

Generic Metadata Resolution

Victor Miraldo & Infra

January 6, 2023









SaaS company in Utrecht, with \sim 250 employees.





SaaS company in Utrecht, with \sim 250 employees.

We develop a tool that empowers advertisers and online businesses to manage, scale, and optimize their marketing.





SaaS company in Utrecht, with \sim 250 employees.

We develop a tool that empowers advertisers and online businesses to manage, scale, and optimize their marketing.

This tool is composed by a number of services in the backend, most of which are written in Haskell.





- We have 8 (out of 12) services written in Haskell,





- We have 8 (out of 12) services written in Haskell,
- using 770 source files (not counting tests),





- We have 8 (out of 12) services written in Haskell,
- using 770 source files (not counting tests),
- which span around 150k lines,





- We have 8 (out of 12) services written in Haskell,
- using 770 source files (not counting tests),
- which span around 150k lines,

- ...





- We have 8 (out of 12) services written in Haskell,
- using 770 source files (not counting tests),
- which span around 150k lines,
- ...
- and zero uses of Generic1!









Start using **Generic1** in Channable!







Start using Generic1 in Channable!

- A quick look at our notification service (aka *Megaphone*)





Start using Generic1 in Channable!

- A quick look at our notification service (aka *Megaphone*)
- Metadata resolution



,

Start using Generic1 in Channable!

- A quick look at our notification service (aka *Megaphone*)
- Metadata resolution
- Generic programming in 3 minutes



Start using Generic1 in Channable!

- A quick look at our notification service (aka *Megaphone*)
- Metadata resolution
- Generic programming in 3 minutes
- Generic metadata resolution





Megaphone







Megaphone





Megaphone

- Receives events and computes notifications from them:
 - Notify the user?
 - Notify sales?
 - **-** ???





- Receives events and computes notifications from them:
 - Notify the user?
 - Notify sales?
 - _ ???
- Dispatch notifications through their appropriate channel
 - Currently only e-mail





- Receives events and computes notifications from them:
 - Notify the user?
 - Notify sales?
 - _ ???
- Dispatch notifications through their appropriate channel
 - Currently only e-mail
- Started as two DB tables and a python script many years ago



- Receives events and computes notifications from them:
 - Notify the user?
 - Notify sales?
 - _ ???
- Dispatch notifications through their appropriate channel
 - Currently only e-mail
- Started as two DB tables and a python script many years ago
- Rewritten in Haskell circa 2020



```
forever $ do
  nextEvent <- readChan eventChan
  emails <- computeEmails nextEvent
  mapM_ (liftIO . sendMail) emails</pre>
```





```
forever $ do
  nextEvent <- readChan eventChan
  emails <- computeEmails nextEvent
  mapM_ (liftIO . sendMail) emails</pre>
```

In reality, more complex:





```
forever $ do
  nextEvent <- readChan eventChan
  emails <- computeEmails nextEvent
  mapM_ (liftIO . sendMail) emails</pre>
```

In reality, more complex:

- Generated emails sent exactly once





```
forever $ do
  nextEvent <- readChan eventChan
  emails <- computeEmails nextEvent
  mapM_ (liftIO . sendMail) emails</pre>
```

In reality, more complex:

- Generated emails sent exactly once
- No event is ever lost





```
forever $ do
  nextEvent <- readChan eventChan
  emails <- computeEmails nextEvent
  mapM_ (liftIO . sendMail) emails</pre>
```

In reality, more complex:

- Generated emails sent exactly once
- No event is ever lost.
- Even across restarts and crashes





Megaphone Events

Handles 27 different event types. For example:





Megaphone Events

Handles 27 different event types. For example:

```
"id": "f8cedbb1-52f6-4d32-8aaf-f587ead057b0".
    "origin": "somewhere.com: 4242".
    "payload": {
       "type": "cancellation".
       "value": {
           "company_id": 456.
           "reason": "missing functionality".
           "additional": "I wish Channable would be rewritten in C. it has too few segfaults"
data Pavload =
    CustomerCancellationEvent CompanyId CancellationReason AdditionalInfo
```



Megaphone Events

Handles 27 different event types. For example:

```
"id": "f8cedbb1-52f6-4d32-8aaf-f587ead057b0".
   "origin": "somewhere.com:4242",
   "payload": {
       "type": "cancellation".
       "value": {
          "company_id": 456.
          "reason": "missing functionality".
          "additional": "I wish Channable would be rewritten in C. it has too few segfaults"
                                           Resolved inside computeEmails
data Pavload =
    CustomerCancellationEvent CompanyId CancellationReason AdditionalInfo
```



Megaphone: The Task

We had:

```
computeEmails :: Event -> Db.Session [Message]
computeEmails (Event id orig payload) = case payload of
   CustomerCancellationEvent cId reason info -> do
     cInfo <- getCompanyInfo cId
   ...</pre>
```







Megaphone: The Task

We had:

```
computeEmails :: Event -> Db.Session [Message]
computeEmails (Event id orig payload) = case payload of
   CustomerCancellationEvent cId reason info -> do
     cInfo <- getCompanyInfo cId
   ...
...</pre>
```

We want:

```
computeEmails = fmap (concatMap pureComputeMessage) . resolvePayload
```





Megaphone: The Task

We had:

```
computeEmails :: Event -> Db.Session [Message]
computeEmails (Event id orig payload) = case payload of
   CustomerCancellationEvent cId reason info -> do
     cInfo <- getCompanyInfo cId
   ...
...</pre>
```

We want:

computeEmails = fmap (concatMap pureComputeMessage) . resolvePayload

Can't change **Event**: full backwards compatibility.





Megaphone: Metadata Resolution

Would like two types:

```
data UnresolvedPayload =
    ...
    | CustomerCancellationEvent CompanyId CancellationReason AdditionalInfo
```





Megaphone: Metadata Resolution

Would like two types:

data UnresolvedPayload =

```
CustomerCancellationEvent CompanyId CancellationReason AdditionalInfo

data ResolvedPayload =
...
| CustomerCancellationEvent (CompanyId, CompanyInfo) CancellationReason AdditionalInfo
```





Megaphone: Metadata Resolution

Would like two types:

Don't want to duplicate 27 constructors (... and growing)



7/

One teaspoon of -XDataKinds and a pinch of -XGADTs:

data MetaStatus = Resolved | Unresolved





One teaspoon of -XDataKinds and a pinch of -XGADTs:

```
data MetaStatus = Resolved | Unresolved

data Meta unr f :: MetaStatus -> Type where
   UnresolvedMeta :: unr -> Meta unr f 'Unresolved
```





One teaspoon of -XDataKinds and a pinch of -XGADTs:

```
data MetaStatus = Resolved | Unresolved

data Meta unr f :: MetaStatus -> Type where
   UnresolvedMeta :: unr -> Meta unr f 'Unresolved
   ResolvedMeta :: unr -> ResolverResult unr f -> Meta unr f 'Resolved
```





One teaspoon of -XDataKinds and a pinch of -XGADTs:

```
data MetaStatus = Resolved | Unresolved

data Meta unr f :: MetaStatus -> Type where
   UnresolvedMeta :: unr -> Meta unr f 'Unresolved
   ResolvedMeta :: unr -> ResolverResult unr f -> Meta unr f 'Resolved

class (Monad m) => Resolver m unr f where
   type ResolverResult unr f :: Type
   resolve :: unr -> m (ResolverResult unr f)
```





Now, we get to:





Now, we get to:

instance Resolver Db.Session CompanyId GetCompanyInfo where
 type ResolverResult CompanyId GetCompanyInfo = CompanyInfo
 resolve = doSomeQueriesHere





- /





- 1+1 gratis: PayloadWithMeta!





- 1 + 1 gratis: PayloadWithMeta!
- Fully backwards compatible. Old Payload is isomorphic to PayloadWithMeta 'Unresolved.





- 1 + 1 gratis: PayloadWithMeta!
- Fully backwards compatible. Old Payload is isomorphic to PayloadWithMeta 'Unresolved.
- Prevent duplication: only 10 resolvers needed.





- 1 + 1 gratis: PayloadWithMeta!
- Fully backwards compatible. Old Payload is isomorphic to PayloadWithMeta 'Unresolved.
- Prevent duplication: only 10 resolvers needed.





- 1 + 1 gratis: PayloadWithMeta!
- Fully backwards compatible. Old Payload is isomorphic to PayloadWithMeta 'Unresolved.
- Prevent duplication: only 10 resolvers needed.

Still need:

resolvePayload :: PayloadWithMeta 'Unresolved -> Db.Session (PayloadWithMeta 'Resolved)











The core idea behind generic programming is that we can represent some datatypes uniformly,





The core idea behind generic programming is that we can represent some datatypes uniformly, enabling writing programs over that representation.





The core idea behind generic programming is that we can represent some datatypes uniformly, enabling writing programs over that representation.

For example, the type:

```
data Tree a = Leaf a | Node Int (Tree a) (Tree a)
```





The core idea behind generic programming is that we can represent some datatypes uniformly, enabling writing programs over that representation.

For example, the type:

```
data Tree a = Leaf a | Node Int (Tree a) (Tree a)
```

is isomorphic to the type:

```
type UTree a = Either a (Int, Tree a, Tree a)
```





Almost...

```
UTree Int == Either Int (Int, Tree Int, Tree Int)
```

Lost information about which Int corresponds to the parameter a.





Almost...

```
UTree Int == Either Int (Int, Tree Int, Tree Int)
```

Lost information about which Int corresponds to the parameter a.

```
Solution? Move to kind * -> *:

type UTree = Id :+: (Const Int :*: (Tree :*: Tree))

data (f :*: g) x = f x :*: g x

data (f :+: g) x = L1 (f x) | R1 (g x)

data Const a x = Const a

data Id x = Id x
```





To and from uniform representations:

```
to :: Tree a -> UTree a
to (Leaf i) = L1 (Id i)
to (Node n t u) = R1 (Const n :*: (t :*: u))
from :: UTree a -> Tree a
from = ...
```





E

The sumNodes Function

```
sumNodes :: Tree a -> Int
sumNodes (Leaf _) = 0
sumNotes (Node n t u) = n + sumNodes t + sumNodes u
```





Why not define:

```
class GSum (t :: * -> *) where
  gsum :: t x -> Int
```





Why not define:

```
class GSum (t :: * \rightarrow *) where
  gsum :: t x \rightarrow Int
instance (GSum t, GSum u) => GSum (t :*: u) where
  gsum (x :*: y) = gsum x + gsum y
instance (GSum t, GSum u) => GSum (t :+: u) where
  gsum (L1 x) = gsum x
  gsum (R1 v) = gsum v
instance GSum (Const Int) where
  gsum (Const n) = n
instance GSum f where
  gsum = 0
```





We could now define:

```
sumNodes :: Tree a -> Int
sumNodes = gsum . to
```







We could now define:

```
sumNodes :: Tree a -> Int
sumNodes = gsum . to
```

We could do even better:

```
sumNodes :: (Generic a) => a -> Int
sumNodes = gsum . to

class Generic a where
  type Rep a :: * -> *
  to :: a -> Rep a
  from :: Rep a -> a
```





We could now define:

```
sumNodes :: Tree a -> Int
sumNodes = gsum . to
```

We could do even better:





The main take-away is:

- write functions by induction on structure of datatypes.





The main take-away is:

- write functions by induction on structure of datatypes.

Multiple libraries: GHC. Generics, generics-sop, generics-simplistic, ...





The main take-away is:

- write functions by induction on structure of datatypes.

Multiple libraries: GHC. Generics, generics-sop, generics-simplistic, ...

Interested? Some references at the end!





Back to Megaphone











Used GHC. Generics to write:

```
genericResolve :: (Generic1 f , ...) => f 'Unresolved -> m (f 'Resolved)
```





Used GHC. Generics to write:

```
genericResolve :: (Generic1 f , ...) => f 'Unresolved -> m (f 'Resolved)
```

Before, only had: Event \rightarrow Db.Session [Message].





Used GHC. Generics to write:

```
genericResolve :: (Generic1 f , ...) \Rightarrow f 'Unresolved \Rightarrow m (f 'Resolved)
```

Before, only had: Event \rightarrow Db. Session [Message].

Now, that arrow is factored into:

Event 'Unresolved \xrightarrow{impure} Event 'Resolved \downarrow_{pure} [Message]







 Decomposing software is orders of magnitude harder than composing software.





- Decomposing software is orders of magnitude harder than composing software.
- A strong type system helped a lot!





- Decomposing software is orders of magnitude harder than composing software.
- A strong type system helped a lot!
- Generic programming was paramount:



- Decomposing software is orders of magnitude harder than composing software.
- A strong type system helped a lot!
- Generic programming was paramount:
 - Some events had nested occurences of metadata.





- Decomposing software is orders of magnitude harder than composing software.
- A strong type system helped a lot!
- Generic programming was paramount:
 - Some events had nested occurences of metadata.
 - Very easy to add new events.





.

- Decomposing software is orders of magnitude harder than composing software.
- A strong type system helped a lot!
- Generic programming was paramount:
 - Some events had nested occurences of metadata.
 - Very easy to add new events.
 - Moderately easy to add new resolvers.





- Decomposing software is orders of magnitude harder than composing software.
- A strong type system helped a lot!
- Generic programming was paramount:
 - Some events had nested occurences of metadata.
 - Very easy to add new events.
 - Moderately easy to add new resolvers.
- Decomposing the pure parts will be much easier.



Bibliography

Haskell Wiki: GHC.Generics, 2022. https://wiki.haskell.org/GHC.Generics.

Edsko de Vries and Andres Löh.
True sums of products.

WGP, 2014.

http://edsko.net/pubs/TrueSumsOfProducts.pdf.

Alejandro Serrano and Victor Cacciari Miraldo. Generic programming of all kinds.

Haskell Symposyum, 2018.

https://victorcmiraldo.github.io/data/hask2018_draft.pdf.





Generic Metadata Resolution

Victor Miraldo & Infra

January 6, 2023

