


## Group 3



Knyazev, Egor E.M. - Mendonça Menezes, Lucas P.L. - Nikolov, Stanimir S.S. - Shatara, Luay L. - Trencheva, Stela S.I. - Valeva, Veronika V.N. - Wang, Run R.



## Checkpoint 4 Outcome of Retrospective Sprint D 15-12-2021



**Nikolov, Stanimir S.S.** a month ago

This sprint we had set quite a few goals. We managed to achieve the majority of them ( Database set up with tables and connection with the back-end, we worked on the design of the front-end to get it closer to the design prototype, we set up an Apache environment [including the data pipelines and the processing of CSV files ], we added more of the required charts, CORS policy, the API and the necessary services for this iteration.

We had 2 stories that we couldn't finish this sprint (The controls for the widgets and the persistent widget layouts). They went back to the backlog and will be included in the planning for sprint E.

Overall, this sprint we have accomplished more compared to the previous one and are on track to fulfil the requirements from the PO.

Something we should work on for the final sprint is more regular group coordination meetings and more stand-up meetings.

We still have a lot of work to do before we are done but we are confident we will manage the tasks at hand.



Write a summary of what you discussed with your teacher...

Post Feedback

**Checkpoint 3 Outcome of Retrospective Sprint C** 24-11-2021**Nikolov, Stanimir S.S.** 2 months ago

This sprint was great, we met all of the goals we had set. There's a digital prototype of the design for the dashboard that will serve as a guide. There's the front-end that is connected with the back-end. The back-end foundation has been established and the APIs connect with the front-end. The PO was satisfied with the progress we've made and found interesting some of the design decision we came up with. Overall the team is satisfied with the outcome of sprint C and we are excited to show what we can do for sprint D.

**Schouten, Gertjan G.C. (Teacher)** a month ago

The view of the PO is different from yours!

The impression is that you're not working well enough together, and we are worrying whether you can come up with a product that will satisfy the PO's needs/requirements.

Have you checked your assumptions (and backlog) with the PO?

**Checkpoint 2 Outcome of Retrospective Sprint B** 03-11-2021**Nikolov, Stanimir S.S.** 2 months ago

The results from this sprint were presentable. The group had done research into the technologies that we can utilise for the dashboard. The user stories were satisfactory to the PO. We had a design prototype for the dashboard, however it was able to serve only as a showcase of the design and layout of the dashboard web app. Even though it wasn't a very advanced prototype, it did serve us well, since we did get some valuable feedback from the PO and helped us get a clearer understanding of what needs to be done. Overall the team did good this sprint, looking forward for the next one.

I do have to note that we haven't given Jira a lot of attention, so we don't have story points to our backlog and thus couldn't generate a burndown chart. This is something that we will address in the upcoming sprint.

**Checkpoint 1 Outcome of Retrospective Sprint 1** 06-10-2021**Nikolov, Stanimir S.S.** 3 months ago

Our group overall did good. We managed to complete the tasks for sprint A. And I can say that we understand the problem that the PO wants us to solve. We have done research into the possible technologies that we can use and what graphs would be suitable for the data that we have.

**Schouten, Gertjan G.C. (Teacher)** 3 months ago

Try to elaborate more in the next retrospective. Also focus on "What can be improved?"