Green's function for the advection-diffusion equation

December 5, 2018

1 Green's function from Bessel's equation

$$U\,\partial_y \phi = D\,\Delta\phi + \delta(\mathbf{r})\tag{1}$$

Let $\psi(\mathbf{r}) = e^{-Uy/2D}\phi(\mathbf{r})$; then ψ satisfies

$$-D\,\Delta\psi + \frac{U^2}{4D}\,\psi = \delta(\mathbf{r}). \tag{2}$$

This is a Hemholtz equation. For convenience we define the inverse length scale a=U/2D:

$$-\Delta \psi + a^2 \psi = \delta(\mathbf{r})/D. \tag{3}$$

The solution to this must be dependent on r only, so we try to solve:

$$\frac{1}{r}\frac{d}{dr}\left(r\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial r}\right) - a^2\psi = 0. \tag{4}$$

This is a modified Bessel equation; the solution that decays as $r \to \infty$ is

$$\psi(r) = c_1 K_0(ar). \tag{5}$$

The Green's function we seek is then of the form

$$\phi(\mathbf{r}) = e^{ay} \, \psi(r) = c_1 \, e^{ay} \, K_0(ar). \tag{6}$$

To find the constant a, we observe that for small x

$$K_0(x) \sim -\log x, \qquad x \to 0.$$
 (7)

Hence, for the Green's function to limit to that for the Laplacian with a point source of strength -1/D as $r \to 0$, we require

$$\phi(\mathbf{r}) \sim -c_1 \log r \sim -\frac{1}{2\pi D} \log r, \qquad r \to 0.$$
 (8)

We obtain finally the Green's function

$$\phi(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2\pi D} e^{ay} K_0(ar), \qquad a = \frac{U}{2D}.$$
 (9)

A useful asymptotic form for this is

$$\phi(\mathbf{r}) \sim \frac{e^{a(y-r)}}{\sqrt{4\pi DUr}}, \qquad r \to \infty.$$
 (10)

In particular, this has very different limits along the x=0 axis dependent on whether we are upstream $(y \to -\infty)$ or downstream $(y \to \infty)$:

$$\phi \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi DU|y|}} \begin{cases} 1, & y \to \infty; \\ e^{-a|y|}, & y \to -\infty. \end{cases}$$
(11)

2 The shape of contours

To find the shape of large contours $\phi(\mathbf{r}) = c/\sqrt{4\pi DU} > 0$ we use the asymptotic form Eq. (10):

$$e^{a(y-r)} = c\sqrt{r}. (12)$$

The furthest extent of the contour for y>0 is obtained by setting $r=y=y_{\rm max}$ and then solving

$$1 = c\sqrt{y_{\text{max}}} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad y_{\text{max}} = 1/c^2. \tag{13}$$

We let furthest extent of the countour for y < 0 be $y = -y_{\min}$. Assuming that y_{\min} is large and that Eq. (10) is still valid, we set $r = -y = y_{\min}$ and then solve

$$e^{-2ay_{\min}} = c^2 y_{\min}. \tag{14}$$

The solution to this is given in terms of the Lambert W-function as

$$y_{\min} = \frac{1}{2a} W(2a/c^2). \tag{15}$$

For small c, this has asymptotic expansion

$$y_{\min} \sim \frac{1}{2a} \left(\log(2a/c^2) - \log\log(2a/c^2) \right), \qquad c \to 0.$$
 (16)

This is indeed getting slowly larger as $c \to 0$, consistent with using the approximation Eq. (10), but the extent of the contour in y is completely dominated by $y_{\rm max}$. We can thus safely use $y_{\rm min}=0$ when computing the area.

Now that we know the limits in y, to compute the area as a function of y we use Eq. (12)

$$e^{a(y-\sqrt{x^2+y^2})} = c(x^2+y^2)^{1/4}$$
(17)

and try to solve for x. This is hard to do directly, but we expect y to be much large over most of the contour. We thus expand

$$\frac{e^{ay(1-\sqrt{1+(x/y)^2})}}{(x^2+y^2)^{1/4}} = c \tag{18}$$

in large y:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{y}} + \frac{2ax^2}{4y^{3/2}} + \mathcal{O}(x^2/y^{5/2}) = c \tag{19}$$

to obtain

$$x_{\text{max}}(y) = \sqrt{2y(1 - c\sqrt{y})/a} + O().$$
 (20)

Now we can compute the estimated area as

$$A = 2 \int_0^{y_{\text{max}}} x_{\text{max}}(y) \, \mathrm{d}y = \frac{64\sqrt{2}}{105\sqrt{a}} \, c^{-3}.$$
 (21)

The crucial observation is that $A \sim c^{-3}$. Hence,

$$y_{\rm max} \sim c^{-2} \sim A^{2/3}$$
 (22)

as we find in the simulations.

(*** Note that for us the area is twice the number of particles, since we have a checker-board pattern. Also, I think a=1 is the right scaling, since UT=1 gridpoint, and $\sqrt{2DT}=1$ gridpoint as well.)

3 Using Fourier transform

(*** This is not necessary.)

We take the Fourier transform of Eq. (3):

$$k^2 \,\hat{\psi} + a^2 \,\hat{\psi} = 1/D. \tag{23}$$

Here we define the forward transform

$$\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{k}) = \int \psi(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} d\mathbf{r}$$
(24)

and the inverse transform

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} d\mathbf{k}.$$
 (25)

Solving Eq. (23) for $\hat{\psi}$ and inverting, we have

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2\pi D} \int \frac{e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}}{k^2 + a^2} d\mathbf{k}.$$
 (26)

We can transform the k integral to polar coordinates with $k = k (\cos \varphi, \sin \varphi)$ and $r = r (\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$:

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2\pi D} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{ikr\cos(\varphi - \theta)}}{k^2 + a^2} k \, dk \, d\varphi. \tag{27}$$

Because of periodicity, we can translate the φ integral by θ and obtain the θ -independent form

$$\psi(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi D} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{ikr\cos\varphi}}{k^2 + a^2} k \, dk \, d\varphi. \tag{28}$$

Mathematica can carry this one out directly to give

$$\psi(r) = \frac{1}{D} K_0(ar). \tag{29}$$