## SOLUTIONS FOR PATTERN CLASSIFICATION CH.2

Building C303, Harbin Institute of Technology, Shenzhen University Town, Xili, Shenzhen, Guangdong Province, P.R. China Yonghui Wu, Yaoyun Zhang; yonghui.wu@gmail.com

#### Problem 12

• a) Suppose that  $P(\omega_{max}|x) < \frac{1}{c}$ , so we get :

$$P(\omega_i|x) \le P(\omega_{max}|x) < \frac{1}{c}, i = (1, \dots, c)$$

we obtain:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{c} P(\omega_i | x) < \sum_{i=1}^{c} \frac{1}{c} = 1$$

contradict with:  $\sum_{i=1}^{c} P(\omega_i|x) = 1$ 

• b)

According to minimum-error-rate:

$$\begin{split} P(error) &= \sum P(error|x)p(x)dx \\ &= \sum_{i=1,\omega_i \neq \omega_{max}}^{c} P(\omega_i|x)p(x)dx \\ &= \sum (1 - P(\omega_{max}|x))p(x)dx \\ &= 1 - \sum P(\omega_{max}|x)p(x)dx \end{split}$$

• c) see above:

$$P(error) = 1 - \sum P(\omega_{max}|x)p(x)dx$$

$$\leq 1 - \sum \frac{1}{c}p(x)dx = 1 - \frac{1}{c} = \frac{c-1}{c}$$
(1)

• d) When  $P(\omega_1|x) = P(\omega_2|x) = \cdots = P(\omega_c|x)$ , that's to say:  $P(\omega_{max}|x) = \frac{1}{c}$ , then we obtain:  $P(error) = \frac{c-1}{c}$ 

# Problem 14

a

Case 1:  $g_i(x)$   $i \neq c+1$ , therefore

$$\begin{cases} g_{i}(x) > g_{j}(x) & i \neq j \text{ and } j \neq c+1 \\ g_{i}(x) > g_{c+1}(x) & \Rightarrow \begin{cases} p(x|\omega_{i})P(\omega_{i}) > p(x|\omega_{j})P(\omega_{j}) \\ p(x|\omega_{i})P(\omega_{i}) > \frac{\lambda_{s} - \lambda_{r}}{\lambda_{s}} \sum_{i=1}^{c} p(x|\omega_{i})P(\omega_{i}) \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} P(\omega_{i}|x) > P(\omega_{j}|x) \\ P(\omega_{i}|x) > 1 - \frac{\lambda_{r}}{2} \end{cases}$$

This is correspondent with the decision rule of Problem 13, thus in case 1 discriminant function can get the minimum risk, that is it is optimal.

Case 2: decide  $g_{c+1}(x)$ , therefore

$$g_{c+1}(x) > g_i(x) \ j \neq c+1$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\lambda_s - \lambda_r}{\lambda_s} \sum_{i=1}^c p(x|\omega_i) P(\omega_i) > p(x|\omega_i) P(\omega_i) \geq p(x|\omega_i) P(\omega_i) \Rightarrow \frac{\lambda_s - \lambda_r}{\lambda_s} > P(\omega_i|x)$$

This result is correspondence with the decision rule of Problem 13, thus in Case 2 discriminant function can get the minimum risk, that is it is optimal

• b

$$g_1(x) = \frac{1}{2}p(x|\omega_1)$$

$$g_2(x) = \frac{1}{2}p(x|\omega_2)$$

$$g_3(x) = \frac{3}{8}(p(x|\omega_1 + p(x|\omega_2)$$

- c  $R_3$  is changed from  $(-\infty, +\infty)$  to  $\emptyset$
- d

$$g_1(x) = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{\frac{-(x-1)^2}{2}}$$

$$g_2(x) = \frac{4}{3\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-2x^2}$$

$$g_3(x) = \frac{1}{6\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{\frac{-(x-1)^2}{2}} + \frac{2}{3\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-2x^2} \Rightarrow$$

$$R_1: g_1(x) \geq g_2(x) \text{ and } g_1(x) \geq g_3(x)$$
  
 $R_2: g_2(x) \geq g_1(x) \text{ and } g_2(x) \geq g_3(x)$   
 $R_3: g_3(x) \geq g_1(x) \text{ and } g_3(x) \geq g_2(x) \Rightarrow$ 

$$R_1 : x < \frac{-1 - 2\sqrt{1 + 3\ln 2}}{3}, x > \frac{-1 + 2\sqrt{1 + 3\ln 2}}{3}$$

$$R_2 : \frac{-1 - 2\sqrt{1 + 3\ln 2}}{3} < x < \frac{-1 + 2\sqrt{1 + 3\ln 2}}{3}$$

### Problem 22

• a

$$\begin{split} H(P(x)) &= -\int (p(x)\ln(p(x))dx = \varepsilon[\ln(\frac{1}{p(x)})]\\ \varepsilon[\ln(\frac{1}{p(x)})] &= \frac{d}{2}\ln(2\pi) + \ln(|\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon[(\overrightarrow{x} - \overrightarrow{\mu})^t \Sigma^{-1}(\overrightarrow{x} - \overrightarrow{\mu})] \end{split}$$

As we know, when  $x_i$  are independent, we have this:

$$(\overrightarrow{x} - \overrightarrow{\mu})^t \Sigma^{-1} (\overrightarrow{x} - \overrightarrow{\mu}) = \sum_{i=1}^d (\frac{x_i - \mu_i}{\delta_i})^2 \sim N(0, 1)$$

therefore,

$$\varepsilon[(\overrightarrow{x}-\overrightarrow{\mu})^t\Sigma^{-1}(\overrightarrow{x}-\overrightarrow{\mu})] = \varepsilon[\sum_{i=1}^d (\frac{x_i-\mu_i}{\delta_i})^2] = \sum_{i=1}^d \varepsilon[(\frac{x_i-\mu_i}{\delta_i})^2 - 0^2] = d$$

so, 
$$H(p(x)) = \frac{d}{2}\ln(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2}\ln(|\Sigma|) + \frac{1}{2}d$$

## Problem 23

• a

$$x_0 = (0.5, 0, 1)^t$$

$$p(x_0|\omega) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}|\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(x_0 - \mu)^t \Sigma^{-1}(x_0 - \mu)\right]$$

$$|\Sigma| = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 5 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 5 & 2 \\ 2 & 5 \end{vmatrix} = 21$$

$$\Sigma^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 5 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{5}{21} & \frac{-2}{21} \\ 0 & \frac{-2}{21} & \frac{5}{21} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(x_0 - \mu)^t \Sigma^{-1}(x_0 - \mu) = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix}^t \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{5}{21} & \frac{-2}{21} \\ 0 & \frac{-2}{21} & \frac{5}{21} \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} -0.5 \\ -\frac{8}{21} \\ -\frac{1}{21} \end{pmatrix}^t \begin{pmatrix} -0.5 \\ -\frac{8}{21} \\ -\frac{1}{21} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= 1.06$$

$$p(x_0|\omega) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}(21)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \times 1.06} = 8.16 \times 10^{-3}$$

• b

$$|\Sigma - \lambda I| = 0$$

Then we calculate the eigenvalues:

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 - \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 - \lambda & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 5 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = (1 - \lambda) \left[ (5 - \lambda)^2 - 4 \right] = 0$$
$$\lambda = 1, \lambda = 3, \lambda = 7$$
$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 7 \end{pmatrix}$$

then, we calculate its eigenvetors:

$$\sum e_1 = \lambda_1 e_1$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ 5x_2 + 2x_3 \\ 2x_2 + 5x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$5x_2 + 2x_3 = x_2$$

let  $x_1 = 1$ , we get:

$$x_2 = 0, x_3 = 0$$

 $2x_2 + 5x_3 = x_3$ 

then we get:

$$e_1 = \left(\begin{array}{c} 1\\0\\0\end{array}\right)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ 5x_2 + 2x_3 \\ 2x_2 + 5x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3x_1 \\ 3x_2 \\ 3x_3 \end{pmatrix}, then \ we \ get: x_1 = 0, x_2 = -x_3, let x_2 = 1, then:$$

$$e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, by \ nomalization, e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ 5x_2 + 2x_3 \\ 2x_2 + 5x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 7x_1 \\ 7x_2 \\ 7x_3 \end{pmatrix}, then \ we \ get: x_1 = 0, x_2 = x_3, let x_2 = 1, then:$$

$$e_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, by \ nomalization, e_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1$$

Hence:

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{split} A_w = & \Phi \Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ = & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{7} \end{pmatrix} \\ = & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{14}} \\ 0 & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{14}} \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

• C

$$\begin{split} x_w = & A_w^t (x_0 - \mu) \\ = & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{14}} \\ 0 & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{14}} \end{pmatrix}^t \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \\ = & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{14}} \\ 0 & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{14}} \end{pmatrix}^t \begin{pmatrix} -0.5 \\ -2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \\ = & \begin{pmatrix} -0.5 \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}} \\ -\frac{3}{\sqrt{14}} \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

• d The square of the Mahalanobis distance from  $x_0$  to  $\mu$  is

$$r^{2} = (x_{0} - \mu)^{t} \Sigma^{-1} (x_{0} - \mu) = 1.06$$

The square of the Mahalanobis distance from  $x_w$  to 0 is

$$r_w^2 = x_w^t x_w = \begin{pmatrix} -0.5 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & -\frac{3}{\sqrt{14}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -0.5 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{3}{\sqrt{14}} \end{pmatrix} = 1.06$$

Thus,  $r = r_w$ .

e

$$p(x_0|N(\mu,\Sigma)) \sim p(x_0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}|\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} exp[-\frac{1}{2}(x_0-\mu)^t \Sigma^{-1}(x_0-\mu)]$$

if  $x' = T^t x_0$ , then

$$\mu' = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}' = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{0k}' = \frac{1}{n} T^{t} \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{0k}' = T^{t} \mu$$

$$\Sigma' = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (x_{k}' - \mu')(x_{k}' - \mu')^{t}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} T^{t} (x_{0k} - \mu)(x_{0k} - \mu)^{t} T$$

$$= T^{t} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{n} (x_{0k} - \mu)(x_{0k} - \mu)^{t} \right] T$$

 $=T^t\Sigma T$ 

Thus, we have  $p(T^tx_0|N(T^t\mu,T^t\Sigma T))$ .

$$\begin{split} p(T^t x_0 | N(T^t \mu, T^t \Sigma T)) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} |\Sigma'|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp{-\frac{1}{2}} (T^t x - T^t \mu)^t (T^t \Sigma T)^{-1} (T^t x - T^t \mu) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} |\Sigma'|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp{-\frac{1}{2}} (x^t T - \mu^t T) (T^{-1} (T^t \Sigma)^{-1} (T^t x - T^t \mu) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} |\Sigma'|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp{-\frac{1}{2}} (x^t - \mu^t) T T^{-1} \Sigma^{-1} (T^t)^{-1} T^t (x - \mu) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} |T^t \Sigma T|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp{-\frac{1}{2}} (x - \mu)^t \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}} |T|} \exp{-\frac{1}{2}} (x - \mu)^t \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu) \end{split}$$

thus for some |T|=1, we have:

$$p(x_0|\mu, \Sigma) = p(T^t x_0 | N(T^t \mu, T^t \Sigma T))$$

• f

Since  $\Sigma \Phi = \Phi \Lambda$ , so  $\Sigma = \Phi \Lambda \Phi^{-1}$ , meanwhile,  $\Phi$  is a symmetric matrix, thus,  $\Phi^{-1} = \Phi^t$ .

$$\begin{split} A_w^t \Sigma A_w = & (\Phi \Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}})^t \Sigma - \frac{1}{2}) \\ = & \Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Phi^t \Phi \Lambda \Phi^t \Phi \Lambda - \frac{1}{2} \\ = & \Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Lambda \Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ = & I \end{split}$$

### Problem 25

• a

From Eq. 59

$$g_i(x) = -\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu_i)^t (\sum)^{-1}(x - \mu_i) + \ln p(\omega_i)$$
  
=\frac{1}{2}X^t(\sum\_i)^{-1}X + \frac{1}{2}X^t(\sum\_i)^{-1}\mu\_i + \frac{1}{2}\mu\_i^t(\sum\_i)^{-1}X - \frac{1}{2}\mu\_i^t(\sum\_i)^{-1}\mu\_i + \ln p(\omega\_i)

The quadratic term is independent of i, and  $\sum^{-1}$  is a symmetrical matrix, it can be rewrite as:

$$g_{i}(x) = \frac{1}{2}X^{t}(\sum)^{-1}\mu_{i} + \frac{1}{2}\mu_{i}^{t}(\sum)^{-1}X - \frac{1}{2}\mu_{i}^{t}(\sum)^{-1}\mu_{i} + \ln p(\omega_{i})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(\mu_{i}^{t}(\sum)^{-1}X)^{t} + \frac{1}{2}\mu_{i}^{t}(\sum)^{-1}X - \frac{1}{2}\mu_{i}^{t}(\sum)^{-1}\mu_{i} + \ln p(\omega_{i})$$

$$= \mu_{i}^{t}(\sum)^{-1}X - \frac{1}{2}\mu_{i}^{t}(\sum)^{-1}\mu_{i} + \ln p(\omega_{i})$$

$$= ((\sum)^{-1}\mu_{i})^{t}X - \frac{1}{2}\mu_{i}^{t}(\sum)^{-1}\mu_{i} + \ln p(\omega_{i})$$

$$= W_{i}^{t}X + W_{i0}$$

• b

The decision surface for a linear machine is defied by:

$$g_i(x) - g_j(x) = 0$$

that is:

$$((\sum)^{-1}\mu_{i})^{t}X - \frac{1}{2}\mu_{i}^{t}(\sum)^{-1}\mu_{i} + \ln p(\omega_{i}) - ((\sum)^{-1}\mu_{j})^{t}X + \frac{1}{2}\mu_{j}^{t}(\sum)^{-1}\mu_{j} - \ln p(\omega_{j})$$

$$= [((\sum)^{-1}\mu_{i})^{t} - ((\sum)^{-1}\mu_{j})^{t}]X - \frac{1}{2}(\mu_{i}^{t}(\sum)^{-1}\mu_{i} - \mu_{j}^{t}(\sum)^{-1}\mu_{j}) + \ln \frac{p(\omega_{i})}{p(\omega_{j})}$$

$$= [(\sum)^{-1}(\mu_{i} - \mu_{j})]^{t}X - \frac{1}{2}(\mu_{i} - \mu_{j})^{t}(\sum)^{-1}(\mu_{i} + \mu_{j}) + \frac{p(\omega_{i})}{p(\omega_{j})}$$

$$= [(\sum)^{-1}(\mu_{i} - \mu_{j})]^{t}(X - \frac{1}{2}(\mu_{i} + \mu_{j}) + \frac{\ln \frac{p(\omega_{i})}{p(\omega_{j})}(\mu_{i} - \mu_{j})}{[(\sum)^{-1}(\mu_{i} - \mu_{j})]^{t}(\mu_{i} - \mu_{j})}$$

$$= [(\sum)^{-1}(\mu_{i} - \mu_{j})]^{t}(X - \frac{1}{2}(\mu_{i} + \mu_{j}) + \frac{\ln \frac{p(\omega_{i})}{p(\omega_{j})}(\mu_{i} - \mu_{j})}{(\mu_{i} - \mu_{j})^{t}(\sum)^{-1}(\mu_{i} - \mu_{j})}$$

$$= W^{t}(X - X_{0})$$

#### Problem 43

• a  $P_{ij}$  represents the probability of the *i*th component of x in the state of nature  $\omega_j$ 

• b)

Proof

According to section 2.4.1, the minimum-error-rate classification can be achieved by use of the discriminant functions:

$$g_i(x) = \ln p(x|\omega_i) + \ln P(\omega_i)$$

X is binary-valued, we obtain:

$$g_i(x) = \ln p(x|\omega_i) + \ln P(\omega_i)$$

$$= \ln \prod_{i=1}^d P_{ij}^{X_i} (1 - P_{ij})^{1 - X_i} + \ln P(\omega_i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^d X_i \ln \frac{P_{ij}}{1 - P_{ij}} + \sum_{i=1}^d X_i \ln (1 - P_{ij}) + \ln P(\omega_i)$$