

CSP2348 Data Structures

Workshop Test 1: 20MAR15 1600-1800

Martin Ponce: Student 10371381

1:

Using a manual method, apply `floor()` and `ceiling()` functions to $\log_2(1050)$.

Answer:

1. $1024 \leq 1050 < 2048$
2. $\log_2(1024) \leq \log_2(1050) < \log_2(2048)$
3. $\log_2(1024) = 10 \leq \log_2(1050) < \log_2(2048) = 11$
4. Therefore:
 - $\text{floor}(\log_2(1050)) = 10$
 - $\text{ceiling}(\log_2(1050)) = 11$

2:

Find the Greatest Common Divisor (GCD) of 1050 and 588 by manually executing the Euclid GCD algorithm shown on page 3 of the textbook or 'lecture01.ppt' slide 7-9.

To find the GCD of positive integers m and n :

1. Set $p = m$, set $q = n$
2. Until q exactly divides p , repeat:
 1. Set $p = q$, set $q = (p \bmod q)$
3. Terminate with answer q

Answer:

1. $p = 1050, q = 588$
2. $1050 \% 588 \neq 0$
 1. $p = 588$
 2. $q = 1050 \% 588$
 - $q = 462$
3. $588 \% 462 \neq 0$
 1. $p = 462$
 2. $q = 588 \% 462$
 - $q = 126$
4. $462 \% 126 \neq 0$
 1. $p = 126$
 2. $q = 462 \% 126$
 - $q = 84$
5. $126 \% 84 \neq 0$
 1. $p = 84$
 2. $q = 126 \% 84$
 - $q = 42$
6. $84 \% 42 == 0$
7. $q = 0$

3:

Suppose that the following expression is the sum of the characteristic operations of an algorithm.

$$776 * n^2 * \log_2(n) + 3.1 * n^3 + 8 * n^2 + 30 * n^{2/3} + 850$$

Answer:

$$\begin{aligned}
& O(776 * n^2 * \log_2(n) + 3.1 * n^3 + 8 * n^2 + 30 * n^{2/3} + 850) \\
& \Rightarrow \max\{O(776 * n^2 * \log_2(n)), O(3.1 * n^3), O(8 * n^2), O(30 * n^{2/3}), O(850)\} \\
& \Rightarrow \max\{O(n^2 * \log_2(n)), O(n^3), O(n^2), O(n^{2/3}), O(1)\} \\
& = O(n^3)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, time complexity for algorithm is $O(n^3)$.

4:

Determine the time complexity of the following method, using O-notation.

```

int example(int[] array) {           // 01 // O(1)
    if(array == null) {               // 02 // O(1)
        return 0;                     // 03 // O(1)
    }                                 // 04 // --
    int n = array.length;             // 05 // O(1)
    if(n == 0) {                      // 06 // O(1)
        return 0;                     // 07 // O(1)
    }                                 // 08 // --
    int maximum = array[0];            // 09 // O(1)
    int minimum = array[0];           // 10 // O(1)
    for(int i = 1; i < n; i++) {       // 11 // O(1) * #e
        if(array[i] > maximum) {       // 12 // O(1)
            maximum = array[i];        // 13 // O(1)
        }                             // 14 // --
        if(array[i] < minimum) {       // 15 // O(1)
            minimum = array[i];        // 16 // O(1)
        }                             // 17 // --
    }                                 // 18 // --
    return n * maximum * minimum;     // 19 // O(1)
}                                     // 20 // --

// Loop-control: i = 1, ..., n-1
// #e = Number of executions = O(n)
// Maximum cost: O(n)

```

Answer:

Time complexity of method is $O(n)$.

Alternative answer:

Let n be the number of the elements of the array, ie. $n = \text{array.length}$.

Lines 02 - 10 and line 19 can be done in constant time $O(1)$.

The `for` loop at line 11 is executed n times.

Inside the body of the loop, from lines 12 - 14 conduct a comparison. Lines 15 - 17 conduct a separate comparison.

Therefore, the total number of comparisons of the `for` loop within lines 11 - 18 is $O(2 * n)$.

Therefore, the time complexity of the algorithm is:

$$\begin{aligned}
& O(1) + O(2 * n) \\
& \Rightarrow \max\{O(1), O(2 * n)\} \\
& \Rightarrow \max\{O(1), O(n)\} \\
& = O(n)
\end{aligned}$$

5:

Suppose that we have a file **F** that contains n^2 distinctive integer values, which are in ascending order. Consider the following process:

- Consecutively, read the values from the file **F** and store them in the same order in an appropriately-sized array **A**
- Search the array **A** for a specific target value **T**
- Terminate with either:
 - **+index** if **T** is found in cell **A[index]**
 - **-1** if **T** is not found in array **A**

1. Determine which search algorithm is best and state its steps
2. Analyse the time complexity of the entire process in terms of O-notation

Answer:

5.1:

The best search algorithm is binary search because it has the best time complexity for sorted arrays. Array A meets sorted array criteria.

To find which (if any) component of the sorted (sub)array $a[\text{left} \dots \text{right}]$ equals target:

1. Set $l = \text{left}$, $r = \text{right}$
2. While $l \leq r$, repeat:
 1. Let m be an integer about halfway between l and r
 2. If target equals $a[m]$, terminate with answer m
 3. If target is less than $a[m]$, set $r = m - 1$
 4. If target is greater than $a[m]$, set $l = m + 1$
3. Terminate with answer none

5.2:

Analysing the time complexity of the entire process:

1. Reading each value from **F** then storing in **A** is a constant time $O(1)$
 - Since array is of $n * n$, time to iterate over all values would be $O(n^2)$
2. Using binary search and assuming Steps 2.2 to 2.4 perform a single comparison:
 - If search is unsuccessful, steps are repeated until n^2 is halved to 0
 - Number of comparisons = $\text{floor}(\log_2 n^2 + 1)$
 - = $\text{floor}(2 * \log_2 n) + 1$
 - If search is successful, steps are repeated at most that many times
 - Max number of comparisons = $\text{floor}(\log_2 n^2 + 1)$
 - = $\text{floor}(2 * \log_2 n) + 1$
 - Combining both processes
 - $O(n^2) + O(\log_2 n)$
 - $\Rightarrow \max\{O(n^2), O(\log_2 n)\}$
 - = $O(n^2)$