Combining predicate transformer semantics for effects: a case study in parsing regular languages

Anne Baanen Wouter Swierstra

September 1, 2020



Algebraic effects

Algebraic effects separate the syntax and semantics of effects.

- The syntax describes the sequencing of the primitive operations
- The semantics assigns meaning to these operations

Example: Nondeterminism

Nondet has two primitive operations:

- Choice chooses between two values
- Fail goes to a failure state and stops execution

Semantics for algebraic effects

Handlers give semantics for the Free monad naturally as a fold:

```
handleList : Nondet a -> List a
handleList (Pure x) = [x]
handleList (Op Choice k) = k True ++ k False
handleList (Op Fail k) = []
```

Semantics for algebraic effects

Handlers give semantics for the Free monad naturally as a fold:

```
handleList : Nondet a -> List a
handleList (Pure x) = [x]
handleList (Op Choice k) = k True ++ k False
handleList (Op Fail k) = []
```

The generic fold that computes a predicate of type Set:

Predicate transformer semantics

A predicate transformer for commands C and responses R is a function from postconditions of type R -> Set to preconditions of type C -> Set. If R depends on C, this becomes:

```
pt C R = (c : C) -> (R c -> Set) -> Set
```

The type of the algebra passed to [[_]] is exactly pt C R. We have assigned *predicate transformer semantics* to algebraic effects.

Predicate transformer semantics for Nondet

For nondeterminism, there are two canonical choices of predicate transformer semantics.

ptAll requires that all potential results satisfy the postcondition:

```
ptAll Fail k = T
ptAll Choice k = k True \land k False
```

ptAny requires that there is at least one outcome that satisfies the postcondition:

```
ptAny Fail k = \bot
ptAny Choice k = k True \lor k False
```

To illustrate these semantics, we wrote a parser. The input is a regular expression and a String, and the output a parse tree.

```
data Regex : Set where
    Empty : Regex
    Epsilon: Regex
    Singleton : Char → Regex
    _ | _ : Regex → Regex → Regex
    \_ · \_ : Regex \rightarrow Regex \rightarrow Regex
    * : Regex → Regex
Tree: Regex -> Set
Tree Empty
Tree Epsilon = T
Tree (Singleton ) = Char
Tree (1 \mid r) = Either (Tree 1) (Tree r)
Tree (1 · r) = Pair (Tree 1) (Tree r)
Tree (r *) = List (Tree r)
```

```
match : (r : Regex) -> String -> Nondet (Tree r)
match Empty xs = Op Fail \lambda()
match Epsilon Nil = Pure tt
match Epsilon (\_::\_) = Op Fail \lambda()
match (Singleton c) xs
    if xs = [c] then Pure c else Op Fail \lambda()
match (1 \mid r) xs = Op Choice (\lambda b \rightarrow
    if b then Inl <$> match 1 xs else Inr <$> match r xs)
match (1 \cdot r) xs = do
    (ys, zs) <- allSplits xs
    (,) <$> match 1 ys <*> match r zs
```

Looks straightforward so far...

```
match : (r : Regex) -> String -> Nondet (Tree r)
match Empty xs = Op Fail \lambda()
match Epsilon Nil = Pure tt
match Epsilon (\underline{\ }::\underline{\ }) = Op Fail \lambda()
match (Singleton c) xs
    if xs = [c] then Pure c else Op Fail \lambda()
match (1 \mid r) xs = Op Choice (\lambda b \rightarrow
    if b then Inl <$> match 1 xs else Inr <$> match r xs)
match (1 \cdot r) xs = do
    (ys, zs) <- allSplits xs
    (,) <$> match 1 ys <*> match r zs
Looks straightforward so far...
... until we reach the last case:
match (r *) xs = match (r \cdot (r *)) xs
    -- Error: does not terminate
```

```
For now, we will write:

match (r *) xs = 0p Fail \lambda()
```

```
For now, we will write:

match (r *) xs = 0p Fail \lambda()
```

To verify our implementation, we take a specification consisting of precondition and postcondition:

```
pre : Regex -> String -> Set
pre r xs = hasNo* r

post : (r : Regex) -> String -> Tree r -> Set
post r xs t = Match r xs t
```

And check that match *refines* this specification.

Refinement calculus

A predicate transformer pt1 *is refined by* pt2 if pt2 satisfies more postconditions than pt1:

```
_⊑_ : (pt1 pt2 : (a -> Set) -> Set) -> Set
pt1 ⊑ pt2 = ♥ P -> pt1 P -> pt2 P
```

S ⊑ T expresses that T is "better" than S: S can be replaced with T everywhere, and all postconditions will still hold.

Refinement calculus

A predicate transformer pt1 *is refined by* pt2 if pt2 satisfies more postconditions than pt1:

```
_⊑_ : (pt1 pt2 : (a -> Set) -> Set) -> Set
pt1 ⊑ pt2 = ∀ P -> pt1 P -> pt2 P
```

S ⊑ T expresses that T is "better" than S: S can be replaced with T everywhere, and all postconditions will still hold.

Predicate transformers are a semantic domain where programs and specifications can be related.

```
[[_,_]] : (pre : Set) (post : a -> Set) -> (a -> Set) -> Set
[[ pre , post ]] P = pre ∧ ∀ x, post x -> P x
```

Verification

With these ingredients, the correctness statement of match becomes:

```
matchSound : (r : Regex) (xs : String) \rightarrow [[pre r xs , post r xs]] \sqsubseteq [[match r xs]] ptAll
```

The proof proceeds by case distinction and is uncomplicated, until we need to reason about the monadic bind operator _>>=_.

Verification

With these ingredients, the correctness statement of match becomes:

```
matchSound : (r : Regex) (xs : String) \rightarrow [[pre r xs , post r xs ]] \sqsubseteq [[match r xs ]] ptAll
```

The proof proceeds by case distinction and is uncomplicated, until we need to reason about the monadic bind operator _>>=_.

The missing ingredient is the rule of consequence:

```
consequence : \forall pt (S : Free es a) (f : a \rightarrow Free es b) \rightarrow [[ S ]] pt (\lambda x \rightarrow [[ f x ]] pt P) \equiv [[ mx >>= f ]] pt P
```

Adding effects

The problem with match is that implementing the Kleene star also requires the effect of *general recursion*.

We can add more effects to the free monad by choosing the command and response types from a list of *effect signatures*:

We will add two new effects: general recursion and parsing.

Adding effects

The Rec I 0 effect represents a recursive function of type (i:I) -> 0 i calling itself. The commands are the arguments to the function and the responses are the returned values.

```
Rec : (I : Set) (0 : I -> Set) -> Sig
Rec I 0 = mkSig I 0
```

To specify the semantics of Rec, we need an invariant of type (i : I) -> 0 i -> Set, specifying which values of type 0 i can be returned from a call with argument i : I.

```
ptRec inv i P = V o → inv i o → P o
```

Adding effects

The Parser effect represents a stateful parser with one command: advance the input string by one character.

```
Parser : Sig
Parser = mkSig T (λ _ -> Maybe Char)
```

Parser has stateful semantics: to return the next character, we need to keep track of the remaining characters. The state is the extra String arguments in ptParser.

```
ptParser : T -> (Maybe Char -> String -> Set) -> String -> Set
ptParser _ P Nil = P Nothing Nil
ptParser _ P (x :: xs) = P (Just x) xs
```

Extending match

Now we can finish the definition and prove soundness unconditionally:

```
match (r *) = Op iRec (r \cdot (r *))

matchSound : (r : Regex) (xs : String) \rightarrow [[T, post r xs]] \sqsubseteq [[match r xs]]
```

Extending match

Now we can finish the definition and prove soundness unconditionally:

```
match (r *) = Op iRec (r \cdot (r *))

matchSound : (r : Regex) (xs : String) \rightarrow [[T, post r xs]] \sqsubseteq [[match r xs]]
```

match still does not terminate if r matches the empty string, our result is only partial correctness.

ptRec computes the WLP: all recursive calls immediately return.

Defining a derivative-based matcher

To guarantee termination, use recursion on xs rather than r. The Brzozowski derivative d r /d x matches xs iff r matches x :: xs; integralTree r : tree (d r /d x) -> tree r "integrates" parse trees.

```
dmatch : (r : Regex) -> Free es (tree r)
dmatch r = symbol >>= maybe
   (\lambda x -> Op iRec (d r /d x) (integralTree r))
   (if p <- matchEpsilon r
    then Pure (Sigma.fst p)
   else Op iND Fail \(lambda())\)</pre>
```

Defining a derivative-based matcher

```
To guarantee termination, use recursion on xs rather than r.
The Brzozowski derivative d r /d x matches xs iff r matches x :: xs;
integral Tree r : tree (d r / d x) \rightarrow tree r "integrates" parse trees.
dmatch : (r : Regex) -> Free es (tree r)
dmatch r = symbol >>= maybe
    (\lambda x \rightarrow 0p iRec (d r /d x) (integralTree r))
    (if p <- matchEpsilon r
     then Pure (Sigma.fst p)
     else Op iND Fail \lambda()
dmatchSound : V r xs -> [[ match r xs ]] ⊑ [[ dmatch r xs ]]
```

Termination checking

ptRec gives weakest liberal precondition semantics. For total correctness, we should check termination.

terminates-in f S n holds iff S terminates after calling f at most n times.

```
terminates-in : (f : (i : I) -> Free (Rec I 0 :: es) (0 i)) (S : Free (Rec I 0 :: es) a) \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set} terminates-in f (Pure x) n = T terminates-in f (Op \inHead c k) Zero = \bot terminates-in f (Op \inHead c k) (Succ n) = terminates-in pt f (f c >>= k) n terminates-in f (Op (\inTail i) c k) n = pts i c (\upLambda x \rightarrow terminates-in f (k x) n)
```

Total correctness

Partial correctness of dmatch follows from the chain of refinements:

together with a proof of termination:

```
dmatchTerminates : (r : Regex) (xs : String) ->
    terminates-in dmatch (dmatch r xs) (length xs)
```

Discussion

In our paper, we illustrate how techniques from the refinement calculus can be used in functional programming. A distinguishing characteristic of our approach is modularity: we add new effects and semantics to the system as we need them.

Formally verified parsers have been developed before, using specialized semantics to the domain of parsing. The modularity of predicate transformers allow us to reason about effects uniformly.

Most existing approaches to recursion in parsers deal with termination syntactically. Separation of syntax and semantics also cleanly separates partial and total correctness.