

Astrometric Observations of the Main Uranian Satellites in the Pulkovo Observatory in 2007 – 2016

Ershova A. P., Roschina E. A., Izmailov I. S., Khovrichev M. Yu.

July 4, 2016

Abstract

The results of observations of uranian satellites with the Pulkovo Observatory 26-inch refractor in 2007 – 2016 were presented. Almost 7000 CCD frames were analysed. The UCAC4 catalogue was used as an astrometric calibrator. Coordinates of Uranus were determined indirectly with the satellites positions and their ephemeris relative to the planet. The O-C differences were calculated for each object using the INPOP13c planetary theory and Lainey's theory of the satellites motion. The mean accuracy of uranian satellite position is from $0''.02$ to $0''.07$. O-C absolute values are less than $0''.5$. It is in a good agreement with ephemeris.

1 Introduction

High-precision theories of motion of the major planets and their satellites are necessary for researches of the Solar System formation and evolution and for providing the ephemerides to the future space missions. Elaboration of such theories requires an extensive observational material. N.V. Emelyanov in his paper (Emelyanov, 2009) pointed that precision of ephemerides can be improved via extension of the observational span.

In the twentieth century photographic observations of Uranus were performed in the Pulkovo Observatory (Kiseleva, Khrutskaya, 2007). Coordinates of the planet were measured directly, uranian satellites were inaccessible for the photographic method.

Observations of Uranus with the CCD-camera have started in 2007. In CCD frames it is possible to receive suitable for measurements images of four main uranian satellites: Ariel (u1), Umbriel (u2), Titania (u3) and Oberon (u4). Precision of measurements for these satellites appears as good as in other works on the same subject (Camargo et al., 2015, Khovrichev, 2009). The fifth main satellite of Uranus is Miranda (u5) which is at small angular distant from the planet. Therefore its images cannot be separated from Uranus halo in frames.

The first results of observations of uranian satellites with CCD-camera and 26-inch refractor in Pulkovo were published in 2013 in paper of Roschina et al. (Roschina et al., 2013). Published observations covered 2007 – 2011 period. UCAC2 (Zacharias et al., 2010) was used as a reference catalogue. Volume of observational data in current paper is much bigger: observations cover period since August 2007 to January 2016. The measurements were performed with UCAC4 (Zacharias et al., 2013), thus there were more stars in the field of view.

2 Observations and measurements

Current observations of Uranus are performing in Pulkovo from the end of August to the beginning of January using the 26-inch refractor. The telescope is located on $59^{\circ}46'15''$ north latitude, $30^{\circ}19'23''$ east longitude, altitude above the sea level is about 85 m. Aperture diameter of the telescope is 65 cm, focal length is 1041.3 cm, focal plane scale is $19''.80/\text{mm}$ in the center of image. The CCD camera FLI Pro Line 09000 is used as a radiation receiver. Size of the CCD matrix is 3056×3056 px, each of $12 \mu\text{m}$. The field of view is $12' \times 12'$, the scale on the CCD frames is $0''.24/\text{px}$.

We obtained one normal place of each satellite available for observations in a night. Normal places were calculated on a basis of five independent measurements of individual positions. In turn we used a number of CCD frames combined together for each of these measurements. Observations were carried out by series:

September 30, 2007 – 20 frames with expositions of 20 s (were combined on 4), October, 21, 2007 – 130 frames with expositions of 3 s (were combined on 25), on 40 frames with expositions of 10 seconds (combined on 8) in all other nights. Movement of satellites on the celestial sphere during observation was approximated linearly, so the average moment for whole series and the normal place corresponding to this moment were determined.

Observations processing and coordinates measurements were performed with the IZMCCD software package (Izmailov et al., 1998). In case when an image of satellite was contaminated by planet halo the halo was subtracted. For this purpose brightness distribution was approximated with series of negative degrees on a Formula (1). Coefficients a, b, c, d was estimated by the least square method, r is the distance to a certain point which coordinates are also selected via minimization of discrepancies.

$$I(r) = a + \frac{b}{r} + \frac{c}{r^2} + \frac{d}{r^3} \quad (1)$$

We determined the centers of satellites and reference stars images using approximation of image profile with the Lorenz function (2).

$$I(x, y) = \frac{C}{(1 + AR)^\alpha} + D \quad (2)$$

$$R = \sqrt{(x - x_0)^2 + (1 + B)(y - y_0)^2 + E(x - x_0)(y - y_0)}$$

where

$I(x, y)$ – brightness in the pixel which coordinates are (x, y)

(x_0, y_0) – coordinates of image center

α – determine the form of the curve, in our case $\alpha = 1.4$

A, B, C, D, E – parameters of model:

A – sets the size of image

B – elongation of the image on an axis y

C – brightness in the center of image

D – constant term

E – elongation of the image on arbitrary direction

The Lorenz function parameters were obtained by solving an excess system of equations by the non-linear least square method. Then coordinates of centers of satellites and stars images in the CCD frame plane were determined. Astrometric reduction was performed by the six-constant method. We considered the differential refraction effect of the first order during reduction. UCAC4 (Zacharias et al., 2013) was taken as a reference catalog. In the field of view at least 8 stars from UCAC4 were founded. The majority of pictures were identified with more than 10 stars.

Errors of measurements were estimated on standard formulas.

$$\sigma_x = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{k=1}^N (x_k - x_{mean})^2}{N-1}}; \varepsilon_x = \sigma_x / \sqrt{N}$$

We thrown out from further consideration those data in wich an individual position error was greater than critical value. This value was 0."3 in case of Ariel and Umbriel and 0."1 in case of Titania and Oberon. Average values of errors meeting the specified criterion are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Average errors of normal places ε and average errors of individual position σ (arcsec)

	Ariel	Umbriel	Titania	Oberon
$\varepsilon_\alpha(\sigma_\alpha)$	0.06 (0.11)	0.05 (0.09)	0.02 (0.03)	0.02 (0.03)
$\varepsilon_\delta(\sigma_\delta)$	0.06 (0.11)	0.07 (0.11)	0.02 (0.03)	0.02 (0.04)

Table 2: The quantity of the normal places and the individual positions measured for a year

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Ariel	0(0)	0(0)	3(15)	0 (0)	0(0)	2 (10)	2 (10)	2 (10)	7 (35)
Umbriel	0(0)	2 (10)	4 (20)	4 (20)	4 (20)	4 (20)	14 (70)	8 (40)	11(55)
Titania	3 (13)	5 (25)	11 (55)	5 (25)	15 (75)	12 (60)	19 (91)	15 (74)	21 (105)
Oberon	4 (21)	7 (35)	11 (55)	5 (25)	18 (90)	13 (65)	18 (88)	19 (94)	21 (105)

Table 3: The average O-C differences

	Ariel	Umbriel	Titania	Oberon	Uranus
Average $(O - C)_\alpha$	0.043	0.025	-0.009	-0.001	0.001
Average $(O - C)_\delta$	-0.074	-0.069	-0.014	-0.019	-0.021

3 Results and comparing with theory

Almost 7000 CCD images of Uranus and its satellites were collected from 2007 to 2016. In Table 2 it is shown how many nights each of four satellites was observed that corresponds to number of the normal places received during a year, there is the quantity of the individual positions measured for all year in brackets.

Obtained normal places are not evenly distributed on the whole period. There is tendency toward increasing in numbers of obtained positions from 2007 to 2016. This effect was noticed in the paper of Camargo et. al. (Camargo et al., 2015). It is explained by changing of the angle between the equatorial plane of Uranus and the picture plane. In the begining of observational span the equatorial plane of the planet and also the orbital planes of satellites, were almost perpendicular to the celestial sphere tangential plane. Thereof, the uranian satellites spent most time behind the planet or right in front of it, so their coordinates could not be mesaured on CCD frame. Moving on an orbit around the Sun Uranus is turning around so, that the angle between its equatorial plane and plane of an image is decreasing.

Thus the satellites appear in the CCD frame at sufficient distance from the planet. So their coordinates could be mesaured.

Let's show this effect by calculating the K coefficient. The K is a ratio of the normal places obtained during an observational season to the number of nights in this season when a series of frames with Uranus was made. The observational season is meant as the period since the end of August to the beginning of January. January observation nights are attributed to previous year. Figure (1) illustrates the increase in this ratio.

Obtained coordinates were compared with coordinates predicted with the planet motion theory INPOP13c and the theory of uranian satellite motion Lainey 2015. The ephemeris were provided by the MULTI-SAT servise (Emel'anov and Arlot, 2008). Also positions of Uranus were determined based on the positions of its satellites and ephemeris distanses between them and the planet. The series of the O-C differences for each satellite and for the planet are shown on plots, the average O-C differences are in Table 3. Observations show a good consent with theory.







Figure 1: The ratio of the normal places obtained during a season to the number of observational nights




Ariel, $(O - C)_\alpha$




Umbriel, $(O - C)_\alpha$




Ariel, $(O - C)_\delta$




Umbriel, $(O - C)_\delta$




Titania, $(O - C)_\alpha$




Oberon, $(O - C)_\alpha$




Titania, $(O - C)_\delta$



Oberon, $(O - C)_\delta$



Titania, $(O - C)_\alpha$



Oberon, $(O - C)_\alpha$

4 Conclusion

This paper reports the results of observations of the uranian satellites performed in Pulkovo Observatory with the 26-inch refractor in 2007 – 2016. Result analysis demonstrates high-quality and the suitability of the methods realised in this work, in particular the method of planet halo subtracting. These methods can be applied in the future works on close subjects. Observed positions of the main uranian satellites are usually close to ephemeris position. The obtained normal places are available in the pulkovo database and in the CDS database.

5 Acknowledgments

References

- [1] “Astrometric Observations of Satellites of Uranus Using 26-Inch Refractor in 2007–2011”, 2013, E. A. Roschina, I. S. Izmailov, T. P. Kiseleva
- [2] “Astrometry of the main satellites of Uranus: 18 years of observations”, 2015, J.I.B. Camargo, F. P. Magalhaes, R. Vieira-Martins, M. Assafin, F. Braga-Ribas, A. Dias-Oliveira, G. Benedetti-Rossi, A. R. Gomes-Junior, A. H. Andrei and D. N. da Silva Neto
- [3] M.Yu. Khovrichiev, Astrometric observations of the Uranian satellites with the Faulkes telescope North in 2007 September, 2009
- [4] Izmailov I.S., Kiselev A.A., Kiseleva T.P., and Khrutskaya E.V., Using a CCD-camera in Pulkovo programs of observations of binary and multiple stars and satellites of major planets with the 26-inch refractor . Astron. Lett., 1998, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 665-672.
- [5] Izmccd is a software packet for processing digital images of celestial objects, 2005, Izmailov, I.S., <http://www.izmccd.puldb.ru/>
- [6] “Precision of the ephemerides of outer planetary satellites”, Planetary and Space Science, 2009, Emelyanov N.V.
- [7] Emelyanov N.V. and Arlot J.-E., The natural satellites ephemerides facility MULTI-SAT, Astron., Astrophis., 2008, vol. 487, pp. 759-765
- [8] Kiseleva T.P., Khrutskaya E.V., Pulkovo astrometric observations of bodies of the Solar System from 1898 to 2005: observational database, Solar. Syst. Res., 2007 vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 72-80
- [9] Zacharias, N.; Finch, C. T.; Girard, T. M.; Henden, A.; Bartlett, J. L.; Monet, D. G.; Zacharias, M. I., The Fourth US Naval Observatory CCD Astrograph Catalog (UCAC4), The Astronomical Journal, Volume 145, Issue 2, article id. 44, 14 pp. (2013)
- [10] Zacharias N., Finch C., Girard T., Hambly N., Wycoff G., Zacharias M.I., Castillo D., Corbin, T., DiVittorio, M., Dutta, S., Gaume, R., Gauss, S., Germain, M., Hall, D., Hartkopf, W., Hsu, D., Holdenried, E., Makarov, V., Martinez, M., Mason, B., Monet, D., Rafferty, T., Rhodes, A., Siemers, T., Smith, D., Tilleman, T., Urban, S., Wieder, G., Winter, L., and Young, A., The third US Naval Observatory CCD Astrograph Catalog (UCAC3), Astron. J., 2010, vol. 139, no. 6, pp. 2184-2199.