

# Introduction to Cryptography – LMAT2450

## Final Examination

January 8, 2014

### Instructions

1. You can use the slides presented during the class, and all your personal notes. No book or other printed/photocopied material is allowed.
2. The duration of the exam is 3 hours. Answer the questions on *separate* sheets of paper.
3. You have the possibility to present your answers to the examiners.

**Question 1** Let  $\Pi_{\text{MAC}} = \langle \text{Gen}, \text{Mac}, \text{Vrfy} \rangle$  be a Message Authentication Code defined as follows.

$\text{Gen}(1^\lambda)$ : from the security parameter  $\lambda$ , choose  $x, y \xleftarrow{R} \{0, 1\}^\lambda$  and set  $\text{sk} := (x, y)$ .

$\text{Mac}_{\text{sk}}(m)$ : choose  $r \xleftarrow{R} \{0, 1\}^\lambda$  and set  $m_x := m \oplus r$  and  $m_y := r$ , then compute and output the tag  $t := (t_x, t_y)$  for the message  $m$  where  $t_x = x \oplus m_x$  and  $t_y = y \oplus m_y$ .

$\text{Vrfy}_{\text{sk}}(t)$ : parse  $t$  as  $t = (t_x, t_y)$  and compute  $m_x = t_x \oplus x$  and  $m_y = t_y \oplus y$  from the secret key  $\text{sk} = (x, y)$  and then return 1 if and only if  $m_x \neq 0^\lambda$ ,  $m_y \neq 0^\lambda$  and  $m = m_x \oplus m_y$  hold.

1. Show that the MAC scheme is correct (i.e. that  $\text{Vrfy}_{\text{sk}}(\text{Mac}_{\text{sk}}(m)) = 1$ ) with overwhelming probability. *(1 - ε(m))*

2. Give the best forgery attack that you can. *Check verification* *Maintenant qu'il n'est pas bon* *① Fault model attack* *② (e.g. simple, + check & detect forgery sur ce qu'on veut)*

**Question 2** Let  $\Pi_{\text{SIG}} = \langle \text{Gen}, \text{Sign}, \text{Vrfy} \rangle$  be an EUF-CMA secure signature scheme with signatures that are  $2\lambda$ -bit long,  $\lambda$  being the security parameter.

From  $\Pi_{\text{SIG}}$ , we build a second signature scheme  $\Pi'_{\text{SIG}} = \langle \text{Gen}, \text{Sign}', \text{Vrfy}' \rangle$ , where  $\text{Sign}'_{\text{sk}}(m) := \text{Sign}_{\text{sk}}(m)|_\lambda$ , that is, the first  $\lambda$  bits of a signature produced by  $\text{Sign}$  on the same inputs.

1. Define  $\text{Vrfy}'$  such that  $\Pi'_{\text{SIG}}$  is not EUF-CMA anymore.
2. Show one way to define  $\Pi_{\text{SIG}}$  and  $\text{Vrfy}'$  that makes  $\Pi'_{\text{SIG}}$  EUF-CMA-secure as well.

*Indication: you can start from the assumption of the existence of a secure signature scheme producing signatures of any length linear in  $\lambda$ .*

**Question 3** The IND-CPA security of ElGamal encryption in a group  $\mathbb{G}$  relies on the decisional Diffie-Hellman (DDH) assumption in  $\mathbb{G}$ . In this question, we are interested in extending ElGamal to be able to encrypt  $l$  messages in an efficient way. A trivial method is to encrypt each message separately using ElGamal, but this results in ciphertexts containing  $2l$  elements in  $\mathbb{G}$ , and in the need to select  $l$  random values taken in  $\mathbb{Z}_q$  (where  $q$  is the prime order of the group  $\mathbb{G}$ ).

We propose the following scheme in order to save  $l - 1$  group elements and  $l - 1$  random values, while still relying on the same DDH assumption. Let  $\Pi_{\text{ENC}} = \langle \text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec} \rangle$  be such that:

$\text{Gen}(1^\lambda, l)$ : for the security parameter  $\lambda$ , select a generator  $g$  of a group  $\mathbb{G}$  of prime order  $q \geq 2^\lambda$  where the DDH assumption is assumed to hold. Then pick  $l$  random secret keys  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l \xleftarrow{R} \mathbb{Z}_q$  and compute  $h_1 = g^{\alpha_1}, \dots, h_l = g^{\alpha_l}$  in  $\mathbb{G}$ . Return the public key  $\text{pk} = (\mathbb{G}, q, g, h_1, \dots, h_l)$  and the secret key  $\text{sk} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l)$ .

$\text{Enc}_{\text{pk}}(m)$ : for a message  $m = (m_1, \dots, m_l) \in \mathbb{G}^l$  choose one  $r \xleftarrow{R} \mathbb{Z}_q$  and return the ciphertext  $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_l)$  such that  $c_0 = g^r$ ,  $c_1 = m_1 \cdot h_1^r, \dots, c_l = m_l \cdot h_l^r$  in  $\mathbb{G}$ .

$\text{Dec}_{\text{sk}}(c)$ : return the decryption of each ElGamal ciphertext  $(c_0, c_i)$  using the secret key  $\alpha_i$ , for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ , in order to recover and return  $(m_1, \dots, m_l)$ .

Before answering the following questions, notice that an instance  $(g_0, g_1, g_2, g_3) \in \mathbb{G}^4$  of the DDH problem can be sampled as  $(g_0, g_1, g_2, g_3) = (g, g^x, g^y, g^{xy+bz})$  for random  $x, y, z \xleftarrow{R} \mathbb{Z}_q$ . Solving the DDH experiment is then equivalent to guessing the random bit  $b$ .

1. Given a DDH instance  $(g_0, g_1, g_2, g_3)$ , show that, by selecting random  $s, t \xleftarrow{R} \mathbb{Z}_q$ , we can define  $(g'_0, g'_1, g'_2, g'_3) := (g_0, g_0^s \cdot g_1^t, g_2, g_2^s \cdot g_3^t)$ , which is another DDH instance with the same bit  $b$ .

*Hint: simply specify the new  $x', y', z'$  and show that they are uniformly distributed in  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ .*

2. Prove the CPA security of the *extended* ElGamal cryptosystem  $\Pi_{\text{ENC}}$  for  $l = 2$ . A fully rigorous reduction is required.

*Hint: apply the argument used to prove the security of the traditional ElGamal encryption scheme by using both DDH instances,  $(g_0, g_1, g_2, g_3)$  and  $(g'_0, g'_1, g'_2, g'_3)$ . Note that  $g_0 = g'_0$  and  $g_2 = g'_2$ .*