

14. MaxCut

Cut - A partition of vertices into 2 disjoint sets and value of the cut is the weight of all edges crossing the cut.

MAXCUT: Given a graph $G = (V, E)$, such that all the weight of edges in E is 1, find the maximum value of a cut attainable in G .

Randomized Algorithm to Approximate

This problem is NP-hard, but we can try approximating using randomness. We take each vertex in V , and with probability $\frac{1}{2}$ put it in A , else put it in B .

Theorem - Let $G = (V, E)$ be an undirected graph on n vertices and m edges. There exists a partition of V into disjoint sets A and B such that the cut value is at least $\frac{m}{2}$.

Proof - We make use of the approach mentioned above, and name the edges e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m

We define X_i for each edge in the set

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if edge } i \text{ connects } A \text{ to } B \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbb{P}[X_i = 1] = \mathbb{P}[\text{Endpoints are in different sets}] = \frac{1}{2}$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{Cut}(A, B)] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^m X_i\right] = \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{E}[X_i] = \frac{m}{2}$$

Since the expected value is $\frac{m}{2}$, there exists a partition A, B such that at least $\frac{m}{2}$ edges connect A to B (proven using *reverse markov*).

Expected number of trials to find cut value $\geq \frac{m}{2}$

Take p to be the probability that a random cut has $\geq \frac{m}{2}$ edges.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{m}{2} &= \mathbb{E}[|Cut(A, B)|] \\
&= \sum_{i \leq \frac{m}{2}-1} i \cdot \mathbb{P}[|Cut(A, B)| = i] + \sum_{i \geq \frac{m}{2}} i \cdot \mathbb{P}[|Cut(A, B)| = i] \\
&\leq \left(\frac{m}{2} - 1\right) \cdot (1 - p) + m \cdot p \\
&= \frac{m}{2} - 1 - \frac{mp}{2} + p + mp \\
\Rightarrow p &\geq \frac{1}{\frac{m}{2} + 1}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the expected number of trials is $\frac{1}{p} \leq \frac{m}{2} + 1$.

Derandomization using Conditional Expectation

Instead of placing vertices in A or B uniformly and independently like the earlier method, we now place vertices in a deterministic way, one at a time in an arbitrary order v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n

Define x_i to be the set where v_i is placed

$$x_i = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } v_i \text{ is in } A \\ B & \text{if } v_i \text{ is in } B \end{cases}$$

Suppose the first k vertices are already placed. We then define the expected value of the cut as randomizing over the $n - k$ vertices left, while fixing the first k .

$$\mathbb{E}[|Cut(A, B)| | x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k]$$

Algorithm -

We'll use the algorithm that given you've placed k vertices, you decide the set to put the $k + 1$ vertex based on which one gives a higher expected value, i.e pick the set such that

$$\mathbb{E}[|Cut(A, B)| | x_1, \dots, x_k] \leq \mathbb{E}[|Cut(A, B)| | x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}]$$

We claim this algorithm will give us a cut of size $\geq \frac{m}{2}$ by proving using induction.

Inductive Proof

Base Case

$$\mathbb{E}[|Cut(A, B)|] = \mathbb{E}[|Cut(A, B)| | x_1]$$

Doesn't really matter which set you put v_1 in, as the case is symmetric.

Induction Step

We need to show that

$$\mathbb{E}[|Cut(A, B)| | x_1, \dots, x_k] \leq \mathbb{E}[|Cut(A, B)| | x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}]$$

If we placed v_{k+1} randomly in one of the sets A, B , then we'd get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[|Cut(A, B)| | x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}] &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[|Cut(A, B)| | x_1, \dots, x_k, Y_{k+1} = A] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[|Cut(A, B)| | x_1, \dots, x_k, Y_{k+1} = B] \\ &\leq \max\{I, II\}\end{aligned}$$

where

$$I = \mathbb{E}[|Cut(A, B)| | x_1, \dots, x_k, Y_{k+1} = A]$$

and

$$II = \mathbb{E}[|Cut(A, B)| | x_1, \dots, x_k, Y_{k+1} = B]$$

If we compute I, II , we can just take the max of them, and increase our expected value accordingly.

Since we start with an expected value $\frac{m}{2}$, and only increase as we place more and more vertices, we'll end up with a deterministic cut size $\geq \frac{m}{2}$.

Greedy Algorithm - Place a vertex in the side with fewer neighbours and break ties arbitrarily.

This algorithm also always guarantees a cut with at least $\frac{m}{2}$ edges.