- ER to Relational Mapping
- Relational Model vs ER Model
- Mapping Strong Entities
- Mapping Weak Entities
- Mapping N:M Relationships
- Mapping 1:N Relationships
- Mapping 1:1 Relationships
- Mapping n-way Relationships
- Mapping Composite Attributes
- Mapping Multi-valued Attributes (MVAs)
- Mapping Subclasses

COMP3311 21T1 \Diamond ER \rightarrow Rel Mapping \Diamond [0/17]

>>

>>

ER to Relational Mapping

Reminder: a useful strategy for database design:

- perform initial data modelling using ER (conceptual-level modelling)
- transform conceptual design into relational model (implementation-level modelling)

A formal mapping exists for ER model \rightarrow Relational model.

This maps "structures"; but additional info is needed, e.g.

concrete domains for attributes and other constraints

Relational Model vs ER Model

Correspondences between relational and ER data models:

- attribute(ER)
 attribute(Rel), entity(ER)
 attribute(Rel)

Differences between relational and ER models:

- Rel uses relations to model entities and relationships
- Rel has no composite or multi-valued attributes (only atomic)
- Rel has no object-oriented notions (e.g. subclasses, inheritance)

Note that ...

- not all aspects of ER cab be represented exactly in a relational schema
- some aspects of relational schemas (e.g. domains) do not appear in ER

COMP3311 21T1 \Diamond ER \rightarrow Rel Mapping \Diamond [2/17]


Mapping Strong Entities

An entity set E with atomic attributes $a_1, a_2, ... a_n$

maps to

A relation R with attributes (columns) a_1 , a_2 , ... a_n


Example:



Note: the key is preserved in the mapping.

COMP3311 21T1 \Diamond ER \rightarrow Rel Mapping \Diamond [3/17]

2021/2/14 ER→Relational Mapping




COMP3311 21T1 \Diamond ER \rightarrow Rel Mapping \Diamond [4/17]


2021/2/14 ER→Relational Mapping

Mapping N:M Relationships


Example:




Relational Version



COMP3311 21T1 \Diamond ER \rightarrow Rel Mapping \Diamond [5/17]




COMP3311 21T1 \Diamond ER \rightarrow Rel Mapping \Diamond [6/17]




COMP3311 21T1 \Diamond ER \rightarrow Rel Mapping \Diamond [7/17]

Mapping 1:1 Relationships (cont)

If there is no reason to favour one side of the relationship ...



Relational Version #1



S sid c

Relational Version #2

T tid a

S sid c tid b

COMP3311 21T1 \Diamond ER \rightarrow Rel Mapping \Diamond [8/17]

Mapping n-way Relationships

Relationship mappings above assume binary relationship.

If multiple entities are involved:

- n:m generalises naturally to n:m:p:q
 - include foreign key for each participating entity
 - include any other attributes of the relationship
- other multiplicities (e.g. 1:n:m) ...
 - need to be mapped the same as n:m:p:q
 - so not quite an accurate mapping of the ER

Some people advocate converting n-way relationships into:


• a new entity, and a set of *n* binary relationships

COMP3311 21T1 \Diamond ER \rightarrow Rel Mapping \Diamond [9/17]



Composite attributes are mapped by concatenation or flattening.

Example:



COMP3311 21T1 \Diamond ER \rightarrow Rel Mapping \Diamond [10/17]


<<

>>

Mapping Multi-valued Attributes (MVAs)

MVAs are mapped by a new table linking values to their entity.

Example:




Relational Version



COMP3311 21T1 \Diamond ER \rightarrow Rel Mapping \Diamond [11/17]

<<

>>



COMP3311 21T1 \Diamond ER \rightarrow Rel Mapping \Diamond [12/17]

< \ \ >>

Mapping Multi-valued Attributes (MVAs) (cont)

Example: the two entities

```
Person(12345, John, 12-feb-1990, [red,green,blue])
Person(54321, Jane, 25-dec-1990, [green,purple])
```

would be represented as

```
Person(12345, John, 12-feb-1990)
Person(54321, Jane, 25-dec-1990)
FavColour(12345, red)
FavColour(12345, green)
FavColour(12345, blue)
FavColour(54321, green)
FavColour(54321, purple)
```

COMP3311 21T1 \Diamond ER \rightarrow Rel Mapping \Diamond [13/17]

Mapping Subclasses


Three different approaches to mapping subclasses to tables:


- ER style
 - each entity becomes a separate table,
 - containing attributes of subclass + FK to superclass table
- object-oriented
 - each entity becomes a separate table,
 - inheriting all attributes from all superclasses
- single table with nulls
 - whole class hierarchy becomes one table,
 - containing all attributes of all subclasses (null, if unused)

Which mapping is best depends on how data is to be used.


COMP3311 21T1 \Diamond ER \rightarrow Rel Mapping \Diamond [14/17]

2021/2/14 ER→Relational Mapping





2021/2/14



COMP3311 21T1 \Diamond ER \rightarrow Rel Mapping \Diamond [17/17]

Produced: 10 Feb 2021