

Team Objective and Development Overview

3 Oct 2019
Revision 1.5

Tracker for In-Flight Air Vehicle

Project Sponsor:
IMSAR



Capstone Team 15: RPS

Ira A. Fulton College of Engineering
Brigham Young University

Revision History

Revision	Date	Description
1.0	11 Sept 2019	Initial Release
1.1	18 Sept 2019	First Draft for Review
1.2	30 Sept 2019	Second Draft, Updated based on feedback from Design Review
1.3	1 Oct 2019	Updated based on feedback from Brian Jensen
1.4	2 Oct 2019	Fixed Typos, and made major updates to requirements matrix, rewrote key success measures based on feedback
1.5	3 OCT 2019	Rewrote Key Success Measures based on a phone meeting with Mark

Approval Signatures

The undersigned certify that they have read the stage approval package and approve of the requirements and key success measures contained in it.

Autumn Twitchell

Date

Daniel Sharp

Date

Garret Gang

Date

Jesse Krage

Date

Joe Hansen

Date

Nicholas Merriman

Date

Larkin Hastriter - Team Coach

Date

Mark Catanzaro - IMSAR

Date

Brian Jensen - Project Instructor

Date

Contents

1	Contact Information	1
2	Project Background	2
3	Project Objective Statement	3
4	Project Approval Matrix	3
5	Key Success Measures	4
6	Summary of Requirement Validation	4
7	Change Management Procedure	5

Primary Artifacts

- RM-001 Requirements Matrix
- REQ-002 Requirement Validation
- CHA-001 Team Charter

Supporting Artifacts

- REQ-001 Requirement Justification Breakdown
- ECO-000 Engineering Change Order
- NOTE-001 IMSAR 1st Visit Notes
- NOTE-002 Design Review Notes
- NOTE-003 Phone Meeting Notes

1 Contact Information

Name	Title	Cell Phone	Email
Autumn Twitchell	EE Student	801-388-5666	atwitch23@gmail.com
Daniel Sharp	EE Student	801-888-6053	danielsharp.a@gmail.com
Garret Gang	CE Student	520-333-0168	garretgang@gmail.com
Jesse Krage	EE Student	619-878-4245	jessekrage5@gmail.com
Joe Hansen	ME Student	208-850-7781	ljoehansen@gmail.com
Nicholas Merriman	ME Student	385-240-3759	nicholas.merriman95@gmail.com
Larkin Hastriter	Team Coach	937-979-3481	larkinhastriter@yahoo.com

IMSAR Contact Information

Name	Title	Office Phone	Email
Daniel Gunyan	VP of Engineering	801-798-8440	dgunyan@imsar.com
Mark Catanzaro	Verification Engineer	801-798-8440	markc@imsar.com

2 Project Background

IMSAR is a local company based out of Springville, UT, that specializes in making compact radar systems more affordable and accessible for use in small air vehicles. Since their conception in 2004, they have fulfilled multiple contracts with the Department of Defense and have developed radar systems with applications ranging from fighting fires to detecting enemy troop movements.

One of the stationary ground systems currently produced and sold by IMSAR is a computer-controlled tilt and pan positioner that maintains a communication link with radar units installed on air vehicles. The operational situation for these units is to be in a stationary position 2 to 20 miles away from the target in-flight vehicle. The current design employs a tilt and pan positioner that has become obsolete. The goal of this project is to replace the tilt and pan positioner, install an onboard computer, and to improve upon the current design. The current design requires that the data processing be done remotely on an external machine and has a barely passable user interface. Our final design will eliminate the need for external data processing. Challenges include integrating a new onboard control computer and proper handshaking between subsystems. The new Radar Positioning System (RPS) will be tested against IMSAR's drones flying in the vicinity. We will validate system functionality by tracking the drone with a camera mounted to the tracking system. IMSAR will provide the positioning gimbal, and as such designing a positioner is not within the scope of our project.



Figure 1: Current IMSAR Positioning System

3 Project Objective Statement

The team will design, prototype, and test a Radar Positioning System (RPS) that can track in-flight vehicles while maintaining visual contact by March 30th 2020 for under \$1,500 USD and 1,500 man hours.

4 Project Approval Matrix

Development Stage	Expected Completion Date	Artifacts Required for Approval	Budget
Opportunity Development	19 Sept 2019	Team Objective and Development Overview, System Requirement Matrix with sections A-D completed, Requirement Validation	\$5
Concept Development	4 Dec 2019	Written and Visual Definition of Concept, Concept Selection Report, Requirements Matrix with Target Values, Revised TODO, Concept Testing Reports	\$590
Architecture Review	10 Jan 2020	System Architecture Document, System Requirements Matrix, Architecture Justification Document	\$5
Subsystem Engineering	14 Feb 2020	System Design Package, System Requirements Matrix, Measured and Predicted Performance Summary	\$400
System Refinement	27 Mar 2020	Written and Visual Description of Design, Performance Summary, System Requirements Matrix	\$200
Final Reporting	2 Apr 2020	Fully Transferable Design, Functioning Prototype, Final Capstone Report	\$300

5 Key Success Measures

Measure	Stretch Goal	Excellent Performance	Good Performance	Fair Performance	Lower Limit	Ideal	Upper Limit
Time to Train User	N/A	5 minutes	10 minutes	20 minutes	N/A	5 minutes	30 minutes
Percent Increase in Target Acquisition Time from Minimum Acquisition Time	10%	20%	30%	40%	N/A	0%	50%
Initial Setup Time	2 minutes	5 minutes	7 minutes	9 minutes	N/A	2 minutes	10 minutes
Reacquisition Time After Power Outage and Communication Link Reboots	10 seconds	20 seconds	40 seconds	120 seconds	N/A	0 seconds	180 seconds

The key success measures shown above were chosen to help determine the desirability of the radar positioning system. They will distinguish our design from a basic, functioning design. By achieving excellence in our key success measures we expect to exceed the customer's expectations. The team feels that these goals define our highest quality of work. Our key success measures account for the major flaws in IMSAR's current system. The user interface and setup time have caused the most issues for IMSAR and as such they drive our key success measures. Reasoning for our defined measurements is given below.

The interface is currently barely usable and by running a survey and testing the interface with market representatives we intend to deliver an interface that does not require extensive training. It also takes a long time (10 minutes) to setup the RPS on site, due to the complexity of entering the data to control the RPS. We aim to reduce this by making it easier to enter the information and by improving the usage of non-volatile memory. The usage cases of IMSAR's radar units specify that every second matters when reacquiring the communication link. We intend to greatly reduce this time by implementing predictive tracking that can operate, even if the RPS has a loss of power. Mark was supportive of these key success measures and he participated in a team call in which we decided these key success measures (see NOTE-003).

6 Summary of Requirement Validation

The requirements matrix (see REQ-001 artifact) is a result of direct feedback from IMSAR's VP of Engineering, Daniel Gunyan, and Project Engineer Lead, Mark Catanzaro. In our first meeting with Daniel, we learned more about the scope of the project, and generated a rough outline of market requirements and some performance measures. After that meeting, we drafted the first iteration of our requirements matrix that we presented in person to Mark the following week. As part of this meeting with Mark, we were able to see the current system in use, and address a variety of questions (see NOTE-001). After our discussion, Mark made minor changes to our performance measures, and gave us approval for the matrix via email (see REQ-002).

Since gaining Mark's initial approval, we have made changes to both the market requirements and the performance measures for improved clarity and measurability. We have stayed in contact with Mark via phone calls and emails during the revision process. In our most recent discussion with Mark, we went over our key success measures and he approved of them (see NOTE-003).

7 Change Management Procedure

When it is determined that the TODO requires a revision, an engineering change order must be filled out (See ECO-000). The proposer will be the ECO owner. The proposer should include a description and justification of the proposed changes.

A completed copy of the ECO and TODO will be presented to the members of the team. When team feedback is implemented a completed copy will be sent to contacts at IMSAR for approval, after IMSAR's approval we will send the revision to Dr. Jensen for approval. For the proposed changes to be approved and implemented three signatures are required: The capstone team leader, a pod instructor, and a representative from IMSAR. Once the ECO is approved the proposed changes will be made to the TODO, and the revision history table filled out. If the ECO is rejected, no changes will be made.

Primary Artifacts

RM-001 Requirements Matrix
REQ-002 Requirement Validation
CHA-001 Team Charter

Artifact ID	Artifact Title
RM-001	Requirements Matrix
Revision:	Date:
1.8	10/2/2019
Team:	
15	
Prepared By:	
Nick	
Checked By:	
Garret	

Market Requirements		Input Data															Market Ratings	
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Rating	Artifact ID
1	System computer communicates through Ethernet	X		X														
2	System tracks in-flight vehicle		X		X					X			X					
3	System provides frequent status updates			X					X									
4	User interface is intuitive and requires minimal training				X													
5	Onboard computer fits in existing housing					X	X	X			X							
6	Computer stores previously configured settings									X	X				X			
7	Camera can be mounted to antenna mount													X				

Real Values				Ideal Values				Importance (optional)																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
Measured		Predicted		Stretch	Target	Upper Acceptable Limit	Ideal	Lower Acceptable Limit	2	100%	2 Hz	2 Hz	N/A	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Revision History

[illegible]

Artifact ID: REQ-002	Artifact Title: Requirements Validation Email	
Revision: 1.2	Revision Date: 2 OCT 2019	
Prepared by: Jesse Krage		Checked by: Garret Gang
Purpose: Show the approval from IMSAR of requirement matrix v1.4		



1. Revision History

Revision	Revised by	Checked by	Date	Description
1.0	Garret Gang	Daniel Sharp	19 SEPT 2019	Initial Version
1.1	Garret Gang	Daniel Sharp	30 SEPT 2019	Specified version of matrix approved.
1.2	Jesse Krage	Garret Gang	2 OCT 2019	Additional info for Validation, added reference to NOTE-003

2. Reference

Artifact ID	Revision	Title
NOTE-001	1.0	Meeting Notes With IMSAR
NOTE-003	1.0	Phone Meeting Notes

3.



Garret Gang <garretgang@gmail.com>


Updated Requirements Matrix

Daniel Gunyan <dgunyan@imsar.com>
 Reply-To: dgunyan@imsar.com
 To: Garret Gang <garretgang@gmail.com>
 Cc: Mark Catanzaro <markc@imsar.com>

Wed, Sep 18, 2019 at 3:07 PM

We made some adjustments, additions, and revised it to 1.4. Here is the latest. Two new market requirements were added, and minor modifications to existing requirements, measures, and limits.

-
 Daniel Gunyan

 Team 15 Requirements Matrix(2).xlsx
 18K

We conversed with Mark on who the market representatives are. He mentioned that the main userbase work at IMSAR. He communicates with the representatives who operate out of IMSAR's facility. He has been checking our requirements and key success measures with those market representatives. During a phone call with the team, Mark

used their feedback and his own personal experience to help us to define our key success measures and requirements (see NOTE-003).

Team Charter for RPS



CAPSTONE

Based on CATME Team charter, www.catme.org

Artifact ID: CHA-001	Artifact Title: Team Charter		
Revision: 1.1	Revision Date: 17 SEPT 2019		
Prepared by: Garret Gang		Checked by: Daniel Sharp	
Purpose: Set rules of operation for the team.			

Revision History			
Revision	Revised by	Checked by	Date
1.0	Garret Gang	Daniel Sharp	17 SEPT 2019
1.1	Garret Gang	Daniel Sharp	19 SEPT 2019

Team Member Names	Contact Information (e-mail, cell, Facebook, etc.)	Preferred Contact Method / Limitations (ex. no calls after...)
Autumn Twitchell	atwitch23@gmail.com 801-388-5666	Text/call/email whatever/whenever!
Daniel Sharp	danielsharp.a@gmail.com 801-888-6053	Text, call if necessary. Email if documents are included.
Garret Gang	GarretRGang@gmail.com 520-333-0168	Call if it needs my immediate attention. Otherwise text/email whenever.
Jesse Krage	jessekrage5@gmail.com 619-878-4245	Call/text/email unless after 9pm

Joe Hansen	1joe Hansen@gmail.com 208-850-7781	Call, text, email whenever
Nick Merriman	nicholas.merriman95@gmail.com 385-240-3759	Call if emergency. Text/email whenever.

Team Member Names	Strengths related to teamwork and the team's assigned task.	Weaknesses related to teamwork and the team's assigned task.
Autumn Twitchell	Organization, inclusive	Easily distracted, go-with-the-flow personality
Daniel Sharp	Organized, views big picture before jumping in, hard working, open communication.	Easily stressed if unorganized.
Garret Gang	Programming, Debugging, System Analysis	Difficulty Giving up on my own Ideas. A little too introverted
Jesse Krage	Take critique a instructional/motivating	Give in to other's ideas before my own
Joe Hansen	Personable and good at interacting with others. Team player.	Organization / Motivated by deadlines
Nick Merriman	Finishing tasks ahead of time, detail oriented	Willingness to delegate tasks

1. What are your team's goals for the collaboration?

These should relate to the team's performance on the project as well as the processes that the team will follow to complete the project. What are your team's expectations regarding the quality and timeliness of the team's work?

Strive for excellence – no excuses.

Make it work – then make it pretty.

Share your opinion.

Accept all feedback.

Team success trumps individual pride.

Judge ideas by quality not quantity of support. (Just say no to group think)

2. Who is responsible for each activity? What roles will each member have?

Don't forget to include logistical tasks, such as arranging meetings, preparing agendas and meeting minutes, and team process roles, such as questioning (devil's advocate), ensuring that everyone's opinion is heard, etc.

Autumn Twitchell – Coordinator/Scheduling

Garret Gang – Scribe/Weekly Updates

Joe Hansen – Team Rep/Sponsor Communication

Daniel Sharp – Team Leader/Keeps in touch with group

Nick Merriman – Co-Leader

Jesse Krage – System Engineer

3. What is your timetable for activities?

(Due dates, meetings, milestones, deliverables from individuals, if appropriate)

Weekly standup meeting to report on progress every Tuesday at 8 am.

Agenda goes out the day before meeting from Co-Leader. Edits to

agenda need to be submitted by the day before the agenda goes out.

4. What are your team's expectations regarding meeting attendance (being on time, leaving early, missing meetings, etc.)?

All members of the team will attend meetings unless prior notice is given (2-3 days).

Team members will arrive on time, and stay for the duration.

5. What constitutes an acceptable excuse for missing a meeting or a deadline? What types of excuses will not be considered acceptable?

Personal Emergency - with notification as soon as possible.

Prearranged absence – does not excuse a missed deadline.

Missing a deadline for non-emergency meetings, is not acceptable.

Non-Emergency excuses are not acceptable.

6. What process will team members follow if they have an emergency and cannot attend a team meeting or complete their individual work promised to the team (deliverable)?

Send a text out on the group chat ASAP.

7. What are your team's expectations regarding the quality of team members' preparation for team meetings and the quality of the deliverables that members bring to the team?

Deliverables should work, and be easy to integrate into their subsystem.

Ask for help if you need it getting a deliverable in.

8. What are your team's expectations regarding team members' ideas, interactions with the team, cooperation, attitudes, and anything else regarding team-member contributions?

Each team member should feel free to share their ideas, especially if they go against the general consensus, but they should list in what ways their suggestion is better.

9. What methods will be used to keep the team on track?

How will your team ensure that members contribute as expected to the team and that the team performs as expected? How will your team reward members who do well and manage members whose performance is below expectations?

Agile Milestones on Trello. Subdivide tasks into tickets, assign each ticket to a person.

Assign Subsystem Leads, Subsystems Leads follow up with subsystem team members. Team Lead follows up with Subsystem Leads.

Supporting Artifacts

REQ-001 Requirement Justification Breakdown

ECO-000 Engineering Change Order

NOTE-001 IMSAR 1st Visit Notes

NOTE-002 Design Review Notes

NOTE-003 Phone Meeting Notes

Artifact ID: REQ-001	Artifact Title: Requirement Justification Breakdown
Revision: 1.1	Revision Date: 28 SEPT 2019
Prepared by: Garret Gang	Checked by: Joe Hansen
Purpose: The purpose of this artifact is to explain the reasons for each of our market requirements.	



1. Revision History

Revision	Revised by	Checked by	Date
1.0	Garret Gang	Jesse Krage	13 SEPT 2019
1.1	Garret Gang	Joe Hansen	28 SEPT 2019

2. References

Artifact ID	Revision	Title
RM-001	1.7	Requirement Matrix
NOTE-001	1.0	IMSAR 1 st Visit
NOTE-002	1.0	Design Review Notes

3. Requirement Breakdown

Requirement

Breakdown

System tracks in-flight vehicle

The goal of this project is to help IMSAR to replace their current (out of production) gimbal with a new gimbal, and to expand the functionality of their current positioning system.

Their current positioning system is capable of keeping a drone/airplane in it's field of view once the target has been acquired. Our system must be capable of doing the same if it is to replace their existing system.

System computer communicates through Ethernet

IMSAR's current antenna system sends received information via Ethernet. For compatibility with their existing control system, we will design a system to be able to receive GPGGA messages from the existing antenna. In addition, our designed control system will need to send its status update messages through Ethernet so that the turret's functionality can be monitored from a downstream server.

System provides frequent status updates

These status update messages exist to let the user know that our positioning system is still functioning. Important

	<p>statuses include antenna pointing position, relative drone location, current selected radar unit, and status of other equipment.</p>
User interface (UI) is intuitive and requires minimal training	<p>One of the expected use cases for this positioning system is that the user will setup the positioner and leave it running at length without any further configuration.</p> <p>Because the user will rarely need to interact with the UI, it is important for the UI to be simple, intuitive, and complete. IMSAR's current user interface is not user friendly and requires additional software that they are forced to distribute so that their system can be used. Our design will eliminate the need for this additional software.</p> <p>By changing how users connect to the device and making it easier to use, the user will have a much better experience, and be more inclined to use this positioning system in the future.</p>
On-board computer fits in existing housing	<p>The expected use case for the communication link positioner is that the system will be placed outside, configured, and left out year-round.</p> <p>With this market requirement in mind, our hardware needs to be entirely weather-resistant. IMSAR currently has a weather-resistant case that they use to house the hardware for the current design. It would simplify our design to select an onboard computer that fits in IMSAR's existing weather-resistant case.</p> <p>This is not a critical design feature. We will design a larger weather-resistant case if necessary.</p>
System is resistant to power outages	<p>One of the problems this system will face is an unexpected loss of power due to potential power outages or unintentional unplugging of the system.</p> <p>Our control system needs to recover from a power outage without requiring additional setup. The faster it can recover the better.</p>
Camera can be mounted to antenna mount	<p>IMSAR has asked us to design a camera mount that would allow us to place a camera onto the positioning system to make validating the performance of our positioning system simple.</p>

ENGINEERING CHANGE ORDER

ECO #: _____

EFFECTIVE DATE: _____

PROPOSER: _____

DATE: _____

PRODUCT: _____

DESC: _____

ENG CHANGE NOTICE REQUIRED? ☐ YES ☐ NO
IS CUSTOMER APPROVAL REQUIRED? ☐ YES ☐ NO
IS REGULATORY APPROVAL REQUIRED? ☐ YES ☐ NO

DOCUMENT #	FROM REV	TO REV

REASON FOR CHANGE AND IMPACT:

ECO APPROVAL:

☐ IMSAR Rep.: _____
☐ Pod Instructor: _____
☐ Team Leader: _____

Artifact ID: NOTE-001	Artifact Title: IMSAR 1st Visit Notes
Revision: 1.0	Revision Date: 28/SEPT/2019
Prepared by: Joe Hansen	Checked by: Nick Merriman
Purpose: The purpose of this artifact is to record any relevant project information learned from our facility visit with Mark C. and Daniel Gunyan on Tuesday, September 17 th 2019.	



1. Revision History

Revision	Revised by	Checked by	Date
1.0	Joe Hansen	Nick Merriman	28 SEPT 2019

2. References

Artifact ID	Revision	Title
N/A	N/A	N/A

3. Notes

- The camera should mount directly to the antenna mount
 - This bracket design will be the responsibility of RPS
- A Raspberry Pi is a fantastic selection for the on-gimbal computer
- We asked about performing market research, and both Daniel and Mark agreed that it would not be necessary because of the nature of the product
- The weatherproofing enclosure may include Gortex in the design and a “wheat vent” to improve drainage. A completely sealed enclosure has presented serious issues in the past.
 - Ultimately, we decided that we would use the current enclosure system (an existing and successful enclosure) for protecting the on-gimbal components
- The antenna’s angle of effectiveness is “approximately 7 to 8 degrees”
- A budget of 1500 USD is sufficient for the project
 - We will not be responsible for purchasing the gimbal
 - IMSAR will likely not have the final gimbal until January 2020
- Document transfer will happen via IMSAR’s cloud storage
 - We will get information about login credentials in the future
- The UI should be web-based
- The UI should allow user control of the following parameters: LLA, heading, pan, tilt, and radar source select
- Mark suggested that we apply a step function to the system and evaluate rise and settling times to determine that it is properly damped
- LED indicators should include at least the following: Network traffic, data link acquired, processor activity
- Tilt and pan positioner will operate with Pelco – D protocol
- We will not have access to final tilt and pan positioner until “December or January”
 - In the mean time, IMSAR will purchase an inexpensive positioner for us to use in design

Artifact ID: NOTE-002	Artifact Title: Design Review Notes
Revision: 1.0	Revision Date: 28/SEPT/2019
Prepared by: Joe Hansen	Checked by: Nick Merriman
Purpose: The purpose of this artifact is to record relevant feedback from our design review with Dr. Jensen, Coach Kevin Paulsen, and Coach Dorothy Taylor on September, 25 th 2019.	



1. Revision History

Revision	Revised by	Checked by	Date
1.0	Joe Hansen	Nick Merriman	28 SEPT 2019

2. References

Artifact ID	Revision	Title
N/A	N/A	N/A

3. Notes

- Include a picture of the current positioner in the OD packet
- Clarify the following details:
 - Positioner is a ground unit
 - System is validated with a camera (camera is not included in final deliverable)
 - “On-board computer” should be clearer e.g. “On-gimbal computer”
- Review the section “Product - Focused Requirement Statement on page 294 of the capstone textbook
- Quantify the “Ease of Use” requirement with time to train a new user
- Consider including a quick startup time as a market requirement
- “Resume operation after power outage” should be a market requirement
- Revisit excellent, fair, and good performance for health message rate / pointer update rate
- Describe approval process in conjunction with attached approval email
- IMPORTANT -- Keep in mind for Key Success Measures: “What can we do that will make the customer say “this is what I’ve *always* wanted.”

Artifact ID: NOTE-003	Artifact Title: Phone Meeting Notes
Revision: 1.0	Revision Date: 3/OCT/2019
Prepared by: Joe Hansen	Checked by: Garret Gang
Purpose: Notes from our team phone conversations with Mark Catanzaro on 2 OCT and 3 OCT 2019.	



1. Revision History

Revision	Revised by	Checked by	Date
1.0	Joe Hansen	Garret Gang	3 OCT 2019

2. References

Artifact ID	Revision	Title
N/A	N/A	N/A

3. Notes

- Some data link acquisition systems that he has worked with take up to 10 minutes to set up. It will be very important for setup to be as simple and as streamlined as possible to make for quick setup.
 - We talked about saving parameters to non-volatile memory to make common installations faster.
- The current system has memory leaks that require a power cycle for operation to continue about once every week
 - We can't have any memory leaks for an excellent product
- Data transfer from the radar unit is maximized by maintaining the drone within a 7-8 degree window.
- Data acquisition is much like connecting a device to a wifi router. As soon as the antenna is pointed at a broadcasting radar, the system begins to receive GPCCA packets, and can begin tracking.
- Acquisition time can be best defined as the time after it commits to the time it takes to find the drone in the camera's field of view
- The most important part of the camera mount is that it points the camera consistently. The camera could be pointed a little bit off, but as long as it "isn't wobbling," it will be great.
- The market representatives include Mark and other employees of IMSAR that use the current tracking system. Mark has been speaking with them about their experiences with standard use cases and relaying that information to us. The experiences of the customers were an integral part in the development of our requirements and key success measures.
- We talked about the varied use cases to help identify the most useful measures.
 - Set-up on top of a building with little to no interaction for 3+ years
 - Set-up in remote locations with unreliable power sources
- The current positioner takes ~17 seconds to make a full 360 degree turn.

- We discussed how a previous key success measure was “a little bit lost off in the weeds.” Much of our conversation was driven by determining the crucial requirements that we could include as key success measures.
- It will be valuable to design the positioner such that it can recover from a sudden loss of power while in use.
- Once we determined our key success measures, he said that he loved the direction that we were heading.
- Mark will be free to sign off on the packet today or tomorrow