

SSV Network - Diff Audit

Executive Summary

This audit report was prepared by Quantstamp, the leader in blockchain security.

Туре	Registry and Payment				
Timeline	2023-10-23 through 2023-10-30				
Language	Solidity				
Methods	Architecture Review, Unit Testing, Functional Testing, Computer-Aided Verification, Manual Review				
Specification	SIP: Voluntary Exit 🖸				
Source Code	bloxapp/ssv-network ☑ #ca3ad7f ☑				
Auditors	 Jennifer Wu Auditing Engineer Roman Rohleder Senior Auditing Engineer Cameron Biniamow Auditing Engineer 				

Documentation quality	High
Test quality	High
Total Findings	10 Fixed: 6 Acknowledged: 4
High severity findings ③	1 Fixed: 1
Medium severity findings ①	0
Low severity findings ①	4 Acknowledged: 4
Undetermined severity (i)	0
Informational findings ③	5 Fixed: 5

Summary of Findings

This audit report is a **diff** audit, highlighting the changes made between **v1.0.0-rc3** and **v1.0.0**. It is crucial for readers to review this diff audit alongside the final audit report for SSV.network, as the scope of this diff audit is strictly limited to changes between **v1.0.0-rc3** and **v1.0.0**. Between v1.0.0-rc3 and v1.0.0, the contracts underwent minor bug fixes related to network earnings withdrawals and the Types.shrink() function and introduced a new feature for a validator to voluntarily exit through the function exitValidator(). This function emits the event ValidatorExited and initiates an off-chain process to exit from SSV.network, which is out of scope as the diff audit is limited to smart contracts only.

The diff audit resulted in 10 findings: 1 high and 4 lows and 5 gas optimization suggestions outlined below. The gas optimization issues are suggestions to improve gas efficiency without major refactoring. We recommend the client to consider all identified issues.

Fix Review: During the fix review, the client fixed SSV-1 by adding msg.sender when emitting the event ValidatorExited; the msg.sender will be validated by the off-chain process before the validator exit process is initiated. The client resolved remaining issues SSV-2 to SSV-10 by implementing fixes or acknowledging them; the new contracts are tagged as release v1.0.1.

Fix Review 2: The client updated the ValidatorExited event to index the owner address and removed the index for the publicKey . The update is tagged as release v1.0.2.

ID	DESCRIPTION	SEVERITY	STATUS
SSV-1	Force Validators to Exit	• High ③	Fixed
SSV-2	Colluding Operators Can Act Maliciously on Behalf of a Validator	• Low ①	Acknowledged
SSV-3	Front Run Operator Registration	• Low ③	Acknowledged
SSV-4	Event ValidatorExited Can Be Emitted Multiple Times	• Low ③	Acknowledged
SSV-5	Missing Input Validation	• Low ①	Acknowledged

ID	DESCRIPTION	SEVERITY	STATUS
SSV-6	Gas Optimization: Wasted Deployment Gas From Unused Named Return Variables	• Informational ①	Fixed
SSV-7	Gas Optimization: Use Constant Instead of type(uint).max	• Informational ①	Fixed
SSV-8	Gas Optimization: Use calldata Instead of memory	• Informational ①	Fixed
SSV-9	Gas Optimization: Inefficient Storage Clearing Patterns	• Informational ③	Fixed
SSV-10	Gas Optimization: Cache Variables	• Informational ③	Fixed

Assessment Breakdown

Quantstamp's objective was to evaluate the repository for security-related issues, code quality, and adherence to specification and best practices.



Disclaimer

Please note that the audit scope is limited to the changes between v1.0.0-rc3 and v1.0.0 for the smart contracts supporting the registry and payment distribution between validators and operators. As a result, the following areas of concern are considered out of scope:

- Malicious operators: This refers to the risk of operators working together to manipulate the consensus process in their favor, which could lead to a validator being slashed for behaving dishonestly.
- Private key compromise: This risk involves the possibility of an attacker reconstructing a validator's private key from shares, which could allow them to access the validator.
- Idle validator slashing: This risk involves idle operators in the consensus process, which could result in validators losing out on block proposals and attestation rewards.
- Validator unstaking after the Shanghai fork: This risk refers to the possibility that validators may unstake their funds following the Shanghai fork, which could result in the potential incompatibility of the SSV network.
- SSV Cli key generation: The registry relies on off-chain mechanisms to handle the generation of key shares for operators.

The integration of these contracts with the remainder of the system was not subject to auditing.

Only features that are contained within the repositories at the commit hashes specified on the front page of the report are within the scope of the audit and fix review. All features added in future revisions of the code are excluded from consideration in this report.

Possible issues we looked for included (but are not limited to):

- Transaction-ordering dependence
- Timestamp dependence
- Mishandled exceptions and call stack limits
- Unsafe external calls
- Integer overflow / underflow
- Number rounding errors
- Reentrancy and cross-function vulnerabilities
- Denial of service / logical oversights
- Access control
- · Centralization of power
- Business logic contradicting the specification
- Code clones, functionality duplication
- Gas usage
- Arbitrary token minting

Methodology

- 1. Code review that includes the following
 - 1. Review of the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to Quantstamp to make sure we understand the size, scope, and functionality of the smart contract.
 - 2. Manual review of code, which is the process of reading source code line-by-line in an attempt to identify potential vulnerabilities.
 - 3. Comparison to specification, which is the process of checking whether the code does what the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to Quantstamp describe.
- 2. Testing and automated analysis that includes the following:
 - 1. Test coverage analysis, which is the process of determining whether the test cases are actually covering the code and how much code is exercised when we run those test cases.
 - 2. Symbolic execution, which is analyzing a program to determine what inputs cause each part of a program to execute.

- 3. Best practices review, which is a review of the smart contracts to improve efficiency, effectiveness, clarity, maintainability, security, and control based on the established industry and academic practices, recommendations, and research.
- 4. Specific, itemized, and actionable recommendations to help you take steps to secure your smart contracts.

Findings

SSV-1 Force Validators to Exit

• High (i) Fixed



Update

The client fixed the issue in e696b3a441d93956651da4d404ef713f543f7158 by adding msg.sender in the event ValidatorExited to be validated off-chain.

File(s) affected: SSVClusters.sol

Description: The ValidatorExited event, emitted by the exitValidator() function, initiates a validator's exit from the network. The off-chain component parses the data from the event and starts the exit process. However, since the event only emits the public key and the operators, anyone with a copy of the public key can initiate the process. Validators can be registered multiple times using the same public key with different msg.sender addresses, creating separate entries for what should be a single validator. Although the registered validator is invalid off-chain, it is valid on-chain. This situation becomes problematic when a malicious actor invokes exitValidator() using the public key of a legitimate validator and a different msg.sender, as the function does not verify ownership of the associated private key, potentially initiating an unauthorized exit.

Exploit Scenario:

- 1. Alice registers as a validator using registerValidator().
- 2. Eve copies Alice's transaction and registers as a validator. The validator hash is different due to different msg.sender so the registration is valid on-chain but invalid off-chain due to invalid signed shares data.
- 3. Eve forces Alice to voluntarily exit by calling exitValidator().
- 4. The off-chain component picks up the event ValidatorExited(publicKey, operatorIds) and initiates the exit process.

Recommendation: The event ValidatorExited should emit msg.sender to be validated by the off-chain process before initiating the exit process.

SSV-2

Colluding Operators Can Act Maliciously on Behalf of a Validator

• Low (i) Acknowledged



Update

The client acknowledged the issue and provided the following explanation:

It is critical that validators choose trusted and decentralized operators when registering.

File(s) affected: SSVNetwork.sol

Description: From the final audit report for the SSV.network (report-SSV-17), the SSV team addressed this issue by manually authorizing operators. However, the current implementation has eliminated the need for prior authorization, reopening the possibility for colluding operators within the same cluster to maliciously act on behalf of the validator.

Recommendation: It is critical that validators choose trusted and decentralized operators when registering.

SSV-3 Front Run Operator Registration

• Low 🗓

Acknowledged



Update

The client acknowledged the issue and provided the following explanation:

For operators, if it happens to one of your operators, just make a new operator pub / private key and re-register, you have no clients yet to have to worry about trying to migrate them.

File(s) affected: SSVNetwork.sol

Description: From the final audit report for the SSV.network (report-SSV-18), with the removal of authorization to register operators, the operator registration is now susceptible to front-running. A malicious actor could exploit this SSVNetwork.registerOperator() vulnerability by

copying and front-running the legitimate operator registration transaction and consequently blocking the legitimate operator from registration.

Recommendation: Consider including msg.sender in the public key hashing, as is done for validators.

SSV-4 Event ValidatorExited Can Be Emitted Multiple Times

Lov

Acknowledged



Update

The client explained that the event ValidatorExited can be emitted multiple times and corrected the voluntary_exit.md specification.

File(s) affected: SSVClusters.sol

Description: The exitValidator() function allows for the ValidatorExited event to be emitted multiple times for the same validator, as there is no on-chain check to ensure it is called only once. This goes against the specification which states that the exitValidator() can be called only once by the validator owner.

Recommendation:

- 1. Implement an on-chain mechanism using a mapping or state variable to track validators that have already exited. Prior to emitting the ValidatorExited event, consult this mechanism to ensure that the exitValidator() function has not been previously called for the same validator.
- 2. Alternatively, if the "only once" limitation is enforced off-chain, we recommend clarifying this enforcement in the contract's code documentation.

SSV-5 Missing Input Validation



Acknowledged



Update

The client acknowledged the issue and provided the following explanation:

As this does not represent a security risk, we delegate the responsibility on the caller to provide the right parameters.

File(s) affected: SSVDAO.sol

Description: It is crucial to validate inputs, even if the inputs come from trusted addresses, to avoid human error. A lack of robust input validation can only increase the likelihood and impact in the event of mistakes.

Following is the list of places that can potentially benefit from stricter input validation:

1. SSVDAO.sol#25: the amount of the withdrawNetworkEarnings() should be greater than zero.

Recommendation: Add the validations and checks listed in the description.

SSV-6

Gas Optimization: Wasted Deployment Gas From Unused Named Return Variables

• Informational ①

Fixed



Update

The client fixed the issue as per recommendation in c1ba481bf57267b8504e1a2cb6ad7d18fdc120c4.

File(s) affected: SSVViews.sol

Description: Functions that declare named return variables but return values separately consume more gas during deployment. Consider modifying the following functions to remove unused named return variables:

- 1. Fixed SSVViews.getValidator()
- 2. Fixed SSVViews.getOperatorFee()
- 3. Fixed SSVViews.getOperatorFeeIncreaseLimit()
- 4. Fixed SSVViews.getMaximumOperatorFee()
- 5. Fixed SSVViews.getOperatorFeePeriods()
- 6. Fixed SSVViews.getVersion()

Recommendation: Remove the unused named return variables for gas optimization.



Update

The client reviewed the issue and provided the following explanation:

The Ethereum Virtual Machine (EVM) does not differentiate between a value that is defined in the contract (or library) as a constant and a value that comes from Solidity's type system; both are immutable and known at the time of compilation, thus they are inlined in the compiled bytecode. As a result, there's no extra computational overhead during execution that would cause a difference in gas usage.

We agree with the client's explanation and confirm that this issue is a false positive gas optimization recommendation. We also corrected the issue description. Upon a detailed examination of the bytecode, we observed a slight difference in the generated opcodes when using type(uint32).max as opposed to a constant value. Specifically, when optimization is not enabled, using type(uint32).max introduces two additional opcodes DUP1 and AND, resulting in an extra gas cost of 6 units during execution. It is important to note that this difference gets optimized out and becomes irrelevant when the compiler optimization is enabled. Since the SSV protocol uses optimization during compilation, this issue is not relevant.

File(s) affected: ProtocolLib.sol

Description: The use of type (uintx).max in Solidity generates bytecode that includes additional opcodes compared to using a constant value directly. Specifically, when using type(uintx).max, the generated bytecode includes additional DUP1 and AND opcodes, resulting in a minor increase in gas cost (6 gas units) for each occurrence. It is important to note that this difference becomes negligible when compiler optimization is enabled, as the additional opcodes are optimized away.

While the Ethereum Virtual Machine (EVM) treats compile-time constants and values derived from Solidity's type system in a similar manner (both are resolved during compilation), subtle differences in the generated bytecode can lead to minor discrepancies in gas usage.

Recommendation: For functions where every unit of gas is critical, and to ensure consistency in gas usage regardless of compiler optimization settings, you can define a constant for the maximum value of uint32 and use it in place of type(uint32).max. This ensures that the generated bytecode is as efficient as possible, even when compiler optimization is not enabled.

SSV-8 Gas Optimization: Use calldata Instead of memory

• Informational ①





Update

The client fixed the issue as per recommendation in c70a5138b6916b3e5ad3bd4599db3d37cf9d573b.

File(s) affected: SSVClusters.sol , ISSVViews.sol

Description: Solidity's calldata is a read-only byte-addressable space where function arguments reside. It is exclusive to external function call parameters, and it is more cost-effective to employ calldata over memory. This is because calldata is not stored in memory but is directly accessed from the function call data, resulting in gas savings. It is recommended to change the memory to calldata in the mentioned functions:

- 1. Fixed operatorIds parameter in SSVClusters.liquidate()
- 2. Fixed operatorIds parameter in ISSVViews.isLiquidatable()

Recommendation: Change from memory to calldata.

SSV-9 Gas Optimization: Inefficient Storage Clearing Patterns

• Informational ①

Fixed



Update

The client fixed the issue as per recommendation in f7e59b96ae4d1d4e4083122b26ec78a75286bd09.

File(s) affected: SSVOperators.sol

Description: Some parts of the code in SSVOperators.sol can be optimized for gas usage by simplifying storage clearing patterns:

- 1. Fixed When clearing the whitelist for an operatorId in the function SSVOperators.removeOperator(), instead of checking whether the s.operatorsWhitelist address is initialized, delete s.operatorsWhitelist directly.
- 2. Fixed When clearing the approvalBeginTime in the function SSVOperators.reduceOperatorFee(), instead of checking whether the approvalBeginTime is initialized, delete approvalBeginTime directly.

Recommendation: Clearing storage in Ethereum provides a gas refund, promoting efficient storage utilization. By omitting unnecessary checks before deletion, gas consumption can be reduced, offering more efficient transactions. Consider updating the functions as described to improve gas optimization.

The client fixed the issue as per recommendation in 673191ca91ce0b941f06196c1b9a26b3bc36347f.

File(s) affected: OperatorLib.sol , SSVDAO.sol

Description: Repeatedly accessing certain variables can be gas-intensive. To optimize gas usage, values frequently accessed should be stored in memory variables. Consider storing the value in a memory variable and reference the memory variable for the following variables:

- 1. Fixed The usage of operatorIds.length in OperatorLib.updateOperators() can be stored in a local variable.
- 2. Fixed The usage of SSVStorageProtocol.load().validatorsPerOperatorLimit in OperatorLib.updateOperators() can be refactored to leverage the StorageProtocol storage pointer and pass as a function parameter.
- 3. Fixed Instead of expanding the shrunken fee in the function SSVDAO.updateNetworkFee() the event NetworkFeeUpdated can emit the fee because the fee can be only shrunken if the fee passes Types256.shrinkable().

Recommendation: Consider applying variable caching as per the recommendation provided in the issue.

Definitions

- **High severity** High-severity issues usually put a large number of users' sensitive information at risk, or are reasonably likely to lead to catastrophic impact for client's reputation or serious financial implications for client and users.
- Medium severity Medium-severity issues tend to put a subset of users' sensitive information at risk, would be detrimental for the client's reputation if exploited, or are reasonably likely to lead to moderate financial impact.
- Low severity The risk is relatively small and could not be exploited on a recurring basis, or is a risk that the client has indicated is low impact in view of the client's business circumstances.
- Informational The issue does not post an immediate risk, but is relevant to security best practices or Defence in Depth.
- **Undetermined** The impact of the issue is uncertain.
- **Fixed** Adjusted program implementation, requirements or constraints to eliminate the risk.
- Mitigated Implemented actions to minimize the impact or likelihood of the risk.
- Acknowledged The issue remains in the code but is a result of an intentional business or design decision. As such, it is supposed to be addressed outside the programmatic means, such as: 1) comments, documentation, README, FAQ; 2) business processes; 3) analyses showing that the issue shall have no negative consequences in practice (e.g., gas analysis, deployment settings).

Appendix

File Signatures

The following are the SHA-256 hashes of the reviewed files. A file with a different SHA-256 hash has been modified, intentionally or otherwise, after the security review. You are cautioned that a different SHA-256 hash could be (but is not necessarily) an indication of a changed condition or potential vulnerability that was not within the scope of the review.

Contracts

- 59c...d99 ./contracts/SSVNetwork.sol
- b2a...858 ./contracts/SSVNetworkViews.sol
- 249...f2e ./contracts/SSVProxy.sol
- 001...894 ./contracts/interfaces/ISSVOperators.sol
- 906...40e ./contracts/interfaces/ISSVNetwork.sol
- 99c...1d4 ./contracts/interfaces/ISSVViews.sol
- 014...6dc ./contracts/interfaces/ISSVDAO.sol
- 09a...c1d ./contracts/interfaces/ISSVClusters.sol
- df8...d65 ./contracts/interfaces/ISSVNetworkCore.sol
- 1a8...cd8 ./contracts/modules/SSVOperators.sol
- 498...d0b ./contracts/modules/SSVViews.sol
- Oce...bd4 ./contracts/modules/SSVClusters.sol
- 3d6...c50 ./contracts/modules/SSVDAO.sol
- 237...e14 ./contracts/libraries/SSVStorage.sol
- 7ea...f65 ./contracts/libraries/SSVStorageProtocol.sol
- e6e...607 ./contracts/libraries/ValidatorLib.sol
- 974...702 ./contracts/libraries/OperatorLib.sol

Tests • 1eb...316 ./test/helpers/utils.ts 22c...649 ./test/helpers/gas-usage.ts 10c...6f3 ./test/helpers/contract-helpers.ts 4ea...9e3 ./test/account/deposit.ts 856...01b ./test/account/withdraw.ts • 885...3f5 ./test/validators/others.ts ec6...ca8 ./test/validators/remove.ts 34e...b7d ./test/validators/register.ts • cb6...b4f ./test/deployment/version.ts • 97d...765 ./test/deployment/deploy.ts 49b...f41 ./test/sanity/balances.ts bb3...19e ./test/liquidate/liquidate.ts 889...91e ./test/liquidate/liquidated-cluster.ts b16...5db ./test/liquidate/reactivate.ts 273...e7f ./test/dao/liquidation-collateral.ts • 314...9c7 ./test/dao/liquidation-threshold.ts ceb...0f7 ./test/dao/network-fee-change.ts 995...092 ./test/dao/network-fee-withdraw.ts f85...c34 ./test/dao/operational.ts • fb4...01a ./test/operators/others.ts

91b...26a ./contracts/libraries/CoreLib.sol

2ad...ade ./contracts/libraries/Types.sol

a3b...9d6 ./contracts/libraries/ClusterLib.sol

cef...bc5 ./contracts/libraries/ProtocolLib.sol

Automated Analysis

534...8c8 ./test/operators/update-fee.ts

28c...4e1 ./test/operators/remove.ts

7cb...b67 ./test/operators/register.ts

N/A

Test Suite Results

```
Deposit Tests
  ✔ Deposit to a non liquidated cluster I own emits "ClusterDeposited" (62ms)
  ✓ Deposit to a cluster I own gas limits (50ms)
  ✓ Deposit to a cluster I do not own emits "ClusterDeposited" (47ms)
  ✓ Deposit to a cluster I do not own gas limits (55ms)
  m{arphi} Deposit to a cluster I do own with a cluster that does not exist reverts "ClusterDoesNotExists"
  ✓ Deposit to a cluster I do not own with a cluster that does not exist reverts "ClusterDoesNotExists"
  ✔ Deposit to a liquidated cluster emits "ClusterDeposited" (108ms)
Withdraw Tests
  ✓ Withdraw from cluster emits "ClusterWithdrawn" (38ms)
  ✓ Withdraw from cluster gas limits (38ms)
  ✔ Withdraw from operator balance emits "OperatorWithdrawn"
  ✔ Withdraw from operator balance gas limits
  ✔ Withdraw the total operator balance emits "OperatorWithdrawn"
  ✓ Withdraw the total operator balance gas limits
  ✓ Withdraw from a cluster that has a removed operator emits "ClusterWithdrawn" (62ms)
  ✓ Withdraw more than the cluster balance reverts "InsufficientBalance" (54ms)
  ✓ Sequentially withdraw more than the cluster balance reverts "InsufficientBalance" (224ms)
  ✓ Withdraw from a liquidatable cluster reverts "InsufficientBalance" (liquidation threshold) (46ms)
  ✔ Withdraw from a liquidatable cluster reverts "InsufficientBalance" (liquidation collateral) (42ms)
```

- ✔ Withdraw from a liquidatable cluster after liquidation period reverts "InsufficientBalance" (45ms)
- ✓ Withdraw balance from an operator I do not own reverts "CallerNotOwner"
- ✔ Withdraw more than the operator balance reverts "InsufficientBalance"
- ✓ Sequentially withdraw more than the operator balance reverts "InsufficientBalance" (88ms)
- ✓ Withdraw the total balance from an operator I do not own reverts "CallerNotOwner"
- ✔ Withdraw more than the operator total balance reverts "InsufficientBalance"
- ✔ Withdraw from a cluster without validators (83ms)

Liquidation Collateral Tests

- ✔ Change minimum collateral emits "MinimumLiquidationCollateralUpdated"
- ✔ Change minimum collateral gas limits
- ✔ Get minimum collateral
- ✔ Change minimum collateral reverts "caller is not the owner"

Liquidation Threshold Tests

- ✔ Change liquidation threshold period emits "LiquidationThresholdPeriodUpdated"
- ✔ Change liquidation threshold period gas limits
- ✔ Get liquidation threshold period
- ✔ Change liquidation threshold period reverts "NewBlockPeriodIsBelowMinimum"
- ✔ Change liquidation threshold period reverts "caller is not the owner"

Network Fee Tests

- ✔ Change network fee emits "NetworkFeeUpdated"
- ✔ Change network fee providing UINT64 max value reverts "Max value exceeded"
- ✔ Change network fee when it was set emits "NetworkFeeUpdated" (44ms)
- ✔ Change network fee gas limit
- ✔ Get network fee
- ✔ Change the network fee to a number below the minimum fee reverts "Max precision exceeded"
- ✔ Change the network fee to a number that exceeds allowed type limit reverts "Max value exceeded"
- ✔ Change network fee from an address thats not the DAO reverts "caller is not the owner"

DAO Network Fee Withdraw Tests

- ✓ Withdraw network earnings emits "NetworkEarningsWithdrawn"
- ✓ Withdraw network earnings gas limits
- ✔ Get withdrawable network earnings
- ✔ Get withdrawable network earnings as not owner
- ✔ Withdraw network earnings with not enough balance reverts "InsufficientBalance"
- ✓ Withdraw network earnings from an address thats not the DAO reverts "caller is not the owner"
- ✔ Withdraw network earnings providing UINT64 max value reverts "Max value exceeded"
- ✓ Withdraw network earnings sequentially when not enough balance reverts "InsufficientBalance" (81ms)

DAO operational Tests

- $oldsymbol{arphi}$ Starting the transfer process does not change owner
- ✔ Ownership is transferred in a 2-step process
- ✓ Get the network validators count (add/remove validaotor) (223ms)
- ✓ Get the network validators count (add/remove validaotor) (227ms)

Deployment tests

- ✔ Check default values after deploying
- ✔ Upgrade SSVNetwork contract. Check new function execution (115ms)
- ✔ Upgrade SSVNetwork contract. Deploy implementation manually (63ms)
- ✓ Upgrade SSVNetwork contract. Check base contract is not re-initialized (48ms)
- ✔ Upgrade SSVNetwork contract. Check state is only changed from proxy contract (53ms)
- ✔ Update a module (SSVOperators) (65ms)
- ✔ ETH can not be transferred to SSVNetwork / SSVNetwork views

Version upgrade tests

✓ Upgrade contract version number (58ms)

Liquidate Tests

- ✓ Liquidate a cluster via liquidation threshold emits "ClusterLiquidated" (50ms)
- ✓ Liquidate a cluster via minimum liquidation collateral emits "ClusterLiquidated" (48ms)
- ✓ Liquidate a cluster after liquidation period emits "ClusterLiquidated" (43ms)
- ✓ Liquidatable with removed operator (46ms)
- \checkmark Liquidatable with removed operator after liquidation period (45ms)
- ✓ Liquidate validator with removed operator in a cluster (79ms)
- ✓ Liquidate and register validator in a disabled cluster reverts "ClusterIsLiquidated" (99ms)
- ✓ Liquidate cluster (4 operators) and check isLiquidated true (58ms)
- ✓ Liquidate cluster (7 operators) and check isLiquidated true (163ms)
- ✓ Liquidate cluster (10 operators) and check isLiquidated true (193ms)
- ✓ Liquidate cluster (13 operators) and check isLiquidated true (223ms)
- ✓ Liquidate a non liquidatable cluster that I own (55ms)

- ✓ Liquidate cluster that I own (61ms)
- ✓ Liquidate cluster that I own after liquidation period (56ms)
- ✓ Get if the cluster is liquidatable
- ✔ Get if the cluster is liquidatable after liquidation period
- ✓ Get if the cluster is not liquidatable
- ✓ Liquidate a cluster that is not liquidatable reverts "ClusterNotLiquidatable" (66ms)
- ✓ Liquidate a cluster that is not liquidatable reverts "IncorrectClusterState"
- ✓ Liquidate already liquidated cluster reverts "ClusterIsLiquidated" (71ms)
- ✓ Is liquidated reverts "ClusterDoesNotExists" (60ms)

Liquidate Tests

- ✓ Liquidate → deposit → reactivate (178ms)
- ✓ RegisterValidator -> liquidate -> removeValidator -> deposit -> withdraw (218ms)
- ✓ Withdraw -> liquidate -> deposit -> reactivate (288ms)
- ✔ Remove validator -> withdraw -> try liquidate reverts "ClusterNotLiquidatable" (215ms)

Reactivate Tests

- ✔ Reactivate a disabled cluster emits "ClusterReactivated" (110ms)
- ✔ Reactivate a cluster with a removed operator in the cluster (130ms)
- ✔ Reactivate an enabled cluster reverts "ClusterAlreadyEnabled"
- ✔ Reactivate a cluster when the amount is not enough reverts "InsufficientBalance" (91ms)
- ✔ Reactivate a liquidated cluster after making a deposit (141ms)
- ✔ Reactivate a cluster after liquidation period when the amount is not enough reverts "InsufficientBalance" (105ms)

Others Operator Tests

- ✔ Add fee recipient address emits "FeeRecipientAddressUpdated"
- ✔ Remove operator whitelisted address (63ms)
- ✓ Non-owner remove operator whitelisted address reverts "CallerNotOwner" (60ms)
- ✔ Update operator whitelisted address (44ms)
- ✓ Non-owner update operator whitelisted address reverts "CallerNotOwner" (39ms)
- ✓ Get the maximum number of validators per operator

Register Operator Tests

- ✔ Register operator emits "OperatorAdded"
- ✔ Register operator gas limits
- ✓ Get operator by id
- ✓ Get private operator by id (55ms)
- ✓ Set operator whitelist gas limits (44ms)
- ✓ Get non-existent operator by id
- ✓ Get operator removed by id (57ms)
- ✔ Register an operator with a fee thats too low reverts "FeeTooLow"
- ✔ Register an operator with a fee thats too high reverts "FeeTooHigh"
- ✔ Register same operator twice reverts "OperatorAlreadyExists" (43ms)

Remove Operator Tests

- ✔ Remove operator emits "OperatorRemoved"
- ✔ Remove private operator emits "OperatorRemoved" (71ms)
- ✔ Remove operator gas limits
- ✔ Remove operator with 0 balance emits "OperatorWithdrawn"
- ✔ Remove operator with a balance emits "OperatorWithdrawn" (97ms)
- ✔ Remove operator with a balance gas limits (100ms)
- ✔ Remove operator I do not own reverts "CallerNotOwner"
- ✔ Remove same operator twice reverts "OperatorDoesNotExist" (42ms)

Operator Fee Tests

- ✔ Declare fee emits "OperatorFeeDeclared"
- ✔ Declare fee gas limits"
- ✔ Declare fee with zero value emits "OperatorFeeDeclared"
- ✔ Declare a lower fee gas limits
- ✔ Declare a higher fee gas limit
- ✔ Cancel declared fee emits "OperatorFeeDeclarationCancelled" (42ms)
- ✓ Cancel declared fee gas limits (43ms)
- ✔ Execute declared fee emits "OperatorFeeExecuted" (47ms)
- ✓ Execute declared fee gas limits (48ms)
- ✓ Get operator fee
- ✓ Get fee from operator that does not exist returns 0
- ✓ Get operator maximum fee limit
- ✓ Declare fee of operator I do not own reverts "CallerNotOwner"
- ✔ Declare fee with a wrong Publickey reverts "OperatorDoesNotExist"
- ✔ Declare fee when previously set to zero reverts "FeeIncreaseNotAllowed" (66ms)
- ✔ Declare same fee value as actual reverts "SameFeeChangeNotAllowed" (64ms)

- ✔ Declare fee after registering an operator with zero fee reverts "FeeIncreaseNotAllowed" (41ms)
- ✔ Declare fee above the operators max fee increase limit reverts "FeeExceedsIncreaseLimit"
- ✔ Declare fee above the operators max fee limit reverts "FeeTooHigh"
- ✔ Declare fee too high reverts "FeeTooHigh" -> DAO updates limit -> declare fee emits "OperatorFeeDeclared" (103ms)
 - ✔ Cancel declared fee without a pending request reverts "NoFeeDeclared"
 - ✔ Cancel declared fee of an operator I do not own reverts "CallerNotOwner" (39ms)
 - ✔ Execute declared fee of an operator I do not own reverts "CallerNotOwner" (47ms)
 - ✔ Execute declared fee without a pending request reverts "NoFeeDeclared"
 - ✔ Execute declared fee too early reverts "ApprovalNotWithinTimeframe" (44ms)
 - ✔ Execute declared fee too late reverts "ApprovalNotWithinTimeframe" (41ms)
 - ✔ Reduce fee emits "OperatorFeeExecuted" (57ms)
 - ✔ Reduce fee emits "OperatorFeeExecuted"
 - ✔ Reduce fee with an increased value reverts "FeeIncreaseNotAllowed"
 - ✔ Reduce fee after declaring a fee change (54ms)
 - ✔ Reduce maximum fee limit after declaring a fee change reverts "FeeTooHigh (60ms)
 - ✔ DAO increase the fee emits "OperatorFeeIncreaseLimitUpdated"
 - ✔ DAO update the maximum operator fee emits "OperatorMaximumFeeUpdated"
 - ✔ DAO increase the fee gas limits"
 - ✔ DAO update the declare fee period emits "DeclareOperatorFeePeriodUpdated"
 - ✔ DAO update the declare fee period gas limits"
 - ✔ DAO update the execute fee period emits "ExecuteOperatorFeePeriodUpdated"
 - ✔ DAO update the execute fee period gas limits
 - ✔ DAO update the maximum fee for operators using SSV gas limits
 - ✔ DAO get fee increase limit
 - ✔ DAO get declared fee
 - ✔ DAO get declared and execute fee periods
 - ✓ Increase fee from an address thats not the DAO reverts "caller is not the owner"
 - ✔ Update the declare fee period from an address thats not the DAO reverts "caller is not the owner"
 - ✔ Update the execute fee period from an address thats not the DAO reverts "caller is not the owner"
 - ✔ DAO declared fee without a pending request reverts "NoFeeDeclared"

Balance Tests

- ✔ Check cluster balance in three blocks, one after the other (62ms)
- ✔ Check cluster balance in two and twelve blocks, after network fee updates (108ms)
- ✔ Check DAO earnings in three blocks, one after the other
- ✔ Check DAO earnings in two and twelve blocks, after network fee updates (47ms)
- ✔ Check operators earnings in three blocks, one after the other (76ms)
- ✔ Check cluster balance with removed operator (48ms)
- ✔ Check cluster balance with not enough balance
- ✔ Check cluster balance in a non liquidated cluster
- ✔ Check cluster balance in a liquidated cluster reverts "ClusterIsLiquidated" (69ms)
- ✔ Check operator earnings, cluster balances and network earnings" (336ms)
- ✔ Check operator earnings and cluster balance when reducing operator fee" (55ms)
- ✔ Check cluster balance after withdraw and deposit" (252ms)

Other Validator Tests

- ✓ Exiting a validator emits "ValidatorExited"
- ✔ Exiting a validator gas limit
- ✔ Exiting one of the validators in a cluster emits "ValidatorExited" (100ms)
- ✔ Exiting a removed validator reverts "ValidatorDoesNotExist" (69ms)
- ✔ Exiting a non-existing validator reverts "ValidatorDoesNotExist"
- ✔ Exiting a validator with empty operator list reverts "IncorrectValidatorState"
- ✔ Exiting a validator with empty public key reverts "ValidatorDoesNotExist"
- ✔ Exiting a validator using the wrong account reverts "ValidatorDoesNotExist"
- ✓ Exiting a validator with incorrect operators (unsorted list) reverts with "IncorrectValidatorState"
- ✓ Exiting a validator with incorrect operators (too many operators) reverts with

"IncorrectValidatorState" (155ms)

✔ Exiting a validator with incorrect operators reverts with "IncorrectValidatorState"

Register Validator Tests

- ✔ Register validator with 4 operators emits "ValidatorAdded" (78ms)
- ✔ Register validator with 4 operators gas limit (78ms)
- ✔ Register 2 validators into the same cluster gas limit (155ms)
- ✔ Register 2 validators into the same cluster and 1 validator into a new cluster gas limit (228ms)
- ✔ Register 2 validators into the same cluster with one time deposit gas limit (131ms)
- ✔ Register validator with 7 operators gas limit (97ms)
- ✔ Register 2 validators with 7 operators into the same cluster gas limit (187ms)
- \checkmark Register 2 validators with 7 operators into the same cluster and 1 validator into a new cluster with 7 operators gas limit (277ms)
- \checkmark Register 2 validators with 7 operators into the same cluster with one time deposit gas limit (170ms)

```
✔ Register 2 validators with 10 operators into the same cluster gas limit (216ms)
    ✔ Register 2 validators with 10 operators into the same cluster and 1 validator into a new cluster
with 10 operators gas limit (322ms)
    ✓ Register 2 validators with 10 operators into the same cluster with one time deposit gas limit
(202ms)
    ✔ Register validator with 13 operators gas limit (135ms)
    ✔ Register 2 validators with 13 operators into the same cluster gas limit (262ms)
    ✔ Register 2 validators with 13 operators into the same cluster and 1 validator into a new cluster
with 13 operators gas limit (382ms)
    ✓ Register 2 validators with 13 operators into the same cluster with one time deposit gas limit
(259ms)

✓ Get cluster burn rate (138ms)
    ✓ Get cluster burn rate when one of the operators does not exist
    ✔ Register validator with incorrect input data reverts "IncorrectClusterState" (106ms)
    ✔ Register validator in a new cluster with incorrect input data reverts "IncorrectClusterState"
(44ms)
    ✔ Register validator when an operator does not exist in the cluster reverts "OperatorDoesNotExist"
(49ms)
    ✔ Register validator with a removed operator in the cluster reverts "OperatorDoesNotExist" (50ms)
    ✔ Register cluster with unsorted operators reverts "UnsortedOperatorsList" (43ms)
    ✔ Register cluster with duplicated operators reverts "OperatorsListNotUnique" (61ms)
    ✔ Register validator into a cluster with an invalid amount of operators reverts
"InvalidOperatorIdsLength" (120ms)
    Register validator with an invalid public key length reverts "InvalidPublicKeyLength"
    ✔ Register validator with not enough balance reverts "InsufficientBalance" (69ms)
    ✔ Register validator in a liquidatable cluster with not enough balance reverts "InsufficientBalance"
(146ms)
    ✔ Register an existing validator with same operators setup reverts "ValidatorAlreadyExists" (43ms)
    ✔ Register an existing validator with different operators setup reverts "ValidatorAlreadyExists"
(44ms)
    ✓ Surpassing max number of validators per operator reverts "ExceedValidatorLimit" (4095ms)
    ✔ Register whitelisted validator in 1 operator with 4 operators emits "ValidatorAdded" (121ms)
    ✔ Register a non whitelisted validator reverts "CallerNotWhitelisted" (102ms)
    ✔ Retrieve an existing validator
    ✔ Retrieve a non-existing validator
  Remove Validator Tests
    ✔ Remove validator emits "ValidatorRemoved" (45ms)
    ✔ Remove validator after cluster liquidation period emits "ValidatorRemoved" (44ms)
    ✓ Remove validator gas limit (4 operators cluster) (44ms)
    ✔ Remove validator gas limit (7 operators cluster) (149ms)
    ✔ Remove validator gas limit (10 operators cluster) (182ms)
    ✔ Remove validator gas limit (13 operators cluster) (223ms)
    ✔ Remove validator with a removed operator in the cluster (71ms)
    ✔ Register a removed validator and remove the same validator again (145ms)
    ✔ Remove validator from a liquidated cluster (84ms)
    ✔ Remove validator with an invalid owner reverts "ValidatorDoesNotExist"
    ✔ Remove validator with an invalid operator setup reverts "IncorrectValidatorState"
    ✔ Remove the same validator twice reverts "ValidatorDoesNotExist" (71ms)
  234 passing (3m)
```

✓ Register validator with 10 operators gas limit (114ms)

Code Coverage

The code coverage was generated for using npm run solidity-coverage and .solcover.js provided below.

```
module.exports = {
    skipFiles: ['deprecated', 'test', 'upgrades', 'mocks'],
};
```

We recommend adding more tests to ensure the branch coverage is larger than 90% before deploying the contracts.

Fix Review: During the fix review, the client added 4 more tests for the view function getNetworkValidatorsCount() and gas optimization when registering and removing validators for different operator configurations.

File	% Stmts	% Branch	% Funcs	% Lines	Uncovered Lines
contracts/	100	71.88	98.18	100	
SSVNetwork.sol	100	80.77	100	100	
SSVNetworkViews.sol	100	33.33	95.45	100	
SSVProxy.sol	100	100	100	100	
contracts/interfaces/	100	100	100	100	
ISSVClusters.sol	100	100	100	100	
ISSVDAO.sol	100	100	100	100	
ISSVNetwork.sol	100	100	100	100	
ISSVNetworkCore.sol	100	100	100	100	
ISSVOperators.sol	100	100	100	100	
ISSVViews.sol	100	100	100	100	
contracts/libraries/	98.18	85.71	100	94.05	
ClusterLib.sol	100	100	100	100	
CoreLib.sol	88.89	50	100	76.92	15,21,44
OperatorLib.sol	100	90	100	95.65	50
ProtocolLib.sol	100	75	100	91.67	43
SSVStorage.sol	100	100	100	100	
SSVStorageProtocol.sol	100	100	100	100	
Types.sol	100	100	100	100	
ValidatorLib.sol	100	100	100	100	
contracts/modules/	99.55	90.35	97.78	99.68	
SSVClusters.sol	100	85.94	100	100	
SSVDAO.sol	100	100	100	100	
SSVOperators.sol	100	97.22	100	100	
SSVViews.sol	98.18	90	94.74	98.31	203
contracts/token/	0	0	0	0	
SSVToken.sol	0	0	0	0	21
All files	99.1	85.26	96.88	98.5	

Changelog

- 2023-10-30 Initial report
- 2023-11-08 Final report
- 2023-11-14 Final report update

About Quantstamp

Quantstamp is a global leader in blockchain security. Founded in 2017, Quantstamp's mission is to securely onboard the next billion users to Web3 through its best-in-class Web3 security products and services.

Quantstamp's team consists of cybersecurity experts hailing from globally recognized organizations including Microsoft, AWS, BMW, Meta, and the Ethereum Foundation. Quantstamp engineers hold PhDs or advanced computer science degrees, with decades of combined experience in formal verification, static analysis, blockchain audits, penetration testing, and original leading-edge research.

To date, Quantstamp has performed more than 500 audits and secured over \$200 billion in digital asset risk from hackers. Quantstamp has worked with a diverse range of customers, including startups, category leaders and financial institutions. Brands that Quantstamp has worked with include Ethereum 2.0, Binance, Visa, PayPal, Polygon, Avalanche, Curve, Solana, Compound, Lido, MakerDAO, Arbitrum, OpenSea and the World Economic Forum.

Quantstamp's collaborations and partnerships showcase our commitment to world-class research, development and security. We're honored to work with some of the top names in the industry and proud to secure the future of web3.

Notable Collaborations & Customers:

- Blockchains: Ethereum 2.0, Near, Flow, Avalanche, Solana, Cardano, Binance Smart Chain, Hedera Hashgraph, Tezos
- DeFi: Curve, Compound, Maker, Lido, Polygon, Arbitrum, SushiSwap
- NFT: OpenSea, Parallel, Dapper Labs, Decentraland, Sandbox, Axie Infinity, Illuvium, NBA Top Shot, Zora
- Academic institutions: National University of Singapore, MIT

Timeliness of content

The content contained in the report is current as of the date appearing on the report and is subject to change without notice, unless indicated otherwise by Quantstamp; however, Quantstamp does not guarantee or warrant the accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any report you access using the internet or other means, and assumes no obligation to update any information following publication or other making available of the report to you by Quantstamp.

Notice of confidentiality

This report, including the content, data, and underlying methodologies, are subject to the confidentiality and feedback provisions in your agreement with Quantstamp. These materials are not to be disclosed, extracted, copied, or distributed except to the extent expressly authorized by Quantstamp.

Links to other websites

You may, through hypertext or other computer links, gain access to web sites operated by persons other than Quantstamp. Such hyperlinks are provided for your reference and convenience only, and are the exclusive responsibility of such web sites&aspo; owners. You agree that Quantstamp are not responsible for the content or operation of such web sites, and that Quantstamp shall have no liability to you or any other person or entity for the use of third-party web sites. Except as described below, a hyperlink from this web site to another web site does not imply or mean that Quantstamp endorses the content on that web site or the operator or operations of that site. You are solely responsible for determining the extent to which you may use any content at any other web sites to which you link from the report. Quantstamp assumes no responsibility for the use of third-party software on any website and shall have no liability whatsoever to any person or entity for the accuracy or completeness of any output generated by such software.

Disclaimer

The review and this report are provided on an as-is, where-is, and as-available basis. To the fullest extent permitted by law, Quantstamp disclaims all warranties, expressed or implied, in connection with this report, its content, and the related services and products and your use thereof, including, without limitation, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, and non-infringement. You agree that your access and/or use of the report and other results of the review, including but not limited to any associated services, products, protocols, platforms, content, and materials, will be at your sole risk. FOR AVOIDANCE OF DOUBT, THE REPORT, ITS CONTENT, ACCESS, AND/OR USAGE THEREOF, INCLUDING ANY ASSOCIATED SERVICES OR MATERIALS, SHALL NOT BE CONSIDERED OR RELIED UPON AS ANY FORM OF FINANCIAL, INVESTMENT, TAX, LEGAL, REGULATORY, OR OTHER ADVICE. This report is based on the scope of materials and documentation provided for a limited review at the time provided. You acknowledge that Blockchain technology remains under development and is subject to unknown risks and flaws and, as such, the report may not be complete or inclusive of all vulnerabilities. The review is limited to the materials identified in the report and does not extend to the compiler layer, or any other areas beyond the programming language, or other programming aspects that could present security risks. The report does not indicate the endorsement by Quantstamp of any particular project or team, nor guarantee its security, and may not be represented as such. No third party is entitled to rely on the report in any way, including for the purpose of making any decisions to buy or sell a product, service or any other asset. Quantstamp does not warrant, endorse, guarantee, or assume responsibility for any product or service advertised or offered by a third party, or any open source or third-party software, code, libraries, materials, or information linked to, called by, referenced by or accessible through the report, its content, or any related services and products, any hyperlinked websites, or any other websites or mobile applications, and we will not be a party to or in any way be responsible for monitoring any transaction between you and any third party. As with the purchase or use of a product or service through any medium or in any environment, you should use your best judgment and exercise caution where appropriate.

X Quantstamp

••••••

© 2023 – Quantstamp, Inc.

SSV Network - Diff Audit