

# $LR(k)$ Grammars

# Adding Lookahead

- Goal: Similar to  $LL(k)$  for NTA, we want to resolve nondeterminism in NBA by allowing a lookahead of  $k$  input symbols
- The start-separated CFG  $G = \langle \Sigma, N, P, S \rangle$  is an  $LR(k)$  grammar for a given  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  if for all rightmost derivations of the form

$$S \begin{cases} \Rightarrow_r^* \alpha A w \Rightarrow_r \alpha \beta w \\ \Rightarrow_r^* \gamma B x \Rightarrow_r \alpha \beta y \end{cases} \text{ such that } first_k(w) = first_k(y)$$

it follows that  $\alpha = \gamma$ ,  $A = B$ , and  $x = y$

# *LR*(0) Grammars

- In contrast to *LL*(0) grammars, *LR*(0) grammars are actually interesting
- *G* is an *LR*(0) grammar if for all rightmost derivations of the form

$$S \begin{cases} \Rightarrow_r^* \alpha A w \Rightarrow_r \alpha \beta w \\ \Rightarrow_r^* \gamma B x \Rightarrow_r \alpha \beta y \end{cases}$$

it follows that  $\alpha = \gamma$ ,  $A = B$ , and  $x = y$

- For the automaton, this means that the decision to reduce or shift in the state  $(w, \delta, z)$  only depends on  $\delta$ , without needing to look ahead into the input  $w$

## Example of an $LR(0)$ grammar

### ■ Start-separated grammar

$$S' \rightarrow S \quad (0)$$

$$S \rightarrow B|C \quad (1,2)$$

$$B \rightarrow aB|b \quad (3,4)$$

$$C \rightarrow aC|c \quad (5,6)$$

### ■ Input $ab$

- Initial state  $(ab, \varepsilon, \varepsilon)$
- Shift is only possible action  $(b, a, \varepsilon)$
- Shift is only possible action  $(\varepsilon, ab, \varepsilon)$
- Only one rule to reduce  $b$   $(\varepsilon, aB, 4)$
- Only one rule to reduce  $aB$   $(\varepsilon, C, 43)$
- Only one rule to reduce  $C$   $(\varepsilon, S, 431)$
- Final state  $(\varepsilon, S', 4310)$