

# A study of some practical impacts of twisted embeddings in lattice-based cryptography

---

Candidate: Laura Viglioni

Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Ricardo Dahab

March 12, 2021

# Agenda for this presentation

Basic definitions

Learning problems

Twisted Ring-LWE cryptosystem

Objectives

Methodology and timeline

# Basic definitions

---

# Lattices

A **lattice**  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a subgroup of the additive group  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

# Lattices

In other words, given  $m$  linear independent vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the set  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\}$  is called a **basis** for  $\Lambda$  and the lattice may be defined by:

$$\Lambda := \left\{ x = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i v_i \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

That is, any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  can be written as  $\lambda = Mv$ , where  $M$  is the **generator matrix** of  $\Lambda$  where each row is a vector from the basis and  $v \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ .

# Lattices and cryptography

In the last two decades, lattice-based cryptosystems have become an important field in the cryptography community, since these cryptosystems rely on mathematical problems we believe are hard and quantum-resistant, such as the Shortest Vector Problem and the Shortest Independent Vectors Problem.

# Lattices problems

## Gap Shortest Vector Problem

For an approximation factor  $\gamma = \gamma(n) \geq 1$ , the  $GapSVP_\gamma$  is:  
given a lattice  $\Lambda$  and length  $d > 0$ , output **YES** if  $\lambda_1(\Lambda) \leq d$   
and **NO** if  $\lambda_1(L) > \gamma d$ .

## Shortest Independent Vectors Problem

For an approximation factor  $\gamma = \gamma(n) \geq 1$ , the  $SIVP_\gamma$  is:  
given a lattice  $\Lambda$ , output  $n$  linearly independent lattice vectors  
of length at most  $\gamma(n) \cdot \lambda_n(\Lambda)$ .

# The $H$ space

Let  $r, s, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that  $n = r + 2s > 0$ . The space  $H \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is defined as:

$$H = \{(a_1, \dots, a_r, b_1, \dots, b_s, \overline{b_1}, \dots, \overline{b_s}) \in \mathbb{C}^n\},$$

where  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  and  $b_j \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\forall j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ .



# The $H$ space

For all  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in H$  the space  $H$  is endowed with inner product  $\langle x, y \rangle_H$  defined as:

$$\langle x, y \rangle_H = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \overline{y_i} = \sum_{i=1}^r x_i y_i + \sum_{i=1}^s x_{i+r} \overline{y_{i+r}} + \sum_{i=1}^s \overline{x_{i+r}} y_{i+r}.$$

The  $\ell_2$ -norm and infinity norm of any  $x \in H$  are defined as  $\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle_H}$  and  $\|x\|_\infty = \max \{|x_i|\}_{i=1}^n$ .

# Number Fields

For  $K, L$  two fields, we denote by  $L/K$  a **field extension** if  $K \subseteq L$ . Then  $L$  is said to be an **extension field** over  $K$ , or just an **extension** over  $K$ . In a field extension  $L/K$ ,  $L$  has the structure of a vector space over  $K$ .

A field extension is called a **number field** when it is over the rational field  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

# Twisted embeddings

Let  $K$  and  $L$  be two field extensions and a homomorphism  $\phi : K \rightarrow L$ .  $\phi$  is said to be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homomorphism if  $\phi(a) = a, ; \forall a \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

A  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homomorphism  $\phi : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called an **embedding**.

# Twisted embeddings

## Theorem

*If  $K$  is a number field with degree  $n$  then there are exactly  $n$  embeddings  $\sigma_i : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  where by  $\sigma_i(\theta) = \theta_i$  where  $\theta_i \in \mathbb{C}$  is a distinct zero of  $K$ 's minimum polynomial.*

# Twisted embeddings

The homomorphism  $\sigma : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s$ , where  $(r, s)$  is the signature of  $K$ , is the **canonical embedding** and is defined by:

$$\sigma(x) = (\sigma_1(x), \dots, \sigma_r(x), \sigma_{r+1}(x), \dots, \sigma_{r+s}(x)).$$

Note that we could rewrite the canonical embedding as  $\sigma : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\sigma(x) = (\sigma_1(x), \dots, \sigma_r(x), \Re(\sigma_{r+1}(x)), \Im(\sigma_{r+1}(x)), \dots, \Re(\sigma_{r+s}(x)), \Im(\sigma_{r+s}(x))).$$

# Algebraic lattices

Let  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$  be an integral basis of  $K$ . The  $n$  vectors  $v_i = \sigma(\omega_i) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are linearly independent, so they define a full rank algebraic lattice  $\Lambda = \Lambda(\mathcal{O}_K) = \sigma(\mathcal{O}_K)$ .

The generator matrix of  $\Lambda = \sigma(\mathcal{O}_K)$  is defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\omega_1) & \dots & \sigma_{r+2s}(\omega_1) \\ & \vdots & \\ \sigma_1(\omega_n) & \dots & \sigma_{r+2s}(\omega_n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

# Twisted embeddings and number fields

An embedding creates the correspondence between a point  $\lambda \in \Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  of an algebraic lattice.

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda &= (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{r+2s}) \in \Lambda \\ &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^n z_i \sigma_1(\omega_i), \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n z_i \sigma_{r+2s}(\omega_i) \right) \\ &= \left( \sigma_1 \left( \sum_{i=1}^n z_i \omega_i \right), \dots, \sigma_{r+2s} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n z_i \omega_i \right) \right),\end{aligned}$$

where  $z_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since any element  $x \in \mathcal{O}_K$  has the form  $x = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \omega_i$ , we can conclude that

$$\lambda = (\sigma_1(x), \dots, \sigma_{r+2s}(x)) = \sigma(x).$$

# Learning problems

---



# Learning from Parity

Given  $m$  vectors uniformly chosen  $a_i \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^n$  and some  $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$ , we define the problem **Learning from Parity (LFP)** as:

Find  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$  such that, for  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$

$$\langle s, a_i \rangle \approx_{\epsilon} b_i \pmod{2}.$$

In other words, the equality holds with probability  $1 - \epsilon$ .

# Learning with Errors

Learning with Errors (LWE) is a generalization of LFP with two new parameters  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $\chi$  a probability distribution on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  so that we have:

$$\langle s, a_i \rangle \approx_{\chi} b_i \pmod{p} \quad \text{or} \quad \langle s, a_i \rangle + e_i = b_i \pmod{p},$$

where  $a_i \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^n$  uniformly and  $e_i \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}$  according to  $\chi$ .

# Ring-LWE search

Let  $K$  be a number field,  $R = \mathcal{O}_K$  its ring of integers and  $R^\vee$  the codifferent ideal of  $K$ . Also let  $K_{\mathbb{R}}$  be the tensor product  $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\Psi$  be a family of distributions over  $K_{\mathbb{R}}$ . The **search version of the ring – LWE problem**, denoted  $R - \text{LWE}_{q,\Psi}$ , is defined as follows: given access to arbitrarily many independent samples from  $A_{s,\psi}$  for some arbitrary  $s \in R_q^\vee$  and  $\psi \in \Psi$ , find  $s$ .

# Ring-LWE hardness

## Theorem

*Let  $K$  be the  $m^{\text{th}}$  cyclotomic number field having dimension  $n = \phi(m)$  and  $R = \mathcal{O}_K$  be its ring of integers. Let  $\alpha < \sqrt{(\log n)/n}$ , and let  $q = q(n) \geq 2$ ,  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  be a  $\text{poly}(n)$ -bounded prime such that  $\alpha q \geq \omega(\sqrt{\log n})$ . Then there is a polynomial-time quantum reduction from  $\tilde{O}(n/\alpha)$ -approximate SIVP (or SVP) on ideal lattices in  $K$  to  $R - \text{DLWE}_{q, \tau_\alpha}$ .*

Lyubashevsky, Peikert, and Regev. On ideal lattices and learning with errors over rings.

# Twisted Ring-LWE

For a totally positive element  $\tau \in F$ , let  $\psi_\tau$  denote an error distribution over the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\tau$  and  $s \in R_q^\vee$  (the “secret”) be an uniformly randomized element. The *Twisted Ring-LWE distribution*  $\mathcal{A}_{s, \psi_\tau}$  produces samples of the form

$$a, b = a \cdot s + e \pmod{qR^\vee} \in R_q \times K_{\mathbb{R}}/qR^\vee.$$

# Twisted Ring-LWE hardness

Solving the Twisted Ring-LWE is as hard as solving the usual Ring-LWE.

## Theorem

*Let  $K$  be an arbitrary number field, and let  $\tau \in F$  be totally positive. Also, let  $(s, \psi)$  be randomly chosen from  $(U(R_q^\vee) \times \Psi)$  in  $(K_{\mathbb{R}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\tau=1})$ . Then there is a polynomial-time reduction from  $\text{Ring-LWE}_{q, \psi}$  to  $\text{Ring-LWE}_{q, \psi}^\tau$ .*

# Twisted Ring-LWE cryptosystem

---

# Cryptosystem presented by Ortiz et al.

- Let  $R$  be an  $m$ -th cyclotomic ring and  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$  coprime numbers.
- The message space is defined as  $R_p$ .
- Consider that  $\phi_\tau$  is an error distribution over  $(K_{\mathbb{R}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\tau)$  and  $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$  denotes a valid discretization to (cosets) of  $R^\vee$  or  $pR^\vee$ .
- $\hat{m} = m/2$  if  $m$  is even, otherwise  $\hat{m} = m$ .
- For any  $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ , let  $[[\bar{a}]]$  denote the unique representative  $a \in (\bar{a} + q\mathbb{Z}) \cap [-q/2, q/2)$ , which is entry-wise extended to polynomials.



# Cryptosystem presented by Ortiz et al.

- **Key generation:** choose a uniformly random  $a \in R_q$ .  
Choose  $x \leftarrow \lfloor \phi_\tau \rfloor$  and  $e \leftarrow \lfloor p \cdot \phi_\tau \rfloor_{pR^\vee}$ . Output  $(a, b = \hat{m} \cdot (a \cdot x + e) \bmod qR) \in R_q \times R_q$  as the public key and  $x$  as the secret key.
- **Encryption:** choose  $z \leftarrow \lfloor \phi_\tau \rfloor_R^\vee$ ,  $e' \leftarrow \lfloor p \cdot \phi_\tau \rfloor_{pR^\vee}$  and  $e'' \leftarrow \lfloor p \cdot \phi_\tau \rfloor_{t^{-1}\mu + pR^\vee}$ , where  $\mu \in R_p$  is the word to be encrypted. Let  $u = \hat{m} \cdot (a \cdot z + e') \bmod qR$  and  $v = z \cdot b + e'' \in R_q^\vee$ . Output  $(u, v) \in R_q \times R_q^\vee$ .
- **Decryption:** Given the encrypted message  $(u, v)$ , compute  $v - u \cdot x \bmod qR^\vee$ , and decode it to  $d = \llbracket v - u \cdot x \rrbracket \in R^\vee$ . Output  $\mu = t \cdot d \bmod pR$ .

# Objectives

---

# Main goal

- Validate the idea of using twisted embeddings in cryptography
- Explore the theoretical and the practical aspects of this proposal

# Practical aspects

- Compare implementations and instances of the Twisted Ring-LWE and Ring-LWE
- Maximum real subfield versus the cyclotomic power-of-two
- Search for proper sizes of keys and messages

# Theoretical aspects

- Study the polynomial arithmetic of the maximal real subfield
- Study the relation between the orthonormal basis and the efficient conversion between lattice points and elements of number field
- Examine if it is possible to achieve a satisfactory efficiency with non-orthonormal basis

# Methodology and timeline

---

# Methodology

- **Literature Review:** review proposals of new cryptosystems, such as *NTTRU*.
- **Theoretical experiments:** perform experiments using algebra libraries to discover twist factors and to discover orthonormal bases.
- **Experimental outcome:** to calculate the expansion factor of the polynomial  $f(x)$  that defines the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/f(x)$ . Adapt or develop algorithms for polynomial multiplication.
- **Implementation:** implement a Twisted Ring-LWE based cryptosystem.
- **Practical experiments:** to estimate the cost in terms of clock cycles, also key and message sizes.

# Timeline

- First and second semesters of 2021
  - Study the Twisted Ring LWE problem and implementation.
  - Perform theoretical experiments with number fields, twist factors and lattices.
  - Calculate the expansion factor and adapt/develop algorithms for polynomial multiplication.
- First and second semesters of 2022
  - Implement a Twisted Ring-LWE based cryptosystem.
  - Compare instances of Ring LWE and Twisted Ring LWE, *i.e.*, analyze the cryptosystem in both terms of clock cycles and key sizes.
  - Defense of dissertation.



Thank you!

---