

Solutions Assignment-4

① part 1

I have used JAGS for this question

solution is "rmd file.pdf"

Yes, MCMC sampler has converged

and Yes, the rate of discovery is changing over time and it is decreasing.

part 2

Here
Candidate distribution is $N(\beta_j, \sigma_j^2)$

~~N(beta)~~

Code part is "rmd file.pdf".

Yes, chains have converged.

acceptance ratio for $\alpha = 0.409$

acceptance ratio for $\beta = 0.458$

This ratio is good and acceptable.

②

(a), (b), (c), (d), (e) → all answers

I have written in "rmd file.pdf".

③.

(a) Convergence may be slow because no. of parameters are more than ~~observed~~ observations

so, it is likely that not all parameters are identifiable.

(b), → JAGS code → "rmd file.pdf"

(c) Here convergence is better than previous case.
code → "rmd file.pdf".

④. For theory I have in "rmd file.pdf"

The credible set excludes 0

so, we can say that treatment is effective

For the sensitivity to the prior
I fit the model using vague but proper
priors using JAGS

Here, the results is different
as 0 is included in the credible set.
So, both mean are same.

priors for $\mu \sim N(0, 10^2)$

prior for $\beta \sim N(0, 10^2)$

priors for $\sigma^2 \sim \text{Inv-Gamma}(0.1, 0.1)$

BLR

$$\textcircled{5}. \quad Y_i \sim \beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j X_{ij} + \epsilon_i, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n$$
$$\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

②. Uninformative Gaussian prior

$$\beta_0 \sim N(0, 100^2)$$

$$\beta_j \sim N(0, 100^2), \quad j=1, \dots, p$$

$$\sigma^2 \sim \text{Inv-Gamma}(0.01, 0.01)$$

MCMC sampler has converged

and I have put image of trace plot

(b) ~~code part~~ I have use the
lm function in R
and I got β_j $j=1 \dots p$
and I found both regression
coefficients same.

(c) Results also same here.

(d) "rmd file.pdf".