Relevant Readings: Section 8.3 in Mitchell

CS495 - Machine Learning, Fall 2009

Generalizing k-nearest neighbor to continuous outputs

► The version of *k*-nearest neighbors we have already seen works well for discrete outputs

Generalizing k-nearest neighbor to continuous outputs

- ► The version of *k*-nearest neighbors we have already seen works well for discrete outputs
- ► How would we generalize this to predict continuous outputs? Ideas?

Generalizing k-nearest neighbor to continuous outputs

- ► The version of *k*-nearest neighbors we have already seen works well for discrete outputs
- ► How would we generalize this to predict continuous outputs? Ideas?

► Local means using nearby points (i.e. a nearest neighbors approach)

- ► Local means using nearby points (i.e. a nearest neighbors approach)
- Weighted means we value points based upon how far away they are

- ► Local means using nearby points (i.e. a nearest neighbors approach)
- Weighted means we value points based upon how far away they are
- ► Regression means approximating a function

- Local means using nearby points (i.e. a nearest neighbors approach)
- Weighted means we value points based upon how far away they are
- Regression means approximating a function
- This is an instance-based learning method

- Local means using nearby points (i.e. a nearest neighbors approach)
- Weighted means we value points based upon how far away they are
- Regression means approximating a function
- ▶ This is an instance-based learning method
- ▶ The idea: whenever you want to classify a sample:

- Local means using nearby points (i.e. a nearest neighbors approach)
- Weighted means we value points based upon how far away they are
- Regression means approximating a function
- This is an instance-based learning method
- ▶ The idea: whenever you want to classify a sample:
 - Build a local model of the function (using a linear function, quadratic, neural network, etc.)

- Local means using nearby points (i.e. a nearest neighbors approach)
- Weighted means we value points based upon how far away they are
- Regression means approximating a function
- This is an instance-based learning method
- ▶ The idea: whenever you want to classify a sample:
 - Build a local model of the function (using a linear function, quadratic, neural network, etc.)
 - Use the model to predict the output value

- Local means using nearby points (i.e. a nearest neighbors approach)
- Weighted means we value points based upon how far away they are
- Regression means approximating a function
- This is an instance-based learning method
- ▶ The idea: whenever you want to classify a sample:
 - Build a local model of the function (using a linear function, quadratic, neural network, etc.)
 - Use the model to predict the output value
 - Throw the model away

- Local means using nearby points (i.e. a nearest neighbors approach)
- Weighted means we value points based upon how far away they are
- Regression means approximating a function
- This is an instance-based learning method
- ▶ The idea: whenever you want to classify a sample:
 - Build a local model of the function (using a linear function, quadratic, neural network, etc.)
 - Use the model to predict the output value
 - Throw the model away

- ▶ In the following,
 - x is an instance, D is the set of possible instances

- ▶ In the following,
 - x is an instance, D is the set of possible instances
 - $a_i(x)$ is the value of the i^{th} attribute

- ▶ In the following,
 - x is an instance, D is the set of possible instances
 - $a_i(x)$ is the value of the $i^{\rm th}$ attribute
 - ▶ The weights w_i form our hypothesis

- ▶ In the following,
 - x is an instance, D is the set of possible instances
 - $a_i(x)$ is the value of the i^{th} attribute
 - ▶ The weights w_i form our hypothesis
 - f is the target function

- ▶ In the following,
 - x is an instance, D is the set of possible instances
 - $a_i(x)$ is the value of the i^{th} attribute
 - ▶ The weights w_i form our hypothesis
 - f is the target function
 - $ightharpoonup \hat{f}$ is our approximation to the target function

- ▶ In the following,
 - x is an instance, D is the set of possible instances
 - $a_i(x)$ is the value of the i^{th} attribute
 - ▶ The weights w_i form our hypothesis
 - f is the target function
 - $ightharpoonup \hat{f}$ is our approximation to the target function

▶ In this case, we use a linear model to do the local approximation \hat{f} :

In this case, we use a linear model to do the local approximation \hat{f} :

•
$$\hat{f}(x) = w_0 + w_1 a_1(x) + \cdots + w_n a_n(x)$$

▶ In this case, we use a linear model to do the local approximation \hat{f} :

•
$$\hat{f}(x) = w_0 + w_1 a_1(x) + \cdots + w_n a_n(x)$$

▶ Suppose we aim to minimize the total squared error:

In this case, we use a linear model to do the local approximation \hat{f} :

$$\hat{f}(x) = w_0 + w_1 a_1(x) + \cdots + w_n a_n(x)$$

▶ Suppose we aim to minimize the total squared error:

•
$$E = (1/2) \sum_{x \in D} (f(x) - \hat{f}(x))^2$$

In this case, we use a linear model to do the local approximation \hat{f} :

$$\hat{f}(x) = w_0 + w_1 a_1(x) + \cdots + w_n a_n(x)$$

► Suppose we aim to minimize the total squared error:

•
$$E = (1/2) \sum_{x \in D} (f(x) - \hat{f}(x))^2$$

 Recall the gradient descent we used in checkers for this purpose

In this case, we use a linear model to do the local approximation \hat{f} :

•
$$\hat{f}(x) = w_0 + w_1 a_1(x) + \cdots + w_n a_n(x)$$

► Suppose we aim to minimize the total squared error:

•
$$E = (1/2) \sum_{x \in D} (f(x) - \hat{f}(x))^2$$

 Recall the gradient descent we used in checkers for this purpose

In this case, we use a linear model to do the local approximation \hat{f} :

$$\hat{f}(x) = w_0 + w_1 a_1(x) + \cdots + w_n a_n(x)$$

▶ Suppose we aim to minimize the total squared error:

•
$$E = (1/2) \sum_{x \in D} (f(x) - \hat{f}(x))^2$$

 Recall the gradient descent we used in checkers for this purpose

$$\Delta w_j = \eta \sum_{x \in D} (f(x) - \hat{f}(x)) a_j(x)$$

• η is a small number (the learning rate)

In this case, we use a linear model to do the local approximation \hat{f} :

•
$$\hat{f}(x) = w_0 + w_1 a_1(x) + \cdots + w_n a_n(x)$$

▶ Suppose we aim to minimize the total squared error:

•
$$E = (1/2) \sum_{x \in D} (f(x) - \hat{f}(x))^2$$

 Recall the gradient descent we used in checkers for this purpose

$$\Delta w_j = \eta \sum_{x \in D} (f(x) - \hat{f}(x)) a_j(x)$$

- $ightharpoonup \eta$ is a small number (the learning rate)
- Now we adjust it to work with the present situation. Define the error for instance x_q :

In this case, we use a linear model to do the local approximation \hat{f} :

•
$$\hat{f}(x) = w_0 + w_1 a_1(x) + \cdots + w_n a_n(x)$$

▶ Suppose we aim to minimize the total squared error:

•
$$E = (1/2) \sum_{x \in D} (f(x) - \hat{f}(x))^2$$

 Recall the gradient descent we used in checkers for this purpose

$$\Delta w_j = \eta \sum_{x \in D} (f(x) - \hat{f}(x)) a_j(x)$$

- $ightharpoonup \eta$ is a small number (the learning rate)
- Now we adjust it to work with the present situation. Define the error for instance x_q :

►
$$E(x_q) = (1/2) \sum_{x \in KNN \text{ of } x_q} (f(x) - \hat{f}(x))^2 K(d(x_q, x))$$

In this case, we use a linear model to do the local approximation \hat{f} :

•
$$\hat{f}(x) = w_0 + w_1 a_1(x) + \cdots + w_n a_n(x)$$

▶ Suppose we aim to minimize the total squared error:

•
$$E = (1/2) \sum_{x \in D} (f(x) - \hat{f}(x))^2$$

 Recall the gradient descent we used in checkers for this purpose

$$\Delta w_j = \eta \sum_{x \in D} (f(x) - \hat{f}(x)) a_j(x)$$

- $ightharpoonup \eta$ is a small number (the learning rate)
- Now we adjust it to work with the present situation. Define the error for instance x_q :

►
$$E(x_q) = (1/2) \sum_{x \in KNN \text{ of } x_q} (f(x) - \hat{f}(x))^2 K(d(x_q, x))$$

▶ And the new version of the gradient descent becomes:

In this case, we use a linear model to do the local approximation \hat{f} :

•
$$\hat{f}(x) = w_0 + w_1 a_1(x) + \cdots + w_n a_n(x)$$

▶ Suppose we aim to minimize the total squared error:

•
$$E = (1/2) \sum_{x \in D} (f(x) - \hat{f}(x))^2$$

 Recall the gradient descent we used in checkers for this purpose

$$\Delta w_j = \eta \sum_{x \in D} (f(x) - \hat{f}(x)) a_j(x)$$

- $ightharpoonup \eta$ is a small number (the learning rate)
- Now we adjust it to work with the present situation. Define the error for instance x_q :

►
$$E(x_q) = (1/2) \sum_{x \in KNN \text{ of } x_q} (f(x) - \hat{f}(x))^2 K(d(x_q, x))$$

- ▶ And the new version of the gradient descent becomes:
 - $\Delta w_j = \eta \sum_{x \in KNN \text{ of } x_q} K(d(x_q, x))(f(x) \hat{f}(x))a_j(x)$

In this case, we use a linear model to do the local approximation \hat{f} :

•
$$\hat{f}(x) = w_0 + w_1 a_1(x) + \cdots + w_n a_n(x)$$

▶ Suppose we aim to minimize the total squared error:

•
$$E = (1/2) \sum_{x \in D} (f(x) - \hat{f}(x))^2$$

 Recall the gradient descent we used in checkers for this purpose

$$\Delta w_j = \eta \sum_{x \in D} (f(x) - \hat{f}(x)) a_j(x)$$

- $ightharpoonup \eta$ is a small number (the learning rate)
- Now we adjust it to work with the present situation. Define the error for instance x_q :

►
$$E(x_q) = (1/2) \sum_{x \in KNN \text{ of } x_q} (f(x) - \hat{f}(x))^2 K(d(x_q, x))$$

- ▶ And the new version of the gradient descent becomes:
 - $\Delta w_j = \eta \sum_{x \in KNN \text{ of } x_q} K(d(x_q, x))(f(x) \hat{f}(x))a_j(x)$