

WebFlux vs Virtual Threads Cheat Sheet

Cheat Sheet: WebFlux vs Virtual Threads (Spring MVC)

| Situation | Recommendation |

|:---|:---|

| Simple CRUD APIs | Spring MVC + Virtual Threads |

| Heavy Database interactions (JDBC) | Spring MVC + Virtual Threads |

| Real-time systems (Websockets, Streaming) | Spring WebFlux |

| Handling 100k+ concurrent users | Spring WebFlux |

| Team prefers easy imperative programming | Spring MVC + Virtual Threads |

| Full reactive stack needed (Kafka, R2DBC, WebClient) | Spring WebFlux |

| Existing codebase is blocking | MVC + Virtual Threads (no major refactor) |

| Need backpressure control | WebFlux |

How to Decide in 30 Seconds

Is the app mostly API/DB CRUD + REST APIs?

-> Use MVC + Virtual Threads (simpler, stable)

Is the app real-time, stream-heavy, 100k concurrent users?

-> Go with WebFlux (full reactive power)

Do you need non-blocking across DB, messaging, networking?

-> WebFlux + R2DBC + Reactive Kafka

Is your team more comfortable with classic Java coding?

-> MVC + Virtual Threads

Are you migrating old blocking code?

-> MVC + Virtual Threads

Real-world Project Structures

Spring MVC + Virtual Threads (Simple, Clean)

/src/main/java/com/example/app

controller/UserController.java

service/UserService.java

repository/UserRepository.java

config/VirtualThreadConfig.java

AppApplication.java

Spring WebFlux (Full Reactive)

/src/main/java/com/example/app

handler/UserHandler.java

router/UserRouter.java

service/UserService.java

repository/UserRepository.java (Reactive)

config/WebFluxConfig.java

AppApplication.java