4. EVALUATION METRICS

Python Script Result Evaluation

| | | BASIC FEATURES | | ADVANCED FEATURES | |
|----------------------|------------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | | LogReg | CRF | LogReg | CRF |
| Twitter_dev.ner | Token-wise accuracy | 95.5361012395 | 95.7701308832 | 95.8568085291 | 96.0648348791 |
| | Token-wise F1 (macro) | 21.5780375334 | 29.5648858833 | 26.96900893088 | 32.4084058773 |
| | Token-wise F1 (micro) | 95.5361012395 | 95.7701308832 | 95.8568085291 | 96.0648348791 |
| | Sentence-wise accuracy | 66.6101694915 | 68.6440677966 | 67.4576271186 | 69.6610169492 |
| Twitter_dev_test.ner | Token-wise accuracy | 91.0152104705 | 91.3070392642 | 91.6519278387 | 91.9083834454 |
| | Token-wise F1 (macro) | 10.9195384447 | 17.9817691763 | 17.8909073962 | 21.644445822 |
| | Token-wise F1 (micro | 91.0152104705 | 91.3070392642 | 91.6519278387 | 91.9083834453 |
| | Sentence-wise accuracy | 48.6486486486 | 50.4978662873 | 50.4978662873 | 52.347083926 |

Conlleval Script Result Evaluation

| | | BASIC FEATURES | | AD | VANCED FEATURES |
|----------------------|-----------|----------------|-------|--------|-----------------|
| | | LogReg | CRF | LogReg | CRF |
| Twitter_dev.ner | Accuracy | 95.54 | 95.77 | 95.86 | 96.06 |
| | Precision | 49.61 | 60.61 | 46.68 | 59.36 |
| | Recall | 16.89 | 26.81 | 24.66 | 34.85 |
| | FB1 | 25.20 | 37.17 | 32.74 | 43.92 |
| Twitter_dev_test.ner | Accuracy | 91.02 | 91.31 | 91.65 | 91.91 |
| | Precision | 32.35 | 46.82 | 35.22 | 46.08 |
| | Recall | 8.54 | 15.99 | 17.39 | 23.76 |
| | FB1 | 13.51 | 23.84 | 23.38 | 31.35 |

The CONLL evaluation script is basically a phrase chunking evaluation system. Both the CONNL and python evaluation are good in certain applications.

The CONNL script is essentially good for benchmarking. It provides cumulative performance measures (such as accuracy, precision, recall, FB1) for all classes of NER. Hence, here a single performance value accounts for it over all classes. Individually for each class it too gives the precision, recall, and f1-score.

However, the python evaluation is good for feature engineering. Here, the cumulative performance measures like token wise accuracy, token wise F1 (micro), token wise F1 (macro) and sentence wise accuracy are got for all classes. For each class too (including the B- and I- tags), the recall, precision and F1 is got. Hence, for a class level detailed analysis, and for finding out the precision, recall and F1 for each possible type of NER tag, this metric seems more apt.

However, both evaluation scripts are apt in their own way based on the application.