Jean Valentin Gerrero Cano 45338112 Y Devoir (4) $V: \ell^2(N,R) \rightarrow \ell^2(N,R) \quad V(\bar{z}_n) = \bar{z}_{n+1} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$ We know that (an) new E (2(IN, IR), then (an) new = $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \cdot S_i$. So applying our operator to a suite of $\ell^2(IN,IR)$ wears: $V((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i V(S_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i S_{i+1} = (0, a_0, a_1, \dots)$ a) An application defined between two wetric spaces is an isometry if it preserves distance. In our case, as V is defined as V: ("IN, IR), being (2(IN, IB) a wettic space, V would be an isometry if: 11 V((an)new) - V((bn)new) 11 (2(1N/R) = 11 (an)new- (bn)new 11 (2(1N/R) Therefore we have to prove: 11 V((an)nem) - V((bn)new) 1/2 = 11 (an)new = (bn)new 1/2 11 V ((an) nein) - V ((bn) nein) 1/2= 11 (0, ao,a, _) - (0, b, b, _) 1/2= = $\| \{0-0, a_0-b_0, a_1-b_1, \dots \} \|_2 = (\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (a_i-b_i)^2 + (0-0))^2$ $=(\frac{2}{100}(a_1-b_1)^2)^{1/2}=||(a_nhe_{1N}-(b_n)_{n\in 1N})||_2$ Then we conclude by saying that V is an isometry, and trivially 11 V((an)nein) 1/2=11 (an)nein1/2 what nears $\|V\|_2 = 1$

b) The Kernel of our livear operator is Wived as: Ker(V)= (an)men e ((IN,IR): V((an)new)=(0)new & Where (0) new denotes The zero suite And trivially we get that Ker (V) = { (O) neinty as $V((a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = (0, a_0, a, ----) = (0)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ The image of V is defined as all the Suites from l2(IN, IR) that have a 0 in Their first component, because for an arbitrary (annew e (2(IN, IR) V(Can)new) = (0, ao, a, and (o, ao, a, ___) & l2(IN, IR). Therefore: $\operatorname{Imp}(V) = \langle (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R}) : a_0 = 0 \rangle$ c) The Applying The definition of adjoint we know that: 4 (On)new, (bn)new & (?(IN, IR) (V((an)new) (bn)new) = ((an)new) V* (bn)new). V* denotes adjoint of V. Lets get an expression. (V((an)nein))((bn)nein)=((0,00,0,-)/(60,6162))= = \(\alpha \) (\alpha \); \(\beta \); \(= \(\frac{2}{3} ai \cdot bi+1 = \((\alpha_n)_{new} \) \((b_1, b_2, \ldots) \) Therefore V*: 62(IN, IR) -> 62(IN, IR) it is defined as. V*(5n)= 5n-1 thein.

```
So, applied to a (On)nem e (2(IN, IB):
       V* ((an)new) = (a1, a2, ____). For any (an)new (?w, R)
  V*(V((an)nen))= V*((0,00,0,...))=
  = (ao, a, az, ___) = (an)nein what implies that
  V+V = Ide(MIR)
 V(V*((an)nem))=V((a,,az,az,_))=(0,a,,az,_)
 what leads us to: V*V=Idey(N,IR) + UV*
 d) Taking in count the definition of eigenvalue we
 know that being V a linear transformation,
 to the total the second the second second
 an eigenvalue it is a heR such that
 V((an)new) = > (annew with (an)new € ((N, R). and
                             (an)new + (0)new
  In our case the eigenvalues of V would be:
 V((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})=\lambda(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}
 (0, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \underline{\hspace{1cm}}) = \lambda(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \underline{\hspace{1cm}})
  10 = 200
                If \lambda = 0 Then Q_0 = Q_1 = Q_2 = 0
  Qu= >a,
  az= laz
                what leads to absurd. If 0_0 = 0
                Then as \lambda \neq 0, \alpha = 0 and then \alpha = 0
                 what leads us again to an absurd.
          our operator V has not any eigenvalve.
therefore
```

e) We know that for every $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}(V^*)$ (spectround V^*) $\|\lambda\| \leq \|V^*\|_{L^2(W,R)} = 1$. Therefore, $\sigma(v^*) \subseteq [-1,1]$. Also we have to take in court the fact that the spectrum of an operator is a closed subset, as the solutions of the resolvent of an operator er are an open subset. Lets start by searching the eigenvalues of V* because if $\lambda \in O(V^*)$ an it is an eigenvalue Them is an approximate eigenvalue: $V^*((\alpha_N)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) = \lambda(\alpha_N)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \quad (\alpha_N)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N},\mathbb{R}) \text{ and}$ (an)rem + (o)rem. (a, a, a, -) = \(a, a, a, a) $\begin{cases}
\alpha_1 = \lambda \alpha_0 \\
\alpha_2 = \lambda \alpha_1
\end{cases}$ So we get that anti= 2 an, what reads us to (an)new)=(/ lo)new. $a_3 = \lambda a_2$ Lets study the possible values of $\lambda *$. If \x 1 , The suite (a, x) new & l'(IN) as. ≥ (a0 λ')2= ≥ (a01 > diverge. If $|\lambda| = 1$ Then $\frac{2}{100} |a_0 \lambda'|^2 = \frac{2}{100} |a_0|^2 |\lambda'|^2 = \frac{2}{100} |a_0|^2 |a_0|^2 |a_0|^2 + \frac{2}{100} |a_0|^2 |a_0|^2 + \frac{2}{100} |a_0|^2$ = 10012 \le 1212 < 00 as 1212 1 and then (a) Miner (N, R) Therefore The values & in the interval]-1,1[are all the eigenvalues of V* and as consequence, for every λ∈ J-1,12 1 is an approximate eigenvalue.

e) & we have that]-1,1[= \$\mathbf{t}(V*) = [-1,1]. and as ot (1*) is a closed sobset them o(U*) = [-1,1]. To get o (V) we will pose that being U* the adjoint of U, O(U*) = J(U). We wilt begin for the afinition of spectrum, $\lambda \in O(V^*)$ if and only if $V^* - \lambda Id$ has no inverse. Then $\lambda \notin \sigma(V^*)$, the resolvent $(V^*-\lambda Id)$ is can be inverted. Lets denote T* the inverse of (v*-XId). Then (T*) = T is the inverse of (U* - 1 Id) = = (V- \lambda Id). Therefore V-\lambda Id can be inverted Then $\lambda \notin \sigma(V)$. This means that $\sigma(V) = \sigma(V^*)$ So. Therefore o(v)={} LeiR: |\lambda| = 1/2. f) We know by the exercise before that o(v)=(+1,1] S(V) = J-1,1[O(V)=4-1,1} For every (an) new e (?(IN, IR) we get that $\|V(Can)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\|_2 = \|(Can)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\|_2$ (as we seem in a) (1 V (canhein) - 2 (an)nein 1/2 > 11 V (can)nein 1/2-1/1 11 (an) nein 1/2 = = (1-11) (an)nein (*) lets suppose now that 1 is an approximates eigenrale.

Then by definition of approximates eigenvalue. exist (an Incine (2(IN, IR) such that 11 (anheint]= 1 and (V((an) rin) - > (an) rein) -0 From (*) we get that (1-1/1) | (an) wew) |2 0 Since 11 (aninoin 112 = 1 ue obtain 1/=1 So the unique possible values of 1 are h-1,18=0(V), (being & au approximate againable). Therefore we can discard that any eigensale or approximates eigenvalue are in the ocv)=]-1,1[. To conclude, lets confirm that $\lambda = 4-1,17$ are eigenvalue. We know that if I is not an approximate eigenvale if and only if (V-) Id/ has an inverse. But 4-1,14 & O(V)=[-1,1] and that nears that for 12 1=1 (V-) Id) has no inverse. Therefore we conclude saying that $\lambda \in \{11\} = \overline{\delta}(v)$ are approximated eigenvalues

Index des commentaires

1.1 trivially?