

# The Zeros of the Hardy Z Function are Simple

BY STEPHEN CROWLEY <STEPHENCROWLEY214@GMAIL.COM>

February 27, 2017

## Abstract

It is proved that the non-trivial roots of the Hardy Z function are simple having multiplicity 1 by showing that the fixed-points  $N_Z(\alpha) = \alpha$  of the Newton map  $N_Z(t) = t - \frac{Z(t)}{Z'(t)}$  must have a multiplier  $\lambda_{N_Z}(\alpha) = |\dot{N}_Z(\alpha)| = \left| \frac{Z(\alpha)\ddot{Z}(\alpha)}{\dot{Z}(\alpha)^2} \right| = 0$  and therefore a multiplicity  $m_Z(\alpha) = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_{N_Z}(\alpha)} = \frac{1}{1 - 0} = 1$ .

## 1 Preliminary Outline

### 1.1 Definitions

Let  $\zeta(t)$  be the Riemann zeta function

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(t) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s} & \forall \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1 \\ &= (1 - 2^{1-s}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s} (-1)^{n-1} & \forall \operatorname{Re}(s) > 0\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

and  $\vartheta(t)$  be Riemann-Siegel vartheta function

$$\vartheta(t) = -\frac{i}{2} \left( \ln \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{it}{2}\right) - \ln \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{it}{2}\right) \right) - \frac{\ln(\pi)t}{2}\tag{2}$$

so that the Hardy Z function[1] can be defined by

$$Z(t) = e^{i\vartheta(t)} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right)\tag{3}$$

which is real-valued when  $t$  is real and satisfies the identity

$$\zeta(t) = e^{-i\vartheta\left(\frac{i}{2} - it\right)} Z\left(\frac{i}{2} - it\right)\tag{4}$$

where  $\ln\Gamma(z)$  is the principal branch of the logarithm of the  $\Gamma$  function defined by

$$\ln\Gamma(z) = \ln(\Gamma(z)) = (z-1)! = \prod_{k=1}^{z-1} k \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R} > 0\tag{5}$$

which is analytically continued from the positive real axis when  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  is complex. Each of the points  $z \in \mathbb{Z} = \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$  is a singularity and a branch point so that the union of the branch cuts is the negative real axis. On the branch cuts, the values of  $\ln\Gamma(z)$  are determined by continuity from above.

**Conjecture 1.** (The Riemann hypothesis) All solutions  $t$  of the equation

$$\zeta(t) = 0 \quad (6)$$

besides the trivial solutions  $t = -2n$  with  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  have real-part  $\frac{1}{2}$ , that is,  $\text{Re}(t) = \frac{1}{2}$  when  $\zeta(t) = 0$  and  $t \neq -2n$ .

## 1.2 Iterated Function Systems

**Definition 2.** A **fixed-point**  $\alpha$  of a function  $f(x)$  is a value  $\alpha$  such that

$$f(\alpha) = \alpha \quad (7)$$

[4, 3.]

**Definition 3.** The **multiplier** of a fixed point  $\alpha$  of a map  $f(x)$  where  $f(\alpha) = \alpha$  is equal to the absolute value of the derivative of the map evaluated at the point  $\alpha$ .

$$\lambda_f(\alpha) = |\dot{f}(\alpha)| \quad (8)$$

If  $\lambda_f(\alpha) < 1$  then  $\alpha$  is said to be an **attractive fixed-point** of the map  $f(x)$ . If  $\lambda_f(\alpha) = 1$  then  $\alpha$  is an **indifferent fixed point**, and if  $\lambda_f(\alpha) > 1$  then  $\alpha$  is a **repelling fixed-point**. When  $\lambda_f(\alpha) = 0$  the fixed-point  $\alpha$  is said to be **super-attractive**[4, 3.]

**Definition 4.** The Newton map of a function  $g(t)$  is given by

$$N_g(t) = t - \frac{g(t)}{\dot{g}(t)} \quad (9)$$

and the multiplier of its fixed-points  $g(\alpha) = 0$  where  $N_g(\alpha) = \alpha$  is equal to

$$\lambda_{N_f(\alpha)} = \left| \frac{f(\alpha)\ddot{f}(\alpha)}{\dot{f}(\alpha)^2} \right| \quad (10)$$

**Definition 5.** The multiplicity  $m_{N_f}(\alpha)$  of a root  $f(\alpha) = 0$  where  $N_f(\alpha) = \alpha$  is defined by its Taylor expansion about the point  $\alpha$  having the form

$$f(t) = c(t - \alpha)^{m_f(\alpha)} + (\text{higher order terms...}) \quad (11)$$

where  $c \neq 0$  and  $m \geq 1$ . The multiplicity of a root is related to the multiplier  $\lambda_f(\alpha)$  through the formula

$$\begin{aligned} m_f(\alpha) &= \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_{N_f}(\alpha)} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - |\dot{N}_f(\alpha)|} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \left| \frac{f(\alpha)\ddot{f}(\alpha)}{\dot{f}(\alpha)^2} \right|} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

when the fixed-point  $f(\alpha) = \alpha$  is not indifferent, that is, when  $\lambda_{N_f}(\alpha) \neq 1$ . When  $\lambda_{N_f}(\alpha) = 1$  then  $m_f(\alpha)$  is not defined thru this formula since  $m_f(t) = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_{N_f}(t)} = \frac{1}{1 - 1} = \frac{1}{0}$ .

See [3, p.52][2]

**Definition 6.** Let

$$Y(t) = N_Z(t) = t - \frac{Z(t)}{\dot{Z}(t)}$$

be the Newton map of  $Z(t)$  which has fixed-points  $Y(\alpha) = \alpha$  at the zeros  $Z(\alpha) = 0$ .

**Proposition 7.**  $Y(t)$  has indifferent fixed-points,  $\left\{ \lambda_{N_Z}(t) = 1 : t = -\frac{i}{2}(-4n-1) \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \right\}$  at each trivial zero of  $\alpha$ ,  $Z\left(-\frac{i}{2}(-4n-1)\right) = 0$ .

**Definition 8.** A removable singularity  $\beta$  of a holomorphic function  $f(t)$  is a point  $f(\beta)$  at which the function is undefined yet it remains possible to redefine the function in such a way that the resulting function is regular in a neighborhood of  $\beta$ .

**Theorem 9.**  $Y(t)$  has super-attractive fixed-points at each non-trivial root of  $Z$ ,  $\{\alpha : Z(\alpha) = 0, \text{Re}(\alpha) \neq 0\}$ . That is,  $\{\lambda_Y(\alpha) = 0 : Z(\alpha) = 0, \text{Re}(\alpha) \neq 0\}$ .

**Proof.** The numerator of the argument of the absolute value function in the multiplier  $\lambda_Y(\alpha) = \left| \frac{Z(\alpha)\ddot{Z}(\alpha)}{\dot{Z}(\alpha)} \right|$  is  $Z(\alpha)\ddot{Z}(\alpha)$ . If  $\alpha$  was a geometrically attracting fixed-point  $\lambda_Y(\alpha) = l$  where  $0 < l < 1$  instead of superattracting then its multiplicity would be  $\frac{1}{1-l} = m$  and since  $m$  must be an integer, the multiplier  $l$  would be equal to  $\frac{m-1}{m}$ . If  $m \geq 2$  then  $\dot{Z}(\alpha) = 0$  which would require  $\alpha$  to be a removable singularity of either  $Z(t)$  or  $\ddot{Z}(t)$  so the singularity at  $\frac{1}{\dot{Z}(t)}$  as  $t \rightarrow \alpha$  would be canceled by a removable singularity of  $Z(t)$  or  $\ddot{Z}(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow \alpha$  so that the limit would exist, but since both  $Z(t)$  and  $\ddot{Z}(t)$  are well-defined except at their essential singularities then  $\ddot{Z}(\alpha)$  does not have any removable singularities. The only essential singularity of  $Z(t)$  is at  $-\frac{i}{2}$  which corresponds to the pole of  $\zeta(t)$  at  $t=1$ . The function  $\ddot{Z}(t)$  has essential singularities at  $-\frac{i}{2}$ ,  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} -\frac{i}{2}(4n-3)$  and  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{i}{2}(4n-3)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 10.** The roots of  $Z(t)$  are simple, that is, have multiplicity 1.

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} -\frac{i}{2}(-4n-1)$  be a non-trivial root where  $Z(\alpha) = 0$  then the multiplier of its Newton map is  $\lambda_{N_Z}(\alpha) = \left| \frac{Z(\alpha)\ddot{Z}(\alpha)}{\dot{Z}(\alpha)} \right| = 0$  therefore its multiplicity is  $m_Z(t) = \frac{1}{1-\lambda_{N_Z}(t)} = \frac{1}{1-0} = \frac{1}{1} = 1$ . Every simple root of a function  $f(t)$  is a super-attracting fixed-point of  $N_f(t)$ . See [3, p.52]  $\square$

## Bibliography

- [1] A. Ivić. *The Theory of Hardy's Z-Function*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- [2] T. Kawahira. The Riemann hypothesis and holomorphic index in complex dynamics. *ArXiv e-prints*, feb 2016.
- [3] John Willard Milnor. *Dynamics in one complex variable*, volume 160. Springer, 2006.
- [4] Hans Rådström. On the iteration of analytic functions. *Mathematica Scandinavica*, pages 85–92, 1953.