Geog 4/6300: Lab 3

Confidence Intervals and Sampling

Due: Monday, Oct. 16

Value: 30 points

Overview: This lab covers two main topics: basic spatial statistics and probability distributions. We will be using individual level "microdata" from the Current Population Survey (CPS). It is designed as an ongoing (collected monthly) set of data on financial and demographic characteristics. One main use of the CPS is to calculate national levels of food insecurity. Each December, a food security supplement is added to the regular survey, and data from the supplement is included here.

To load these data, load the csv file:

```
library(tidyverse)
cps_data<-read_csv("https://github.com/jshannon75/geog4300/raw/master/Labs/Lab%203-Confidence%20interva</pre>
```

This contains a csv file with microdata from the CPS that is de-identified and publically available through the Minnesota Population Center (https://cps.ipums.org/cps/index.shtml). There is also a codebook available on Github describing each of those variables.

Part 1: Calculating national food insecurity

The n() function can be used to count records in a group. See this example for immigration:

```
cps_data %>%
  group_by(YRIMMIG) %>%
  summarise(count=n())
```

```
## # A tibble: 23 x 2
##
      YRIMMIG count
##
         <int>
                <int>
##
    1
             0 466161
    2
          1949
##
                  743
##
    3
          1959
                  2071
##
    4
          1964
                  1815
          1969
##
    5
                 2147
##
    6
          1974
                  2981
##
    7
          1979
                  3682
##
    8
          1981
                  2634
##
    9
          1983
                  1660
## 10
          1985
                  2264
         with 13 more rows
```

For this response, look at the FSSTATUS variable, which describes the food security of respondents. While food security status is often grouped into "low" and "very low" food security, these two are often just combined to a single measure: food insecure.

Question 1 (4 points) Using the information on this variable in the codebook (p. 12), create a subset of records without the NIU or missing response records. Then use group_by and summarise to calculate the number of individuals grouped in each status as shown above. Use the resulting data frame to calculate an estimate of the national food insecurity rate.

Question 2 (3 points) Using the formula for confidence intervals for proportions shown in class, calculate a confidence interval for the rate you identified in question 3. Interpret what that confidence interval tells you.

Part 2: Analyzing state food insecurity data

We can also use the "STATE" variable to calculate rates for each state. To do this, we'll need to use the *spread* function from tidyverse. Spread converts "long" data to "wide." Here's an example using the immigration data. First, let's filtering all records with a 0 and use ifelse to create a dummy variable for all individuals immigrating in the year 2000 or after.

```
cps_data_2000<-cps_data %>%
  filter(YRIMMIG!=0) %>%
  mutate(yr_2000=ifelse(YRIMMIG>=2000,1,0))
cps_data_2000
```

```
## # A tibble: 64,872 x 28
               CPSID STATEFIP STATECENSUS
##
       YEAR
                                                  STATE METAREA FSSTATUS
##
      <int>
               <dbl>
                         <int>
                                                  <chr>
                                                           <int>
                                                                     <int>
                                      <int>
                                                            3440
##
    1
       2010 2.01e+13
                             1
                                         63
                                                Alabama
                                                                         1
##
    2
       2010 2.01e+13
                             1
                                         63
                                                Alabama
                                                            3440
                                                                         1
##
    3 2010 2.01e+13
                             1
                                         63
                                                Alabama
                                                            3440
                                                                         3
       2010 2.01e+13
                                         63
                                                                         3
##
    4
                             1
                                                Alabama
                                                            3440
##
    5
       2010 2.01e+13
                             1
                                         63
                                                Alabama
                                                            3440
                                                                         3
                                                                         2
##
    6
       2010 2.01e+13
                             9
                                                            1161
                                         16 Connecticut
##
       2010 2.01e+13
                             9
                                         16 Connecticut
                                                            1161
                                                                         2
##
       2010 2.01e+13
                             9
                                         16 Connecticut
                                                            1161
                                                                         2
##
    9
       2010 2.01e+13
                             9
                                         16 Connecticut
                                                            1161
                                                                         2
                                         16 Connecticut
                                                                         2
## 10 2010 2.01e+13
                             9
                                                            1161
    ... with 64,862 more rows, and 21 more variables: FSSTATUSA <int>,
       FSSTATUSC <int>, FSFDSTMP <int>, FSWIC <int>, FSFDBNK <int>,
## #
## #
       FSSOUPK <int>, FSPOOR <int>, AGE <int>, SEX <int>, RACE <int>,
       MARST <int>, BPL <int>, YRIMMIG <int>, CITIZEN <int>, HISPAN <int>,
## #
## #
       EDUC <int>, EMPSTAT <int>, IND <int>, EARNWEEK <dbl>, DIFFANY <int>,
## #
       yr_2000 <dbl>
```

Then we can use group_by, summarise, and spread to count the number of immigrants before and after 2000.

```
cps_data_2000_wide<-cps_data_2000 %>%
  group_by(STATE,yr_2000) %>%
  summarise(count=n()) %>%
  spread(yr_2000,count)

cps_data_2000_wide
```

```
## # A tibble: 51 x 3
                STATE [51]
##
   # Groups:
##
                       STATE
                                .0.
                                       11
##
                       <chr> <int> <int>
    1
##
                     Alabama
                                 95
                                       108
    2
                                       302
##
                      Alaska
                                375
                                       298
##
    3
                     Arizona
                                672
##
    4
                    Arkansas
                                180
                                       128
##
    5
                 California
                               8917
                                      3755
##
    6
                    Colorado
                                745
                                       487
```

```
Connecticut
                                      625
##
                              1210
                                441
##
    8
                   Delaware
                                      358
##
    9 District of Columbia
                                637
                                      405
## 10
                    Florida
                              2856
                                     1750
## # ... with 41 more rows
```

To convert this to a rate, we can use mutate with the above function. Here's the percentage of the immigrant population arriving since 2000. Note that in this case, since your variables will be numbers, R requires tags around them: 1, not just 1.

```
## # A tibble: 51 x 5
   # Groups:
                STATE [51]
                                .0.
                                      `1` total
##
                       STATE
                                                   rt2000
                                                    <dbl>
##
                       <chr>
                             <int> <int> <int>
##
    1
                     Alabama
                                 95
                                      108
                                             203 53.20197
    2
                                375
                                      302
                                             677 44.60857
##
                     Alaska
##
    3
                     Arizona
                               672
                                      298
                                             970 30.72165
##
    4
                   Arkansas
                                180
                                      128
                                             308 41.55844
##
    5
                 California
                              8917
                                     3755 12672 29.63226
##
    6
                   Colorado
                               745
                                      487
                                            1232 39.52922
##
    7
                Connecticut
                              1210
                                      625
                                            1835 34.05995
##
    8
                   Delaware
                               441
                                      358
                                             799 44.80601
    9 District of Columbia
                                637
##
                                      405
                                            1042 38.86756
## 10
                     Florida
                              2856
                                     1750
                                            4606 37.99392
## # ... with 41 more rows
```

Question 3 (5 points) Adapting the above function, create an estimated food insecurity rate for each state from these data. To do so, you'll need to create counts for each response (food secure, low food security, very low food insecurity), sum the latter two, and divide by the total responses within each state.

Question 4 (3 points) Create a command that estimates the margin of error for each state based on the national rate you calculated in question 1 and the total responses for each state.

Question 5 (3 points) Compare the margin of error you calculated for Georgia to the national margin of error. How do they differ? Mathematically, why are they different?

Question 6 (5 points) Create a column in your state food insecurity estimates that converts each state's food insecurity rate to a z score based on the whole population. What are the z scores for Wisconsin, Washington, and Mississippi? What do those z scores tell you?

Part 3: Sampling

A new study is being developed to determine whether new food shelves in the Atlanta metropolitan area are reducing rates of food insecurity. The research question is whether living within a mile of a food pantry lowers food insecurity for households.

Question 7 (4 points) Pick a probabilistic sampling strategy (or combination of strategies) discussed in our text or in lecture that would be appropriate for this research question: random, systemic, stratified, and

cluster. Describe how this strategy could be used to create a sample for use in this proposed study. Describe one strength and weakness of this approach.

Question 8 (3 points) Health officials would like to do a related survey of household food insecurity with enough responses to allow for margins of error under 2% (with 95% confidence). Assume that the rate is similar to the one you identified for Georgia in question 5. Use R to compute how big a sample they would need.