

BigCommerce Plugin for ChessWorld SKU Management

Code Review

Version 1.0

Written by:

Rui En Koe

Alex Chan

Ashley Warden

Liangdi Wang

Luqmaan Yurzaa

Wen Cheng Huong

Document History and Version Control

Date (dd/mm/yy)	Version	Author	Description
29/09/2025	1.0	Liangdi Wang	Initial Draft.

Contents

1. Executive Summary	4
1.1 Purpose of this Document	4
1.2 Review Methodology	4
1.3 Overall Assessment	4
2. Architecture Analysis	6
2.1 Technology Stack Assessment	6
2.2 Project Structure Evaluation	6
2.3 Database Design Review	7
3. Code Quality Assessment	8
3.1 TypeScript Configuration	8
3.2 Code Organization and Modularity	8
3.3 Error Handling and Validation	9
3.4 Performance Considerations	9
4. Security Review	11
4.1 Authentication and Authorization	11
4.2 Data Validation and Sanitization	11
4.3 Environment Configuration	12
5. Maintainability	13
5.1 Component Reusability	13
5.2 Testing Coverage	14
6. Specific Improvement Recommendations	15
6.1 High Priority Issues	15
Issue 1: Database Schema Inconsistency	15
Issue 2: Missing Input Validation	15
Issue 3: Performance Issues with Sequential API Calls	15
6.2 Medium Priority Improvements	16
Issue 1: TypeScript Configuration	16
Issue 2: Error Handling Standardization	16
Issue 3: Component Modularity	17
6.3 Low Priority Enhancements	17
Issue 1: Caching Implementation	17
Issue 2: Monitoring and Logging	17
Issue 3: API Documentation	17

1. Executive Summary

1.1 Purpose of this Document

This document provides a comprehensive code review of the BigCommerce Plugin for ChessWorld SKU Management. The review focuses on:

- **Code Quality:** Assessing adherence to best practices, maintainability, and readability
- **Architecture:** Evaluating the overall system design and component organization
- **Security:** Identifying potential vulnerabilities and security improvements
- **Performance:** Analyzing efficiency and scalability considerations
- **Maintainability:** Reviewing documentation, testing, and long-term sustainability

This review is intended to help the development team improve code quality, reduce technical debt, and establish better practices for future development.

1.2 Review Methodology

The code review was conducted using the following approach:

1. **Static Analysis:** Examination of code structure, patterns, and organization
2. **Architecture Review:** Assessment of system design and component relationships
3. **Best Practices Evaluation:** Comparison against industry standards and TypeScript/React conventions
4. **Security Assessment:** Identification of potential security vulnerabilities
5. **Performance Analysis:** Review of efficiency and scalability considerations

1.3 Overall Assessment

Strengths:

- Well-structured Next.js application with clear separation of concerns
- Effective use of BigCommerce APIs and webhook integration
- Good component-based architecture for React frontend
- Comprehensive database abstraction layer
- Proper environment configuration management

Areas for Improvement:

- TypeScript configuration could be more strict
- Inconsistent error handling patterns
- Limited code documentation and inline comments
- Missing input validation in several API endpoints



- Potential performance issues with sequential API calls
- Database schema inconsistencies between documentation and implementation

Overall Rating: B+ (Good with room for improvement)

2. Architecture Analysis

2.1 Technology Stack Assessment

The project uses a modern and appropriate technology stack:

Frontend:

- **Next.js 12.3.3:** Appropriate choice for BigCommerce apps, though could be updated to a more recent version
- **React 18.2.0:** Current and well-supported version
- **BigCommerce Big Design:** Excellent choice for UI consistency with BigCommerce admin
- **TypeScript:** Good choice for type safety, but configuration needs improvement

Backend:

- **Next.js API Routes:** Appropriate for this scale of application
- **PostgreSQL with Neon:** Good choice for production deployment
- **JWT Authentication:** Standard and secure approach

Recommendations:

- Consider upgrading Next.js to version 13+ for improved performance and features
- Implement stricter TypeScript configuration
- Add API rate limiting and caching mechanisms

2.2 Project Structure Evaluation

The project follows a logical and maintainable structure:

— components/	# React components (well-organized)
— lib/	# Utility functions and business logic
— pages/	# Next.js pages and API routes
— types/	# TypeScript type definitions
— test/	# Test files and mocks
— docs/	# Documentation

Strengths:

- Clear separation between frontend components and backend logic
- Dedicated types directory for TypeScript definitions
- Logical grouping of API endpoints
- Comprehensive documentation directory

Areas for Improvement:

- Some components could be further modularized
- Missing shared utilities directory for common functions
- API routes could benefit from middleware organization

2.3 Database Design Review

Current Implementation: The database layer shows some inconsistencies between documentation and actual implementation:

Documented Schema (from Technical Guide):

- `users`, `stores`, `store_users` tables for authentication
- Bundle data stored in BigCommerce metafields

Actual Implementation (from `postgres.ts`):

- Authentication tables as documented
- Additional `bundles` and `bundle_links` tables that appear unused
- Inconsistency between metafield-based and database-based storage

Recommendations:

1. **Clarify Data Storage Strategy:** Decide whether to use BigCommerce metafields or local database for bundle data
2. **Remove Unused Code:** Clean up unused database methods and tables
3. **Update Documentation:** Ensure database schema documentation matches implementation
4. **Add Database Migrations:** Implement proper migration system for schema changes

3. Code Quality Assessment

3.1 TypeScript Configuration

Current Configuration Issues:

```
{
  "strict": false,    // Should be true for better type safety
  "target": "es5"     // Could be updated to es2020 or newer
}
```

Recommendations:

- Enable strict mode: `"strict": true`
- Update target to `"es2020"` or newer
- Add `"noUncheckedIndexedAccess": true` for safer array/object access
- Enable `"exactOptionalPropertyTypes": true`

3.2 Code Organization and Modularity

Strengths:

- Good separation of concerns between authentication, database, and business logic
- Reusable components with clear props interfaces
- Consistent naming conventions

Areas for Improvement:

1. Component Duplication: The `CreateBundleForm` component is quite large (150+ lines) and could be broken down:

```
// Current: Single large component
const CreateBundleForm = ({ availableSKUs, onSubmit, onCancel,
initialData }) => {
  // 150+ lines of logic
};

// Recommended: Split into smaller components
const BundleFormHeader = ({ name, onNameChange }) => { /* ... */ };
const SKUSelector = ({ availableSKUs, onAdd }) => { /* ... */ };
const BundleItemsTable = ({ items, onQuantityChange, onRemove }) => {
  /* ... */
};
```

2. Utility Functions: Several utility functions are scattered across files and could be centralized:



```
// Create: lib/utils/validation.ts
export const validateBundleData = (bundle: BundleData) => { /* ... */
};
export const formatPrice = (price: number) => { /* ... */ };
export const calculateBundlePrice = (items: BundleItem[]) => { /* ...
*/ };
```

3.3 Error Handling and Validation

Current Issues:

1. Inconsistent Error Handling:

```
// Some endpoints use try-catch with generic errors
catch (error: any) {
  console.error('Error fetching bundles:', error);
  res.status(500).json({ message: 'Internal server error' });
}

// Others have minimal error handling
const { accessToken, storeHash } = await getSession(req); // Could
throw
```

2. Missing Input Validation:

```
// API endpoints lack input validation
export default async function handler(req: NextApiRequest, res:
NextApiResponse) {
  // No validation of request body or parameters
  const { productId } = req.query; // Could be undefined or invalid
}
```

Recommendations:

- Implement consistent error handling middleware
- Add input validation using libraries like Zod or Joi
- Create standardized error response formats
- Add proper logging instead of console.error

3.4 Performance Considerations

Current Performance Issues:

1. Sequential API Calls:

```
// In bundles/index.ts - inefficient sequential calls
for (const product of products) {
```



```
const { data: metafields } = await  
bc.get(`/catalog/products/${product.id}/metafields`);  
// Process each product individually  
}
```

2. Missing Caching:

- No caching mechanism for frequently accessed data
- Repeated API calls for the same information

Recommendations:

- Implement parallel API calls using `Promise.all()`
- Add Redis or in-memory caching for product data
- Implement request deduplication
- Add pagination for large datasets



4. Security Review

4.1 Authentication and Authorization

Strengths:

- Proper JWT implementation with expiration
- Secure session management
- Environment variable protection for secrets

Areas for Improvement:

1. Development Bypass Security:

```
// In auth.ts - potential security risk
if (
  (process.env.DEV_BC_BYPASS === '1' || process.env.NODE_ENV ===
'development') &&
  process.env.ACCESS_TOKEN &&
  process.env.STORE_HASH
) {
  return {
    accessToken: process.env.ACCESS_TOKEN,
    storeHash: process.env.STORE_HASH,
    user: { id: 1, email: 'dev@localhost', username: 'dev' },
  };
}
```

Recommendations:

- Add additional checks to ensure development bypass is never active in production
- Implement role-based access control
- Add request rate limiting
- Implement CSRF protection

4.2 Data Validation and Sanitization

Current Issues:

- Missing input validation on API endpoints
- No sanitization of user inputs
- Potential for injection attacks through unvalidated parameters

Recommendations:

```
// Add input validation schema
import { z } from 'zod';
```



```
const BundleCreateSchema = z.object({
  name: z.string().min(1).max(100),
  items: z.array(z.object({
    sku: z.string().min(1),
    quantity: z.number().min(1).max(1000)
  })),
  price: z.number().positive().optional()
});

// Use in API endpoints
const validatedData = BundleCreateSchema.parse(req.body);
```

4.3 Environment Configuration

Current Implementation:

- Good use of environment variables for sensitive data
- Proper separation of development and production configs

Recommendations:

- Add environment variable validation on startup
- Implement configuration schema validation
- Add secrets rotation mechanism

5. Maintainability

5.1 Component Reusability

Current Issues:

- Some components are tightly coupled to specific use cases
- Limited reusability across different contexts
- Missing shared component library

Recommendations:

1. Create Shared Components:

```
// components/shared/DataTable.tsx
interface DataTableProps<T> {
  data: T[];
  columns: ColumnDefinition<T>[];
  onRowAction?: (action: string, item: T) => void;
}

// components/shared/FormField.tsx
interface FormFieldProps {
  label: string;
  error?: string;
  required?: boolean;
  children: React.ReactNode;
}
```

2. Extract Business Logic:

```
// hooks/useBundleManagement.ts
export const useBundleManagement = () => {
  const [bundles, setBundles] = useState<Bundle[]>([]);
  const [loading, setLoading] = useState(false);

  const createBundle = useCallback(async (bundleData: BundleData) => {
    // Business logic here
  }, []);

  return { bundles, loading, createBundle };
};
```

5.2 Testing Coverage

Current State:

- Basic Jest configuration present
- Limited test coverage
- Some snapshot tests for components

Recommendations:

- Increase unit test coverage to at least 80%
- Add integration tests for API endpoints
- Implement end-to-end tests for critical user flows
- Add performance testing for bundle calculations



6. Specific Improvement Recommendations

6.1 High Priority Issues

Issue 1: Database Schema Inconsistency

Problem: Mismatch between documented architecture and actual implementation

Impact: Confusion for new developers, potential data integrity issues

Solution:

```
-- Remove unused tables if using metafields approach
DROP TABLE IF EXISTS bundle_links;
DROP TABLE IF EXISTS bundles;

-- OR implement proper database-based storage
-- Update documentation to match chosen approach
```

Issue 2: Missing Input Validation

Problem: API endpoints lack proper input validation

Impact: Security vulnerabilities, data corruption risks

Solution:

```
// Add validation middleware
import { z } from 'zod';

const validateRequest = (schema: z.ZodSchema) => {
  return (req: NextApiRequest, res: NextApiResponse, next:
NextFunction) => {
    try {
      schema.parse(req.body);
      next();
    } catch (error) {
      res.status(400).json({ error: 'Invalid request data' });
    }
  };
};
```

Issue 3: Performance Issues with Sequential API Calls

Problem: Inefficient sequential API calls in bundle fetching

Impact: Slow response times, poor user experience

Solution:

```
// Replace sequential calls with parallel processing
const metafieldPromises = products.map(product =>
  bc.get(`/catalog/products/${product.id}/metafields`)
```



```
);  
const metafieldResults = await Promise.all(metafieldPromises);
```

6.2 Medium Priority Improvements

Issue 1: TypeScript Configuration

Problem: Loose TypeScript configuration reduces type safety

Solution:

```
{  
  "compilerOptions": {  
    "strict": true,  
    "noUncheckedIndexedAccess": true,  
    "exactOptionalPropertyTypes": true,  
    "target": "es2020"  
  }  
}
```

Issue 2: Error Handling Standardization

Problem: Inconsistent error handling across the application

Solution:

```
// lib/errors.ts  
export class AppError extends Error {  
  constructor(  
    public message: string,  
    public statusCode: number = 500,  
    public code?: string  
  ) {  
    super(message);  
  }  
}  
  
// middleware/errorHandler.ts  
export const errorHandler = (error: Error, req: NextApiRequest, res:  
NextApiResponse) => {  
  if (error instanceof AppError) {  
    return res.status(error.statusCode).json({  
      error: error.message,  
      code: error.code  
    });  
  }  
  
  console.error('Unexpected error:', error);
```




```
res.status(500).json({ error: 'Internal server error' });  
};
```

Issue 3: Component Modularity

Problem: Large components that are difficult to maintain

Solution: Break down large components into smaller, focused components

6.3 Low Priority Enhancements

Issue 1: Caching Implementation

Solution: Add Redis caching for frequently accessed data

Issue 2: Monitoring and Logging

Solution: Implement structured logging and application monitoring

Issue 3: API Documentation

Solution: Add OpenAPI/Swagger documentation for API endpoints