# 9. Polynomial Identity Testing

Testing if two polynomials f(x), g(x) are equal is quite common in various problems. There are multiple ways of carrying this out

- 1. Compare the coefficients
- 2. Substitute x with some value and compare the resultant output.
- 3. Compare the roots of the polynomials
- 4. Subtract and check if the resultant is zero (Equivalent to the output being 0 irrespective of the value of x).

#### **Fundamental Theorem**

Any univariate polynomial of degree d has at most d roots. Therefore, we can check if a polynomial is 0 at d+1 distinct values. It also results in the property that no two polynomials of degree d can agree on more than d values.

# Representation of Input

- 1. Sum of monomials  $\{(a_i,i)| ext{coefficient of } x^i=a_i\}$
- 2. Set of roots
- 3. Oracle Access (An oracle is essentially a blackbox f, where we can give input a , and get f(a) as the output quickly)

### Polynomial Interpretation

Given d+1 values,  $\{\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_d\}$ 

We have to construct the univariate polynomial using oracle access (asking the oracle what's the value of  $f(\alpha_i)$ ).

A polynomial can be written in the form -

$$P(x) = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i x^i$$

Using the oracle, we can find the values  $P(lpha_0), P(lpha_1), \dots, P(lpha_d)$ 

This can be represented in the form of a system of linear equations

$$egin{bmatrix} lpha_0^0 & lpha_0^1 & \dots & lpha_0^d \ lpha_1^0 & lpha_1^1 & \dots & lpha_1^d \ dots & dots & & & \ lpha_d^0 & lpha_d^1 & \dots & lpha_d^d \end{bmatrix} egin{bmatrix} a_0 \ a_1 \ dots \ a_d \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} P(lpha_0) \ P(lpha_1) \ dots \ P(lpha_d) \end{bmatrix}$$

The matrix is known as the Vandermonde matrix.

This can be solved in polynomial time using classic techniques like Gaussian elimination, etc.

#### Multivariate

Now the case of multivariate polynomials, how do we test the equality of  $f(x_1,x_2),g(x_1,x_2)$ ? Unfortunately, the fundamental theorem that a polynomial of degree d has d roots, an example of this being  $x^2+y^2-1=0$ .

We define the degree of a multivariate polynomial as d, where the sum of all exponents for each term  $\leq d$ 

The number of such terms are  $\binom{n+d}{d}$ , which is bounded by  $n^d$ .

Testing the equality of two multivariate polynomials can be done in polynomial time using a randomized algorithm.

### DeMillo-Lipton-Schwartz-Zippel Lemma

Let P be a non-zero polynomial on n variables of degree d.

Let S be the set of size at least d+1.

We randomly sample n values,  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_i$  from S independently, uniformaly and randomly. The theorem states that

$$\mathbb{P}[P(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n)=0] \leq rac{d}{|S|}$$

#### **Proof**

Proof using mathematical induction on n.

Base Case - 
$$n=1$$

This case is trivial, as we've already stated that a d-degree polynomial can have at most d roots, therefore it satisfies the inequality.

**Induction state -** Assume it's true for  $n \leq k-1$ , prove for n=k

We have the variables  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ 

We can restructure the n variable polynomial in terms of coefficients of  $x_1$ 

$$P(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \sum_{i=0}^d x_1^i P_i(x_2,\ldots,x_n)$$

From our initial assumption, it's known that P is not identically 0, therefore we find the largest i such that  $P_i$  is not identically 0.

Note that for any i,  $\deg P_i \leq d-i$ , as the degree of  $x_1^i P_i$  is at most d

We aim to prove the bound defined by the lemma using this structure

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}[A] &= \mathbb{P}[A \cap B] + \mathbb{P}[A \cap B^c] \\ &= \mathbb{P}[A|B]\mathbb{P}[B] + \mathbb{P}[A|B^c]\mathbb{P}[B^c] \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}[B] + \mathbb{P}[A|B^c] \end{split}$$

Here, we define events A, B as

$$A - P(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) = 0$$

$$B - P_i(r_2, r_3, \dots, r_n) = 0$$

Where  $r_i$  are i.u.a.r sampled from S.

 $\mathbb{P}[B]$ 

We know that the degree of  $P_i$  is  $\leq d-i$ . Therefore, by the induction hypothesis, we get

$$\mathbb{P}[P_i(r_2, r_3, \dots, r_n) = 0] \leq rac{d-i}{|S|}$$

 $\mathbb{P}[A|B^c]$ 

If  $P_i(r_2, r_3, \dots, r_n) \neq 0$ ,  $B^c$  occurs, we now know that the degree of the polynomial P is i, since all the  $P_j \equiv 0, \forall j > i$ . Therefore, with the help of the induction hypothesis, we can say that

$$\mathbb{P}[P(r_1,r_2,\ldots,r_n)=0|P_i(r_2,r_3,\ldots,r_n)
eq 0] \leq rac{i}{|S|}$$

Therefore, we get

$$egin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[P(r_1,r_2,\ldots,r_n) &= 0] &\leq \mathbb{P}[B] + \mathbb{P}[A|B^c] \ &\leq rac{d-i}{|S|} + rac{i}{|S|} &= rac{d}{|S|} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, proved.

Using this lemma, we can test if two multivariate polynomials f,g are equal by identity testing polynomial h=f-g.

# Randomized Algorithm for Polynomial Identity Testing

- 1. Pick a set S of size  $\alpha d_i$ , where  $\alpha > 1$
- 2. Evaluate at a point  $\bar{a}$  (a vector of size n) whose corrdinates are sample i.u.a.r from S.
- 3. If  $f(ar{a})=0$  assert  $f\equiv 0$ , else  $f
  ot\equiv 0$  .

$$\mathbb{P}[ ext{Error}] \leq rac{d}{|S|} = rac{1}{lpha}$$

The possible error is that a non-zero polynomial is asserted as a zero-polynomial.

# Verifying Matrix Multiplication

An application of Polynomial Identity Testing is verifying matrix multiplication Given matrices A, B, C, we need to verify if AB = C.

This can be done in  $O(n^w)$  (w is the matrix multiplication exponent), but we can speed this up using randomization.

Let S be a finite subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and we choose  $\bar{x}=(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n)$  i.u.a.r We then verify if ABx=Cx. If true, we say that AB=C, else return  $AB\neq C$ . Running this takes  $O(n^2)$  time, as finding Bx, A(Bx) and Cx are all  $O(n^2)$  time operations.

#### **Probability Analysis**

ABx, Cx are both vectors, whose entries are linear forms in x.

$$ABx = (L_1(x), L_2(x), \dots, L_n(x))^T$$
  
 $Cx = (L'_1(x), L'_2(x), \dots, L'_n(x))^T$ 

If AB=C, then we know that orall ar x, ABar x=Car x, which essentially mean  $orall 1\leq i\leq n, L_i(ar x)-L_i'(ar x)=0$ 

If AB 
eq C, then there exists some vector  $ar{x}$  such that  $L_i(ar{x}) - L_i'(ar{x}) 
eq 0$ 

**Lemma -** For any linear polynomial L(x)

$$\mathbb{P}[L(\bar{a}) = 0] \le \frac{1}{|S|}$$

**Proof** - We can arrive to this result using the principle of deferred decision.

We know that we can represent L(x) as

$$L(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i x_i$$

Assume we randomly pick the first n-1 values for x as  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n-1}$ , we'd get

$$L(x)=b_nx_n+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}b_ia_i$$

For L(x) = 0,

$$b_n x_n = -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i a_i.$$

There is at max 1 possible value for  $x_n$  in S for which this equality holds true, hence the probability of this being true is  $\leq \frac{1}{|S|}$ .

Claim - If AB 
eq C , then  $\mathbb{P}[ABx = Cx] \leq rac{1}{|S|}$ 

Proof -

When  $AB \neq C$ , we say a bad event is when  $L_i(\bar{x}) - L_i'(\bar{x}) = 0 \ \forall i \in [n]$ , i.e we picked a vector such that ABx = Cx event when  $AB \neq C$ , which causes us to incorrectly say that AB = C.

The probability of the bad event occurring is bounded by

$$\mathbb{P}\left[igwedge_{i=1}^n (L_i(ar{x}) - L_i'(ar{x}) = 0)
ight] \leq \max_i \left\{ \mathbb{P}[L_i(ar{x}) - L_i'(ar{x}) = 0]
ight\}$$

And using the earlier Lemma, we can bound this further, giving us

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\bigwedge_{i=1}^n (L_i(\bar{x}) - L_i'(\bar{x}) = 0)\right] \leq \max_i \left\{\mathbb{P}[L_i(\bar{x}) - L_i'(\bar{x}) = 0]\right\} \leq \frac{1}{|S|}$$

Hence proved.