#### Author

Victor Giraldo

#### Github Link

Github

## Honor Pledge

I pledge my honor that I have abided by the Stevens Honor System. - Victor Giraldo

# Assignment Description

Sometimes you will be given a program that someone else has written, and you will be asked to fix, update and enhance that program. In this assignment you will start with an existing implementation of the classify triangle program that will be given to you. You will also be given a starter test program that tests the classify triangle program, but those tests are not complete.

These are the two files: Triangle.py and TestTriangle.py

- Triangle.py is a starter implementation of the triangle classification program.
- TestTriangle.py contains a starter set of unittest test cases to test the classifyTriangle() function in the file Triangle.py file.

In order to determine if the program is correctly implemented, you will need to update the set of test cases in the test program. You will need to update the test program until you feel that your tests adequately test all of the conditions. Then you should run the complete set of tests against the original triangle program to see how correct the triangle program is. Capture and then report on those results in a formal test report described below. For this first part you should not make any changes to the classify triangle program. You should only change the test program.

Based on the results of your initial tests, you will then update the classify triangle program to fix all defects. Continue to run the test cases as you fix defects until all of the defects have been fixed. Run one final execution of the test program and capture and then report on those results in a formal test report described below. Note that you should NOT simply replace the logic with your logic from Assignment 1. Test teams typically don't have the luxury of rewriting code from scratch and instead must fix what's delivered to the test team.

## Summary

I learned how to fix a broken product in this assignment. Initially, the code provided passed absolutely none of the tests. Even some of the ones I wrote that were new. I worked backward with the test cases, which was different from the usual workflow I've followed before. Generally speaking, I code something first, then test afterwards. Trying to brute force the logic and bug fixes all at once after adding some more test cases was not efficient at all. It resulted in missing some pretty blatant problems with the code, and extended the time it took to fix the logic for determining what type of triangle we were working with.

#### Constraints

The only assumption made was that we must have strictly integer inputs. This was already a part of the template code we were given.

#### Data Inputs/Techniques

The values in the additional test cases were also tested against general rules for triangles and shapes before attempting to classify what type of triangle we had. This included negative numbers, string variable types, zeros, etc.

My technique for debugging was to work through the logic for one portion of the code at a time, and try to think of relevant test cases for each of them. I would run these test cases, compare the actual results

and expected results, and continue to refactor if it was not what I expected. It is also quite easy to arrive at the problem by simply analyzing the error messages from the unit tests.

	Test Run 1	Test Run 2	Test Run 3	Test Run 4	Test Run 5	Test Run 6	Test Run 7
Tests Planned	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Tests Exe- cuted	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Tests Passed	0	0	1	2	3	5	7
Defects Found	-	2	1	1	1	-	-
Defects Fixed	-	2	1	1	1	-	-

Table 1: Matrix for Summary Results

# Test Runs

This section includes the initial and final test runs.

## Initial Test Run

Test Id	Inputs	Expected Results	Actual Result	Pass or Fail
1	3,4,5	Right Triangle	Invalid Input	Fail
2	5,3,4	Right Triangle	Invalid Input	Fail
3	1,1,1	Equilateral Triangle	Invalid Input	Fail
4	5,7,9	Scalene Triangle	Invalid Input	Fail
5	6,8,6	Isoceles Triangle	Invalid Input	Fail
6	3,7,2	Not A Triangle	Invalid Input	Fail
7	0,4,5	Invalid Input	Invalid Input	Pass
8	201,70,80	Invalid Input	Invalid Input	Pass

Table 2: A table with the initial test runs.

## Final Test Run

Test Id	Inputs	Expected Results	Actual Result	Pass or Fail
1	3,4,5	Right Triangle	Right Triangle	Pass
2	5,3,4	Right Triangle	Right Triangle	Pass
3	1,1,1	Equilateral Triangle	Equilateral Triangle	Pass
4	5,7,9	Scalene Triangle	Scalene Triangle	Pass
5	6,8,6	Isoceles Triangle	Isoceles Triangle	Pass
6	3,7,2	Not A Triangle	Not A Triangle	Pass
7	0,4,5	Invalid Input	Invalid Input	Pass
8	201,70,80	Invalid Input	Invalid Input	Pass

Table 3: A table with the final test runs after the test logic was updated

# Results Screenshot



Figure 1: Screenshot showing output of updated tests.