

# TFR Notes

Veronika Chronholm

July 27, 2023

## 1 Introduction

## 2 Theory

### 2.1 Forward and Backward PDEs

Treatment planning problem (PDE constrained optimisation):

$$\min \frac{1}{2} \|u - d_T\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|g\|^2 \quad (1)$$

subject to a constraint

$$\partial_t u + b \cdot \nabla u - \mu \Delta u = g \quad (2)$$

$$u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \quad (3)$$

Using the method of Lagrange multipliers, we can solve the above optimisation problem by solving simultaneously the two PDEs

$$-\partial_t z - \mu \Delta z - b \cdot \nabla z = u - d_T \quad (4)$$

$$\partial_t u - \mu \Delta u + b \cdot \nabla u = \frac{1}{\alpha} z \quad (5)$$

### 2.2 Forward SDE and linear Feynman-Kac formula

Let  $b : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ , and  $W_t$  a  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion. Consider the  $d$ -dimensional SDE

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t b(s, X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X_s) dW_s \quad (6)$$

for the process  $X_t$ .

The infinitesimal generator of  $X_t$  is the differential operator

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d [\sigma \sigma^T]_{i,j}(t, x) \partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^d b_i(t, x) \partial_{x_i}. \quad (7)$$

This operator is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}_x[f(X_t)] - f(x)}{t}. \quad (8)$$

Below, we describe the connection between a PDE involving this differential operator, and the solution to the SDE Equation 6, yielding a probabilistic representation of the solution to the PDE. We consider the terminal value problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t, x) + \mathcal{L}u(t, x) - k(t, x)u(t, x) + g(t, x) = 0, & t < T, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u(T, x) = f(x). \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

We note that the probabilistic convention is to formulate this problem as a terminal value problem, as we have done here. However, the PDE convention would be to instead formulate it as an initial value problem. These two formulations are equivalent under a time-reversal  $t \mapsto T - t$ .

Under some conditions (see for example [2] for the specifics) on the functions  $f, g, k$  as well as on  $b$  and  $\sigma$ , and provided that the solution  $u$  to Equation 9 exists and is in  $\mathcal{C}^{1,2}$ , and satisfies some further conditions of continuity and boundedness,  $u(t, x)$  is given by the Feynman-Kac formula

$$u(t, x) = \mathbb{E} \left[ f(X_T^{t,x}) e^{-\int_t^T k(r, X_r^{t,x}) dr} + \int_t^T g(s, X_s^{t,x}) e^{-\int_t^s k(r, X_r^{t,x}) dr} ds \right]. \quad (10)$$

Here  $X_T^{t,x}$  denotes the stochastic process  $X$  at time  $T$ , started at  $x$  at time  $t$ .

We note that in particular, for  $k = 0, g = 0$ , the solution to the Kolmogorov backward equation (with terminal condition),

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t, x) + \mathcal{L}u(t, x) = 0, & t < T, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u(T, x) = f(x), \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

is given by

$$u(t, x) = \mathbb{E}[f(X_T^{t,x})]. \quad (12)$$

Another PDE related to the SDE Equation 6 is the Kolmogorov forward equation

$$\partial_s p(s, y) - \mathcal{L}^* p(s, y) = 0, \quad (13)$$

where the differential operator  $\mathcal{L}^*$  is the adjoint of  $\mathcal{L}$ , given by

$$\mathcal{L}^* = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \partial_{y_i, y_j} [\sigma \sigma^T]_{i,j}(s, y) - \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{y_i} b_i(s, y). \quad (14)$$

The Kolmogorov forward equation (with initial condition  $p(0, y) = \delta(y - x)$ ) describes the probability distribution of the stochastic process  $X_t$  that solves the SDE in Equation 6. We can obtain a Feynman-Kac type formula for the density function  $p(s, y)$  (assuming that  $X_t$  admits a density), by noting that

$$\mathbb{E}[f(X_T^{t,x})] = \int p_{x,t}(T, z) f(z) dz \quad (15)$$

Hence,

$$p(T, y) = \mathbb{E}[\delta(X_T^{t,x} - y)] = \int p_{t,x}(T, z) \delta(z - y) dz, \quad (16)$$

or more generally

$$p(s, y) = \mathbb{E}[\delta(X_s^{t,x} - y)] = \int p_{t,x}(s, z) \delta(z - y) dz. \quad (17)$$

There are some subtleties that have not been covered here — e.g. what is required for  $X_t$  to admit a density function, and the regularity required for the expectation of an indicator function to make sense. We also note that when writing  $p(s, y)$ , the probability density function of  $X$  being at a point  $y$  at time  $s$ , we are implicitly referring to the probability density conditioned on the initial distribution, i.e. in this case conditioned on  $X$  starting at position  $x$  at time  $t$ , for some  $t < s$ .

### 2.3 Forward Backward SDE and nonlinear Feynman-Kac formula

Now, we introduce the forward-backward SDE

$$\begin{cases} X_t = x + \int_0^t b(s, X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X_s) dW_s \\ Y_t = f(X_T) + \int_t^T g(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s [\sigma(s, X_s)]^{-1}) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

where the first equation is the forward SDE — identical to Equation 6 — and the second equation is the backward SDE. We note that  $X_t$  depends on the values of  $X$  prior to time  $t$ , whilst  $Y_t$  depends on the values of  $X, Y, Z$  after time  $t$  (and up to time  $T$ ).

It can be shown that the backward SDE above is related to the PDE (terminal value problem)

$$\partial_t u(t, x) + \mathcal{L}u(t, x) + g(t, x, u(t, x), \nabla u(t, x)) = 0 \quad (19)$$

$$u(T, x) = f(x), \quad (20)$$

where, as before, the operator  $\mathcal{L}$  is the infinitesimal generator of the forward SDE. Specifically, if the solution to the terminal value problem exists, then the processes  $Y_t, Z_t$  given by

$$Y_t = u(t, X_t) \quad (21)$$

$$Z_t = \sigma(t, X_t) \nabla u(t, X_t) \quad (22)$$

satisfy the FBSDE of Equation 18. We also note that this statement can be extended to a system of  $k$  PDEs, and a vector valued stochastic process  $Y_t$ .

Similarly to in Equation 10, we can express the solution  $u(t, x)$  to the terminal value problem Equation 19 in terms of a (now nonlinear) Feynman-Kac formula as

$$u(t, x) = \mathbb{E} \left[ f(X_T^{t, x}) + \int_t^T g(s, X_s^{t, x}, u(s, X_s^{t, x}), \nabla u(s, X_s^{t, x})) ds \right]. \quad (23)$$

Alternatively, we can write  $Y_t$  as

$$Y_t = u(t, X_t) = \mathbb{E} \left[ f(X_T) + \int_t^T g(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s) ds \middle| X_t \right]. \quad (24)$$

Comparing Equation 23 to Equation 10, we note that the function  $g$  now in general depends on not only  $t$  and  $X_t$ , but can also depend on  $u$  and  $\nabla u$ . The discount (or attenuation) factor  $k(t, x)$  in Equation 9 has been absorbed into the more general source term  $g(t, x, u(t, x), \nabla u(t, x))$  in Equation 19. We also note that in Equation 10, only the left hand side depends on  $u$ , whilst in Equation 23 the right hand side also depends on  $u$ . Hence, to use the latter for numerical simulation of  $u(t, x)$ , more careful consideration is required.

We have now seen that the solution to the terminal value problem (backward PDE) of Equation 19 is associated with the backward SDE in Equation 18. This is a generalisation of the connection discussed in the previous subsection, and we note that by letting  $g$  depend on only

$t$  and  $x$ , we can formulate a less general backward SDE and recover a version of the linear Feynman-Kac formula of Equation 10, glossing over some subtleties related to the discount factor  $k(t, x)$ .

As before, the PDE directly associated with the forward SDE in Equation 18 is the Kolmogorov forward equation of Equation 13 with initial condition  $p(0, y) = \delta(y - x)$ . It is not clear whether a Feynman-Kac formula can be obtained for a more general PDE featuring the operator  $\mathcal{L}^*$  defined in Equation 14 rather than the operator  $\mathcal{L}$  of Equation 7.

## 2.4 Numerical schemes for FBSDEs

To solve the FBSDE Equation 18 numerically, we need to discretise both the forward and the backward SDE in time. Discretising the forward SDE is straightforward, and can for example be done using the (forward) Euler-Maruyama scheme

$$\begin{cases} X_0^h = x \\ X_{(i+1)h}^{(h)} = X_{ih}^{(h)} + b(ih, X_{ih}^{(h)})h + \sigma(ih, X_{ih}^{(h)})(W_{(i+1)h} - W_{ih}). \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

We will use this discretised version of  $X_t$  in the scheme for  $Y_t = u(t, X_t)$ , or similarly in the scheme for  $u(t, x)$ . For simplicity, we will here consider the case where  $g$  is a function of  $t, x$ , and  $u$ , but not of  $\nabla u$ .

By using the tower property of expectation on Equation 24, we can express  $Y_{t_i}$  in terms of  $Y_{t_{i+1}}$ , and in terms of  $X_t$  between  $t_i$  and  $t_{i+1}$  as

$$Y_{t_i} = \mathbb{E} \left[ Y_{t_{i+1}} + \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} g(s, X_s, Y_s) ds \middle| X_{t_i} \right]. \quad (26)$$

We recall that we are solving for  $Y_t$  backwards in time, so for  $t_i < t_{i+1}$ ,  $Y_{t_{i+1}}$  is known.

From the above, we can get the (backward) Euler scheme for  $Y$ , namely

$$\begin{cases} Y_T^{(h)} = f(X_T^h) \\ Y_{ih}^{(h)} = \mathbb{E} \left[ Y_{(i+1)h}^{(h)} + hg(t_i, X_{ih}^{(h)}, Y_{(i+1)h}^{(h)}) \middle| X_{t_i}^{(h)} \right]. \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

By conditioning on the discretised process  $X^{(h)}$  starting at a specific value  $x$  at time  $t_i$ , we get the same scheme for  $u(t, x)$ :

$$\begin{cases} u^{(h)}(T, x) = f(x) \\ u^{(h)}(t_i, x) = \mathbb{E} \left[ u^{(h)}(t_{i+1}, X_{t_{i+1}}^{(h), t_i, x}) + hg(t_i, x, u^{(h)}(t_{i+1}, X_{t_{i+1}}^{(h), t_i, x})) \right]. \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

We note here that the accuracy of a numerical simulation of  $u(t, x)$  (or  $Y_t$ ) depends on both the scheme we choose for  $X_t$ , and the scheme we choose for  $Y_t$ . In [1] a strong stability preserving multistep scheme, which improves the latter, is introduced. This scheme is given by

$$Y_{ih}^{(h)} = \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \mathbb{E} \left[ Y_{(i+j)h}^{(h)} \middle| X_{t_i}^{(h)} \right] + h \sum_{j=1}^k \beta_j \mathbb{E} \left[ g(t_{i+j}, X_{(i+j)h}^{(h)}, Y_{(i+j)h}^{(h)}) \middle| X_{t_i}^{(h)} \right]. \quad (29)$$

The corresponding scheme for  $u(t, x)$  becomes

$$u^{(h)}(t_i, x) = \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \mathbb{E} \left[ u^{(h)}(t_{i+j}, X_{t_{i+j}}^{(h), t_i, x}) \right] + h \sum_{j=1}^k \beta_j \mathbb{E} \left[ g(t_{i+j}, X_{t_{i+j}}^{(h), t_i, x}, u^{(h)}(t_{i+j}, X_{t_{i+j}}^{(h), t_i, x})) \right]. \quad (30)$$

## 2.5 Simplified toy example

### References

- [1] Shuixin Fang, Weidong Zhao, and Tao Zhou. “Strong Stability Preserving Multistep Schemes for Forward Backward Stochastic Differential Equations”. In: *Journal of Scientific Computing* 94.3 (2023), p. 53.
- [2] Emmanuel Gobet. *Monte-Carlo methods and stochastic processes: from linear to non-linear*. CRC Press, 2016.
- [3] Jacopo Werther. *Dose Depth Curves*. 2010. URL: [https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Dose\\_Depth\\_Curves.svg](https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Dose_Depth_Curves.svg) (visited on 05/15/2023).