

## Procedure to grade project reviews in Moodle Workshop activity (For ProjectMentors and Reviewers)

1. Verify if you chosen correct course code( like 19CS701 or 19AI701 etc). Then Click on the Review link in the Moodle.

The screenshot shows a Moodle Workshop activity titled "Review1". It lists several tasks:

- GUIDELINES FOR THE COMPLETION OF REVIEW1 IN PROJECT WORK1/MINIPROJECT
- Major Area of the Project
- Milestones and Outcomes
- Review-1 Presentation template - CSE
- Review-1 document template -CSE
- WORKSHOP1 : Review-I Presentation to Mentor, Review Team(after submitting above project specific presentation, document) -both presentation to mentor and review team to be completed before 07.09.24.** (This task is circled in red.)
- WORKSHOP2 : Workshop for Review1 to Mentor ( Completion of Article Writing course upto Literature Survey , completion of research Article upto Related work )

2. You will be seeing the following screen

The screenshot shows the "Submission phase" configuration screen for the workshop activity. The "Setup phase" section contains the following items:

- Set the workshop description
- Provide instructions for submission
- Edit assessment form

The "Submission phase" section is highlighted in green and contains the following items:

- Provide instructions for assessment
- Submit your work
- Allocate submission

A note in this section says: "There is at least one author who has not yet submitted their work." A "Switch to the next phase" button is also present.

The "Assessment phase" section contains the following items:


- Provide instructions for assessment
- Submit your work
- Allocate submission

The "Grading evaluation phase" section contains the following items:

- Calculate submission grades
- Calculate assessment grades
- Provide a conclusion of the activity

At the bottom, there are "Instructions for submission" and "Rubrics" sections.

3. Choose Switch to Assessment phase it will ask for confirmation as below (Please inform to the Review team for doing this)



[◀ Review-1 document template](#)

[Jump to...](#)

#### 4. Click continue. You can see that the phase is switched to Assessment phase

Review-I Presentation to Mentor, Review Team(after submitting above project specific presentation, document) -both presentation to mentor and review team to be completed before 23.2.24.

[Add submission](#)

#### Assessment phase

Setup phase <a href="#">Switch to the setup phase</a>	Submission phase <a href="#">Switch to the submission phase</a>	<b>Assessment phase</b> Current phase	Grading evaluation phase <a href="#">Switch to the evaluation phase</a>	Closed <a href="#">Close workshop</a>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Set the workshop description</li> <li>✓ Provide instructions for submission</li> <li>✓ Edit assessment form</li> </ul> <p>(1) There is at least one author who has not yet submitted their work. (1) Late submissions are allowed</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Provide instructions for assessment</li> <li>✗ Submit your work</li> <li>✗ Allocate submissions</li> </ul> <p>expected: 143 submitted: 7 to allocate: 1</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Assess peers</li> </ul> <p>total: 1 pending: 1</p> <p><a href="#">Switch to the next phase</a></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Calculate submission grades</li> <li>✓ Calculate assessment grades</li> </ul> <p>expected: 143 calculated: 0</p> <p><a href="#">Provide a conclusion of the activity</a></p>	

#### Your submission ▾

You have not submitted your work yet

#### 5. Now search for your name by using the key combination ctrl+e

SEC	Gowri Ganesh	3/4	Dr. N. S Gowri Ganesh DG
VA VIJAYARAJAN ARR	No submission found for this user	-	-
VA Varalakshmi Assistant Professor/AOS	No submission found for this user	-	-
KB KUMARIK B	No submission found for this user	-	-
SB SHAK KHADAR BASHA	No submission found for this user	-	-
VC Vaishnavi Ch	No submission found for this user	-	100 (3>) V VINCENT/ISAAC JEVARAJ
DC Dr. V Vijaya Chamundeeswari	No submission found for this user	-	100 (3>) BK BOLLINENI KAVYA
SC Sambasiva Chidambaram	No submission found for this user	-	100 (3>) AR Aishree Ramesh
DK Dhivish Kumar K S DKS	No submission found for this user	-	- (3>) AR Aishree Ramesh
DE Dr. Sujatha E	No submission found for this user	-	-
JG Jaya Jaspin E T	No submission found for this user	-	-
GE GANISH Eluru	No submission found for this user	-	-
SF Student Four	Not modified on Wednesday, 21 February 2024, 8:40 AM	- (3>) Dr. N. S Gowri Ganesh	56 (3>) DM Dr. M Ulagamalai Mayappan
AG Abinaya G	No submission found for this user	-	-

#### 6. Now click on the blue color link of the file submitted by the student.

S/EC		Gowri Ganesh	3/4	^	v
VA	VIJAYARAGAVAN ARR	No submission found for this user			
VA	Varalakshmi J Assistant Professor/AIDS	No submission found for this user			
KB	KUNVARAN B	No submission found for this user			
SB	SHAIK KHADAR BASHA	No submission found for this user			
VC	Vasanth Kumar CH	No submission found for this user		100 (-) > V9	
DC	Dr. V. Vijaya Chamundeeswari	No submission found for this user		100 (-) > BC	
SC	Silambarsi Chidhambaran	No submission found for this user		100 (-) > AR A	
DK	Dr. Sujatha E	No submission found for this user			
JK	Jaba Jaspin E T	No submission found for this user			
GE	GANESH Eluru	No submission found for this user			
SF	Student Four	iot modified on Wednesday, 21 February 2024, 8:40 AM	- (-) < DG Dr. N. S. Gowri Ganesh		
AG	Abinaya G	No submission found for this user	SG (-) < DM Dr. Mugalammal Meiyappan		

## 7. This will show up on the following page.

Google Drive Link for Review1: [https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1x1tpGSfxFjZnK7xPjYpccfrvOJHatty?usp=drive\\_link](https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1x1tpGSfxFjZnK7xPjYpccfrvOJHatty?usp=drive_link)

In the text box please mention your team number, team members, Project Title, Mentor Name

TEAM NO:

TEAM MEMBER NAME:

PROJECT TITLE:

MENTOR NAME:

Google drive link of your folder (folder name in the format Regno-Name Ex: 212220230001-AAKAASH)

Google drive link of your presentation:

Google drive link of your Document:

iot  
by Student Four  
submitted on Wednesday, 21 February 2024, 8:40 AM

- CSE 2020-24 Project Details (1).xlsx

Delete submission

DG Your assessment  
by Dr. N. S. Gowri Ganesh  
Not assessed yet

Assess

## 8. Please notice that “Not Assessed yet” message and an Assess button on the right

Google Drive Link for Review1: [https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1x1tpGSfxFjZnK7xPjYpccfrvOJHatty?usp=drive\\_link](https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1x1tpGSfxFjZnK7xPjYpccfrvOJHatty?usp=drive_link)

In the text box please mention your team number, team members, Project Title, Mentor Name

TEAM NO:

TEAM MEMBER NAME:

PROJECT TITLE:

MENTOR NAME:

Google drive link of your folder (folder name in the format Regno-Name Ex: 212220230001-AAKAASH)

Google drive link of your presentation:

Google drive link of your Document:

iot  
by Student Four  
submitted on Wednesday, 21 February 2024, 8:40 AM


- CSE 2020-24 Project Details (1).xlsx

Delete submission


DG Your assessment  
by Dr. N. S. Gowri Ganesh  
Not assessed yet

Assess


## 9. Please ensure the following details are given properly



10. Please ensure that video presentation is also given and check for the correctness of the video presentation. It is a one minute video presentation to cover a statement of problem , purpose of the project, methodology and expected outcomes and implications. This also may cover some points on literature survey.



11. Click on the link of the folder ensure that they had created the folder name as per the template format and the documents provided uploaded using their email id



12. Click on all the presentation and document links to ensure that the presentation and documents are as per template provided .

- a. **(For Review1)**Please verify the presentation are in proper format ( neat bullet points that explains the problem statement , purpose of the project , methodology and expect outcomes --> these are the points covered in abstract , for literature survey can add as many slides as required-can review papers even more than 3 .. can be 10 slides)
- b. **(For Review2 - Learners should have completed videos of architecture, use case diagram , class diagram , sequence diagram , algorithms used ..., test cases )**Please verify the presentation are in proper format ( neat bullet points that explains the Introduction , Scope of the project , Methodology, Architecture Diagram , use case diagram , class diagram , sequence diagram , Algorithms used , Hardware and Software Selection , Implementation (start with the setup environment , Important code segments (walkthrough) , output , Testcases , Results , conclusion , Future work , References )

The screenshot shows a Google Slides presentation titled "Mini Project (19AI701) - Review 1". The slide content includes:

- Submitted by:** Ann Blessy Philips (212222040008)
- 2022-2026 Batch**
- TEAM NO:47**
- Under the guidance of:** Dr. E. Sujatha
- Professor, Department of CSE**
- DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING  
SAVEETHA ENGINEERING COLLEGE**
- (Affiliated to Anna University, Approved by AICTE - Accredited by NSA & NAAC - 'A' Grade - ISO 9001:2015 Certified)  
Saveetha Page, Thandalam, Chennai-600 102, Tamilnadu, India.
- SEC - DEPARTMENT OF CSE - 3r-1 - MINIPROJECT - slide-1**

On the left side, there is a navigation pane showing four slides:

1. Mini Project (19AI701) - Review 1
2. Agenda
3. Introduction
4. Statement of the problem

A note at the bottom of the slide says: "Click to add speaker notes".

The screenshot shows a Microsoft Word document titled "Review-1 Report". The content of the document is:

**JUSTICEBOT: A VIRTUAL LEGAL ASSISTANT**

Mini Project (REVIEW-1)

Submitted by

Name: Ann Blessy Philips      Reg Number: 212222040008

in partial fulfillment for the award

of the degree of

BACHELOR OF ENGINEERING

in

COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

At the bottom of the screen, the taskbar shows the weather as "30°C Mostly cloudy" and the date and time as "9/30/2024 9:25 AM".

docs.google.com/presentation/d/1IWKbLvlZLlv6Sx5buUStvchPKLCQm1Sx3D86Z69DrQ/edit#slide=id.p1

Projectwork-phase1-Review2-presentation\_IT\_template

File Edit View Insert Format Slide Arrange Tools Extensions Help

Background Layout Theme Transition

ProjectWorkPhase1(19IT702) – Review2

**<Title of the Project work1>**

**Submitted by:**  
< Calibri(Body) 24>< BOLD ><Centralized>  
**NAME OF THE STUDENT ( <University Roll Number> )**

2021-2025 Batch  
TEAM NO:

**Under the guidance of:**  
< Calibri(Body) 24>< BOLD ><Centralized>  
**NAME OF THE GUIDE**  
< Calibri(Body) 24>< BOLD ><Centralized>  
Designation,Department of AIDS

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY  
SAVEETHA ENGINEERING COLLEGE  
(Autonomous Institution – UGC, Govt. of India  
Affiliated to Anna University, Approved by AICTE, NAAC ‘B’ Grade - ISO 9001:2015 Certified)  
Saveetha Nagar, Thirudaimar, Chennai-402 105, TamilNadu, INDIA

SEC- DEPARTMENT OF IT- 4-1-PROJECT WORK PHASE1- slide#-1

Click to add speaker notes

docs.google.com/document/d/19\_zHTKTidjmYAA0y1RB1\_onKiGhtA3LglwtjN9qLH8/edit

Projectworkphase1-CSE-Review-2-Report

File Edit View Insert Format Tools Extensions Help

Heading 1 Times ... 16 B I U A

REAL TIME VEHICLE COLLISION DETECTION  
WITH  
ALERT SYSTEM

PROJECT WORK PHASE 1 (REVIEW2)

*Submitted by*

AKSHITH S 212222040115

*in partial fulfilment for the award*

*of the degree of*

BACHELOR OF ENGINEERING

*in*

COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

28°C partly cloudy Search

13. Please click on the Assess button and scroll down the page you will be able to see the following screen with rubrics. Click on the appropriate grades and if any points are mentioned can be entered in the overall feedback section. Assessment weight keep it as 1. If you are satisfied with the grades and feedback awarded then submit on the save and close button.

Lms2.ai.saveetha.in/mod/workshop/assessment.php?asid=25143

SEC

DG Your assessment by Dr. N. S. Gowri Ganesh Not assessed yet

**Assessment form** ▾ Grid

Criteria	Levels
<b>Abstract</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>An abstract is a concise summary of the work.</li> <li>Abstracts is usually about 150-250 words and one to two paragraphs long</li> </ul> <p>An abstract should include a statement of the problem you are trying to solve and the purpose of your project, the methods used to find the solution, the results and the implications of your findings.</p> <p><b>Review Methodology for Abstract</b></p> <p>Identification of Problem statement, Abstract need to have 1. purpose of the project , 2.Methodology, 3.result and 4. implications of the project.</p>	<input type="radio"/> <b>Poor</b> - Moderate explanation of the problem statement, purpose and need of the project; methodology , result and implications of the project; limited information  <input type="radio"/> <b>Average</b> - Average explanation of the problem statement, purpose and need of the project; Incomplete justification to the objectives proposed; Steps are mentioned but unclear; without justification to objectives.  <input type="radio"/> <b>Good</b> - Good explanation of the problem statement, purpose of the project Collects a great deal of information ; A good justification to the objectives; Methodology to be followed is specified but detailing is not done  <input type="radio"/> <b>Excellent</b> - A detailed and extensive explanation of the problem statement, purpose of the project All objectives of the proposed work are well defined; Steps to be followed to solve the defined problem are clearly specified. Results and implications clearly specified
<b>Literature Review</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The analytical features of a literature review might:</li> <li>Give a new interpretation of old material or combine new with old interpretations,</li> </ul>	<input type="radio"/> <b>Poor</b> - Literature reviewed has weak or no connection to the topic under study. A clear rationale for the study aim/ purpose (the gap in the literature) is not identified. Scope is not clear. Explanation of the specifications  <input type="radio"/> <b>Average</b> - Average explanation of Literature reviewed under study. A clear rationale for the study aim/ purpose (the gap in the literature) is not identified. Explanation of the specifications and the  <input type="radio"/> <b>Good</b> - Literature reviewed relates to the study topic. All major sections of the pertinent literature may not be covered in depth. Most articles/ sources reviewed are from scholarly sources but a few are not. Explanation of the  <input type="radio"/> <b>Excellent</b> - Literature reviewed relates to the main topic and sets up the rationale for the study aim/ purpose by clearly identifying a gap in the literature. Only scholarly articles are used to build the argument for the need for the study. Explanation of the

#### 14. Finally switch back to the submission phase to enable students to submit their documents (Please inform to the Review team for doing this)

Not secure lms2.ai.saveetha.in/mod/workshop/view.php?id=3446

SEC

**Add submission**

**Submission phase**

Setup phase	Submission phase	Assessment phase	Grading evaluation phase	Closed
<a href="#">Switch to the setup phase</a> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Set the workshop description</li> <li>Provide instructions for submission</li> <li>Edit assessment form</li> </ul>	<b>Submission phase</b> Current phase: <b>●</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provide instructions for assessment</li> <li>Submit your work</li> <li>Allocate submissions</li> </ul> <p>(1) There is at least one author who has not yet submitted their work  <a href="#">Switch to the next phase</a></p>	<a href="#">Switch to the assessment phase</a>	<a href="#">Switch to the evaluation phase</a> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Calculate submission grades</li> <li>Calculate assessment grades</li> <li>Provide a conclusion of the activity</li> </ul>	<a href="#">Close workshop</a>

**Instructions for submission** ▾

Learners are expected to come up with the following details in their presentation and the document:

- Objectives of the project
- Abstract
- Literature Review
- Existing System & Proposed System
- References
- Project plan and Tentative Schedule (Chart)

**Rubrics**

1. Identification of Problem Domain and Detailed analysis of Feasibility, objectives and Methodology of ProjectProposal - (40 Marks)  
 2. Literature Review - (40 Marks)  
 3. Planning of Project Work - (20 Marks)

#### 15. Now click on workshop2

The screenshot shows a web browser window with a purple header bar containing various icons. The main content area displays a course structure. Under 'Team Formation', there are two items: 'Team Formation details - Team members and Mentor - entry' and 'Discussion Forum - regarding Team Formation - can raise your doubts to get it clarified'. Under 'Review1', there are several items: 'GUIDELINES FOR THE COMPLETION OF REVIEW1 IN PROJECT WORK1/MINIPROJECT', 'Major Area of the Project', 'Milestones and Outcomes', 'Review-1 Presentation template - CSE', 'Review-1 document template -CSE', and 'WORKSHOP1 : Review-1 Presentation to Mentor, Review Team(after submitting above project specific presentation, document)-both presentation to mentor and review team to be completed before 07.09.24'. At the bottom of the list, there is a red oval highlighting the 'WORKSHOP2 : Workshop for Review1 to Mentor ( Completion of Article Writing course upto Literature Survey , completion of research Article upto Related work)' item.

16. Same procedure applies to evaluate the workshop2 as well.

- (For Review1)** In Workshop2 - 2 documents + complete details (about candidate name, mentor name , research article details , Google drive link which is created in workshop1 in which all 2 documents need to be uploaded ) need to be verified. 1. Screenshot of completion of quiz upto "Related work" in the course "Article Writing" 2. Research Article in IEEE template with the sections Title , Abstract , Introduction , Related work. .
  - (For Review2 )** In Workshop2 - 3 documents + complete details (about candidate name, mentor name , research article details , Google drive link which is created in workshop1 in which all 3 documents need to be uploaded ) need to be verified. 1. Screenshot of completion of quiz upto "Publishing the Research paper"in the course "Article Writing" 2. Research Article in IEEE template with the sections Abstract, Introduction , Related work , methodology, results, discussion , conclusion , references for the preparation of article [FULL PAPER] ready to submit to conference /Journal . 3. Plagiarism Report using Turnitin Software. (Mentors can send the Research Article to [research@saveetha.ac.in](mailto:research@saveetha.ac.in) to get the plagiarism Report)
17. To verify if the candidate has completed the quiz go to the course 'Article Writing' and check if the candidate completed the quiz or inform the learner to show quiz completion .

Navigation

- My courses
  - 199703
  - 1994703
  - 1994702
  - ARTICLEWRITING
    - Participants
    - Badges
    - Competencies
    - Grades
    - General
    - EVENT DAY PRESENTATIONS ON 26/4/24
    - Research Article
    - Abstract
    - Writing Introduction in Research Article
    - Literature Survey or Related Work
      - What is Literature Survey
      - What is Citation
      - How to write a Literature Review
      - Literature survey for Case Study 1: Automated Test...
      - Literature survey for Case Study 2: Secure Data Test...
      - Literature Survey for Case Study 3: Predictive Model...
      - Read the Article G. Venduri et al., "GPT- A Large Language Model..."
      - Read the Article A. Jannani et al., "Artificial Intelligence in..."
    - Quiz on Literature Survey or Related Work
  - Research Problem Statement
  - Materials / Methodology, Results , Discussion, Conclusion
  - Publishing the Research paper
  - Suggested list of Conference Call for papers / journals

Visible groups: All participants

Attempts: 38

What to include in the report

Attempts from: enrolled users who have attempted the quiz

Attempts that are: In progress, Overdue, Finished, Never submitted

Show only attempts: Show at most one finished attempt per user (Highest grade)

Display options

Page size: 1000

Marks for each question: Yes

Show report

Regrade all, Dry run a full regrade

Showing graded and ungraded attempts for each user. The one attempt for each user that is graded is highlighted. The grading method for this quiz is Highest grade.

First name: All, A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L, M, N, O, P, Q, R, S, T, U, V, W, X, Y, Z

Last name: All, A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L, M, N, O, P, Q, R, S, T, U, V, W, X, Y, Z

Download table data as: Comma separated values (.csv)

First name / Last name	ID number	Enrolment date	Started on	Completed	Time taken	Grade	Q. 1	Q. 2	Q. 3	Q. 4	Q. 5	Q. 6	Q. 7	Q. 8	Q. 9	Q. 10
VIDHYASRI K	21222230170	itsvidhyasri25@gmail.com	21 August 2024, 1:53 PM	21 August 2024, 1:57 PM	3 mins 16 secs	8.00	✓ 1.00	✓ 1.00	✗ 0.00	✗ 0.00	✓ 1.00	✓ 1.00	✓ 1.00	✓ 1.00	✓ 1.00	
Divya S			29 August 2024, 1:53 PM	29 August 2024, 1:55 PM	2 mins											

Reset table preferences

30°C Mostly cloudy

Search bar, taskbar icons, system tray showing 9:37 AM, 9/30/2024