

Structural basis of sensory receptor evolution in octopus

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-05822-1>

Received: 2 September 2022

Accepted: 10 February 2023

Published online: 12 April 2023



Corey A. H. Allard^{1,7}, Guipeun Kang^{2,3,7}, Jeong Joo Kim^{2,3,7}, Wendy A. Valencia-Montoya^{1,4,5}, Ryan E. Hibbs^{2,3,6}✉ & Nicholas W. Bellono¹✉

Chemotactile receptors (CRs) are a cephalopod-specific innovation that allow octopuses to explore the seafloor via ‘taste by touch’¹. CRs diverged from nicotinic acetylcholine receptors to mediate contact-dependent chemosensation of insoluble molecules that do not readily diffuse in marine environments. Here we exploit octopus CRs to probe the structural basis of sensory receptor evolution. We present the cryo-electron microscopy structure of an octopus CR and compare it with nicotinic receptors to determine features that enable environmental sensation versus neurotransmission. Evolutionary, structural and biophysical analyses show that the channel architecture involved in cation permeation and signal transduction is conserved. By contrast, the orthosteric ligand-binding site is subject to diversifying selection, thereby mediating the detection of new molecules. Serendipitous findings in the cryo-electron microscopy structure reveal that the octopus CR ligand-binding pocket is exceptionally hydrophobic, enabling sensation of greasy compounds versus the small polar molecules detected by canonical neurotransmitter receptors. These discoveries provide a structural framework for understanding connections between evolutionary adaptations at the atomic level and the emergence of new organismal behaviour.

Chemosensation is a widespread sensory modality found across the tree of life². Chemosensory systems are specialized to detect molecules that are relevant in specific ecological and behavioural contexts. For example, the main evolutionary transition of aquatic to terrestrial life has been proposed to necessitate the detection of hydrophobic airborne ligands instead of distant waterborne signalling via hydrophilic molecules^{3,4}. Nonetheless, many aquatic animals use specialized chemotactile sensory systems to physically probe surfaces for insoluble chemical cues^{3,4}. We recently uncovered a molecular basis for contact-dependent aquatic chemosensation by identifying octopus chemotactile receptors (CRs) as sensors of poorly soluble terpene molecules, which are prevalent in marine ecosystems¹.

Octopuses are incredible sensory specialists that have evolved to thrive in their seafloor environment by using their arms to find prey in crevices inaccessible to their traditional sense organs^{5,6}. Octopuses ‘taste by touch’ with cephalopod-specific CRs found in the sensory epithelium of arm suckers, which the octopus uses to probe surfaces (Fig. 1a). CRs assemble as homo and heteropentameric ligand-gated ion channels, similar to ‘Cys-loop’ ionotropic neurotransmitter receptors¹. Among these receptors, CRs share the highest sequence identity with nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (AChRs). However, CRs have diverged from nicotinic receptors to detect, transduce and integrate distinct and diverse chemical stimuli including hydrophobic terpenes, many of which are classical volatile compounds that are important for terrestrial olfaction¹. Indeed, other chemosensory receptors diverged

from neurotransmitter receptors, including protostome ionotropic receptors that are related to ancestral glutamate receptors, suggesting that similar transitions could facilitate sensation in a variety of systems^{7,8}. Therefore, CRs represent a striking example of biological novelty and a unique and powerful system for understanding the principles of sensory receptor evolution and adaptation in the context of a lineage-specific trait. Considering the adaptive nature of octopus chemotactile sensation, we exploited CRs using structural, biophysical and behavioural approaches to ask how a new sensory system emerges to facilitate adaptive behaviour.

Cephalopod CRs are divergent sensory receptors

In *Octopus bimaculoides*, 26 intronless CR genes are organized in a tandem array on chromosome 15, suggesting that non-homologous recombination and retrotransposition have contributed to the expansion of this family of new sensory receptors from an ancestral nicotinic receptor-like gene⁹ (Fig. 1b, Extended Data Fig. 1 and Extended Data Table 1). Although retrogenes are often non-functional pseudogenes that evolve neutrally, CRs have complete open reading frames, are expressed and translated into proteins and are under functional constraint, as indicated by omega ratios lower than 1 (ref. ¹⁰). Higher evolutionary rates observed in CR sequences compared to nicotinic receptors suggest that these receptors exhibit structural modifications that mediate sensory specialization versus neurotransmission

¹Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA. ²Department of Neuroscience, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA.

³Department of Biophysics, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA. ⁴Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA.

⁵Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA. ⁶Present address: Department of Neurobiology, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA. ⁷These authors contributed equally: Corey A. H. Allard, Guipeun Kang, Jeong Joo Kim. ✉e-mail: rehibbs@UCSD.EDU; nbellono@harvard.edu

a

Chr. 15

CRs

b

dN/dS selection rate (ω)

CRs

nAChR

c

Loop A

Loop B

Loop C

S-S bond

M2-M3 loop

M1

M2

M3

β 4- β 5 loop (Ω loop)

β 1- β 2 loop

120°

374 | Nature | Vol 616 | 13 April 2023




Fig. 2 | Octopus chemotactile receptor permeation pathway. a, CRT1 ion permeation pathway coloured by hydrophobicity with E104 indicated as spheres; the front subunit has been removed for clarity. **b,** Top view comparisons of CRT1 and human $\alpha 7$ nicotinic receptor^{12–14}. The intracellular half of the pore is lined by polar residues, culminating in a ring of anionic glutamates at the cytosolic mouth; these are determinants of cation versus anion selectivity among pentameric ligand-gated ion channels. The CRT1 pore conformation is similar to the putative activated state pore of $\alpha 7$ (ref. ¹²), with largely constant diameter from top to bottom and a minimum diameter of 6.6 Å (Fig. 2c and Extended Data Fig. 6e–i). The structure of CRT1 reveals features in common with the $\alpha 7$ nicotinic receptor in determinants of Ca^{2+} permeation, pore diameter and chemistry.

vestibule, the transmembrane region of CRT1 forms a pore lined with hydrophobic residues in its extracellular half, which form the activation gate in $\alpha 7$ and in the muscle-type nicotinic receptor^{12–14}. The intracellular half of the pore is lined by polar residues, culminating in a ring of anionic glutamates at the cytosolic mouth; these are determinants of cation versus anion selectivity among pentameric ligand-gated ion channels. The CRT1 pore conformation is similar to the putative activated state pore of $\alpha 7$ (ref. ¹²), with largely constant diameter from top to bottom and a minimum diameter of 6.6 Å (Fig. 2c and Extended Data Fig. 6e–i). The structure of CRT1 reveals features in common with the $\alpha 7$ nicotinic receptor in determinants of Ca^{2+} permeation, pore diameter and chemistry.

Adaptations for sensory system function

Whereas comparisons with nicotinic receptors reveal a conserved role in signal transduction and calcium signalling, we reasoned that other structural features must underlie CRT1's adaptive function in environmental sensation. We previously determined that CRT1 is activated by poorly soluble terpenes, which are a diverse group of naturally occurring hydrocarbons produced by plants, fungi and many microbes, and often serve semiochemical roles in interspecies communication^{3,4}. CRT1 agonists include the sesquiterpene polygodial and the furanosesquiterpene atractylon, which are secreted by marine invertebrates as chemical deterrents to predation^{15,16}. To determine the ligand-binding site in CRT1, we purified receptors in the presence of the terpene agonist costunolide. Because CRs were sensitive to terpenes but not ACh, and the $\alpha 7$ nicotinic receptor exhibited reverse ligand sensitivity (Fig. 3a), we expected to visualize costunolide bound to a structurally distinct region in CRT1. To our surprise, whereas the channel was in an open conformation suggesting an activated state (Extended Data Fig. 6), we did not visualize a density corresponding to costunolide. Instead,

we observed the diosgenin moiety of the detergent GDN, which was used to stabilize CRT1 for structural analysis (Extended Data Fig. 4). Diosgenin was curiously bound to a region corresponding to the canonical neurotransmitter site of $\alpha 7$ (Figs. 1d and 3b,c, Extended Data Fig. 4 and Supplementary Video 1). This site is defined by three stretches of discontinuous amino acids, or loops, from the two subunits forming the interface: A, B and C from the principal side, which contributes loop C, and D, E and F on the complementary side¹⁷. In CRT1, loop C is shortened by six residues (Fig. 3b–d, Extended Data Fig. 4b,c and Extended Data Fig. 6a,b), losing the aromatics and vicinal cysteines that are important in $\alpha 7$ and other nicotinic receptors that facilitate binding of nicotinic agonists. The result, in CRT1, is a less well-defined agonist pocket and instead a more hydrophobic, flatter surface, which cannot bind ACh (Fig. 3c and Extended Data Fig. 4b,c). Instead, diosgenin packs against this flat hydrophobic surface at the subunit interface, making most of its contacts with the complementary subunit (Fig. 4a and Extended Data Fig. 4d). This finding contrasts with agonist recognition in nicotinic receptors, in which interactions with the principal subunit are dominant.

We reasoned that perhaps CRT1 is so well adapted to bind 'greasy' molecules that we unintentionally discovered an agonist site by resolving the bound steroid-like diosgenin moiety, which also resembles known CR terpene agonists. Therefore, we tested whether GDN and structurally similar molecules, including oestrogenic steroids and pentacyclic triterpenoids, act as agonists of heterologously expressed CRT1. Strikingly, many of these compounds activated CRT1 with even higher potency than previously identified terpene agonists and elicited minimal desensitization with moderate pore block at high concentrations, and responses could be blocked by the CR channel blocker mecamilamine (Fig. 3e,f and Extended Data Fig. 7a,b). Additionally, several of these compounds elicited axial nerve activity from amputated octopus arm tips in response to agonists perfused

we observed the diosgenin moiety of the detergent GDN, which was used to stabilize CRT1 for structural analysis (Extended Data Fig. 4). Diosgenin was curiously bound to a region corresponding to the canonical neurotransmitter site of $\alpha 7$ (Figs. 1d and 3b,c, Extended Data Fig. 4 and Supplementary Video 1). This site is defined by three stretches of discontinuous amino acids, or loops, from the two subunits forming the interface: A, B and C from the principal side, which contributes loop C, and D, E and F on the complementary side¹⁷. In CRT1, loop C is shortened by six residues (Fig. 3b–d, Extended Data Fig. 4b,c and Extended Data Fig. 6a,b), losing the aromatics and vicinal cysteines that are important in $\alpha 7$ and other nicotinic receptors that facilitate binding of nicotinic agonists. The result, in CRT1, is a less well-defined agonist pocket and instead a more hydrophobic, flatter surface, which cannot bind ACh (Fig. 3c and Extended Data Fig. 4b,c). Instead, diosgenin packs against this flat hydrophobic surface at the subunit interface, making most of its contacts with the complementary subunit (Fig. 4a and Extended Data Fig. 4d). This finding contrasts with agonist recognition in nicotinic receptors, in which interactions with the principal subunit are dominant.

We reasoned that perhaps CRT1 is so well adapted to bind 'greasy' molecules that we unintentionally discovered an agonist site by resolving the bound steroid-like diosgenin moiety, which also resembles known CR terpene agonists. Therefore, we tested whether GDN and structurally similar molecules, including oestrogenic steroids and pentacyclic triterpenoids, act as agonists of heterologously expressed CRT1. Strikingly, many of these compounds activated CRT1 with even higher potency than previously identified terpene agonists and elicited minimal desensitization with moderate pore block at high concentrations, and responses could be blocked by the CR channel blocker mecamilamine (Fig. 3e,f and Extended Data Fig. 7a,b). Additionally, several of these compounds elicited axial nerve activity from amputated octopus arm tips in response to agonists perfused




Fig. 3 | Octopus chemotactile receptor binds poorly soluble molecules.

a, CRT1 was sensitive to terpenes (35 μ M nootkatone, 35 μ M attractylon, 25 μ M costunolide, 10 μ M polygodial) but not ACh (100 μ M ACh + modulator 10 μ M PNU) in patch clamp recordings. The $\alpha 7$ nicotinic receptor was activated by ACh but not terpenes. $P < 0.001$, two-way ANOVA with post-hoc Bonferroni test ($n = 6$ cells). **b**, Extracellular domains of octopus CRT1 (blue) and human $\alpha 7$ nicotinic receptor (grey). The orange box indicates partially overlapping agonist binding sites. Disulfide bonds are shown as blue and yellow spheres for CRT1. **c**, The surface of the agonist site is coloured by molecular lipophilicity potential from hydrophilic (HPI, green) to hydrophobic (HPO, brown). Agonists are shown as sticks. Part of Loop C from $\alpha 7$ was removed to visualize bound epibatidine. **d**, Structure-based sequence alignment of agonist binding site elements. Hydrophobic residues in 5 Å of bound diosgenin in the CRT1 model

are coloured orange. The yellow box indicates a disulfide bond present only in CRT1. **e**, Screening of *Xenopus* oocytes expressing CRT1 identified active ligands with structural similarities to GDN and terpenes ($n = 4$). Current-voltage relationship of CRT1 activity in response to 15 μ M nootkatone, 5 μ M zearalenone or 125 nM GDN. **f**, The CR blocker mecamylamine (1 mM) abolished ligand-evoked activity. $P < 0.001$, two-way ANOVA with post-hoc Bonferroni test ($n = 4$ cells). **g**, Arm axial nerve innervating suckers responded to 3 kDa filtered fish extract, 50 μ M nootkatone or zearalenone. $P < 0.001$, one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey's test ($n = 7-8$). **h**, Amputated octopus arms exhibit autonomous responses to fish extract or 50 μ M ligands but not control. $P < 0.001$, one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey's test ($n = 8$). Data represent the mean \pm s.e.m.

on arm suckers. We observed robust, slowly desensitizing responses to fish extract, the sesquiterpenoid nootkatone and the oestrogenic mycotoxin zearalenone but not to steroid-like molecules or non-CR agonists, such as amino acids (Fig. 3g and Extended Data Fig. 7c). During nerve measurements, we noticed that active agonists also frequently induced autonomous behavioural responses with similar response profiles (Fig. 3h and Extended Data Fig. 7c). The octopus's

distributed nervous system permits each arm to integrate environmental signals and carry out autonomous behaviours, even when removed from the rest of the organism^{18,19}. Indeed, in one of our experiments, the arm responded to agonist by crawling off the recording pipette and out of the bath, emphasizing the high degree of arm autonomous behaviour elicited by the chemotactile sense. Whereas the valence of such autonomous arm responses is unclear, CRs detect both prey




Fig. 4 | Evolution of the orthosteric binding site facilitates sensory adaptation. **a**, Side view of the CRT1 orthosteric binding site showing residues involved in hydrophobic interactions with the diosgenin moiety of GDN. **b**, Likelihood ratio test for diversifying selection indicates that ligand interaction sites (red) are under positive selective pressure. Threshold for significance, LRT = 3 ($P < 0.05$). **c**, Mutating hydrophobic residues coordinating GDN and under selective pressure resulted in constitutively active CRs with reduced sensitivity to 15 μ M nootkatone, 5 μ M zearalenone or 125 nM GDN. Wild-type (WT) and mutant activities were blocked by 1 mM mecamylamine. Compared with the wild type, mutations increased average basal activity; $P < 0.001$, one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey's test ($n = 5-6$ cells). **d**, Mutations reduced ligand-dependent currents. $P < 0.001$, two-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey's test ($n = 5-6$ cells). Data represent the mean \pm s.e.m.

extracts and ligands that are aversive for other chemosensory systems, raising the possibility that these receptors mediate sensation of both appetitive and aversive cues. Collectively, these results suggest that CRT1 has adapted to bind poorly soluble molecules and transduce diverse information to the distributed nervous system. Such properties are well suited to the octopus's ability to 'taste by touch' using contact-dependent chemosensation and relatively autonomous arm behaviours.

Evolution of the agonist binding site

How do specific structural features evolve to mediate new functions? To address this question, we analysed which regions of CRT1 have been subjected to diversifying selection using codon-aligned CR sequences. Interestingly, residues under high positive selection are concentrated in the ECD agonist binding site (loops A–F) (Extended Data Fig. 8). Several of the same hydrophobic residues that constitute the 'greasy' CRT1 binding site and coordinate diosgenin in the structure are among those experiencing the strongest diversifying selection (Fig. 4a,b). Mutation of these residues to alanine not only altered agonist sensitivity but resulted in constitutive channel activity, emphasizing the functional connection between binding site and channel gating (Extended Data Fig. 7d). Notably, mutant receptors were inhibited by the CR channel blocker mecamylamine, indicating that mutations specifically perturb the agonist binding site and channel gating (Fig. 4c,d and Extended Data Fig. 7e). Thus, divergence at the orthosteric ligand-binding domain is critical to the adaptive transition from neurotransmission to sensation.

Collectively, our analyses demonstrate how diversifying selection at the agonist binding site, in addition to conservation of key receptor features, contributed to the evolution of a lineage-specific cephalopod sensory adaptation. The chemotactile sense appears to have evolved in parallel with an elaboration of the cephalopod nervous system and body plan relative to basal molluscs. In a companion study²⁰, we explored CR-mediated chemotactile sensation across cephalopods to find that tuning at the orthosteric ligand-binding region is a conserved and flexible theme that facilitates diverse predatory strategies. Future work will leverage comparisons of protein structure along with natural product chemistry to understand how sensory systems evolve in the context of their specific ecological niche. Thus, our work represents a foundational basis for understanding how sensory systems adapt to facilitate the lifestyles of diverse organisms specialized to interact with their unique environments.

Online content

Any methods, additional references, Nature Portfolio reporting summaries, source data, extended data, supplementary information, acknowledgements, peer review information; details of author contributions and competing interests; and statements of data and code availability are available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-05822-1>.

- van Giesen, L., Kilian, P. B., Allard, C. A. H. & Bellono, N. W. Molecular basis of chemotactile sensation in octopus. *Cell* **183**, 594–604 (2020).
- Bargmann, C. I. Comparative chemosensation from receptors to ecology. *Nature* **444**, 295–301 (2006).
- Mollo, E., Garson, M. J., Polese, G., Amodeo, P. & Ghiselin, M. T. Taste and smell in aquatic and terrestrial environments. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **34**, 496–513 (2017).
- Mollo, E. et al. Sensing marine biomolecules: smell, taste, and the evolutionary transition from aquatic to terrestrial life. *Front. Chem.* **2**, 92 (2014).
- Hanlon, R. T. & Messenger, J. B. *Cephalopod Behaviour* 2nd edn (Cambridge Univ. Press, 2018).
- Wells, M. J., Freeman, N. H. & Ashburner, M. Some experiments on the chemotactile sense of octopuses. *J. Exp. Biol.* **43**, 553–563 (1965).
- Croset, V. et al. Ancient protostome origin of chemosensory ionotropic glutamate receptors and the evolution of insect taste and olfaction. *PLoS Genet.* **6**, e1001064 (2010).
- Benton, R., Vannice, K. S., Gomez-Diaz, C. & Vooshall, L. B. Variant ionotropic glutamate receptors as chemosensory receptors in *Drosophila*. *Cell* **136**, 149–162 (2009).
- Albertin, C. B. et al. The octopus genome and the evolution of cephalopod neural and morphological novelties. *Nature* **524**, 220–224 (2015).
- Xu, P. et al. Functional opsin retrogene in nocturnal moth. *Mob. DNA* **7**, 18 (2016).
- Jumper, J. et al. Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* **596**, 583–589 (2021).
- Noviello, C. M. et al. Structure and gating mechanism of the $\alpha 7$ nicotinic acetylcholine receptor. *Cell* **184**, 2121–2134 (2021).
- Rahman, M. M. et al. Structural mechanism of muscle nicotinic receptor desensitization and block by curare. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **29**, 386–394 (2022).
- Zarkadas, E. et al. Conformational transitions and ligand-binding to a muscle-type nicotinic acetylcholine receptor. *Neuron* **110**, 1358–1370 (2022).
- Giordano, G. et al. Volatile secondary metabolites as aposematic olfactory signals and defensive weapons in aquatic environments. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **114**, 3451–3456 (2017).
- Long, J. D. & Hay, M. E. Fishes learn aversions to a nudibranch's chemical defense. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **307**, 199–208 (2006).
- Karlin, A. Emerging structure of the nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **3**, 102–114 (2002).
- Hochner, B. An embodied view of octopus neurobiology. *Curr. Biol.* **22**, R887–R892 (2012).
- Foulke, K. E. & Rhodes, H. J. Electrophysiological and motor responses to chemosensory stimuli in isolated cephalopod arms. *Biol. Bull.* **238**, 1–11 (2020).
- Kang, G. et al. Sensory specializations drive octopus and squid behaviour. *Nature* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-05808-z> (2023).

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Limited 2023

Methods

Animals

California two-spot octopuses (*O. bimaculoides*) were wild-caught male and female adults (1–2 years old, Aquatic Research Consultants), fed daily with fiddler crabs (*Leptuca pugilator*, Northeast Brine Shrimp) and kept on a 12-h light–dark cycle in natural seawater. Animal protocols were approved by the Harvard University Animal Care and Use Committee (protocol ID: 18-05-325).

Molecular biology and cell culture for physiology

The genes used in this study were: octopus *Crt1* (LOC106880828), and human *CHRNA7* (NM_000746) and *TMEM35* (NM_021637). Mutagenesis of pUNIV-ObCRT1 to create point mutants and epitope-tagged variants was performed by GenScript. A custom monoclonal antibody targeting the extracellular domain of CRT1 (peptide: YGDERLLREKLLT NYSKSRPVLNLTQVVDVTALLYLQTLYDLDFVNNFIMARYYLGLIWIWDEKLT WNPLDYNNTSIYLPKDKIWPPIKMCNSMDKSEENDGVGELMLTYTGW INMWSFRLHTYQCINAYTYPFDEHTCEIYLCVALHTINHTRIKELIYEDS KFTQNYKWDINVSQKVNGLTDELFSYAFAPMYLRRKLTV) was produced in hybridomas generated from an immunized mouse by GenScript.

HEK 293 cells (authenticated and validated as negative for *Mycoplasma* by the vendor ATCC) were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) (Gibco) supplemented with 10% FCS (Gibco) and 50 IU ml⁻¹ penicillin and 50 µg ml⁻¹ streptomycin (Gibco) at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ using standard techniques. For transfection, HEK 293 cells were washed with Opti-MEM Reduced Serum Medium (Gibco) and incubated with transfection mix containing plasmid DNA and 4 µl Lipofectamine 3000 Transfection Reagent (Invitrogen) in Opti-MEM for 4–8 h at 37 °C. Cells were then replated onto glass coverslips in DMEM, incubated for 2 h at 37 °C and then moved to 30 °C incubation overnight. For CRs, 1 µg plasmid DNA was used for transfection. For α7, 1 µg receptor plasmid plus 0.5 µg of the chaperone TMEM35 were cotransfected. For patch clamp experiments, an additional 0.3 µg green fluorescent protein plasmid was cotransfected to facilitate identification of transfected cells.

CRT1 expression and purification

CRT1 was subcloned into the pEZT-BM expression vector²¹ and appended with a serine linker followed by a single Strep-tag at the C terminus for affinity chromatography. CRT1 bacmam virus was produced in Sf9 cells (catalogue no. CRL-1711, ATCC) and titrated as described previously²¹ for large-scale protein expression. A total of 4.8 l of suspension HEK293S GnT1⁻ (catalogue no. CRL-3022, ATCC) cells were grown at 37 °C and 8% CO₂ until they reached a cell density of approximately 4 × 10⁶ cells per millilitre. Then, 3 mM sodium butyrate (Sigma-Aldrich) and virus were added to the culture during transduction; then, the temperature was changed to 30 °C after transduction with a multiplicity of infection of 0.5. Transduced cells were collected after 72 h by centrifugation, resuspended in TBS (20 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4) containing 1 mM phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (Sigma-Aldrich), then lysed using an Avestin Emulsiflex. Lysed cells were centrifuged for 20 min at 10,000g and the supernatant was collected and centrifuged again for 2 h at 186,000g. The membrane pellet was mechanically homogenized, then solubilized for 1 h at 4 °C in TBS buffer with 40 mM *n*-dodecyl β-D-maltoside (DDM, Anatrace). Solubilized membranes were centrifuged for 40 min at 186,000g, then passed over high-capacity Strep-Tactin affinity resin at 0.8 ml min⁻¹. The resin was washed with TBS buffer containing 0.1 mM GDN then eluted with wash buffer supplemented with 5 mM D-desthiobiotin (Sigma-Aldrich). After affinity chromatography, the eluted fractions were combined, concentrated, then centrifuged for 20 min at 40,000 rpm to remove aggregates before size-exclusion chromatography (SEC). The resulting supernatant was separated by SEC using a Superose 6 Increase 10/300 GL column (Cytiva) equilibrated in TBS buffer containing 0.1 mM GDN

(Anatrace). SEC fractions corresponding to pentameric CRT1 were pooled and concentrated and the quality of receptor protein was assessed by tryptophan fluorescence SEC before freezing the grids.

Cryo-EM sample preparation

A total of 3 µl of concentrated receptor protein (A_{280} = approximately 7) was applied to copper R1.2/1.3 200 mesh holey carbon grids (Quantifoil) that were glow-discharged at 30 mA for 80 s. The grids were immediately blotted for 3 s under 100% humidity at 4 °C and then plunge-frozen into liquid ethane cooled by liquid nitrogen using a Vitrobot Mark IV (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Single-particle cryo-EM data acquisition and processing

Cryo-EM samples were screened on the Talos Arctica at University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center and data collection was performed at the Pacific Northwest Center for Cryo-EM. Micrographs were collected on a 300 kV Titan Krios equipped with a K3 direct-electron detector (Gatan) and a GIF quantum energy filter (20 eV) in super-resolution mode. Images were collected with a total electron dose of 50 e⁻/Å² over 50 frames and a defocus range of –0.5 µm to –2.5 µm.

Data processing was performed by following a general workflow in Relion v.3.1 (ref. ²²). Dose-fractionated images were gain-normalized, Fourier binned twice, aligned, dose-weighted and summed with MotionCor2 (ref. ²³). The contrast transfer function correction and defocus values were estimated using GCTF²⁴. Particles were picked using SPHIRE-crYOLO²⁵, then subjected to two-dimensional classification to remove junk particles. An ab initio model was generated and lowpass-filtered to 60 Å to use as a reference for the first round of three dimensional (3D) classification with C1 symmetry. After 3D refinement, CTF refinement and Bayesian polishing, final 3D classification with local angular searches and C5 symmetry yielded two good 3D classes. The selected 3D classes were combined and further refined with C5 symmetry; subsequently, the resulting volume was locally sharpened in Relion²², yielding a final reconstruction at 2.62-Å resolution. Map quality was evaluated with Phenix mtriage²⁶ and the resulting Fourier shell correlation curve is included in Extended Data Fig. 3. Local resolution was estimated with ResMap²⁷. Software packages for structural biology were compiled by SBGrid²⁸. Cryo-EM data are summarized in Extended Data Table 2.

Model building, refinement and validation

An initial homology model was generated using the SwissModel²⁹ server based on the β2 subunit of the human α4β2 nicotinic receptor (Protein Data Bank (PDB) ID: 5KXI). This model was fitted into the density map, then rebuilt with ligands including glycans into the EM density map using Coot³⁰. What we refer to as diosgenin is the ordered diosgenin component of GDN; we modelled diosgenin plus the *O*-linked polyether branch, based on EM density. The chemical structure of what we refer to as diosgenin was adapted from the PDB (chemical ID: DUO). Subsequently, the model was iteratively refined using global real-space refinement in Phenix^{31,32} and manual adjustment in Coot. The stereochemical statistics of the final model, including Ramachandran analysis, were assessed by Molprobity³³ and the final refinement statistics, including model–map correlation and half-maps, were calculated using the comprehensive validation and mtriage analyses in Phenix^{31,32}.

Figures and graphical illustration

UCSF Chimera³⁴, UCSF ChimeraX³⁵ and Pymol³⁶ were used for graphical illustration of protein structures and density maps in figures. Protein sequences were retrieved from the UniProtKB database³⁷ and aligned using PROMALS3D³⁸. Pore radius profiles were calculated using Hole³⁹ and plotted using Prism v.9.2.0 for Windows (GraphPad Software). Root mean square deviation values were calculated using the Match-Maker function in Chimera. The hydrophobicity profile along the permeation pathway was calculated with CHAP⁴⁰. The interface area

and the solvation free energy of protein–protein and protein–ligand interfaces were calculated by PDBePISA⁴¹ and the solvent accessibility of the agonist binding pocket was analysed using CASTp3.0 (ref. ⁴²). The AlphaFold model of the CRT1 monomer was predicted using AlphaFold Colab¹¹.

Patch clamp electrophysiology

Patch clamp recordings were carried out at room temperature using a MultiClamp 700B amplifier (Axon Instruments) and digitized using a Digidata 1550B (Axon Instruments) interface and pClamp software (Axon Instruments). Whole-cell recording data were filtered at 1 kHz and sampled at 10 kHz. For whole-cell recordings in HEK 293 cells, pipettes were 3–5 MΩ. The standard extracellular solution contained: 140 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 10 mM HEPES, 2 mM CaCl₂, 2 mM MgCl₂, pH 7.4. The intracellular solution contained: 140 mM Cs⁺ methanesulfonate, 1 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM NaCl, 10 mM CsEGTA, 10 mM HEPES, 10 mM sucrose, pH 7.2. Agonist-evoked currents were measured at –110 mV during 500-ms ramps from –120 to 80 mV. Time courses displayed currents measured at –110 mV during successive ramps. Perfusion experiments were performed using a SmartSquirt Micro-Perfusion system (Automate Scientific) pressurized to approximately 30 kPa. In the ion substitution experiments, relative permeability was determined by measuring the shift in reversal potential (E_{rev}) after the substitution of equimolar monovalent cations. E_{rev} was extracted from voltage ramp experiments as described for measuring agonist-elicited currents. The extracellular solution contained: 150 mM Na⁺, Cs⁺, *N*-methyl-D-glucamine⁺ or 100 mM Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺; the intracellular solution contained 150 mM CsCl and 1 mM CsEGTA. Solutions were buffered with 10 mM HEPES. Permeability ratios were estimated using the Goldman–Hodgkin–Katz equation: $P_X/P_{\text{Na}} = ((\text{Na}^+)_{\text{Luminal}}/(X)_{\text{Cytoplasmic}})(\exp(E_{\text{rev}}F/RT))$, $P_{\text{Ca}}/P_{\text{Na}} = (4(\text{Ca}^{2+})_{\text{Luminal}}/(\text{Na}^+)_{\text{Cytoplasmic}})(\exp(E_{\text{rev}}F/RT))$ where P is permeability; X is ion; F is Faraday constant; R is gas constant; and T is temperature.

Two-electrode voltage clamp

Defolliculated oocytes were purchased from Ecocyte or Xenopus1 and stored in modified Barth's solution (88 mM NaCl, 1 mM KCl, 5 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM MgSO₄, 0.4 mM CaCl₂, 0.33 mM Ca(NO₃)₂, 2.4 mM NaHCO₃, pH 7.4) supplemented with 0.1 mg ml^{−1} gentamycin for up to one week at 4 °C until use. For oocyte expression, plasmid pUNIV-ObCRT1 was linearized using NotI-HF (catalogue no. R3189, New England Biolabs) for 2 h at 37 °C. Linearized DNA was purified using a PCR purification kit (catalogue no. 28104, QIAGEN) and eluted in 30 µl RNase-free water. RNA synthesis was performed with 1–3 µg DNA using the mMESSAGE mMACHINE T7 Transcription Kit including 15 min of DNase treatment (catalogue no. AM1344, Ambion). RNA was treated with a Zymo Clean & Concentrator Kit and aliquoted at a concentration of approximately 10 µg ml^{−1} for injection. Oocytes were injected with 25 ng RNA using a Nanoject III (Drummond Scientific) and incubated in modified Barth's solution at 17 °C overnight. Two-electrode voltage clamp recordings were carried out at room temperature with an Oocyte Clamp OC-725C amplifier (Warner Instruments) and digitized using a Digidata 1550B interface and pClamp 11 software. Data were filtered at 1 kHz and sampled at 10 kHz. Recordings were performed using borosilicate glass pipettes with resistances of 7–10 MΩ when filled with 3 M KCl. All chemicals were diluted in ND96 extracellular solution (96 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 5 mM HEPES, 1 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM CaCl₂ adjusted to pH 7.4 with NaOH). Stimuli were delivered using a micropipette positioned in the bath. Stimulus-evoked currents were obtained using 200-ms voltage ramps from –120 mV to 120 mV applied every 500 ms with an interstimulus holding potential of –40 mV. Dose–response relationships were calculated using peak currents measured at –115 mV. For the chemical screen, response amplitudes were measured at –115 mV and normalized to the response to GDN, which was the largest.

Histology

Whole-mount histology. *O. bimaculoides* hatchlings were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS for 16–24 h on a rocker and subsequently stored in PBS at 4 °C until use. Samples were then washed with phosphate-buffered saline with Triton X-100 0.1%, PBST three times and blocked for 1 h in 10% normal goat serum (NGS) in PBST and antibody solution containing custom anti-CRT1 antibody (1:100) (Genscript) and anti-HRP-Cy3 (neural-specific marker, 1:500) (catalogue no. 123-545-021, Jackson ImmunoResearch) was applied for 24 h at 4 °C in NGS. Samples were then washed with PBST (Triton X-100 0.1%) three times; goat anti-mouse IgG H&L (Alexa Fluor 488) (catalogue no. ab150113, Abcam) secondary antibodies were added (1:500). Finally, samples were washed 3–5 times in PBST, mounted in VECTASHIELD Antifade Mounting Medium (Vector Laboratories) and imaged with an AxioZoom V16 Zoom Microscope (ZEISS) or LSM 980 Confocal Microscope with Airyscan2 (ZEISS). Images were processed using Fiji (National Institutes of Health (NIH)).

HEK cell histology. HEK cells were transfected as described above. Cells were washed three times in PBS and fixed in 4% PFA in PBS for 10 min at room temperature. Coverslips were then incubated in blocking mixture containing 1% BSA and 10 mM glycine in PBS. Samples were then incubated in antibody solution containing custom anti-CRT1 antibody (Genscript) (1:100) and HA Tag Polyclonal Antibody (catalogue no. ab51064-2-AP, Proteintech) (1:250) for 24 h at 4 °C in 1% BSA. Samples were then washed with PBS three times and a secondaries antibody mix containing goat anti-mouse IgG H&L (Alexa Fluor 488) (1:500) and goat anti-mouse IgG H&L (Cy3) (catalogue no. ab97035, Abcam) (1:500) was added. Finally, samples were washed three times in PBS, mounted in VECTASHIELD Antifade Mounting Medium with DAPI (Vector Laboratories) and imaged with an LSM 980 Confocal Microscope with Airyscan2 (ZEISS). Images were processed using Fiji (NIH).

Autonomous arm behaviour

Arm tips from sedated animals were transferred to a 10-cm Petri dish containing 50 ml holding solution (and held in place using suction through an appropriately sized black tygon tubing). Odorants were first dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and then diluted in holding solution to 0.1–1% final DMSO; 1 ml stimulus at the indicated concentration was perfused over the arm over 2 s using a micropipette. Arm behaviour was recorded at 500-ms intervals using a FLIR grasshopper camera (Teledyne) equipped with a 35-mm Nikon DX AF-S NIKKOR 1:1.8G lens controlled using the Spinnaker SDK software (Teledyne). Videos were segmented and motion was measured using ImageJ (NIH) by measuring Z-stack projections after performing stack difference analysis. Total arm motion in response to each chemical was measured by summing the stack difference and values were normalized such that the largest response was set to 1 for each arm.

Axial nerve recording

Arm tips from sedated animals were transferred to a 10-m Petri dish containing 50 ml holding solution (430 mM NaCl, 10 mM KCl, 10 mM HEPES, 10 mM CaCl₂, 50 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM D-glucose, pH 7.6). Nerve recordings were performed using a borosilicate glass suction electrode shaped and polished to fit over the entire cut end of the radial nerve. A similar reference electrode was placed in the bath. Gap-free recordings were made with 10-kHz sampling at 10,000× gain and signals were highpass-filtered at 100 Hz and lowpass-filtered at 1 kHz using a Warner DP-311A headstage and AC/DC amplifier (Warner) and digitized using a Digidata 1440A digitizer (Molecular Devices) with ClampEx software (Molecular Devices). Recordings were processed using Clampfit (Molecular Devices). For quantification of responses, the absolute value of the signal was processed using a lowpass 25-Hz Gaussian filter, the baseline signal was subtracted and the response

amplitude was integrated over the response area. For controls and stimuli where no response was observed, a similar response area was measured as for the arm agonists.

Agonists

CR agonists included ACh chloride (catalogue no. A9101, Sigma-Aldrich), atracylon (catalogue no. FA74011, Carbosynth), β -oestradiol (catalogue no. 2824, Tocris), cholesterol (catalogue no. C8667, Sigma-Aldrich), cortisone (catalogue no. C2755, Sigma-Aldrich), costunolide (catalogue no. SML0417, Sigma-Aldrich), genistein (catalogue no. G6649, Sigma-Aldrich), glyco-diosgenin (catalogue no. GDN101, Anatrace), mecamlamine hydrochloride (catalogue no. 2843, Tocris), nootkatone (catalogue no. W316620, Sigma-Aldrich), oleanic acid (catalogue no. O5504, Sigma-Aldrich), PNU-120596 (catalogue no. 2498, Tocris), polygodial (catalogue no. 14979, Cayman Chemical Company), progesterone (catalogue no. P8783, Sigma-Aldrich), resveratrol (catalogue no. R5010, Sigma-Aldrich), ursolic acid (catalogue no. U6753, Sigma-Aldrich) and zearalenone (catalogue no. 3975, Tocris). Insoluble chemicals were first reconstituted in DMSO and then diluted in ND96 to 0.1–1% final DMSO. Fish extract was prepared by blending 1 g frozen zebrafish per 2 ml of holding solution, followed by centrifugation at 3,000g for 15 min to remove debris.

Phylogenetics and selection analysis

We mined AChR-like sequences from predicted coding sequences from the genome (BioProject: PRJNA270931)⁹ and tissue transcriptomes (Gene Expression Omnibus accession no. GSE156748)¹ of *O. bimaculoides*. We used CRT1 coding sequence (NCBI: XP_014786442.2: 12–410aa) and $\alpha 7$ receptor (NCBI: U40583.2) as queries for iterative search of closely and distantly related AChRs homologue sequences using jackHMMER⁴³ with an *e*-value of 10×10^{-5} . We then confirmed sequence annotations using blastn⁴⁴. Sequences were clustered at 95% identity using CD-hit⁴⁵ to remove isoforms and duplicates and aligned with MAFFT v.7 (ref. ⁴⁶). The best-fitting model for the sequence alignment was estimated using ModelFinder⁴⁷, followed by maximum likelihood tree inference in IQ-TREE v.2.0 (ref. ⁴⁸). We performed 100 independent runs with different values of perturbation strength in IQ-TREE v.2.0 to ensure convergence and used the tree with the highest likelihood for subsequent selection analysis. Support for clades was calculated using ultrafast bootstrap approximation UFBoot2 (ref. ⁴⁹). Tree visualization was implemented using the package phytools in R v.4.2.1 (ref. ⁵⁰).

For selection analysis, we generated codon alignments using Pal2Nal⁵¹, HyPhy⁵² and MAFFT v.7. We then manually refined the alignments in Geneious Prime v.2022.2. (<https://www.geneious.com>) to prevent spurious signals due to highly divergent sequences. Only genes with complete coding sequences and unambiguous mapping to the genome were used. We estimated the ratio of synonymous and non-synonymous substitutions (ω) to measure the extent of selective pressure acting across AChR-like genes using PAML v.4.9 (ref. ⁵³). We compared the likelihood of a single ω across branches (M0 model), branch-specific ω (free ratio), distinct ω partitioning the CR clades as foreground and the remaining AChR-like genes as background (two-ratio), as well as partitioning ω between the two major CR clades (three-ratio). Three runs with different initial ω values (0.5, 1.0, 1.5) were conducted to check for convergence. We used likelihood ratio tests to determine the best-fitting models and branches and sites under stronger diversifying selection. To further characterize positive selection across sites in the CR clades, we used the more sensitive and robust MEME model⁵⁴ from HyPhy, with a default threshold of significance of LRT = 3 for positively selected sites. Finally, to explore clustering patterns and the number of introns of CRs and closely related genes, we mapped AChR-like coding sequences to the chromosome-level assembly (BioProject: PRJNA808169)⁵⁵ using GMAP⁵⁶. Evolutionary analyses are summarized in Extended Data Table 2.

Quantification and statistical analysis

Data were analysed with Clampfit, Prism and are represented as the mean \pm s.e.m. *n* represents independent experiments for the number of cells per patches or behavioural trials. Data were considered significant if *P* < 0.05 using paired or unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-tests, Wilcoxon signed-rank test or one- or two-way ANOVAs. All significance tests were justified considering the experimental design; we assumed normal distribution and variance as is common for similar experiments. The animal behaviour experiments were randomized and made blind to the chemical stimulation conditions. Sample sizes were chosen based on the number of independent experiments required for statistical significance and technical feasibility.

Reporting summary

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Portfolio Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

Atomic model coordinates and cryo-EM density maps for the CRT1 structure have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank with accession code 8EIS and in the Electron Microscopy Data Bank with accession code EMD-28163, respectively.

- Morales-Perez, C. L., Novello, C. M. & Hibbs, R. E. Manipulation of subunit stoichiometry in heteromeric membrane proteins. *Structure* **24**, 797–805 (2016).
- Zivanov, J. et al. New tools for automated high-resolution cryo-EM structure determination in RELION-3. *eLife* **7**, e42166 (2018).
- Zheng, S. Q. et al. MotionCor2: anisotropic correction of beam-induced motion for improved cryo-electron microscopy. *Nat. Methods* **14**, 331–332 (2017).
- Zhang, K. Gctf: real-time CTF determination and correction. *J. Struct. Biol.* **193**, 1–12 (2016).
- Wagner, T. et al. SPHIRE-crYOLO is a fast and accurate fully automated particle picker for cryo-EM. *Commun. Biol.* **2**, 218 (2019).
- Afonine, P. V. et al. New tools for the analysis and validation of cryo-EM maps and atomic models. *Acta Crystallogr. D Struct. Biol.* **74**, 814–840 (2018).
- Kucukelbir, A., Sigworth, F. J. & Tagare, H. D. Quantifying the local resolution of cryo-EM density maps. *Nat. Methods* **11**, 63–65 (2014).
- Morin, A. et al. Collaboration gets the most out of software. *eLife* **2**, e01456 (2013).
- Waterhouse, A. et al. SWISS-MODEL: homology modelling of protein structures and complexes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46**, W296–W303 (2018).
- Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W. G. & Cowtan, K. Features and development of Coot. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 486–501 (2010).
- Adams, P. D. et al. PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 213–221 (2010).
- Liebschner, D. et al. Macromolecular structure determination using X-rays, neutrons and electrons: recent developments in Phenix. *Acta Crystallogr. D Struct. Biol.* **75**, 861–877 (2019).
- Williams, C. J. et al. MolProbity: more and better reference data for improved all-atom structure validation. *Protein Sci.* **27**, 293–315 (2018).
- Pettersen, E. F. et al. UCSF Chimera—a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J. Comput. Chem.* **25**, 1605–1612 (2004).
- Pettersen, E. F. et al. UCSF ChimeraX: structure visualization for researchers, educators, and developers. *Protein Sci.* **30**, 70–82 (2021).
- Schrödinger, L. The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, version 2.4.1 <https://github.com/schrodinger/pymol-open-source> (2015).
- UniProt Consortium UniProt: a worldwide hub of protein knowledge. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**, D506–D515 (2019).
- Pei, J., Kim, B.-H. & Grishin, N. V. PROMALS3D: a tool for multiple protein sequence and structure alignments. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **36**, 2295–2300 (2008).
- Smart, O. S., Neduvellil, J. G., Wang, X., Wallace, B. A. & Sansom, M. S. HOLE: a program for the analysis of the pore dimensions of ion channel structural models. *J. Mol. Graph.* **14**, 354–360 (1996).
- Klesse, G., Rao, S., Sansom, M. S. P. & Tucker, S. J. CHAP: a versatile tool for the structural and functional annotation of ion channel pores. *J. Mol. Biol.* **431**, 3353–3365 (2019).
- Krisinel, E. & Henrick, K. Inference of macromolecular assemblies from crystalline state. *J. Mol. Biol.* **372**, 774–797 (2007).
- Tian, W., Chen, C., Lei, X., Zhao, J. & Liang, J. CASTp 3.0: computed atlas of surface topography of proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46**, W363–W367 (2018).
- Eddy, S. R. Profile hidden Markov models. *Bioinformatics* **14**, 755–763 (1998).
- Madden, T. in *The NCBI Handbook* 2nd edn (eds. McEntyre J. & Ostell J.) Ch. 16 (National Center for Biotechnology Information, 2002).
- Li, W. & Godzik, A. Cd-hit: a fast program for clustering and comparing large sets of protein or nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* **22**, 1658–1659 (2006).
- Katoh, K. & Standley, D. M. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30**, 772–780 (2013).
- Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B. Q., Wong, T. K. F., von Haeseler, A. & Jermini, L. S. ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nat. Methods* **14**, 587–589 (2017).

48. Minh, B. Q. et al. IQ-TREE 2: new models and efficient methods for phylogenetic inference in the genomic era. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **37**, 1530–1534 (2020).
49. Hoang, D. T., Chernomor, O., von Haeseler, A., Minh, B. Q. & Vinh, L. S. UFBoot2: improving the ultrafast bootstrap approximation. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **35**, 518–522 (2018).
50. Revell, L. J. phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other things). *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **3**, 217–223 (2012).
51. Suyama, M., Torrents, D. & Bork, P. PAL2NAL: robust conversion of protein sequence alignments into the corresponding codon alignments. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **34**, W609–W612 (2006).
52. Pond, S. L., Frost, S. D. & Muse, S. V. HyPhy: hypothesis testing using phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* **21**, 676–679 (2005).
53. Yang, Z. PAML 4: phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **24**, 1586–1591 (2007).
54. Murrell, B. et al. Detecting individual sites subject to episodic diversifying selection. *PLoS Genet.* **8**, e1002764 (2012).
55. Albertin, C. B. et al. Genome and transcriptome mechanisms driving cephalopod evolution. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 2427 (2022).
56. Wu, T. D. & Watanabe, C. K. GMAP: a genomic mapping and alignment program for mRNA and EST sequences. *Bioinformatics* **21**, 1859–1875 (2005).

Acknowledgements We thank C. Winkler for providing octopuses, P. Kilian and B. Walsh for assistance with behavioural experiments, L. van Giesen and S. Burke for contributions to the early stages of the project, S. Krueger for assistance with histology, J. Teng for preliminary functional tests, R. Cabuco and L. Baxter for baculovirus production, A. Grearson for photos, C. Noviello for screening grids and J. Zhou for critical reading of the manuscript. Single-particle cryo-EM grids were screened at the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center Cryo-EM

Facility, which is supported by the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas Core Facility Support Award no. RP170644. A portion of this research was supported by NIH grant no. U24GM129547 and performed at the Pacific Northwest Center at Oregon Health and Science University and accessed through the Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory (grid.436923.9), a Department of Energy Office of Science User Facility sponsored by the Office of Biological and Environmental Research. This research was further supported by grants to N.W.B. from the New York Stem Cell Foundation, Searle Scholars Program and NIH (nos. R35GM142697 and R01NS129060), NIH grants to R.E.H. (nos. R01NS120496 and R01NS129060), to G.K. (no. F32DA047848) and support from the American Heart Association to J.J.K. (no. 20POST35200127), and from the National Science Foundation to C.A.A. (no. NSF-PRFB 2010728).

Author contributions C.A.H.A., W.A.V.-M. and N.W.B. contributed to the molecular, cellular and organismal studies. G.K., J.J.K. and R.E.H. contributed to the biochemical and structural analyses. All authors were involved with writing or reviewing the manuscript.

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.


Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-05822-1>.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Ryan E. Hibbs or Nicholas W. Bellono.


Peer review information *Nature* thanks Hugues Nury, Harold Zakon and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work. Peer reviewer reports are available.

Reprints and permissions information is available at <http://www.nature.com/reprints>.




Extended Data Fig. 1 | Octopus chemotactile receptors exhibit high rates of molecular evolution. **a**, Maximum likelihood tree of nicotinic receptor-like genes from *Octopus bimaculoides* showing the number of introns per gene. CRT1 is highlighted in the phylogeny. **b**, CRs are intronless genes arranged in tandem arrays in a single chromosome. The two main groups of CRs in octopus map to two distinct tight clusters in Chromosome 15. **c**, Alignment of the two

arrays of intronless CRs in Chromosome 15 suggest differences in overall architecture between clusters. Only genes with complete coding sequences (CDS) are mapped. **d**, Phylogeny of acetylcholine receptor-like genes of *Octopus bimaculoides* depicting variation in dN/dS values for tip branches inferred under the free-ratio model and mapped to the phylogeny. **e**, Phylogeny from Fig. 1b depicted as ultrametric and with bootstrap values.




Extended Data Fig. 2 | Antibody control and biochemistry. a, Anti-CRT1 is specific to expressed CRT1 as it did not label another CR (CR840) expressed in HEK293 cells. Representative of 3 independent transfections. Scale bar = 30 μ m.

b, Fluorescence-detection size-exclusion chromatography (FSEC) trace of CRT1 pentamer and SDS-page gel of final EM sample, representative of 4 independent purifications.




Extended Data Fig. 3 | EM data processing. **a-d**, TMD z-slices of 3D reconstructions from CRT1 in corresponding detergent conditions. Inset number indicates overall map resolution. A cartoon in panel **a** represents a schematic diagram of TMD helices. In initial purifications in DDM, both in the absence and presence of the terpenoid agonist nootkatone, the transmembrane domain (TMD) was poorly resolved, and the predicted fourth TMD helix, M4, was entirely absent. We thus collected EM datasets in two other detergents, lauryl maltose neopentyl glycol (L-MNG), and glyco-diosgenin (GDN). L-MNG stabilized an asymmetric TMD conformation, while GDN resulted in a well ordered and symmetric TMD, and the best overall resolution. Attempts to reconstitute the receptor in lipid nanodiscs resulted in profound aggregation.

e, Representative cryo-electron micrograph of CRT1 in GDN detergent micelle from dataset of 4043 dose-fractionated micrographs. Scale bar indicates 100 nm. **f**, Projection images from the final selected 2D classes. **g-i**, 3D classification results; good classes selected for further processing are boxed in red. **j**, 3D reconstructed maps from the final 3D classification, which are shown in side-view and top-view from ECD. Selected 3D classes are boxed in red to generate a final 3D map. **k**, Unsharpened 3D map where M1-M3 TMD helices from an individual subunit are labeled in black text. **l**, Sharpened map colored by local resolution. **m**, Half map FSC plot for masked and unmasked maps with resolutions indicated at FSC = 0.143.




Extended Data Fig. 4 | Cryo-EM density of the CRT1 receptor. **a**, Cryo-EM density map of the CRT1 receptor for representative adjacent subunits colored in blue and cyan. Density map of diosgenin colored in gray at a threshold level of 0.03. **b**, Orthosteric binding site of CRT1, where residues within 5 Å of diosgenin are shown as sticks. **c**, Orthosteric binding site of $\alpha 7$ nicotinic receptor (PDB:7K0X), where residues within 5 Å of epibatidine are shown as sticks. **d**, Calculated interface areas and interaction energies (ΔG) for protein

and diosgenin using PDBePISA⁴¹. Calculated solvent accessible area and volume of the binding pocket for CRT1 and $\alpha 7$ nicotinic receptor using CASTp3.0⁴². **e-g**, Cryo-EM density segments of M1-M3 helices at a threshold level of 0.02. **h-m**, Cryo-EM density segments of Loop A-F in the orthosteric binding site at a threshold level of 0.02. **j-l**, Cryo-EM density segments of Cys-loop, M1M2 loop, and M2M3 loop at a threshold level of 0.02.



Extended Data Fig. 5 | CRT1 Secondary structure prediction from AlphaFold2. **a**, A subunit of CRT1 cryo-EM structure colored in dark blue. **b**, Predicted AlphaFold2 CRT1 monomer colored by per-residue confidence score (pLDDT). Regions of high expected accuracy are colored in blue; regions of low expected accuracy are colored in red. **c**, Cα r.m.s.d between the CRT1 cryo-EM structure and the AlphaFold2 model (monomer comparisons) as a function of residue number. Helical regions are shown in green boxes. Blue boxes indicate β-strands next to the most divergent β4-β5 loop and β8-β9 loop


regions. Unmodeled post-M3 helix is shaded in gray. **d**, Sequence of CRT1 and secondary structure prediction by AlphaFold2. Helices are shown in green cylinders and strands are indicated by blue arrows. M4 helix amino acids are colored in red, blue, and black for negatively charged, positively charged, and hydrophobic residues, respectively. S-S bond2 is in the Cys-loop. **e**, Sequence alignment of TMD helices (M1-M4) for CRT1 and other Cys-loop receptors. Same color scheme is followed as in Panel **d**.



Extended Data Fig. 6 | Subunit and transmembrane pore conformation of CRT1 compared to the human $\alpha 7$ nicotinic acetylcholine receptor.


a, Comparison of single subunit structure of CRT1 and the human $\alpha 7$ nicotinic receptor (PDB:7KOX); MA and MX helices generally conserved in nicotinic receptors are absent in the CRT1 structure. **b**, Comparison of Loop C boxed in **a**; Disulfide bond on Loop C of $\alpha 7$ is shown as spheres. **c**, View at 90° rotation about pore axis from **a**. **d**, Comparison of ECD boxed in **c**; disulfide bonds are shown as spheres. **e**, M2 helices of the CRT1 receptor from opposing subunits

(chains A and C) with pore-lining residues shown as sticks. Colored spheres indicate the pore diameter by displaying blue spheres (pore diameter > 5.6 Å), green spheres (2.8 Å $<$ pore diameter < 5.6 Å), and red spheres (pore diameter < 2.8 Å). **f**, M2 helices of the $\alpha 7$ nicotinic receptor in an activated state (PDB:7KOX). **g**, M2 helices of $\alpha 7$ in a desensitized state (PDB:7KOQ). **h**, M2 helices of $\alpha 7$ in a resting state (PDB:7KOO). **i**, Pore diameters of CRT1 and $\alpha 7$ in panels **e-h** as a function of a distance along the pore axis. Structures were aligned using the M2 helix Leu9' at the midpoint of the pore, which we defined as $y = 0$.



Extended Data Fig. 7 | CRT1 pharmacological properties. **a**, CRT1 exhibited dose-dependent responses to nootkatone, zearalenone and GDN in patch-clamp experiments. Nootkatone EC50 = 16.1 μM , 95% CI = 13.1 – 18.7 μM , zearalenone EC50 = 4.8 μM , 95% CI = 4.3 – 5.4 μM , GDN EC50 = 125.1 nM, 95% CI = 91.2 – 184.6 nM, n = 8 cells per ligand. **b**, Minimal desensitization was measured in response to low concentrations of agonist while higher concentrations produced inhibition with large wash-off currents, consistent with moderate pore block. $p < 0.0001$ for concentration, two-tailed student's t -test (n = 6 cells). **c**, Heat map of normalized axial nerve and arm responses to 50 μM of the indicated compound (except GDN at 5 μM to avoid micelle formation). Nootkatone and zearalenone elicited the most robust arm activity among CRT1 agonists or other molecules. Some differences in agonist

efficacy in isolated receptors and arms are expected due to solubility issues at concentrations used for arm experiments, particularly with detergent molecules, unknown features of native CR signal transduction, neural integration, and differences in experimental preparations. n = 7-8 arms. **d**, WT CRT1 exhibited dose-dependent activity in response to nootkatone. Y78A mutant channels were insensitive to nootkatone except at higher concentrations which inhibited activity. n = 8 cells per condition. **e**, Current-voltage (I-V) relationships showing ligand-gated activity in WT CRT1 versus constitutive activity in agonist-binding site mutants. Ligands did not increase currents in mutant channels and all channels were sensitive to CR blocker mecaminylamine (1 mM). Data are represented as mean \pm SEM.



Extended Data Fig. 8 | CRT1 vs. α7 sequence alignment and residues under strongly positive selective pressure. a, Side view of CRT1 cryo-EM single subunit structure with residues under highly positive selection (LRT > 3) colored in red. **b,** Sequence alignment of CRT1 and human α7 nicotinic receptor

(UniProt accession number: P36544). Unresolved post-M3 region of CRT1 model is colored in gray. Red boxes indicate residues with LRT >3 highlighted in panel a, and yellow boxes highlight the conserved Cys-loop disulfide bond.

Extended Data Table 1 | Likelihood ratio tests between branch models of evolution for acetylcholine receptor-like genes of *Octopus bimaculoides*

Model comparison	Degrees of freedom	Chi-square	P-value
M0 vs. Two-ratio	1	193.24	<0.0001
Two-ratio vs. Three-ratio	1	0.009	0.75
Free-ratio vs. Two-ratio	130	865.66	<0.0001

M0: Null model, one average dN/dS for the tree. Two-ratio: dN/dS values are different for background (nicotinic receptors) and foreground branches (CRs). Three-ratio: dN/dS values are distinct for nicotinic acetylcholine receptors and the two major clades of *O. bimaculoides* CRs. Free ratio: different dN/dS values for each branch of the tree.

Extended Data Table 2 | Cryo-EM data collection, processing and model statistics

	CRT1-diosgenin complex (EMD-28163, PDB 8EIS)
Data collection and processing	
EM Facility	PNCC
Magnification	81000
Voltage (kV)	300
Electron exposure (e/Å²)	50
Defocus range (µm)	-0.5 to -2.5
Pixel size (Å)	1.056
Symmetry imposed	C5
Initial particle images (no.)	1,649,612
Final particle images (no.)	157,691
Map resolution (Å)	2.62
FSC threshold	0.143
Map sharpening B factor (Å²)	-80
Refinement	
Initial model used (PDB code)	5KXI
Model Composition	
Non-hydrogen atoms	24825
Protein residues	1460
N-glycan	20
Diosgenin	5
B factors (Å²)	
Protein	57.97
Ligand	57.25
R.m.s. deviations	
Bond length (Å²)	0.006
Bond angle (°)	0.676
Validation	
Molprobity score	1.47 (100 th %)
Clashscore	4.23 (100 th %)
Poor rotamers (%)	0.00
Ramachandran plot	
Favored (%)	96.07
Allowed (%)	3.93
Outliers (%)	0.00

Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our [Editorial Policies](#) and the [Editorial Policy Checklist](#).

Statistics

For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.

n/a Confirmed

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided
<i>Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.</i> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | A description of all covariates tested |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. F , t , r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted
<i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.</i> |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d , Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated |

Our web collection on [statistics for biologists](#) contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information about [availability of computer code](#)

Data collection pClamp11

Data analysis GraphPad Prism 9.5, SerialEM, Coot 0.8.9.3, Phenix 1.19.1-4122, Relion 3.1.2_cu9.2, MotionCor2, GCTF, CTFFIND4, crYOLO, Chimera 1.15, ResMap, Pymol 2.4.1, Hole2, CHAPS, AlphaFold

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio [guidelines for submitting code & software](#) for further information.

Data

Policy information about [availability of data](#)

All manuscripts must include a [data availability statement](#). This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our [policy](#)

Atomic model coordinates and cryo-EM density maps for the CRT1 structure have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank with accession code 8EIS and in the Electron Microscopy Data Bank with accession code EMD-28163, respectively.

Human research participants

Policy information about [studies involving human research participants and Sex and Gender in Research](#).

Reporting on sex and gender	n/a
Population characteristics	n/a
Recruitment	n/a
Ethics oversight	n/a

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

☒ Life sciences ☐ Behavioural & social sciences ☐ Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf

Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size	Sample sizes were determined based on preliminary experiments and prior experiences of the investigators.
Data exclusions	RELION-based 2D and 3D classification of single particle datasets were used to remove junk particles.
Replication	All attempts at replication were successful as described in figure legends and methods.
Randomization	All experimental treatments were randomized. EM particle were randomly split to estimate overall resolution.
Blinding	Animal behavior experiments were blinded. Due to technical reasons, electrophysiological and structural analyses were not blinded, however results were near binary so we are confident they remain unbiased.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experimental systems

n/a	Involved in the study
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antibodies
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eukaryotic cell lines
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Palaeontology and archaeology
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Animals and other organisms
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Clinical data
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Dual use research of concern

Methods

n/a	Involved in the study
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> ChIP-seq
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Flow cytometry
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> MRI-based neuroimaging

Antibodies

Antibodies used	A custom monoclonal antibody targeting the extracellular domain of CRT1 (Peptide: YGDERLLREKLLTNYSKIRPVINLTQVVDVTALLY LQTLYDLDFVNNFIMARYYLGLIWIDEKLTWNPLDYNNTSIYLPKDKIWTPPIKMCNSMDKSEENDGVGELMLTYTGWINMWSFRLHHTYCQINAYTYPF DEHTCEIYLCVALHTINHTRIKELIYEDSKFTQNYKWDINVSGKVNGTDELFSYAFAPMYLRRKLTV) was produced in hybridomas generated from an immunized mouse by Genscript
Validation	We validated the antibody by testing for specificity against expressed CRT1, a different octopus CR versus untransfected HEK293 cells.

Eukaryotic cell lines

Policy information about [cell lines and Sex and Gender in Research](#)

Cell line source(s)	ATCC CRL-3022
Authentication	Purchased from commercial supplier, and not further tested.
Mycoplasma contamination	Not tested.
Commonly misidentified lines (See ICLAC register)	No commonly misidentified cells lines were used.

Animals and other research organisms

Policy information about [studies involving animals](#); [ARRIVE guidelines](#) recommended for reporting animal research, and [Sex and Gender in Research](#)

Laboratory animals	Octopus bimaculoides - hatchling (1-2 weeks) & adult (1-2 years).
Wild animals	No
Reporting on sex	Sex was not considered as a variable due to limited animal availability.
Field-collected samples	No
Ethics oversight	Animal protocols were approved by the Harvard University Animal Care and Use Committee (protocol ID 18-05-325).

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.