

# Session : Proof Techniques

## Proofs (Part 1)

---

# What is a proof?

- A proof is a sequence of logical statements, one implying another, which gives an explanation of why a given statement is true.
- Previously established theorems may be used to deduce the new ones.
- We may also refer to axioms, which are the starting points, “rules” accepted by everyone.
- Mathematical proof is absolute, which means that once a theorem is proved, it is proved for ever.

# Methods of proofs

- There are many techniques that can be used to prove the statements.
- **Direct proofs:** assumes a given hypothesis, or any other known statement, and then logically deduces a conclusion.
- **Indirect proof:** also called proof by contradiction, assumes the hypothesis (if given) together with a negation of a conclusion to reach the contradictory statement.
- It is often equivalent to proof by contrapositive, though it is subtly different.
- Both direct and indirect proofs may also include additional tools to reach the required conclusions, namely proof by cases or mathematical induction.

# Direct Proof

- The easiest approach to establish the theorems, as it does not require knowledge of any special techniques.
- The argument is constructed using a series of simple statements, where each one should follow directly from the previous one
- To prove the hypothesis, we may use axioms, as well as the previously established statements of different theorems.
- Propositions of the form  $A \Rightarrow B$  are shown to be valid by starting at A by writing down what the hypothesis means and consequently approaching B using correct implications.

# Example

- Let  $n$  and  $m$  be integers. If  $n$  and  $m$  are both even, then prove that  $n + m$  is even

## **Proof:**

- If  $n$  and  $m$  are even, then there exist integers  $k$  and  $j$  such that  $n = 2k$  and  $m = 2j$ .
- Then  $n + m = 2k + 2j = 2(k + j)$ .
- And since  $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}, (k + j) \in \mathbb{Z} \therefore n + m$  is even.

# Example

- If  $m$  and  $n$  are both square numbers, then prove that  $mn$  is also a square number

**Proof**

# Counterexample

- A counterexample is an example that disproves a universal statement.
- One counterexample is enough to say that the statement is not true, even though there will be many examples in its favor.

## Example:

Conjecture: let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and suppose that  $n$  is prime. Then  $2^n - 1$  is prime.

- Counterexample: when  $n = 11$
- $\Rightarrow 2^{11} - 1 \Rightarrow 23 \times 89.$

# Fallacious Proofs

- Study the sequence of sentences below and try to find what went wrong.  
We prove that  $1 = 2$ .

- $a = b$

$$\Rightarrow a^2 = ab$$

$$\Rightarrow a^2 + a^2 = ab + a^2$$

$$\Rightarrow 2a^2 = ab + a^2$$

$$\Rightarrow 2a^2 - 2ab = ab + a^2 - 2ab$$

$$\Rightarrow 2a^2 - 2ab = a^2 - ab$$

$$\Rightarrow 2(a^2 - ab) = 1(a^2 - ab)$$

$$\Rightarrow 2 = 1.$$

Sometimes we do a mistake unknowingly while proving a statement.



# Summary

- What is Proof?
- Direct Proof
- Counterexample
- Fallacious Proofs

# Session : Proof Techniques

## Proofs (Part 2)

---

# Proof by cases

- Proof by cases is sometimes also called proof by exhaustion, because the aim is to exhaust all possibilities.
- The problem is split into parts and then each one is considered separately
- Example: Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $n^2 + n$  is even.
- CASE I:  $n$  is even
- CASE II:  $n$  is odd

# Example

- If an integer  $n$  is not divisible by 3, then prove that  $n^2 = 3k + 1$  for some integer  $k$

# Proof by contradiction

- The basic idea is to assume that the statement we want to prove is false, and then show that this assumption leads to a contradiction

## Example:

- Prove that  $\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} < \sqrt{15}$

# Example

- Let  $a$  be rational number and  $b$  irrational. Then prove that  $a + b$  is irrational

Proof

- Suppose that  $a + b$  is rational, so  $a + b := m/n$ .
- Now, as  $a$  is rational, we can write it as  $a := p/q$ .
- $b = (a + b) - a$
- $= (m/n) - (p/q)$
- $= (mq - pn)/qn$
- hence  $b$  is rational, which contradicts the assumption.

# Proof by contrapositive

- To prove a statement of the form “If A, then B,” do the following:
  1. Form the contrapositive. In particular, negate A and B.
  2. Prove directly that  $\neg B$  implies  $\neg A$ .

# Example

- Prove by contrapositive: Let  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $x^2 - 6x + 5$  is even, then  $x$  is odd.

Proof:

- Suppose that  $x$  is even. Then we want to show that  $x^2 - 6x + 5$  is odd.
- Write  $x = 2a$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & x^2 - 6x + 5 \\ &= (2a)^2 - 6(2a) + 5 \\ &= 4a^2 - 12a + 5 = 2(2a^2 - 6a + 2) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $x^2 - 6x + 5$  is odd



# Example

- If  $3n + 2$  is an odd integer, then prove that  $n$  is odd.

# Summary

- Proof by Cases
- Proof by Contradiction
- Proof by Contrapositive

# Session : Proof Techniques

## Proof by Induction

---

# Mathematical induction

- Mathematical induction is a very useful mathematical tool to prove theorems on natural numbers.
- Three parts:
  - Base case(s): show it is true for one element
  - Inductive hypothesis: assume it is true for any given element
  - Show that if it true for the next highest element

# Principle of Mathematical Induction

Let  $P(n)$  be an infinite collection of statements with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that

(i)  $P(1)$  is true, and

(ii)  $P(k) \Rightarrow P(k + 1)$ ,  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then,  $P(n)$  is true  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- INDUCTION BASE check if  $P(1)$  is true, i.e. the statement holds for  $n = 1$ ,
- INDUCTION HYPOTHESIS assume  $P(k)$  is true, i.e. the statement holds for  $n = k$ ,
- INDUCTION STEP show that if  $P(k)$  holds, then  $P(k + 1)$  also does.

# Example 1

- Prove by mathematical induction that for all positive integers  $n$   
 $1+2+3+\dots+n=n(n+1)/2$

## Example 2

- Prove by mathematical induction that for all positive integers  $n$

$$1 \times 2 + 2 \times 3 + 3 \times 4 + \dots + n \times (n+1) = n(n+1)(n+2)/3$$

# Example 3

- Show that  $n! < n^n$  for all  $n > 1$



# Session : Proof Techniques

## Strong Induction

---

# Strong induction

- Weak mathematical induction assumes  $P(k)$  is true, and uses that (and only that!) to show  $P(k+1)$  is true
- Strong mathematical induction assumes  $P(1), P(2), \dots, P(k)$  are all true, and uses that to show that  $P(k+1)$  is true.

# Example 1

- Prove that if  $n$  is an integer greater than 1, then it is either a prime or can be written as the product of primes.
- Base case ( $n=2$ ): Since 2 is a prime number,  $P(2)$  holds.
- Inductive step: Assume each of  $2, 3, \dots, k$  is either prime or product of primes.
- Now, we want to prove the same thing about  $k+1$
- There are two cases:
  - $k+1$  is prime
  - $k+1$  is composite

# Strong induction vs. non-strong induction, take 2

- Show that every postage amount 12 cents or more can be formed using only 4 and 5 cent stamps

# Answer via mathematical induction

- Show base case:  $P(12)$ :
  - $12 = 4 + 4 + 4$
- Inductive hypothesis: Assume  $P(k)$  is true
- Inductive step: Show that  $P(k+1)$  is true
  - If  $P(k)$  uses a 4 cent stamp, replace that stamp with a 5 cent stamp to obtain  $P(k+1)$
  - If  $P(k)$  does not use a 4 cent stamp, it must use only 5 cent stamps
    - Since  $k \geq 12$ , there must be at least three 5 cent stamps
    - Replace these with four 4 cent stamps to obtain  $k+1$
- Note that only  $P(k)$  was assumed to be true

# Answer via strong induction

- Show base cases:  $P(12)$ ,  $P(13)$ ,  $P(14)$ , and  $P(15)$ 
  - $12 = 4 + 4 + 4$
  - $13 = 4 + 4 + 5$
  - $14 = 4 + 5 + 5$
  - $15 = 5 + 5 + 5$
- Inductive hypothesis: Assume  $P(12)$ ,  $P(13)$ , ...,  $P(k)$  are all true
  - For  $k \geq 15$
- Inductive step: Show that  $P(k+1)$  is true
  - We will obtain  $P(k+1)$  by adding a 4 cent stamp to  $P(k+1-4)$
  - Since we know  $P(k+1-4) = P(k-3)$  is true, our proof is complete
- Note that  $P(12)$ ,  $P(13)$ , ...,  $P(k)$  were all assumed to be true

# Session : Proof Techniques

## Structural Induction

---

# Structural Induction

- Structural induction is a proof methodology similar to mathematical induction, only instead of working in the domain of positive integers ( $\mathbb{N}$ ) it works in the domain of recursively defined structures.



# Recursively defined functions

- Assume  $f$  is a function with the set of nonnegative integers as its domain
- We use two steps to define  $f$ .
  - Basis step:  
Specify the value of  $f(0)$ .
  - Recursive step:  
Give a rule for  $f(x)$  using  $f(y)$  where  $0 \leq y < x$
- Such a definition is called a recursive or inductive definition

# Methodology

- Assume we have recursive definition for the set  $S$ . Let  $n \in S$ .
- Show  $P(n)$  is true using **structural induction**:

## **Basis step:**

- Assume  $j$  is an element specified in the basis step of the definition.
- Show  $\forall j P(j)$  is true.

**Recursive step:** Let  $x$  be a new element constructed in the recursive step of the definition.

Assume  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m$  are elements used to construct an element  $x$  in the recursive step of the definition.

Show  $\forall k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m ((P(k_1) \wedge P(k_2) \wedge \dots \wedge P(k_m)) \rightarrow P(x))$ .

# Example

- Show that well-formed formulae for compound propositions contains an equal number of left and right parentheses.

Proof by structural induction:

Define  $P(x)$

$P(x)$  is “well-formed compound proposition  $x$  contains an equal number of left and right parentheses”

Basis step: ( $P(j)$  is true, if  $j$  is specified in basis step of the definition.)

$T$ ,  $F$  and propositional variable  $p$  is constructed in the basis step of the definition.

Since they do not have any parentheses,  $P(T)$ ,  $P(F)$  and  $P(p)$  are true.

# Contd..

- **Recursive step:**
- Assume  $p$  and  $q$  are well-formed formulae.
- Let  $l_p$  be the number of left parentheses in  $p$ .
- Let  $r_p$  be the number of right parentheses in  $p$ .
- Let  $l_q$  be the number of left parentheses in  $q$ .
- Let  $r_q$  be the number of right parentheses in  $q$ .
- Assume  $l_p = r_p$  and  $l_q = r_q$ .