The U.S. Election throughout History - Process Book

Duanduan Liu Kanrong Yu Weina Chen

Overview and Motivation

As the eye-catching 2016 US presidential eventually settled, there had been so many politically motivated visualizations like those provided by the famous FiveThirtyEight and NYTimes, and the public expectation towards the final result was somehow affected or even misled by them. Therefore, what prompts us to do this project is to create an unbiased data-driven visualization, which won't mislead people's interpretation from viz. Moreover, from this year's presidential result, we should admit election results are generally hard to predict despite many different polls made on large group of samples. However, we consider it still interesting and meaningful to look at the whole idea of the evolution of US presidential election results and investigate if there is correlation between the results and some other factors.

To be more specific, we aim to obtain a general picture of the US election through demographic of election in the following aspects:

- Discover presidential shift from 1920 to 2012.
- Detect how the states stacked up in every election and how they have swung during the time frame. (In progress)
- Expose the relative relations with the preference of newspaper endorsements e.g. NYT, the external environment like recession or recovered economy, and the trend of growth of the executive branch e.g. Federal Budget Receipts & Outlays, and GDP.

Related Work

- There Are Many Ways to Map Election Results. We've Tried Most of Them. by NYTimes
 - http://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2016/11/01/upshot/many-ways-to-map-election-results.html?_r=0
- LIVE RESULTS AND MAPS Election Results 2016 http://graphics.wsj.com/elections/2016/results/
- The various Live US election results we watched in class.

Data

1. Data Source


The main reference is from the Library of Congress, a post named "U.S. Election Statistics: A

Resource Guide" (https://www.loc.gov/rr/program/bib/elections/statistics.html). This resource guide compiles a list of online and print resources that contain U.S. election statistics for both federal and state elections. To be more specific, we mainly use three online resources listed in this guide:

- Dave Leip's Atlas of U.S. Presidential Elections, which is our main data source that includes the voting statistics for every election by state level. http://uselectionatlas.org/


	0	Menu	United General by Year ▼	States P General by												
2012 ational																
	Мар	Pie	State	EV	EV	Total Vote	O	R	Margin	%Margin	Obama	Romney	Other	Obama	Romney	Other
L AK	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	ф
Z AR																
A CO T DE	A	•	Alabama	0	9	2,074,338	2	1	460,229	22.19%	38.36%	60.55%	1.10%	795,696	1,255,925	22,71
FL		•	Alaska	0	3	300,495	2	1	42,036	13.99%	40.81%	54.80%	4.39%	122,640	164,676	13,179
A HI		•	Arizona	0	11	2,306,559	2	1	208,422	9.04%	44.45%	53.48%	2.07%	1,025,232	1,233,654	47,673
IL IA		•	Arkansas	0	6	1,069,468	2	1	253,335	23.69%	36.88%	60.57%	2.55%	394,409	647,744	27,315
KY	-	•	California	55	0	13,055,815	1	2	3,014,327	23.09%	60.16%	37.07%	2.77%	7,854,285	4,839,958	361,572
ME	1	•	Colorado	9	0	2,571,846	1	2	137,859	5.36%	51.45%	46.09%	2.47%	1,323,102	1,185,243	63,50
O MA I MN	-	•	Connecticut	7	0	1,558,993	1	2	270,210	17.33%	58.06%	40.72%	1.22%	905,109	634,899	18,98
MO	1	•	Delaware	3	0	413,921	1	2	77,100	18.63%	58.61%	39.98%	1.41%	242,584	165,484	5,853
NE			D. C.	3	0	293,764	1	2	245,689	83.63%	90.91%	7.28%	1.81%	267,070	21,381	5,313
NH NM	7	•	Florida	29	0	8,492,175	1	2	74,309	0.88%	49.90%	49.03%	1.07%	4,237,756	4,163,447	90,972
NC	-	•	Georgia	0	16	3,908,369	2	1	304,861	7.80%	45.39%	53.19%	1.43%	1,773,827	2,078,688	55,854
ОН	1	•	Hawaii	4	0	434,697	1	2	185,643	42.71%	70.55%	27.84%	1.62%	306,658	121,015	7,024
OR PI		•	Idaho	0	4	656,742	2	1	208,124	31.69%	32.40%	64.09%	3.51%	212,787	420,911	23,044

- American Presidency Project: Presidential Election Data, from which we grabbed the financial data, GDP http://www.presidency.ucsb.edu/elections.php



- Labor Force Statistics from the Current Population Survey, from which we obtained the unemployment rate data


http://data.bls.gov/pdq/SurveyOutputServlet



- Newspaper presidential endorsements, where we excerpted the endorsement of top 10 US newspapers (by circulation)

http://noahveltman.com/endorsements/

Newspaper presidential endorsements



2. Data Processing

For Data Cleaning,

- 1) To keep the column consistency in "each year.csv", we uses Python to manualLy add EV_I, I_Percen, I_Actual with "0" value when there's only two parties (Democratic and Republican) at that year. By doing this, we got same number of columns in each file and could easily import relevant columns from the csv files using JavaScript.
- 2) Because of the admission of Alaska and Hawaii as states in 1959, the presidential election data before 1960 didn't have their information and similar situation goes with Washington DC, we added new rows with "0" values for these states in order to keep the row consistency for every year data file.
- 3) Also, we deleted % for the D_Percen, R_Percen and I_Percen columns and delete the thousand separators.

To avoid too much calculation in later JavaScript code, we did the processing work in Python in advance. Including:

- 1) Add a RD_Diff column as R_Percen D_Percen
- 2) Put the state abbreviation name in State Abbr
- 3) Based on RD_Diff, attach relevant color HEX towards it (in ten levels, from deep red to deep blue
- 4) Besides the HEX, color rank is assigned (for sake of the sorting of state blocks in the stacked bar chart)
- 5) Recalculate Other_Percen as 1 D_Percen R_Percen I_Percen as in the raw data, and these 4 columns add up to more than 1.

```
# Sort by index
df.sort_index(inplace=True)
# Delete the final Row
df = df[df['State'] != "Total"]
# Add RD Diff
df = add RD Diff(df)
# Add State Abbr
df = add State Abbr(df)
# Add Color
df = add color(df)
# Re caculate Other Percen
df = tranform Other_Percen(df, year)
# Add Color Rank
df = add_color_rank(df)
# Save df to CSV
folder name = "Data/Cleaning/CSV/" + str(year) + ".csv"
df.to_csv(folder_name, index=False)
```


6) To make the state electoral shift chart, df now["Shift"] = (-df now["D Percen"] +

df_last["D_Percen"]) + (df_now["R_Percen"] - df_last["R_Percen"]) is added.

Here's the final look of each year.csv.

State	EV_D	EV_R	Total_Vote	D_Percen	R_Percen	Other_Percer	D_Actual	R_Actual	Other_Actual	I_Actual	EV_I	I_Percen	RD_Diff	State_Abbr	Color	colorRank	Last_Color	Shift
Alabama	0	9	2074338	38.36	60.55	1.09	795696	1255925	22717	0	0	0	22.19	AL	#fcae91	2	#fcae91	0.61
Alaska	0	3	300495	40.81	54.8	4.39	122640	164676	13179	0	0	0	13.99	AK	#fee5d9	1	#fcae91	-7.54
Arizona	0	11	2306559	44.45	53.48	2.07	1025232	1233654	47673	0	0	0	9.03	AZ	#fee5d9	1	#fee5d9	0.55
Arkansas	0	6	1069468	36.88	60.57	2.55	394409	647744	27315	0	0	0	23.69	AR	#fcae91	2	#fee5d9	3.83
California	55	0	13055815	60.16	37.07	2.77	7854285	4839958	361572	0	0	0	-23.09	CA	#6baed6	-3	#6baed6	0.93
Colorado	9	0	2571846	51.45	46.09	2.46	1323102	1185243	63501	0	0	0	-5.36	со	#eff3ff	-1	#eff3ff	3.59
Connecticut	7	0	1558993	58.06	40.72	1.22	905109	634899	18985	0	0	0	-17.34	CT	#bdd7e7	-2	#6baed6	5.03
Delaware	3	0	413921	58.61	39.98	1.41	242584	165484	5853	0	0	0	-18.63	DE	#bdd7e7	-2	#6baed6	6.35
D. C.	3	0	293764	90.91	7.28	1.81	267070	21381	5313	0	0	0	-83.63	DC	#08519c	-5	#08519c	2.3

Apart from 29 election year state level data files, we also generated a total file, with state level electoral statistics, media endorsement, financial data and background information.



Exploratory Data Analysis

After we prepared and processed the raw data, we leveraged Tableau dashboard to come up with a general idea of how our data looks like and where each part should be arranged.


Here are some insights we obtained from Tableau dashboard, which helped us in our future viz design with D3.js.

- Titles are needed for some charts, to help the audience better understand what is displayed here.
- When interacting, tooltips can be a good choice; for mouse-click that cause changes in coordinated views, there should be a clear emphasis (possible ways can be change of color, size, etc.) on the according data and automatically reset afterwards (Tableau does poor in that).
- Scrolling down to check the viz in the bottom can be annoying, therefore we would try to set the layout in one screen, adaptive to any resolution.

Design Evolution

Early Stage

We sketched two different designs for historical data, as shown below. The first design focuses on the map display, and attempts to include various types of information in the vis; the second design focuses on the trend of every state, which may show some pattern of swing states.




After discussion, we decided to use the first design, which is more consistent with our motivation to show as much information as possible in the vis.


2. Vis Draft

Once we decided to use design 1, the next step is trying to generate a draft of our vis in more detail.

First, we created the whole viz in vertical-fashion:



But considering it will expand the whole page by using the scroll, it may not straightforward for audience to capture an entire idea at each year. Thus, we rearranged the page to a horizontal-fashion, and add a second page corresponding the first page.



Furthermore, we reconsidered the second page which is explicitly a zoom-in version of the first page, and concluded that the second page was totally redundant information. Therefore, the final vis draft is just the first page in horizontal type.

3. Operation Design

For timeline: "click" should trigger all the other vis elements.

For map: "hanover" should trigger tooltip of every state; "click" should trigger relevant highlights in other vis elements.

For stack bar: "hanover" should trigger tooltip of every state; "click" should trigger relevant highlights in map.

For shift slope chart: "hanover" should trigger tooltip of every state.

4. Vis Generating Process

4.1 Layout Design


Each color block represents a separate div and is arranged as below.




4.2 Timeline.

The timeline is adaptive to user's screen resolution, so are all of our other vis.

1920 1924 1928 1932 1936 1940 1944 1948 1952 1956 1960 1964 1968 1972 1976 1980 1984 1988 1992 1996 2000 2004 2008 2012




4.4 Information of Popular Vote and Electoral Vote






4.5 Financial Data


GDP and Federal budget trend charts are added here. While in the final version, we substitute the budget one with unemployment rate, which makes more sense and aligns with the key words we provide in the background info part.




4.6 Redesign the Caption div as story-media We divide it into 3 parts: storyTitle, story and media




4.7 Story title and story with key words



4.8 Media Endorsement




4.9 State Shift Chart




4.10 Unemployment Rate Trend

It replaces the formal Federal Budget one, as federal budget is always going higher and higher as time goes by but unemployment rate has closely relation with economy depression/ booming and is more related with every one's life.



4.11 From Static to Interactive


When clicking on a specific year, the according dot in the trend and block in the stack will pop bigger.





4.12 Tooltips

From map to trend chart as well as the shift chart, tooltip with data label or state name will help the audience understand the information more concisely.

Also, we fix the y-axis of GDP trend to make the subtle change more visible.








Implementation

1. Vis Elements Structure



For div#wrapper-left, it includes:

- div#timeline
 - svg#timeline
- div#map
 - svg#map
- div#story-media
 - svg#storyTitle
 - svg#story
 - svg#media
- div#gdp-budget
 - o svg#lineGDP
 - svg#barBudget
 - svg#barYear
- div#stack
 - svg#infoD
 - svg#infoR
 - svg#stackEV
 - svg#stackPV
 - svg#stackEVtag
 - svg#stackPVtag

For div#wrapper-right, it includes:

- div#shift
 - svg#shiftTitle
 - svg#shiftState

2. Code Architecture

Generally speaking, Utils includes the interaction code and Chart includes all the static charts; with run function, they could be connected and executed together.

1) Utils

When a specific year on the timeline is clicked, the information in other charts like map, vote text, and stacked bars would be updated.

```
Utils = {
    updateMap: function(year){==}
},
    updateStoryTitle: function(year){==}
},
    updateStory: function(year){==}
},
    updateStackEV: function(year){==}
},
    updateStackPV: function(year){==}
},
    updateUSADR: function(year){==}
},
    updateGDPBudget: function(year){==}
},
    updateStackEVForMap: function(id){==}
},
    updateShift: function(data, category){}*/
    update(d){==}
},
    updateForMap(d){==}
}
```

2) Chart

So far, 11 Vis elements are included in Chart, other one like the state shift map is expected to be done in the next stage.

```
Chart = {
   timeline: function(id){
   },
   map: function(id, year){
   },
   storyTitle: function(id, year) {
   },
   story: function(id, year) {
    },
   lineGDP: function(id){
   },
   barBudget: function(id){
   },
   barYear: function(id) {
   },
   infoUSAD: function(id, year){
   },
   infoUSAR: function(id, year){
   },
   stackEV: function(id, year){
   },
}
```

Evaluation

We've heard much from the media of Election 2016, but what does the data say, about the US elections over the history? Join us to explore the data stories behind all things election!

- Firstly, with the red or blue dots on the timeline, we could quickly and easily figure out the winning party of each election.
- Then, we could go a step further to look at the hue US map, which gives us a straightforward feeling of how "red" or "blue" a state is. Besides, we could find a sorted detail about every state's electoral votes in the stack bar below, along with the information of popular votes in that election year.
- Later, we could get some key events occurred during that election period, along with media endorsement information.
- Moreover, we could also get an overview of change in GDP and unemployment rate over time.
- For the interest in state shift scenario, we can find how every state shifts from the previous election.
- And speaking of more detail, we could see the relevant tooltips when mouse over the state in map or in the shift chart, or mouse over every point in the GDP and unemployment rate charts.

From this visualization, we found some interesting insights. For example:

- At year 1924, 1948 and 1968, there are some states vote for a third party other than the Democratic and the Republican.
- At year 1932 and 1936, we can see almost the whole country vote for the
 Democratic, with the effect of the 1929 wall street crash and the great depression,
 Franklin Roosevelt promised reform in his policy called the new deal. And during
 the election of 1936, he was still working to push the provisions of his new deal
 economic policy through congress and courts.
- While at year 1972 almost the whole country vote for the Republican, emphasizing a good economy and his success in foreign affairs, the Republican won the election in a massive landslide.
- But at year 2000, there is a very close tie between two parties. It was the closet election since 1876.
- Now let's focus on the states shift chart, we found some patterns of election during certain period. As designed in the chart, the length of bar denoted to what extent that state's political opinion changes, the direction of bar denoted whether the state is more democratic or more republican. For example, from year 1964 to 1980, we can observe clearly a flip pattern election by election

For future work, as we've seen several good storytelling examples like the NYTime's How Trump Can Influence Climate Change,

http://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2016/12/08/us/trump-climate-change.html?smid=tw-nytimes&smtyp=cur&_r=1, which is more narrative with the caption showing along with the data, we could leverage this idea to let our vis more understandable.