by blast
  hence defer plurality V A q = defer plurality V A p ∨ defer plurality V A q =
  {a}
    using lift-a plurality-def-inv-mono-alts
    by metis
  moreover have elect (elector plurality) V A q = defer plurality V A q
    by simp
  ultimately show
    elect plurality-rule V A q = elect plurality-rule V A p ∨
    elect plurality-rule V A q = {a}
    using eq-p
    by simp
qed

```

The plurality rule is invariant-monotone.

theorem *plurality-rule-inv-mono[simp]*: *invariant-monotonicity plurality-rule*

proof (*unfold invariant-monotonicity-def, intro conjI impI allI*)

show *social-choice-result.electoral-module plurality-rule*

by *simp*

next

fix

```

  A :: 'b set and
  V :: 'a set and
  p :: ('b, 'a) Profile and

```

```

    q :: ('b, 'a) Profile and
    a :: 'b
  assume a ∈ elect plurality-rule V A p ∧ Profile.lifted V A p q a
  thus elect plurality-rule V A q = elect plurality-rule V A p ∨
    elect plurality-rule V A q = {a}
  using plurality-rule-inv-mono-eq
  by metis
qed

end

```

6.2 Borda Rule

```

theory Borda-Rule
  imports Compositional-Structures/Basic-Modules/Borda-Module
    Compositional-Structures/Basic-Modules/Component-Types/Votewise-Distance-Rationalization
    Compositional-Structures/Elect-Composition
begin

```

This is the Borda rule. On each ballot, each alternative is assigned a score that depends on how many alternatives are ranked below. The sum of all such scores for an alternative is hence called their Borda score. The alternative with the highest Borda score is elected.

6.2.1 Definition

```

fun borda-rule :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  borda-rule V A p = elector borda V A p

fun borda-ruleℛ :: ('a, 'v::wellorder, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  borda-ruleℛ V A p = swap-ℛ unanimity V A p

```

6.2.2 Soundness

```

theorem borda-rule-sound: social-choice-result.electoral-module borda-rule
  unfolding borda-rule.simps
  using elector-sound borda-sound
  by metis

```

```

theorem borda-ruleℛ-sound: social-choice-result.electoral-module borda-ruleℛ
  unfolding borda-ruleℛ.simps swap-ℛ.simps
  using social-choice-result.ℛ-sound
  by metis

```

6.2.3 Anonymity Property

theorem *borda-rule_R-anonymous: social-choice-result.anonymity borda-rule_R*
proof (*unfold borda-rule_R.simps swap- \mathcal{R} .simps*)
 let ?swap-dist = votewise-distance swap l-one
 from l-one-is-sym
 have distance-anonymity ?swap-dist
 using symmetric-norm-imp-distance-anonymous[of l-one]
 by simp
 with unanimity-anonymous
 show social-choice-result.anonymity
 (social-choice-result.distance- \mathcal{R} ?swap-dist unanimity)
 using social-choice-result.anonymous-distance-and-consensus-imp-rule-anonymity
 by metis
qed
end

6.3 Pairwise Majority Rule

theory *Pairwise-Majority-Rule*
imports *Compositional-Structures/Basic-Modules/Condorcet-Module*
Compositional-Structures/Defer-One-Loop-Composition
begin

This is the pairwise majority rule, a voting rule that implements the Condorcet criterion, i.e., it elects the Condorcet winner if it exists, otherwise a tie remains between all alternatives.

6.3.1 Definition

fun *pairwise-majority-rule* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module **where**
pairwise-majority-rule V A p = elector condorcet V A p

fun *condorcet'* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module **where**
condorcet' V A p =
 ((min-eliminator condorcet-score) $\circ_{\exists!d}$) V A p

fun *pairwise-majority-rule'* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module **where**
pairwise-majority-rule' V A p = iterelect condorcet' V A p

6.3.2 Soundness

theorem *pairwise-majority-rule-sound:*
social-choice-result.electoral-module pairwise-majority-rule
unfolding *pairwise-majority-rule.simps*

```

using condorcet-sound elector-sound
by metis

theorem condorcet'-rule-sound:
  social-choice-result.electoral-module condorcet'
  unfolding condorcet'.sims
  by (simp add: loop-comp-sound)

theorem pairwise-majority-rule'-sound:
  social-choice-result.electoral-module pairwise-majority-rule'
  unfolding pairwise-majority-rule'.sims
  using condorcet'-rule-sound elector-sound iter.sims iterelect.sims loop-comp-sound
  by metis

```

6.3.3 Condorcet Consistency Property

```

theorem condorcet-condorcet: condorcet-consistency pairwise-majority-rule
proof (unfold pairwise-majority-rule.sims)
  show condorcet-consistency (elector condorcet)
  using condorcet-is-dcc dcc-imp-cc-elector
  by metis
qed

end

```

6.4 Copeland Rule

```

theory Copeland-Rule
  imports Compositional-Structures/Basic-Modules/Copeland-Module
    Compositional-Structures/Elect-Composition
begin

```

This is the Copeland voting rule. The idea is to elect the alternatives with the highest difference between the amount of simple-majority wins and the amount of simple-majority losses.

6.4.1 Definition

```

fun copeland-rule :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  copeland-rule V A p = elector copeland V A p

```

6.4.2 Soundness

```

theorem copeland-rule-sound: social-choice-result.electoral-module copeland-rule
  unfolding copeland-rule.sims
  using elector-sound copeland-sound

```

by *metis*

6.4.3 Condorcet Consistency Property

theorem *copeland-condorcet: condorcet-consistency copeland-rule*

proof (*unfold copeland-rule.simps*)

show *condorcet-consistency (elector copeland)*

using *copeland-is-dcc dcc-imp-cc-elector*

 by *metis*

qed

end

6.5 Minimax Rule

theory *Minimax-Rule*

imports *Compositional-Structures/Basic-Modules/Minimax-Module*

Compositional-Structures/Elect-Composition

begin

This is the Minimax voting rule. It elects the alternatives with the highest Minimax score.

6.5.1 Definition

fun *minimax-rule* :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module **where**

minimax-rule V A p = elector minimax V A p

6.5.2 Soundness

theorem *minimax-rule-sound: social-choice-result.electoral-module minimax-rule*

unfolding *minimax-rule.simps*

using *elector-sound minimax-sound*

 by *metis*

6.5.3 Condorcet Consistency Property

theorem *minimax-condorcet: condorcet-consistency minimax-rule*

proof (*unfold minimax-rule.simps*)

show *condorcet-consistency (elector minimax)*

using *minimax-is-dcc dcc-imp-cc-elector*

 by *metis*

qed

end

6.6 Black's Rule

```
theory Blacks-Rule
  imports Pairwise-Majority-Rule
           Borda-Rule
begin
```

This is Black's voting rule. It is composed of a function that determines the Condorcet winner, i.e., the Pairwise Majority rule, and the Borda rule. Whenever there exists no Condorcet winner, it elects the choice made by the Borda rule, otherwise the Condorcet winner is elected.

6.6.1 Definition

```
declare seq-comp-alt-eq[simp]

fun black :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  black A p = (condorcet  $\triangleright$  borda) A p

fun blacks-rule :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  blacks-rule A p = elector black A p

export-code blacks-rule in Haskell

declare seq-comp-alt-eq[simp del]
```

6.6.2 Soundness

```
theorem blacks-sound: social-choice-result.electoral-module black
  unfolding black.simps
  using seq-comp-sound condorcet-sound borda-sound
  by metis

theorem blacks-rule-sound: social-choice-result.electoral-module blacks-rule
  unfolding blacks-rule.simps
  using blacks-sound elector-sound
  by metis
```

6.6.3 Condorcet Consistency Property

```
theorem black-is-dcc: defer-condorcet-consistency black
  unfolding black.simps
  using condorcet-is-dcc borda-mod-non-blocking borda-mod-non-electing seq-comp-dcc
  by metis

theorem black-condorcet: condorcet-consistency blacks-rule
```



```

    unfolding blacks-rule.simps
    using black-is-dcc dcc-imp-cc-elector
    by metis
end

```

6.7 Nanson-Baldwin Rule

```

theory Nanson-Baldwin-Rule
  imports Compositional-Structures/Basic-Modules/Borda-Module
           Compositional-Structures/Defer-One-Loop-Composition
begin

```

This is the Nanson-Baldwin voting rule. It excludes alternatives with the lowest Borda score from the set of possible winners and then adjusts the Borda score to the new (remaining) set of still eligible alternatives.

6.7.1 Definition

```

fun nanson-baldwin-rule :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  nanson-baldwin-rule A p =
    ((min-eliminator borda-score)  $\odot_{\exists 1d}$ ) A p

```

6.7.2 Soundness

```

theorem nanson-baldwin-rule-sound:
  social-choice-result.electoral-module nanson-baldwin-rule
    unfolding nanson-baldwin-rule.simps
    by (simp add: loop-comp-sound)
end

```

6.8 Classic Nanson Rule

```

theory Classic-Nanson-Rule
  imports Compositional-Structures/Basic-Modules/Borda-Module
           Compositional-Structures/Defer-One-Loop-Composition
begin

```

This is the classic Nanson's voting rule, i.e., the rule that was originally invented by Nanson, but not the Nanson-Baldwin rule. The idea is similar, however, as alternatives with a Borda score less or equal than the average

Borda score are excluded. The Borda scores of the remaining alternatives are hence adjusted to the new set of (still) eligible alternatives.

6.8.1 Definition

```
fun classic-nanson-rule :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  classic-nanson-rule V A p =
    ((leq-average-eliminator borda-score)  $\circ_{\exists!d}$ ) V A p
```

```
export-code classic-nanson-rule in Haskell
```

6.8.2 Soundness

```
theorem classic-nanson-rule-sound: social-choice-result.electoral-module classic-nanson-rule
  unfolding classic-nanson-rule.simps
  by (simp add: loop-comp-sound)

end
```

6.9 Schwartz Rule

```
theory Schwartz-Rule
  imports Compositional-Structures/Basic-Modules/Borda-Module
           Compositional-Structures/Defer-One-Loop-Composition
begin
```

This is the Schwartz voting rule. Confusingly, it is sometimes also referred as Nanson's rule. The Schwartz rule proceeds as in the classic Nanson's rule, but excludes alternatives with a Borda score that is strictly less than the average Borda score.

6.9.1 Definition

```
fun schwartz-rule :: ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  schwartz-rule V A p =
    ((less-average-eliminator borda-score)  $\circ_{\exists!d}$ ) V A p
```

6.9.2 Soundness

```
theorem schwartz-rule-sound:
  social-choice-result.electoral-module schwartz-rule
  unfolding schwartz-rule.simps
  by (simp add: loop-comp-sound)

end
```

6.10 Sequential Majority Comparison

```

theory Sequential-Majority-Comparison
  imports Plurality-Rule
           Compositional-Structures/Drop-And-Pass-Compatibility
           Compositional-Structures/Revision-Composition
           Compositional-Structures/Maximum-Parallel-Composition
           Compositional-Structures/Defer-One-Loop-Composition
begin

```

Sequential majority comparison compares two alternatives by plurality voting. The loser gets rejected, and the winner is compared to the next alternative. This process is repeated until only a single alternative is left, which is then elected.

6.10.1 Definition

```

fun smc :: 'a Preference-Relation  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'v, 'a Result) Electoral-Module where
  smc x V A p =
    ((elector (((pass-module 2 x)  $\triangleright$  ((plurality-rule  $\downarrow$ )  $\triangleright$  (pass-module 1 x))))  $\parallel_{\uparrow}$ 
      (drop-module 2 x))  $\cup_{\exists !d}$ ) V A p)

```

6.10.2 Soundness

As all base components are electoral modules (, aggregators, or termination conditions), and all used compositional structures create electoral modules, sequential majority comparison unsurprisingly is an electoral module.

```

theorem smc-sound:
  fixes x :: 'a Preference-Relation
  assumes linear-order x
  shows social-choice-result.electoral-module (smc x)
proof (unfold social-choice-result.electoral-module-def, simp, safe, simp-all)
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  x' :: 'a
let ?a = max-aggregator
let ?t = defer-equal-condition
let ?smc =
  pass-module 2 x  $\triangleright$ 
    ((plurality-rule  $\downarrow$ )  $\triangleright$  pass-module (Suc 0) x)  $\parallel_{?a}$ 

```

```

      drop-module 2 x  $\odot_{?t}$  (Suc 0)
    assume
      profile V A p and
      x'  $\in$  reject (?smc) V A p and
      x'  $\in$  elect (?smc) V A p
    thus False
      using IntI drop-mod-sound emptyE loop-comp-sound max-agg-sound asms
        par-comp-sound pass-mod-sound plurality-rule-sound rev-comp-sound
        result-disj seq-comp-sound
      by metis
  next
  fix
    A :: 'a set and
    V :: 'v set and
    p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
    x' :: 'a
  let ?a = max-aggregator
  let ?t = defer-equal-condition
  let ?smc =
    pass-module 2 x  $\triangleright$ 
    ((plurality-rule $\downarrow$ )  $\triangleright$  pass-module (Suc 0) x)  $\parallel_{?a}$ 
    drop-module 2 x  $\odot_{?t}$  (Suc 0)
  assume
    profile V A p and
    x'  $\in$  reject (?smc) V A p and
    x'  $\in$  defer (?smc) V A p
  thus False
    using IntI asms result-disj emptyE drop-mod-sound loop-comp-sound
      max-agg-sound par-comp-sound pass-mod-sound plurality-rule-sound
      rev-comp-sound seq-comp-sound
    by metis
next
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  x' :: 'a
let ?a = max-aggregator
let ?t = defer-equal-condition
let ?smc =
  pass-module 2 x  $\triangleright$ 
  ((plurality-rule $\downarrow$ )  $\triangleright$  pass-module (Suc 0) x)  $\parallel_{?a}$ 
  drop-module 2 x  $\odot_{?t}$  (Suc 0)
assume
  prof: profile V A p and
  elect-x': x'  $\in$  elect (?smc) V A p
have social-choice-result.electoral-module ?smc
  by (simp add: loop-comp-sound)
thus x'  $\in$  A

```

```

    using prof elect-x' elect-in-alts
    by blast
next
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  x' :: 'a
let ?a = max-aggregator
let ?t = defer-equal-condition
let ?smc =
  pass-module 2 x ▷
    ((plurality-rule↓) ▷ pass-module (Suc 0) x) ||?a
    drop-module 2 x ∘?t (Suc 0)
assume
  prof: profile V A p and
  defer-x': x' ∈ defer (?smc) V A p
have social-choice-result.electoral-module ?smc
  by (simp add: loop-comp-sound)
thus x' ∈ A
  using prof defer-x' defer-in-alts
  by blast
next
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  x' :: 'a
let ?a = max-aggregator
let ?t = defer-equal-condition
let ?smc =
  pass-module 2 x ▷
    ((plurality-rule↓) ▷ pass-module (Suc 0) x) ||?a
    drop-module 2 x ∘?t (Suc 0)
assume
  prof: profile V A p and
  reject-x': x' ∈ reject (?smc) V A p
have social-choice-result.electoral-module ?smc
  by (simp add: loop-comp-sound)
thus x' ∈ A
  using prof reject-x' reject-in-alts
  by blast
next
fix
  A :: 'a set and
  V :: 'v set and
  p :: ('a, 'v) Profile and
  x' :: 'a
let ?a = max-aggregator

```

```

let ?t = defer-equal-condition
let ?smc =
  pass-module 2 x ▷
    ((plurality-rule↓) ▷ pass-module (Suc 0) x) ||?a
    drop-module 2 x ○?t (Suc 0)
assume
  profile V A p and
  x' ∈ A and
  x' ∉ defer (?smc) V A p and
  x' ∉ reject (?smc) V A p
thus x' ∈ elect (?smc) V A p
using assms electoral-mod-defer-elem drop-mod-sound loop-comp-sound
  max-agg-sound par-comp-sound pass-mod-sound plurality-rule-sound
  rev-comp-sound seq-comp-sound
bymetis
qed

```

6.10.3 Electing

The sequential majority comparison electoral module is electing. This property is needed to convert electoral modules to a social choice function. Apart from the very last proof step, it is a part of the monotonicity proof below.

theorem *smc-electing*:

fixes x :: 'a *Preference-Relation*

assumes *linear-order* x

shows *electing* (smc x)

proof –

let ?pass2 = pass-module 2 x

let ?tie-breaker = (pass-module 1 x)

let ?plurality-defer = (plurality-rule↓) ▷ ?tie-breaker

let ?compare-two = ?pass2 ▷ ?plurality-defer

let ?drop2 = drop-module 2 x

let ?eliminator = ?compare-two ||_↑ ?drop2

let ?loop =

let t = defer-equal-condition 1 in (?eliminator ○_t)

have 00011: *non-electing* (plurality-rule↓)

by simp

have 00012: *non-electing* ?tie-breaker

using assms

by simp

have 00013: *defers* 1 ?tie-breaker

using assms pass-one-mod-def-one

by simp

have 20000: *non-blocking* (plurality-rule↓)

sorry

have 0020: *disjoint-compatibility* ?pass2 ?drop2

using assms

```

    by simp
have 1000: non-electing ?pass2
  using assms
  by simp
have 1001: non-electing ?plurality-defer
  using 00011 00012

sorry
have 2000: non-blocking ?pass2
  using assms
  by simp
have 2001: defers 1 ?plurality-defer
  using 20000 00011 00013 seq-comp-def-one
  by blast

have 002: disjoint-compatibility ?compare-two ?drop2
  using assms 0020

sorry
have 100: non-electing ?compare-two
  using 1000 1001

sorry
have 101: non-electing ?drop2
  using assms
  by simp
have 102: agg-conservative max-aggregator
  by simp
have 200: defers 1 ?compare-two
  using 2000 1000 2001 seq-comp-def-one
  by simp
have 201: rejects 2 ?drop2
  using assms
  by simp

have 10: non-electing ?eliminator
  using 100 101 102

sorry
have 20: eliminates 1 ?eliminator
  using 200 100 201 002 par-comp-elim-one
  by simp

have 2: defers 1 ?loop
  using 10 20

sorry
have 3: electing elect-module
  by simp

```

```

show ?thesis
  using 2 3 assms seq-comp-electing smc-sound
  unfolding Defer-One-Loop-Composition.iter.simps
    smc.simps elector.simps electing-def
  by metis
qed

```

6.10.4 (Weak) Monotonicity Property

The following proof is a fully modular proof for weak monotonicity of sequential majority comparison. It is composed of many small steps.

```

theorem smc-monotone:
  fixes  $x :: 'a$  Preference-Relation
  assumes linear-order x
  shows monotonicity (smc x)
proof –
  let ?pass2 = pass-module 2 x
  let ?tie-breaker = pass-module 1 x
  let ?plurality-defer = (plurality-rule↓) ▷ ?tie-breaker
  let ?compare-two = ?pass2 ▷ ?plurality-defer
  let ?drop2 = drop-module 2 x
  let ?eliminator = ?compare-two ||↑ ?drop2
  let ?loop =
    let t = defer-equal-condition 1 in (?eliminator ∘t)

  have 00010: defer-invariant-monotonicity (plurality-rule↓)
    by simp
  have 00011: non-electing (plurality-rule↓)
    by simp
  have 00012: non-electing ?tie-breaker
    using assms
    by simp
  have 00013: defers 1 ?tie-breaker
    using assms pass-one-mod-def-one
    by simp
  have 00014: defer-monotonicity ?tie-breaker
    using assms
    by simp
  have 20000: non-blocking (plurality-rule↓)

  sorry
  have 0000: defer-lift-invariance ?pass2
    using assms

  sorry
  have 0001: defer-lift-invariance ?plurality-defer
    using 00010 00011 00012 00013 00014

```



```

sorry
have 0020: disjoint-compatibility ?pass2 ?drop2
  using assms
  by simp
have 1000: non-electing ?pass2
  using assms
  by simp
have 1001: non-electing ?plurality-defer
  using 00011 00012

sorry
have 2000: non-blocking ?pass2
  using assms
  by simp
have 2001: defers 1 ?plurality-defer
  using 20000 00011 00013 seq-comp-def-one
  by blast

have 000: defer-lift-invariance ?compare-two
  using 0000 0001

sorry
have 001: defer-lift-invariance ?drop2
  using assms
  by simp
have 002: disjoint-compatibility ?compare-two ?drop2
  using assms 0020

sorry
have 100: non-electing ?compare-two
  using 1000 1001

sorry
have 101: non-electing ?drop2
  using assms
  by simp
have 102: agg-conservative max-aggregator
  by simp
have 200: defers 1 ?compare-two
  using 2000 1000 2001 seq-comp-def-one
  by simp
have 201: rejects 2 ?drop2
  using assms
  by simp

have 00: defer-lift-invariance ?eliminator
  using 000 001 002 par-comp-def-lift-inv
  by blast

```

```

have 10: non-electing ?eliminator
  using 100 101 102

  sorry
have 20: eliminates 1 ?eliminator
  using 200 100 201 002 par-comp-elim-one
  by simp

have 0: defer-lift-invariance ?loop
  using 00

  sorry
have 1: non-electing ?loop
  using 10

  sorry
have 2: defers 1 ?loop
  using 10 20

  sorry
have 3: electing elect-module
  by simp

show ?thesis
  using 0 1 2 3 assms seq-comp-mono
  unfolding Electoral-Module.monotonicity-def elector.simps
    Defer-One-Loop-Composition.iter.simps
    smc-sound smc.simps
  by (metis (full-types))
qed

end

```

6.11 Kemeny Rule

```

theory Kemeny-Rule
  imports
    Compositional-Structures/Basic-Modules/Component-Types/Votewise-Distance-Rationalization
    Compositional-Structures/Basic-Modules/Component-Types/Distance-Rationalization-Symmetry
    Compositional-Structures/Basic-Modules/Component-Types/Quotients/Quotient-Distance-Rationalization
begin

```

This is the Kemeny rule. It creates a complete ordering of alternatives and evaluates each ordering of the alternatives in terms of the sum of preference reversals on each ballot that would have to be performed in order to produce that transitive ordering. The complete ordering which requires the fewest preference reversals is the final result of the method.

6.11.1 Definition

fun *kemeny-rule* :: ('a, 'v::wellorder, 'a Result) Electoral-Module **where**
kemeny-rule V A p = swap- \mathcal{R} strong-unanimity V A p

6.11.2 Soundness

theorem *kemeny-rule-sound*: social-choice-result.electoral-module *kemeny-rule*
unfolding *kemeny-rule.simps* swap- \mathcal{R} .*simps*
using social-choice-result. \mathcal{R} -sound
by *metis*

6.11.3 Anonymity Property

theorem *kemeny-rule-anonymous*: social-choice-result.anonymity *kemeny-rule*
proof (unfold *kemeny-rule.simps* swap- \mathcal{R} .*simps*)
let ?swap-dist = votewise-distance swap l-one
have distance-anonymity ?swap-dist
using l-one-is-sym symmetric-norm-imp-distance-anonymous[of l-one]
by *simp*
thus social-choice-result.anonymity
 (social-choice-result.distance- \mathcal{R} ?swap-dist strong-unanimity)
using strong-unanimity-anonymous
 social-choice-result.anonymous-distance-and-consensus-imp-rule-anonymity
by *metis*
qed

6.11.4 Neutrality Property

lemma *swap-dist-neutral*:
 distance-neutrality valid-elections (votewise-distance swap l-one)
using neutral-dist-imp-neutral-votewise-dist swap-neutral
by *blast*

theorem *kemeny-rule-neutral*:
 social-choice-properties.neutrality valid-elections *kemeny-rule*
using strong-unanimity-neutral' swap-dist-neutral
 strong-unanimity-closed-under-neutrality
 social-choice-properties.neutr-dist-and-cons-imp-neutr-dr[of
 votewise-distance swap l-one strong-unanimity]
unfolding *kemeny-rule.simps* swap- \mathcal{R} .*simps*
by *blast*

6.11.5 Datatype Instantiation

datatype *alternative* = a | b | c | d

lemma *alternative-univ* [code-unfold]: UNIV = {a, b, c, d} (is - = ?A)
proof (rule UNIV-eq-I)
fix x :: *alternative*

```

    show  $x \in ?A$ 
    by (cases  $x$ ) simp-all
qed

instantiation alternative :: enum
begin
  definition Enum.enum  $\equiv [a, b, c, d]$ 
  definition Enum.enum-all  $P \equiv P\ a \wedge P\ b \wedge P\ c \wedge P\ d$ 
  definition Enum.enum-ex  $P \equiv P\ a \vee P\ b \vee P\ c \vee P\ d$ 
instance proof
  qed (simp-all only: enum-alternative-def enum-all-alternative-def
    enum-ex-alternative-def alternative-univ, simp-all)
end

end

```

Bibliography

- [1] K. Diekhoff, M. Kirsten, and J. Krämer. Formal property-oriented design of voting rules using composable modules. In S. Pekeč and K. Venable, editors, *6th International Conference on Algorithmic Decision Theory (ADT 2019)*, volume 11834 of *Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence*, pages 164–166. Springer, 2019.
- [2] K. Diekhoff, M. Kirsten, and J. Krämer. Verified construction of fair voting rules. In M. Gabbrielli, editor, *29th International Symposium on Logic-Based Program Synthesis and Transformation (LOPSTR 2019), Revised Selected Papers*, volume 12042 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 90–104. Springer, 2020.