

**Course: UMA 035 (Optimization Techniques)**

**Instructor: Dr. Amit Kumar,**

**Associate Professor,**

**School of Mathematics,**

**TIET, Patiala**

**Email: amitkumar@thapar.edu**

**Mob: 9888500451**

## Steepest Descent Technique

### Minimization Problem

$$X_{n+1} = X_n - \lambda_n \left[ \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_n} \right) \text{ at } X_n \right], n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

### Maximization Problem

$$X_{n+1} = X_n + \lambda_n \left[ \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_n} \right) \text{ at } X_n \right], n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

### Example:

Find the minimum of  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 - x_1x_2 + x_2^2$  so that the error does not exceed by 0.5. The initial approximation is to be taken as  $(1, \frac{1}{2})$ .

### Solution

$$\left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_2} \right) = (2x_1 - x_2, -x_1 + 2x_2)$$

### First iteration ( $n = 0$ )

$$X_0 = \left( 1, \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

$$X_1 = X_0 - \lambda_0 \left[ \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_2} \right) \text{ at } X_0 \right]$$

Since,

$$\left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_2} \right) = (2x_1 - x_2, -x_1 + 2x_2) \text{ at } X_0 \text{ is}$$

$$\left(2 \times 1 - \frac{1}{2}, \quad -1 + 2 \times \frac{1}{2}\right) = \left(\frac{3}{2}, \quad 0\right)$$

So,

$$X_1 = \left(1, \frac{1}{2}\right) - \lambda_0 \left(\frac{3}{2}, \quad 0\right) = \left(1 - \frac{3\lambda_0}{2}, \quad \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

Now, the value of the given function corresponding to  $X_1$ ,

$$f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 - x_1 x_2 + x_2^2 = \left(1 - \frac{3\lambda_0}{2}\right)^2 - \left(1 - \frac{3\lambda_0}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2$$

Since,  $f(x_1, x_2)$  is only depending upon  $\lambda_0$ . So, for minimum value of  $f(x_1, x_2)$ ,

$$\frac{df(x_1, x_2)}{d\lambda_0} = 0$$

$$2 \times -\frac{3}{2} \times \left(1 - \frac{3\lambda_0}{2}\right) - \left(-\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 0$$

$$2 \times \left(1 - \frac{3\lambda_0}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 0$$

$$(2 - 3\lambda_0) - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 0$$

$$-3\lambda_0 + \frac{3}{2} = 0$$

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{1}{2}$$

Hence,

$$X_1 = \left(1, \frac{1}{2}\right) - \lambda_0 \left(\frac{3}{2}, \quad 0\right) = \left(1 - \frac{3\lambda_0}{2}, \quad \frac{1}{2}\right) = \left(1 - \frac{3}{4}, \quad \frac{1}{2}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{4}, \quad \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

and

the value of the given function corresponding to  $X_1$  is

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x_1, x_2) &= x_1^2 - x_1 x_2 + x_2^2 = \left(1 - \frac{3\lambda_0}{2}\right)^2 - \left(1 - \frac{3\lambda_0}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \\
&= \left(1 - \frac{3}{4}\right)^2 - \left(1 - \frac{3}{4}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{16} - \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{3}{16}
\end{aligned}$$

**While,**

$$X_0 = \left(1, \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

**and the value of the given function corresponding to  $X_0$  is**

$$f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 - x_1 x_2 + x_2^2 = (1)^2 - (1)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 = 1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{3}{4}$$

**Since,**

$$\left|\frac{3}{16} - \frac{3}{4}\right| = 0.5625 > .5. \text{ So, solution is not optimal.}$$

**Second iteration ( $n = 1$ )**

$$X_1 = \left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

$$X_2 = X_1 - \lambda_1 \left[ \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_2} \right) \text{ at } X_1 \right]$$

**Since,**

$$\left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_2} \right) = (2x_1 - x_2, -x_1 + 2x_2) \text{ at } X_1 \text{ is}$$

$$\left(2 \times \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{4} + 2 \times \frac{1}{2}\right) = \left(0, \frac{3}{4}\right)$$

**So,**

$$X_2 = \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2} \right) - \lambda_1 \left( 0, \frac{3}{4} \right) = \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2} - \lambda_1 \times \frac{3}{4} \right)$$

Now, the value of the given function corresponding to  $X_2$ ,

$$f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 - x_1 x_2 + x_2^2 = \left( \frac{1}{4} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{1}{2} - \lambda_1 \times \frac{3}{4} \right) \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) + \left( \frac{1}{2} - \lambda_1 \times \frac{3}{4} \right)^2$$

Since,  $f(x_1, x_2)$  is only depending upon  $\lambda_1$ . So, for minimum value of  $f(x_1, x_2)$ ,

$$\frac{df(x_1, x_2)}{d\lambda_1} = 0$$

$$-\left( -\frac{3}{4} \right) \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) + 2 \times \left( -\frac{3}{4} \right) \times \left( \frac{1}{2} - \lambda_1 \times \frac{3}{4} \right) = 0$$

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} X_2 &= \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2} \right) - \lambda_1 \left( 0, \frac{3}{4} \right) = \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2} - \lambda_1 \times \frac{3}{4} \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{3}{4} \right) = \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

the value of the given function corresponding to  $X_2$  is

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, x_2) &= x_1^2 - x_1 x_2 + x_2^2 = \left( \frac{1}{4} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{1}{2} - \lambda_1 \times \frac{3}{4} \right) \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) + \left( \frac{1}{2} - \lambda_1 \times \frac{3}{4} \right)^2 \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{4} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{3}{4} \right) \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) + \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{3}{4} \right)^2 = \frac{3}{64} \end{aligned}$$

While,

$$X_1 = \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

and

the value of the given function corresponding to  $X_1$  is

$$\begin{aligned}f(x_1, x_2) &= x_1^2 - x_1 x_2 + x_2^2 = \left(1 - \frac{3\lambda_0}{2}\right)^2 - \left(1 - \frac{3\lambda_0}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \\&= \left(1 - \frac{3}{4}\right)^2 - \left(1 - \frac{3}{4}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{16} - \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{3}{16}\end{aligned}$$

Since,

$$\left|\frac{3}{64} - \frac{3}{16}\right| = 0.140625 < .5 \text{ . So, solution is optimal.}$$