- In the premous lettere we have seen some OPP and example of some OPP. We see for OPP is if if the objective function and all the constraints (which are linear in nature) are convent of the the tendition. Therefore, OPP problem can be solve via KKT condition. We have seen the modification of KKT condition for a given OPP. In this lecture we study the method to solve some OPP.
- Is a convex timetime if Q 1s a positive semi definite symmetric matrix.
- => Giren B -> symmetric +ve serme definite.

To show, $f(n) = \chi T g \chi + e T \chi$ is a conven f^{2} .

Now, we know, a function fend is conven if $f(\chi \chi + (1-\chi)\chi_{2}) \leq \lambda f(\chi_{1}) + (1-\lambda) f(\chi_{2}) + \chi_{1}, \chi_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ $\lambda \in \Gamma_{0}, 1$,

= f (1x4+(1-1)x2) - 1 fext) - (1-1) fext2) = 0.

$$= (\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2)^T Q (\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2) + e^T [\lambda x_1 + (-\lambda)x_2]$$

$$- \lambda [x_1^T Q x_1 + e^T x_1] - (1-\lambda)[x_2^T Q x_2 + e^T x_2]$$

$$\xrightarrow{m_1} \xrightarrow{m_2}$$

$$= \lambda^{2} \chi_{1}^{T} \otimes \chi_{1} + \lambda (1 + \lambda) \chi_{1}^{T} \otimes \chi_{2} + \lambda (1 + \lambda) \chi_{2}^{T} \otimes \chi_{1} + (1 + \lambda)^{2} \chi_{2}^{T} \otimes \chi_{2}$$

$$- \lambda \chi_{1}^{T} \otimes \chi_{1} - (1 + \lambda) \chi_{2}^{T} \otimes \chi_{2}$$

$$= \lambda (1-2) \chi_{1}^{T} g \chi_{1} + (1-\lambda)(1-\lambda-1) \chi_{2}^{T} g \chi_{2}$$

$$+ 2 \lambda (1-\lambda) \chi_{1}^{T} g \chi_{2}$$

40

: $f(\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda) x_2) \leq \lambda f(x_1) + (1-\lambda) f(x_2)$ Hence, f is convex.

Remark: If in the OPP the matrix is positive semi-definite and symmetric matrix then the objective function is convex. Hence, the OPP becomes epp.

Therefore, the KKT- condition becomes sufficient and the local minima will become the global minima point,

Thomas Let & be a symmetric, positive semi-definite matrix of order n. If there exist a, 5, x salls by KKT Conditions

- (i) 28x+C+ATU-12=0
- (1) Ax-b+5=0
- (ii) Wist 20 4 1=1,2,..., m
- (in) Wy° =0 + 121.2,..., n
- + x>0, uzo, v>0, s>0

Then of will be the global minima point of the OPP.

Thomas If & is negative definite them the quadratic programming problem can not have an unbounded solution.

$$OPP := Max xet Ox + et x$$

S.L. $Ax \leq b$
 $x \geq 0$.

Here, Os is negative semi-definite matrix.

Proof 1- B See s. Chandra Book for more details.

Note: that In theorem 2, may not hold if & is negative. semi-definite. onabix.

Example: Solve the following GPP

Max
$$2 = x_1 + x_2 - (2x_1 - x_2)^2$$

s.t. $x_1 - x_2 \neq 1$

=) We have
$$\chi = (\frac{\chi}{n_2})$$
, $c = (\frac{1}{2})$, $b = (1)$

A = $\frac{1}{2}$ [1 -1]

B = $\frac{1}{2}$ [1 -1]

Step-I; check & is positive to negative definite on NOT? $S = \begin{pmatrix} -4 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ Eigen value are $A_1 = 0$ $A_2 = -5$.

(Principal Minors)

- A matrix A is negative definite iff its odd proincipal min minors are negative and its even principal minors are positive.
 - If For positive definite all its leading poetneiple iminors are positive.

. The mertoix of is negative. Semi-definite,

NOW, observe that any xx 20,

of the problem and the value of the objective function is

7 = 0 2* + 2x* + (2x* - 2x*) = 3x* >0.

thus, as xx -> &, 2 -> &. So the given problem has an imbounded solution.

Remark: If B is negative definite, the objective function of the BPP & is strictly concare and so if it has a feasible solution then it has unique optimal solution. However, when B is negative. Semi-definite then the to guarantee that it has bounded optimal solution we not only meet that given problem & is feasible but also require that

- Wolfe's method is directly based on the KKT Condition of the given QPP and is solved by the restricted. entry simplen method. This Method was developed by P. Wolfe in 1959,
- A Another popular method for solving OPP? is the Beal's Method, developed By E. M. L. Beale in 1959. (This method we will not study in this course)

Example :- Min f(n) = 212+ 222- 27112-621-822 S.t. 221-12 = 13. 24,7220.

step-I" check that it is a QPP or NOT? therefore, check $Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ is positive definite

For \emptyset , $|D_1| = 1 > 0$, $|D_2| = ||1 - 1|| = 1 > 0$

- 9 is positive-definite.

Step-17: Check OPP is a CPP or NOT?

Here, fens is convex. en 8 is convex.

and linear contraints => convex.

in the OPP is a CPP and the KKT conditions will be the sufficient.

step-17: KKT- Conditions !

L2 (x1+2x2-2x1x2-6x1-8x2) + U(2x1-x2-13) + V(x1)
- 12x2.

Became the problem (cpp) becomes

Min fen) = 212+22-2242-621-8x2

S.t. $g_1(M, N_2) = 2N_1 - N_2 - 13 \le 0$ $g_2(M, N_2) = -N_1 \le 0$ $g_3(M, N_2) = -N_2 \le 0$ Lagragian / KKT, variables

V2

 $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{\partial L}{\partial m} = 2\pi u - 2\pi z - 6 + 2u - 4 = 20$

31 2 4x2-2m-8-4-42 20

111) 221- x2 + s = 13

(iii) 74, 3470, 4, 4, 42 20

(i) US = 0 , Dxx1 = 12x2 =0

Step-IV: Ignore the complementary sloukness conditions in the KKT system (i.e. us 20, uni 20, i21,2) and consider the remaining system of linear equations in the non-negative raniables x1, x2, u, u, u, v2, c

$$2m - 2x_2 + 2m - v_1 = 6$$

 $-2m + 4x_2 - m - v_2 = 8$
 $2m - x_2$ $+s_1 = 13$

x1, x2, W, W1, B2, S1 > 0,

Step- 9; Constract the problem in the form of LPP.

· Max 7 = - a1 - a2

5.1. 221-22-124-41+04=6 -224+42-4-42+02=8

· 224 - x2 + S1 = 13

34, 2, 4, 4, 12, S1, a, a, >, 0,

5

Step- VI + Comsmut simplem tousle.

	1									
B.V	·× 1	× ₂	U	W2 .	Vi	a, "	01 2	٤,	201€	Ratio
2	0	-21	→ /	7	7	0	0	0		
ay	2	-2	2	-1	6	1	D	0	6	
< a2	-2	4	-1	O	- 1	0	L	O	8	2
Sı	2	-(0	0	0	0	0	1	13	_
2	-7 T	0	-3/2	1	1/2	0	1/2	0		
← ay	1	0	3/2	-1	-1/2	7	1/2	0	16	10
× ₂	-1/2	1	-1/4	Ō	- /4	6	Ky	0	2	_
S 1	3/2	0	-1/4	ð	- 1/4	0	4	1	13	16
2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0		
×	1	ō	3/2	-1	-1/2	1	1/2	0	10	
2	0	1	42	-1/2	-1/2		1.		7	
Si	0	0	-5/2		1/2	-3/2		7	7	

: Solution 1s x=10, x=7, s,=0, x=4=02=0.