For problem 1:

The data mining technique used in problem 1 is Apriori algorithm. We wanted to generate the frequent 3 itemset (a 3 tuple (item ID, hour number, student degree)). For this we added a dummy field to the table (all rows in this field have value as true).

Here only the antecedent support mattered, since in all rules, the consequent will be the dummy field. The minimum antecedent support was set to **0.4%**.

| dummy_field | ItemID_154 | |
|-------------|----------------|--|
| | Hour Number_22 | |
| | Degree_F2 | |
| dummy_field | ItemID_154 | |
| | Hour Number_22 | |
| | Degree_F3 | |
| dummy_field | ItemID_154 | |
| | Hour Number_23 | |
| | Degree_F2 | |
| dummy_field | ItemID_154 | |
| | Hour Number_23 | |
| | Degree_F3 | |
| dummy_field | ItemID_151 | |
| | Hour Number_21 | |
| | Degree_F0 | |
| dummy_field | ItemID_151 | |
| | Degree_F4 | |
| | Hour Number_20 | |
| dummy_field | ItemID_151 | |
| | Degree_F0 | |
| | Hour Number_20 | |
| dummy_field | ItemID_151 | |
| | Hour Number_20 | |
| | Degree_F2 | |
| dummy_field | ItemID_151 | |
| | Hour Number_20 | |
| | Degree_F3 | |

| dummy_field | Degree_F0 |
|-------------|----------------|
| | ItemID_151 |
| | Hour Number_20 |
| dummy_field | ItemID_151 |
| | Hour Number_21 |
| | Degree_F3 |
| dummy_field | ItemID_151 |
| | Hour Number_20 |
| | Degree_F4 |
| dummy_field | ItemID_151 |
| | Hour Number_20 |
| | Degree_F3 |
| dummy_field | ItemID_151 |
| | Hour Number_20 |
| | Degree_F2 |
| dummy_field | ItemID_151 |
| | Hour Number_22 |
| | Degree_F3 |
| dummy_field | ItemID_151 |
| | Hour Number_22 |
| | Degree_F2 |

For September

| | | ı |
|-------------|----------------|---|
| dummy_field | ItemID_151 | l |
| | Hour Number_21 | |
| | Degree_F3 | L |
| dummy_field | ItemID_151 | l |
| | Hour Number_20 | (|
| | Degree_F4 | l |
| dummy_field | ItemID_151 | l |
| | Hour Number_20 | ŀ |
| | Degree_F3 | l |
| dummy_field | ItemID_151 | l |
| | Hour Number_20 | (|
| | Degree_F2 | |
| dummy_field | ItemID_151 | l |
| | Hour Number_22 | (|
| | Degree_F3 | l |
| dummy_field | ItemID_151 | ľ |
| | Hour Number_23 | (|
| | Degree_F3 | L |
| dummy_field | ItemID_151 | ſ |
| | Hour Number_23 | (|
| | Degree_F2 | |

For August

| , | |
|-------------|----------------|
| dummy_field | ItemID_151 |
| | Degree_F1 |
| | Hour Number_23 |
| dummy_field | ItemID_151 |
| | Hour Number_20 |
| | Degree_F4 |
| dummy_field | ItemID_151 |
| | Hour Number_20 |
| | Degree_F3 |
| dummy_field | ItemID_151 |
| | Hour Number_20 |
| | Degree_F2 |
| dummy_field | ItemID_151 |
| | Hour Number_22 |
| | Degree_F3 |
| dummy_field | ItemID_151 |
| | Hour Number_22 |
| | Degree_F2 |
| dummy_field | ItemID_151 |
| | Hour Number_23 |
| | Degree_F2 |

For October

For November

Performance Metrics:

Performance metrics used for this problem is minimum antecedent support.

Results: The increase in revenue comes out to be 1.5% with penalty 7604.

For problem 2:

For finding the combos of low support and high confidence:

First for each month the data was converted to transaction data and then it was converted to presence absence matrix. On this data, apriori algorithm was applied to get the combos. The minimum antecedent support for this was set to 0.1% and minimum rule confidence as 75%.

| Consequent | Antecedent | Support % | Confidence % |
|------------|------------|-----------|--------------|
| item_151 | item_159 | 0.119 | 100.0 |
| | item_146 | | |
| item_151 | item_146 | 0.362 | 95.522 |
| | item_155 | 0.002 | |
| item_151 | item_164 | 0.114 | 95.238 |
| | item_130 | | |
| item_151 | item_146 | 0.103 | 94.737 |
| | item_161 | | |
| item_151 | item_164 | 0.103 | 94.737 |
| | item_134 | | |
| item_151 | item_146 | 0.281 | 94.231 |
| | item_154 | | 54.251 |
| item_151 | item_164 | 0.352 | 93.846 |
| | item_155 | | |
| item_151 | item_146 | 0.238 | 93.182 |
| | item_164 | | |
| item_151 | item_164 | 0.465 | 93.023 |
| | item_154 | | |
| item_151 | item_164 | 0.211 | 92.308 |
| | item_156 | | |
| item_151 | item_139 | 0.162 | 90.0 |
| | item_164 | | |
| item_151 | item_146 | 0.481 | 88.764 |
| | item_148 | | |
| item_151 | item_146 | 0.189 | 88.571 |
| | item_128 | | |

For August

| Consequent | Antecedent | Support % | Confidence % |
|------------|------------|-----------|--------------|
| item_151 | item_164 | 0.146 | 97.101 |
| | item_148 | 0.140 | |
| item_151 | item_156 | 0.102 | 95.833 |
| | item_164 | 0.102 | |
| item_151 | item_164 | 0.365 | 94.186 |
| | item_154 | 0.505 | 54.100 |
| item_151 | item_146 | 0.199 | 93.617 |
| | item_164 | 0.133 | 55.017 |
| item_151 | item_164 | 0.363 | 93.567 |
| | item_76 | 0.000 | 00.001 |
| item_151 | item_164 | 0.195 | 93.478 |
| | item_169 | 0.100 | |
| item_151 | item_146 | 0.227 | 93.458 |
| | item_154 | | |
| item_151 | item_146 | 0.403 | 91.053 |
| | item_76 | | 0 1.000 |
| item_151 | item_164 | 0.157 | 90.541 |
| | item_128 | | |
| item_151 | item_146 | 0.121 | 87.719 |
| | item_169 | 0.121 | |
| item_151 | item_146 | 2.973 | 84.237 |
| item_151 | item_146 | 0.246 | 83.621 |
| | item_148 | | |
| item_151 | item_164 | 2.956 | 82.353 |
| item_151 | item_166 | 0.416 | 77.041 |

For September

| Consequent | Antecedent | Support % | Confidence % |
|------------|----------------------|-----------|--------------|
| item_151 | item_146 item_142 | 0.107 | 94.231 |
| item_151 | item_146 item_76 | 0.23 | 93.75 |
| item_151 | item_164 item_76 | 0.193 | 93.617 |
| item_151 | item_164 item_169 | 0.146 | 92.958 |
| item_151 | item_164 item_146 | 0.23 | 92.857 |
| item_151 | item_146 item_154 | 0.195 | 92.632 |
| item_151 | item_146 item_169 | 0.141 | 91.304 |
| item_151 | item_164 item_154 | 0.24 | 90.598 |
| item_151 | item_146 | 3.162 | 82.879 |
| item_151 | item_164 | 2.99 | 79.492 |

For October

| Consequent | Antecedent | Support % | Confidence % |
|------------|----------------------|-----------|--------------|
| item_151 | item_164 item_154 | 0.149 | 92.727 |
| item_151 | item_146 item_142 | 0.111 | 92.683 |
| item_151 | item_146 item_134 | 0.111 | 92.683 |
| item_151 | item_146 item_154 | 0.144 | 92.453 |
| item_151 | item_164 item_128 | 0.171 | 92.063 |
| item_151 | item_164 item_146 | 0.225 | 91.566 |
| item_151 | item_146 item_76 | 0.315 | 90.517 |
| item_151 | item_164 item_76 | 0.166 | 90.164 |
| item_151 | item_146 item_128 | 0.179 | 89.394 |
| item_151 | item_146 | 4.658 | 80.875 |
| item_151 | item_164 | 2.784 | 79.024 |

For November

We couldn't make combos of low rating and high rating items. We only made combos of items which had low support and high confidence. The loss in revenue in the month of December came out to be:4.17878018446%. Total combos made were: 26.

The performance metrics that can be used for this problem are confidence, lift.

For problem 3 the questions formed and the solutions are in another pdf.

The questions formed are on cash counter for cash payments and on the seasonal items, when to increase the prices of items or to discontinue an item for a month.