

**ROBERT GORDON UNIVERSITY**  
**Coursework Assessment Grid**

**Student Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Final Grade:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Course:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Assessment:** Coursework

<b>Final Grade</b>	<b>A Excellent</b> Outstanding performance	<b>B Commendable</b> Meritorious performance	<b>C Good</b> Highly Competent Performance	<b>D Satisfactory</b> Competent Performance	<b>E Borderline Fail</b> Open to Compensation	<b>F Fail</b> Unsatisfactory	<b>NS</b> Non-submission
<b>Concept Report (20%)</b>	Concept report describes a sufficiently complex web app coherently; provides a complete description of its concept, information architecture and wireframe; at a high technical standard (excellent text and diagrams).	Concept report describes a web app coherently; provides a complete description of its concept, information architecture and wireframe; at an appropriate technical standard (good text and diagrams).	Concept report describes a web app; provides a complete description of its concept, information architecture and wireframe; at an acceptable technical standard (ok text and diagrams).	Concept report describes a web app; provides a partial description of its concept, information architecture and wireframe; at a low technical standard (mediocre text and diagrams).	Concept report does not describe a web app clearly; provides little description of its concept, information architecture and wireframe; at a low technical standard (poor text and diagrams).	Concept report does not describe a web app; and provides little or none of the requested information.	NS
<b>Interface Design (40%)</b>	Excellent prototype that makes full use of HTML5 and CSS to illustrate the web app interface and demonstrates excellent design and style decisions. Code is clear, readable, well-documented and structured.	Good prototype that makes use of HTML5 and CSS to illustrate the web app interface demonstrating good design decisions. Code is readable and structured.	Competent prototype that makes use of HTML5 and CSS to illustrate the web app interface design decisions. Code is structured appropriately.	Basic prototype implemented in HTML5 and CSS that illustrates a simple web app interface that demonstrates basic design decisions.	Poor attempt at interface, with little attention to detail or design. Basic HTML5 and CSS used. Code poorly presented in terms of readability and structure.	Little effort to implement prototype in HTML5 and CSS, and little or no design decisions demonstrated.	NS
<b>Functional Implementation (40%)</b>	Excellent implementation that is fully operational and illustrate substantial and appropriate use of jQuery, Ajax and 3 <sup>rd</sup> party web service APIs. Code quality of a very high standard in terms of separation of concerns, style and commenting.	Commendable implementation that is operational, illustrating appropriate use of jQuery, Ajax and 3 <sup>rd</sup> party web service APIs. Code quality of a high standard in terms of separation of concerns, style and commenting.	Good implementation that is operational and illustrate use of jQuery, Ajax and 3 <sup>rd</sup> party web service APIs. Code quality of a good standard in terms of separation of concerns, style and commenting.	Implementation that is partially operational and illustrating some use of jQuery, Ajax and 3 <sup>rd</sup> party web service APIs. Code quality of an acceptable standard.	Implementation is barely operational and does not make use of jQuery and Ajax to access a 3 <sup>rd</sup> party web service APIs. Code quality of a poor standard.	Implementation is not operational and does not make use of jQuery and Ajax, and fails to access a 3 <sup>rd</sup> party web service APIs. Code quality of a very poor standard.	NS