

Edith Cowan University
CSG2341
Intelligent Systems
Assignment 1A

Martin Ponce
Student 10371381

Tutor: Philip Hingston

August 28, 2015

Contents

1 Introduction 3

2 Idea 4

2.1 Flight regime 4

2.2 Weapon employment 4

3 Fuzzy variables 5

3.1 Input variables 5

3.1.1 Distance to target 5

3.1.2 Energy difference 5

3.1.3 Heading angle 6

4 Sample fuzzy rule 7

5 Learnings 8

6 Conclusion 9

References 10

1 Introduction

This report examines fuzzy logic and its practical use in a video game called Saucers. Saucers is a two player game, where each player indirectly controls their flying saucer using a fuzzy logic controller. The saucers meet on a battle space, a rectangular xy plane, with the purpose of destroying each other. The walls of this space cannot be travelled through, and will cause the saucer to ricochet off the wall when hit.

The saucers begin with equal amounts of energy at the start of the game, and this energy is consumed as they fly around or fire their auto-aiming cannon mounted on a rotating turret. The rounds fired from the cannon are ballistic. They travel in a straight line from the cannon and are not guided. When a saucer is hit by a round, energy is depleted. Amount of energy depletion depends on how far away the round was fired. In other words, rounds fired will lose energy the further they have to travel, and will eventually fade away. They are much more lethal in close combat.

Saucers cannot stop flying and will always consume energy. However, the speed of a saucer can be controlled. The slowest speed consumes the least amount of energy, while the fastest speed consumes the most. The saucer's heading can also be controlled, and can turn left or right in any direction. Currently, there is no energy penalty for turning. Each saucer is also equipped with a sensor, which determines how far away the opponent is, the opponent direction, and how much energy the opponent has, which are used as inputs for fuzzy logic.

When a saucer loses all of its energy, it disappears from the battle space and loses. The remaining saucer with energy left over is the winner. The goal of this report is to design a fuzzy logic controller so that it's saucer will have the most amount of remaining energy at the end of the battle.

2 Idea

The tactics of this controller are based on two facts:

- Saucers will always consume energy, no matter what they are doing.
- Cannon rounds are much more effective at close range.

Since saucers will always consume energy during all regimes of flight, this report believes that it is more efficient to be in a position to fire the weapon and attempt to degrade the enemy's energy at a faster rate, rather than fly defensively without firing at all. It is also more effective to be within close range of the enemy to cause more damage with the cannon, but on the other hand, the enemy's cannon will also be just as dangerous.

Therefore, the tactics of the controller will be as discussed below.

2.1 Flight regime

If the enemy is far while winning, commit to engagement and fly aggressively towards the enemy. Otherwise if the enemy is close, and winning or the score is even, fly at a slow speed to stay within close range. This will also allow the enemy to pass so that the saucer can stay behind the enemy and avoid overshooting him. During winning or even scores, the saucer will always turn towards the enemy attempting to close the distance to a much more lethal firing range.

If losing, break contact and fly away from the enemy at the appropriate speed. If close, fly as fast as possible away from the enemy. If near, fly moderately, and if far, then fly at the minimum speed to conserve energy.

2.2 Weapon employment

Since cannon shots lose energy the further they travel, only fire at close or near ranges to maximise efficiency of energy. When close and winning, fire at maximum power. When close and the score is even, fire at medium power. When near and winning or the score is even, fire the cannon at maximum power to ensure that the cannon round will reach the enemy. During other circumstances, hold fire to conserve energy.

3 Fuzzy variables

Three linguistic variables have been selected for input, based on the sensor readings of the saucer: Distance to target, energy difference and heading angle.

Conversely, three linguistic variables have been selected for output: Turn, speed and fire power.

3.1 Input variables


3.1.1 Distance to target

Distance to target is the distance from the saucer to the opponent, and is measured in meters. The universe of disclosure for distance is between 0 meters and the diagonal length of the battle space. The formula has been supplied in the existing code as:

$$\sqrt{\text{width} \cdot \text{width} + \text{height} \cdot \text{height}}$$

This linguistic variable is used to determine how much energy will be committed to firing the cannon. As mentioned previously, the cannon will only be fired at close or near distances. Therefore, three fuzzy sets are associated with distance:


Figure 1: Distance to target fuzzy sets



3.1.2 Energy difference

Energy difference is the difference between the saucer's energy and the opponent's energy. The universe of disclosure for energyDifference is between -10,000j to +10,000j, where 10,000j is the amount of energy that the saucers begin with. This linguistic variable determines who is winning, who is losing, or if the score is even. It is used as input to decide how much energy is committed in firing the weapon, as well as whether or not to turn into or away from the enemy. The following fuzzy sets are created for energy difference:


Figure 2: Energy difference fuzzy sets



3.1.3 Heading angle

Heading angle is the direction of the opponent in relation to the saucer, in degrees. After printing `opponentDirection` during execution, it is assumed that the universe of discourse for this linguistic variable is from -360° to $+360^\circ$. The variable, in conjunction with energy difference, dictates how the saucer will turn, and has been configured with the following fuzzy sets:

Figure 3: Heading angle fuzzy sets



4 Sample fuzzy rule

5 Learnings

6 Conclusion

References